#### MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL HELD IN THE FUNCTION ROOM, RANGIORA TOWN HALL, 303 STREET, RANGIORA ON TUESDAY 25 MAY, COMMENCING AT 9AM, FOR THE PURPOSES OF DELIBERATING THE DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2021-2031

#### PRESENT

Mayor D Gordon (Chairperson), Deputy Mayor N Atkinson, Councillors K Barnett, A Blackie, W Doody R Brine, N Mealings, P Redmond, S Stewart, J Ward and P Williams.

#### IN ATTENDANCE:

J Harland (Chief Executive), J Millward (Manager Finance and Business Support), C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation), S Markham (Manager Strategic Projects), G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading), T Tierney (Manager Planning and Regulation), G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager), M Greenwood (Aquatic Facilities Manager), K Simpson (Three Waters Manager), K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager), C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager), K LaValley (Project Delivery Manager), D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration), S Hart (Business and Centres Manager) S Allen (Water Environment Advisor), G Reburn (Parks and Recreation Operations Team Leader), H Street (Corporate Planner), T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader), A Smith (Governance Coordinator), K Rabe (Governance Advisor), and E Stubbs (Governance Support Officer).

#### Meeting Adjournments:

The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 10.43am and reconvened at 11.01am. The meeting adjourned for lunch at 12.48pm and reconvened at 1.30pm. The meeting adjourned at 2.32pm to consider a public excluded item and reconvened at 2.52pm. The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 2.58pm and reconvened at 3.10pm. The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 4.10pm and reconvened at 4.20pm.

#### 1. <u>APOLOGIES</u>

There were no apologies received.

#### 2. <u>CONFLICTS OF INTEREST</u>

Submission 1.27.3 - Mayor Gordon declared he was a Trustee of the Waimakariri Arts Trust.

#### 3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

#### 3.1 <u>Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 5, 6 and 7 May 2021 to</u> hear submissions to the Draft Long Term Plan 2021-2031

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Redmond

THAT the Council:

(a) **Confirms** as a true and correct record the Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 5, 6 and 7 May 2021.

CARRIED

#### 4. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

Nil.

#### RECOMMENDATION TO CONSIDER LATE AGEND ITEM

Moved: Councillor Blackie

Seconded: Councillor Redmond

THAT the Council

(a) Approves consideration of an additional report (Trim 210506072976), being Item 6.21, as circulated in the Supplementary Agenda, being a matter referred from the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board related to the Kaiapoi Community Hub – Consultation Outcomes.

#### CARRIED

#### 5. <u>OVERVIEW</u>

J Harland provided a general overview on the work that had gone into the preparation of the Long Term Plan and commended staff on their commitment to achieving the best possible outcomes.

J Millward provided an overview of the financial aspects related to the Long Term Plan (Trim ref: 210525083792).

#### 6. <u>REPORTS</u>

## 6.1 <u>Draft 2021-2031 Long Term Plan - Special Consultative Procedure – H Street (Corporate Planner)</u>

H Street provided a brief overview of the special consultative procedure related to the Long Term Plan (LTP) consultation and took the report as read.

Councillor Barnett enquired what the comparison of submitter numbers was for the previous LTP. H Street replied that the previous LTP had resulted in approximately 800 submissions, however these were attributed to the high interest in the proposed development of the multipurpose stadium.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Barnett

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report LTC-03-17-04 / 210513076527.
- (b) **Receives** all 162 submissions and associated submission points raised by submitters, which are included in the 'Deliberations Pack' previously distributed to Councillors.

#### CARRIED

Councillors Ward and Barnett thanked staff for keeping elected members involved and informed throughout the process.

Mayor Gordon also thanked the staff and especially the Management Team for their work and congratulated them on the successful engagement of both elected members and the public.

#### 6.2 <u>Aquatic Centres Staff submission to Draft Long Term Plan 2021/31 – M Greenwood</u> (Aquatic Facilities Manager)

M Greenwood took the report as read noting that the request to bring the replacement of the main heat pump unit at the Kaiapoi Aquatic Centre from the 2025/26 financial year forward to the 2022/23 financial year would make no change to the rates, as the cost would be spread over a number of years.

Moved: Councillor Doody

Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No.210422065313.
- (b) **Notes** Kaiapoi's main heat pump unit was close to the end of its asset life.
- (c) **Notes** repairs to this unit had already cost close to \$100,000 over the last seven years.
- (d) Approves the movement of \$240,000 from 2025/26 to the 2022/23 in the Aquatics Long Term Plan budgets to enable the full replacement of Kaiapoi Aquatic Centre main heat pump unit.
- (e) **Notes** no impact on rates as funding would be spread over a number of years as originally budgeted.
- (f) **Circulates** the report to the Community and Recreation Committee and Community Boards for their information.

#### CARRIED

#### 6.3 <u>Community Greenspace – Staff Submission to the Draft Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031 on</u> Security Cameras – G Reburn (Parks and Recreation Operations Team Leader)

G MacLeod requested that the existing 2020/21 budget of \$31,000 for cameras be carried forward to the 2021/22 financial year. This budget would assist the identification of possible locations for mobile cameras and to investigate software and maintenance costs of the proposed cameras. There was also a request for a budget of \$55,000 to be spread over three years towards the maintenance, cleaning, Wi-Fi and malware of the current cameras throughout the district.

Councillor Brine suggested that in addition to the Community Patrol, Citizens Advice may also be able to assist with monitoring the proposed camera network. G MacLeod acknowledged the suggestion as a viable option.

Councillor Barnett reminded staff to include Cust in consideration of possible sites for cameras due to the escalation of petty crime in the rural area round the township. G MacLeod explained that the intention was to create a 'corridor of cameras' from Oxford to Woodend and Kaiapoi to enable the Police to track possible suspects on one system rather than having to use multiple systems.

Moved: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 210506072821.

- (b) **Notes** that an existing 2020/21 capital budget of \$31,000 for cameras in Oxford was currently unspent however the work in Oxford had been completed.
- (c) **Approves** the carry-over of \$31,000 from the current 2020/2021 financial year to the 2021/22 financial year for capital camera network additions.
- (d) **Notes** that staff would be working with the NZ police and community watch on the creation of a network plan to identify possible locations for future cameras, including options on mobile cameras if appropriate.
- (e) **Notes** that currently the community and Police had identified a need for cameras in Pegasus, Kaiapoi Community Centre, Cust, Mandeville Village, the Rangiora Airfield and Gladstone Park.
- (f) **Notes** that staff would come back to the Community Board and the Council as part of the next Long Term Plan to discuss the network plan and any financial implications noting that software as a service where contractors own and maintain the capital assets was currently under investigation.
- (g) **Notes** that Council staff will work with the Rangiora Community Patrol to provide a community facility to enable location for Community Patrol Volunteers before the end of the current calendar year.
- (h) Approves additional operational budget of \$55,000 for the Oxford, Woodend and Pegasus camera repairs, camera cleaning, wifi and malware of crime cameras throughout the district.
- (i) **Notes** that ongoing operational budgets would be reviewed alongside the investigation into software as a service.
- (j) **Circulates** the report to the Community Boards for information.

Councillor Barnett supported working in partnership with the Police and supported the expenditure on mobile cameras to reduce crime in the District, especially in rural areas.

Councillor Doody agreed with colleagues' comments and thought the idea of one system would be beneficial.

Mayor Gordon also supported the motion and noted the positive partnership with Rangiora Community Watch. He was pleased that the proposed mobile cameras would allow better coverage especially in the rural areas.

### 6.4 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood) –</u> <u>G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager) and C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation)</u>

G MacLeod took the report as read noting that the majority of submitters were in favour of Option A which was the Council's preferred option. Most of the other submitters favoured Option C, which indicated that most of the community acknowledged the need for two separate facilities at Pegasus and Ravenswood.

Mayor Gordon clarified that the current recommendation did not preclude other options being considered should the need arise during the investigation of possible sites and discussions with developers.

Councillor Barnett queried the difference in floor size of the current temporary facility and the new proposed facility at Pegasus. G MacLeod replied that there was very little difference in the proposed size, however it would be designed as a 'fit for purpose' community facility rather than the current facility which had been designed as doctors rooms resulting in a number of small rooms.

Councillor Redmond requested justification of the provision of two new facilities within such close proximity to each other. G MacLeod commented that if Ravenswood residents utilised the current temporary facility, the current users would be displaced. He also noted that the Woodend Community Centre was fully utilised and therefore a further facility would be needed in the area in the future with the most obvious option suggested being in Ravenswood.

In a supplementary question Councillor Redmond enquired if community facilities in such close proximity would not set a precedent with other towns in the district, such as Kaiapoi requiring further facilities. G MacLeod advised that the Council was not the only provider of community space in Kaiapoi and that with the Kaiapoi Library Civic Centre and now the proposed Kaiapoi Community Hub, Kaiapoi would be well served with community space/facilities.

Councillor Atkinson enquired why some communities were expected to travel to reach community facilities in their area whereas the Pegasus community were not. He noted that State Highway 1 (SH1) was judged by some to be a barrier, however in his opinion this was not so, as this issue could be resolved by an underpass or some other option to allow people to easily access Ravenswood. G MacLeod advised that both the Sue Sutherland and the RSL report recommended that Pegasus retain a community facility and that many people in the township walked and biked to the facility which was the hub of the community and that by moving the facility to Ravenswood it would potentially seriously erode the strong community spirit displayed in Pegasus.

Councillor Redmond commented that if the proposed Pegasus Community Centre was only to be a satellite facility to Ravenswood, could it not continue as it was in the leased building. C Brown noted that the centre was not set up to be a community facility as the rooms were too small to adequately serve the community effectively and also noted that there was no available land if a youth facility was developed in conjunction with the facility. Whereas the Council now had the opportunity to investigate the purchase of land that could accommodate a community centre as well as a complimentary youth facility if required in the future.

Moved: Mayor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Brine

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 210507073372.
- (b) **Notes** that 74 people submitted as part of the Long Term Plan in relation to community facilities at Pegasus and North Woodend.
- (c) **Notes** the majority of feedback received was in favour of Council's preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document Option A.
- (d) Notes that based on submission responses received, staff were recommending that Council's preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document, remains as is.

(e) **Recommendation** approves the budgets as per Option A of the Long Term Plan for Pegasus and Ravenswood, remaining unchanged as per the draft Long Term Plan.

#### CARRIED

Mayor Gordon remarked that the Council had an obligation to listen to the community who had indicated the need for two separate facilities. He also noted that the Ravenswood facility was not scheduled to be built until 2029/30. The current recommendation was for the Council to secure land for future use.

Councillor Brine was in support of the proposal to purchase land for future use, urging the Council to look to the future and possible need at a later stage citing the land at Coldstream Road used for the multi-purpose stadium as an example.

Councillor Barnett reflected that the community had indicated that facilities were an important factor and noted that the building of the Woodend Community Centre had been compromised due of the earthquake and the size reduced to accommodate a smaller budget. Councillor Barnett hoped that the Council would not continue to build smaller centres but concentrate on providing large fit for purpose multi-use facilities in the future.

Councillor Williams raised the concern that by building two facilities the Council would be increasing overheads and maintenance costs. Councillor Atkinson agreed with Councillor Williams stating that overheads on two buildings in such close proximity was uneconomical.

Councillor Doody remarked that the Oxford area had several community halls and facilities which were fully utilised and believed that the Ravenswood/Pegasus area with a similar current population would require more than just one facility in the future.

Councillor Redmond was in support of purchasing land for the future however in his opinion, believed further work would need to be done to investigate other options.

Councillor Stewart stated she would reluctantly support the motion on the proviso that further investigation be done into options to mitigate the need for two separate facilities.

Councillor Mealings noted all the points raised and reminded the Council that the option before them was to purchase land for future need and was not necessarily required to decide on the building of facilities at this time, which could be discussed after further investigation and conversations with developers had occurred.

Councillor Ward was in support of purchasing land for the future.

Mayor Gordon thanked the Councillors for the good debate and the points raised during the discussion. He noted that the decision today would not bind the Council to building two facilities which could only be decided after further conversations with developers and investigation of other options had been accomplished.

#### 6.5 Cust Community Centre Upgrade – C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation)

G MacLeod requested that the Council approve a budget of \$105,000 for year 11 of the Long Term Plan to create a formalised carpark at the Cust Community Centre and for \$20,000 in the 2021/22 financial year towards a feasibility study to determine exact costs associated with the possible relocation of the West Eyreton Rifle Club, or the option of developing a new dedicated range in the district.

Councillor Blackie queried why the Council was paying for a feasibility study for the Rifle Club. G MacLeod noted that the Club had contributed to the building of the original Cust Community Centre and that there were currently only 14 members of the Club. If the Council did not pay for the feasibility study the Club would be forced to request a grant to enable the study to be done. Mayor Gordon acknowledged the situation and believed that the Council had an obligation to assist the Club as the members had little capacity to achieve a positive outcome on their own.

Councillor Doody enquired if the \$20,000 could be utilised to strengthen the Pearson Park building to enable the Rifle Club to relocate there. G MacLeod noted that this option would require architects and builder input which could not be covered by the \$20,000 budget and also commented that the Pearson Park option would have the same negative outcome for lead content issues. In his opinion the most suitable long term option would be a purpose built facility.

Councillor Barnett queried the current need for additional parking at the Cust Community Centre and requested why this budget was set so far in advance. G MacLeod advised that the need for increased parking had not been monitored by the Council and as more pressing work was required on the interior it was agreed that monitoring of the parking would be done during that time. Councillor Barnett stated that the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group had offered to assist with fundraising for the formalising of a gravel parking area and did not see the necessity of the delay proposed. C Brown stated that there would be costs associated with the provision of the carpark with staff time and maintenance in the future and believed the parking shortage was not urgent as it was only an issue on a few days a year at present. He did, however, acknowledge the increase in users due to the upgrade of the facility which would have a roll-on effect on parking availability at the centre.

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Redmond

- (a) **Receives** report No 210507073497.
- (b) **Approves** budget of \$105,000 being allocated in year 11 of the Long Term Plan towards the formalisation of the car park at Cust noting that staff would undertake monitoring in the next financial year to determine usage and demand of parking with a report back to the Community Board and the Council prior to the annual plan next year.
- (c) Notes that the Council may choose, based on the request from the Community Centre Advisory Group, to allocate funding within later years of the Long Term Plan to signal support of the community's wishes. This funding would be subject, if in outer years, to further long term plan deliberations and additional staff investigations into ongoing usage trends of the parking capacity.
- (d) **Notes** that staff would seek approval from the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for the installation of the shelter for the Cust Tennis and Netball Courts.
- (e) **Approves** the allocation of \$157,000 from the Depreciation Renewal Fund to increase the Community Facilities Renewal Works Programme Budget in Year 1 to cover the costs of the work remaining to the building at Cust Community Centre.
- (f) **Approves**, in principle, the relocation of the West Eyreton Rifle Range from Cust Community Centre and staff to work with the club to identify the best option going forward.

- (g) **Approves** the allocation of \$20,000 towards a feasibility study to identify the exact costs associated to the Oxford Rifle Range or a new dedicated range with a report taken to the Community and Recreation Committee outlining the best way forward noting at that point, any budget required can be brought before the Council for consideration.
- (h) **Circulates** this report to the Community and Recreation Committee, Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group.

Mayor Gordon agreed with Councillor Barnett's comments regarding the need for additional carparking and noted that the budget for this project could be brought forward if required. He remarked that although the building upgrade had brought the building up to code there was still further work to be done on the interior of the building. He noted the issues that the Rifle Club faced and felt that the Council had an obligation to assist the Club to find a suitable solution.

Councillor Redmond commented on the musty smell in the Centre due to issues with the flooring, however he was impressed by the improvement the upgrade had made to the building. He remarked on being impressed by the Club's LTP submission and their willingness to relocate to Oxford, however he would be more comfortable with the proposed \$20,000 being used towards a more practical solution than on a feasibility study.

Councillor Barnett noted that the residents in Cust were generally an aging population who required close convenient parking, especially in wet weather. Councillor Barnett stated that since the upgrade of the Centre, usage had increased putting further pressure on parking. She also remarked that the area round the netball courts was very boggy in wet weather which made parking in the area treacherous. Councillor Barnett advised that the Advisory Group was not keen on a tarred surface on the carpark but would prefer gravel which would assist with drainage issues. In relation to the West Eyreton Rifle Club this was a small but strong club which should be considered a district wide club who happened to be currently located at Cust remarked Councillor Barnett.

Mayor Gordon noted that the proposed upgrade to the parking area was a holding budget which could be brought forward if required especially if the Council was working in partnership with community fundraising.

#### 6.6 <u>Kaiapoi River Marine Precinct – Swimming Facility budget Staff Submission to Draft</u> Long Term Plan 2021–2031 – G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager) and D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration)

G MacLeod advised of the conflict between the youth and the business sector in regard to the wharf and pontoons on the Kaiapoi River. This report was requesting \$30,000 to be utilised to investigate options and find a suitable solution to resolve the conflict and achieve a safe outcome for the future.

Councillor Barnett queried the water quality and the implications for children getting seriously ill from swimming in the river. G MacLeod stated that water quality fell under the auspice of Environment Canterbury (ECan) and when discussed with ECan was told that children would swim there no matter the water quality and that by mitigating the dangers posed by boats, the Council was achieving a positive outcome. Councillor Barnett then enquired what the District Health Board's stance was on the water quality. Staff advised the District Health Board had referred the matter back to ECan stating that it was their responsibility to ensure the public were advised of the risks in swimming in contaminated water.

Councillor Williams remarked that swimming and boats were not compatible pastimes and enquired if there was any way the Council could cordon off a designated area for swimming, acknowledging, however, that children would jump and swim in the area they preferred. G MacLeod advised that an option was to provide a pontoon in an area that the boats would expect children to be swimming thereby keeping the risk minimal, and if required the pontoon could be moved if the river was being used to host a boating event.

Councillor Ward enquired if an area could be roped off with the use of buoys to designate a swimming area. G MacLeod replied that the river was not very wide and there was little space to enable the boats, rowers and swimmers to have separate areas.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072491.
- (b) **Notes** that staff had been working with key stakeholders around the Kaiapoi Riverbanks and Marine Precinct since November 2020 regarding public swimming and shared public usage of the marine precinct facilities, including resolution of some conflicts between users.
- (c) **Approves** a budget provision of \$30,000 for the purpose of further investigation and notional implementation of improved public swimming facility in the Kaiapoi River, to be funded from the Recreation Loan.
- (d) Notes that following the resolutions of the Council meeting of 4 May 2021; the existing provision of \$30,000 in the draft Long Term Plan in the 2021/22 year for the removal of the dredging dewatering ponds is no longer required, and therefore the addition of the budget proposed in this report for a swimming facility effectively had no net change effect on previously indicated rates movements for 2021/22 year.
- (e) **Notes** that the final configuration of any swimming facility in the Kaiapoi River would be subject to further investigation and options review with Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board, and specific public consultation.
- (f) **Circulates** this report to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.

#### CARRIED

Councillor Blackie supported the motion as it was not feasible for swimming on the library side of the river due to the lack of depth, which would require dredging and strengthening of the wall which would be too expensive.

Councillor Atkinson supported the motion but did not support the provision of a floating pontoon as, in his opinion, this would be too dangerous with skiffs rowing backwards and not having visibility of people in the water.

Councillor Barnett did not support the motion remarking that if the Council provided support or swimming facilities in the river the Council then became responsible for any accidents or illness resulting in recreational activity, other than boating, in the river. She acknowledged that children had been jumping off the Kaiapoi Bridge for years but noted situations change and the river was now a commercial area and the river was no longer suitable for swimming.

Councillor Williams noted Councillor Barnett's comments and reiterated that swimming near boats was unsafe especially in such a confined area, however he supported the motion as this situation needed further investigation of options and an attempt to find a resolution.

Mayor Gordon supported the motion as well as supporting Councillor Barnett's position of risk to the Council and safety of children which staff would need to take into account when trying to find a solution. He also acknowledged that the Council was working with ECan to improve the water quality in the Kaiapoi River.

Councillor Blackie noted that children had been swimming in the river daily for the last few months and none of them had become ill to his knowledge so felt the water quality was a lesser issue at this time. The main concern was the movements of the River Queen and the potential increase in boat traffic in the future.

At this time, supplementary Item 8.5 was taken. The Minutes have been recorded in the order of the Agenda.

#### 6.7 <u>Biodiversity education budget staff submission – K Steel (Ecologist – Biodiversity)</u>

C Brown spoke to the report, explaining that a Section 17A Review had been completed around education. This report had identified existing budget from unallocated stormwater and roading education budgets with the addition of \$5,000 to enable biodiversity and wildlife protection to be added to the enviro-school's curriculum. The additional funding would increase the number of schools that could be reached through the enviro-schools program.

Moved: Councillor Stewart Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210505071923.
- (b) **Approves** an additional \$5,000 per annum budget in each year of the 2021 2031 Long Term Plan, for the inclusion of biodiversity education into the Enviroschools Programme for the district.
- (c) **Notes** the recommendations from the Section 17A Review of Whole of Council Community and School Education Programmes including the direction to staff to investigate expanding the Enviroschools Programme.
- (d) **Notes** the allocation of \$10,000 per annum from an existing unallocated stormwater community education budget and \$5,000 per annum from an existing unallocated sustainable transport education budget to the Enviroschools Programme.
- (e) **Notes** the recommended funding would enable Enviroschools to employ a dedicated district facilitator (at least 0.8FTE role) to add additional schools and increase hours for existing schools through the district.
- (f) **Notes** the recommended funding would enable Enviroschools to be offered to all interested schools in the district, with new schools recruited over a period of 3-4 years.

#### CARRIED

Councillor Stewart supported the recommendation. She noted the importance of environmental education programmes for adults and looked forward to report on that.

#### 6.8 <u>Christmas Events Funding – S Markham (Manager Strategic Projects)</u>

S Markham noted that the Council annually provided funding towards the costs incurred by the three promotions associations for the hosting of Christmas parades in each of the town centres of Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Oxford. The Oxford Promotions Action Committee (OPAC) had expressed a concern that the Council grant of \$5,770 was not sufficient in light of the high cost of traffic management.

Councillor Doody enquired if it would be possible to increase the grant from the Council to OPAC to cover the additional cost of traffic management. S Markham explained that the funding provided by the Council did not cover the cost of the Christmas parades and the promotions associations were therefore expected to fundraise to cover the additional expenses. OPAC only allocated part of the Council's grant to the Oxford Lions Club to organise the Christmas Parade, as \$1,200 was retained for the Carols in the Park event. He therefore suggested that the cost of traffic management should be a discussion between OPAC and the Oxford Lions Club.

Councillor Doody questioned if the Carols in the Park event did not have the same merit than the Christmas parades. S Markham noted that he did not dispute the importance of the Carols in the Park event, however, the Council grant was earmarked to fund the Christmas parades.

In response to a question from Councillor Redmond, S Markham confirmed that it was unlikely that OPAC would be able to fund both events from the Council grant, as OPAC were already struggling to host the Christmas parade.

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072619.
- (b) **Notes** that the Rangiora and Kaiapoi Promotions Associations made in 2020 a small profit from their Christmas celebration events.
- (c) **Notes** the Oxford Promotions Action Committee passed 79% of the Christmas event monies provided to it by the Council to the Oxford Lions for the Christmas parade.
- (d) **Requests** staff work with OPAC and the Oxford Lions to find a satisfactory way to deliver the Oxford Christmas Parade.

#### CARRIED

Mayor Gordon agreed that the cost of traffic management should be resolved between OPAC and the Oxford Lions Club.

At this time, the Public Excluded Item 10.1 was taken. The Minutes have been recorded in the order of the Agenda.

The meeting adjourned at 2.32pm to consider a public excluded item and reconvened at 2.52pm.

#### 6.9 <u>Resourcing for Preparing for Water Reform Staff Submission to Draft Long Term Plan</u> 2021 – 2031 – G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

G Cleary explained that the requested funding would be used for additional resources to assist in preparing the Council for the upcoming discussions, consultation and decisions relating to the Three Waters Reforms. It was anticipated that the Council would have a short timeframe to advise the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) of its position. In order to achieve this, the Council required dedicated resources.

Councillor Atkinson questioned if the proposed funding would be sufficient. G Cleary acknowledged that the proposed funding was based on a conservative estimate, however, it would be sufficient to cover the costs until the end of the 2021/22 financial year.

Councillor Barnett enquired that as the funding would be used mainly for consultation if the DIA had been approached for funding. G Cleary advised that the Council could not relay on any funding being provided by the DIA and a clearer understanding on what funding could potentially be available from the DIA would become clearer after July 2021 following additional information being supplied to them.

Councillor Barnett further enquired if there may be synergy to be gained in working with Hurunui District Council. G Cleary undertook to investigate the matter.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210428067849.
- (b) **Approves** a ten year loan for \$240,000 against the Water Investigations account to be spent on preparing, discussing, consulting on and resolving a response to the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) proposal on 3 Waters Reform.
- (c) **Notes** that further expenditure beyond December 2021 may be requested later this financial year.
- (d) **Notes** that staff will pursue this loan being transferred to any new water entity established.
- (e) **Circulates** this report to the Utilities and Roading Committee.

#### CARRIED

Mayor Gordon and Councillor Atkinson agreed that that this was a very prudent approach and therefore the best action to take.

Councillor Ward remarked that it was important to place the Council in a position to secure the best possible outcome.

#### 6.10 <u>Three Waters Staff Submission to Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031 – K Simpson (3 Waters</u> <u>Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)</u>

K Simpson provided a brief overview on the changes requested to the Three Waters Services Management Budgets which was contained in the Draft 2021/31 Long Term Plan. With changes expected to be introduced by the new water regulator Taumata Arowai a series of additional asset management projects had been identified that needed to be undertaken in preparation for these changes.

Councillor Barnett questioned the \$50,000 allocated for private water supplies. K Simpson explained that the funds would be used for a water and sanitary services assessment (stocktake) of private wells in the district Under the Water Services Bill, once enacted, the Council would be obliged to identify private supplies within the district, and advise Taumata Arowai on them. He further noted that under obligations of the Local Government Act 2002 the Council was responsible for sanitary assessments of private and public water supplies.

Councillor Stewart sought clarity of the proposed water metering investigations. K Simpson advised that \$5,000 had been allocated for assessing extra ordinary users (large volume water users). The \$30,000 would be used for a universal Water Metering Assessment to ascertain what it would cost to implement universal water metering across the district and what the benefits would be.

In response to a question from Councillor Blackie, K Simpson explained that backflow prevention was a key part of the Council's Water Safety Plans and that \$50,000 would be utilised for the upfront surveys of properties.

Moved: Councillor Williams Seconded: Councillor Ward

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072276.
- (b) Approves the carryover of \$120,000 of unspent operational budget under the Three Waters (Water and Wastewater) asset management GL (10.260.668.2533) from 2020/21 to 2021/22 to give a revised budget of \$285,960.
- (c) **Notes** that the total annual budget for Three Waters asset management across all schemes is currently \$950,000 and will increase to \$1,070,000 with the carryover of this budget.
- (d) **Notes** that the 2021/22 programme of asset management projects includes the projects outlined in the following table:

Project	Budget Allocation
Modelling Updates and Investigations	\$55,000
Nomad Loggers	\$13,000
Flowmeter Calibration	\$20,000
Water / Wastewater Data Analysis	\$4,000
Climate Network Data	\$7,000
Beforeudig	\$21,000
Water NZ NPR Survey	\$6,500
Water Safety Plans	\$32,000
Water Metering Investigations	\$5,000
Universal Water Metering Assessment	\$30,000
Backflow Prevention	\$50,000
AMP Improvement Programme	\$12,500
Lifelines (Disaster Resilience)	\$24,000
Generator Strategy	\$15,000
Climate Change Asset Risk Assessment	\$50,000
Climate Change Treatment Plants	\$30,000
As-built Data Definition	\$10,000

Project	Budget Allocation
Health and Safety Assessments	\$50,000
Renewals Programme	\$15,000
InfoAsset Data Upload	\$50,000
Water Data Management System	\$50,000
Resource Consent Management System	\$20,000
Mobile Capability	\$30,000
Chlorination Assessment	\$200,000
Source Water Risk Management Plans	\$150,000
Reservoir Assessments	\$70,000
Private Water Supplies (Water and Sanitary Services	
Assessment)	\$50,000
Total	\$1,070,000

- (e) **Notes** that the rating impact of this change will be neutral as no additional budget is requested.
- (f) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards, for their information.

Councillor Williams noted that the Council had no choice in undertaking the majority of the work and therefore needed to make provision for it.

#### 6.11 <u>Water Supply Staff Submission to Draft Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031 – C Roxburgh</u> (Water Asset Manager)

C Roxburgh briefly highlighted the proposed changes to the Water Supply Budgets for the 2021/31 Long Term Plan.

In response to a question form J Hartland, C Roxburgh confirmed that the Council had the capacity to deliver the updated programme.

Moved: Councillor Doody Seconded: Councillor Williams

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210420063358.
- (b) **Approves** the following changes to capital budgets, for the reasons noted within this report:

Budget Name	Draft 2021-31 LTP (2021/22)	Proposed Revised Budget (2021/22)	Difference	Notes
Cust UV Treatment Implementation	\$ 110,000	\$ 10,000	-\$100,000	Stimulus funding reduced District Water funded portion
Cust Headworks Renewal	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000	-\$100,000	Stimulus funding reduced Cust scheme funded portion.
Mandeville Water Renewals	\$ 70,000	\$ 90,000	\$20,000	Design completed and cost estimate revised

Budget Name	Draft 2021-31 LTP (2021/22)	Proposed Revised Budget (2021/22)	Difference	Notes
Oxford Rural No.2 Water Renewals	\$ 50,000	\$ 70,000	\$20,000	Design completed and cost estimate revised
Mandeville Pump Upgrade – Renewal	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000	\$10,000	Concept design completed and cost
Mandeville Pump Upgrade – Growth	\$ 40,000	\$ 60,000	\$20,000	estimate revised
Mandeville Storage Upgrade (Partially Growth)	\$ 280,000	\$ 500,000	\$220,000	Early concept design completed and cost estimate revised.
Waikuku Beach Campground UV	\$ 220,000	\$ 295,000	\$75,000	Concept design completed and cost estimate revised
Total	\$ 980,000	\$ 1,145,000	\$165,000	

- (c) **Notes** that the nett effect of the proposed changes outlined above is an increase to total capital budget for 2021/22 of \$165,000, and that this represents a 4% increase in the planned capital budget for water supply for the 2021/22 year.
- (d) Notes that it has been calculated that there will be an increase to the Mandeville water supply development contribution from \$1,052 per unit as per the Draft 2021-31 Long Term Plan to \$1,236, based on the proposed changes to growth budgets, and that this will be formally reported to Council for approval by the Project Delivery Unit Manager, as part of covering all development contributions.
- (e) **Approves** an increase the Pipeline Cleaning and Flushing budget for the Woodend water supply in 2021/22 from \$20,670 to \$60,670, and reduction in the budget in 2022/23 from \$62,250 to \$22,250, to allow for air scouring of the pipes.
- (f) Notes that as the proposed budget changes above predominantly are renewal funded from existing renewals funds, or growth funded affecting development contributions, the rating impact as a result of these is minimal, with the most significant being the Mandeville water rate which is forecast to increase by approximately \$7 per unit of water per year.
- (g) Notes that it is expected that the Water Services Bill will be enacted this year, the new regulator (Taumata Arowai) will come into force, and new drinking water standards will be released, which may trigger a need for further expenditure and/or changes to budgets, however at this stage there is insufficient detail and certainty to recommend budget changes in anticipation of this.
- (h) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

Councillor Doody commended C Roxburgh and this team for the excellent work that they had been doing.

#### 6.12 <u>Drainage Staff Submission to Draft Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031 – K Simpson (Three</u> Waters Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

K Simpson provided a short explanation of the proposed changes to the Drainage budgets for the 2021/31 Long Term Plan, which included; Pentecost Stormwater Management Area (SMA) Planting, Kowhai Avenue Retaining Wall, North Brook Janelle Place to White Street Culvert, Norton Place, Mill Road SMA.

There were no questions from Councillors.

Moved: Mayor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072970.
- (b) **Approves** a new budget of \$40,000 under the Rangiora drainage account in 2021/22 for Pentecost SMA Planting.
- (c) Approves an additional budget of \$120,000 under the Rangiora drainage account in 2021/22 for Three Brooks Enhancement Work – Kowhai Ave, to give a revised budget of \$540,000 in 2021/22.
- (d) **Approves** an additional budget of \$80,000 under the Rangiora drainage account in 2021/22 for North Brook Janelle to White, to give a revised budget of \$350,000 in 2021/22.
- (e) **Notes** that the above budget changes, totalling an additional \$240,000, increase the Rangiora drainage rate by \$1.80 or 0.7% from 2022/23.
- (f) Approves the deferral of \$230,000 of the existing \$265,000 for the Norton Place Drainage Upgrade from 2021/22 to 2022/23 under the Coastal Urban drainage account, to give a revised budget of \$35,000 in 2021/22 for design works.
- (g) Approves an additional budget of \$40,000 for Norton Place Drainage Upgrade under the Coastal Urban drainage account in 2022/23, which combined with the \$230,000 of budget deferred from 2021/22 will give a revised budget of \$270,000 in 2022/23 for construction works.
- (h) **Notes** that the above budget change of \$40,000, will increase the Coastal Urban drainage rate by \$1.12 or 0.6% from 2023/24.
- (i) **Notes** that consultation on the Norton Place Drainage Upgrade project will be undertaken with the Greenspace team, community board and the community in 2021/22 as part of the design works.
- (j) **Notes** that staff will work to refine the Mill Road SMA design such that it can be constructed within the remaining budget of \$365,000 in 2021/22.
- (k) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards, for their information.

#### CARRIED

Mayor Gordon thanked K Simpson and this team for the excellent work that they had been doing.

#### 6.13 <u>Wastewater Staff Submission to Draft Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031 – K Simpson (Three</u> Waters Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading

K Simpson provided a brief overview of the proposed changes to the Wastewater Budgets for the 2021/31 Long Term Plan. He elaborated on the proposed harvest of mature trees at the Kaiapoi Waste Water Treatment Plant which were creating wind shading on the ponds and wetlands at the plant. It was proposed to replace the trees with native plantings.

Council Atkinson expressed a concern that the owners of the adjoining properties on Ferry and Beach Roads may complain about the proposed removal of the trees. He questioned if all the trees would be harvested before new trees were planted. K Simpson advised that the Council would be engaging a forestry consultant to assist them with the harvesting of the trees. A report on the proposed harvesting process would be submitted to the Utilities and Roading Committee for consideration.

Councillor Mealings enquired what the anticipated rate of return on the trees were. K Simpson explained that it depended on the quality of the trees. The preliminary advice that the Council had received was some of the trees would generate a good rate of return. However, there were trees on the eastern boundary of the Kaiapoi Waste Water Treatment Plant that were of a lower quality and therefore near worth less.

Moved: Councillor Mealings Seconded: Councillor Ward

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072766.
- (b) **Approves** the removal of the \$40,000 for Ocean Outfall Renewals in 2021/22 as this budget is no longer required.
- (c) **Approves** bringing forward \$50,000 from 2022/23 and \$500,000 from 2023/24, plus an additional new budget of \$300,000, to give a revised budget of \$850,000 in 2021/22 for the Chapman Place wastewater rising main replacement under the Kaiapoi wastewater account.
- (d) **Notes** that the above changes will leave \$200,000 in 2023/24 for the replacement of the Raven Quay rising main under the Kaiapoi wastewater account.
- (e) **Notes** that the changes to the renewals budget will not have a direct impact on the Eastern Districts sewer rate as there is adequate provisions within the Eastern Districts renewals fund to pay for these works.
- (f) **Approves** a new budget of \$50,000 in 2022/23 for landscape planting at the Kaiapoi WWTP under the Kaiapoi wastewater account.
- (g) **Notes** that the new budget for the landscape planting at the Kaiapoi WWTP increases the Eastern Districts sewer rate by \$0.15 or 0.03% from 2023/24.
- (h) **Notes** that further budgets will be required for subsequent planting stages but these will be addressed as part of the next 2022/23 Annual Plan.
- (i) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards, for their information.

CARRIED

Councillors Williams and Atkinson agreed that the Council should endeavour to replace the existing trees before they harvest the mature trees, to protect the adjoining neighbours against any pungent odours.

Councillor Mealings concurred and suggested the planting of fast growing species to protect the adjoining neighbouring properties.

#### 6.14 <u>Water and Sanitary Services Assessment – Proposed Water Services Act 202X</u> Amendment to Local Government Act 2002 – S Allen (Water Environment Advisor)

K Simpson reported that the Council would be conducting Phase 1 of the Water and Sanitary Services Assessment in 2021/22 'in-house', with GIS staff and temporary Three Waters staff.

There were no questions from Councillors.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210503069511.
- (b) **Notes** the requirement for assessment of drinking water services under Section 125, and for an assessment of wastewater services under Section 128 of the Local Government Act 2002, as proposed to be amended by the Water Services Bill 202X in mid-2021.
- (c) **Approves** Option 2 as the preferred approach to identify private drinking water suppliers and wastewater schemes (excluding septic tanks) in the district, and inform the Waimakariri community about Water Services Bill requirements and 'acceptable solutions' proposed by Taumata Arowai.
- (d) **Notes** that the cost of Option 2 (\$50,000) has been allowed for the in the 3 Waters (Water and Wastewater) asset management GL (10.260.668.2533) as set out in the 3 Waters staff submission refer TRIM 210506072276.
- (e) **Notes** the distribution and development of information by the Council to support private water suppliers, domestic self-suppliers and private wastewater scheme operators. This role will be carried out by temporary in-house contracted staff, as proposed by Option 2.
- (f) **Circulates** this report to the Waimakariri Water Zone Committee and Community Boards for information.

CARRIED

## 6.15 <u>Solid Waste Staff Submission to Long Term Plan 2021 – 2031 – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)</u>

K Waghorn took the report on the amendments to the Solid Waste Budgets and some Fees and Charges that related to Solid Waste as read.

There were no questions from Councillors.

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210427066352.
- (b) **Approves** the Final Fees and Charges for Solid Waste Services in *Attachment i* (210427066528), which includes the following amendments and additions to the Fees and Charges that were approved for the draft Annual Plan:
  - i) Minimum Load of Refuse at Oxford transfer station increase from \$5.00 to \$6.00 per load
  - ii) Single Wheelie Bin of Refuse at Oxford transfer station reduce from \$11.00 to \$9.00 per load.
  - iii) Car Boot/Rear Hatch of Refuse at Oxford transfer station reduce from \$27.00 to \$22.00 per load.
  - iv) Small Utes/Small 1-axle Trailers with low sides of Refuse at Oxford transfer station increase from \$42.00 to \$53.00 per load
  - v) Vans/Utes/Std 1-axle Trailers with low sides of Refuse at Oxford transfer station increase from \$75.00 to \$95.00 per load or by volume
  - vi) Large Trailer: high-sided 1-axle, tandem axle, or extra-large trailer of Refuse at Oxford transfer station increase from \$44.00 to \$56.00 per m<sup>3</sup>
  - vii) Compactor Truck of Refuse at Oxford transfer station decrease from \$130.00 to \$89.00 per m<sup>3</sup>
  - viii) Commercial Comingled Recycling by weight at Southbrook resource recovery park decrease from \$195.50 to \$172.50 per tonne.
  - ix) Minimum Charge by Weight for Commercial Comingled Recycling at Southbrook resource recovery park decrease from \$4.00 to \$3.50.
  - x) Loader Tyre X-Large amend from \$105.00/tonne to \$105.00/tyre.
  - xi) Cleanfill (natural materials) by own-cartage to Council cleanfill pit increase from \$30.00/m<sup>3</sup> to \$36.00/m<sup>3</sup>
  - xii) Hardfill by own-cartage to Council cleanfill pit increase from  $40.35/m^3$  to  $46.35/m^3$
  - xiii) A new item to be added for "Return of Confiscated Bin", with a fee of \$132.25 per bin.
  - (c) **Notes** that all Fees and Charges include GST.
  - (d) **Notes** that the gate charges at Oxford transfer station are proportional to the gate charges at Southbrook Resource Recovery Park to ensure equity for residents in disposing of waste materials at all Council facilities.
  - (e) **Notes** that the gate charges for loads received at Oxford transfer station have been calculated using the conversion factors in Schedule 2 of the Waste Minimisation (Information Requirements) Regulations 2021.
  - (f) **Approves** the following changes to Collection Account budgets:

Description	Draft LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Proposed LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Difference (\$,000)
Revenue			
Recycling Bin Rate	1,803.4	1,693.4	-109.9
Refuse Collection Rates	1,365.6	1,422.0	56.4
Organics Collection Rates	1,037.3	1,122.6	85.2
Refuse Bag Revenue	460.6	456.1	-4.5
Wheelie Bin Fees	81.1	75.3	-5.8
Operational Expenditure			
Kerbside Collection management and promotions	138.0	151.3	13.2
Collection	684.9	686.2	1.4
Disposal Charges Refuse	1,092.8	1,125.2	32.4
Disposal Charges Organics	504.9	555.4	50.6
Landfill Levy	98.6	101.6	2.9
Contract Payments – Refuse Collection	449.1	452.2	3.1
Contract Payments Recycling	558.4	565.8	7.4
Contract Payment Organics	577.5	618.1	40.6

- (g) **Notes** that staff do not propose to change the individual targeted rates for Recycling, Refuse and Organics collection services or the refuse bag charges from the figures presented in the draft Long Term Plan 2021-31.
- (h) **Notes** that the changes to income are as a result of updated bin numbers and the changes to expenditure result from the continuation of bin audits, and a decrease in recycling processing charges.
- (i) **Approves** the following changes to Disposal Account budgets:

Description	Draft LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Proposed LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Difference (\$,000)	
Revenue				
Gate sales	2,892.5	2,824.9	-67.7	
Hardfill Pit	59.3	59.9	0.5	
Refuse Collection Charges	1,092.8	1,125.2	32.4	
Recyclables	77.4	73.3	-4.2	
Operational Expenditure				
Recycling	338.9	318.1	-20.8	
Refuse to Landfill	1,793.3	1,782.4	-10.9	
Greenwaste	237.9	225.8	-12.2	
Transportation	588.9	582.9	-6.0	

Description	Draft LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Proposed LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Difference (\$,000)
Computer Costs	43.3	43.5	+0.2
Depreciation	127.6	128.6	+1.0

- (j) Notes that the changes to income and expenditure are as a result of the impact of updated kerbside bin numbers which alter waste flows into the transfer station facilities, amended Fees and Charges, and a decrease in recycling processing charges.
- (k) **Approves** the deferral of the budget for Rangiora Closed Landfill flood protection works until 23/24, and new budgets to design and install a stormwater management system to ensure ongoing consent compliance at Southbrook RRP as per 2(I).
- (I) **Approves** the following changes to Disposal Account capital works budget for 21/22:

Description	Draft LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Proposed LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Difference (\$,000)
Capital Expenditure			
New Works	385.1	327.6	-57.5
<ul> <li>Southbrook – Disposal Pit Upgrade and Road Realignment</li> <li>Minor Upgrades</li> <li>Closed Landfill flood protection</li> </ul>	146.1 40.0 150.0	154.6 124.0 0.0	+8.5 +84.0 -150.0

(m) **Approves** continuing to provide the Cust rural recycling drop-off facility for the benefit of rural residents from the greater Cust area.

(n) **Approves** the following changes to Waste Minimisation Account budgets:

Description	Draft LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Proposed LTP 21/22 budget (\$,000)	Difference (\$,000)	
Direct Expenditure				
Waste Minimisation Implementation	114.7	120.1	+5.4	
Capital Expenditure				
Southbrook – Resource and Recovery Area Upgrades	138.0	380.0	+242.0	

(o) **Notes** that the increase in the Waste Minimisation Implementation budget is the result of updated tonnage figures owing to increased use of the Cust rural recycling drop-off facility, which is partially offset by reduced recycling processing charges.

- (p) Notes that the design and consenting costs for the Southbrook Resource and Recovery Area Upgrades project has been increased owing an overall increase in the site footprint which will increase total project costs.
- (q) Notes that staff propose to finalise the Southbrook RRP site development plans in consultation with the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party, and will provide further information to Council about the extent and cost of the proposed upgrades prior to their inclusion in the 22/23 Annual Plan Budgets for public consultation.

#### 6.16 <u>Ravenswood Park and Ride Land Purchase Staff Submission to Long Term Plan 2021 –</u> 2031 – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

J McBride explained the need for \$400,000 for the Ravenswood Park and Ride budget to be moved out from the 2021/22 financial year to 2022/23 financial year, as there was still some work to be done prior to purchasing land for this facility.

Councillor Barnett noted that the stages of the Ravenswood development was being release faster than anticipated. She enquired if this would have an impact to the Council's ability to secure land for the development of the proposed Park and Ride facility. J McBride advised that there would not be any negative impact as the Council had already been liaising with the developer on possible options. The Council was also investigating possible options in the wider area.

Moved: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Ward

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210504070413.
- (b) **Approves** moving the Ravenswood Park and Ride budget of \$400,000 from 2021/22 to 2022/23.
- (c) **Notes** that this will decrease the rates in 2022/23 by 0.2%.
- (d) **Notes** that if the project progresses quicker than anticipated, staff may report separately to the Council requesting the budget be brought forward.
- (e) **Circulates** this report to the Utilities and Roading Committee and the Woodend-Sefton Community Board.

CARRIED

#### 6.17 <u>Bellgrove Development Outer East Rangiora Development Area Special Consultative</u> <u>Procedure for Roading Capital Budgets – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)</u> <u>and K LaValley (Project Delivery Manager)</u>

K LaValley noted that the new proposed budgets were a result of on-going discussions with the developers of the Bellgrove development on servicing the development area. These discussions were not well progressed at the time of the draft LTP and therefore provisions for these projects were not included.

Councillor Barnett questioned why the report was not submitted to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for consideration prior to its submission to the Council. G Cleary explained that the report dealt specifically with development contributions; normally Community Boards were normally not consulted on development contributions as it did not fall within their delegations.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Barnett

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210504071101.
- (b) Approves the commencement of a Special Consultative Procedure in relation to a proposed amendment to the Long Term Plan and Development Contribution schedules that would enable funding provision to be made and development contributions levied for the north/south collector road project, the roundabout at Kippenberger Avenue/MacPhail Avenue project, and the shared path project in the Outer East Rangiora Development area.
- (c) **Notes** that the Special Consultative Procedure will run in the second half of 2021 with a detailed timeline to be developed in consultation with the Policy and Strategy Unit and the Governance Manager.
- (d) **Notes** that the proposed budgets are primarily growth funded through development contributions (District Roading and Outer East Rangiora Development Contributions).
- (e) **Circulates** this report to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.

#### CARRIED

Councillor Ward commented that she used Kippenberger Avenue regularly and was pleased to see the construction of the proposed roundabout at Kippenberger/MacPhail Avenues progressing.

Councillor Barnett agreed with the comments made by Councillor Ward.

#### 6.18 <u>Greater Christchurch Partnership – Funding for Spatial Planning – T Ellis (Development</u> <u>Planning Manager)</u>

T Ellis and T Tierney were present for consideration of this report to consider the recommendations of the Greater Christchurch Partnership (GCP) Chief Executives Advisory Group (CEAG) regarding the development of a Greater Christchurch (GC) Spatial Plan. This will require an additional staff member to contribute to the GC Spatial Planning project and the report also sought funding for this. T Ellis outlined three key funding areas for these projects. There has been a lot of sizing work done on the scope of the projects and out of this it has been determined that the Council will need to provide two staff over the next two years to cover this. It is suggested that the additional staff resource is for a fixed term contract.

Councillor Barnett suggested that having this position as a fixed term role would restrict the potential applicants for the position.

There were no further questions.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072217.
- (b) **Notes** the recommendations from the Greater Christchurch Partnership (GCP) Chief Executives Advisory Group (CEAG) meeting of 27 April 2021, as they relate to Spatial Planning, as follows:

CEAG recommend that the GCP Committee:

- a. Notes the alignment of the GC Spatial Plan with the priorities of the GCP, local councils and central government;
- b. Notes the centrality of spatial planning to the Greater Christchurch Partnership's reset of its relationship with central government, and the Partnership's ability to engage in discussions and advocate for Greater Christchurch in the future;
- c. Approves the proposed work programme for the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, noting that the proposed work programme is subject to final agreement by HUD and other central government agencies, and that it is anticipated that the final spatial plan will be adopted in mid-2023;
- d. Notes the overall external resourcing envelope is up to \$1.450m for the two-year work programme, which is additional to in-kind partner contributions;
- e. Notes that a contribution to the funding of this project of \$400k can be met through funding from the existing GC 2050 budget of up to \$200k; and funding from the GCP project budget of up to \$100k per annum for 2021/22 and 2022/23 financial years;
- f. Noting financial recommendation either that the funds will be found from within existing budget or sets out the process for securing funds.
- (c) **Notes** that funding is available within existing budgets to contribute to the Spatial Planning project over the years 2021-2022 to 2022-2023, as set out from paragraph 4.12.
- (d) **Requests** staff to investigate potential future GCP related funding contributions and ongoing staff need and consider funding options ahead of the 2022-2023 Annual Plan, taking into account legislative reform and actions/tasks stemming from GC 2050.
- (e) Approves budget for an additional full-time fixed term salaried staff member in order to enable Council to contribute to the GC Spatial Planning project and that additional funding will be required to provide for this resource over the period 2021-2022 to 2022-2023.

#### CARRIED

#### 6.19 <u>Election Budgetary Effects Related to District Health Board – S Nichols (Governance</u> <u>Manager/Deputy Electoral Officer)</u>

J Millward presented this report which advised the budgetary effect of the Government's reorganisation of the District Health Boards (DHB), which includes no future election of DHB Board members. The next election for DHB's was due in October 2022.

There were no questions from Councillors.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson

Seconded: Councillor Barnett

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210506072968.
- (b) Approves the budgetary allocation to GL 10.135.719.2465 of an additional \$75,000 in the 2022/23, 2025/26 and 2028/29 financial years being a total of \$316,470, \$332,530 and \$355,290 respectively for the additional non-recovery costs associated with no longer managing the Canterbury District Health Board elections.
- (c) **Notes** the net effect is a rates impact of \$75,000.

CARRIED

#### 6.20 <u>Budgeted Carryovers from 2020-21 to 2021-22 Financial Year – P Christensen (Finance</u> <u>Manager)</u>

J Millward presented this report, which contained a list of projects and capital works which have either not commenced or will not be completed this financial year. Approval is required to include these projects into the 2021-22 budget (first year of the 2021-31 Long Term Plan).

There were no questions from Councillors.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 210429068462.
- (b) **Adopts** the carryovers as listed (210429068435) for inclusion in the 2021-22 budget.
- (c) **Notes** the rate effect of the carryovers is 0.10% between years. This is adjusted by effectively "smoothing" the rate effect between these years.

CARRIED

# 6.21 <u>Kaiapoi Community Hub – Consultation Outcomes – R Thornton (Community Development Facilitator – Vibrant Communities) and D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration)</u>

D Roxborough briefed the Council on the recent public consultation on the Kaiapoi Community Hub. The Council received 15 submissions in support of the proposal, three against and one further submission that was neutral. There had been an on-site meeting with local residents and good feedback was provided through the sessions. Workshops were also held in March 2021 including a presentation of a three dimensional model to allow the community to understand an appreciation of what the community hub would be like. Approval was now sought for the development of the proposed Community Hub at 38 Charters Street (between Charters Street, Courtenay Drive and Wyber Place).

Councillor Williams asked about ramifications if the Council remedied the land and it was then sold, D Roxborough explained the proposal for the Hub site was for the Council to own the land and maintain open space on the land.

Moved: Councillor Blackie

Seconded: Councillor Ward

THAT the Council

- (a) **Notes** that there was a mixture of concerns and support voiced by local residents from the meetings and workshops held, and via the wider public submissions to the draft Long Term Plan 2021-2031; and based on the submissions and feedback received staff are recommending the \$435,000 budget be approved.
- (b) **Approves** the location of the Kaiapoi Community Hub at 38 Charters Street (between Charters Street, Courtenay Drive and Wyber Place).
- (c) **Approves** the \$435,000 budget in the Long Term Plan 2021-2031 to establish the Kaiapoi Community Hub and ready the site for hub group buildings.
- (d) **Notes** that should the recommendations in this report be adopted, staff will proceed to development of a final concept plan and resource consent application; and the land at 38 Charters Street would be developed for a Community Hub.
- (e) Notes that a future report on a 'final draft' concept plan will be presented to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board seeking approval to proceed to further community consultation on the concept plan. The outcomes of this consultation will be reported to the Community Board and final approval to proceed to detailed design and construction sought.
- (f) **Notes** that any resource consent application will be publicly notified.
- (g) **Notes** that the full response and reasoning to the Long Term Plan submissions is covered separately.

#### CARRIED

Councillor Ward expressed her support for the Kaiapoi Community Hub.

Mayor Gordon also supported the motion as a lot of work had been carried out by staff, including extensive consultation. A number of concerns had been raised by residents, some which had been addressed by further consultation including display of the three dimensional model. He believed the Resource Consent process would be an independent process and would consider the effects on the community. Vehicle movements had been raised as a specific concern however it was not expected there be a large number of movements. The Hub was well supported in the broader community. He acknowledged and thanked the staff for their work.

Councillor Atkinson noted vehicle movements appeared to be the major concern. As debated at the Community Board level, if the land had been returned to residential the vehicle movements would be significantly higher than that expected from the Hub. The debate around commercial vehicle access had been held previously. The information in the community was that there would be cyclone fences and barbwire at the Hub, which was not the intention. Councillor Atkinson raised concern that while the community wanted a higher level of service they did not want those services near them. He believed there was a need for the Council to address how services such as the Hub were introduced to the Community as a concept.

#### 7. MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987

#### Moved Mayor Gordon Seconded Councillor Barnett

**THAT** the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting. The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution, are as follows:

ltem No	Minutes/Report of	General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution
10.1	Report of S Hart (Business and Centres Manager)	Kaiapoi South Mixed Use Business Area Update	Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7	Section 48(1)(a)

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:

ltem N°	Reason for protection of interests	Ref NZS 9202:2003 Appendix A
10.1	Protection of privacy of natural persons. To carry out commercial activities without prejudice	A2(a) A2(b)ii

#### CARRIED

#### CLOSED MEETING

The public excluded meeting occurred from 2.32pm to 2.52pm.

#### **Resolution to resume in Open Meeting**

Moved: Mayor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Doody

#### Item 10.1 Kaiapoi South Mixed Use Business Area Development Proposals – Update and Further Resourcing

**THAT** the Council resolved that the business discussed, the report and resolution with the public excluded remains public excluded for reasons of commercial sensitivity, noting that further reporting to the Council will occur.

#### **OPEN MEETING**

#### CARRIED

#### 8. CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSIONS TO THE DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2021-2031

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Consider** all public submissions received, proforma, noting the decisions would be finalised at the end of the meeting.
- (b) **Authorise** the Mayor and Chief Executive to approve any editorial amendments and the final versions of the responses to submitters.

CARRIED

#### 1 COMMUNITY AND RECREATION

#### 1.1 <u>Greenspace and Community Facilities</u>

LTP2021.25 by Mr Alistair Gray

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that reserve provision and development in new subdivisions is in line with national framework standards and bench-marking (as per our Activity Management Plan).
- c) **Notes** that any increase in Levels of Service would have a direct impact on rates.
- d) **Approves** staff contacting the submitter to discuss in detail the Council's current plan for subdivision development and Levels of Service.

#### CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Stewart asked for clarification on the contributions up to 7.5%. C Brown explained that 7.5% was the maximum for reserves contributions; if there was an increase to the level of service then the additional funding would need to be found.

#### 1.2 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood)</u>

LTP2021.80 by Canterbury District Health Board LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the submissions are in support of the Council to install community facilities in the Pegasus and Woodend area.
- c) **Notes** that staff will make sure that accessible standards and environmentally friendly options are incorporated in our project scope template and considered in the planning for any future community facility development.

#### CARRIED

#### 1.3 Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood)

1.3.1 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood) - I preferoption A</u>

LTP2021.4 by Miss Louisa Inglis LTP2021.5 by Ms Tonva Bristow LTP2021.6 by Mrs Ronel Stephens LTP2021.7 by Mrs Sheryn Duell LTP2021.9 by Mr John Stowell LTP2021.10 by Mr Neil Wilkinson LTP2021.14 by Mrs Kay Mills LTP2021.18 by Mr Chris Manuel LTP2021.19 by Mrs Christine Johnston LTP2021.20 by Ms Nicola Mills LTP2021.22 by Life Education Trust Canterbury LTP2021.23 by Mrs Martina Princen LTP2021.25 by Mr Alistair Gray LTP2021.27 by Mr Martin Brooks LTP2021.29 by Mrs Fiona Roberts LTP2021.32 by Miss Sally Murphy LTP2021.34 by Mr Alan Spencer LTP2021.43 by Mrs Rae Wakefield-Jones LTP2021.45 by Mr Laurie McArthur LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.63 by Mr Anthony and Stefanie and Mrs Van Meer LTP2021.69 by Ms Mary Sparrow LTP2021.75 by Mr David Hill LTP2021.78 by Mrs Amanda Beukes LTP2021.82 by Mr John and Heather and Mrs Mather LTP2021.83 by Templeton Pegasus Limited LTP2021.84 by Mr Z and A and Mrs Evans LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.91 by Mr George JasonSmith LTP2021.105 by Dr Joy Marshall LTP2021.106 by Mrs Ngaire Wilkinson LTP2021.111 by Dr Judith Roper-Lindsay LTP2021.149 by Mrs Collette Macgregor LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board LTP2021.156 by Mr Ian and Rosemary and Mrs Bywater LTP2021.146 by Ms Heidi Wood LTP2021.139 by Mr Donald MacGregor LTP2021.124 by Pegasus Community Centre Team LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** Report No. 210507073372.
- b) **Notes** that 74 people submitted as part of the Long Term Plan in relation to community facilities at Pegasus and North Woodend.
- c) **Notes** the majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document Option A.

- d) Notes that based on submission responses received, staff are recommending that Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document, remains as is.
- e) **Recommendation** approves the budgets as per Option A of the Long Term Plan for Pegasus and Ravenswood, remaining unchanged as per the draft Long Term Plan.

1.3.2 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood) - I preferoption B</u>

LTP2021.24 by Ms Christine Lambie LTP2021.64 by Mrs Michelle Tocker LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board LTP2021.147 by Mrs T Jolly LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** Report No. 210507073372.
- b) **Notes** that 74 people submitted as part of the Long Term Plan in relation to community facilities at Pegasus and North Woodend.
- c) **Notes** the majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document Option A.
- d) Notes that based on submission responses received, staff are recommending that Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document, remains as is.
- e) **Recommendation** approves the budgets as per Option A of the Long Term Plan for Pegasus and Ravenswood, remaining unchanged as per the draft LTP.

CARRIED

1.3.3 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood) - I preferoption C</u>

LTP2021.12 by Ms Shirley Goodwin LTP2021.16 by Ms Michelle Phillips LTP2021.30 by Mrs Lynn Barr LTP2021.30 by Mrs Lynn Barr LTP2021.36 by Mr Ken and Mrs Cecily Wheeler LTP2021.37 by Mr Lachlan Keating LTP2021.42 by Mrs Trudy Diggs LTP2021.49 by Mrs Maria Huria LTP2021.57 by Mrs Jill Friend LTP2021.73 by Mrs Karolyn Fisher LTP2021.79 by Mrs Vicki Attrill LTP2021.97 by Mr Nick and Mrs Cilla Taylor LTP2021.100 by Mr Jonathan Stagg LTP2021.101 by Mrs Heather Walls LTP2021.107 by Mrs Caryn Hardy

- LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board
- LTP2021.161 by Mrs Karen Lindsay-Lees
- LTP2021.160 by Mr Daniel Huisman

LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts

LTP2021.136 by Mrs Heather Thomas

LTP2021.135 by Mrs Nicola Hunt

LTP2021.133 by Mr Paul McKeefry

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** Report No. 210507073372.
- b) **Notes** that 74 people submitted as part of the Long Term Plan in relation to community facilities at Pegasus and North Woodend.
- c) **Notes** the majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document Option A.
- d) Notes that based on submission responses received, staff are recommending that Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document, remains as is.
- e) **Recommendation** approves the budgets as per Option A of the Long Term Plan for Pegasus and Ravenswood, remaining unchanged as per the draft LTP.

CARRIED

1.3.4 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood) -</u> <u>Predetermined Land Purchase</u>

LTP2021.150 by Mr Craig Sintes

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Notes that subject to confirmation of funding through the Long Term Plan for land purchase for community facilities in Pegasus and Ravenswood, Council staff will start the process of investigation into appropriate land parcels. This will be done alongside the Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- c) **Notes** that funding is available and staff are working with Surf Life Saving New Zealand to ensure a tower is installed at Pegasus Beach for the upcoming season.

#### CARRIED

1.3.5 <u>Providing Community Facilities at Pegasus and Woodend (Ravenswood) - Do Not</u> <u>Support</u>

LTP2021.13 by Mr John Edwards LTP2021.38 by Ms Lee Leonard LTP2021.50 by Ms Linda Pocock LTP2021.68 by Mrs Joy Crofts LTP2021.88 by Ms Nancy Sutherland LTP2021.155 by Mr Henare Uru LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** Report No. 210507073372.
- b) **Notes** that 74 people submitted as part of the Long Term Plan in relation to community facilities at Pegasus and North Woodend.
- c) **Notes** the majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document Option A.
- d) **Notes** that based on submission responses received, staff are recommending that Councils preferred option as per the Long Term Plan engagement document, remains as is.
- e) **Recommendation** approves the budgets as per Option A of the Long Term Plan for Pegasus and Ravenswood, remaining unchanged as per the draft Long Term Plan.

#### CARRIED

#### 1.4 Botanical Gardens

LTP2021.2 by Ms Patricia Newman

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that staff are working on strategies in the coming year that centre on the environment and part of this should look at a botanical collection or arboretum and how we can establish or enhance/consolidate current stock.
- c) **Notes** that the establishment of a stand-alone botanical gardens is currently unaffordable within our district. However WDC is committed to ensuring the protection of Significant Natural Areas and trees of significance through the District Plan and the work that the Greenspace team undertakes regularly.

#### CARRIED

#### 1.5 Accessibility and Signage - Council Facilities

LTP2021.37 by Mr Lachlan Keating

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Notes that staff are considering a scope for a piece of work that would look at multi lingual signage in suitable public spaces and this will include the official languages of New Zealand (English, Te Reo and Sign Language).
- c) **Notes** that staff currently consult with both the Waimakariri access group and Waimakariri age friendly advisory group. This ensures new builds or renewals are considerate and compliant with accessibility standards and usability.

#### CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Doody asked how the roll out of signage was planned. G MacLeod explained that from a Community Facilities point of view there was an expectation that Te Reo would be integrated into new signage. This included bi-lingual signs for the new Stadium. This did not however include signanguage or braille.

Councillor Blackie asked how sign-language or braille would be incorporated into signage and enquired what the budget for bi-lingual signage was. C Brown advised that sign-language was symbols and braille was raised. For new signage there was no additional cost for bi-lingual apart from the extra wording.

Retrofitting signage did have a significant cost and staff could work through the policy direction and come back to the Council with possible options. It was agreed that staff should be requested to submit a report to the Council on the retrofitting of old signage, and consideration should be given to the inclusion of braille.

#### 1.6 Oxford - Dog Park

LTP2021.38 by Ms Lee Leonard LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board LTP2021.144 by Ms Gillian Krzanich

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Approves** the addition of \$95,000 in year three of the Long Term Plan for the development of a dog park in Oxford as per the plan provided by Greenspace to the Community Board.

#### CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

It needed to be made clear to submitters that the project would only commence in year three of the Long Term Plan. However, the Council needed to ensure the ongoing maintenance of this area in the interim, with special sensitivity to the maintenance of the area near the cemetery area.

#### 1.7 Film Studio

LTP2021.62 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the Council would be happy to have discussions about a film studio in the district with any potential providers or developers.
- c) **Notes** that currently the Council doesn't have funding or land availability to support the development of a film studio in the district

CARRIED

#### 1.8 <u>Trevor Inch Memorial Library and Rangiora Civic Centre</u>

#### 1.8.1 Expand and Upgrade the Trevor Inch Memorial Library and Rangiora Civic Building – I prefer option A

LTP2021.4 by Miss Louisa Inglis LTP2021.5 by Ms Tonya Bristow LTP2021.6 by Mrs Ronel Stephens LTP2021.7 by Mrs Sheryn Duell LTP2021.9 by Mr John Stowell LTP2021.15 by Mrs Briony Whitehead LTP2021.17 by Mr John Stapley LTP2021.18 by Mr Chris Manuel LTP2021.19 by Mrs Christine Johnston LTP2021.22 by Life Education Trust Canterbury LTP2021.25 by Mr Alistair Gray LTP2021.27 by Mr Martin Brooks LTP2021.29 by Mrs Fiona Roberts LTP2021.32 by Miss Sally Murphy LTP2021.34 by Mr Alan Spencer LTP2021.37 by Mr Lachlan Keating LTP2021.42 by Mrs Trudy Diggs LTP2021.43 by Mrs Rae Wakefield-Jones LTP2021.49 by Mrs Maria Huria LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.63 by Mr Anthony and Stefanie and Mrs Van Meer LTP2021.66 by Mr Peter Judkins LTP2021.75 by Mr David Hill LTP2021.78 by Mrs Amanda Beukes LTP2021.82 by Mr John and Mrs Heather Mather LTP2021.84 by Mr Z and A and Mrs Evans LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.91 by Mr George JasonSmith LTP2021.101 by Mrs Heather Walls LTP2021.106 by Mrs Ngaire Wilkinson LTP2021.107 by Mrs Caryn Hardy LTP2021.111 by Dr Judith Roper-Lindsay LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board LTP2021.146 by Ms Heidi Wood LTP2021.139 by Mr Donald MacGregor

LTP2021.125 by Flaxton Land Owners

LTP2021.135 by Mrs Nicola Hunt

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- Notes the majority of feedback received by the community supports Council preferred option; to delay Rangiora Civic Precinct and Trevor Inch Memorial Library upgrade until 2028-2030.
- c) **Notes**, while the majority of feedback supported Council's preferred option, a significant amount of detailed, well researched and relevant feedback was received supporting the extension and upgrade of the Rangiora Library as a separate, standalone project.

- d) **Notes**, feedback within community submissions identifying the need to:
  - uncouple the Rangiora Library and Civic Precinct redevelopment project
  - include small group public meeting rooms and increased exhibition space within an extended
  - Rangiora Library include a museum in the Rangiora Library extension Rangiora Library is cramped, restricted, in need of more space
  - the need to include museum services and historical records storage facilities within in the Rangiora Library extension and upgrade
  - community concern for increased construction costs with delays to the library expansion and upgrade concerns of project costs escalating well outside of proposed budget and contingency with proposed delays request for this project to be re-scoped/revisited
  - request that extending and upgrading Rangiora Library be considered separately from Civic Precinct Project comments referring to any Civic Precinct redevelopment perceived as Council prestige project
  - support of Option C provided the library is a standalone project, and starting 2022.
- e) **Approves**, Option A currently included in the Draft Long Term Plan.

1.8.2 Expand and Upgrade the Trevor Inch Memorial Library and Rangiora Civic Building – I prefer option B

LTP2021.10 by Mr Neil Wilkinson LTP2021.36 by Mr Ken and Mrs Cecily and Mrs Wheeler LTP2021.64 by Mrs Michelle Tocker LTP2021.69 by Ms Mary Sparrow LTP2021.62 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson LTP2021.74 by Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society (Inc) LTP2021.97 by Mr Nick and Cilla and Mrs Taylor LTP2021.105 by Dr Joy Marshall LTP2021.105 by Dr Joy Marshall LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board LTP2021.161 by Mrs Karen Lindsay-Lees LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts LTP2021.136 by Mrs Heather Thomas LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- Notes the majority of feedback received by the community supports Council preferred option; to delay Rangiora Civic Precinct and Trevor Inch Memorial Library upgrade until 2028-2030.
- c) **Notes**, while the majority of feedback supported Council's preferred option, a significant amount of detailed, well researched and relevant feedback was received supporting the extension and upgrade of the Rangiora Library as a separate, standalone project.
- d) **Notes**, feedback within community submissions identifying the need to:
  - uncouple the Rangiora Library and Civic Precinct redevelopment project
  - include small group public meeting rooms and increased exhibition space within an extended

- Rangiora Library include a museum in the Rangiora Library extension Rangiora Library is cramped, restricted, in need of more space
- the need to include museum services and historical records storage facilities within in the Rangiora Library extension and upgrade
- community concern for increased construction costs with delays to the library expansion and upgrade concerns of project costs escalating well outside of proposed budget and contingency with proposed delays request for this project to be re-scoped/revisited
- request that extending and upgrading Rangiora Library be considered separately from Civic Precinct Project comments referring to any Civic Precinct redevelopment perceived as Council prestige project
- support of Option C provided the library is a standalone project, and starting 2022.
- e) **Approves**, Option A currently included in Draft Long Term Plan.

- 1.8.3 Expand and Upgrade the Trevor Inch Memorial Library and Rangiora Civic Building I prefer option C
- LTP2021.12 by Ms Shirley Goodwin
- LTP2021.23 by Mrs Martina Princen
- LTP2021.38 by Ms Lee Leonard
- LTP2021.50 by Ms Linda Pocock
- LTP2021.71 by Mrs Anne Anderson
- LTP2021.73 by Mrs Karolyn Fisher
- LTP2021.79 by Mrs Vicki Attrill
- LTP2021.100 by Mr Jonathan Stagg
- LTP2021.147 by Mrs T Jolly
- LTP2021.160 by Mr Daniel Huisman
- LTP2021.156 by Mr Ian and Rosemary and Mrs Bywater
- LTP2021.155 by Mr Henare Uru
- LTP2021.133 by Mr Paul McKeefry

- a) Receives the submissions.
- Notes the majority of feedback received by the community supports Council preferred option; to delay Rangiora Civic Precinct and Trevor Inch Memorial Library upgrade until 2028-2030.
- c) **Notes**, while the majority of feedback supported Council's preferred option, a significant amount of detailed, well researched and relevant feedback was received supporting the extension and upgrade of the Rangiora Library as a separate, standalone project.
- d) **Notes**, feedback within community submissions identifying the need to:
  - uncouple the Rangiora Library and Civic Precinct redevelopment project
  - include small group public meeting rooms and increased exhibition space within an extended
  - Rangiora Library include a museum in the Rangiora Library extension Rangiora Library is cramped, restricted, in need of more space
  - the need to include museum services and historical records storage facilities within in the Rangiora Library extension and upgrade

- community concern for increased construction costs with delays to the library expansion and upgrade concerns of project costs escalating well outside of proposed budget and contingency with proposed delays request for this project to be re-scoped/revisited
- request that extending and upgrading Rangiora Library be considered separately from Civic Precinct Project comments referring to any Civic Precinct redevelopment perceived as Council prestige project
- support of Option C provided the library is a standalone project, and starting 2022/23.
- e) **Approves**, Option A currently included in Draft Long Term Plan.

1.8.4 <u>Expand and Upgrade the Trevor Inch Memorial Library and Rangiora Civic Building -</u> <u>Standalone Library</u>

LTP2021.71 by Mrs Anne Anderson LTP2021.160 by Mr Daniel Huisman

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- Notes the majority of feedback received by the community supports Council preferred option; to delay Rangiora Civic Precinct and Trevor Inch Memorial Library upgrade until 2028-2030.
- c) **Notes**, while the majority of feedback supported Council's preferred option, a significant amount of detailed, well researched and relevant feedback was received supporting the extension and upgrade of the Rangiora Library as a separate, standalone project.
- d) **Notes**, feedback within community submissions identifying the need to:
  - uncouple the Rangiora Library and Civic Precinct redevelopment project
  - include small group public meeting rooms and increased exhibition space within an extended
  - Rangiora Library include a museum in the Rangiora Library extension Rangiora Library is cramped, restricted, in need of more space
  - the need to include museum services and historical records storage facilities within in the Rangiora Library extension and upgrade
  - community concern for increased construction costs with delays to the library expansion and upgrade concerns of project costs escalating well outside of proposed budget and contingency with proposed delays request for this project to be re-scoped/revisited
  - request that extending and upgrading Rangiora Library be considered separately from Civic Precinct Project comments referring to any Civic Precinct redevelopment perceived as Council prestige project
  - support of Option C provided the library is a standalone project, and starting 2022/23.
- e) **Approves**, Option A currently included in Draft Long Term Plan.

# 1.8.5 <u>Expand and Upgrade the Trevor Inch Memorial Library and Rangiora Civic Building -</u> <u>Do Not Support</u>

LTP2021.13 by Mr John Edwards LTP2021.68 by Mrs Joy Crofts LTP2021.88 by Ms Nancy Sutherland

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- Notes the majority of feedback received by the community supports Council preferred option; to delay Rangiora Civic Precinct and Trevor Inch Memorial Library upgrade until 2028-2030.
- c) **Notes**, while the majority of feedback supported Council's preferred option, a significant amount of detailed, well researched and relevant feedback was received supporting the extension and upgrade of the Rangiora Library as a separate, standalone project.
- d) **Notes**, feedback within community submissions identifying the need to:
  - uncouple the Rangiora Library and Civic Precinct redevelopment project
  - include small group public meeting rooms and increased exhibition space within an extended
  - Rangiora Library include a museum in the Rangiora Library extension Rangiora Library is cramped, restricted, in need of more space
  - the need to include museum services and historical records storage facilities within in the Rangiora Library extension and upgrade
  - community concern for increased construction costs with delays to the library expansion and upgrade concerns of project costs escalating well outside of proposed budget and contingency with proposed delays request for this project to be re-scoped/revisited
  - request that extending and upgrading Rangiora Library be considered separately from Civic Precinct Project comments referring to any Civic Precinct redevelopment perceived as Council prestige project
  - support of Option C provided the library is a standalone project, and starting 2022/23.
- e) **Approves**, Option A currently included in Draft Long Term Plan.

CARRIED

# 1.9 Land Purchase - Pegasus

LTP2021.42 by Mrs Trudy Diggs LTP2021.54 by Mrs Jackie Hancock LTP2021.90 by Mr Paul Brouwers LTP2021.95 by Pegasus parkrun LTP2021.161 by Mrs Karen Lindsay-Lees

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Notes** that greenspace currently maintains the land in question.

- c) **Notes** that the parkrun can continue without specifically purchasing any land for this particular activity.
- d) **Notes** that Council will consider the Park Run and its use of space in Pegasus as part of any land purchase.

# 1.10 Sefton Hall Replacement

LTP2021.53 by Sefton Hall Committee LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Notes** that staff will continue to work with and support the Sefton Hall Committee in the planning and design and engagement of the hall relocation/rebuild.
- c) **Approves** the retention of the \$200,000 in the Long Term Plan for the Sefton Community Hall project.

CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Councillors requested that the Sefton Hall Committee be thanked and congratulated on the work that they had done on the project to date.

# 1.11 High Speed Internet/WiFi in Council Community Facilities

LTP2021.41 by West Eyreton Hall Advisory Group LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.124 by Pegasus Community Centre Team

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) Notes that staff in Greenspace and IT are working on this project and the likely cost for installation and ongoing operational cost. Current plans would suggest that the capital cost will be covered within existing budgets while operational costs will be able to be included in the current software package provide by 2 Degrees.

#### CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

It was noted that 2 Degrees did not have service in these areas, and staff were therefore requested to investigate other possible providers, acknowledging that the budget was set for 2 Degrees at this time.

# 1.12 Permanent Carpark at the Cust Community Centre

LTP2021.61 by Cust Community Centre Advisory Group

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** budget of \$105,000 being allocated in year 11 of the Long Term Plan towards the formalisation of the car park at Cust noting that staff will undertake monitoring in the next financial year to determine usage and demand of parking with a report back to the Community Board and Council prior to the annual plan next year Please refer to staff submission for full report.

CARRIED

# 1.13 Park Development

LTP2021.72 by Rangiora Croquet Club Inc.

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that staff will continue to work with the Community Board and the Croquet club to look at options for the development of Millton Memorial Reserve.

CARRIED

# 1.14 Sports Development

LTP2021.70 by Sport Canterbury

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the submission from Sport Canterbury supports the intended actions of the Council in regards to its Sport Strategy and the funding it has set aside for achieving these actions.
- c) **Notes** that the submission is advocating for earlier spend on the Aquatics Strategy, this is not supported by staff due to the significant impact on rates for our districts community.
- d) **Notes** that \$50,000 is provided within the Long Term Plan for aquatic planning.

CARRIED

# 1.15 <u>Woodend and Waikuku Public Toilets</u>

LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.94 by Woodend Beach Community Group

THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the submissions.

- b) **Approves** the moving of the Ashley Picnic Ground toilet (Rangiora next to BMX club) renewal being pushed out to 2023/24.
- c) **Approves** renewal funding for Woodend toilet and playground being brought forward to 2021/22 financial year.

# 1.16 Gladstone Dog Park

LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that due to the sand base and lack of irrigation grass is extremely difficult to grow in this location, however an all-weather path is provided within the park to allow people to access from and to Woodend.
- c) **Notes** a yellow way finding sign from the state highway also indicates that there is a dog park at Gladstone Park from central Woodend.
- d) **Approves** staff to undertake a cost analysis of installing irrigation and bringing soil into the park to increase grass coverage. This to be reported through the Woodend Sefton Community Board and to Council for consideration at next year's annual plan.

#### CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

It was agreed that a report should be submitted to the Woodend-Sefton Community Board regarding the possible development of a path from Gladstone Road to the dog park, and the possible installation of another gate using the Board's Landscaping Budget. The possible planting of trees for shade to also be included in the report.

# 1.17 Woodend Beach Playground

LTP2021.94 by Woodend Beach Community Group

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** the moving of the Ashley Picnic Ground toilet (Rangiora next to BMX club) and Kaiapoi Domain playground renewal being pushed out to 2023/24.
- c) **Approves** renewal funding for Woodend toilet and playground being brought forward to 2021/22 financial year.

# 1.18 Kaiapoi Community Centre - Security Cameras

LTP2021.112 by Wellbeing North Canterbury Community Trust

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Recommends** that staff consider external cameras on the Kaiapoi Community Centre as part of a wider network plan.
- c) **Notes** that staff have prepared a separate report for Council's consideration for funding of cameras in the district.
- d) **Declines** the request from the Trust for two internal cameras. Noting that staff will work with the Trust on options and advice if they choose to pursue this.

CARRIED

# 1.19 Cemeteries - Kaiapoi Public Cemetery Memorial Wall

LTP2021.113.1 in Submission LTP2021.113 by Kaiapoi RSA

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Supports** staff working with the RSA to identify a location and then design for consideration by the Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board.
- c) **Notes** that the cost associated with physical works would be the responsibility of the RSA.

# CARRIED

# 1.20 Oxford Township - Lighting

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Recommends** that staff continue to work with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to look at the priorities for Pearson Park and if this project is something they see value in supporting through their annual grant.

# CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

It was noted that the Pearson Advisor Group had a budget of \$40,000 available, that could be allocated for lighting and they therefore needed to prioritise urgent projects that needed to be done. Staff were requested to investigate the possible lighting options for the recently installed path from Main Street to the Three Peaks subdivision.

# 1.21 Oxford Ohoka Ward - BMX/Scooter/Skateboard area

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request noting that BMX/Pump tracks are available in Cust, Ohoka, and being developed in Mandeville as well as a skate/scooter park in Oxford.
- c) Notes that staff would be happy to work with the Community Board and wider Community if they wished to install a BMX/Pump track, noting that these assets are often built and maintained by volunteers.

CARRIED

# 1.22 Oxford Skate Park

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the service request has been resolved in regards to the light at the skate park and being re positioned.
- c) **Notes** that the Pearson Park skate park is within the current Levels of Service requirements and any extension would breach this.
- d) **Notes** that the Pearson Park Advisory Group has capital funds available should it deem the skate park necessary for expansion.

CARRIED

# 1.23 <u>Riparian Planting</u>

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that this idea would also sit well with Arohatia te Awa and that staff should inform and engage each of the community boards to inform them of a program of works approved by the working group in the coming financial year.

CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Staff were requested to submit a report on the adequacy of the Community Boards' current Landscape Budgets, especially the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board as they had a large area they covered.

# 1.24 West Eyreton Pit

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Recommends** that staff create a plan for both the Oaks Reserve and the West Eyreton Pit given the proximity of the two land parcels there is a clear relationship and both are important to the Community Board.
- c) **Notes** that once the plan is complete and assessment of disposal is finalised the Community Board at that time may be in a position to allocate its general landscaping fund for any works.
- d) **Notes** that the pit will form part of the acquisitions and disposal policy that the property team is currently working on.

CARRIED

# 1.25 Fees and Charges - Community Facilities

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Declines the request from the Oxford Ohoka Community Board, regarding the process for setting community facilities fees and charges and that it should not be reviewed at this time. The process has been worked on over the past two years with the outcome of a fees and charges waiver sub-committee now being established.

# CARRIED

# 1.26 <u>Town Entrances Strategy and Implementation Plan</u>

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Notes** that the town entrance strategy has been developed that has priorities for implementation.
- c) **Notes** that where the Council has roading projects near town entrances, these will be considered for inclusion at this time.
- d) **Notes** that should Community Board's wish to enhance town entrances they should consider this through the allocation of their general landscaping fund.

### 1.26.1 Kaiapoi - Town Entrances

LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Mayor Gordon

**THAT** the Council:

- a) Receives the submission.
- b) **Approves** the bringing forward of the Kaiapoi Lakes funding noting that one year would be for planning and the next for implementation.
- c) **Notes** that funding would then be approved for Year one of the Long Term Plan and Year two.
- d) **Notes** that staff will work with the community board to develop design plans for engagement on the drainage reserve opposite the Hellers site and that this is taken through Land and Water Committee for recommendation then to Council should budget be required.
- e) **Notes** that the Town Entrance Strategy has been developed that has priorities for implementation.
- f) **Notes** that where the Council has roading projects near town entrances that these will be considered for inclusion at this time.
- g) **Requests** a report with a review of town entrances including maintenance and capital spend.

CARRIED Councillor Doody against

### Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Atkinson requested a report with a review of town entrances including maintenance budget and capital spend, with indicative timelines. This should be considered in conjunction with the possible increase of the Community Board's Landscaping Budget which could assist with the beautification and maintenance of town entrances.

# 1.27 <u>Request for Funding</u>

1.27.1 Ashley Gorge Reserve - BBQ

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** a grant of \$17,000 to the Ashley Gorge Advisory Group to meet the funding that they have already generated for the installation of a BBQ.

### 1.27.2 Kaiapoi River Rehabilitation

LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board is supportive of the Council's proposal to continue with Kaiapoi River rehabilitation works. Kaiapoi and Cam River rehabilitation works are proposed under the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) budget; with \$20k Capex and \$10k Opex expenditure per annum in the draft Long Term Plan 2021-2031.

CARRIED

#### 1.27.3 Kaiapoi - Art Expo

LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

Having previously declared a conflict of interest, the Mayor vacated the chair and left the room during the discussion of this item. Deputy Mayor N Atkinson chaired the meeting during the discussion.

Moved: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Grants** \$2,000 to assist funding the Kaiapoi Art Expo and reduce the funding of the Discretionary Funding by \$500 per Community Board for the 2021/22 financial year.
- c) **Circulate** this recommendation to all the Community Boards.

CARRIED

Councillor Doody Against

#### Councillors' Comments:

It was agreed that the \$2,000 should be considered as the annual contribution, therefore the expectation was that no additional funding would be sought from the Community Boards by the Kaiapoi Art Expo, and that the Community Boards be notified accordingly.

On conclusion of discussion, the Mayor resumed the Chair.

# 1.27.4 Wolffs Road Suspension Bridge

LTP2021.121 by Cust and Districts Historical Records Society Inc LTP2021.129 by Mrs Shirley Farrell

#### THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the submissions.

- b) **Notes** that an evaluation report for the bridge has been undertaken recently by staff with options costed out for either repair or removal of the bridge.
- c) Notes that staff intend to report the findings of this report back to the Community Board and Council. Notes that the cost to disestablish the superstructure sits at \$195,000.
- d) **Notes** that full disestablishment of the structure sits at \$140,000.
- e) **Notes** that the cost to refurbish existing structure to reopen sits at \$590,000 with an ongoing annual operational cost of \$2,000-
- f) \$5,000.
- g) **Notes** that the cost to replace in whole is \$850,000 with ongoing operational cost of \$2,000-\$5,000.
- h) **Recommends** that staff create an engagement plan and take this through to the Community Board and Community and Recreation for approval prior to engaging with the Community.
- i) Notes that staff will work with Heritage NZ on requirements under the Act as well.

# 1.27.5 Silverstream - Landscaping

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that staff will prepare a landscape plan for the consideration of the Community Board for the land at Island Road on the True right bank.
- c) **Notes** that the Community Board can consider this as part of its general landscaping fund.

CARRIED

# 1.27.6 Eyrewell

LTP2021.76 by Mr Seamus Robertson

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that staff will pass fire station query onto FENZ so that they are aware of the submitters concerns.
- c) Notes that staff will make contact with the submitter to talk through the asset deficiency they have raised for the Eyrewell, noting that staff are not aware of such a deficiency.

# 1.27.7 Coopers Creek Carpark

LTP2021.91 by Mr George JasonSmith LTP2021.91 by Mr George JasonSmith

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that it has been identified that the toilet is provided by the DOC. Notes the Mayor has currently had a meeting with an adjacent resident and the DOC where it was agreed that an upgraded port a loo would be provided at this location and serviced more regularly.
- c) **Notes** the resident who lives adjacent has requested that the car park remain as it is in fear an upgrade would encourage significantly more usage and impact them.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Mayor Gordon commented that the public did not seem to use the public toilets at this location. Staff were therefore requested to provide a report to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board and the Council on the options for public toilets and include carparking, as this was a highly utilised area. It was suggested that more signage may be useful to encourage people to use the facilities.

# 1.27.8 Waimakariri Public Arts Trust

LTP2021.120 by Waimakariri Public Arts Trust

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** a grant for the Waimakariri Public Arts Trust of \$10,000 per year for the first three years of the Long Term Plan.
- c) **Notes** that this grant is seed funding to provide the Waimakariri Public Arts Trust a better chance of seeking external funding.
- d) **Notes** that using this grant it is hoped that the Public Arts Trust can build a reputation in the community which will lead to a more sustainable external funding ability in the future.

CARRIED

# 1.27.9 <u>New Zealand Memorial Museum Trust - Le Quesnoy</u>

LTP2021.44 by New Zealand Memorial Museum Trust - Le Quesnoy

# THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

b) **Notes** that this submission be considered within a report to Council at a future date.

### CARRIED

# 1.27.10 Mandeville Sports Club

LTP2021.51 by Mandeville Sports Club

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission
- b) **Approves** the allocation of \$28,000 for a variable speed drive for irrigation and well infrastructure. This will ensure that less funding is likely to be required in the future and ensure water is available for several of the clubs at the domain.
- c) **Approves** the allocation of \$15,000 (\$5,000 each year for the next three years) for the installation of the perimeter track. This is one of the final pieces of the original concept plan to be installed.
- d) **Notes** that the funding for the rugby field lights is already available within the sports development program.
- e) **Notes** staff tree contractor will work with the club to look at the removal of two large macrocarpa trees.
- f) Notes staff will work with the club to understand the cost of the tree work along the north western boundary. This will be reported back to Council once understood.
- g) **Declines** funding request for basketball half court. Noting that this should be a longer term aspiration.
- h) **Declines** the funding request for the tractor/mower. A conversation is needed to look at options for funding, including the potential for a Council loan if other avenues are exhausted.
- i) **Declines** the funding request for \$5,000 per year for tree planting. Other options for this should be explored including if the current operating grant can be utilised for this.
- j) **Declines** the funding request for sealing the car park to the south of the clubhouse. Noting that this should be a longer term aspiration.
- k) **Declines** the request to help fund an indoor training facility. Not enough is known at this time about such a facility.

CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Staff were requested to change the wording in recommendation c) to indicate that \$15,000 had been allocated (\$5,000 for a three year period).

# 1.27.11 ICE North Canterbury Gymsports

LTP2021.85 by ICE North Canterbury Gymsports

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request from Ice Trampolining on the basis that the club has not been able to secure sufficient external or bank loan funding.
- c) **Notes** that Council staff will continue to work with Ice Trampolining on funding and accommodation options.

CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

It was noted that the bank had requested an engineering report be provided on the building and had increased its requirement of a deposit. Staff were requested to submit a report to the Council on costings and other options to achieve the sale and transfer of the building from North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust to Ice North Canterbury Gymsports.

# 1.27.12 Southbrook Community Sports Club

LTP2021.159 by Southbrook Community Sports Club

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Supports** this funding bid noting that the findings of the feasibility study will be presented back prior to next year's annual plan. This study will help identify ways that the club can be more sustainable and viable for the future. It will also recommend what Councils role will be with this club and its assets going forward.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

It was reported that If Council took over the insurance of Southbrook Community Sports Club building that there would be savings. Staff requested to clarify the insurance component and report further on the cost to include earthquake cover. These options to be discussed with the Club and be reported back to Council noting support for a proposed \$26,000 grant from the Council.

# 1.27.13 Ashley Gorge Reserve Advisory Group

LTP2021.158 by Ashley Gorge Reserve Advisory Group

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** a grant of \$17,000 to the Ashley Gorge Advisory Group to meet the funding that they have already generated for the installation of a BBQ.

1.27.14 Kaiapoi Promotions Association Ltd - Maps

LTP2021.140 by Kaiapoi Promotion Association Inc.

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** the work Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc. has undertaken in the area of Walking and Cycling and commends them for this work.
- c) **Notes** that consideration is being given to regional mapping opportunities and this needs further investigation.
- d) **Declines** the request for a grant of \$15,000.

CARRIED

#### 1.27.15 Waikuku Surf Life Saving Club

LTP2021.131 by Mr John Ellerm LTP2021.132 by Waikuku Surf Llfe Saving Club

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Decline** any specific funding allocation towards any new clubhouse building at this time.
- c) **Notes** that staff are working with the Northside board riders club and would be interested in facilitating a conversation between the two clubs.
- d) **Notes** that staff would be interested to work with the Waikuku surf lifesaving club to understand in more detail the need for a replacement of the current building cost and timeframes.
- e) **Notes** staff would be willing to work with the club to link with funders and assist through this process.

CARRIED

# 1.27.16 Ashley Rugby Football Club

LTP2021.162 by Ashley Rugby Football Club

**THAT** the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

b) Advises no change, the submission supports the intent of the Long Term Plan to set aside renewal funds for community buildings each financial year. Loburn is expected to be within the first two years of that funding. Notes that funding already exists for consideration of renewal works within the Loburn Domain Community Facility.

### CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

A concern was expressed that the Loburn Advisory Group knew nothing of the Ashley Rugby Clubs desire to upgrade the facilities. There needed to be a linked-up conversation with the Advisory Group and users on future plans.

# 1.27.17 Orana Wildlife Park

LTP2021.130 by Orana Wildlife Trust

#### THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the impact on rate payers of the requested \$250,000 operation grant would be approximately \$10 per rateable unit or 2.26% on top of the recreation account.
- c) **Declines** the request from Orana Wildlife Trust for a \$250,000 contribution towards ongoing operational costs.

CARRIED

#### 1.27.18 Waimak United Football Club

LTP2021.164 by Waimakariri United Football Club

#### THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that no specific funds have been requested. Notes that staff will continue to work alongside the club to ensure viability and support for capacity building.
- c) **Notes** the club have some capital items they wish to see funded and staff will work with them to assist to connect them with funding organisations.

CARRIED

# 1.27.19 Kaiapoi Promotions Association Ltd - Advertising

LTP2021.140 by Kaiapoi Promotion Association. Inc

- a) **Receives** the submission
- b) **Notes** the work undertaken by Kaiapoi Promotions Association to promote Kaiapoi, connect businesses and coordinate local events.

- c) **Notes** the good will and support shown by local company PLC through their funding of a Kaiapoi 'jingle' to further promote the businesses of Kaiapoi
- d) **Supports** the current Long Term Plan operational grant and Christmas event funding inclusions for the three District Promotions Associations

1.27.20 West Eyreton Smallbore Rifle Club

LTP2021.165 by West Eyreton Smallbore Rifle Club

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** in principle the relocation of the West Eyreton Rifle Range from Cust Community Centre and staff working with the club to identify the best option for this.
- c) Approves the allocation of \$20,000 towards a feasibility study to identify the exact costs associated to the Oxford Rifle Range or a new dedicated range with a report taken to the Community and Recreation Committee outlining the best way forward noting at that point any budget required can be brought before Council for consideration.

CARRIED

### 1.27.21 Heritage Buildings

LTP2021.166 by PLC Group

Moved: Mayor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

(a) **THAT** the Council adjourn to go into a workshop to discuss the proposed Heritage Fund.

CARRIED

The Council went into workshop from 1.42pm to 1.52pm.

Moved: Mayor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

(b) **THAT** the Council reconvene its meeting.

#### CARRIED

Moved: Mayor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Doody

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the Kaiapoi BNZ Building is a heritage 1 category building, and the last of its type in Kaiapoi as a result of the 2010/11 Canterbury Earthquake sequence.

- c) **Notes** that the submitter has been successful in achieving a sum of \$250,000 for strengthening work from the 'Equip Fund', but a further applications to Heritage New Zealand for a further \$100,000 was unsuccessful.
- d) **Approves** an additional \$60,000 to the Heritage Fund for the 2021-22 year.

# Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Stewart enquired if the Councils Heritage, Biodiversity and Ecological Fund was still to be split into a Biodiversity and Ecological Fund and a Heritage Fund. G MacLeod confirmed that the Heritage, Biodiversity and Ecological Fund would be split into two separate funds as from 1 July 2021.

Following discussion during the workshop the recommendation was amended as above.

# 1.27.22 Oxford Arts Trust

LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request from Oxford Arts Trust, regarding operational costs and staff commitments to Arts in Oxford.

### CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

The Mayor noted that the Council already provided an annual grant to the Oxford Arts Trust.

# 1.27.23 Ohoka Domain Advisory Group

LTP2021.169 by Ohoka Domain Advisory Group LTP2021.169 by Ohoka Domain Advisory Group

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Approves the continuation of the Ohoka Advisory Group receiving a grant of \$10,000 per annum. That this fund is utilised for works at Ohoka Bush, Ohoka Domain and to the building that has recently been placed on the domain by the group.

CARRIED

# 1.27.24 Life Education Trust Canterbury

LTP2021.22 by Life Education Trust Canterbury

THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

b) **Advises** no change, Council currently makes a \$3,000 contribution to the trust annually.

CARRIED

# 1.27.25 Surf Lifeguard Patrol

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Increase the base grant we pay for Surf Life Saving New Zealand services to \$110,000 (exact figure due this week) to acknowledge increases that need to be covered due to living wage outputs for life guards.
- c) **Declines** the request from the submitters noting the increase cost and report presented on the usage data that does not support an increase in service provision for the coming season.
- d) **Notes** that the data is collected each year with recommendations for the following season alongside SLSNZ.

CARRIED

# 1.27.26 Public Safety and Crime Prevention

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** staff are currently working on a staff submission to the Long Term Plan which will look to provide funding to additional crime cameras in the district.
- c) **Notes** that staff are actively engaged with the Police, Community Watch and Contractors on the development of a network plan.
- d) **Notes** that staff will consider Pegasus for future crime cameras in its discussion with NZ Police and Community Watch. Notes that CCTV should be considered for the youth facility/skate area and or community facility project if approved.

# CARRIED

1.27.27 Biodiversity

LTP2021.111 by Dr Judith Roper-Lindsay

# **THAT** the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

- b) **Notes** that provision has been made to have a stand-alone Biodiversity contestable fund as well as other projects such as SNA's and Arohatia te Awa being made available and ongoing work at Silverstream reserve in particular.
- c) **Notes** the below projects which are working towards achieving a healthy and sustainable environment:
  - Environment strategy (working title)
  - Climate Control and Sustainability strategy (working title)
  - Arohatia te Awa
  - ZIPA implementation
  - Biodiversity Contestable fund (this being an increase and making standalone from heritage)
  - Land and Water Committee (chaired by Cr Stewart)
  - Ongoing planting and volunteer programs

# 1.28 <u>Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust</u>

LTP2021.111 by Dr Judith Roper-Lindsay LTP2021.122 by Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Advises** the Trust that the Council has no intention of reducing its various involvements with and support to the Trust.

CARRIED

# 1.29 Youth Project

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the intention is to design and develop the youth facility in line with the community facility and the current Long Term Plan provides funding to enable this.

CARRIED

# 1.30 Recreational Paths - Waimakariri/Kaiapoi River Stopbanks

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** the retention of the funding as it is, noting that the pathway at the top of the stopbank is already formed and in useable condition. Staff should continue to work with ECan and report back to Council should joint funding be made available.

# 1.31 Recreations Cycle Route - Waimakariri Bridge to Central Kaiapoi

LTP2021.140 by Kaiapoi Promotion Association. Inc

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** the retention of the funding as it is, noting that the pathway at the top of the stopbank is already formed and in useable condition. Staff should continue to work with ECan and report back to Council should joint funding be made available.

# CARRIED

# 1.32 Aquatic Centres

1.32.1 Expand Dudley Pool

LTP2021.5 by Ms Tonya Bristow LTP2021.15 by Mrs Briony Whitehead LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** support for further development of the Aquatic Centres in line with recommendations in the Districts Aquatic Strategy.
- c) **Notes** that further development of the Districts Aquatic Centres currently scheduled beyond the current Long Term Plan period.

# CARRIED

#### 1.32.2 Aqua Play Park - Kaiapoi

LTP2021.75 by Mr David Hill LTP2021.163 by Mrs Julia Loman

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that this is a matter to be managed under normal operational processes by the appropriate Council Unit and staff.

CARRIED

# 1.32.3 Pines Beach Oval Splash Pad

LTP2021.109 by Pines and Kairaki Beaches Association

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the community support for a Splash Pad at Pines Beach oval and supports staff to work with the Pines Kairaki Beach Association to further investigate a proposal.

c) **Request** a report be submitted to subsequent meeting to Council setting out at the options and cost for the development of a splash pad.

### CARRIED

# Councillor Comments:

Councillor Barnett advised that the paddle pool at Pines Beach Oval had been damaged in the earthquake, and asked if the Council was working with the community to replace the paddle pool as promised. C Brown noted that the community had been requesting a splash pad for a number of years. The community had been advised that the Council would work with the community to investigate possible options where after they would report back to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board. However the community had been made aware that the Council would not develop a paddle pool at the Pines Beach Oval as the Council's Aquatic Strategy had not identified a need for a paddle pool in this community.

Councillor Atkinson explained that the Council decided not to replace the paddle pool at the Pines Beach Oval, due to the fact that the population in the area decreased after the earthquake. He requested that the possible development of a splash pad should be considered as a district wide asset, as an aquatic facility in such a small community would not be considered financially wise. M Greenwood confirmed that the cost of developing a splash pad was still being investigated.

Mayor Gordon requested that a report be submitted to the Council setting out at the options and cost for the development of a splash pad.

# 1.32.4 Oxford Community Aquatic Centre

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the District Aquatic Strategy does not identify covering the pool at Oxford as a priority within the current Long Term Plan period.
- c) **Declines** the request from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- d) **Notes** further investigation into enclosing this facility will occur in future updates of the District Aquatics Strategy.

CARRIED

# 1.32.5 New Aquatics Facility in North Woodend - Support

LTP2021.8 by Mrs Kara Tapp

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** support for further development of Aquatic Facilities within the District.

# 1.32.6 Future Expansion of Aquatic Facilities

LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** support for further development of the Districts Aquatic Centres.

CARRIED

# 1.32.7 New Aquatics Facility in North Woodend

LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** support by submitter for the current Long Term Plan approach.

CARRIED

# 1.33 <u>Museums</u>

#### 1.33.1 Extend Kaiapoi Museum

LTP2021.3 by Kaiapoi District Historical Society (KDHS)

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the extension proposal noting the significant capital cost that would be required to undertake this works and the priority of this work compared to other community infrastructure included in the LTP.

CARRIED

# 1.33.2 Install Elevator in Kaiapoi Museum

LTP2021.3 by Kaiapoi District Historical Society (KDHS)

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request to install a second elevator at the museum noting that there are staff available to assist or contractors if need be with the moving of larger collection pieces. Noting that this should be on an infrequent basis.

Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Redmond enquired if making the existing elevator accessible to the Museum had been investigated. G MacLeod advised that the existing elevator did not access the Museum's storage area.

Councillor Redmond further enquired if a bridge could be installed from the existing elevator area to the Museum's storage area. C Brown noted that a bridge could not be installed without significant changes to the Ruataniwha Kaiapoi Civic Centre's current structure. The Council has therefore made staff or contractors available to assist with the moving of larger collections.

# 1.33.3 Future of Rangiora Museum

LTP2021.52 by Mr Alisdair Leech LTP2021.74 by Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society Inc. LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

#### **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** staff including the Rangiora Museum being put into the project brief for the civic precinct and library redevelopment project.

CARRIED

#### 1.33.4 Museum and Art Storage

LTP2021.74 by Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society (Inc)

#### **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Supports** Greenspace staff proceeding as a priority with identification of short term and medium term solutions for Rangiora Museum storage.
- c) Acknowledges that Council staff have been working closely with Rangiora Museum regarding a number of operational improvements to the setting, however storage remains unresolved.

#### CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

The Mayor requested that the Districts Early Records Society (Inc) be advised that staff would investigate options for alternative storage for the Rangiora Museum, and would report back to the Council on this matter.

# 1.34 Libraries

# 1.34.1 Library in Pegasus

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

# THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

b) Notes that any significant library provision for the east of the district would be considered (as per community facilities report December 2020) for the Ravenswood area. Noting that outreach library service provision would be possible within the Pegasus community centre for which both land purchase and development funding is identified in the current LTP.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Redmond questioned the need for a Library Bus to make the Library services available to the outlying areas. C Brown explained that there were various opinions about the necessity of a Library Bus. He believed that it would be sufficient to make a Librarian available on a weekly basis at the outlying Council facilities.

# 1.35 Accessibility

LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the intentional approach applied to ensuring that affect is given to the objectives of the Waimakariri Accessibility Strategy
- c) **Notes** the broad range of initiatives either under way or under development to facilitate digital inclusion.
- d) **Notes** that, in the medium term, it is necessary that paper and face to face engagement is continued to ensure that the voices of all residents are reflected in local planning and decision making

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

The Mayor requested that the submitter should be advised that the Council had established a Social Housing Working Group to investigate the Council's role in the provision of social housing.

# 1.36 Disc Golf Course

LTP2021.18 by Mr Chris Manuel

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** staff investigating options and costings during the 2021/22 year, to be brought back to Council for consideration in 22/23 Annual Plan.

# CARRIED

# 1.37 Coastguard Boat Ramp

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the points raised by the submission, and that these were considered by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board and the Council during resolution to request budget for the car and boat trailer parking project.
- c) **Approves** the \$285,000 budget for the project per the resolutions made by Council during Draft Long Term Plan considerations.

CARRIED

# 1.38 Ashkeaton Boat Ramp

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the submission in support of the repair works proposed at Askeaton Reserve boat ramp area.
- c) Notes that the submission refers also to the Coastguard boat ramp car and boat trailer parking project also, and that this is covered in more detail in a separate submission and response to the same submitter.

CARRIED

# 1.39 Kaiapoi Community Hub

# 1.39.1 Kaiapoi Community Hub - Support

LTP2021.46 by Satisfy Food Rescue LTP2021.47 by Mr Brian Thompson LTP2021.58 by Ms Michelle Campbell LTP2021.63 by Mr Anthony and Stefanie and Mrs Van Meer LTP2021.75 by Mr David Hill LTP2021.81 by Mrs Tracey Moore LTP2021.92 by Reformed Churches, Soup Kitchen LTP2021.103 by Ms Rohan Dobson LTP2021.112 by Wellbeing North Canterbury Community Trust LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board LTP2021.152 by Mr Cameron Crawley LTP2021.157 by Ms Pauline Lynskey LTP2021.154 by Kaiapoi Croquet Club Inc LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board LTP2021.143 by Delta Community Support Trust

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Accepts** all submissions in favour of the proposed Kaiapoi Community Hub.

c) **Supports** Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board's recommendation to approve the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan of \$435K to progress the development of a Kaiapoi Community Hub.

### CARRIED

# 1.39.2 Kaiapoi Community Hub - Do Not Support - Harkerss

LTP2021.1 by Mr Brett and Tania and Mrs Harkerss

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that most of the concerns raised have been addressed in previous reports to Council.
- c) **Notes** that the project will require resource consent to proceed.
- d) Notes that robust user guidelines, agreements and charters are being developed to ensure the sustainability and appropriate governance and management of the hub project.
- e) **Supports** Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board's recommendation to approve the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan for \$435K to progress the development of the Kaiapoi Community Hub.
- f) **Approves** the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan for \$435K to progress the development of the Kaiapoi Community Hub.

CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

The Mayor requested that the submitters should be advised of the next steps to be taken.

# 1.39.3 Kaiapoi Community Hub - Do Not Support - Chapman

LTP2021.114 by Mr Kelly and Kim and Mrs Chapman

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that most of the concerns raised have been addressed in previous reports to Council as part of the Long Term Plan process.
- c) **Notes** that the project will require resource consent to proceed.
- d) Notes that robust User Guidelines, agreements and charters are being developed to ensure the sustainability and appropriate governance and management of the hub project.

- e) **Supports** Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board's recommendation to approve the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan for \$435K to progress the development of the Kaiapoi Community Hub.
- a) **Approves** the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan for \$435K to progress the development of the Kaiapoi Community Hub

1.39.4 Kaiapoi Community Hub - Do Not Support - Pinkham

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that most of the concerns raised have been addressed in previous reports to Council as part of the Long Term Plan process.
- c) **Notes** that the project will require resource consent to proceed.
- d) **Notes** that robust User Guidelines, agreements and charters are being developed to ensure the sustainability and appropriate governance and management of the hub project.
- Supports Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Boards recommendation to approve the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan for \$435K to progress the development of the Kaiapoi Community Hub.
- f) **Approves** the current provision in the draft Long Term Plan for \$435,000 to progress the development of the Kaiapoi Community Hub.

CARRIED

1.39.5 Kaiapoi Community Hub - Kaiapoi Croquet Club Land Purchase

LTP2021.104 by Mr Michael de Hamel

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request as Council is working directly with the croquet club on the basis that it provides infrastructure in lieu of any land transaction.

CARRIED

#### Councillors' Comments:

It was noted that the Kaiapoi Croquet Club supported the development of the Community Hub and the agreement reached with the Council.

# 1.40 Silverstream Reserve

1.40.1 Silverstream Reserve - Staffing request

LTP2021.31 by Silverstream Reserve Volunteers

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Supports** the continued work with SBN and with the jobs for nature program that DOC is running. This program has helped the Silverstream development immensely.
- c) Notes that the Silverstream Volunteers are a resourceful and determined group who work well with staff and have harnessed local energy to create a jewel in our public space crown. We wish to support their efforts into the future and work alongside Noelene and her crew to create a great resource with Silverstream. In time a ranger resource will be a great addition to the work force, however with current austerity measures and competing interests across the district staff do not feel we can solely focus on this one asset for a staff resource.

CARRIED

# 1.40.2 Silverstream Reserve - Pest Control

LTP2021.31 by Silverstream Reserve Volunteers

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** the provision of an annual grant of \$3,000 to the Silverstream Advisory Group for ongoing pest control.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Redmond advised that the Council needed to determine how successful ongoing pest control was, especially in light of the Silverstream Reserve's close proximity to residential properties. C Brown advised that continued pest control was required to ensure that area remained viable by allowing species to settle.

Councillor Barnett enquired how pest control was previously funded. C Brown confirmed that it was usually funded by external grants. Councillor Barnett raised a concern that the funding was being approved without a clear understanding of how the pest control was being done.

Subsequent to discussion the Councillors agreed that the Council needed to have a holistic view to pest control in the district, as there seemed to be many role-players within the district undertaking pest control and the Council needed to ensure that the approved funding was being optimised.

### 1.40.3 <u>Silverstream Reserve - Ecological Assessment</u>

LTP2021.31 by Silverstream Reserve Volunteers

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Recommends that staff work with either UC or Lincoln University to establish if a suitable post graduate student exists that might find this work of value to their thesis or field of study.
- c) Approves the allocation of up to \$4,000 towards an ecological assessment report for Silverstream reserve, noting that this money will only be used if required by the individual that conducts this work.

CARRIED

#### 1.40.4 Silverstream Reserve - Public Access

LTP2021.31 by Silverstream Reserve Volunteers

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that staff are continuing to work with the salmon hatchery and the DOC to try and ensure any opportunities that arise for connecting the east and west of the reserve are taken.

CARRIED

### 1.40.5 Silverstream Reserve - External Funding

LTP2021.31 by Silverstream Reserve Volunteers

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request from the Silverstream Reserve Advisory Group for additional staff resource to assist with external funding applications.
- c) **Notes** that the current ranger service funded by central will be able to assist with external funding application in the short term. Council will be looking to apply for additional funds from central government to have this service extended.

# 2 STRATEGIC PROJECTS

### 2.1 <u>ECan - General</u>

LTP2021.118 by ECan

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Advises** That ECan be advised in terms of the below comments.
  - Canterbury Regional Forums:

We appreciate your continued commitment to working alongside your Mayoral Forum colleagues for the benefit of Canterbury and its communities, and we look forward to continuing to work with your Council as we implement the Canterbury Regional Forums' work programmes, particularly the Mayoral Forum's Plan for Canterbury, over the remainder of this local government term.

We would like to acknowledge the long-term commitment from your recently retired Chief Executive, Jim Palmer, to the regional forums, and as Chair of the Chief Executives Forum for over six years.

• Climate Change:

We would like to acknowledge your leadership of this group, effectively advocating for climate change issues and supporting the work of the regional climate change working group. We also acknowledge and support your commitment to developing an Organisational Sustainability Strategy and Climate Change Response Strategy for the Waimakariri District.

• Canterbury Water Management Strategy and biodiversity:

We particularly support the Council's 'Arohatia te Awa (Cherish the river)' programme to enhance the habitat of waterways and care for lowland streams and rivers, and would like to note our support of Council's increased investment, including in staffing, in protecting and restoring indigenous biodiversity in the Waimakariri district. We acknowledge your involvement in and support of the Canterbury Biodiversity Champions group and look forward to working together to develop shared regional approaches to key biodiversity challenges for the region.

We acknowledge the Council's participation in, and support of, the Waimakariri Zone Committees and the contribution to implementing the Zone Committees' action plans, and thank the Council for your ongoing commitment to the Canterbury Water Management Strategy and your willingness to work collaboratively and share information with other councils.

• Greater Christchurch Partnership:

We wish to emphasise the value we place on the collaborative work undertaken through the Greater Christchurch Partnership to improve the wellbeing of our communities, and our appreciation of your continued involvement and investment in this work. • Public Transport Infrastructure:

Collaboration is key to the successful integration and delivery of public transport infrastructure and services in Greater Christchurch. We encourage the Council to commit through this Long-Term Plan to the investment programme agreed in the Public Transport Futures business case, both in terms of investment value and the timing of delivery.

# CARRIED

# 2.2 Key Assumptions and Risks

LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Recommends** that the submission be accepted in part and the climate change 'Likelihood' rating be changed to 'High' in the Key Assumptions and Risks table in the draft LTP. Until more information is known the other ratings remain appropriate.

CARRIED

# 2.3 <u>Community Outcomes</u>

2.3.1 <u>Community Outcomes - Climate Change</u>

LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Recommends the submitter's points are acknowledged. To back national direction, and pre-empting what the Climate Change Commission is likely to recommend around emissions reduction measures for local government, staff recommend the activity be amended to read: 'Low carbon, climate-resilient development in the district is promoted to be compatible with a 1.5 degree C national and global carbon budget'.

CARRIED

# 2.3.2 Community Outcomes – Environment

LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust

**THAT** the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

- b) Declines the change suggested by the Trust to the outcome 'There are areas of significant indigenous vegetation and habitats that support indigenous fauna' and replaces it with the following alternative that takes on board the issues raised by the Trust 'Indigenous flora and fauna, and their habitats, especially Significant Natural Areas, are protected and enhanced'.
- c) Adds the following additional activity under the 'There is a healthy and sustainable environment for all' community outcome 'People are actively encouraged to participate in improving the health and sustainability of our environment'. Note this is an amendment to that suggested by the Trust.
- d) **Adds** the following additional activity under the 'There is a healthy and sustainable environment for all' community outcome 'People are connected to the natural world within the built environment' as suggested by the Trust.

#### 2.3.3 Community Outcomes - Transport

LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Declines** the request to change the Transport Community Outcome which refers to "Increasing occupancy in commuter vehicles".
- d) **Agrees** with the request to update the Transport Community Outcome which refers to "The standard of Districts Roads" to instead refer to the Districts Transport System.
- e) **Notes** that an efficient and effective transport network is very important for the Waimakariri District.
- f) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council continues to invest in multi-modal transport options including Park and Ride facilities and Cycleway.
- g) Notes that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch partners continues to implement travel demand management measures to encourage behaviour change.
- h) **Notes** that the Waimakariri District Council is currently working to develop a Walking and Cycling Network Plan across the district which will allow for gaps to be identified and new infrastructure to be prioritised across the district.
- i) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch partners is currently progressing work on Mass Rapid Transit and this will determine future needs.

# 2.3.4 <u>Community Outcomes - Businesses</u>

LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the value the district receives from the arts, culture and voluntary sectors. Particularly in relation to making public spaces more attractive, vibrant and sustainable.
- c) Advises the request from the Oxford Arts Trust to include two additional activities under the Community Outcome relating to local business and organisations having a positive impact on community well-being is declined. Staff will consider whether this could be included into the review of the Local Economic Development Strategy.
- d) **Notes** the inclusion of the \$50,000 for a review of the Local Economic Development Strategy in the 2021/22 financial year of the draft Long Term Plan.
- e) **Notes** that whilst the Local Economic Development Plan may consider contribution and activities related to arts and culture, it is not likely to be the key mechanism for coordinated and focused development of the arts and culture sector.
- f) **Declines** to include further budget for the development of an Arts and Culture Strategy.

CARRIED

#### 2.3.5 <u>Community Outcomes - Identity, Arts and Culture</u>

LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Makes** the following amendments to the community outcomes and associated activity statements:
  - 1. The new activity 'Maori cultural identity, values and aspirations are reflected in built and natural environments' is added to the outcome 'Effect is given to the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi' as suggested by the submitter.
  - 2. The word 'developed' is added to the community outcome ' The community's cultures, arts and heritage are conserved, developed and celebrated' as suggested by the submitter.
  - 3. The following new activity is added to the above outcome (2) 'Public places and spaces provide opportunities for cultural expression and integrated arts'. Note this is an amendment to that suggested by the submitter.

- 4. The words 'and reflect cultural identity' are added to the outcome 'Public spaces and facilities are plentiful, accessible and high quality, and reflect cultural identity' as suggested by the submitter.
- 5. The following new activity is added to the above outcome (4) 'Public spaces express the unique visual identity of our District' as suggested by the submitter.
- 6. The words 'developed and celebrated' are added to the outcome 'The distinctive character of our takiwa towns, villages and rural areas is maintained, developed and celebrated' as suggested by the submitter.
- 7. The following new activity is added to the above outcome (6) 'Public spaces reflect the distinct narratives, character and cultural identity of our takiwa' as suggested by the submitter.
- 8. The words 'and cultural' is added to the activity 'There are wide-ranging opportunities for people of different ages, abilities and cultures to participate in community life, and recreational and cultural activities' under the community outcome 'People are friendly and caring, creating a strong sense of community within our District' as suggested by the submitter.
- 9. The words 'social and cultural wellbeing' are added to the activity 'There are wideranging opportunities to support people's physical health, social and cultural wellbeing' under the community outcome 'People's needs for mental and physical health and social services are met' as suggested by the submitter.
- 10. The words 'cultural centres' are added to the activity 'Our educational facilities, libraries and cultural centres are well resourced and have the capacity to manage and respond to changing demographics' under the community outcome 'People have wide-ranging opportunities for learning and being informed'. Note this is an amendment to that suggested by the submitter.
- c) **Declines** the following suggested amendments:
  - 1. The two suggested activities under the community outcome 'Public spaces and facilities are plentiful, accessible, and high quality'
  - 'Art galleries and museums are enjoyed as cultural centres' and 'Arts public programmes for public spaces are developed (annually) and funded to attract more participation of public spaces and facilities'.
  - 3. The request for the following activity under the community outcome 'The community's cultures, arts and heritage are conserved and celebrated' 'There are wide-ranging opportunities to participate in arts and cultural activities' to be amended to 'Wide-ranging opportunities are developed to facilitate participation in arts and cultural activities'.

# 2.3.6 <u>Community Outcomes - Community</u>

LTP2021.123 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Approves** the existing community outcome 'People are friendly and caring, creating a strong sense of community in our District' be replaced with 'There is a strong sense of community in our District' as recommended by the submitter.

# 2.4 Car Park Building

2.4.1 <u>Build a Car Park Building to service Rangiora Town Centre by 2028-2030 - I prefer</u> option A

LTP2021.5 by Ms Tonva Bristow LTP2021.7 by Mrs Sheryn Duell LTP2021.17 by Mr John Stapley LTP2021.18 by Mr Chris Manuel LTP2021.22 by Life Education Trust Canterbury LTP2021.25 by Mr Alistair Gray LTP2021.27 by Mr Martin Brooks LTP2021.29 by Mrs Fiona Roberts LTP2021.34 by Mr Alan Spencer LTP2021.37 by Mr Lachlan Keating LTP2021.43 by Mrs Rae Wakefield-Jones LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.64 by Mrs Michelle Tocker LTP2021.65 by Miss Emma Anderson LTP2021.69 by Ms Mary Sparrow LTP2021.82 by Mr John and Heather and Mrs Mather LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.91 by Mr George JasonSmith LTP2021.106 by Mrs Ngaire Wilkinson LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board LTP2021.139 by Mr Donald MacGregor

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Notes** that current provisions in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A) are aligned with the transport work undertaken in the adopted Rangiora Town Centre Strategy.
- c) **Notes** that the provision of car parking is in part interlinked with district and commercial growth, and that Council staff will continue to monitor parking supply and demand to ensure the implementation of public parking related projects is appropriately timed.
- d) **Supports** the current provisions included in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A)

2.4.2 <u>Build a Car Park Building to service Rangiora Town Centre by 2028-2030 - I prefer</u> option B

LTP2021.4 by Miss Louisa Inglis LTP2021.19 by Mrs Christine Johnston LTP2021.32 by Miss Sally Murphy LTP2021.36 by Mr Ken and Cecily and Mrs Wheeler LTP2021.63 by Mr Anthony and Stefanie and Mrs Van Meer LTP2021.147.3 in Submission LTP2021.147 by Mrs T Jolly LTP2021.146.3 in Submission LTP2021.146 by Ms Heidi Wood

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Notes** that current provisions in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A) are aligned with the transport work undertaken in the adopted Rangiora Town Centre Strategy.
- c) **Notes** that the provision of car parking is in part interlinked with district and commercial growth, and that Council staff will continue to monitor parking supply and demand to ensure the implementation of public parking related projects is appropriately timed.
- d) **Supports** the current provisions included in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A)

CARRIED

## 2.4.3 <u>Build a Car Park Building to service Rangiora Town Centre by 2028-2030 - I prefer</u> option C

LTP2021.6 by Mrs Ronel Stephens LTP2021.9 by Mr John Stowell LTP2021.10 by Mr Neil Wilkinson LTP2021.12 by Ms Shirley Goodwin LTP2021.15 by Mrs Briony Whitehead LTP2021.20 by Ms Nicola Mills LTP2021.23 by Mrs Martina Princen LTP2021.33 by Mr Mitchell Davies LTP2021.38 by Ms Lee Leonard LTP2021.42 by Mrs Trudy Diggs LTP2021.49 by Mrs Maria Huria LTP2021.50 by Ms Linda Pocock LTP2021.67 by Mrs Fiona van Petegem LTP2021.65 by Miss Emma Anderson LTP2021.73 by Mrs Karolyn Fisher LTP2021.75 by Mr David Hill LTP2021.78 by Mrs Amanda Beukes LTP2021.79 by Mrs Vicki Attrill LTP2021.88 by Ms Nancy Sutherland LTP2021.97 by Mr Nick and Cilla Taylor LTP2021.100 by Mr Jonathan Stagg LTP2021.101 by Mrs Heather Walls LTP2021.105 by Dr Jov Marshall LTP2021.107 by Mrs Caryn Hardy LTP2021.111 by Dr Judith Roper-Lindsay LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board LTP2021.161 by Mrs Karen Lindsay-Lees LTP2021.160 by Mr Daniel Huisman LTP2021.156 by Mr Ian and Rosemary Bywater LTP2021.155 by Mr Henare Uru LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon LTP2021.135 by Mrs Nicola Hunt LTP2021.133 by Mr Paul McKeefry

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submissions.
- b) **Notes** that current provisions in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A) are aligned with the transport work undertaken in the adopted Rangiora Town Centre Strategy.
- c) **Notes** that the provision of car parking is in part interlinked with district and commercial growth, and that Council staff will continue to monitor parking supply and demand to ensure the implementation of public parking related projects is appropriately timed.
- d) **Supports** the current provisions included in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A)

# CARRIED

# 2.4.4 <u>Build a Car Park Building to service Rangiora Town Centre by 2028-2030 - Do Not</u> Support

LTP2021.13 by Mr John Edwards LTP2021.68 by Mrs Joy Crofts LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that current provisions in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A) are aligned with the transport work undertaken in the adopted Rangiora Town Centre Strategy.
- c) **Notes** that the provision of car parking is in part interlinked with district and commercial growth, and that Council staff will continue to monitor parking supply and demand to ensure the implementation of public parking related projects is appropriately timed.
- d) **Supports** the current provisions included in the draft Long Term Plan (Option A).

# CARRIED

# 2.5 <u>Transport and Infrastructure</u>

LTP2021.26 by Mr Alex Dyer LTP2021.67 by Mrs Fiona van Petegem LTP2021.98 by Mr Alistair Lennie

**THAT** the Council:

- b) **Notes** the submitters make some good points in relation to the inter-twined issues of transport and infrastructure.
- c) **Acknowledges** there are important and growing issues regarding the use of private motor vehicles both within and outside of the District. WDC is working with its strategic partners in the Greater Christchurch Partnership investigating longer term options such as suburban rail.
- d) **Notes** within the Long Term Plan, this could include advancing projects that enable climatefriendly behaviour such as building the capacity of the cycleway network, providing additional Park and Ride facilities, installing more bike racks around town centres and Council facilities so as to encourage alternatives to single-occupant car trips.
- e) **Notes** regarding the proposed carpark building (should this project proceed), as a commercial building this should be built to this highest possible (Green Star 6) standard and should have better-than-current facilities incorporated e.g. for electric vehicles and hybrid vehicles.

# 2.6 Business Areas in Kaiapoi

LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the need to ensure that development of the Kaiapoi mixed use area, as it develops, does not detract from the Williams Street and town centre business areas.

CARRIED

# 2.7 Parking

# 2.7.1 Cycle Parking

Submission LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts

**THAT** the Council:

a) **Receives** the submission.

# CARRIED

# 2.7.2 Car Parking - General

LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright LTP2021.97 by Mr Nick and Cilla Taylor LTP2021.107 by Mrs Caryn Hardy

**THAT** the Council:

210528086244 - GOV-01-11

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the requests for additional parking space and more effective parking with the Rangiora Town centre, including future provision for a car parking building within the Long Term Plan period.

# 2.8 <u>COVID-19</u>

## 2.8.1 COVID-19 Economic Recovery

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. Submission LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

## **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the economic and social recovery environments continue to be monitored by staff, with updates being provided to Council on a regular basis.
- c) **Notes** that supported projects within the COVID-19 Recovery Plan are being implemented by Council Units and Enterprise North Canterbury.
- d) **Notes** that the vast majority of projects being implemented are issue or topic based, rather than related to a specific geographic location.
- e) **Notes** the Pegasus Residents Groups support of Plan Change 30.
- f) Supports inclusion of the \$50,000 currently allocated in the 2022/23 financial year of the draft Long Term Plan.

### CARRIED

## Councillors' Comments:

Subsequent to discussion it was agreed that \$50,000 be included in the 2022/23 financial year to review and refresh the current Woodend/Ravenswood - Pegasus Area Strategy be brought forward

### 2.8.2 Covid-19 - Business recovery

LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the ongoing COVID-19 Recovery work being undertaken by Council staff, Enterprise North Canterbury, and the Economic Recovery Group.
- c) **Notes** the current level of investment Council is making into capital projects and social recovery.
- d) **Supports** Council decisions made in January to make provision for a further \$300,000 of Recovery funding in the current financial year for project facilitation and Aquatic Facilities operational income shortfalls.
- e) **Note** Council staff will continue to monitor the economic and social recovery environments, and report back to Council on any further funding recommendations as required.

CARRIED Councillor Atkinson Against

# 2.9 EV Charging Stations

Submission LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the Business and Centres continued work on the implementation of EV Charging Stations, public 'Locky Docks' opportunities for E-Bikes, and preparation work for an E-Scooter trial potentially set for spring of 2021.
- c) **Supports** the continued work of staff on the above mentioned projects.

# CARRIED

# 2.9.1 Oxford EV Charging Stations

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the agreement between Meridian and Council will result in Public EV Charging Stations being installed in Rangiora, Oxford, Kaiapoi and Woodend.
- c) Notes the significant investment made by Meridian, through their use of the Low Emissions Contestable Fund (LEFC) for EV Charging Stations in the Waimakariri District.
- d) **Supports** the continued implementation process as agreed between Council and Meridian through the respective Land Lease Agreements in place.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

The Council noted the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board's concern that the Board had not been consulted prior to the approval of the location of the EV Charging station in Oxford. S Hart noted that the decision to install the EV Charging station in the Town Hall carpark was based on the need to have the stations off-road, safe yet visible. Mayor Gordon requested staff to liaise with the Council's service provider on the possible relocation of the EV Charging station to the Board preferred location.

# 2.10 Climate Change and Sustainability

2.10.1 <u>Responding to Climate Change and Sustainability</u>

LTP2021.23 by Mrs Martina Princen LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.119 by Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board LTP2021.123 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand

# **THAT** the Council:

a) **Acknowledge** the submitters' submission points and suggestions.

- b) **Recommend** that resourcing allocated in the Long-Term Plan be brought forward from Year 4 so that sustainability, and climate change-related, issues can be addressed in line with what is observed as a growing trend in similar-sized councils.
- c) **Notes** a useful starting point would be to give a more prominent profile to sustainability on the Council's website, with dedicated pages outlining strategic, policy and operational responses to these inter-related issues; and providing wider public communication about our sustainability education programme.

# 2.10.2 Climate Change Impacts

LTP2021.99 by Ms Kate Brown LTP2021.160 by Mr Daniel Huisman LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust

THAT the Council:

- a) **Acknowledges** the submitters' submission points and suggestions.
- b) **Notes** building on policy consultation in 2020, staff are in the process of developing a Climate Change Response Strategy with a consultation process expected in the second half of 2021. Any target setting within the strategy (for both climate mitigation and adaptation) will need to align with prescriptions within a National Adaptation Plan and Emissions Reduction Plan (expected later in 2021).
- c) **Notes** a consultation process is expected in the second half of 2021. Any target setting (for both climate mitigation and adaptation) will align with the national direction being recommended by the Climate Change Commission. Budget will need to be allocated in the Long Term Plan to allow for this work programme to proceed.

CARRIED

# 2.10.3 Social and Cultural Sustainability

LTP2021.142 by Oxford Arts Trust

THAT the Council:

- a) **Acknowledges** that the submitter makes some good points in relation to the social aspects of (community) sustainability.
- b) **Acknowledges** there are important and growing issues regarding the changing makeup of the District including growing changes to our cultural and ethnic diversity and how these may be reflected in a community-focused sustainability strategy.

# 3 UTILITIES AND ROADING

# 3.1 <u>Roading</u>

LTP2021.48 by Mr Martin Saunders

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter
- c) Notes that growth is a key consideration in planning for the Districts Roading Activity and that a Capital Works programme has been designed for the next 10+ years to cater for growth in traffic along key corridors.

CARRIED

## 3.1.1 Rail Service

LTP2021.15 by Mrs Briony Whitehead LTP2021.62 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson LTP2021.76 by Mr Seamus Robertson

## **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that the Greater Christchurch Partnership is currently undertaking work which considers options for and viability of Mass Rapid Transport.
- d) **Notes** that the Waimakariri District Council will continue to work with the Greater Christchurch Partners to make joint decisions on passenger rail services which provide the best outcome for all parties within the partnership.
- e) **Notes** that the Greater Christchurch Partnership includes Christchurch City Council, Selwyn District Council, Environment Canterbury, Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) and Waimakariri District Council.

CARRIED

# 3.1.2 <u>Travel Demand Management and Higher Occupancy Vehicles</u>

LTP2021.26 by Mr Alex Dyer

### THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that an efficient and effective transport network is very important for the Waimakariri District.

- d) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council continues to invest in multi-modal transport options.
- e) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch partners continues to implement travel demand management measures to encourage behaviour change.

## 3.1.3 Woodend Bypass

LTP2021.48 by Mr Martin Saunders LTP2021.62 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson LTP2021.73 by Mrs Karolyn Fisher

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council considers the Woodend Bypass essential for resolving connectivity, congestion and safety issues within Woodend and is important from a regional transport perspective as well as allowing for growth within North Canterbury.
- c) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council has and will continue to strongly advocate for the Woodend Bypass to Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency).
- d) Notes that the signalising of the pedestrian crossing point outside Woodend School has been completed by Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) and that this is an important means of providing safe pedestrian access across the State Highway.
- e) **Notes** that staff are continuing to work with Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) to advocate further safety improvements within Woodend, including a walking and cycling connection to the north of Woodend.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comment:

It was noted that the Woodend Bypass was added to the Regional Land Transport Plan and that there had been advocacy to the Minister of Transport and a site visit with New Zealand Transport Agency officials.

### 3.1.4 SH1 Safety Improvements

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Council will continue to work with Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) to progress further safety improvements along the State Highway corridor.

## 3.1.5 Park and Ride

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.84 by Mr and Mrs Z and A Evans LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that the implementation of Park and Ride facilities is being staged in a way as to meet anticipated demand, while allowing for growth in the future as and when demand increases.
- d) **Notes** that budget has been allocated in the Long Term Plan for new Park and Ride facilities in the Ravenswood / Woodend area with the location to be determined.
- e) **Notes** that there will be benefit for the wider community in the use and uptake of Park and Ride and all bus services, as more people in buses means fewer cars on the road and less congestion, and also recognising that over time congestion on the motorway will increase and longer delays will ensue.

### CARRIED

### Councillors' Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that the figures of the Park and Ride usage be made available to the Council. Councillor Barnett suggested that the figures be released to the public via the media.

# 3.1.6 Active Transport Modes

LTP2021.80 by Canterbury District Health Board

# **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for providing active mode choices to achieve better health outcomes for Communities.
- c) **Notes** that the Waimakariri District Council has a Walking and Cycling Strategy which promotes walking and cycling.
- d) **Notes** that the Waimakariri District Council is currently in the process of developing a Walking and Cycling Network Plan and has made provision within the Long Term Plan to continue to develop our network of infrastructure in this area.
- e) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch Partners, is actively working to promote Travel Demand Management and multi-modal transport options.

# 3.1.7 Disabled Carparking and Access

LTP2021.62 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that staff are currently working to develop a District Parking Strategy which will set a framework for how parking is managed within our town centres. This will include consideration of mobility parking needs.
- d) **Acknowledges** that we do have an ageing population in Waimakariri District and that provision of appropriate infrastructure is important.

CARRIED

## 3.1.8 Pines-Kairaki Beach Roundabout

LTP2021.87 by Mr Simon Reeve LTP2021.109 by Pines and Kairaki Beaches Association

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receive** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the view of the submitter.
- c) Notes that this issue was considered by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board on the 18 November 2019 and subsequently the Utilities and Roading Committee on the 19 December 2019. The Utilities and Roading Committee did not support carrying out any further works at the intersection which is a low speed environment.

CARRIED

# 3.1.9 Service Delivery - Roads and Footpaths

LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that work is underway on the development of a wider Walking and Cycling Plan, identifying gaps in the network and prioritisation for delivery of new infrastructure.
- d) **Notes** that public transport has an important role to play in ensuring an efficient transport network in the future.

e) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch partners continues to implement travel demand management measures to encourage behaviour change however it is likely to take time for travel habits to change.

### CARRIED

## 3.1.10 Local Bus Service - Kaiapoi

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that public transport has an important role to play in ensuring an efficient transport network and providing access to services within the community.
- d) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council continues to advocate for improved services within the district.

CARRIED

### 3.1.11 Cycleways and Walkways

LTP2021.96 by Mrs Julie Husband LTP2021.140 by Kaiapoi Promotion Association Inc. LTP2021.135 by Mrs Nicola Hunt

### **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for providing walking and cycling facilities and active mode choices to achieve better health outcomes for Communities.
- c) **Notes** that the Waimakariri District Council has a Walking and Cycling Strategy which promotes walking and cycling.
- d) **Notes** that the Waimakariri District Council is currently in the process of developing a Walking and Cycling Network Plan and has made provision within the Long Term Plan to continue to develop our network of infrastructure in this area.
- e) **Notes** that it will be important to promote the importance and value of this infrastructure within our Communities.

CARRIED

# 3.1.11.1 Cycleways and Walkways - Woodend

LTP2021.30 by Mrs Lynn Barr LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

# THAT the Council:

- b) **Notes** that staff are work with Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) to progress further safety improvements within the State Highway corridor, including a walking and cycling connection to Ravenswood at the north of Woodend.
- c) **Notes** that work is underway on the development of a wider Walking and Cycling Plan, identifying gaps in the network and prioritisation for delivery of new infrastructure.

# 3.1.11.2 Cycleways and Walkways - Woodend to Kaiapoi

LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board LTP2021.110 by Mrs Penny McCracken

## THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for the development of walking and cycling infrastructure between Woodend and the High Schools.
- c) **Notes** that a Walking and Cycling Network Plan is being developed and this will help identify gaps and set priorities for new walking and cycling infrastructure.

# CARRIED

### 3.1.11.3 Cycleways and Walkways - Rangiora

LTP2021.67 by Mrs Fiona van Petegem

## **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for improved urban design and improved walking and cycling infrastructure.
- c) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council supports investment in Walking and Cycling infrastructure and provision of multi- modal transport options.

CARRIED

### 3.1.11.4 Cycleways and Walkways - Ravenswood

LTP2021.23 by Mrs Martina Princen LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

### **THAT** the Council:

- b) Notes that staff are work with Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) to progress further safety improvements within the State Highway corridor, including a walking and cycling connection to Ravenswood at the north of Woodend and safety improvements at the Pegasus roundabout.
- c) **Notes** that work is underway on the development of a wider Walking and Cycling Plan, identifying gaps in the network and prioritisation for delivery of new infrastructure.

# 3.1.11.5 Cycleways and Walkways - Tram Road (between McHughs and No 10 Road)

# LTP2021.107 by Mrs Caryn Hardy

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for the development of a share path along Tram Road from McHughs Road to No. 10 Road.
- c) **Notes** that a Walking and Cycling Network Plan is being developed and this will help confirm gaps and set priorities for new walking and cycling infrastructure.
- d) **Notes** that connections to schools will be a key consideration.

## CARRIED

## Councillors' Comments:

The Council noted the concerns raised by the residents and the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board that multi-use paths were badly lacking in the western part of the district. The Council further agreed that it was a concern that although many urban school children had the option to walk to school, rural school children do not seem to have the same option due to the lack of safe walkways and/or cycleways. The Mayor therefore requested staff to explore options and to report back to the Council and the Board. It was suggested by Councillor Barnett that this could be a shared project with the community so that the Council did not have to fund the whole project.

# 3.1.11.6 Cycleways and Walkways - Oxford-Ohoka Ward area

# LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for the development of walking and cycling infrastructure in the Oxford-Ohoka Ward.
- c) **Notes** that a Walking and Cycling Network Plan is being developed and this will help identify gaps and set priorities for new walking and cycling infrastructure.

# 3.1.11.7 Cycleways and Walkways - Additional Paths Requested

LTP2021.141 by Miss Fiona Bennetts

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that a Walking and Cycling Network Plan is being developed and this will help identify gaps and set priorities for new walking and cycling infrastructure.

CARRIED

# 3.1.11.8 Cycleways and Walkways - Spatial Plan

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

# **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for the development of a network plan which connects our communities.
- c) **Notes** that a Walking and Cycling Network Plan is being developed and this will help identify gaps and set priorities for new walking and cycling infrastructure.

CARRIED

# 3.1.11.9 Cycleways and Walkways - Kaiapoi

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for a higher level of service to support walking and cycling around the stormwater ponds behind Sovereign Palms.
- c) Notes that a Walking and Cycling Network Plan is being developed and this will help determine the route for the connection between Kaiapoi and Woodend and set the level of service required.

### CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments

It was suggested that the Walking and Cycling Network Plan should include Sefton to Woodend, as to cover both ends of the area.

# 3.1.12 Oxford - Foot paths

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for new footpath infrastructure in the Oxford-Ohoka Ward.
- c) **Notes** that included in the Long Term Plan is \$100,000 per year over the next 10 years for new footpaths in urban areas in major towns, including Oxford.
- d) **Notes** that the Roading Capital Projects programme will be presented to the Community Boards and a report taken to Council to approve the next three year programme, and that this programme includes some footpaths in Oxford.

CARRIED

## 3.1.13 Oxford - Street Lights

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter Declines the request to fund lighting for "Dark Skies" in Oxford.
- Notes that to establish a dark sky reserve in Oxford budget would need to be allocated to allow existing street lights to be changed to an Amber LED with the estimated cost being \$70,000;
- d) **Notes** that existing street lighting deficiencies will be addressed through the Minor Improvements Programme over a number of future years.
- e) **Notes** that the majority of the existing street lights in Oxford are already LED lights which could be removed and used in other parts of the district however there will be a costs to remove and reinstall the street lights and no budget has been allocated.
- f) **Notes** that co-funding for replacing the existing LED lights with Dark Skies lights is unlikely.

CARRIED

# 3.1.14 Speed Limits - Oxford

LTP2021.128 by Senior Citizen, Oxford Blind Low Vision Group and Concerned Parents

**THAT** the Council:

- b) **Notes** the support of the Senior Citizen, Oxford Blind Low Vision Group and Concerned Parents for lower speed limits in Oxford and commends them for their submission.
- c) **Notes** that Council is required to comply with the Setting of Speed Limits Rule when reviewing and setting speed limits.
- d) **Notes** that physical works would be required to be undertaken to achieve lower speeds through Main Street, prior to the speed limit being lowered.
- e) **Notes** that further investigation will be undertaken to determine the extent of work required to meet a lower speed limit, confirm likely costs and explore options for funding ahead of the next Annual Plan, for Council to further consider.

# Councillors' Comments:

Mayor Gordon advised that the Council had agreed to include Main Road in Oxford in the Council's Speed Limit Review.

# 3.1.15 <u>Tuahiwi - Footpaths</u>

LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

## THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the request from Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga for improved footpaths within the Tuahiwi Village and consideration of linkages to the wider Walking and Cycling Network.
- c) **Notes** that budget for a gritted footpath within Tuahiwi from Bramleys Road to the Urupa has been included in the Long Term Plan.
- d) **Notes** that work is underway on the development of a Walking and Cycling Network Plan which brings together facilities around the district, considers gaps in the network and how best to link the larger towns and settlements around the district. Once complete then a prioritisation process will be developed for the provision of new infrastructure.

### CARRIED

# 3.1.16 Tuahiwi - Street Lighting

LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the request from Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga for improved street lighting within the Tuahiwi Village.
- c) **Notes** that further work will be undertaken to determine the extent of work required to upgrade the street lighting in Tuahiwi Township, confirm likely costs and explore options for funding ahead of the next Annual Plan.

# 3.1.17 Topito Road Improvements

LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for upgrading of Topito Road.
- c) **Notes** that the upgrade work including widening to 5m and chipsealing is planned to be undertaken as development occurs and Roading Financial Contributions are paid.

# CARRIED

# 3.1.18 Local area traffic management plan - Tuahiwi

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that a speed limit review and consultation has been completed for the wider Tuahiwi area and this was approved by Council in 2020.
- d) Notes that this included a 40 km/h speed limit through the Tuahiwi Village (north of Bramleys Road to the Urupa) and will include a package of minor improvements to support a lower speed environment within Tuahiwi township area.
- e) **Notes** that the minor improvements and lower speed limit are programmed to be complete by the end of June 2021.
- f) **Notes** that further consultation is to be undertaken on the unsealed roads with the Tuahiwi area this year.

## CARRIED

# 3.1.19 Ohoka Road- Island Road Intersection

LTP2021.101 by Mrs Heather Walls

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that at this stage the exact form of the intersection, whether this is a roundabout or traffic signals has not yet been determined.

d) Notes that there will be various constraints which need to be considered when determining the configuration and this includes consideration of the fact that Island Road and Cosgrove Road are part of the over dimension route for vehicles which cannot fit under the State Highway over-bridges. Therefore the design will need to be able to safely accommodate these larger load vehicles.

# CARRIED

## 3.1.20 Southbrook Road enhancements

LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board LTP2021.151 by Mrs Elsa Wright

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that there is both short term and longer term planning underway to help address safety and access concerns within the Southbrook area.
- d) Notes that Waimakariri District Council has made provision within the Long Term Plan to signalise the Southbrook Road / Torlesse Street intersection to improve access to the schools.
- e) **Notes** that the work to implement the traffic signals will be carried out as quickly as possible.
- f) **Notes** that the businesses and schools within the area are high traffic generators and as such this demand will remain.
- g) **Notes** that walking and cycling access within the area is also being considered.

### CARRIED

# 3.1.21 Woodend Road enhancements

LTP2021.151 by Mrs Elsa Wright

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support of further safety improvements in Woodend.
- c) **Notes** that the Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) has recently signalised the pedestrian crossing point outside Woodend School.
- d) Notes that staff are continuing to work with Waka Kotahi to progress further safety improvements within Woodend including a walking and cycling connection to the north of Woodend.

e) Advises that Council budget for safety improvements within Woodend is for local road improvements including traffic calming and walking and cycling improvements on local roads (not controlled by NZ Transport Agency), to tie in with work planned on the State Highway.

## CARRIED

# 3.1.22 Tram Road Safety Improvements

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the support for further improvements at the Tram Road / North Eyre Road Intersection.
- c) **Notes** the installation of flag lighting at the intersection has been included in the Minor Improvements Programme which will be taken to the Community Boards for feedback.

## CARRIED

## 3.1.23 Five Roads Intersection Mandeville

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that the works being undertaken at the McHughs Road / No. 10 Road / North Eyre Road in the 2020/21 year are being carried out under the Minor Improvements Programme, which is a set annual budget allocation for carrying out low cost safety related improvements.
- d) **Notes** that the budget for Minor Improvements is allocated to a number of areas such as minor lighting improvements, school safety improvements, roadside hazard removal, minor works and intersection improvements.
- e) **Notes** that safety improvements around the district are identified and prioritised according to need and that any future improvements would need to be considered and prioritised against other safety issues around the network, then funded accordingly.

# CARRIED

# 3.1.24 Rangiora - Eastern Link Road

LTP2021.117 by Mr Geoff Spark

THAT the Council:

- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that the Eastern Link Road currently sits outside of the Long Term Plan and will require significant capital investment when the road proceeds.
- d) **Notes** that the timing of the project has been set to cater for anticipated growth, however if growth occurs at a higher rate than consideration could be given in the future to bringing this project forward.

# 3.1.25 Local Bus Service in Rangiora

LTP2021.136 by Mrs Heather Thomas

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that public transport has an important role to play in ensuring an efficient transport network in the future.
- d) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch partners continues to implement travel demand management measures to encourage behaviour change however it is likely to take time for travel habits to change.
- e) **Notes** that work is continuing on promotion and travel planning to support behaviour change.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Councillors asked if the Waimakariri district would be able to trial the "MyWay" bus service.

# 3.1.26 District Wide Public Transport

LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright

# THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that public transport has an important role to play in ensuring an efficient transport network in the future, providing access and connectivity within the Community.
- d) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council with the Greater Christchurch partners are continuing to develop the public transport network and implement travel demand management measures to encourage behaviour change however it is likely to take time for travel habits to change.

e) **Notes** that there is currently a trial of an "On-Demand" bus service in Timaru and if successful then this type of initiative may be considered in the future.

# CARRIED

# 3.1.27 Carparking Mandeville Village

LTP2021.127 by Mandeville Residents' Association

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the concerns about parking at the Mandeville retail centre and surrounding area.
- c) Notes that an investigation will be carried out to assess safety issues on Mandeville Road near the entrance to the retail centre, bus stops on Tram Road and Park and Ride on Bradleys Road.

CARRIED

### 3.1.28 Roundabout at Lehmans and Oxford Road

LTP2021.97 by Mr Nick and Cilla and Mrs Taylor

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that the need to provide access, cater for growth / increased demand and improve safety has been identified in the Roading AMP and this is referenced in the Future Demand section, specifically as the West Road Improvements.
- d) Notes that an investigation of the wider Fernside Road / Flaxton Road / Skewbridge Road Route has been undertaken to determine and prioritise deficiencies along this route.
- e) **Notes** that the installation of a roundabout at the Oxford Road / Lehmans Road intersection has been included in the Long Term Plan for design in 2025/26 and construction in 2026/27.

CARRIED

### 3.1.29 Traffic Management Plan - Ohoka Road overbridge and Williams Street

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.

- c) **Notes** that staff will be undertaking a review of the wider corridor considering connectivity, access and speed.
- d) **Notes** that safety improvements at the Ohoka Road / Robert Coup Drive intersection has been included in the Long Term Plan in 2028/29.
- e) **Notes** that development of the Walking and Cycling network plan is continuing and that connectivity to West Kaiapoi will be a key consideration.

### 3.1.30 Improvements to River Road

LTP2021.170 by Mr Brent Summerfield

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- c) **Notes** that the upgrading of River Road (between Ashley Street and Cones Road) has been included in the Long Term Plan for consideration.

CARRIED

## 3.2 Three Waters

3.2.1 Water Supply

LTP2021.15 by Mrs Briony Whitehead

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that staff are beginning work on residual disinfection exemption applications, and will report back with a timetable to produce the applications, and with draft applications for approval, prior to submission.

### CARRIED

## Councillors' Comments:

Mayor Gordon commented that the Council strongly submitted to the Water Services Bill.

### 3.2.2 Water Quality

LTP2021.62 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson

## **THAT** the Council:

b) Notes that Three Waters staff have input to the decision making process to ensure that potential impacts to the water supply are understood and given appropriate weighting by decision makers with regard to the consent application referred to by the submitter.

# CARRIED

## 3.2.3 Wastewater

LTP2021.15 by Mrs Briony Whitehead

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission
- b) **Acknowledges** the support for the future investment in upgrades to the ponds at the Rangiora WWTP.

CARRIED

### 3.2.4 Oxford Sewer Rates

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission
- b) Notes that the Oxford sewer rate is double that of the Eastern Districts sewer rate due to the different size of the schemes and the economies of scale achieved from a larger scheme.
- c) **Notes** that various investigations are underway as part of the Stimulus package of works to look at reducing the operating costs of the plant.

# CARRIED

### Councillors' Comments:

It was pointed out that a District wide rating review is to be undertaken in 2022.

### 3.2.5 Lees Road Sewer Connection

LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

### **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that while this area is zoned rural and currently not serviced, there are potential options available to connect into the Council's wastewater system.
- c) **Notes** that any property to who wishes to connect to the wastewater system should fill out an application to connect available on the Council's website.

## 3.2.6 Three Waters Upgrades

LTP2021.80 by Canterbury District Health Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** the support of the Canterbury District Health Board for the investment we are making in our 3 Waters assets.

CARRIED

### 3.2.7 Stormwater Drainage

3.2.7.1 Stormwater Drainage - Flaxton

LTP2021.125 by Flaxton Land Owners

## **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Environment Canterbury have been taking the lead on this matter and are engaging directly with the landowners.
- c) **Notes** that Environment Canterbury are awaiting on the final national guidance document on the definition of inland natural wetlands from a planning perspective before progressing further discussions with the landowners.
- d) **Notes** that the drainage team have recently undertaken some drain cleaning and have checked culverts in response to concerns raised by the residents.
- e) **Notes** that the area between Bramleys Road and Lineside Road is low lying and challenging to drain.

CARRIED

# 3.2.7.2 <u>Stormwater Drainage - Mandeville</u>

LTP2021.127 by Mandeville Residents' Association

## **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** the support of the Mandeville Residents' Association for the drainage work completed to date and also for the proposed future works for the resurgence channel. Notes that the Long Term Plan includes a total budget of \$1.35 million for the diversion or upgrade of the resurgence flow through Mandeville.

# 3.2.7.3 Stormwater Drainage - McIntosh Pump Station

LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** the support of Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga for the Shovel Ready Kaiapoi Stormwater and Flooding Improvements project.
- c) **Notes** that Council will take the opportunity to implement stormwater treatment to improvement the quality of the discharge where possible as part of these works.
- d) **Notes** that if treatment works proceed as part of the overall Shovel Ready works it will be completed by the end of 2022, however if this is not possible due to budget constraints then additional budget will be sought as part of the next LTP.

CARRIED

# 3.2.7.4 Stormwater Drainage - 158 Greens Road

LTP2021.35 by Mr Peter Manson and Mrs Karen Manson

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the request for Council to fund and install a new culvert to 158 Greens Road, Tuahiwi.
- c) **Notes** that the removal of the culverts by Council in 1996 was agreed by the landowner and they accepted they were responsible for reinstating the culvert.
- d) **Notes** that there is no wider benefit in Council paying for the installation of a new culvert.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comments:

Staff advised that the culvert was removed in 1996 because it was undersized. This is two neighbouring lots and the owners are looking at developing the second lot.

# 3.2.7.5 Stormwater Drainage - 36 Williams Street

LTP2021.108 by Mr Gerard Phillips

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the flood hazard information will be geospatially corrected to show the predicted flooding further to the west and more within the Williams Street road corridor.
- c) **Notes** that the proposed kerb and channel upgrade will not alter the flooding hazard in a 200 year event predicted for this property.

# 3.2.7.6 Stormwater Drainage - Church Bush Road

LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Council staff are not aware of any maintenance issues with the road drainage or Tuahiwi Stream, which may exacerbate flooding in the Church Bush Road area.
- c) **Notes** that Council staff will investigate to see if there are any deficiencies in the area.
- d) **Notes** that as Church Bush Road is a local road, placing cones when the road is flooded in larger storm events is an acceptable level of service.

CARRIED

## Councillors' Comments:

Councillor Atkinson suggested that the response to Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga be provided in Te Reo, which was supported by all the Council.

# 3.3 Solid Waste

3.3.1 Plastic Recycling Plant

LTP2021.50 by Ms Linda Pocock

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Supports** preparation of a proposal to develop a regional waste infrastructure investment plan to consider the options for investment into materials processing or recycling plants in the Canterbury region, to be put to the Canterbury Waste Joint Committee in the 21/22 financial year.
- c) Notes that consideration of any investment into processing or recycling infrastructure would include analysis of the whole-of- cycle process for dealing with the specific materials targeted in the investment plan.
- d) **Notes** that the Council could still consider investment into or support of the development of smaller scale recycling infrastructure in-district should the opportunity arise.

CARRIED

# 3.4 <u>Three Waters Review</u>

3.4.1 Water Infrastructure Review - Pocock

LTP2021.50 by Ms Linda Pocock

**THAT** the Council:

- b) **Notes** that Council is yet to consider and make a decision on its position regarding the Government's proposed Three Waters Reforms.
- c) **Notes** that the Long Term Plan includes both investment in our water infrastructure as well as our libraries and civic centres.

# 3.4.2 Water Infrastructure Review - Pegasus Residents Group

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Council is yet to consider and make a decision on its position regarding the Government's proposed Three Waters Reforms.

CARRIED

### 3.4.3 Water Infrastructure Review - RACB

LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** the support of the Rangiora Ashley Community Board for the investment in and management of water infrastructure. Notes that Council is yet to consider and make a decision on its position regarding the Government's proposed Three Waters Reforms.

### CARRIED

### 3.4.4 Water Infrastructure Review - OOCB

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Council is yet to consider and make a decision on its position regarding the Government's proposed Three Waters Reforms.

CARRIED

### 3.4.5 <u>Water Infrastructure Review - Federated Farmers</u>

LTP2021.123 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:

b) **Notes** that Council is yet to consider and make a decision on its position regarding the Government's proposed Three Waters Reforms.

CARRIED

# 3.4.6 Water Infrastructure Review - Pinkham

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that Council is yet to consider and make a decision on its position regarding the Government's proposed Three Waters Reforms.

CARRIED

# 3.5 <u>Development Contributions</u>

LTP2021.102 by Summerset

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission by Summerset Group Holdings Limited.
- b) **Requests** staff to consider provisions for retirement villages in the Development Contributions Policy as part of the 2022/23 Policy review.

CARRIED

# 3.6 Fresh Water

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the Oxford Ohoka Community Board is supportive of the Council taking initiative to protect our waterways, and supporting Environment Canterbury with freshwater initiatives.

CARRIED

# 3.7 <u>Algal Bloom</u>

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

**THAT** the Council:

- b) **Notes** staff continue to work with Templeton Group, Environment Canterbury, and other relevant stakeholders on an approach to address the on-going issues with Pegasus Lake including non-compliance with the regional council resource consents and the seasonal algal blooms.
- a) **Notes** that a specific budget will be allocated for operation and maintenance of the lake once a mitigation option is agreed to and annual costs are understood, however no provision has been made at this point.
- b) **Requests** staff develop a strategy for vesting the lake to Council from the Templeton Group.

# 3.8 <u>Vestment</u>

LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Notes staff continue to work with Templeton Group, Environment Canterbury, and other relevant stakeholders on an approach to address the on-going issues with Pegasus Lake including non-compliance with the regional council resource consents and the seasonal algal blooms.
- c) **Notes** that a specific budget will be allocated for operation and maintenance of the lake once a mitigation option is agreed to and annual costs are understood, however no provision has been made at this point.
- d) **Requests** staff develop a strategy for vesting the lake to Council from the Templeton Group.

CARRIED

# 4 REGULATION AND PLANNING

### 4.1 District Development

LTP2021.12 by Ms Shirley Goodwin LTP2021.36 by Mr Ken and Cecily and Mrs Wheeler

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** growth within the district and that it continues to facilitate and provide for growth.

# 4.2 District Plan

LTP2021.55 by Mr Ian Davies LTP2021.59 by Mr Stewart Gilbert and Ms Phillipa Watkins LTP2021.60 by Dr Paul Wanty LTP2021.82 by Mr John and Mrs Heather Mather LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon LTP2021.168 by Mr Gary Skerten

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** that the submission points relate to the District Plan review and that the appropriate means to address requests for rezoning and matters to do with housing development in regards to an aging population is by submission to the reviewed District Plan, when publicly notified.
- c) **Notes** a request to carry out consultation with rural communities as to their desires in regards to future facility development and that this will be considered.

CARRIED

## 4.2.1 <u>Rural Heritage</u>

LTP2021.116 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

- a) **Accept** the submission from the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.
- Notes that the Council has statutory responsibilities under the Resource Management Act 1991 to protect historic heritage from inappropriate subdivision, use, and development.
- c) **Notes** that this responsibility is currently given effect to by way of provisions within the Waimakariri District Plan.

CARRIED

# 4.3 Eyrewell Development

LTP2021.76 by Mr Seamus Robertson

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Acknowledges** growth within the district and that it continues to facilitate and provide for growth.

# 4.4 <u>Woodend Pegasus Area Strategy</u>

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the current inclusion of \$50,000 in the 2023/24 financial year, within the draft Long Term Plan, for the review of the Woodend-Pegasus Area Strategy.
- c) **Notes** further funding, or a re-prioritisation of projects would be required to bring the forward the review of this strategy. Supports the current draft Long Term Plan provision within the 2023.24 financial year.

CARRIED

# 4.5 Civil Defence and Emergency Management

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Accept** the submission with the proviso that Council will consider a staff report that outlines a range of options for public signage including painted lines on roads.

CARRIED

# 5 PEOPLE AND ENGAGEMENT

# 5.1 <u>Property Management</u>

5.1.1 <u>Property Management - Social Housing</u>

LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board LTP2021.138 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon LTP2021.126 by Mrs Beverly Shepherd Wright

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Continues to provide the Housing for the Elderly Activity and consider a range of investment opportunities and involvement in the housing sector in response to identified needs.

# CARRIED

# Councillors' Comment

Councillors noted that the Housing Social/Affordable Working Party was working on this matter.

# 5.1.2 Property Management - Kaiapoi West site - Go Bus

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Proceeds with leasing a significant proportion of the Kaiapoi West Mixed Use Area to GoBus as their depot as previously approved, subject to finalising the lease and obtaining Resource Consent for the proposed activity.

CARRIED

# 5.2 Communications and Engagement

5.2.1 Communications and Engagement - Website - promotion of community facilities

LTP2021.124 by Pegasus Community Centre Team

THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the comments for consideration by the Communications and Engagement Manager.

CARRIED

## 5.2.2 Communications and Engagement - Recognition of Pegasus-Woodend Area

LTP2021.124 by Pegasus Community Centre Team

# THAT the Council:

a) **Receives** the comments for consideration by the Communications and Engagement Manager.

CARRIED

# 5.2.3 Communications and Engagement - Consultation Document

LTP2021.134 by Mr Martin Pinkham LTP2021.150 by Mr Craig Sintes

# **THAT** the Council:

a) **Receives** the comments for consideration by the Communications Team Manager.

# 6. FINANCE AND BUSINESS SUPPORT

# 6.1.1 <u>Governance</u>

LTP2021.124 by Pegasus Community Centre Team

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Notes the community of Pegasus is active in its interests of Council activity. By providing hard copy information of Council agendas, Woodend-Sefton Community Board agendas and consultation documentation specifically related to the area, the community whom not all may have electronic access to such information, are able to be kept informed in a timely manner and contribute their views to future decision making directly affecting their community area.

CARRIED

# 6.1.2 <u>Governance - Iwi Relationships</u>

LTP2021.11 by Ms Chantal Tumahai LTP2021.11 by Ms Chantal Tumahai LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga LTP2021.167 by Te Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Accept the submissions in large part and the intentions to address matters raised through the Mahi Tahi Joint Development Committee and the Rūnanga Liaison Group be noted.

CARRIED

Councillors' Comments:

It was requested that the Rūnanga Liaison Group be included.

# 6.1.3 <u>Governance - ECan Rates</u>

LTP2021.56 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc. LTP2021.84 by Mr Z and A and Mrs Evans

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Accept** the submission points made and advise the submitter the actions it has taken in light of them.

# 6.1.4 Discretionary Funding - WSCB

LTP2021.89 by Woodend Sefton Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Declines** the current request for more Discretionary Grant Funding to Community Boards, noting that the grants are CPI adjusted each year.
- c) **Requests** staff continue to monitor the discretionary grant fund of each Community Board and encourage elected members to promote the fund through their networks and community groups to ensure the fund is dispersed each year to groups that meet the discretionary grant criteria.

CARRIED

## 6.1.5 Discretionary Funding - RACB

LTP2021.115 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) Advises it is not appropriate the Council to allocate operational grant funding to an independent organisation that is not directly assisting the Council in its Community Outcomes. The event of the Kaiapoi Arts Expo does not meet the Council objective or criteria for the community such as groups as Life Saving NZ. It is up to each individual Community Board to decide how much funding they should allocate to each applicant via its Discretionary Grant Fund and historically each Community Board has held differing views on the level of funding support provided to this particular event/organisation.

# CARRIED

# 6.1.6 Discretionary Funding - Roper-Lindsay

LTP2021.111 by Dr Judith Roper-Lindsay

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** staff understand that Environment Canterbury is proposing to halve the Immediate Steps biodiversity funding, that has been for each Water Zone Committee to recommend how it is spent (from \$100,000 to \$50,000 per year), however the Regional Council is yet to discuss this fully with the Waimakariri District Council.
- c) Notes Environment Canterbury propose a substantial increase in Canterbury-wide CWMS biodiversity funding (outside of Immediate Steps), but there is less clarity over how this will be allocated, or the role of Water Zone Committees. The details of what will qualify for the new funding pools has yet to be discussed in detail (except for Runanga-specific funding) such as whether co- funding is required, or whether territorial authorities (Councils) are able to apply.

d) Notes there is a Council contestable biodiversity fund which is only for landowners of Significant Natural Areas, and this is not available to Water Zone Committees. There is currently a ZIPA allocation of \$20,000 for a for a biodiversity organisation in the district to work with the community on biodiversity projects.

CARRIED

Councillor's Comments

It was suggested that the Discretionary Funding be reviewed.

# 6.2 Financial Management

# 6.2.1 Financial Management - Climate Change

LTP2021.93 by Mr John Whittaker

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Agrees** that Councils are likely to be required to report on its Response to Climate Change within its Annual Plans and Reports.

CARRIED

## 6.2.2 <u>Financial Management - Debt Funding</u>

LTP2021.160 by Mr Daniel Huisman

# **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- c) **Agrees** in principle points being made in the submission in relation to inter-generational equity.

CARRIED

# 6.2.3 Financial Management - Revenue and Financing

LTP2021.153 by Hospitality New Zealand

# **THAT** the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Advises** that the Council's rating policy promotes rather than deters businesses being established within the district and that the rate increase for 2020/21 was 1.5% and 3.95% on average was proposed for 2021/22.

# 6.3 <u>Rates</u>

### 6.3.1 District Wide Drainage Rate

LTP2021.148 by Central Rural Drainage Advisory Group

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Advises** any change to the current rating system for 3 Waters activities be deferred until the outcome of the Government review of the future of 3 Waters Management is known.

# CARRIED

# 5.2.4 Rates - General

LTP2021.12 by Ms Shirley Goodwin LTP2021.48 by Mr Martin Saunders LTP2021.68 by Mrs Joy Crofts LTP2021.73 by Mrs Karolyn Fisher LTP2021.80 by Canterbury District Health Board LTP2021.82 by Mr John and Mrs Heather Mather LTP2021.123 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:

- a) **Receives** the submission.
- b) **Notes** the comments of the community regarding the level of rates and continues to
  - promote the availability of the Government Rates Rebate Scheme to increase awareness
  - publicise alternative payment options such as direct debit where customers can chose a more regular payment frequency
  - demonstrate and communicate value for money of Council rates and the range of services funded
  - maximise the use of and regularly review alternative funding options (e.g. user fees).

CARRIED

# FINAL RECOMMENDATION

Moved Mayor Gordon

Seconded Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council

- (a) **Confirm** the staff recommendations on submissions and recommended responses to submitters except where otherwise amended.
- (b) Authorise the Mayor and Chief Executive to approve any editorial amendments and the final versions of the responses to submitters.

# 9. NEXT MEETING

The Council will meet at 2.30pm on Tuesday 22 June 2021 in the Function Room of the Rangiora Town Hall for the primary purpose of adopting the 2021/2031 Long Term Plan.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 5.15pm

CONFIRMED

61de

Mayor D Gordon Chairperson

22 June 2021