## Before the Independent Hearings Panel at Waimakariri District Council

under: the Resource Management Act 1991

in the matter of: Proposed private plan change RCP31 to the Operative

Waimakariri District Plan

and: Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited

Applicant

Memorandum of counsel on behalf of Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited

Dated: 28 August 2023

Reference: JM Appleyard (jo.appleyard@chapmantripp.com)

LMN Forrester (lucy.forrester@chapmantripp.com)





## MAY IT PLEASE THE HEARINGS PANEL

- 1 This memorandum responds to the Panel's Minute 6 and provides clarification as to the supplementary evidence proposed to be filed by the Applicant's witnesses.
- We accept the Panel's directions in that Minute which emphasise that supplementary evidence should strictly be in the nature of a reply to matters raised by the Panel at the hearing, or matters raised by submitters (and not previously raised in their written submissions) and/or to address any consequential changes to the proposal since the hearing, and/or to address the outcome expert witness conferencing and that they are not otherwise matters which could be properly dealt with in closing legal submissions. We assure the Panel that this was the intent in the Applicant's request to file supplementary evidence.
- Mr Willis' supplementary statement notes that the three waters JWS does not elaborate on the potential significance of the groundwater interception risk. The intent of **Mr McLeod's** supplementary evidence was to provide that elaboration, including by providing examples of systems that have failed in the District, and the methods that have been adopted since some of these to ensure future infrastructure of this kind does not give rise to the same issues.
- 4 If the Panel would prefer that this be provided in the form of an addendum to the joint witness statement, then that could be arranged.
- With respect to **Ms Lauenstein**, her supplementary evidence will cover those matters specified in Minute 6 as well as:
  - 5.1 Urban design consequences as a result of potential amendments to the proposed rules and ODP; and
  - 5.2 Respond to new (not previously raised) matters in the evidence of submitters at the hearing, and the evidence of Mr Nicholson and Mr Knott.
- With respect to **Mr Milne**, his supplementary evidence is intended to cover landscape consequences as a result of potential amendments to the proposed rules and ODP.

We thank the Panel for its assistance in the matter so far.

Dated: 28 August 2023

Jo Appleyard / Lucy Forrester

Counsel for Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited