
Submission on Waimakariri District Council - Proposed District Plan

Form 5 Submission on publically notified proposal for policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Waimakariri District Council - Development Planning Unit

Date received: 26/10/2021

Submission Reference Number #:20

This is a submission on the following proposed plan (the **proposal**): Waimakariri District Council - Proposed District Plan

Address for service:

F G Ducray

[REDACTED]

New Zealand

Email: ducrays@xtra.co.nz

I wish to be heard: No

I am willing to present a joint case: No

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition in making this submission?

- No

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that

(a) adversely affects the environment; and

(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition

- No

Submission points

Point 20.1

Section: RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone

Sub-section: RLZ - Rural Lifestyle Zone

Sentiment: Support

Submission:

It appears through emails and meetings in my area that there is a group planning to get submissions to get this area rezoned to

allow for subdivisions to take place. Forgetting about whether the area is viable or not for olives (I still have all of mine from the beginning), I bet that none of the people bought their places thinking it was going to be viable - they would have bought it for the rural setting like we did when it first started. I would like to support that you keep the rural zone as it is as subdivisions will create more traffic in the area and the roads are not made for this and nor are the services (internet, etc). The idea was to keep it as rural as possible.

Someone wants to make a quick buck - if they want to subdivide, they can go into town and live like that.

You have my full support to keep it as it is.

Relief sought

If you do listen to them to allow subdivisions i.e. no longer rural, then we need to upgrade things (roads, water system, internet access, etc) which will come at a big cost. I don't think they are thinking like this.