

**Form 6**

**CLAUSE 8 OF FIRST SCHEDULE, RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991**

**FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF OR IN OPPOSITION TO SUBMISSIONS ON PUBLICLY  
NOTIFIED PROPOSED WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT PLAN**

To: Waimakariri District Council  
Private Bag 1005  
**Rangiora 7440**

Attention: Proposed Waimakariri District Plan Submission

Name of submitter: Chorus New Zealand Limited  
P O Box 632  
Wellington

Spark New Zealand Trading Limited  
Private Bag 92028  
Auckland 1010

Vodafone New Zealand Limited  
Private Bag 92161  
Auckland 1142

This is a further submission on the following proposed plan, change or variation: **Proposed Waimakariri District Plan** (Proposed Plan).

1. Chorus New Zealand Limited (Chorus), Spark New Zealand Trading Limited (Spark) and Vodafone New Zealand Limited (Vodafone) have lodged joint further submissions to the Proposed Plan.
2. Chorus, Spark and Vodafone are each a person who has an interest in the Proposed Plan that is greater than the interest of the general public. This is because Chorus, Spark and Vodafone have particular interests in ensuring that the Proposed Plan enables the continued and efficient operation and development of their regionally significant network utility infrastructure
3. Chorus, Spark and Vodafone therefore make the following further submissions as set out in the table attached to this form.
4. Chorus, Spark and Vodafone will not gain an advantage in trade competition through these further submissions.

Chorus, Spark and Vodafone wish to be heard in support of their further submissions. If others make a similar submission, Chorus, Spark and Vodafone will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.



Signed: .....

On behalf of Chorus New Zealand Limited



Signed: .....

On behalf of Spark New Zealand Trading Limited



Signed: .....

On behalf of Vodafone New Zealand Limited

**Dated** at Auckland this 21st day of November 2022.

**Address for Service:**

Chorus New Zealand Limited, Spark New Zealand Trading Limited and Vodafone New Zealand Limited

C/- Incite

P O Box 3082

Auckland 1140

**Contact Details:**

Attention: Chris Horne

Telephone: 027 4794 980

E-mail: [chris@incite.co.nz](mailto:chris@incite.co.nz)

Copies to:

[Andrew.kantor@chorus.co.nz](mailto:Andrew.kantor@chorus.co.nz)

[Graeme.mccarrisom@spark.co.nz](mailto:Graeme.mccarrisom@spark.co.nz)

[Colin.clune@vodafone.com](mailto:Colin.clune@vodafone.com)

Submitter Name	Submitter + Submission point number	Specific Provision	Support OR Oppose	Reason for support/opposition	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed):
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.20	Objective	Support	The amendment to align with s6 of the RMA by referring to “inappropriate subdivision, use and development” in regard to outstanding natural features and landscapes is considered to be appropriate	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.24	Objective EI-O1	Support	The proposed change to recognise that the benefits of infrastructure may apply regionally or nationally beyond the district is supported.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.53	Objective EI-O2	Support	Recognition of benefits and technical and operational requirements [functional need and operational need] within the objective is supported.	Allow the submission
KiwiRail Holdings Limited	273.26	Rule EI-R6	Support	Infrastructure other than lines may require trimming of trees to protect its safe operation and maintenance.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.40	Rule EI-R23	Support	The scope of the rule should be widened as requested by Transpower to also cover widening and extension of tracks in sensitive environments. This will provide consistency with Rule EI-R2.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.43	Rule EI-R51	Oppose in part	<p>The proposed replacement rule for activities in the national grid yard provides an exemption for network utilities complying with NZECP34:2001. The notified rule (Clause 1(i)) allows for non-compliance with NZECP34:2001 where a dispensation has been granted by Transpower. This would have the effect of avoiding an unnecessary resource consent for network utility activities where a dispensation from NZECP34:2001 has been granted. Reinstatement of clause 1(i) of the notified rule or a change to like effect is requested.</p> <p>i. a building or structure where Transpower NZ Ltd has given written approval in accordance with clause 2.4.1 of the NZECP 34:2001 New Zealand Electricity Code of Practice for Electricity Safe Distances.</p>	Disallow the submission to the extent that clause 1(i) of the notified rule should be retained.
Mainpower Limited	249.92	Rule EI-54	Support in part	The proposed amendments to the rule are supported insofar as providing for an exemption from the rule for earthworks undertaken by a network utility operator <b><u>or their approved contractor on behalf of the network utility operator.</u></b>	Allow the submission in regard to the specific matter supported
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.46	Matter of discretion EI-MD2	Support	Consideration of the benefits of infrastructure and clarifying that screening should only be a consideration where appropriate and necessary is supported.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.50	Matter of discretion EI-MD9	Support in part	Consideration of the benefits of infrastructure is supported.	Allow the submission to the extent it applies to recognising the benefits of infrastructure.
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.53	Matter of discretion EI-MD14	Support	Consideration of the benefits of infrastructure is supported.	Allow the submission

Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.85	Objective NFL-O1	Support	Addition of the word “inappropriate” better reflects s6(b) of the RMA.	Allow the submission
Transpower New Zealand Limited	195.86	Objective NFL-O2	Support	Addition of the word “inappropriate” better reflects s6(b) of the RMA.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.44	Matter of Discretion EW-MD6	Support	Recognition of the operational need or function need of the earthworks in the location is appropriate.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.34	Matter of Discretion EW-MD7	Support	Recognition of the operational need or function need of the earthworks in the location is appropriate.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.153	Matter of Discretion NATC-MD4	Support	Recognition of the operational need or function need for infrastructure to locate in waterway setbacks is appropriate.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.238	Policy UFD-P3	Support	The proposed amendment to promote alignment of infrastructure delivery with development is supported.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.239	Policy UFD-P4	Support	The proposed amendment to promote alignment of infrastructure delivery with development is supported.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.240	Policy UFD-P5	Support	The proposed amendment to promote alignment of infrastructure delivery with development is supported.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.241	Policy UFD-P6	Support	The proposed amendment to promote alignment of infrastructure delivery with development is supported.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.242	Policy UFD-P7	Support	The proposed amendment to promote alignment of infrastructure delivery with development is supported.	Allow the submission
Mainpower Limited	249.243	Policy UFD-P8	Support	The proposed amendment to promote alignment of infrastructure delivery with development is supported.	Allow the submission