

From: [Mike and Kathryn](#)
To: [Development Planning Mailbox](#)
Subject: Proposed District Plan Submission
Date: Friday, 26 November 2021 3:12:05 PM
Attachments: [0.png](#)
[District Plan submission 2021.odt](#)



Submission attached from
K [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Cawte
250 Easterbrook Rd
RD1
Kaiapoi 7691
mikekathryn@xtra.co.nz
[REDACTED]

I could not gain advantage in trade competition through this submission

I wish to speak in support of my submission.

Submission to District Plan – Kathryn Cawte
Page 1 of 2

RLZ-R2 Support in Part

a) 40m set back is not far enough from any neighbours residential unit if the forest or woodlot is planted on the North side of the neighbouring dwelling. Trees when grown (at this minimal setback) would have potential to significantly shade existing neighbours dwelling especially in winter.

RLZ-R5

1. Support this rule

RLZ-R8 Support in Part

When compliance not achieved - Discretionary

Good rules

HOWEVER with the Discretionary power given to the WDC Regulatory team we are back to the same old situations of these rules not being enforced or even taken into account especially for 2 - Hours, 3 – Traffic movements, and 5 – storage relating to the home business: experience has shown that the neighbours are left to police these type of rules/conditions (report and supply evidence to a regulatory team unwilling to act) and the enforcement of these type of rules has not been shown to be open and transparent.

RLZ-R10 Support in Part

1 - If this is 10m from road site boundary then it is fine – if it is also 10m to side site boundary (eg to neighbours) then it is too close

RLZ-R11 Support in Part

Much improved rules for Rural Industry but concerns with the same type of issues as RLZ-R8 - Where the Regulatory Team can use discretion (and ignore Objectives & Policies – which has happened previously) and give exemptions the rules become meaningless.

1 – how does the council propose to measure this?

2 – Will the regulatory team actually adhere to this or continue to implement their version of the District Plan?

6 - If this is 10m from road site boundary then it is fine – if it is also 10m to side site boundary (eg to neighbours) then it is too close

9 – 60m is far to close to have these things be allowed to set up next to a residential unit. 100M bare minimum

RLZ-R13 Support in Part

1a - If this is 10m from road site boundary then it is fine – if it is also 10m to side site boundary (eg to neighbours) then it is too close

RLZ-R15 Support in Part

4 – If this is 10m from road site boundary then it is fine – if it is also 10m to side site boundary (eg to neighbours) then it is too close

6 – 60m is too close!

RLZ-R16

Support

Submission to District Plan – Kathryn Cawte
Page 2 of 2

RLZ-R36 – Support in Part

Industrial activity

Do not agree that this should be Discretionary – this is how we end up with Petrol Stations in residential zones, and Industrial Industries scattered throughout the Waimakariri rural (and rural lifestyle) landscape

Should be limited to ZONED Industrial areas – no Discretion

RLZ-BFS4

Support