

MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA ON WEDNESDAY 10 AUGUST 2022 AT 7PM.

PRESENT

J Gerard (Chairperson), D Lundy (Deputy Chairperson), K Barnett, R Brine, M Clarke, M Fleming, J Goldsworthy, M Harris, S Lewis, J Ward and P Williams (Virtual).

IN ATTENDANCE

Mayor D Gordon

J Millward (Acting Chief Executive), D Young (Senior Engineering Advisor). T Stableford (Landscape Architect), T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader) and C Fowler-Jenkins (Governance Support Officer).

1. APOLOGIES

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: D Lundy

THAT an apology for absence be received and sustained from A Wells.

CARRIED

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts declared.

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1. Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 8 June 2022

Moved: J Goldsworthy

Seconded: M Fleming

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Confirms**, as a true and accurate record, the circulated Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting held on 8 June 2022.

CARRIED

3.2. Matters Arising

There were no matters arising.

4. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

4.1. Update on the Medical Hub and Respite Care – Dr Ojala (Virtually)

Dr Ojala spoke to the Board, noting that he was the Executive Director of Facilities and Infrastructure for Waitaha Canterbury and the West Coast under the new Health New Zealand structure. He explained that they had completed the demolition of the old medical facility in Rangiora and were now developing an integrated Health Facility Hub under a lease agreement. However, the site's development was progressing slower than anticipated, and they had perhaps underestimated the timeframes of the resource consent process. Dr Ojala advised that Waitaha Canterbury had worked with the Council on the consenting process so that the affected neighbours would be suitably consulted about the proposed changes to the facility. It was his understanding that most of that consultation process had now been concluded and the

resource consent process was proceeding, although there were several concerns that the Council had raised, such as the driveway access.

Dr Ojala noted that the designs for the facility were complete, and Waitaha Canterbury was liaising with third parties about providing a radiology facility and a pharmacy. The construction costs of the facility were quite substantial, however, Waitaha Canterbury remained firmly committed to the development of the facility. Once the resource consent process had been completed, Waitaha Canterbury would issue a tender for the facility's construction. The on-site works were anticipated to commence in April 2023, and the conclusion of that facility would be relatively early in 2024. Dr Ojala confirmed that the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board be kept updated on the progress of the development of the Health Facility Hub.

M Fleming noted that originally Rangiora Hospital was built so that the community would have access to respite care after surgeries. She, therefore, questioned if community members would be allowed to use vacant rooms at the facility to recuperate after being hospitalised. Dr Ojala commented that Waitaha Canterbury was currently grappling with a lack of qualified nursing staff, which meant they carefully had to consider the deployment of their limited resources. Hence, the provision of respite care was not considered at the Health Facility Hub, as the service could be more effectively provided at other Waitaha Canterbury facilities.

M Fleming also asked if specialised care would be provided through the Health Facility Hub. Dr Ojala noted that outpatient activities were certainly possible, and Waitaha Canterbury was investigating the different ways of delivering this care. They would ideally wish to have some specialised care at the facility, however, it would be depended on the demand for such activities.

In response to a question by D Lundy, Dr Ojala agreed that Waitaha Canterbury needed to advise the public about their plans for the Health Facility Hub and the envisioned timeframes.

M Harris thought that the community frustration came from the fact that the population in the Waimakariri District had grown substantially over time. Yet, no health care facilities were developed to meet the community's needs. Dr Ojala noted that Waitaha Canterbury aimed to make every dollar count in the health space and to ensure that communities were provided with the best health care possible.

J Ward noted that the Waimakariri District looked forward to progressing the Health Facility Hub, as it was much needed. People, particularly the ageing population, were frustrated by having to travel to Christchurch to access after-hours emergency services. She commented that many people who were unable to drive to Christchurch at night currently called St. Johns Ambulance. In contrast, they could easily drive to a Health Facility Hub in Rangiora. She, therefore, believed that having such a facility in the district would greatly assist in alleviating the pressure from St. Johns Ambulance Services. Dr Ojala advised that Waitaha Canterbury had a close working relationship with St. Johns Ambulance Services and depended on them to ensure that the community received fast and good care. However, providing after-hours emergency services at the Health Facility Hub would not be possible as the resource consent restricted the facility's operating hours to 10pm.

P Williams thanked Dr Ojala for his presentation, commenting that many people in the Waimakariri District were waiting for Health Facility Hub to be developed. He asked if Mobile Surgical Unit would still be operating after the development of the facility. Dr Ojala noted that the Mobile Surgical Unit was a much-specialised unit designed and built to be used for a wide range of day surgery, which was deployed in areas with the most need.

K Barnett sought clarity around the proposed operating hours of the Health Facility Hub Dr Ojala noted that he understood that in terms of the resource consent, the Council required the facility to be closed by 10pm.

5. **ADJOURNED BUSINESS**

Nil.

6. **REPORTS**

6.1. **Adoption of the Waimakariri District Walking and Cycling Network Plan and Infrastructure Prioritisation Programme – D Young (Senior Engineering Advisor) and A Mace-Cochrane (Graduate Engineer)**

D Young took the report as read and provided the Board with an overview of the recommendation. He noted that Council staff wanted to show both the Community Board and the broader community that the public feedback had been taken into account and was reflected in recommendations. He noted that the Council had set up a Walking and Cycling Reference Group to assist with this project, which was now essentially completed. However, Council staff believed there was merit in reinstating this Group, with a change in their Terms of Reference to assist in a number of other activities currently underway in relation to cycle ways. In conclusion, D Young gave a brief overview of possible funding sources being investigated to achieve outcomes in the future.

J Gerard enquired if the Walking and Cycling Reference Group would be reinstated after the upcoming Local Government elections. D Young noted that Council staff had not yet considered how the Reference Group would operate after the elections.

M Harris asked if the footpath to be constructed in Tuahiwi, at the cost of \$450,000, would be located in the village. D Young explained that the path would run from the five crossroads to Revells Road.

D Lundy expressed a concern that the Council were raising the community's expectation by adopting the Waimakariri District Walking and Cycling Network Plan. He requested clarity on the standard that the paths would be built to and if they would be built to such a high standard that only a limited number of kilometres per year would be built. D Young noted the Council was leaning towards staging some of the paths by putting down hard-packed gravel to be surfaced later with either chip or hot mix. However, during public consultation, several cyclists commented that the gravel surfaces may not be sufficiently attractive for cyclists.

K Barnett found the report very complicated, and she questioned if Council staff was confident the report's information was sufficient for the Board to adopt the prioritisation list. D Young acknowledged the complexity of the matter, however, he noted that the Board could make recommendations if they disagreed with the prioritisation list.

K Barnett enquired why priority one mainly focused on the area in and around Rangiora when the Council's Walking and Cycling Strategies had always highlighted the need to link Woodend and Kaiapoi to date. D Young explained that Council staff ranked the priorities by scoring them against a multi-criteria analysis. The Rangiora links scored particular high because of the challenges with Southbrook Road. Council staff did not believe that a Woodend and Kaiapoi link held the same urgent need for intervention.

S Lewis agreed with K Barnett that the matter was quite complex. She noted that Board members lived in different areas, so it was difficult for them to comment on the Network Plan as a whole. D Young believed that it was important that if the Board recommended the approval of the Walking and Cycling Network Plan, they list the amendments from what the Board had previously adopted.

D Lundy asked if the Council had considered intergenerational funding for this project. D Young commented that intergenerational equity was always tricky regarding which group of ratepayers were best placed to pay for a particular improvement. However, he noted that the vast majority of the paths on the map would not be funded for many years.

M Fleming questioned if a higher priority would be placed on developing paths around rural schools because children were the most vulnerable. D Young stated that the Board needed to recommend that these pathways be moved up the priority list.

M Clarke enquired if these cycleways would be used as shared paths. D Young noted that there were grade one, two and three paths. The grade one paths would be shared paths, whereas the grade three would just be painted lines along the road, which would not be shared.

P Williams sought clarity on why the Council was planning to install cycleways down Southbrook Road, which was the busiest road in Rangiora. He questioned if it would not be safer to encourage cyclists to use an alternative route. D Young explained that there was a difference between a cycle path intended to attract a wide range of users and allowing a safe space on the road for cyclists. The Council chose to do the latter down Southbrook Road because not allowing room for cyclists would be hazardous. However, the Council was also looking at installing a saver cycling path as an alternative to Southbrook Road.

P Williams noted that by painting the lines down Southbrook Road, the Council condoned people cycling along the busiest road in the Waimakariri District. D Young commented that the Council did not have a safer option yet, and likely some cyclists would always need to use Southbrook Road because of the location of some schools.

J Ward noted that the Rangiora to Fernside to Oxford link was under Option three. She asked if the Council intended to develop the cycleway to Oxford in the old railway reserve. D Young clarified that this link was under Option three to allow the Council to explore various options, which may include the old railway reserve.

D Lundy enquired if there were any challenges with existing infrastructure, such as culverts and bridges being unable to accommodate the proposed cycleways. D Young advised this was why the Council needed such an extensive plan because once the plan was approved, any future infrastructure built would be designed to cater for the approved cycleways.

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: R Brine

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 220628109399.
- (b) **Notes** that formal submissions from the Oxford-Ohoka and Woodend-Sefton Community Boards had been taken into account with the recommendations below.
- (c) **Notes** that any further feedback from the Board from this meeting would be included for the Council's consideration.

RECOMMENDS THAT the Council:

- (d) **Receives** Report No. 220628109399 (v2).
- (e) **Adopts** the recommended Walking and Cycling Network Plan (refer to Attachment i).
- (f) **Adopts** in principle the amended Walking and Cycling Network Plan prioritisation programme (refer to Attachment ii).
- (g) **Notes** the following additions were recommended to the draft Walking and Cycling Plan based on community and Community Board submissions:
 - a. **North Eyre Road** (between No. 10 Road and Earlys Road).
 - b. **North Eyre Road** (between Poyntzs Road and Tram Road).
 - c. **Two Chain Road** (between Pattersons Road and North Eyre Road).
 - d. **Pattersons Road** (between Two Chain Road and Wards Road).
 - e. **Wards Road** (between Makybe Drive and Pattersons Road).
 - f. **Whites Road** (between Mill Road, Ohoka, and Tram Road).
 - g. **Tram Road** (upgrade of level of service between Whites Road and Mandeville Town).
 - h. **Easterbrook Road** (from Cust River – bridge from Bradleys Road to Fernside Road).
 - i. **Fernside Road** (between Easterbrook Road and Townsend Road).
 - j. **Townsend Road** (upgrade of level of service between Fernside Road and the South Brook).
 - k. **Mill Road, Ohoka** (between Threlkelds Road and Christmas Road).
 - l. **Christmas Road** (between Mill Road, Ohoka, and Butchers Road).
 - m. **Butchers Road** (between Christmas Road and Ohoka Road).
 - n. **Bramleys Road** (between Tuahiwi Road and Lineside Road).
 - o. **Greens Road** (between Tuahiwi Road and Church Bush Road).
 - p. **Church Bush Road** (between Greens Road and Tuahiwi Road).
 - q. **Te Pouapatuki Road** (between Greens Road and Rangiora Woodend Road).
 - r. **State Highway One** (between Gressons Road and Pegasus Boulevard).
 - s. **Bridge Street** (between Reserve Road and the beach access).
 - t. **Domain Terrace** (between Park Terrace and the campground access).

- u. **Waikuku Beach Domain** (between Domain Terrace and Reserve Road).
 - v. **Cones Road** (between Dixons Road and Carrs Road).
 - w. **Carrs Road** (between Cones Road and Station Road).
 - x. **Station Road** (between Carrs Road and Loburn Whiterock Road).
 - y. **Hodgsons Road** (between Swamp Road and 110 Hodgsons Road).
 - z. **Loburn Whiterock Road** (upgrade level of service between Loburn Domain and Dixons Road).
- (h) **Recommends** that staff re-instate the Walking and Cycling Reference Group under new Terms of Reference, to review and consider the priorities annually and refer back to the Community Board for consideration. Which staff would draft and bring back to Council in a separate report.
- (i) **Notes** that there was a budget of \$490,000 within PJ101229.000.5135 for the 2022/23 financial year, of which, \$40,000 was allocated towards the design of walking and cycling infrastructure within the priority one group, with the remainder contributing to wayfinding and other signage, addressing existing deficiencies in the network, and beginning the priority one projects.
- (j) **Notes** that there was a budget of \$660,000 within PJ101229.000.5135 for the construction of walking and cycling infrastructure in the 2023/24 financial year.
- (k) **Notes** that the prioritisation programme associate with the Walking and Cycling Network Plan would follow and be considered as part of the next Annual Plan.
- (l) **Notes** that the Walking and Cycling Network Plan set a strategic framework, and would require further costing and prioritisation through the Long Term Plan process.
- (m) **Notes** that consultation for the two options in Kaiapoi, completing the Kaiapoi to Woodend link, would be consulted on during the design phase of the Sandhill Road portion.
- (n) **Notes** that 82% of survey respondents supported an increase of funding to deliver the Network Plan, and that staff would take a separate submission to the Long Term Plan to seek additional funding.
- (o) **Notes** that consideration of funding opportunities to advance the implementation of the plan was also being explored through the 'Better Off' funding stream, as part of the Three Waters Reform.
- (p) **Notes** that staff were exploring the opportunity to apply for funding through the Climate Emergency Response Fund, which may be used to for additional modal change projects, or to fund cycle ways that qualify under this additional fund.
- (q) **Notes** that the plan and prioritisation of routes would be reviewed internally (in conjunction with Community Boards and the Council) every three years and publicly consulted upon every six years.

CARRIED

K Barnett commented that it was evident through the feedback received from the public consultation that people were keen on having a walking and cycling network in the Board's area. However, what was not clear was the correct ranking of the public's priorities and the most value for money in terms of what could be achieved in the proposed cycling network. In her view, which many rural residents shared, removing children from walking or cycling on dangerous high-speed roads should be a priority. Hence the need to link routes connecting towns such as Woodend and Kaiapoi.

K Barnett commented that while it was difficult to travel along Southbrook Road, it was still a 50km/h road, with a generally low-speed environment. Cyclists travelling through Southbrook were therefore at less risk than cyclists travelling along an 80km/h or 100km/h road. She thought it was important that the Walking and Cycling Reference Group had some power to amend some priorities. However, she did not favour the prioritisation as it stood without further discussion.

R Brine thanked staff for this very complex report, noting that the situation would have been different six years ago, and the circumstances would again have changed in six years' time. He, therefore, believed that the Council should focus on developing safer roads. He cycled down Southbrook Road daily as it was the fastest route, and the road would always be a significant route that should be made as safe as possible for all users.

P Williams noted that he was initially not going to support the motion, however, he endorsed safer roads and keeping children off the roads. He attended some Cycling and Walking Network Drop-in Sessions where the communities unanimously requested safer links between Woodend to Kaiapoi and the Woodend to Pegasus. However, he did have some reservations about the Council spending money on cycleways when the district's existing road infrastructure was not well maintained.

D Lundy supported the motion but believed there was still a lot of work to be done regarding priorities and safety. He noted that the Council needed to be honest with communities and explain that developing some of the paths in the Network Plan would be far in the future. D Lundy also thought the Council should be receptive if a school wish to install a cycleway using outside funding. He noted that schools may accept a slightly lower standard path as long as children were safely off the roads.

J Gerard also supported the motion, commenting that delivering this programme in a timeframe that suited many potential users would be difficult. It was going to take years rather than months to develop the network. He commented that he had cycled a lot in Canada, where many cycleways shingle or fine gravel, and he thought there was room outside of the urban area to look at that type of surfacing.

J Ward concurred with the previous speakers, particularly about the safety around the rural schools and roads. She noted that Sefton should be included in the network plan because children were walking to Sefton School.

In her right of reply, K Barnett commented that community initiatives may be an option to investigate to try and stretch this budget further.

6.2. **Townsend Road Reserve, Consultation summary and Master Plan – Tori Stableford (Landscape Architect)**

T Stableford took the report as read, highlighting that approval was sought for the Townsend Road Reserve Concept Plan. She explained that feedback received during the public consultation process was primarily positive. However, some residents on Goldie Drive, which was adjacent to the reserve, raised concerns about having public toilets within the reserve and also mentioned that they were unsure about the half basketball court. These concerns resulted in the amendment of the concept plan regarding the location and access of the public toilets, the access to the reserve as a whole, the creation of a small cycle path around the play space and the replacement of the half basketball court with a small pump track or BMX track. T Stableford confirmed that Council staff had since met with the small group of residents concerned about the toilets and were happy with the changes and the concept plan.

J Goldsworthy questioned if the Council had considered child-proof fencing around the playground in the reserve. T Stableford noted the Council had received several requests for fencing through the public consultation. It was in the Council's level of service agreement to install a fence on the edge of the reserve. However, due to its large size, it was not feasible to fence the whole reserve. Therefore, Council staff moved the playground back from Townsend Road to mitigate the risk of children running onto the road. The Council would also install a post and wire fence on the edge of the reserve near the playground.

J Goldsworthy sought more information on the proposed garden. T Stableford explained that it would be a sensory garden. Council staff had tried to cater for all ages, so there was an area with rambling paths and different types of planting and seasonal planting. In addition, council staff had attempted to create zones within areas that would be more textural and included a low wall where older people could relax. Central to the play area was a natural art table, and the idea was to enable children to go into the sensory garden, pick bits and pieces, and take them back to the table to create artwork.

In response to a question from K Barnett, T Stableford noted that it was agreed to replace the half basketball court as Te Matauru School had court facilities available day and night.

M Fleming noted that she had suggested a nest swing and was happy that it had been included. She asked what weight the swings took. T Stableford was unsure about the weight allowance but noted that three or four children could easily fit in a nest swing. In addition, they were quite good for children with disabilities.

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: J Goldsworthy

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 220729129469.
- (b) **Notes** that there is currently \$450,000 allocated to the development of Townsend Road Reserve, from the Land Development – Neighbourhood budget.
- (c) **Notes** the implementation of the Townsend Road Reserve Development would be undertaken this financial year.
- (d) **Approves** the implementation of the Townsend Road Reserve Master Plan (Trim 220729129469).

CARRIED

J Gerard noted that he had attended both the meetings on site with G Stephens and T Stableford. He commended the Council staff for picking up all the issues raised and developing a solution that satisfied everyone.

D Lundy echoed the comments made by J Gerard. He commented that the consultation was very well thought about. There were people who disagreed, however, Council staff were able to develop an outcome that was acceptable to all parties.

6.3. **Rangiora-Ashley Community Board's 2022/23 Discretionary Grant Fund and 2022/23 General Landscaping Fund – Kay Rabe (Governance Advisor)**

T Kunkel spoke to the report noting it was a report that was annually presented to the Board to advise them of the funding that had been allocated for the Board's General Landscaping Budget and the discretionary grant fund.

Moved: J Goldsworthy

Seconded: R Brine

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 220622106325.
- (b) **Notes** that the Board's General Landscaping Budget allocated by the Council for 2022/23 was \$26,190 with a carry forward for 2021/22 still to be determined due to outstanding invoices, being processed.
- (c) **Notes** that the Board's Discretionary Grant Funding allocated by the Council for 2022/23 is \$10,160 and that an amount of \$9,061 was carried forward from the 2021/22 budget, thereby bringing the Discretionary Grant Fund to a total of \$19,221 for current financial year.
- (d) **Approves** the Board's 2022/23 Discretionary Grant Fund Application Criteria and Application Form (Trim No. 210603089776).
- (e) **Approves** the Board's 2022/23 Discretionary Grant Accountability Form (Trim No. 21060308921).
- (f) **Approves** that Discretionary Grant Fund applications be considered at each meeting during the 2022/23 financial year (July 2022 to June 2023).

CARRIED

7. CORRESPONDENCE

7.1. **Annual Plan Response Letter (Trim 220114003526)**

7.2. **Letter from Daryl Fane regarding Shoplifting (Trim 220802131420)**

Moved: D Lundy

Seconded: K Barnett

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the Items 7.1 and 7.2.

CARRIED

8. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

8.1. Chair's Diary for June and July 2022

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: D Lundy

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** reports No. 220701112202 and 220729129399.

CARRIED

9. MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

- 9.1. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 8 June 2022
- 9.2. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 13 June 2022
- 9.3. Kaiapoi-Tuahivi Community Board Meeting Minutes 20 June 2022
- 9.4. Health Safety and Wellbeing Report June 2022 – Report to Council Meeting 7 June 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.5. May 2021, December 2021 and February 2022 Flood Events – Service Requests Update – Report to Utilities and Roding Committee Meeting 21 June 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.6. Avian Botulism Management 2021-22 – Report to Utilities and Roding Committee Meeting 21 June 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.7. Draft Annual Plan Consultation Decisions – Report to Council 28 June 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.8. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 6 July 2022.
- 9.9. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 11 July 2022.
- 9.10. Kaiapoi-Tuahivi Community Board Meeting Minutes 18 July 2022.
- 9.11. Waimakariri District Climate Change Scenario Technical Report – Report to Council Meeting 5 July 2022 – circulates to all Boards.
- 9.12. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report July 2022 – Report to Council Meeting 5 July 2022 – circulates to all Boards.
- 9.13. Elected Member Remuneration 2022/23 – report to Council Meeting 12 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.14. Enterprise North Canterbury Approved Statement of Intent beginning 1 July 2022; Updated Enterprise North Canterbury Business Plan and Budget 2022/23; and Promotion of Waimakariri District Plan – Report to Audit and Risk Committee Meeting 19 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.15. Annual Report and audited accounts for Enterprise North Canterbury for the year end 30 June 2021 – Report to Audit and Risk Committee Meeting 19 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.16. Annual Report to Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust for the year ended 30 June 2021 – Report to Audit and Risk Committee Meeting 19 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.17. Proposed Roding Capital Works Programme for 2022/23 – Report to Utilities and Roding Committee Meeting 19 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.

- 9.18. Wastewater Treatment Plant Compliance Reporting – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 19 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.19. May 2021, December 2021 and February 2022 Flood Events – Service Requests Update – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 19 July 2022 – Circulates to all Boards.

Moved: D Lundy

Seconded: J Ward

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the information in Items 9.1 to 9.19.

CARRIED

10. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

P Williams

- Corde was going out trying to repair some of the pot holes which had been exaggerated by the recent flooding.
- Flooding – the sewer ponds around the district had breached its banks in Rangiora, it was treated.
- Canterbury Aero Club – they would like to move to the Rangiora Airfield, long term would be good for Waimakariri.

J Ward

- Airfield Advisory Group progressing plans for that – trip to the Canterbury Aero Club.
- Waimakariri Access Group Meetings.
- BNZ Divestment Group Meetings.
- Audit and Risk Committee Meeting.
- Three Waters Submission.
- Economic Strategy Workshop – Really productive.

D Lundy

- All Boards Briefing.
- Central Drainage Meeting – productive meeting.
- Water Liaison Committee – Biodiversity and the impacts on the district.

K Barnett

- Flooding – surprised there were areas we had seen that had not flooded before near Oxford Road and Fernside near the airport. Causing significant issues for farmers.
- Urban Intensification people could build up to three stories in all of Rangiora that was zoned residential – going to be a very difficult issue because it was not Council that was promoting it but they had to put it in the District Plan.
- Cust Community Network Meeting Annual General Meeting.

M Clarke

- Central Drainage Advisory Group Meeting.
- Abbyfields Meeting – going to build a communal living building which was suitable for people on low incomes.
- Ashley Flooding – three new houses being built that had been consented by the Council and were sitting in the middle of a huge lake, Council staff had been over there several times but they had not worked out how to get around it yet.

J Goldsworthy

- Storywalk in Northbrook Waters – good turnout.

M Fleming

- Storywalk Northbrook Waters,
- Matariki celebration at the Kaiapoi Food Forest.
- Time bank Waimakariri Annual General Meeting.
- Flooding Oxford Supermarket.

S Lewis

- Meeting with Heather Warrick, Chief Executive of Enterprise North Canterbury – Wheels to Waipara now going to be Pegasus Bay Cycle Trail.
- Stepping down at the end of the term.

M Harris

- Assisting with discussions regarding flooding issues on Mt Thomas Road property.
- Three story housing is going to be a disaster for Rangiora.
- Disgusted at the shoplifting letter.

R Brine

- Landfill Committee – equalization allowance which was first set in 2004. Ashburton pay more to Kate Valley than Waimakariri so we pay Ashburton to make sure it was all fair. They introduced a per capita basis.
- Waste – Covid and illness still causing issues. Had an issue with the weighbridge which had now been fixed.
- Pools and libraries under pressure due to illness.

11. CONSULTATION PROJECTS

Nil.

12. BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

12.1. Board Discretionary Grant

Balance as at 31 July 2022: \$9,047.

12.2. General Landscaping Fund

Carryover from 2020/21: \$1,580.

Allocation for 2021/22: \$25,430.

Balance as at 31 July 2022: \$27,010.

The Board noted the funding update.

13. MEDIA ITEMS

Nil.

14. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

15. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil.

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board is scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 14 September 2022.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS THE MEETING CLOSED AT 8:47pm.

CONFIRMED



Jim Gerard
Chairperson

14/9/22
Date