

PRESENT

IN ATTENDANCE

There were four members of the public present.

Seconded: T Bartle

CARRIED

Item 4.2 – R Keetley declared a conflict as he worked for Environment Canterbury.

Seconded: S Stewart

CARRIED

There were no matters arising.

Seconded: N Atkinson

CARRIED

Item 4.2 was considered first.

4 DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

4.1 Marianne Bud – Speed Bumps

M Bud spoke to the Board noting that she was representing four households in Silverstream. She explained that she purchased her property in 2021 prior to installation of infrastructure in Silverstream development. Residents had no communication of the location of the speed bumps prior to installation. She had her neighbours were upset to note that the speed bumps near their homes and in her case across the driveway of her property. This has led to excessive noise, vibrations from buses and heavy vehicles and poor pedestrian safety as there was kerbside to act as a barrier. All five properties along their block were adversely and directly impacted by the speed bumps. Residents provided the Council with New Zealand based research from a range of City and District Councils in New Zealand which documented that it was detrimental to use speed humps as a traffic calming device on residential properties due to the adverse effects. In addition, New Zealand and Australian based research clearly stated that speed humps should not be placed on collector roads which were also a bus route. Silverstream Boulevard was both a collector road and a bus route and traffic numbers were on the increase. She had made multiple requests to Metro Buses requesting that the drivers slow down with little result. She had also asked that Council what other avenues of speed calming devices were considered but had not received an answer. There were several experiences of vehicles towing trailers having items falling onto the road due to the speed humps, this posed a serious safety risk for vehicles. Four out of five residents on the block wanted to speed humps removed or remediated, the remaining house had been put up for sale.

A Blackie enquired if residents were sure that if the speed humps were removed it would not create other safety issue. M Bud explained that residents had investigated alternative traffic calming methods and had spoken to several Councils noting that there were other options available.

N Atkinson queried if the speed humps were wide enough to be considered a pedestrian crossing. M Bud was unsure, however she assumed they could be. They were not designated.

B Cairns noted that the design of the raised safety platforms was for a speed of 30km/h and asked if there was any signage to the recommended speed. M Bud noted that there was now however residents had to ask repeatedly for signage, and it made no difference to the speeds that most vehicles travelled.

4.2 Manon Prin – Environment Canterbury, Cam River Planting Plan

M Prin spoke to the Board regarding the Cam River Planting Plan, noting she was part of the Braided River Revival Team at Environment Canterbury. The team focused on improving the management of rivers by working on berms near stop banks. Currently the team were working on the Cam Ruataniwha River and she had taken this opportunity to inform the Board of the design and general plan for planting native flora, reinstating eco-systems and doing restoration work with flood protection infrastructure. The design was general and could be adapted for a more site-specific planting plan. The goal was to align with flood protection infrastructure, restore Mahinga Kai values and use planting on the Cam River to help restore biodiversity by putting nodes of biodiversity following the river. The aim was to keep it simple with not a few species and make sure that flows would not be impeded by the planting. She provided the Board with an overview of what the berms may look like.

N Atkinson asked about the density of the planting, noting that cabbage trees did not mix well with boats and that this was a navigable river. M Prin explained that cabbage trees would mostly be planted in the tree nodes which were further away from the riverbank. Anything could be site specific, if there were areas where cabbage trees would be an issue they could plant a different species to achieve a similar result.

S Stewart enquired if Environment Canterbury were aware of the Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy which had been adopted by the Waimakariri District Council. One of the main concepts of the policy were the establishment of pollinator paths and food plants for insects and birds. M Prin was not aware of the strategy, however they were working with Council staff, and any planting plans would be checked prior to implementation.

T Bartle asked if the focus of the planting was for beautification or was it strengthening the banks of the river. M Prin noted that it was for bank support, and improving biodiversity.

5 ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

Item 6.2 was considered first.

6 REPORTS

6.1 Williams Street / Charles Street Roundabout Heavy Vehicle Concerns – J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager) and S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer)

J McBride spoke to the report which was prepared following the Board's concerns regarding ongoing damage to planting at the Williams / Charles Street roundabout. When the original town centre upgrade was carried out, a truck apron was installed onto the south eastern corner of the roundabout following conversations with the New World supermarket to understanding its trucking routes. The report proposed a first step to mitigate the problems of trucks mounting the kerb was to install some hard landscaping such as rocks and large planter boxes. Currently a review of the Parking Bylaw was being carried out and would include a transport section which could allow for the ability to restrict heavy vehicles from using sections of the roading network. Once the new Bylaw was adopted there could be a possibility that Charles Street could become a one-way street which would alleviate many of the issues. The Council had installed signage requesting truck to re-route via Smith Street/Williams Street roundabout however this had not been particularly useful to date.

N Atkinson wondered whether this report should lay on the table until such a time when the Board could consider the whole network surrounding the roundabout and consider impacts any action would have on the western end of Charles Street. He asked what a decent timeline for the report coming back would be. G Cleary thought it would be a considerable timeline and that realistically it could be years rather than months. N Atkinson noted he was reluctant to spend funds on hard landscaping only to have it removed at a later date when and if there was a change to Charles Street in the future.

Moved: N Atkinson

Seconded: A Blackie

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board:

- (a) That this report lie on the table until such time that a timeline be brought to the Board for a consideration of the entire impacts and effects of any work done at the Charles Street Roundabout including the possibility of a one-way system for Charles Street west of the roundabout

CARRIED

6.2 **Silverstream Boulevard Raised Tables – J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager) and S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer)**

J McBride spoke to the report noting it provided background information as to why the raised platforms were installed on Silverstream Boulevard and to outline options for consideration to mitigate the concerns raised by M Budd in her deputation. As part of the west Kaiapoi outlying development area, the plan for development included the collector road to connect Silverstream to Kaiapoi. The development masterplan included a reserve neighbourhood walkway and green belt which would link the northwest side of the development through to Silverstream Boulevard. As part of the detailed design process, two raised safety platforms were proposed and approved as part of the engineering approval process. The reason for the speed humps was to provide a mechanism for slowing vehicles as a safety measure for the greenspace playground linkage connection to Silverstream Boulevard. The raised safety platforms that had been built on each end of the reserve area had a one metre long ramp and a two metre long off ramp. Testing was carried out for noise and vibration, with the initial tests indicating an acceptable level of noise and vibrations at the boundary of the properties concerned. However, there was additional testing carried out over a further two days which showed spikes in vibration.

N Atkinson noted that these were not raised platforms they were judder bars. J McBride noted that there was a flattish exposed angle and agreed that they were not intended to be pedestrian crossings.

A Blackie asked why the Council's vibration testing did not correlate with what the information supplied by the deputation in item 4.1. J McBride could not answer the question.

T Bartle asked how option four in the report would change outcomes for the residents. J McBride explained that the ramps could be widened or extended, which should mitigate noise and vibration, however it would still mean vehicles would need to traverse a raised surface. T Bartle then queried if there was a way to get a similar effect of slowing the traffic. J McBride noted that staff had given consideration to other options and worked with the developer on the road design. Other options considered chicanes.

N Atkinson noted that the speed bumps were located at the entrance to people's driveways. He enquired if that was something that would normally occur. J McBride explained that it was a matter of timing of when the speed humps were installed and when the building consents were presented with the intention of driveway placements.

N Atkinson asked why there was such an emphasis on the greenspace and park in this instance when there were many other parks which did not have traffic calming measures. G Cleary explained the reason for installing them was that there was an expectation that there would be increased pedestrians across to the park. When a new development went in it had to undergo a safety assessment and under today's standards for design. There were countless other locations around the district that arguably had a much higher need for this one.

B Cairns enquired how the 30km/h speed would balance with the Government's speed reversals. J McBride stated that it was a speed advisory and not a speed limit and therefore was not enforceable.

R Keetley asked given the prevalence of speed humps in the city, what the data was on complaints received for similar issues. J McBride noted that she had not asked the question. Previously through the last National Land Transport Programme there was the Road to Zero Programme which specifically allocated funding for safety projects and required authorities to install raised platforms and intersections.

J Watson queried if there was any indication when the playground would be installed. J McBride was unsure, however the developers were currently going through consenting for the last stages.

A Blackie enquired if the Board chose one of the remedial options, where was the money come from. J McBride noted that there was no budget so there would need to be a request to the Council.

S Stewart was concerned that there were residents whose lives were getting dominated by the noise and vibrations caused by the speed humps. She believed that option three might achieve a better outcome. J McBride noted option three was looking to extend the ramps on one side and ease the approach to them, it would likely result in a faster speeds.

Moved: N Atkinson Seconded: S Stewart

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250507080209.
- (b) **Notes** that there is no budget available to undertake works on Silverstream Blvd.
- (c) **Notes** that the Community Board would like to progress, via a workshop with the Board on an alternative option other than recommended in this report, then this will require a recommendation through to the Utilities and Roding Committee, and budget to be sought from Council.

CARRIED

N Atkinson commented that he would like the Roding Team to give the Board some advice on what it was that needed to be done to mitigate the issues experienced by neighbours. He believed that the matter needed to come back to the Board for a workshop so they could work out the best way forward. He did not see the park as an issue, however believed that it was a policing problem if people were speeding through here. He did not want to put anyone in an unsafe situation.

S Stewart was happy to support the motion. The residents were living with this issue 24/7 and it had become a real issue to their quality of life.

6.3 **2024/25 Financial Year General Landscaping Projects – T Stableford (Landscape Architect)**

T Stableford spoke to the report noting the purpose was to provide an update on the general landscaping budget including current projects that had been funded and supplying new projects for consideration by the Board. She provided an overview of the projects the Board could consider.

S Stewart asked if there was any reason why the Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy which included making plantings generally a pollinator and wildlife was not in there as a baseline of the entranceway project. Why were staff proposing a specific pollinator path when all the plantings under the Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy should be that. C Brown explained the reason staff came back was because the Board specifically asked staff to investigate other opportunities for pollinator paths within Kaiapoi.

A Blackie enquired what the extra cost was for Raymond Herber sculpture project. J Watson noted that there was a misunderstanding with the costings as the Waimakariri Public Arts Trust had not allowed for GST.

B Cairns queried the proposed size of the Pines Beach planting given that the current sign was very small and blended into the background. T Stableford believed that the proposed plantings would lift the profile of the sign making it more noticeable.

Moved: N Atkinson

Seconded: T Bartle

THAT the Board adjourn the meeting at 5.37pm to workshop the matter further. The meeting reconvened at 5:41pm.

CARRIED

Moved: J Watson

Seconded: A Blackie

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. TRIM 250403057526
- (b) **Approves** the allocation of \$4500 for a new garden beneath the existing welcome to Pines and Kairaki Beaches, Entrance Sign.
- (c) **Approves** the allocation of \$40,000 towards a new town entrance sign and planting on Williams Street, Kaiapoi.
- (d) **Approves** Location Option A for the Williams Street Entrance Sign (Attachment v. TRIM 250429073034), subject to the determination of the exact the placement once further information has been received of the proposed bypass design.
- (e) **Approves** the allocation of an additional \$530.23 for unforeseen installation costs of the Raymond Herber Sculpture.
- (f) **Approves** that *Acer freemen* will replace the Liquidambar tree species previously approved in the Main North Road Entrance Tree Scheme (*Attachment v ii TRIM 231109180415*).

CARRIED

6.4 **Ratification of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board's submission to the Waimakariri District Council and Environment Canterbury's draft 2025-2026 Annual Plans – K Rabe (Governance Advisor)**

K Rabe took the report as read.

Moved: J Watson

Seconded: R Keetley

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 250414065502.
- (b) **Retrospectively ratifies** its submission to the Waimakariri District Council's draft 2025-26 Annual Plan (Trim Ref: 250327052964).
- (c) **Retrospectively ratifies** its submission to Environmental Canterbury's draft 2025-26 Annual Plan (Trim Ref: 250327053030).
- (d) **Notes** that the Board Chairperson spoke at the Waimakariri District Council Submission Hearings to convey the Board's view in person on Tuesday, 6 May 2025.

CARRIED

6.5 **Ratification of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board's submission to the New Zealand Transport Agency's Speed Limit Review – K Rabe (Governance Advisor)**

K Rabe took the report as read.

Moved: J Watson

Seconded: R Keetley

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 250227032672.
- (b) **Retrospectively ratifies** its submission to the New Zealand Transport Agency's Regional Consultation under the New Speed Rule – South of Rangiora Woodend Road to South of the Cam River Bridge (Trim Ref: 250227032285).

CARRIED

7 **CORRESPONDENCE**

Nil.

8 **CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT**

8.1 **Chairperson's Report for April 2025**

- ANZAC Day – great day with a good turnout.
- Met with Mayor Gordon and Board Chairs regarding the Community Service Awards.
- Kaiapoi Art Expo – 150 artists had applied, however there was only room for 106. Around 100 were all local artists.
- Waimakariri Public Arts Trust Sub Committee working on the Kaiapoi Bridge had selected local two artists.
- Planting Day at Pines Beach for the new food forest.

Moved: J Watson

Seconded: F Bartle

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the verbal report from the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Chairperson.

CARRIED

9 **MATTERS REFERRED FOR INFORMATION**

- 9.1 Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 2 April 2025.
- 9.2 Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Meeting Minutes 9 April 2025.
- 9.3 Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 15 April 2025.
- 9.4 Woodend-Sefton Community Board Extraordinary Meeting Minutes 28 April 2025
- 9.5 Transport Choices (Strategic Cycleway) Project Update – Report to Council Meeting 4 March 2025 – Circulates to Woodend-Sefton and Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Boards
- 9.6 Submission to Central Government Consultations October 2024 to March 2025 – Report to Council Meeting 1 April 2025 – Circulates to all Boards
- 9.7 ANZAC Day Services 2025 – Report to Council Meeting 1 April 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

- 9.8 Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report February 2025 to Current – Report to Council Meeting 1 April 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

Public Excluded

- 9.9 Submission of District Drinking Water Safety Plans – Report to Utilities and Roding Committee Meeting 15 April 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

Moved: J Watson

Seconded: A Blackie

THAT the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

- (a) Receives the information in Items.9.1 to 9.8.
- (b) **Receives** the separately circulated public excluded information in Item 9.9.

CARRIED

10 MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

R Keetley

- Attended Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust Meeting – good session, a lot of planning for 2026.
- ANZAC Day Service.
- Attended Monthly Historical Society Meeting.
- Attended Landmarks Meeting.

S Stewart

- GreyPower Meeting – Chairperson had been re-elected. They had three new members for the Committee.
- Kaiapoi Promotions Annual General Meeting was coming up. They were looking at a promotion, Kai in Kaiapoi, promoting food outlets.
- Arohatia te Awa – update on the Cam River. There were several different strands to what was happening on the Cam River.
- Listened to the Environmental Law Initiative Webinar on the second case the Environmental Law Initiative was taking against Environment Canterbury on nitrates.
- Attended the last Water Zone Committee Meeting.

B Cairns

- Pines Beach Food Forest Planting – Kelli from the Te Kohaka Trust had done a lot of work in terms of organising the removal of trees that were deemed to be unsafe, she had a team of students from Kaiapoi High School who were planting out the banks of the stream to stabilise them. He thanked Niki Brown, a local residents who had helped organised the event.
- Kaiapoi Food Forest – there would be a staged start to the build for the toilets and education centre. Ronnie Dunbar who did a lot of work at the food forest was being recognised with an award in Christchurch.
- Inclusive Sports Day would be held in July 2025.

T Bartle

- North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support – working through employment issues.
- Attended the Mainland Staffordshire Bull Terrier Society Annual General Meeting.
- ANZAC Day – attended three services. Encouraging to see the volume of people attending.

A Blackie

- Annual Plan Submissions hearing – 26 total submissions to be heard, 20 were from groups, six submissions were from individuals, four in favour of what Council was doing and two were not.
- Northern Pegasus Bay Bylaw implementation plan had been completed.
- Attended a Youth Council meeting and talked to them about what greenspace did.
- Huria planting was on track.
- Te Kohaka Trust was going well.

N Atkinson

- Health Hub was negotiations were almost completed, Council needed to finalise a few things on the lease. Not sure as to the 24 hour health hub announcement by the Government.
- District Plan was finished apart from some of the reporting to Council.
- Pensioner housing project in Kaiapoi was ticking along well.
- Received a lot of thank yous for the removal of the speed humps outside the Kaiapoi North School.
- Greater Christchurch Partnership was currently going through a review to see if it was fit for purpose.

11 CONSULTATION PROJECTS

11.1 Alcohol Control Bylaw

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/alcohol-control-bylaw-review-2025>

Consultation closes Friday 30 May 2025.

11.2 2025 Environmental Awards

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/2025-environmental-awards>

Applications close Saturday 28 June 2025.

The Board noted the consultation projects.

12 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

12.1 Board Discretionary Grant

Balance as at 31 March 2025: \$759.

12.2 General Landscaping Budget

Balance as at 31 March 2025: \$45,650.

The Board noted the funding update.

13 MEDIA ITEMS

Nil.

14 QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

15 URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board will be held at the Ruataniwha Kaiapoi Civic Centre on Monday 16 June 2025 at 4pm.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 6.08PM.

CONFIRMED

Chairperson

Date