

To: **Chief Executive** 

Waimakariri District Council

Private Bag 1005 Rangiora 7400

Via email only: <a href="mailto:developmentplanning@wmk.govt.nz">developmentplanning@wmk.govt.nz</a>

Submitter: Z Energy Limited

PO Box 2091

WELLINGTON 6140

Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited

PO Box 1709 **AUCKLAND 1140** 

Hereafter referred to as the Fuel Companies

Address for service: **4Sight Consulting Limited** 

201 Victoria Street West

PO Box 911 310 Victoria St West Auckland 1142

Attention: Jarrod Dixon

Phone: 021 948 073

Email: jarrod.dixon@4sight.co.nz

**BP Oil New Zealand Limited** 

PO Box 99873

**AUCKLAND 1149** 

The Fuel Companies were a submitter (submitter number: 276) and their further submissions are as contained in the attached Table

- 1. The Fuel Companies' interest in the proposed plan is greater than the interest of the general public.
- 2. The Fuel Companies do wish to be heard in support of these further submissions.
- 3. If others make similar submissions, the Fuel Companies may be prepared to consider presenting a joint case with them at any hearing.

Signed on behalf of Z Energy Limited, BP Oil New Zealand Limited and Mobil Oil New Zealand Limited

Jarrod Dixon

Senior Planner

21 November 2022



## FURTHER SUBMISSIONS ON BEHALF OF THE FUEL COMPANIES ON SUBMISSIONS TO THE PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN

| Name of person/group<br>making original<br>submission | Original submission number | Provision   | Relief sought by submitter(s) (additions in underline, deletions in strike through)   | Position of further submitter(s) | Reason for position and relief sought  |
|---|----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Te whakamāramatanga –                                 | Interpretation             | – Definitions   |   |                                  |  |
| Main Power New<br>Zealand Limited                     | 249.8                      | Critical Infrastructure, Regionally Significant Infrastructure and Strategic Infrastructure | Replace the definitions of 'critical infrastructure', 'regionally significant infrastructure', and 'strategic infrastructure' with a new definition 'important infrastructure'.  Important Infrastructure Those necessary facilities, services, and installations which are critical or of significance to either New Zealand, Canterbury, or Waimakariri. This may include but are not limited to:       | Oppose                           | The S32 evaluation acknowledges the 'noticeable overlap' of the terms/activities listed in the three proposed definitions which is also acknowledged in the introduction section of EI – Energy and Infrastructure. As a result, the notified objectives, policies and rules of Chapter EI – Energy and Infrastructure have been intentionally drafted to avoid any potential confusion or conflict. |
|   |                            |   | a. Strategic transport network b. Christchurch International Airport c. Telecommunication networks d. National, regional and local electricity generation activities undertaken by a Electricity Operator as defined by the Electricity Act 1992 e. Electricity distribution networks f. Electricity transmission networks g. Public and community wastewater collection, treatment and disposal networks |                                  | The Fuel Companies support the three proposed definitions as notified noting that they are consistent with the definitions contained in the Canterbury Regional Policy Statement (CRPS).  Relief: Retain the definitions as notified   |

<sup>1</sup> Page 6 – Section 32 Report: Pūngao me te hanganga hapori/Energy and Infrastructure

| h. Public and community land drainage infrastructure i. Public and community stormwater infrastructure j. Public and community potable water and fire fighting supply systems infrastructure k. Public and community-scale irrigation and stockwater infrastructure k. Public and community-scale irrigation and stockwater infrastructure l. Gas storage and distribution infrastructure m. Bulk fuel supply infrastructure including terminals, and pipelines n. Ports including inland ports o. New Zealand Defence Force facilities p. Emergency Services facilities q. Public healthcare institutions  Christchurch International Airport Limited  Reverse Sensitivity  Amend definition of 'reverse sensitivity':  means the potential for the operation of an existing lawfully established activity to be compromised, constrained, or curtailed by the more recent establishment, intensification or alteration of another activity which may be sensitive to the actual, potential or perceived adverse environmental effects generated by an existing activity. | Support  | The Fuel Companies support the proposed amendment which acknowledges that reverse sensitivity effects can occur or be exacerbated from the intensification of existing activities (e.g. residential activities). |
|--|----------|--|
| CL – Whenua paitini – Contaminated Land  | Curanant | The proposed encountries to a list to  |
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and CL – Amend the introduction of the Contaminated Land Chapter:  Sites are identified as contaminated when land   | Support  | The proposed amendment seeks to narrow the focus of effects to those relating to 'human health' which better aligns with intent and rule framework of the NES:CS. The Fuel Companies support                     |
| Sites are identified as contaminated when land has a hazardous substance in or on it that may  |          |  |

|                                       |        |                       | have significant adverse effects on human health or the environment  |                 | environmental effects more appropriately managed through the regional framework.  |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and<br>Communities | 325.94 | CL-01                 | Amend CL-O1:  The subdivision, use and development of contaminated land does not have <u>significant</u> adverse effects on human health adversely affect people, property, and the environment.   | Support in part | The Fuel Companies support limiting the scope of effects to human health for reasons previously stated.  Notwithstanding, the Fuel Companies consider that CL-O1 should seek to 'manage' effects on human health rather than avoid significant adverse effects, the former being better aligned with intent of the NES:CS.  |
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and<br>Communities | 325.95 | CL-O2 (New objective) | Insert new objective:  CL-O2 Positive benefits from treatment and remediation of contaminated land  Remediation of contaminated land contributes to the health and wellbeing of communities, including increased availability of land for housing and business activities. | Neutral         | The proposed objective does not clearly align with the intent or rule framework of the NES:CS framework which seeks to manage effects on human health rather than recognise potential positive outcomes associated with remediation.  The Fuel Companies acknowledge that positive benefits can and should be encouraged through the remediation of contaminated land but consider that the proposed objective may create a tension between the two frameworks with more analysis needed under S32. |
| Canterbury Regional<br>Council        | 316.44 | CL-P1                 | Broaden scope of CL-P1 so consideration can also be given to sites not listed on the Listed Land Use Register but which are known to be contaminated   | Oppose          | The notified policy seeks to identify sites containing contaminated land by using the Listed Land Use Register (LLUR) 'and' coordinating with the Regional Council  |

|                                |        |              | or have had activities onsite warranting investigation.           |        | to enable the recording, and management, of contaminated land.  |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------------|---|--------|---|
|                                |        |              |   |        | The Fuel Companies consider that the notified policy provides clear direction and sufficient scope to utilise other methods of identifying and recording contaminated land that is not strictly restricted to the use of the LLUR.  |
|                                |        |              |   |        | In the absence of any specific relief proposed by the submitter, it is not possible to understand what a broadened scope will look like and any possible implications.  |
| Canterbury Regional<br>Council | 316.48 | Policy (new) | Add a policy to discourage the creation of new contaminated land. | Oppose | Relief: retain policy CL-P1 as notified.  The Fuel Companies acknowledge that the 'creation' of new contaminated land is not desirable but is unavoidable as accidents, including leaks, and spills infrequently occur on sites that use and store hazardous substances, despite best intentions and adherence to best practice industry regulations. |
|                                |        |              |   |        | The Fuel Companies oppose any new policy that discourages the creation of new contaminated land as it may inadvertently discourage the establishment, expansion or ongoing operation of HAIL activities that provide essential resources to the district but are  |

|                                       |            |            |   |                 | more susceptible to creating contaminated land compared to other activities.   |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and<br>Communities | 329.97     | CL-P2      | Amend CL-P2:  Require applications for subdivision, change of use or development of contaminated land, or potentially contaminated land, to include an investigation of investigate the risks and to remediate the contamination, or manage activities on contaminated land, to protect human health. the health of people and the environment. The remediation or mitigation works for contaminated land shall be undertaken in such a way to not pose further risk to human health of the environment than if remediation had not occurred. | Support in part | For reasons previously stated, the Fuel Companies support limiting the scope of effects, relevant at the policy level, to those associated with human health but consider the relief offered in the Fuel Companies' submission more appropriately reflects that remediation is just one option of managing effects of contaminated land which better aligns with the intent of the NES:CS. |
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and<br>Communities | 325.99     | CL-P4      | Amend CL-P4:  Avoid adverse effects on <u>human health</u> the health of people and the environment from the disposal of soil from contaminated land.   | Support in part | The Fuel Companies oppose CL-P4 as its intent is effectively captured by CL-P2 which seeks to apply good environmental practices to effectively manage risk and effects associated with contaminated land.  Notwithstanding and for reasons previously stated, the Fuel Companies support the proposed amendment.  |
| EW – Ketuketu whenua –                | Earthworks |            |   |                 |  |
| MainPower New<br>Zealand Limited      | 249.25     | Rule (new) | Insert new rule:  EW-RX Earthworks subject to a Building Consent  | Neutral         | The Fuel Companies do not oppose the proposed rule but seek clarity on the nature and scale of activities it seeks to  |

|  |                   |       | All Zones Activity status: PER  1. Earthworks that are or will be subject to a building consent.  Where:  a. It occurs within 2m of the outer edge of the exterior wall of the building.  Activity status when compliance not achieved: Refer to activity rules to determine activity status and matters of discretion. |         | permit and the associated level of effects. The proposed rule could potentially apply to occupiable buildings, retaining walls and any other building that meets the definition of a 'building <sup>2'</sup> under the proposed DP and which requires building consent. |
|--|-------------------|-------|---|---------|---|
| Kāinga Ora – Homes and Communities  Summerset Retirement | 325.140<br>207.20 | EW-S2 | Delete EW-S2  | Support | The Fuel Companies support the removal of standard EW-S2 as its inclusion is not clearly justified in the S32 evaluation with the main focus relating to setbacks from waterbodies and riparian areas.  |
| Villages (Rangiora) Ltd                                  |                   |       |   |         | from waterbodies and riparian areas rather than site boundaries.  |
| Ravenswood   | 347.15            |       |   |         | As an alternative and as per their  |
| Developments Limited                                     |                   |       |   |         | submission, the Fuel Companies consider   |
|  |                   |       |   |         | that a new rule for earthworks  |
|  |                   |       |   |         | associated with the operation,  |
|  |                   |       |   |         | maintenance, removal or replacement of  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> **Building** - means a temporary or permanent movable or immovable physical construction that is:

- a. partially or fully roofed; and
- b. is fixed or located on or in land;

but excludes any motorised vehicle or other mode of transport that could be moved under its own power

|                                |         |       |   |        | existing underground assets is appropriate where the ground profile is not permanently altered and where temporary effects can be suitably managed and mitigated.  |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------|---|--------|--|
| Canterbury Regional<br>Council | 316.158 | EW-R5 | Change the applicability of EW-R5 from the overland flow paths to the flood assessment overlays, amend the rule to capture all activities that have the potential to cause offsite effects, only permit activities where there will be no effects, and only require resource consent in situations where there will be effects. | Oppose | The focus of the S32 evaluation relates to the potential flooding effects generated by earthworks within overland flowpaths and does not extend to all earthworks within the flood assessment overlays (Urban Flood Assessment Overlay and Non-urban Flood Assessment Overlay).  The proposed change to broaden the rule's applicability to all earthworks in the flood assessment overlays would need to be justified through a robust S32 analysis to determine its appropriateness, particularly given the overlays apply to a significant portion of the district and would have implications for almost all earthworks activities.  The Fuel Companies consider that EW-R5 has been specifically included to only restrict earthworks in overland flow paths where it has been determined that earthworks and the associated effects in the flood assessment overlays can be appropriately enabled. |