From: donotreply@waimakariri.govt.nz
Sent: Thursday, 25 August 2022 8:50 PM

To: IM Staff

Subject: Plan change submission

[THIS EMAIL IS FROM AN EXTERNAL SOURCE] DO NOT CLICK links or attachments unless you recognise the sender email

Proposed plan change No. Housing intensification changes to RMA

Name: S Wilkinson

Organisation name: alternative business solutions Ltd

Address: 12 Holcroft Court, Rangiora, Canterbury, 7400, NZL

Postal Address: Rangiora

Phone No. +6421334203

Fax No.

Email: stevew@thealternativeboard.co.nz

Wish to be heard? No

Heard with others? Yes

Advantage in trade competition? I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission (go to Your Submission, you do not need to complete the rest of this section)

If yes...

My submission is that ...

I am against the proposed changes to the RMA in terms of intensification of development in Rangiora, particularly as it relates to 3 level buildings in the area This legislation was designed to support major urban areas such as Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch and Waimakariri has been dragged under these changes to a point where I understand that Council will have little ability to decline an application for such development I am unsure who sets the levies and fees for development such as Reserve contributions, but if Council does have control it would be my recommendation that Council uses this power to make such applications so expensive to the developer that it is less iable to proceed I would also like to see Council where possible lobbying central government for some changes/amendments to the new RMA legislation to exempt Waimakariri from these changes I do not want ot see Rangiora looking like St Albans where high rise apartment buildings are spoiling the long standing character of the environment

I/we seek the following decision from Council for the following reasons:

Lobbying of central Government to change the legislation to exempt Waimakariri from the proposed changes OR if practical and legal adjust council fees to ensure that such developments are just not viable by increases Council development costs to reflect the lack of appetite for such development