

**FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO,  
SUBMISSION ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED POLICY STATEMENT OR PLAN,  
CHANGE OR VARIATION**

*Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991*

**To** Waimakariri District Council (WDC)

Name of persons making further submission: **Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited (60) (RIDL)**

- 1 This is a further submission on submissions on the proposed Waimakariri District Plan Variation which WDC was required to notify under the Resource Management (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021 (the *EHS*) to incorporate the Medium Density Residential Standards (*MDRS*).
- 2 RIDL is a person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the public generally (in that their operations in the Waimakariri district are directly affected by the proposed plan review).
- 3 If others make a similar submission, RIDL will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.
- 4 RIDL wish to be heard in support of their further submission.
- 5 RIDL's further submissions are set out in **Annexure 1**.

**Signed** for and on behalf of **Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited** by its solicitors and authorised agents Chapman Tripp



---

Jo Appleyard  
Partner  
21 November 2022

Address for service of submitter:

**Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited**

c/- Jo Appleyard / Lucy Forrester

Chapman Tripp

5th Floor, PwC Centre

60 Cashel Street

PO Box 2510

Christchurch 8140

Email address: Jo.Appleyard@chapmantripp.com / Lucy.Forrester@chapmantripp.com

# **ANNEXURE 1: FURTHER SUBMISSIONS**

Submitter name	Submission no	Topic/ Provision	Decision requested by submitter	Support/ oppose	Reason for RIDL's support/oppose	Decision sought by RIDL
Kainga Ora - Homes and Communities	80	Whole of submission	Refer to original submission	Generally support	<p>RIDL supports the relief sought in this submission to the extent it is generally consistent with its position in its original submission on the Proposed Plan (submitter number 160 and 326).</p> <p>For the avoidance of doubt, RIDL does <u>not</u> support the submitter's relief seeking to remove the airport noise contours as a qualifying matter.</p>	Adopt to the extent consistent with RIDL's original submission on the Proposed Plan.