Present:

In attendance:
J Palmer (Chief Executive), J Millward (Manager, Finance & Business Support), G Cleary (Utilities & Roading Manager), K Simpson (3Waters Manager), L Hurley (Technical Administrator), O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager), K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager), M McEvedy (Canterbury Museum Trust Board Chair), A Wright (Canterbury Museum Director), and N Tecofsky (Canterbury Museum Finance and Services Manager) and S Nichols (Governance Manager).

1. APOLOGIES
Nil.

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Mayor Ayers declared a conflict of interest in relation to Report 8.1 due to being a member of the Museum Trust Board.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Mayor Ayers acknowledged emergency services and hospital staff as a result of the Christchurch terror attack. The event has directly affected members of our Waimakariri community and staff losing loved ones on 15 March 2019. Mayor Ayers reflected on the traumatic time for our district and Canterbury.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES
4.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 5 March 2019

Moved: Councillor Barnett  Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the circulated minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Tuesday 5 March 2019.

CARRIED

4.2. Minutes of the public excluded portion of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 5 March 2019

The matter was dealt with in the public excluded portion of the meeting.

MATTERS ARISING
Councillor Williams, queried bylaw information anticipated for this meeting, however it was advised that due to resourcing the matter is proposed to be presented to the May Council meeting.
5. **DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

5.1. David Morgan, representing the Morgan Group, presented viewpoints on development contributions related to in-fill housing developments.

Mr Morgan tabled a copy of his submission and letter submitted to staff at an earlier date. (Trim 190502062434). He commented on development contributions and rates, believing that they are set unreasonably high for in-fill housing and reduces an otherwise commercially viable development for affordable housing in the community, particularly in areas that are already well established with reserves and roading. Mr Morgan commented on in-fill housing having a low impact environmentally in relation to requiring no additional expense to the Council in aspects of infrastructure connections and limited loading on assets of roading, footpaths and reserves.

Mr Morgan spoke of in-fill housing offering a balance of new buyers into existing and established communities and the social benefits of such a development. He was of the belief that the Council should encourage in-fill housing for social, economic and environmental benefits.

Mr Morgan suggested that the development contribution rate should be 50 per cent of the current structure. He compared a 500m² section in Northbrook with development costs to a current Morgan Group development in West Belt.

Councillor Atkinson enquired how the 50% calculation was suggested. Mr Morgan compared other districts such as Horowhenua, Selwyn and outlining Canterbury areas similar to Rangiora, being with easy commuter transport to a major centre.

Councillor Gordon, asked the Chief Executive if Mr Morgan’s comments would be worth submitting and considering as part of the upcoming Annual Plan. J Palmer commented on resource consents on a particular lot and the process required. He also advised that Mr Morgan had raised matters through a deputation that are worthy of consideration by the Council, particularly incentives and viability of in-fill housing, acknowledging there are matters that could be considered through the Annual Plan process. It was advised that development contributions are subject to the current draft Annual Plan consultation, and the Council could acknowledge today’s information as a submission to the Annual Plan. Mr Morgan commented he was satisfied with the submission suggestion.

Councillor Brine referred to paragraph 12 in Mr Morgan’s tabled submission, querying if the comparison was equal (ie apples with apples), acknowledging it may be part of the process. Mr Morgan commented on consideration of similar sized sections rather than expanding resources of the Council.

Mayor Ayers advised that a report regarding a Resource Consent for the Morgan Group’s current development is a separate process and the Council will consider other aspects raised today through the Annual Plan deliberations.

6. **ADJOURNED BUSINESS**

Nil.

7. **REGENERATION REPORTS**

Nil.

8. **REPORTS**

Mayor Ayers suggested considering report 8.1 after the Canterbury Museum deputation later in the meeting. This report was then considered at the end of the
meeting at 4.57pm, at which time Mayor Ayers left the room, having declared a conflict of interest.

8.1. **Canterbury Museum Trust Board’s draft Annual Plan for the year ending 30 June 2020 – J Millward (Manager Finance and Business Support)**

Deputy Mayor K Felstead assumed the Chair.

Michael McEvedy, Canterbury Museum Trust Board Chair, Anthony Wright, Canterbury Museum Director and Nigel Tecofsky, Canterbury Museum Finance and Services Manager were present during the report.

M McEvedy thanked the Council for receiving information and consideration of matters that occurred earlier in the meeting (during the public excluded portion of the Council meeting). M McEvedy expressed appreciation for enabling such a frank discussion about the future development of the Canterbury Museum and he stated that the Museum wished to ensure good outcomes and have respect for the funding source.

J Millward presented the report, referring to the legislative references in para 7.2 of the report, noting the contributing Councils. Three contributing authorities were required to agree to effect any change in funding/rating towards the Canterbury Museum. It was advised that there were two opportunities to put the proposals in the public arena through the Annual Plan next year and the Long Term Plan the following year.

J Millward spoke of the need to prioritise capital expenditure, and his recommendation to the Chief Executive to reduce capital works in year two of the Annual Plan by a further 15%, which stemmed from his ongoing concern at the upcoming Standard and Poor’s rating and potential effects to the Council.

Councillor Atkinson enquired how many projects that are worth in excess of $1.75m, are not consulted through the Annual Plan or Long Term Plan processes. J Millward advised approximately 20 projects, primarily infrastructure projects, receive increased budgets throughout the year, acknowledging that the Museum funding was a little different from the normal Council projects.

Councillor Stewart enquired if this project was considered different because it is funding an external organisation and the increased costs are a result of the Christchurch City Council reducing their financial contribution. J Millward reflected that the Canterbury Museum Board believe the Robert McDougall building is a part of the overall concept and therefore the funding ratio should be the same over the whole project, acknowledging that the project has changed from the original proposal.

Councillor Williams asked if the Council had received clarity, through independent legal advice on whether the Council has to fund the project or not. J Millward commented on the Canterbury Museum Trust Board 1993 Act, which speaks of operations, capital and development and obligations of the local authorities to contribute to the Museum. To staff knowledge the aspect of the building improvements proposed has not been tested, however believe that it may be likely that a legal opinion would support that view.

Councillor Barnett asked if staff believe this report is wrong in the way it is presented, and sought clarity that the Council is not discussing paying additional funds for the Robert McDougall building but an increase in funding for the Canterbury Museum. J Millward clarified the report was discussing funding under the same mythology as previously, noting that information had always been presented with the Robert McDougall building included within the Museum redevelopment. The difference now is that the project costs had increased and Christchurch City Council had advised the Museum that they were not paying for any additional funding toward the Robert McDougall building.
Councillor Felstead sought clarification on the staff recommendation. Staff advised that the Canterbury Museum were seeking an agreement in principle, noting that the funding would not be provided for another three years and the capital project had already been delayed four-five years. Staff could, at the direction of the Council, ask the other affected Council’s, being Selwyn and Hurunui District’s what their thoughts are, and if they oppose the Museum proposal then a joint submission of all three Councils could be presented. The Council could also seek legal advice. Staff outlined a previous occurrence when Council’s objected to a Museum proposal in 2011 and prior. It was acknowledged that consideration for the affected eleven local authorities to seek a review of the Canterbury Museum Trust Board Act would be a valid request, and that may occur after this matter is finalised.

Councillor Atkinson commented that the Museum Chair had indicated future consideration for both the Canterbury Museum Trust Board Act and the McDougall Trust Estate Act 1913 to be reviewed together. Councillor Atkinson enquired when the most appropriate time was for the Council to lodge any objection, and if the Acts were to remain, what then becomes the cost. Staff referred to the report and advised that the Museum had indicated likely costs to be in the vicinity of 10-11% increases over the next six years on operating costs. The capital costs had been provided in the projection sheets within the report.

Councillor Gordon enquired if the Council could debate the submission content after this report was considered. Staff advised the Chief Executive and Deputy Mayor would formulate the submission and circulate to the Council in advance of the timeframe, pending any further information.

Councillor Gordon suggested a recommendation wording change.

Councillor Atkinson enquired if it was appropriate it undertake a placeholder submission now, as the Council would not be providing funding for the project for another two years, which enabled community consultation. J Millward outlined a formal objection process, which had occurred with Ashburton District Council several years ago. He reiterated options available to the Council, with the first stage being to agree, or not, in principle to the proposal and/or consider matters through the Annual Plan, noting a caveat in the submission to defer the decision until next year to enable the Council to consult with the community.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Meyer

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No 190322038521.

(b) Receives the draft Canterbury Museum Annual Plan for 2019/20.

(c) Delegates to the Deputy Mayor and Chief Executive to circulate a proposed submission to the Canterbury Museum which would be confirmed via a Council workshop prior to 24 April 2019.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon believed a good discussion had occurred, acknowledging that everyone was concerned at the increased costs proposed and had gained a better understanding of the Canterbury Museum views. Councillor Gordon expressed concerned at the Christchurch City Council not taking their share of responsibility. He commented on the legislative requirements, however was cautious at spending funds on legal opinions at this stage when staff were able to seek additional information. Councillor Gordon believed the cost implications should be part of future Annual Plan considerations with the opportunity to consult with the community. Councillor Gordon reflected on concerns related to the Council’s future credit rating with Standard and Poor’s and appreciated the opportunity to discuss the detail of a submission at a future workshop.
Councillor Meyer reflected on previous issues with the Canterbury Museum Act and the tussle to move forward and support the Museum. Councillor Meyer remarked that members must remember the rate effect in three years’ time with the current rate of $3.90 increasing to $8 per property, cautioning that the matter needs to be given careful consideration when wording the submission.

Councillor Atkinson stated he was accepting of the motion, but expressed disappointment at the additional cost of $1.75m being presented by the Museum at today’s meeting. Councillor Atkinson expressed a desire to research legal opinion, believing there was an arguable aspect in relation to the building linkages. Councillor Atkinson expressed concern at Christchurch City Council withdrawing full funding due to affordability and the need to spread ratepayers funding, and posed the question; why should Waimakariri not consider the same response.

Councillor Stewart remarked she would be interested in the views of both Selwyn and Hurunui District Councils. Councillor Stewart accepted the motion, remarking that the Museum proposal was fundamentally different from what has been presented in the past. Councillor Stewart posed the question of why should this Council be responsible for the Robert McDougall building and/or link owned by another entity (being Christchurch City Council), and would welcome further clarification.

Councillor Williams was supportive of the questions being asked and the current motion. Councillor Williams reflected on hearing conflicting information from presenters and was concerned at the large monetary amount involved. He believed there were many unanswered questions that required a legal response and the Council was not at the stage of having all the information to make a balanced decision.

Councillor Barnett agreed with many of the comments made, reiterating that the report purpose related to the long term life of the Canterbury Museum and reflected on the functionality of the Robert McDougall building. Councillor Barnett remarked that while the Council was supportive of the Museum, it was a matter of how the campus enhancement is paid for that is the main issue. Councillor Barnett expressed disappointed with central government and the tourism sector for lack of financial support, remarking on the importance for all the Canterbury Councils to stand together as they all experienced earthquake related debt. Councillor Barnett stated she wanted to support the Museum, however would need more information over the next year. Councillor Barnett remarked that the Council was committed to the capital rebuild project, but not committed to the scale of the rebuild. Councillor Barnett rhetorically queried if there are other options available as the other Canterbury Councils cannot afford the additional Museum costs alongside their own capital programmes. She remarked that this Council had already cut capital projects and reassessed affordability as they were conscious of the need to be careful with ratepayer funding. Councillor Barnett was supportive of the current motion.

Councillor Doody posed the question to members related to the need to consider District history being stored in a safe and secure site which the Robert McDougall project enables that to occur, which is an aspect that should not be lost sight of.

Councillor Brine expressed surprise at the way information had been delivered by the Museum, however he accepted the way the ‘ducks lined up’ and believed the Museum should have better anticipated the Council feedback. Councillor Brine agreed with Councillor Doody’s comment related to preserving the District artefacts and history. Councillor Brine reflected on the initial proposal to enhance the Canterbury Museum with a $100m budget that had grown to the current $190m, as a result of the project being placed on hold and momentum being lost due to a range of factors, including several earthquakes.
8.2. **ANZAC Day Services 2019 – S Nichols (Governance Manager)**

The report was taken as read.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No 190218018037.

(b) **Appoints** Mayor Ayers and Councillors Blackie, Gordon, Meyer, Atkinson and Williams to attend the Kaiapoi RSA Dawn Parade at 6.30am Thursday 25 April 2019 and lay a wreath.

(c) **Appoints** Mayor Ayers and Councillors Stewart, Atkinson, Gordon and Meyer attend the Kaiapoi Anzac Day parade at 10am Thursday 25 April 2019 and lay a wreath.

(d) **Appoints** Mayor Ayers and Councillors Barnett, Brine, Atkinson, Gordon and Williams to attend the Rangiora Anzac Day parade at 11.30am on Thursday 25 April 2019 and lay a wreath.

(e) **Appoints** Councillor Barnett and Brine to attend the RSA service at the Rangiora High School at 9.30am on Thursday 25 April 2019 and lay a wreath. Noting that the wreath is laid in conjunction with a Rangiora-Ashley Community Board member.

(f) **Appoints** Councillor Felstead to attend the Oxford Anzac Day parade on Thursday 25 April 2019 at 9.30am and lay a wreath.

(g) **Appoints** Councillor Doody to attend the Cust and West Eyreton Anzac Day parade held at the Cust Community Centre on Thursday 25 April 2019 at 10am and lay a wreath at Cust.

(h) **Appoints** Councillor Doody to attend and lay a wreath at the West Eyreton wreath laying service at 11am (following the Cust Parade).

(i) **Appoints** Councillor Williams to attend the Fernside Anzac Day parade on Thursday 25 April 2019 at 10am and lay a wreath. Noting that the wreath is laid in conjunction with a Rangiora-Ashley Community Board member.

(j) **Appoints** Mayor Ayers and Councillors Gordon, Stewart, Atkinson, Williams Barnett and Blackie to attend the Tuahiwi Anzac Day parade Thursday 25 April 2019 at 2pm on and lay a wreath.

(k) **Appoints** Mayor Ayers and Councillor Doody and Felstead to attend the 11am Ohoka Anzac Day service on Wednesday **24 April 2019** at Ohoka Hall, Mill Road and lay a wreath.

(l) **Appoints Mayor Ayers and** Councillor Gordon, Meyer and Williams to attend the Sefton Anzac service on Wednesday **24 April 2019** at 6pm and lay a wreath.

(m) **Appoints** Councillors Barnett, Blackie, Stewart and Atkinson to attend the Woodend Anzac service on Wednesday **24 April 2019** at 6pm and lay a wreath.

(n) **Notes** Mayor Ayers will lay a wreath at both the Kaiapoi and Rangiora Services on behalf of the people of Zonnebeke, Belgium.
(c) **Notes** the Community Boards will be represented and lay wreaths at Kaiapoi, Rangiora, Oxford, West Eyreton, Cust, Ohoka, Fernside, Tuahiwi, Rangiora High School, Woodend and Sefton Services.

(p) **Circulates** a copy of this report to the Community Boards. **CARRIED**

8.3. **LGNZ Annual Conference Attendance – S Nichols (Governance Manager)**

The report was taken as read.

Moved: Councillor Barnett  Seconded: Mayor Ayers

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 190311029916.

(b) **Approves** Councillors Felstead and Gordon attending the Local Government New Zealand Conference on 7, 8 and 9 July 2019 in Wellington, accompanying the Mayor. **CARRIED**

9. **HEALTH AND SAFETY**


The report was taken as read. J Palmer commented on the measles outbreak and information that had been circulated to staff to heightened awareness. He also commented on the Christchurch terror attack and ongoing support provided to staff.

Councillor Doody, queried reports of measles at a local school and an upcoming event. J Palmer advised that the Council is not advised any information formally, other than what is known through the community or media. The Medical Officer of Health had commented on large public gatherings and the associated increased risk factor.

Moved: Councillor Felstead  Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No 190320037255.

(b) **Notes** that there are no significant Health and Safety issues at this time, and that WDC is, so far as is reasonably practicable, compliant with the PCBU duties of the Health and Safety at Work Act 2015. **CARRIED**

10. **MATTERS REFERRED**

10.1. **Stockwater Race Bylaw Review 2019 – L Hurley (Technical Administrator) and O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager)**

(Referred to report no. 190219018655 from the Utilities and Roading Committee meeting of 19 March 2019. Note that this recommendation from the Utilities and Roading committee was amended at the meeting, from that in the report.)

O Davies spoke to the report, noting the Bylaw is due for its ten yearly review, however staff recommend minor changes only and therefore it does not require the full special consultative procedures. He noted the main changes proposed
include stock access, spraying and removal of cleanings and blockages from flood flow paths.

Staff advised there was a proposal to update the Stockwater Race map including electronically on the website, therefore enabling it to be a living document. Staff are aware of changes to bylaws that would involve more significant changes and a Special Consultative Process (SCP) such as health and safety issues for private owners maintaining water races on Council owned roads, as staff are currently investigating the Council undertaking the work and charging property owners for the service. It is proposed to undertake background work and bring recommendations to the Council within two years.

Councillor Doody reflected that when the stockwater race area is widened by Waimakariri Irrigation Ltd (WIL) and the entrance ways into a property is now narrower, due to the widening of races causing problems with flood waters being banked up and overflowing, enquiring if there was any procedure to stop soil being gauged out by stormwater ie boulders. O Davies commented on two issues; one being when a stockwater race turns into a stormwater race, and questions on what are the rights and responsibility of property owners, which is something the next phase involving the SCP process would to look at in the future. Secondly regarding widening of stockwater races is a matter to be addressed with an individual property owner and again the detail would be considered through the next phase of work.

Councillor Stewart, enquired if during the next phase of work, including the SCP, the matter of environmental benefits related to leakage and a potential change in rates would be addressed. O Davies acknowledged it would potentially affect rating charged to properties and therefore users and the wider community would need to be consulted through an SCP process. The matter is being raised through the Waimakariri Water Zone Committee. K Simpson confirmed that matter would be included in the SCP process, as would fencing of waterways. Staff had noted the recommendation from Waimakariri Water Zone Committee to the Regional Council (Ecanc), acknowledging the scope of the review is not limited to decisions of Council today.

Moved: Councillor Stewart Seconded: Councillor Gordon

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report no. 190219018655.

(b) **Notes** that a review of the Stockwater Race Bylaw 2007 has been undertaken and it has been confirmed that there is a need for a Stockwater Race Bylaw.

(c) **Notes** that minor changes to the Stockwater Race Bylaw 2007 and associated policies, as identified by tracked changes in attachments i, iii, iv & v, have been made for clarity purposes and to update references.

(d) **Instructs** staff to make final edits to the document to insert the word “written” before “permission” throughout the Bylaw document.

(e) **Adopts** the Waimakariri District Council Stockwater Race Bylaw 2019.

(f) **Adopts** the revised Stockwater Race Closure Policy, Stockwater Race Pond Policy and Planting of Trees and Shrubs alongside Stockwater Races Policy.

(g) **Notes** that the staff will bring back the Stockwater Race Bylaw for the Utilities & Roading Committee to consider reviewing it within two years.
(h) Circulates this report to the Council’s Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Stewart was supportive of today’s motion and looked forward to a Special Consultative Procedure occurring within the next two years. Council Stewart commented on Waimakariri stock races being unique, not only in delivering stockwater but as an irrigation race and has environmental benefits related to the recharge of groundwater, which is significant in the current climate. Councillor Stewart also acknowledged farmers charged with irrigation efficiencies and commented on how leaky water races have a negative effect. Councillor Stewart remarked on the ongoing challenges, including current costs bore by property owners and balancing the wider benefit to the whole district.

Councillor Gordon reflected on the Committee discussions, appreciating why the bylaw is not going for public consultation at this current time, and acknowledging the matter will be open for a wider public consultation within the next two years.

10.2. Croquet Club Loan Deferral and Council Funding Contribution for Croquet lawn Extension – C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation)

(Referred to report no. 190221020363 from the Community and Recreation Committee meeting of 26 March 2019.)

There were no questions from members.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Barnett

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190221020363.

(b) Approves the loan repayments for the Community Loan of $42,000 provided to the Rangiora Croquet Club being deferred for a further three years from April 2018 until April 2021.

(c) Notes that deferring the loan for a three year period allows sufficient time for the Rangiora Croquet Club to complete the development of two additional full size greens which are required to allow for current and future club membership.

(d) Considers as an annual plan submission a grant of $25,000 for the Rangiora Croquet Club for the development of two new croquet greens for the 2019 / 20 financial year.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon reflected on positive discussions at the Committee meeting, acknowledging the Croquet Club’s stronger position, having increased their membership, and now needing additional greens to serve that membership growth. The Club accept the loan is required to be repaid and believe that the increased membership will assist with contributing to repaying loans, along with grant from Croquet Canterbury and fundraising efforts. Councillor Gordon was supportive of the recommendation, having seen the Club put a lot of work into the greens. He believed this was an example of an ideal partnership between the Council and an active club, acknowledging the Annual Plan submission would be a matter for another discussion later in May.

Councillor Barnett reminded members that today is simply about deferring the loan, and that the readjustment of timing would provide for the active club to be
in a stronger position to pay back the loan in the future. She reiterated comments of Councillor Gordon.

Councillor Williams was supportive of the motion, on this occasion as the club was proactive and growing, but cautioned the Council to be aware of future loans to sporting organisations and assessing the ability to pay loans back. He remarked that in some cases a loan may be a burden to a club that may not be able to be repay in the longer term and it may not always be appropriate to support clubs in those circumstances.

10.3. Waste Bylaw Terms and Conditions - S Collin (Infrastructure Strategy Manager)

(Referred to report no. 190218018503 presented to the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party meeting of 26 March 2019.)

K Waghorn provided a brief overview of the report and changes proposed. Councillor Barnett enquired how was the information being communicated to the retirement villages and rest homes about the possibility of aggregation of services. K Waghorn advised that as an enquiry for bins was received by such an organisation, then staff work individually with that organisation as to how the Council can assist them with a Council provided service.

Moved: Councillor Brine Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190218018503.

(b) Adopts the Waimakariri District Council Revised Kerbside Collection and Waste Collection Points Use Terms and Conditions (Trim 180706075490).

CARRIED

Councillor Brine stated matters had been well discussed at the Working Party meeting and this would enable aspects to be tidied up and matters continue to progress.

Councillor Doody reflected on the recent waste presentation at the Oxford A&P Show, which appeared to be very popular with attendees.

11. COMMUNITY BOARD MINUTES FOR INFORMATION

11.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board held on 7 March 2019

11.2. Minutes of a meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board held on 11 March 2019

11.3. Minutes of a meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board held on 13 March 2019

11.4. Minutes of a meeting of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board held on 18 March 2019

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the information in items 11.1 to 11.4 be received.

CARRIED
Councillor Felstead reflected on the recent Oxford-Ohoka Community Board visit to Canterbury Landscapes operation at Diversion Road, in which a very informative and positive meeting occurred.

Councillor Gordon reflected on the recent Youth Council presentation to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board, with a subsequent submission proposed for the Annual Plan.

12. REPORTS FOR INFORMATION

12.1. **Activity on the Kaiapoi River – Simon Hart (Business and Centres Manager)**

(Referred to report no. 190306027166 from the Kaiapoi–Tuahiwi Community Board meeting of 18 March 2019.)

There were no questions from members.

Councillor Blackie stated that while the Board supported the proposal, some members held some concern for potentially creating a bureaucratic process, and had held a discussion with the Chief Executive, which included some recommendations on the next steps forward. Councillor Blackie believed the house boat proposals and businesses such as the River Queen were matters to be dealt with separately, whereas the current staff proposal had put the two different types of activity under the one aspect. Councillor Blackie advised that control of the river, vetting and selection systems are yet to be considered as matters of land/water ownership and controls require further discussions with a number of stakeholders, including the Runanga and Environment Canterbury.

Councillor Meyer stated matters were an ongoing work in progress.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Meyer

**THAT the Council**

(a) **Receives** report 190306027166 for information.

CARRIED

13. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

14. MAYOR’S DIARY

14.1. **Mayor’s Diary 26 February – 26 March 2019**

Mayor Ayers advised of an additional meeting that occurred on 22 March in relation to the operation of the West Oxford Hotel.

Moved: Councillor Felstead Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT the Council:**

(a) **Receives** report no. 190321037562.

CARRIED

15. COUNCIL PORTFOLIO UPDATES

15.1. **Iwi Relationships – Mayor Ayers**

The Council were awaiting feedback and confirmation of the rescheduled Hui date, anticipated to be held in May.
A Reuben had commented at the recent Kaiapoi Regeneration Steering Group meeting about the Kaiapoi development area and the need to advance planning for the project, including utilising knowledge from outside the district.

15.2. **Canterbury Water Management Strategy – Councillor Stewart**

Councillor Stewart provided an overview of two recent workshops that discussed the process of translating the intent of the upcoming Plan Change and also commented on the Canterbury Regional Plan. Councillor Stewart provided an update on various work programmes and Regional Council timetables over the coming years.

Councillor Stewart commented on a new proposal related to the new Ashley Estuary protection zone, which was not part of the ZIPA and proposes more restrictions on farming close to the estuary and coastal area.

Councillor Stewart commented on the Runanga views and consideration of culturally significant aspects in relation to proposed Plan Changes, potential effects on some property owners, noting that further discussions would be occurring at Environment Canterbury over the coming weeks.

Councillor Stewart commented on nitrate protection areas and a mapping process, noting issues associated with Lees Valley, difficulties with fencing setbacks and protecting waterways.

Councillor Stewart advised that a refresh of Zone Committee members would occur through August and September 2019. Also the current Zone Chairperson, David Ashby, will be stepping down at the time of the refresh programme.

Councillor Stewart reported that arsenic had been detected in private wells in the vicinity of the Lower Camside Road. Further water testing was occurring and an update was anticipated at the next Utilities and Roading Committee meeting. Councillor Stewart expressed the need to be proactive, acknowledging that the water was sourced from private wells.

Councillor Williams reflected on the recent West Coast situation with river pollution from a nearby rubbish tip, enquiring about the old rubbish tip behind the Ashley stop bank. G Cleary commented on the Ashley River and the high level of protection from the Ashley River stopbank.

15.3. **International Relationships – Deputy Mayor Felstead**

Councillor Felstead advised, as the Chair of the Passchendaele Advisory Group, that it was meeting regularly. Two wreathes would be laid at the Kaiapoi and Rangiora ANZAC Services on behalf of the people of Belgium.

The Enshi Working Group would be meeting in the near future for its first meeting to discuss ongoing sister-city relationship matters.

15.4. **Regeneration (Kaiapoi) – Councillor Blackie**

Councillor Blackie advised that a working party had been set up to progress the Mahinga Kai area.

Councillor Blackie advised the first round of tendering for dredging work on the Kaiapoi River had been rejected, and the tender would be re-advertised later in the week following reconsideration of information received from the first round.

Councillor Stewart enquired if staff were advancing the resource consent simultaneously with other processes related to the dredging work. It was advised that was the case.
16. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

17. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

18. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED**

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

Moved: Mayor Ayers   Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Minutes/Report of</th>
<th>General subject of each matter to be considered</th>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>Minutes of the Public Excluded portion of a meeting of the Council on 5 March 2019</td>
<td>Confirmation of minutes</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>Report of Chris Brown (Manager Community and Recreation)</td>
<td>Community Facilities Provision</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Reason for protection of interests</th>
<th>Ref NZS 9202:2003 Appendix A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17.1 – 17.2</td>
<td>Protection of privacy of natural persons. To carry out commercial activities without prejudice</td>
<td>A2(a) A2(b)ii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CARRIED**

**CLOSED MEETING**

The public excluded portion of the meeting occurred from 2.25pm to 3pm in relation to items 17.1 and 17.2 and from 3.22pm to 4.56pm in relation to the Canterbury Museum deputation.

The meeting adjourned at 3pm for refreshments and reconvened at 3.21pm.,

**Resolution to resume in Open Meeting**

Moved Mayor Ayers   Seconded Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the open meeting resumes and the business discussed with the public excluded remains public excluded with the exception that the resolution of item 17.2 may become public once negotiations to the contract have been concluded.

**CARRIED**
19. **NEXT MEETING**

The next scheduled meeting of the Council is at 1.00pm on Tuesday 7 May 2019 in the Council Chambers.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 5.37pm.

CONFIRMED

____________________________
Chairperson

____________________________
Date