MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL HELD IN
THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA, ON TUESDAY
4 DECEMBER 2018, COMMENCING AT 1PM.

PRESENT:
Mayor D Ayers (Chair), Deputy Mayor K Felstead, Councillors N Atkinson, K Barnett
(departed during item 9.5 at 5.25pm), A Blackie, R Brine, W Doody, D Gordon, J Meyer, S
Stewart and P Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE:
J Palmer (Chief Executive), G Cleary (Manager, Utilities & Roading), C Sargison (Manager
Special Projects), K Simpson (3Waters Manager), C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager), B
Rice (Senior Transport Engineer), L Beckingsale (Policy Analyst), G Wilson (Building
WOF’s and Earthquake Prone Building Inspector), D Roxborough (Implementation Project
Manager – District Regeneration), D Ashby (Chair, CWMS Waimakariri Zone Committee)
and S Nichols (Governance Manager).

The meeting adjourned at 3.25pm and reconvened at 3.37pm.

1. APOLOGIES
Moved: Councillor Meyer Seconded: Councillor Gordon

An apology was received and sustained from Councillor Barnett for early departure
at 5.25pm.

CARRIED

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Councillor Brine, declared a conflict of interest as a serving Police Officer and took no
part in report items 9.1 and 9.4.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Mayor Ayers acknowledged the New Zealand Under 17 Football Representative Team
who recently competed at the World Cup in Uruguay and finished third. The team
included North Canterbury teens Gabi Rennie, Macey Fraser, Blair Currie and Jayda
Stewart.

LATE ITEM
Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

That the Council:
(a) Accept report 11.2 District Regeneration, Implementation Plan Publication
being received as a late agenda item to be considered later in the meeting.

CARRIED

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

4.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 16 October
2018
Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:
(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the circulated minutes of a meeting
of the Waimakariri District Council held on 16 October 2018.

CARRIED
4.2. **Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 6 November 2018**

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  
Seconded: Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the Council:

(b) **Confirms** as a true and correct record the circulated minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 6 November 2018.

**CARRIED**

4.3. **Minutes of the public excluded portion of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 6 November 2018**

*Matters were dealt with in the public excluded portion of the meeting.*

**MATTERS ARISING**

Nil.

5. **DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

5.1. **Michael Bate**

Michael Bate spoke to a PowerPoint presentation, showing different river and drain situations, commented on the need to do better for future generations, expressing his concern for indigenous fish and invertebrate species survival in relation to waterway health and herbicide spraying. M Bate asked the Council to ask Environment Canterbury to undertake greater efforts to improve the waterways.

Mayor Ayers enquired if M Bate believed if other chemicals were involved in the decline in waterway health. M Bate believed herbicides were doing a large portion of the damage. He believed that if fertiliser was a factor you would expect the plant life to be prolific and acknowledged the focus on nitrates.

Mayor Ayers enquired if M Bate had reviewed the draft ZIPA document. M Bate acknowledged reading the draft document and believed it contained some good ideas but there appeared to be no money to undertake any of the recommended work.

Councillor Blackie referred to one of the presentation slides and algae issues on rocks. M Bates advised the direction of the wind was a contributing factor.

Councillor Stewart asked staff to comment on the spray programme. Mayor Ayers advised that staff could provide that information separately.

Mayor Ayers acknowledged M Bate was drawing attention to a widespread issue and thanked him for the deputation.

5.2. **Julia and Anthony Holcroft**

Julia Holcroft shared her views in relation to Report item 9.1 on the agenda; Rangiora-Woodend Road, Gressons Road, Boys Road and Northbrook Road speed limit review consultation.

J Holcroft referred to the intersection known locally as the five cross roads, describing the dangers to road users, including cyclists, commented on traffic volumes, particularly in relation to the increasing population of the Ravenswood/Pegasus area and the MWH report; and options for improvements from three years ago. J Holcroft was concerned at the speed proposals and
sought for a right hand turning lane to be implemented, believing that whatever solutions are implemented they need to be for now and into the future. J Holcroft remarked on Gressons Road issues and incidents that she was aware of, suggesting using Harris Road and closing the end of Gressons Road. J Holcroft believed the proposed speed limits represent a small step in the right direction, be it in a palliative way and was of the view that a roundabout would be a more permanent solution.

Mayor Ayers enquired if J Holcroft had talked to residents of Harris Road regarding her suggestion of closing a portion of Gressons Road and using Harris Road. J Holcroft advised she had not spoken with residents.

Councillor Gordon was of the understanding that the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board had requested improvements and staff were working on potential solutions for the intersection. B Rice advised that funds for improvements were allocated in the Long Term Plan and staff were currently working on a design for a turning bay as well as holding discussions with MainPower for relocation of power poles. The timeframe for the first phase of work at the intersection was scheduled in the 2019-20 financial year.

Mayor Ayers stated that the solution is not easy and would await further information from engineers. He thanked J Holcroft for the deputation.

6. NOTICE OF MOTION

Councillor Paul Williams submitted a notice of motion pursuant to Standing Order 26.1 for the 4 December 2018 meeting of the Waimakariri District Council.

Moved: Councillor Williams  Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council resolves to:

(a) Approve Council staff investigating the options and legality to ban the sale and use of fireworks in the Waimakariri District.

(b) Approve Council staff, should such a ban be possible, investigating options on how approved operators/individuals could be approved/licenced.

(c) Requests that staff report back to the Council’s March 2019 meeting.

(d) Notes that should the reports indicate that the banning of fireworks is possible the Council would want any such bans in place as soon as it was possible.

(e) Further notes that the Council will be supportive of licensed fireworks displays by approved operators.

CARRIED

Against Councillor Doody

Councillor Williams explained the Notice of Motion (NoM) resulted from public feedback, acknowledging there is currently discussion occurring around the country on the issue and would like the opportunity for the Council to explore the matter further. Councillor Williams advised there was also a petition circulating in the community organised by Arthur Liddell which he understands would close at the end of January. Councillor Williams commented on examples of damage caused to property, people and animals, and the cost (both financial and social) associated with fireworks. Councillor Williams spoke of feedback he had received from the community in support of banning fireworks, including the SPCA. The NoM seeks for staff to investigate and report back on potential options including a possible bylaw.

Councillor Gordon stated he was supportive of an investigation into the legality of banning fireworks. He reflected on feedback received, acknowledging he personally enjoys public displays. Councillor Gordon reflected on rural living,
damage and distress caused to animals and noting that the SPCA was supportive of the proposal. Councillor Gordon acknowledged some feedback was that if the timing of fireworks was limited, it may be a little better to cope with the stress. Councillor Gordon acknowledged that whilst a bylaw may not fix the issues experienced it may assist, as would having any users licenced and a shorter usage period. Councillor Gordon provided examples of District events involving fireworks that people had been informed prior to when the event was occurring such as the Celebration Night held recently in Victoria Park. Councillor Gordon believed public displays could be held in a number of recreation areas within Waimakariri. He acknowledged lobbying central Government could be where energy needs to be spent, however, he stated locally the Council need to take a lead and not wait for the Government.

Councillor Brine enquired if Councillor Williams had approached the local Ministers of Parliament seeking a private members bill and ballot. Councillor Williams, advised he personally had not, but was aware of others asking for such action.

Mayor Ayers stated he had never purchased fireworks but did enjoy public displays. Mayor Ayers had observed the legislation related to Hazardous Substances and referred to regulations rather than legislation. Mayor Ayers remarked that his observation is that public views are changing and believe there is a time for the government to review and change the regulations, however, it does not prevent investigations into bylaws.

Councillor Brine agreed with many of the comments made, reflecting on potential examples that could occur such as The Warehouse not selling fireworks in the Waimakariri but they could be purchased in Christchurch and how you manage people bringing fireworks into the district. Councillor Brine stated he had emotional support for the intent and was supportive of staff investigating matters, however remarked that the bylaw may not be enforceable without additional government regulations.

Councillor Atkinson agreed with many comments, acknowledging WDC bylaws are different to neighbouring councils. He remarked that if this proposal did work it would potentially come down to resourcing and budget for enforcement, through the Police. Councillor Atkinson believed there needs to be pressure put on central government for change, and whilst not personally a supporter of bylaws, believed this action could assist in the process of sending a message to the government.

Councillor Barnett enquired what appeal processes could occur if any such bylaw was introduced. Staff commented that Parliament currently legislates the sale of fireworks and it would be a leap for any bylaw to override legislation.

Councillor Barnett addressed a question to Councillor Williams, referring to a newspaper comment that the Council supports public displays, and whether he is asking ratepayers to fund private functions such as Woodford Glenn. Councillor Barnett stated she felt nervous supporting the motion as she believed it was a waste of staff time, and referred to the Auckland City Council example. Councillor Barnett stated she was unable to see the positive effect in this district as people could purchase in neighbouring districts, however it may be different if Canterbury banned fireworks. Councillor Barnett stated she would vote against the motion, believing it to be window dressing. Councillor Barnett remarked that she would like to see the matter before the Annual Plan as there would be a cost involved in enforcement aspects; reflecting on the enforcement issues with the North Pegasus Bay Bylaw. Councillor Barnett commented that personally she would like to see a ban on smoking in the district for a variety of reasons but knows that legislation does not allow for such a matter, hence her earlier comments that she believes this proposal is wasting time, which would be better spent lobbying central government. Councillor Barnett acknowledged the public support for such a proposal.

Councillor Doody stated she was not in favour of the motion because of the issues of imposing on people and freedom of choice. Councillor Doody stated people need to take responsibility for their own actions and people can decide to purchase
fireworks or not. Councillor Doody remarked that she was not against staff looking into the matter further, however believed that until central government undertakes change in legislation and regulation, progressing the matter at a local level is a waste of time.

Councillor Williams stated he does not support any commercial display being funded by ratepayers. He commented on the positive aspects of the recent promotion night. He was aware that the Auckland City Council had not yet completed their investigation and would be watching with interest the outcomes. Councillor Williams acknowledged the bylaw could not be currently enforced, but believed that if it stopped 85% of activity, then that would be an improvement as he believed the public would self-police. He accepted it is not practical to stop the sale of fireworks in Waimakariri and acknowledged the need to lobby central government, however considered this action as a step in the right direction.

7. ADJOURNED BUSINESS
Nil.

8. REGENERATION REPORTS

8.1. Request for Funding to be Brought Forward for Raven Quay and Feldwick Stormwater Infrastructure Earthquake Repairs – K Simpson (3 Waters Manager), P Reed (Stormwater Discipline Lead Earthquake Recovery Programme) and G Boot (Senior Engineering Advisor)

K Simpson spoke to the report, highlighting key aspects of the projects.

Councillor Williams queried that if funding was brought forward, will it be completed on time, as he was concerned with the other projects not being completed in a timely manner, and the implications on the budgets, including carry-forwards. K Simpson commented on the timeframes outlined in the report, acknowledging there are resources required for getting the work completed, however the work is predominately being undertaken by the team involved in earthquake recovery.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 181119135752

(b) Approves $150,000 of the available budget of $276,000 for Town Centre/Raven Quay East Pipework project (100255.000.5124) currently set for the 2019/20 year to be brought forward to the current 2018/19 financial year to complete the Town Centre / Raven Quay Stormwater project prior to June 2019.

(c) Approves $50,000 of the available budget of $276,000 for Town Centre/Raven Quay East Pipework project (100255.000.5124) currently set for the 2019/20 year to be brought forward to the current 2018/19 financial year and allocated to Project 58 – Pines Beach Stormwater Improvements project.

(d) Notes that $76,000 ($276,000 - $200,000) of the budget for the Town Centre/ Raven Quay Stormwater project allocated in 2019/20 will no longer be required, and this will be addressed in the 2019/20 Annual Plan Budget process.

(e) Approves $91,000 of the Feldwick Catchment Reticulation capital works budget currently set for the 2019/20 year to be brought forward to the current 2018/19 financial year.
(f) **Notes** that this will allow stormwater infrastructure damaged by the 2010/11 earthquake to be reinstated quicker than previously planned in line with both Council and DPMC preferences to have all remaining earthquake recovery work completed as soon as possible.

(g) **Circulates** this report to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board and the Utilities & Roading Committee for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson stated he believed the report was self-explanatory.

8.2. **Kaiapoi Reservoir Strengthening – Request for Additional Budget – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)**

C Roxburgh outlined the key aspects of the report and provided background information. Staff acknowledged that now the detailed design work had been undertaken, a revised construction assessment informs staff that the budget requires further contribution as the level of work required had increased. Staff had given consideration to the overall spend of the budget and were reluctant to increase the overall budget. C Roxburgh provided examples of other programmed projects being underspent, however in this particular instance additional funding was being requested.

Councillor Williams enquired what would occur if the water levels were lowered and the project waited until more budget became available. Staff commented on the purposed design and the need to mitigate the risk of sloshing and that staff had already planned to operate at a lower water level during construction.

Councillor Williams asked if staff had investigated technology to stop the sloshing effect. Staff commented they were not aware of such technology and would investigate further.

Councillor Williams enquired if bringing this project forward, and potentially not finishing other projects, whether it would impede the overall project timing. C Roxburgh advised this project is not being undertaken with the in-house engineers, but outsourced, therefore did not believe it would affect internal workflows or projected timelines.

Councillor Barnett referred to the recommendation of the proposed deferral of the storage upgrade budget for the Cust headworks, and enquired if there were likely to be any water restrictions or capacity issues as a result of that deferral. Staff advised the deferral is not a result of the reservoir project, rather a note as part of the Annual Plan review as there are potentially alternative options to be investigated further for the Cust headworks, particularly with the potential impact of any UV related work being undertaken. C Roxburgh acknowledged there is a small risk of water shortages potentially occurring during the headworks upgrade, however staff do not yet have the full scope of the site.

Councillor Felstead enquired if plastic tanks were an option worth consideration. Staff advised that option was feasible for small quantities however, the volume that was involved with this project made plastic tank storage uneconomical.

Moved: Councillor Williams    Seconded: Councillor Barnett

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181119135684.

(b) **Notes** that there is an existing capital budget on the Kaiapoi scheme of $75,000 in 2018/19 and $300,000 in 2019/20 for the strengthening of the Kaiapoi water supply reservoirs at Darnley Square and Peraki Street.
(c) Notes that during detailed design it was confirmed that there is a need for this strengthening work, but that the existing budget is insufficient to complete the works, with a total recommended construction budget of $600,000.

(d) Notes that it was also identified that in order for the works to be completed in the lower demand time of year, physical works will need to commence in April 2019 which will require some construction budget in the current financial year as well as in the 2019/20 financial year (to allow an overall construction window of April – October 2019 for both sites).

(e) Allocates an additional $300,000 of capital budget to the Kaiapoi reservoir strengthening project for the 2018/19 financial year, noting that this will result in a total capital budget for the project of $375,000 in 2018/19 and $300,000 in 2019/20.

(f) Notes that this additional budget is forecast to increase the Kaiapoi water rate by approximately $5.7 per connection per year, effective from the 2020/21 financial year (after the project is capitalised).

(g) Notes that in order to balance Council’s overall expenditure efforts are being made to offset this increased expenditure by deferring other projects for the 2019/20 financial year where possible, through the 2019/20 Annual Plan. This includes deferral of the $80,000 storage upgrade budget for the Cust water headworks from 2019/20 to 2020/21, and reduction of the 2020/21 Rangiora UV upgrade budget by $100,000 following a more detailed cost estimate being produced.  

CARRIED

Councillor Williams was supportive of the motion based on safety aspects and cautioned staff to keep the costs down.

Councillor Barnett acknowledged the information and stated she was satisfied with responses to questions.

8.3. **Earthquake-Prone Buildings Legislation Hearing Panel recommendations identifying Priority Buildings/Routes – Hearing Panel Councillors A Blackie (Chair), W Doody and J Meyer**

L Beckingsale presented the report on behalf of the hearing panel, reflecting on changes to the Building Act and the process undertaken. It was advised that no submissions were received during the consultation and that ongoing conversations are occurring with affected property owners.

Councillor Gordon enquired how many Rangiora buildings were under work or programmed in the near future. Staff advised two buildings were progressing.

Mayor Ayers sought an explanation as to why the Rangiora buildings were not named, as the Kaiapoi buildings were named. Staff advised many of the buildings were under repair, and name suppression was not intentional, as the map shows where the buildings are situated. Staff also advised all building owners were aware of the status, this report and had had the process explained.

Councillor Stewart sought confirmation on a listing or register of buildings being listed on the Council website. Staff advised that the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) require information to be submitted yearly and placed on a national register. Staff explained what is listed on the website now and it will be updated to correspond with information on the national register.

Mayor Ayers enquired if it was true that buildings recognised under this Act have a shorter timeframe to comply. Staff confirmed that was correct and explained the regulations and required timeframes.
Councillor Gordon asked that of the two remaining buildings, if staff held any concern that they would not be repaired or demolished. Staff advised they had held discussions with one owner who is planning to repair the building in five to seven years’ time. Discussions with the second property owner were just commencing and that building is proposed to be part of a future renewal.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Doody

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181120136033

(b) **Adopts** the following as priority routes/buildings, that are then identified so the public can make informed decisions (as identified on the maps):

   (i) Williams Street, Kaiapoi (Old Bank of New Zealand Building)
   (ii) Walker Street, Kaiapoi (Kaiapoi Mill)
   (iii) High Street, Rangiora (approximately nine buildings)

(c) **Acknowledges** that no emergency routes have been identified for prioritisation, either through public consultation or staff recommendation.

(d) **Notes** that affected property owners and tenants will be advised of the outcome of the public consultation and the implications for their property(s).

**CARRIED**

Councillor Blackie advised the BNZ Kaiapoi was now wrapped in steel and if an earthquake occurs the building will fall inwards, thus being safe for the public. He commented briefly on the Kaiapoi Mill building.

Councillor Doody commented that it was an interesting process and had enjoyed being involved on the hearing panel, having appreciated the site visits and gaining a greater understanding of the work involved by staff on this issue.

Councillor Gordon endorsed comments made and complimented staff on the interactions with affected building owners, with the advice provided and mapping a way forward.

Mayor Ayers endorsed comments made and had been appreciative of a personal update.

9. **REPORTS**

9.1. **Rangiora-Woodend Road, Gressons Road, Boys Road and Northbrook Road Speed Limit Review Consultation – B Rice (Senior Transport Engineer)**

*R Brine, having declared a conflict of interest, left the room during discussion and debate of this item.*

B Rice spoke to the report and tabled key stakeholder responses that were missing from the report appendix. Staff advised feedback comments were generally supportive of the proposals, except by School Road with suggestions of 50kph rather than 60kph. The NZTA were not supportive of the 50kph, therefore staff were suggesting 60kph based on compliance levels, acknowledging it is subjective. B Rice spoke of trials, the changing environment and potential development from NZTA which could affect future plans. There was a risk that if changes occur now, they will have to be changed again at a later date.
Councillor Barnett reflected on three areas of different speed limits in close proximity and enquired why not change the speed to match Chinnerys, Woodend and School Roads, reflecting on Gressons Road and the example with the Rangiora Woodend Road. Staff spoke of similar environments and therefore it was logical for similar speed limits and believe the example of Chinnerys and School Road are different due to the environment.

Councillor Barnett remarked on how she believes the rural side of the Rangiora Woodend Road is dangerous for cyclists connecting onto the Rangiora Woodend Path from the cycleway, particularly as the road shoulder is in poor condition and enquired about undertaking improvements at the same time as a 50kph speed limit change. B Rice explained staff want to undertake the work, but not until it is known it would be permanent and therefore need to know what NZTA are doing with the State Highway rather than contracting the work twice.

Councillor Barnett enquired about making Rangiora Woodend Road 60kph from the State Highway and put flashing speed lights of 40kpm outside the school at appropriate times. Staff believe there would be difficulty in getting public agreement to increase the speed to 60kpm, particularly outside the school.

Moved: Councillor Meyer  Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 1811211136994.

(b) Approves the following changes to speed limits:

i. Rangiora Woodend Road, from the end of the existing 80km/h speed limit west of Smarts Road to 170m north west of Bob Robertson Drive, reduces to 80km/h;

ii. Rangiora Woodend Road, from 170m north west of Bob Robertson Drive to the existing 50km/h speed limit north west of School Road, reduces to 60km/h;

iii. Gressons Road reduces to 80km/h;

iv. Boys Road, from Rangiora Woodend Road to the existing 50km/h speed limit east of the railway line, reduces to 80km/h;

v. Northbrook Road, from Boys Road to the existing 50km/h speed limit east of Goodwin Street, reduces to 80km/h;

vi. Tuahiwi Road, from Boys Road to the existing 80km/h limit east of Boys Road, reduces to 80km/h;

vii. Harris Road reduces to 80 km/hr

(c) Notes that the Register of Speed Limits will be updated to include these changed speed limits;

(d) Notes that the Speed Limits Bylaw 2009 allows speed limits to be change by Council resolution following consultation as required by the Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits (2017);

(e) Notes that the submissions on this proposal have been distributed to the Woodend–Sefton, Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi, and Rangiora-Ashley Community Boards for their information;

(f) Notes that operating speeds will be surveyed on these road six months after implementation of the new speed limits;

(g) Notes that a copy of this report has been provided to the Runanga Liaison Committee.

(h) Circulates this report to all Community Boards.
Councillor Meyer appreciated the work done to this point and staff comments. He believes this is a step in the right direction, although reflected on the timing of NZTA work to all tie in together.

Councillor Gordon was supportive of the recommendations and the through process, including discussion with the Community Boards. Councillor Gordon understood views of the deputation earlier in the meeting discussing safety at the five cross roads areas and appreciated the work being a priority. He looked forward to receiving further information in due course.

**AMENDMENT**

Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Williams

**THAT** the Council:

(b) **Approves** the following changes to speed limits:

i. Rangiora Woodend Road, from the end of the existing 80km/h speed limit west of Smarts Road to 170m north west of Bob Robertson Drive, reduces to 80km/h;

ii. Rangiora Woodend Road, from 170m north west of Bob Robertson Drive to the existing 50km/h speed limit north west of School Road, reduces to 50km/h;

**CARRIED**

Councillor Barnett stated she wanted to test the meeting, based on previous consultation and the area in question being on the urban edge despite it being a rural area. Councillor Barnett did not believe in three different speed limits (50, 60 and 80kph) along the same road. Councillor Barnett stated it was important to link 50kph roads together before a higher speed limit area. Councillor Barnett commented on the Woodend School response and their eagerness for a 50kph speed limit, remarking on students travelling on the shoulder of the road linking with the Rangiora Woodend Path. Councillor Barnett stated she would like to see the speed limits consistent with the side roads and associated signage as she believed it was easier for residents to understand, and there are more cyclists in area, now the Rangiora Woodend Path is complete.

Councillor Williams agreed with comments and reflected on local feedback, believing this motion was one of common sense; reflecting on other examples in the district such as River Road and Golf Links Road.

**Substantive Motion**

Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Williams

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181121136994.

(b) **Approves** the following changes to speed limits:

i. Rangiora Woodend Road, from the end of the existing 80km/h speed limit west of Smarts Road to 170m north west of Bob Robertson Drive, reduces to 80km/h;

ii. Rangiora Woodend Road, from 170m north west of Bob Robertson Drive to the existing 50km/h speed limit north west of School Road, reduces to 50km/h;

iii. Gressons Road reduces to 80km/h;

iv. Boys Road, from Rangiora Woodend Road to the existing 50km/h speed limit east of the railway line, reduces to 80km/h;
v. Northbrook Road, from Boys Road to the existing 50km/h speed limit east of Goodwin Street, reduces to 80km/h;
vi. Tuahiwi Road, from Boys Road to the existing 80km/h limit east of Boys Road, reduces to 80km/h;
vii. Harris Road reduces to 80 km/hr

(c) Notes that the Register of Speed Limits will be updated to include these changed speed limits;
(d) Notes that the Speed Limits Bylaw 2009 allows speed limits to be change by Council resolution following consultation as required by the Land Transport Rule: Setting of Speed Limits (2017);
(e) Notes that the submissions on this proposal have been distributed to the Woodend–Sefton, Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi, and Rangiora-Ashley Community Boards for their information;
(f) Notes that operating speeds will be surveyed on these road six months after implementation of the new speed limits;
(g) Notes that a copy of this report has been provided to the Runanga Liaison Committee.
(h) Circulates this report to all Community Boards.

CARRIED
Division called by Mayor Ayers
For: Councillors Barnett, Atkinson, Gordon, Stewart, Williams and Mayor Ayers
Against: Councillors Felstead, Blackie, Doody and Meyer
Carried 6:4

9.2. Multi-Use Sports Facility Project Update – C Sargison (Manager Special Projects)

C Sargison spoke to the report and presented several slides of the current design. He described details of the improved layout plans which were displayed and the ongoing working relationship with the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust. Much work was being undertaken by the Steering Group to ensure the campus and facility would be fit for the future and expansion for other sport requirements in the years to come. It was advised that the anticipated timeline for the building being complete was October, followed by fit-out and official opening late November 2019.

C Sargison spoke of heating and ventilation, following a question from Councillor Williams, including passive heating and options. The sports hall could be three degrees cooler when people are inside than what the outside temperature is and only used on demand; similar to the Kaiapoi Library.

C Sargison provided an update on the sprinkler system as the concept design did not allow for sprinklers, but only a fire egress, however, following a meeting with the Fire Service engineers other factors have been highlighted and sprinklers would be installed.

C Sargison provided an update on the budget and use of the contingency budget for ventilation and sprinklers. The Council would continue to be kept informed on the project budget, however currently the project is on budget.

Councillor Stewart queried the building sustainability. Staff confirmed sustainability was at the forefront of the design including utilising natural light, hot water systems and roof water storage systems for watering garden areas or potentially a second hockey turf.

Councillor Brine queried the bund retention. Staff advised the bund remains until such a time as a second turf potentially eventuates and the bund currently
provides a tiered seating area. C Sargison outlined locations of bunding and future developments on the site.

Councillor Atkinson queried the possibility of Abley Consultants investigating cycle routes and transport options down East Belt.

Councillor Barnett enquired on the timing of the footpath upgrade programme. Staff advised that aspect was being worked through as there was the coordination of services to finalise however, the footpath was anticipated in being finished before the building fit-out phase.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181119135294

(b) **Approves** the site master plan and site master plan Stage 1 (Trim 181123137746 and 181123137750)

(c) **Notes** the updated interior floor layout and that further refinement is still to happen for the reception area which will impact on joinery design.

(d) **Notes** that the cost estimate based on the preliminary design is for a project cost of $27,850,000 for the sports facility.

(e) **Approves** the inclusion of mechanical cooling plant for the court hall for a cost of approximately $200,000 to be funded from the Project Contingency of $1,325,000.

(f) **Notes** that sprinkling of the complex, excluding the indoor courts, will be required for an estimated cost of $400,000 to be funded from the Project Contingency of $1,325,000.

(g) **Notes** that it is planned to have a report to the Council for 7 May 2019 to consider a construction tender award.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Stewart Abstained

Councillor Gordon spoke of the experienced people working on this project and the Trust input. He reflected on the level of work undertaken and ensuring it is a multi-use facility; being more than just for sporting activities. Councillor Gordon stated he was comfortable that there is capacity for spectators and participants alike. He remarked on the Annual Plan discussions with ancillary aspects such as footpaths, all coming together at the right time and would appreciate seeing a report on cycle/pedestrian safety and usage. While the Council will not know the final costings until the tenders come back, the project is on track at this point.

Councillor Felstead stated it was important for the Council to be kept updated regularly and advised the project was making good progress to date. Councillor Felstead stated that a key responsibility for the project control group was ensuring the project is kept within the approved budget.

The meeting adjourned at 3.25pm and recommenced at 3.37pm

9.3. **Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) – D Ashby (Chair, CWMS Waimakariri Zone Committee)**

Dave Ashby and Grant Edge (Deputy Chair) spoke to a presentation PowerPoint outlining the work undertaken to date and the way forward. It was reported that the Committee had held an additional 60 meetings over the past two years, as a mix of workshops and community meetings in relation to the ZIPA which represented a significant about of time and commitment by the Committee membership.
D Ashby advised that the report before the Council today would be presented to Ecan on 13 December for comment and then placed on the websites by 21 December. Public consultation would run through February and March leading onto the Plan Change 8 of the Canterbury Regional Draft Plan discussions in June 2019, before finalisation in 2022.

D Ashby outlined the purpose of the ZIPA which was to develop a package of freshwater management solutions which best deliver community outcomes (environmental, cultural, economic and social). In practical terms the document outlines community expectations, informs stakeholders, including Councils, how freshwater should be managed and provides a guide to the community on what actions they need to focus on.

D Ashby outlined changes to the ZIPA including making recommendations more directive to protect and enhance indigenous biodiversity, reducing nitrates, and managing surface water and ground water.

G Edge spoke on the 50 year plan, the statutory components and taking a holistic approach as it was a long term vision for environmental improvement. G Edge commented that there was general support for improving stream health, however there were concerns about costs and who pays, going forward. Next year it was anticipated that work related to the Cam River, Silverstream and the Taranaki Stream would progress.

Councillor Blackie referred to the documents reference to Runanga and ownership over fresh water, enquiring if the Council was being asked to endorse Runanga comments. J Palmer spoke on the relationship to lobby central government to resolve such aspects.

Councillor Blackie queried the minimum flow, particularly Courtney Stream. D Ashby explained how calculations currently work which ultimately means the allocation is reduced, as the starting point is already over-allocated, and commented on different information being taken into account. D Ashby commented on Waimakariri Irrigation Ltd (WIL) and using water as efficiently as possible, nitrate levels and improving good management practices for overall benefits to the environment.

Councillor Atkinson enquired where the science came from and river flows prior to eight years ago, and assumptions. D Ashby commented that the Committee had relied on Ecan for scientific advice and had been supplied with many reports. There was ongoing measuring and monitoring which is important, however people need to remember this is the start of the journey. G Meadows commented on associated hydrology and ecology reports which commented on river flows.

Councillor Atkinson queried why the Te Kohka o Tuhaitara Trust was not mentioned, particularly given the work they had submitted on and being an invested party. G Edge spoke of Runanga involvement and when the sub-catchment related work occurs, more discussion and involvement will occur with the Trust.

Councillor Doody referred to on-farm storage and enquired if farmers are interested in this. D Ashby commented that it depends on factors such as costs, reflecting on North Canterbury droughts often being three years out of every five years and the need for storage. The main storage option originally included in the ZIPA was deemed unpalatable. This document is what the Committee believe the community wants and hopefully captures the general views, acknowledging it is very difficult to please all parties.

Councillor Doody reflected on waste water (leakage) and options of piping water through the races. D Ashby spoke of the benefits of the open race system and acknowledged the need for storage, but cautioned that it comes at a cost.
Councillor Blackie enquired if lost water from stock races was recharging the aquifers. D Ashby confirmed it was, however it takes a long time to replenish aquifers.

Councillor Meyer queried the upper reaches of the Waimakariri River and how much of a challenge in that catchment area has an effect (and damage) to our area. D Ashby spoke of the governance of the Alpine Zone and the Committee believes it should have had more input into Waimakariri River, however, there were three other zone committees involved; the Waimakariri River did not fall within this Committee’s scope. In a supplementary question J Meyer enquired if this was deemed a hurdle. D Ashby confirmed it was as there is a reliance on the Waimakariri River and a need to be fully engaged with other zone committees when dealing with the Alpine Zone, as it could potentially limit our ability to protect our infrastructure and environment. G Edge spoke of climate change and the effects of this area.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 181115135055;
(b) Adopts the Waimakariri Water Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) as recommended by the Zone Committee;
(c) Notes Implementation of the ZIPA will be subject to later decisions in the light of budgetary considerations and that a report on options in this regard will be made to the Council’s budget meeting in January 2019;
(d) Thanks the Waimakariri Water Zone Committee for its efforts over several years to arrive at a comprehensive ZIPA.

AMENDMENT

Councillor Blackie requested that each recommendation section to be taken separately and amended recommendation (b)

Moved: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 181115135055;
(b) Adopts the Waimakariri Water Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) as recommended by the Zone Committee except the inclusion of the Ngai Tahu whakatoki;

A Division was called.
For: Mayor Ayers, Councillors Felstead, Barnett, Blackie, Doody, Brine and Gordon
Against: Councillors Atkinson, Meyer and Stewart
Abstain: Councillor Williams
Carried: 7:3 with one abstention

(c) Notes Implementation of the ZIPA will be subject to later decisions in the light of budgetary considerations and that a report on options in this regard will be made to the Council’s budget meeting in January 2019;

(d) Thanks the Waimakariri Water Zone Committee for its efforts over several years to arrive at a comprehensive ZIPA.
CARRIED

Councillor Gordon accepted the late change related to Ngai Tahu whakatoki based on advice received. He was supportive and acknowledged the work undertaken by the Committee, and the leadership of the Chairperson. Councillor Gordon reflected on the input from Councillor Felstead in the previous term and Councillor Stewart in the current term. Councillor Gordon expressed gratitude for clauses being included that provided recognition of support for hardship and/or mental health, and the need to work together. Councillor Gordon reflected on visiting a number of farms demonstrating best practice and observing the industry leading the response, but noted it does come at a significant cost to farmers for infrastructure.

Councillor Felstead acknowledged the huge amount of work undertaken by everyone involved and it was great to reach this point, but cautioned there is further to go yet. Councillor Felstead believed the test will be what steps Ecan take to turn the document into a Plan Change. He acknowledged the proposals will not please everyone.

Councillor Stewart acknowledged the huge effort of Zone Committee members over the past eight years however, Councillor Stewart stated that she would not be voting for the adoption and not supporting recommendation (b) because she believed it does not deliver for the environment and the first principals of the Water Strategy. Councillor Stewart understood that the Runanga have not endorsed this document, and that she shared their concern in the respect that it does not go far enough, soon enough in its recommendation. Councillor Stewart reflected on D Ashby’s earlier comments of balancing needs and desires between the farmers and 58,000 residents of this District, yet the document appears to be exclusive to farmers. Councillor Stewart reflected on the Silverstream hatchery, which were moving half their operations out of the district due to low river flow; and issues raised earlier in the meeting with a deputation from Michael Bate. Councillor Stewart expressed strong views in relation to water flows, timing of action and resourcing (Ecan) to enable to programme to move forward and the effects on river/water quality between now and full implementation. Councillor Stewart reflected on Runanga views and cultural practices and expressed views related to the potable water supply, the 3,500 small block holders in the District who do not have a secure water source, believing it has not been addressed adequately in the document. Councillor Stewart acknowledged the document was non-statutory and it was the subsequent Plan Change that was proposed to be stronger in expectation of delivery. Councillor Stewart also believed the mechanism for implementation and funding was absent from the document, which concerned her greatly. Councillor Stewart commented on the ‘fit for future’ aspect and was supportive of the overall strategy of the document.

Councillor Doody believed it is not just the farmers that are effected from this proposal but also the workers and contractors with economic spend in the district.

Mayor Ayers reflected on appointments to committees and advisory groups for the benefit of the community; eight years ago it was unlikely anyone had an idea of the workload required of this group; yet over time with various reports and regular feedback the size of the task became more obvious. Mayor Ayers acknowledged the change of people around the Committee over the years, the work undertaken and the members of the community that have shared views through the journey, acknowledging that livelihoods will be affected longer term. Mayor Ayers commented on surface water, the farming community and a change of management practices. Mayor Ayers stated that climate change is the ‘elephant in the room’ and will impact on the future; this is an evolving process, with changes in markets, demands on food and the type of food. Mayor Ayers commented on this being part of a journey, in which 10 years is a small frame as people have been in New Zealand for 800 years and particularly in the past 160 years. Any improvements over the next ten years will be
progress. In regard to the funding aspect Mayor Ayers acknowledged the Committee did not have powers to decide, as funding is the decision of the Councils. Ecan will need to give consideration across all of Canterbury and the 10 water zone proposals. Mayor Ayers sincerely thanked Committee Chair, Dave Ashby for all his work, particularly leading the Committee through the past two years.

Councillor Barnett stated she viewed this document and point in time as a starting point. Councillor Barnett acknowledged many years of degeneration occurring over generations and it will take more than 10 years to repair the environment. Councillor Barnett spoke of the importance of working with people and applauded the Committee for the work undertaken. Councillor Barnett posed a rhetorical question that if the Council do not vote today – where are we?

Councillor Brine reflected on the establishment of WIL and decisions made at that time. Councillor Brine was disappointed at comments against the large irrigation schemes, but was supportive of the efforts that had been undertaken with this document.

Councillor Atkinson stated he did not believe the recommendations went quick enough or far enough, however he would not vote against the motion because it was still progress. He reflected on changes that happened when the Canterbury earthquakes occurred and how businesses and people changed, and other economic influences that change business practices, commenting on the huge investment that Canterbury has in business, the environment, social and economic aspects. Councillor Atkinson spoke of impacts and consequences and the need for everyone, not just farmers, to take responsibility for the environment and the future.

Councillor Gordon, in his right of reply, expressed disappointment at the debate, given the work delegated to the Committee to arrive at today’s recommendations. Personally Councillor Gordon believed the Committee had been very thorough in its considerations and was trying to achieve the greatest benefit for the greater good of all however he acknowledged that not everyone will not be happy with the outcomes. Councillor Gordon reflected on conversations with stakeholders and listening to the community, who recognised a need for change and a desire to work together.

9.4. Adoption of the Local Alcohol Policy – L Beckingsale (Policy Analyst) and M Johnston (Environmental Services Manager)

R Brine, having declared a conflict of interest, left the room during discussion and debate of this item.

L Beckingsale outlined the process that had occurred to date, and advised that if the recommendation was approved, a Public Notice would be issued and the premises selling alcohol would be advised with an explanation of the process going forward.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification on what had changed to the policy other than the New Year hours. Staff explained the impact on the special licence provisions. It was advised the only other change related to public holidays, which was explained.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson    Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives report No. 181128139713.
(b) Adopts the Local Alcohol Policy (Trim No: 180409037871)
(c) Resolves to bring the Local Alcohol Policy into force on Monday 17 December 2018.

(d) Approves the Public Notice for publication in the Christchurch Press and the Northern Outlook on Wednesday 12 December and Saturday 15 December 2018.

(e) Notes that the Licensees will be individually advised, in writing, of the elements of the policy affecting their licences and the date any changes come into effect.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson stated he was pleased that no appeals had been received, which he believed reflected the public view that the process was being managed wisely.

Councillor Doody thanked staff and the Chair for the work undertaken, reflecting on the various stages to reach this point.

Mayor Ayers concurred with Councillor Doody’s comments.

9.5. Rating for Drinking Water Supply UV Disinfection – J Palmer (Chief Executive)

J Palmer spoke to the report and sought guidance from the Council in relation to inclusion of a changed rating policy proposal into the upcoming Annual Plan process. He placed a caveat on the appendices as having indicative rate movements, and acknowledged further work is being undertaken to finalise information. J Palmer advised the intent of any changes would move the incidences from urban to rural. Smaller rural supplies would see the greatest benefit from the proposal, however the decisions about providing equity lie with the Council. J Palmer spoke of the rationale behind the report, reflecting on outcomes from the Havelock North inquiry, which will not be known until later in 2019, and could potentially require the District to have some form of UV treatment programme in place, which staff estimate at $7.8m of capital being required for the related work. J Palmer commented on conversations already held including the Garrymere and Poyntz schemes and potable water supply; and reflected on the 3Waters Review that had occurred in 2017; and budget deferrals until 2022, based on complexities of change and government signals. Options for consideration were outlined in the report and it was advised a further report would be presented at the draft Annual Plan budget time.

Councillor Felstead queried the two different types of rating proposed being one uniformed charged or placing it into the water rates; what are the advantages/disadvantages of each? J Palmer advised that the staff preference was to incorporate the rate within the water supply account. The ability to have UV disinfection as a separate line item against every property as a targeted rate was transparent and J Palmer commented on advantages. Administratively it does have a consequence, acknowledging it is easier consumed in the overall rate, but staff will follow the direction of the Council. In a supplementary question Councillor Felstead asked if the option was to include it in the water rate could it still be transparent on the rate assessment informing what portion will be for UV. J Palmer advised it was difficult on the rate assessment line, but possible, however it would be easier to do in the rating policy.

Councillor Williams enquired how would this impact if the government scenario of having to control private wells came into play and will this lead on to UV treatment. J Palmer advised this is a charge to every property linked to the current Council reticulated supply. Currently private wells fall outside the Council responsibility, however over time, if the government changes to drinking water standards are imposed on private well owners it is possible that the Council may
feel a desire to take on private wells, such as occurred in Tuahiwi with connections to potable water supply, acknowledging the challenges.

Councillor Blackie referred to the urban graphs in the report, enquiring why does Rangiora rise and fall and yet Kaiapoi keep rising in the rate. J Palmer referred to the Kaiapoi graph as more indicative of anticipated change but it may also be linked with growth factors, however matters would be further explained with the report to be presented at budget time in January.

Councillor Stewart enquired why, as an option, are the staff are not recommending advanced consultation on the district wide supply rate, including potential UV treatment and other 3Waters rates. J Palmer advised the Council could recommence the wider 3Waters debate again, being aware of the timeframes and it would not be ready for the 2019/20 Annual Plan. It was advised that at best, if work commenced now, it could be ready for the 2021-31 Long Term Plan however consultation needs to be done a year in advance of such a change, ahead of the LTP and not in the same year. The current Council plan is for the proposal to start in 2022 which is past the next LTP frame. Mayor Ayers reflected on previous discussions and attempts for the Council all to agree on future timing and the complexities involved for the District.

Councillor Stewart queried the Mayoral comments. Mayor Ayers stated that the Council agreed not to consult. J Palmer provided clarity that for any consultation to occur the Council must have proposal that all members have agreed to. This Council is not at that current point.

Councillor Barnett departed at 5.25pm.

Moved: Mayor Ayers  
Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 181126138621
(b) Resolves to include a rating proposal into the Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan to aggregate the costs of UV disinfection treatment for water supplies into one account; and:
   i. Establishes a new uniform annual charge for each property connected to a Council water supply, or
   ii. Incorporates a share of the UV disinfection costs in each water supply account based on a standard per property charge, and.
   iii. Notes that staff will further refine the estimates for the Council’s consideration when it deliberates on the Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan,

A Division was called by Councillor Brine
For: Mayor Ayers, Councillors Felstead, Atkinson, Blackie, Doody, Brine, Gordon and Meyer
Against: Councillors Stewart and Williams
Carried: 10:2

Mayor Ayers reflected that the Council had made a decision in the LTP to plan for UV treatment. He stated his support for that view then and now, that WDC introduce UV disinfection into all our water supplies. Mayor Ayers acknowledged it is a new programme, which already has two supplies affected, currently being Mandeville and Waikuku. Mayor Ayers commented on the risks involved, even with chlorinated supplies and the climate in New Zealand in relation to drinking water standards and needing to be on top of the issues. Mayor Ayers stated this Council will meet the drinking water standards with whatever proposals come from the Government as it is about making the water safe for our communities; then the question of how we fund it will follow. Mayor
Ayers reflected on the difficulties to amalgamate schemes, the matter of fairness and equity and reminded members that there is already subsidisation across the district. Mayor Ayers stated the motion is asking the Council to put the question to the ratepayers in the Draft Annual Plan, advising of a new system, and being transparent. Mayor Ayers believed this was a test of democracy and how authorities treat minorities.

Councillor Gordon believed the proposal was a potentially creative solution and acknowledged the merits of further exploration and rate modelling. Councillor Gordon was supportive of public consultation and looked forward to receiving the feedback.

Councillor Atkinson stated he was supportive of the proposal going to consultation. He remarked on the high value property verse fix income properties and subsidies from one service to another.

Councillor Stewart remarked that if we all subsidise each other then she could not see why the change is not a district wide rate. Councillor Stewart reflected on the drainage rate across the whole district, and discussions with rural drainage groups. Councillor Stewart stated her interest in hearing from the community but was opposed to dealing with services in this manner, believing it needs a comprehensive look however, Councillor Stewart stated her faith that the community can understand a district wide rate. Councillor Stewart stated she could not see how the proposed rationale sits and therefore could not support the motion.

Councillor Brine stated public health and a healthy community is what he desires and expects and therefore was supportive of the proposal.

Mayor Ayers, in his right of reply, reminded members that more information would come before them for consideration and discussion during the January budget meetings, as this report was seeking authorisation for staff to include information into the Annual Plan.

9.6. Establish Waimakariri-Passchendaele Advisory Group – Mayor David Ayers

Mayor Ayers spoke to the report, providing background of the Trust and deed establishment.

Moved: Mayor Ayers Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180821094427
(b) Revokes the resolution of report no. 100128002501 which went to Council on 3 March 2010, establishing the Waimakariri Passchendaele Trust.
(c) Approves the establishment of a Waimakariri Passchendaele Advisory Group.
(d) Adopts the Terms of Reference (Trim 180821094503.)

CARRIED

Councillor Felstead was supportive of the motion and reflected on the way the group had been operating, which he did not envisage to be any different.

9.7. Register of Interests Policy – S Nichols (Governance Manager)

S Nichols took the report as read.
Councillor Gordon advised of one change.

Moved: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181115134591.

(b) **Reviews** the Register of Interests content, recording any amendments.

(c) **Notes** a Register of Interests will be republished in the February 2019 agenda and notes the Register of Interests is listed on the Council website.

(d) **Notes** the Register will be re-published in subsequent agendas and web page when an amendment has been notified and recorded.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Blackie stated his opinion remained unchanged and did not support his information being included in the Register.

10. HEALTH AND SAFETY


The report was taken as read.

Moved: Councillor Felstead  Seconded: Councillor Brine

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No 181119135719

(b) **Notes** that there are no significant Health and Safety issues at this time, and that WDC is, so far as is reasonably practicable, compliant with the PCBU duties of the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015.

**CARRIED**

11. MATTERS REFERRED FROM REGENERATION STEERING GROUP

11.1. **Regeneration Steering Group Continuation and Meeting Dates for 2019 – R Ramsay (Project Administrator – District Regeneration) and D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration)**

(referred to report no. 181024124235 from the Regeneration Steering Group meeting of 3 December 2018):

D Roxborough spoke to the report, providing an overview.

There were no questions from elected members.

Moved: Councillor Blackie  Seconded: Councillor Williams

**THAT** the Council

(a) **Receives** report no. 181024124235

(b) **Approves** the extension of the term of the current Regeneration Steering Group to the end of September 2019, with no other changes to the Terms of Reference.

(c) **Approves** the meeting schedule for 2019, commencing at 4pm, in the Ruataniwha Kaiapoi Civic Centre of the Kaiapoi Service Centre, with
meetings to be held on the first Monday of each month from February to September inclusive: being 4 February, 4 March, 1 April, 6 May, 10 June (2nd Monday, due to Queens Birthday weekend holiday), 1 July, 5 August, 2 September, 30 September (last meeting prior to Council elections).

CARRIED

Councillor Blackie commented on the discussion from the Regeneration meeting, reflecting on the workload, meeting timetable and membership.

Councillor Atkinson remarked that he believed the matters presented and considered at the Steering Group should now become matters for the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board to consider and decide under their delegations. He stated that currently every recommendation from the Regeneration Steering Group is referred to the Council for decision. Councillor Atkinson believed the Community Board was weakened with its involvement in matters such as the Kaiapoi Town Centre Plan, however he recognised that the Community Board were part of the Regeneration Steering Group.

Mayor Ayers responded to Councillor Atkinson’s comments, noting that approximately $18m was involved with the Regeneration budgets. He remarked that some funding had been identified for the development of the rugby league and softball clubs which were the only ones in the district. Mayor Ayers remarked that as such a district facility was being paid for by the district rate payers; it just so happened that the facilities were to be located in Kaiapoi. Mayor Ayers reflected on the Steering Group being a mix of advisory and elected members and the importance of decisions being considered by the Council as improvements were for the overall District benefit.

11.2. **District Regeneration – Implementation Plan Publication – D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration) and R Ramsay (Project Administrator – District Regeneration) and (referred to report no. 1181015119901 from the Regeneration Steering Group meeting of 5 November 2018):**

D Roxborough spoke to the report, seeking adoption of the initial draft of the Implementation Plan. He explained the requirement of the Council as part of the Recovery Plan with the strategic partners. It was explained that the document is the overarching document that sets out the ‘how’ of delivering the Recovery Plan and that it was considered a living document. Once approved the document would be sent to other parties and then placed on the Council website. D Roxborough commented briefly on the reporting component back to the Crown.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council

(a) **Receives** report No. 181015119901.

(b) **Approves** the initial draft Implementation Plan.

(c) **Notes** that the initial draft of the plan will be sent to Land Information New Zealand (LINZ), Runanga, Environment Canterbury and Te Kōhaka o Tūhaitara Trust (TKTT) for information and comment.

(d) **Notes** the plan will be made publicly available on the website, and at service centres and libraries, once any feedback from LINZ and Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust, Runanga and Environment Canterbury has been received.

(e) **Notes** the Implementation Plan will be a ‘living’ document and will be updated as the implementation programme progresses.
Carrried

Councillor Blackie stated that this is action that needs to be undertaken.

12. COMMITTEE/WORKING PARTY/JOINT COMMITTEE MINUTES FOR INFORMATION

12.1. Minutes of a meeting of the CWMS Waimakariri Water Zone Committee held on 8 October 2018
12.2. Minutes of a meeting of the District Planning and Regulation Committee held on 16 October 2018
12.3. Minutes of a meeting of the Utilities and Roading Committee held on 16 October 2018
12.4. Minutes of a meeting of the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party held on 23 October 2018
12.5. Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri Youth Council held on 30 October 2018
12.6. Minutes of a meeting of the Regeneration Steering Group held on 5 November 2018

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the information in items 12.1 to 12.6 be received.

Carried

13. COMMUNITY BOARD MINUTES FOR INFORMATION

13.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board held on 8 November 2018
13.2. Minutes of a meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board held on 12 November 2018

Moved: Councillor Doody  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the information in items 13.1 to 13.2 be received.

Carried

14. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

15. MAYOR’S DIARY

15.1. Mayor’s Diary 27 October – 26 November 2018

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report no. 181126138510

Carried

16. COUNCIL PORTFOLIO UPDATES

16.1. Iwi Relationships

Mayor Ayers advised that an informal meeting had been held with Arapata Reuben with regard to future consultation and potential governance
arrangements. Mayor Ayers advised a meeting with Te Maire Tau had also been held recently.

16.2. **Canterbury Water Management Strategy**

Councillor Stewart advised the last Water Zone Committee meeting for the year would be held on 10 December, followed by the tasks of budget consideration and implementation of projects.

Councillor Stewart reflected on the Water Zone Committee being a joint meeting between Ecan and WDC, and that she held concerns with the number of projects that Ecan had embraced as their own. Councillor Stewart commented on the presentation and delivery of information to the Committee, which she would like to see more structured, similar to the Council, as she believed it would better enable the community to understand the issues, discussion and outcomes.

16.3. **International Relationships**

Councillor Felstead advised a delegation from Enshi had visited the District the previous day, which included visiting two farms involving sheep and honey. It was advised that Enterprise North Canterbury had hosted the delegation.

16.4. **Regeneration (Kaiapoi)**

Councillor Blackie advised that work on the river/terrace area of the Kaiapoi River was progressing in time for opening at the River Carnival event to be held on 17 February. It was reported that the BMX and dog park projects were steadily progressing. Councillor Blackie also advised that Robyn Wallace had resigned from the Regeneration Steering Group as the Runanga representative and discussions were occurring for a replacement.

17. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

18. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

19. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED**

*Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987*

Moved: Mayor Ayers Seconded: Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Minutes/Report of:</th>
<th>General subject of each matter to be considered</th>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of the Utilities and Roading Cttee meeting of 16 October 2018</td>
<td>Receipt of Minutes</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19.2 Minutes of the public excluded portion of the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party meeting of 23 October 2018

Receipt of Minutes

Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7

Section 48(1)(a)

19.3 Report of Kitty Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

Contract 18/17 Kerbside Collections and 18/18 Facilities Operation Tender Evaluation and Contract Award Report

Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7

Section 48(1)(a)

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Reason for protection of interests</th>
<th>Ref NZS 9202:2003 Appendix A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.1 – 19.3</td>
<td>Protection of privacy of natural persons To carry out commercial activities without prejudice</td>
<td>A2(a) A2(b)ii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

CLOSED MEETING

The public excluded portion of the meeting occurred from 6.13pm to 6.18pm.

Resolution to resume in Open Meeting

Moved Mayor Ayers seconded Councillor Felstead

THAT open meeting resumes and that the resolutions of item 19.3 become public but the report and discussion remain public excluded as it contains commercially sensitive information.

CARRIED

19.3 Contract 18/17 Kerbside Collections and 18/18 Facilities Operation Tender Evaluation and Contract Award Report – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

Moved: Councillor Brine Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council

(a) Receives report No 181102129134

(b) Awards Contract 18/17 & 18/18 Combined Solid Waste Services to Waste Management NZ Ltd for a sum of $14,185,413.81.

(c) Notes that this project is funded from the Kerbside Collection and Disposal Accounts, that there is a total budget available of
$28,924,056 and that this budget includes CPI adjustments over the seven year contract term.

(d) Notes that in accordance with the Conditions of Tendering, all tenderers will be advised of the name and price of the successful tenderer, and the range and number of tenders received.

(e) Resolves that the recommendations in this report be made publically available but that the contents remain in Committee as it contains commercially sensitive information.

CARRIED

OPEN MEETING

20. NEXT MEETING
The next scheduled meeting of the Council is on Tuesday 29 January 2019 commencing at 9.00am.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 6.18pm.

CONFIRMED

__________________________________________
Chairperson

__________________________________________
Date