

## DISTRICT PLAN REVIEW

# Proposed Waimakariri District Plan - Submission

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

### Submitter details

(Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by **email** and **phone**).

Full name: \_\_\_\_\_

Email address: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone (Mobile): \_\_\_\_\_ Phone (Landline): \_\_\_\_\_

Postal Address: \_\_\_\_\_ Post Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Physical address: \_\_\_\_\_ Post Code: \_\_\_\_\_  
(if different from above)

Please select one of the two options below:

I **could not** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission (go to Submission details, you do not need to complete the rest of this section)

I **could** gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission (please complete the rest of this section before continuing to Submission details)

Please select one of the two options below:

I **am** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- A) Adversely affects the environment; and
- B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition.

I **am not** directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- A) Adversely affects the environment; and
- B) Does not relate to trade competition or the effect of trade competition.

## Submission details

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are as follows: *(please give details)*

My submission is that: *(state in summary the Proposed Plan chapter subject and provision of your submission. Clearly indicate whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have amendments made, giving reasons) (please include additional pages as necessary)*

I/we have included: \_\_\_\_\_ additional pages

I/we seek the following decision from the Waimakariri District Council: *(give precise details, use additional pages if required)*

## Submission at the Hearing

I/we wish to speak in support of my/our submission

I/we do not wish to speak in support of my/our submission

If others make a similar further submission, I/we will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing

## Signature

*Of submitters or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter(s)*

Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

*(If you are making your submission electronically, a signature is not required)*

## Important Information

1. The Council must receive this submission before the closing date and time for submissions.
2. Please note that submissions are public. Your name and submission will be included in papers that are available to the media and public. Your submission will only be used for the purpose of the District Plan review process.
3. Only those submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the hearing will be emailed a copy of the planning officers report (please ensure you include an email address on this submission form).

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further
- It contains offensive language
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

**Send your submission to:** Proposed District Plan Submission  
Waimakariri District Council  
Private Bag 1005, Rangiora 7440

**Email to:** developmentplanning@wmk.govt.nz

**Phone:** 0800 965 468 (0800WMKGOV)

**You can also deliver this submission form to one our service centres:**

**Rangiora Service Centre:** 215 High Street, Rangiora

**Kaiapoi Service Centre:** Ruataniwha Kaiapoi Civic Centre, 176 Williams Street, Kaiapoi

**Oxford Service Centre:** 34 Main Street, Oxford

**Submissions close 5pm, Friday 26 November 2021**

**Please refer to the Council website [waimakariri.govt.nz](http://waimakariri.govt.nz) for further updates**

# APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN

Ref #	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
001	Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions/Te whakamāramatanga - Interpretation/Definition ‘Alteration’	Support	HNZPT supports the inclusion of a clear definition of ‘alteration’ specifically relating to historic heritage.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
002	Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions/Te whakamāramatanga - Interpretation/Definition ‘Earthworks within an archaeological site’	Oppose	<p>The Proposed Plan provides the National Planning Standard definition for ‘earthworks’, however this definition is incorrect when referring to archaeology because it is too narrow – in particular, earthworks in the NPS definition ‘excludes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts’, but the HNZPTA 2014 refers to any ‘activity that will or may modify or destroy’.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore requests the inclusion of a definition specifically for earthworks within an archaeological site.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the inclusion of a new definition: <b><u>‘Earthworks within an archaeological site: means the alteration or disturbance of land, including by moving, removing, placing, blading, cutting, contouring, filling or excavation of earth (or any matter constituting the land including soil, clay, sand and rock); and includes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts.’</u></b> <b><u>Note this is a departure from the NPS guidance as earthworks within an archaeological site is wider than the NPS definition.</u></b></p>
003	Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions/Te whakamāramatanga - Interpretation/Definition ‘Heritage Fabric’	Support	HNZPT promotes the inclusion of interiors when recording a property but accepts the Council’s approach of only including interiors where they are specifically mentioned in the heritage record in HH-SCHED2. We support clarification of this point in the definition of ‘heritage fabric’.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.

# APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
004	Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions/Te whakamāramatanga - Interpretation/Definition 'Heritage Setting'	Support	Confusion can often exist regarding the extent of the area or associated structures included within a heritage schedule. HNZPT therefore supports the detailed explanation and inclusions associated with the definition of 'heritage setting'.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
005	Part 1 – Introduction and General Provisions/Te whakamāramatanga - Interpretation/Definition 'Recording'	Oppose	<p>The recording of heritage fabric is discussed in the Historic Heritage chapter. We consider that many people may not understand what the recording of heritage fabric means or entails, and no definition is provided.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore requests a definition of 'recording' be included in Part 1 of the Proposed Plan.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the inclusion of a new definition: <b><u>'Recording: in relation to historic heritage means the capture of information about physical fabric and related aspects of a building or structure. It will generally involve the creation of drawn, written and photographic records, and may also include the collection of samples and loose artefacts. Recording should be both descriptive and analytical, encompassing interpretation of what is being recorded'.</u></b></p>
006	Part 2 – District Wide Matters/ Strategic directions/ SD - Rautaki ahunga – Strategic Directions	Part support	HNZPT supports SD-05 relating to Ngāi Tahu mana whenua/Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga. However, we note that the proposed Strategic directions do not include any objectives which promote the identification, recognition	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the inclusion of an objective to provide high level direction regarding the identification and</p>

# APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
006 cont.			and protection of places, landscapes, and features which are significant to Waimakariri's wider character and are significant to Waimakariri's wider character and cultural heritage.	recognition of places, landscapes, and features which are significant to Waimakariri's character, and cultural heritage, to ensure their protection for future generations.
007	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Energy, infrastructure and transport/Pūngao me te hanganga hāpori - Energy and infrastructure/ EI-P5	Support	HNZPT supports policy EI-P5(3 and 4) which seeks to ensure that the route or site of new, or major upgrades to existing, energy and infrastructure are outside of buildings, other structures and settings with heritage values, and archaeological sites. And where this is not practicable, techniques are put in place to minimise or mitigate effects.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
008	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Energy, infrastructure and transport /Pūngao me te hanganga hāpori - Energy and infrastructure/ EI-MD1	Support	HNZPT supports EI-MD1 which provides comprehensive matters of discretion when compliance is not achieved for rules within the Energy and infrastructure chapter.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
009	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Introduction	Part Support	HNZPT supports the inclusion of an advisory note regarding archaeology in the introduction of the Historic Heritage chapter. However, for clarity and ease of use for the reader, we request greater detail be provided.  Many people do not understand the definition of an archaeological site or understand that resource or building consents do not automatically allow the activities to occur	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the following amendment: 'Statutory responsibility is also held by HNZPT under the HNZPTA. It is unlawful to destroy, damage or modify an archaeological site regardless of whether the site is identified in the District Plan, identified elsewhere or not

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
009 cont.			<p>on such a site.</p> <p>The Proposed Plan's definition of an archaeological site refers the reader to the HNZPTA (which we agree is appropriate) but we consider it to be beneficial for greater clarification at the start of the Historic Heritage chapter.</p>	<p>recorded, without obtaining an archaeological authority from HNZPT.</p> <p><b><u>This is also the case regardless of whether the activity is permitted under the District Plan or a resource or building consent has been granted.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>An archaeological site is defined in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 as any place in New Zealand (including buildings, structures, or shipwrecks) that was associated with pre-1900 human activity, where there is evidence relating to the history of New Zealand that can be investigated using archaeological methods.</u></b></p>
010	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Introduction	Oppose	<p>When archaeological sites are referred to as 'site', the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition (i.e., area of land under a single title etc).</p> <p>This is not the correct definition of the word in relation to an archaeological site and is therefore misleading. This could be overcome by not using the abbreviation 'site', but rather always using the full term 'archaeological site'.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b></p> <p>HNZPT requests that in relation to archaeology, any reference to 'site' is removed and the full term 'archaeological site' is always used.</p>

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
011	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Introduction	Oppose	<p>When earthworks are referred to in the introduction to the Historic Heritage chapter, the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition, however this definition is incorrect when referring to archaeology because it is too narrow – in particular earthworks in the NPS definition ‘excludes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts’, but the HNZPTA 2014 refers to any ‘activity that will or may modify or destroy’.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore requests that reference to earthworks in the introduction be amended to ‘earthworks within an archaeological site’ (this relates to our submission point 002 requesting the inclusion of a new definition for ‘earthworks within an archaeological site’).</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the wording in the introduction (referencing the Earthworks chapter) be amended to: ‘Earthworks: addresses earthworks <b><u>within an archaeological site</u></b>, in relation to historic heritage and any heritage setting’.</p>
012	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Introduction	Oppose	<p>The introduction lists other District Plan chapters that contain provisions that may also be relevant to historic heritage.</p> <p>It states, ‘Any other District wide matter that may affect or relate to the site’ however the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition for ‘site’, which is incorrect in this context.</p> <p>In this instance we recommend replacing the word ‘site’ with the words ‘historic heritage’.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the wording in the introduction (referencing other chapters) be amended: ‘Any other District wide matter that may affect or relate to the <b><u>historic heritage</u></b>’.</p>



**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
013	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-P2	Support	HNZPT supports the criteria for the Category A ‘highly significant’ and Category B ‘significant’ categories detailed under proposed policy HH-P2	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
014	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-P4	Part Support	HNZPT supports the principle of this policy regarding archaeological sites, but it is unclear how you intend give effect to this policy.	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT suggests identifying how Council intends to assist HNZPT and Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga in managing activities that may modify, disturb, damage or destroy archaeological sites.
015	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-P5	Support	HNZPT supports policy P5 which seeks to manage the effects of subdivision, particularly point 4 which seeks to conserve, and where possible enhance, the authenticity and integrity of historic heritage and any heritage setting.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
016	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-P6	Support	HNZPT supports part 3 of policy P6 which aims to avoid the relocation of ‘Highly Significant’ historic heritage, listed in HH-SCHED1, beyond its existing site and/or any heritage setting.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
017	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Policies – general	Oppose	<p>The conservation of a heritage building is usually facilitated by the place serving a useful purpose and for this reason, HNZPT actively promotes adaptive reuse. Such development has potential to elongate the life of a heritage item, which may otherwise be unviable.</p> <p>Adaptive reuse is not promoted in the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>HNZPT would therefore welcome a proactive policy encouraging and enabling the adaptive re-use of scheduled heritage items.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the inclusion of a policy encouraging and enabling the use, development, and adaptive re-use of scheduled heritage items.</p>
018	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-R1	Part Support	<p>HNZPT supports this rule, which enables maintenance and repair works to be undertaken as a permitted activity. However, we consider that any area where heritage fabric has been removed should be made weather tight in all cases and therefore request the removal of the word 'or' at the end of section 2.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the removal of the word 'or' at the end of point 2:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. any heritage fabric removed is limited to the amount necessary to carry out the maintenance or repair;</li> <li>2. the area any heritage fabric has been removed from shall be made weather tight; <del>or</del></li> <li>3. the activity is undertaken to satisfy or better meet compliance with the Building Act 2004 and Building Code; and ...</li> </ol>

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
019	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-R2	Support	<p>HNZPT supports this rule which enables investigation and temporary works to be undertaken as a permitted activity. However, we consider that many people may not understand what the recording of heritage fabric (as mentioned in HH-R2 point 3) may mean or entail and as it is a permitted activity, the opportunity to provide greater detail before the work commences may not arise.</p> <p>We therefore request a definition of ‘recording’ be included in Part 1 (as detailed in submission point 005) and a link to the definition be made available from this rule.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the insertion of a link in HH-R2 to the definition for recording in relation to historic heritage.
020	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-R3	Part Support	HNZPT assumes that ‘addition to a building’ in a setting as mentioned in HH-R3 point 1, is not intended to mean an addition to the scheduled building itself – however we find the wording of this rule to be ambiguous.	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests amending the wording of this rule to avoid confusion.
021	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-R8	Support	HNZPT strongly supports the identification of relocation of ‘Highly Significant’ (Category A) historic heritage items beyond their site or heritage setting as a non-complying activity.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
022	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-R9	Support	HNZPT strongly supports the identification of demolition of ‘Highly Significant’ (Category A) historic heritage items as a non-complying activity.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
023	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Advice Notes HH-AN2	Part Support	<p>When earthworks are referred to in HH-AN2, the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition, however this definition is incorrect when referring to archaeology because it is too narrow – in particular earthworks in the NPS definition ‘excludes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts’, but the HNZPTA 2014 refers to any ‘activity that will or may modify or destroy’.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore requests that reference to earthworks in HH-AN2 be amended to ‘earthworks within an archaeological site’ (this relates to our submission point 002 requesting the inclusion of a new definition for ‘earthworks within an archaeological site’).</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the wording in HH-AN2 be amended to: ‘If any activity associated with a project, including earthworks <u>within an archaeological site</u>, modifications to any pre-1900 structure, or demolition of any pre-1900 building, may modify, damage or destroy an archaeological site(s), an archaeological authority from HNZPT must be obtained for the work to proceed lawfully’.</p>
024	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Advice Notes HH-AN4	Part Support	<p>a) When earthworks are referred to in HH-AN4, the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition, however this definition is incorrect when referring to archaeology because it is too narrow – for instance earthworks in the NPS definition ‘excludes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts’, but the HNZPTA 2014 refers to any ‘activity that will or may modify or destroy’.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore requests that reference to earthworks in HH-AN4 be amended to ‘earthworks within an archaeological site’ (this relates to our</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT request HH-AN4 be amended: ‘If an archaeological site is discovered, for example when conducting earthworks <u>within an archaeological site</u>, work that could affect the site <del>should</del> <b>must</b> be stopped and contact made with HNZPT for advice’.</p>

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
024 cont.			<p>submission point 002 requesting the inclusion of a new definition for 'earthworks within an archaeological site').</p> <p>b) If an archaeological site is discovered, you are legally required to stop all work and contact HNZPT for advice. We therefore request the wording of this advice note be strengthened by using 'must' instead of 'should'.</p>	
025	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Matters of Discretion HH-MD3	Support	HNZPT supports this matter of discretion, relating to maintenance and repair, temporary works and construction within a setting, which encourages and considers the level of consultation undertaken with HNZPT.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
026	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /Schedules HH-SCHED1	Support	HNZPT supports the significance assessment criteria used in determining the significance of Historic Heritage buildings, structures or items.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
027	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-SCHED2 – HH001	Part Support	SCHED2 incorrectly identifies item HH001 as having the HNZPT list # 3754. The actual HNZPT list # is 3677.	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT request the HNZPT list # be amended to 3677.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
028	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2 – HH022	Support	HNZPT supports the new inclusion of heritage item HH022, Former Kirk House, which is entered as a Category 1 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#7445) as Norman Kirk’s House (Former)	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
029	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-SCHED2 – HH023	Support	HNZPT supports the new inclusion of heritage item HH022, Waimakariri Gorge Bridge (part), which is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#1797)	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
030	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-SCHED2 – HH028	Support	HNZPT supports the new inclusion of heritage item HH028, Former Neeve farmhouse, which is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3739)	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
031	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-SCHED2 – HH041	Support	HNZPT supports the new inclusion of heritage item HH041, Former Morgan/Sims house, which is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3758)	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
032	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage /HH-SCHED2 – HH064	Support	HNZPT supports the new inclusion of heritage item HH064, Former Bank of New Zealand manager’s residence / Rangiora Museum, which is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3089)	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
033	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>Tisbury Cottage, located at 1842 Cust Road, Cust is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#5271). It is assessed as having historical, architectural, and archaeological significance or value.</p> <p>Tisbury Cottage is scheduled in the Operative District Plan but is not included in the Proposed SCHED2.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include Tisbury Cottage.</p>
034	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include 367 High Street, Rangiora.</p>

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
034 cont.			<p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>The house at 367 High Street, Rangiora is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3775). It is assessed as having historical and social significance or value.</p> <p>367 High Street, Rangiora is scheduled in the Operative District Plan, but not included in the Proposed SCHED2.</p>	
035	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>The house at 152 King Street, Rangiora is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include 152 King Street, Rangiora.



**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
035 cont.			Rārangi Kōrero (#3778). It is scheduled in the Operative District Plan, but not included in the Proposed SCHED2.	
036	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>The house at 16 Seddon Street, Rangiora is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero (#3781).</p> <p>16 Seddon Street, Rangiora is scheduled in the Operative District Plan, but not included in the Proposed SCHED2.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include 16 Seddon Street, Rangiora.
037	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include Doyle's Cob House, Loburn.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
037 cont.			<p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List/Rārangi Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>Doyle's Cob House at 33 Wallers Road, Loburn is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero (#1774).</p> <p>Doyle's Cob House is not included in the Proposed SCHED2.</p>	
038	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>Mairangi Homestead and Stables at Parsonage Rd, Woodend is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero (#3076).</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include Mairangi Homestead and Stables, Woodend.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
038 cont.			Mairangi Homestead and Stables is scheduled in the Operative District Plan, but not included in the Proposed SCHED2.	
039	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>The house at 38 Ashley Street, Rangiora is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3773).</p> <p>38 Ashley Street, Rangiora not included in the Proposed SCHED2.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include 38 Ashley Street, Rangiora.
040	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include Coldstream Orchard House.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
040 cont.			<p>heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p> <p>Coldstream Orchard House at 200 Coldstream Rd, Coldstream, Rangiora is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3792).</p> <p>Coldstream Orchard House is not included in the Proposed SCHED2.</p>	
041	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include the Ohoka Gate Keepers Lodge (Former).

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
041 cont.			<p>Ohoka Gate Keepers Lodge (Former) at 493 Mill Road and Whites Road, Ohoka is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero (#3817). It is assessed as having aesthetic, architectural, historical and social significance or value.</p> <p>In 2019 the List entry for Ohoka Lodge (Former), as it was previously known, was reviewed to allow for reassessment of values following relocation. As a result, the entry was renamed Ohoka Gate Keeper's Lodge (Former) and it remains on the List as a Category 2 historic place, in its new location at Ohoka Domain.</p> <p>Ohoka Gate Keepers Lodge (Former) is not included in the Proposed SCHED2. We strongly submit that this Listed Historic Place should be included in HH-SCHED2.</p>	
042	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/HH - Taonga o onamata - Historic heritage / HH-SCHED2	Oppose	<p>In achieving the purpose of the RMA, Council is required to recognise and provide for the protection of historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use and development (RMA s6(f)). SCHED2 provides the list of heritage items protected under the Proposed Plan.</p> <p>One of the purposes of the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangī Kōrero is as a source of information about historic places for the purpose of the RMA (HNZPTA s65(3)). HNZPT advocates for all Listed Historic Places to be included on HH-SCHED2.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that HH-SCHED2 be amended to include Pine Hill House.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
042 cont.			Pine Hill House at 211 Summerhill Road, Cust is entered as a Category 2 Historic Place on the New Zealand Heritage List Rārangi Kōrero (#5272). Pine Hill House is not included in the Proposed SCHED2.	
043	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/ SASM - Ngā whenua tapu o ngā iwi - Sites and areas of significance to Māori/Introduction	Oppose	<p>When wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga sites are referred to as 'site', the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition (i.e., area of land under a single title etc). This is not the correct definition of the word in relation to a wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga site and is therefore misleading.</p> <p>This could be overcome by not using the abbreviation 'site' when referring to wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga sites, but instead always use the full definition 'wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga site'.</p>	<b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests that in relation to wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga sites, any reference to 'site' is removed and the full term 'wāhi tapu/wāhi taonga site' is always used.
044	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/ SASM - Ngā whenua tapu o ngā iwi - Sites and areas of significance to Māori/ SASM-P4(3)	Support	HNZPT supports SASM-P4 point 3 which seeks to manage disturbance of soils through the implementation of a Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga/HNZPT authorised accidental discovery protocol. This will remove the possibility of potentially inconsistent or sub-standard ADPs.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
045	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Historic and cultural values/SASM - Sites and areas of significance to Māori/ SASM-P6	Support	HNZPT supports this policy which aims to protect identified and unmarked or unrecorded archaeological sites from modification, disturbance, damage and destruction.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.

**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
046	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Subdivision SUB - Wāwāhia whenua – Subdivision/ SUB-MCD2(8)	Support	HNZPT supports the consideration of the extent to which historic heritage items or wāhi taonga are protected and their values maintained in subdivision proposals.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
047	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Subdivision SUB - Wāwāhia whenua – Subdivision/ SUB-MCD8	Support	HNZPT supports the matters of discretion in subdivision proposals relating to archaeological sites.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
048	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ Subdivision SUB - Wāwāhia whenua – Subdivision/ SUB-MCD13	Support	HNZPT supports the matters of discretion in subdivision proposals relating to historic heritage.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
049	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ General District-wide matters/ EW - Ketuketū whenua – Earthworks/ Introduction	Oppose	<p>HNZPT would support the inclusion of an advisory note regarding archaeology in the introduction to the Earthworks chapter.</p> <p>Many people do not understand the definition of an archaeological site or understand that resource or building consents do not automatically allow the activities to occur on such a site.</p> <p>The Proposed Plan’s definition of an archaeological site refers the reader to the HNZPTA (which we agree is appropriate) but we consider it to be beneficial for this clarification to be provided at the start of the Earthworks chapter.</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the following addition: <b><u>‘Earthworks can affect archaeological sites. An archaeological site is defined in the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 as any place in New Zealand that was associated with pre-1900 human activity, where there is evidence relating to the history of New Zealand that can be investigated using archaeological methods.</u></b></p> <p><b><u>It is unlawful to destroy, damage or modify an archaeological site</u></b></p>

# APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
049 cont.				<u>regardless of whether the site is identified in the District Plan, identified elsewhere or not recorded, without obtaining an archaeological authority from HNZPT. This is also the case regardless of whether the activity is permitted under the District Plan or a resource or building consent has been granted’.</u>
050	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ General District-wide matters/ EW - Ketuketu whenua – Earthworks/ EW-P1	Support	HNZPT supports this policy which enables earthworks where it maintains the character and values associated with historic heritage.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
051	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ General District-wide matters/ EW - Ketuketu whenua – Earthworks/ EW-P3	Support	<p>HNZPT supports the methods proposed to avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on archaeological sites and sites and areas of cultural significance to Te Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga.</p> <p>However when earthworks are referred to in EW-P3, the automatic definition box provides the National Planning Standard definition. This definition is incorrect when referring to archaeology because it is too narrow – in particular, earthworks in the NPS definition ‘excludes gardening, cultivation, and disturbance of land for the installation of fence posts’, but the HNZPTA 2014 refers to</p>	<p><b>Amend:</b> HNZPT requests the wording in EW-P3 be amended to: ‘Earthworks <b><u>within an archaeological site</u></b> avoid, remedy or mitigate adverse effects on archaeological sites and sites and areas of significance to Māori, by having regard to...’</p>



**APPENDIX 1 - SUBMISSION OF HERITAGE NEW ZEALAND POUHERE TAONGA ON THE PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

	Provision to which submission relates	Support or Oppose	Reasons for submission	Decision sought from Council (Retain / Amend / Delete)
051 cont.			<p>any 'activity that will or may modify or destroy'.</p> <p>HNZPT therefore requests that reference to earthworks in EW-P3 be amended to 'earthworks within an archaeological site' (this relates to our submission point 002 requesting the inclusion of a new definition for 'earthworks within an archaeological site').</p>	
052	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ General District-wide matters/ EW - Ketuketū whenua – Earthworks/ EW-MD1	Support	HNZPT supports EW-MD1 which takes into account any effects on archaeological sites, heritage values or the heritage setting of the site or within the surrounding area.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
053	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ General District-wide matters/ SIGN - Ngā tohu – Signs/ SIGN-MD3	Support	Inappropriate signage can have an adverse impact on heritage items. HNZPT supports the consideration of historic heritage values in the matters of discretion relating to erection of any activity sign.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.
054	Part 2 – District-wide matters/ General District-wide matters/ TEMP - Ngā mahi taupua - Temporary activities/ TEMP-MD1	Support	HNZPT supports the consideration of adverse effects on historic heritage and sites of significance to Māori in the matters of discretion for temporary activities.	<b>Retain</b> as proposed.