

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS OF THE DRAFT 2022-23 ANNUAL PLAN HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA COMMENCING AT 9.00AM ON TUESDAY 30 MAY 2023.**

**PRESENT:**

Mayor D Gordon, Deputy Mayor N Atkinson, Councillors A Blackie, R Brine, B Cairns, T Fulton (via Teams), G Goldsworthy, N Mealings, P Redmond, J Ward and P Williams.

**IN ATTENDANCE:**

J Milward (Chief Executive), G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading), C Brown (General Manager Community and Recreation), S Hart (General Manager, Strategy, Engagement and Economic Development), K LaValley (General Manager Planning and Regulation), C Roxburgh (Project Delivery Manager), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager), J Recker (Stormwater and Waterways Manager), G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager), D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager), M Greenwood (Aquatic Facilities Manager), T Sturley (Community Team Manager), M Bacon (Development Planning Manager), M Maxwell (Strategy and Business Manager), M McGregor (Senior Advisor Community and Recreation), H Belworthy (Intermediate Landscape Architect), H Street (Corporate Planner), E Stubbs (Governance Support Officer) and K Rabe (Governance Advisor).

**Day One (24 May 2022)**

The meeting adjourned for a workshop between 9.25am and reconvened 9.45am.

The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 10.54am and reconvened at 11.15am.

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 12.50pm, and reconvened at 1.32am.

The meeting adjourned to await staff attendance at 3.05pm and reconvened at 3.09pm.

**1. APPOLIGIES**

There were no apologies.

**2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Item 5.7 - Mayor Gordon declared an interest as he was a Trustee of the Waimakariri Arts Trust who manages the Kaiapoi Art Expo.

**3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

**3.1 Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Thursday 4 May 2022 to hear submissions to the Draft Annual Plan 2023-2024**

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Confirms** as a true and correct record the minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Thursday 4 May 2023.

**CARRIED**

**MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**

There were no matters arising from the minutes.

#### 4. OVERVIEW

J Millward provided a verbal overview of the 2023/24 Annual Plan. The rates increase was currently 5.97% with \$440,00 of new works included. He reminded Councillors that \$6.1 million in depreciation had been pushed out and would be factored in during the 2024/34 Long-Term Plan process. Staff would be monitoring ongoing inflation that may impact on the Long-Term Plan. J Millward advised that the draft 2023/24 Annual Plan was for the second year of the Long-Term Plan 2021/31. Section 95 (2A) of the Local Government Act 2002 exempts the Council from consulting if the Annual Plan does not include significant or material differences from the content of the Long-Term Plan for the financial year to which the proposed annual plan relates. Even though the 2023/24 Annual Plan does not differ significantly from the Long-Term Plan, Council considered it good practice to consult with its community.

There were no questions for the Chief Executive.

#### 5. REPORTS

##### 5.1 Draft Annual Plan 2023-24 Special Consultative Procedure - H Street (Corporate Planner)

H Street provided a brief overview of the special consultative procedure, noting that there had been 61 submissions.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson

Seconded: Councillor Williams

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report LTC-03-19 / 230518072780.
- (b) **Receives** all 61 submissions and associated submission points raised by submitters, which were included in the 'Deliberations Pack' previously distributed to Councillors.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Atkinson thanked H Street for the work she had done and the report.

*At this time, Submission Item 5.1.2 was taken. The Minutes have been recorded in the order of the Agenda.*

#### WORKSHOP

*The meeting adjourned from 9.25am to 9.45am for a workshop to discuss Utilities and Roading matters.*

##### 5.2 Roading and Transport Staff Submission to the Draft Annual Plan 2023-24 – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading)

J McBride spoke briefly to the report, highlighting that a significant change was the request for additional budget for the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge. Staff were working with Selwyn District Council and engineers on options for replacing the deck. They were also waiting for Waka Kotahi to confirm co-funding for the project. Another change was the potential to defer the \$450,000 budget for Kaiapoi Town Centre Lighting from the 2023/24 to 2025/26 financial year in which case a report would be brought to the Council as part of the Long-Term Plan process on coordination of Town Centre Feature and Street lighting options.

Mayor Gordon asked with respect to the Waimakariri Gorge bridge re-decking (subject to Waka Kotahi approval), when was the work likely to occur and what were the current condition of the bridge. J McBride explained that engineers were currently working to maintain the bridge in its current condition. However, it would require replacement soon. Currently Waka Kotahi were taking the project through their internal process and it was progressing as expected, however, J McBride would report to the Council if political support was required.

Mayor Gordon questioned the necessity of coordinating the Kaiapoi Town Centre Feature and street lighting options. J McBride noted the current lighting met required levels of service, however, there was a definite advantage to combining the installation of infrastructure. Mayor Gordon enquired if there had been any discussion with the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board on the matter, to which J McBride advised no.

Councillor Redmond referred to \$50,000 being brought forward for the Railway, Station and Marsh Road intersection upgrade, and enquired about coordination with other projects in that area. J McBride advised the Council was currently liaising with property owner about a potential realignment and other options. The funding was being brought forward to allow coordination with cycleway work.

Councillor Redmond sought clarity on the funding allowed for land purchase, and J McBride explained that it would depend on the configuration of the intersection. If there was not sufficient budget they would come back to the Council.

Councillor Williams questioned benefits of the \$1.2 million budgeted for the Priors Road Upgrade J McBride clarified that the road straightening, to which the developer was contributing, would be beneficial to the community who used the road. G Cleary added that as the budget was being moved out, the Council would have the opportunity in the Long-Term Plan to decide on funding. The road was currently deficient and it was a win/win opportunity for the Council.

Councillor Williams requested road counts for Priors Road and other gravel roads. J McBride advised she could provide that information where available, however, some counts were three yearly and some were six yearly. There was already budget provision in the 2021/31 Long-Term Plan 2026/27 for sealing.

In response to a question from Councillor Goldsworthy, J McBride advised that Transport Choices would fund 67%, of the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge upgrade, the remainder would have to be funded by the Council. The Council had already budgeted \$100,000 and it was maximising what could be achieved with that.

Councillor Goldsworthy also asked about life expectancy between Options three and four for the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge. J McBride explained that staff were currently working through options with engineers, as the polymer had not been used previously in New Zealand. J McBride would provide further information as it came available.

Councillor Ward questioned the contributions for Priors Road and J McBride confirmed that the developers would be contributing to some of the cost.

Moved: Councillor Blackie                      Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230518072670.
- (b) **Approves** moving budget of \$450,000 for Kaiapoi Town Centre Lighting (north of the Williams Street Bridge) from the 2023/24 to the 2025/26 financial year, noting that a report would be brought to the Long-Term Plan on coordination of Town Centre Feature and Street lighting options, subject to consultation with Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.
- (c) **Approves** the proposed changes to capital budgets for the 2023/24 financial year as outlined in the following table:

Budget	Original 2023/24 Budget \$	Proposed 2023/24 Budget \$	Comment
Waimakariri Gorge Bridge Re-decking	100,000	735,000	Budget of \$100,000 had been included in the Annual Plan for minor repairs. Confirmed re-decking was required. Total cost

Budget	Original 2023/24 Budget \$	Proposed 2023/24 Budget \$	Comment
			\$3 million with this being Council's 24.5% share.
Land Purchase – Improved LOS	0	100,000	Bring budget forward from 2024/25.
Greater Christchurch Transport Commitments	0	100,000	New budget to fund WDC share of transport related costs for work undertaken with the Partnership.
Rangiora Airfield / Priors Rd Upgrade	200,000	0	Further moving out of budget. Move \$200k for design to 2023/24, and \$1.012M for construction to 2025/26. Timing was dependent on developer
Railway Rd / Station Rd / Marsh Rd Intersection Upgrade	0	50,000	Budget to be brought forward from 2027/28 to 2023/24 to allow for design to be progressed.
Browns Road Oxford Repairs	0	65,000	New budget to remove trees stumps and reinstate road shoulder following removal of WDC forestry planting
Rangiora Woodend Rd Traffic Calming	75,000	0	Move budget out from 2023/24 to align with timing for Waka Kotahi State Highway Safety Improvement work.
River Road Upgrade	360,000	485,000	Report to be taken to RACB in July, followed by Council.
Transport Choices Crown Funding - New Footpath Major Towns	300,000	600,000	Move \$100,00 unsubsidised budget from New Footpaths in 2025/26 forward to 2023/24 to maximise Transport Choices Funding (Council share 33%)
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$1,110,000</b>	<b>\$2,135,000</b>	

- (d) **Notes** the budget changes includes an increased in budget for re-decking of the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge to \$735,000, being the Council's share (24.5%) of the total current estimated cost of \$3 million.
- (e) **Notes** that staff at both Selwyn District Council and Waimakariri District Council were working with Waka Kotahi to progress discussions around funding assistance for the re-decking of the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge, however, a decision has not yet been made on this request;
- (f) **Notes** that a report was to be taken to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board in July, followed by Council in August, regarding River Road Upgrade design and budget. This would recommend a staged approach for upgrading;
- (g) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for information.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Atkinson commented that the options around Kaiapoi Town Centre lighting had been informally liaised with the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board as in the first cut of the Annual Plan it had been raised that it could be deferred. He agreed that it should go back to the Board for consideration.

Mayor Gordon requested that staff bring a report on the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge and the Old Waimakariri Bridge. Selwyn District Council and Christchurch City Council took the lead respectively on these bridges, however, Mayor Gordon believed it should be the Waimakariri District Council as they were critical pieces of infrastructure where it was important to be proactive.

*At this time, Submission Item 7.1 was taken. The Minutes have been recorded in the order of the Agenda.*

5.3 **Drainage Staff Submission to the Draft Annual Plan 2023-24** – J Recker, (Stormwater and Waterways Manager), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading)

J Recker spoke briefly to the report noting nine amendments to the Annual Plan since the Plan had been out for consultation and requested additional budget. There was also reduced budget for the Ashley Street stormwater upgrades due to a reduced scope.

Moved: Councillor Williams

Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230510067338.
- (b) **Approves** the following changes to capital budgets for drainage, as a result of the budget review process that has been undertaken:

Scheme	Budget Name	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons
Rangiora	Wiltshire Green Pipework Upgrade	A total budget of \$1,820,000 for design and construction of phase 1 and 2.	Allocate additional budget of \$480,000 of budget in 2023/24 for construction.	Additional budget for additional sumps and pipework, additional landscape reinstatement and unexpected service clashes.
District Drainage	Wilson Drive Pipe Upgrade	Nil	Allocate \$200,000 budget in 2023/24 for pipe upgrade.	Upgrade of existing pipe from Wilson Drive to Ohoka Stream. Project identified as part of the Flood Team work.
Coastal Urban	39 Kings Avenue, Waikuku Beach	Nil	Allocate additional budget of \$50,000 of budget in 2024/25 for design and construction.	New budget for drainage improvements that were identified as part of the sewer works for this project.
District Drainage	Helmore Street Bund	Nil	Allocate \$75,000 budget in 2023/24 for construction of bund.	Construction of a bund along the north-west boundary at Westpark subdivision. This project was identified as part of the Flood Team work.
District Drainage	Northside Drive Bund	Nil	Allocate \$50,000 budget in 2023/24 for construction of bund.	Raising of bund for Leggitts Park Development. Project identified as part of the Flood Team work.
District Drainage	Washington Place Drainage	Nil	Allocate \$160,000 budget in 2024/25 for design and construction.	Channel and culvert upgrades. Project

Scheme	Budget Name	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons
	Improvements (24/25)			identified as part of the Flood Team work.
District Drainage	1030 Loburn Whiterock Road	Nil	Allocate a \$50,000 of budget 23/24 for design and \$400,000 in 2024/25 for construction.	These works were for remediation and to prevent future erosion damage at 1030 Loburn Whiterock Road.
Rangiora	Ashley Street Stormwater Upgrades	\$40,000 in 2022/23 for design and \$480,000 in 2023/24 for construction.	Reduce 2023/24 budget from \$470,000 to \$125,000. A total 2023/24 budget reduction of \$345,000.	Scope was reduced to only upgrading existing sumps.
Rangiora	Railway Drain Treatment	\$300,000 in 2023/24 for design and construction.	Defer \$270,000 of 2023/24 budget to 2024/25.	Budget deferred to 2024/25 due to resource constraints.

- (c) **Notes** that these additional budgets, new budgets, and reduced budgets had the following net rating impacts as shown in the table below:

Scheme	Rating Impact
Rangiora	The net impact of the additional budget (\$480,000 for Wiltshire Green) was partially offset by the reduction in budget (-\$345,000 for Ashley Street) and deferral of budget (\$270,000 for Railway Drain Treatment). Overall, the changes to the budget would increase the Rangiora drainage rate by \$0.96 per property or 0.3%.
Coastal Urban	The additional budget (\$50,000 for 39 Kings Avenue) would increase the Coastal Urban drainage rate by \$1.32 per property or 0.6%.
District Drainage	The net impact of the additional budgets, comprising of an additional \$375,000 in 2023/24 (\$200,000 for Wilson, \$75,000 for Helmore, \$50,000 for Northside and \$50,000 for Loburn Whiterock Road design) and an additional \$560,000 in 2024/25 (\$400,000 for Loburn Whiterock Road construction and \$160,000 for Washington Place) would increase the District Drainage rate by \$2.24 per property or 8.4%.

- (d) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Williams noted that being able to get rid of excess stormwater water was a priority.

Councillor Atkinson was pleased to see the Wilson Drive Pipe Upgrade Project included in the budget.

#### 5.4 **Wastewater Supply Staff Submission to the Draft Annual Plan 2023-24** –

K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and G Cleary, (General Manager Utilities and Roading)

K Simpson spoke to the reporting noting the four requested amendments to the 2023/24 Annual Plan since consultation. The first two were related to the Oxford Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP) upgrade and timing of the new resource consent for the upgrade. The second two amendments were related to Woodend and Kaiapoi WWTP planting for screening and midge management.

Councillor Williams asked for further clarification on the Forestry Assigned to Schools policy. K Simpson advised that a report would be coming to Council. With the current direction of replanting in natives which were not a crop harvest the historic policy regarding proceed of harvest going to schools was no longer applicable.

Councillor Mealings asked if schools were aware of this policy or had included in their budgets considering it took 25 years before harvest. K Simpson advised staff had just recently begun a conversation on the matter with the school, however it was an existing policy which they had an obligation to give effect to

Moved: Councillor Williams

Seconded: Councillor Cairns

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230510067507.
- (b) **Approves** the following changes to capital budgets for water supply, as a result of the budget review process that had been undertaken:

Item	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons	Rating Impact
Oxford WWTP Upgrade Stage 1	\$400,000 budget in 2023/24 for design and \$4,100,000 in 2024/25 for construction of upgrade at Oxford WWTP.	Reallocate \$200,000 in 2023/24 and \$200,000 in 2024/25 for consenting and design and defer the \$4,100,000 construction budget to 2025/26.	To allow time to obtain new consent for the full proposed upgrade.	Negligible as there was an existing budget that being deferred by one year.
Oxford WWTP Screen Replacement	\$270,000 budget (\$150,000 renewals, \$120,000 LOS) in 2023/24 for screen replacement at Oxford WWTP.	Defer \$270,000 in 2023/24 to 2025/26 to align with the Oxford WWTP Upgrade construction budget.	Screens depend on treatment technology selected for the WWTP upgrade.	Negligible as partially funded by renewals and there was an existing budget that was being deferred.
Woodend WWTP Planting	\$30,000 of carryover budget from 2022/23.	Allocate a \$50,000 capital level of service budget in 2023/24 for native planting.	To provide additional funding to complete the planting.	Repayments on new loan forecast to increase rates by \$0.15 per EDSS property per year from 2024/25 (approximately 0.03% increase).
Kaiapoi WWTP Planting	\$50,000 of carryover budget from 2022/23.	Allocate a \$250,000 capital level of service budget in 2023/24 for native planting.	To provide additional funding as proceeds from tree harvesting would go to schools.	Repayments on new loan forecast to increase EDSS rates by \$0.78 per property per year from 2024/25 (approximately 0.14% increase).

- (c) **Notes** that the combined value of the above project changes results in a slight reduction of \$170,000 (or 3.6%) in the capital works programme for 2023/24 and would not impact on the deliverability of the overall programme.
- (d) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

**CARRIED**

5.5 **Play, Active Recreation and Sport Strategy** – M McGregor (Senior Advisor Community and Recreation)

M McGregor advised that funding was being sought for the development of a Play, Active Recreation and Sport Strategy (PARS). The strategy would work with existing plans to focus on outcomes. Staff acknowledged the contribution of the community groups and clubs in the delivery of activities and would be working partners around targeting for investment.

Councillor Mealings commented that the Hurunui District Council had not been mentioned in alignment with external partners and suggested that should be considered. M McGregor noted that he had been referring to larger investments such as stadiums.

Councillor Redmond asked if there was scope within existing budgets for the work and C Brown explained no budget had already been committed elsewhere.

Councillor Atkinson asked if the Strategic Plan would guide investment for the Long-Term Plan. C Brown explained that Council already had plans including park management plans, a playground strategy, an aquatics strategy, and a sports facilities plan to guide investment and the Strategic Plan would sit above those. M McGregor explained that the strategy would look at how the Council could prioritise investment and support into the sustainability of the volunteer organisations so that they remained healthy and capable. M McGregor explained that the strategy involved a lot of engagement with the community and was expected to take 6-8 months and would not be available to guide the Long-Term Plan. G MacLeod commented that delay of a year would not delay the outcome, it was important not to rush the process so that feedback could be heard from a wide range of sports.

M McGregor commented on the engagement held with Sport Canterbury and Sport New Zealand. Sport New Zealand had a play advocate to work in the region however discussion regarding that had highlighted the need for a strategic plan.

Mayor Gordon asked if Sport Canterbury was contributing to costs and if funding would be lost if the strategy did not proceed at this time. C Brown noted that Selwyn District Council was further down the track of a Strategy. Funding had been an internal resource which was not appropriate for this Council. He agreed that the timing was not ideal.

Moved: Councillor Brine

Seconded: Councillor Ward

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No 230426057816.
- (b) **Approves** \$50,000 increase to the Greenspace planning budget through the Annual Plan for the creation of a Play, Active Recreation, and Sport Strategy (PARS).
- (c) **Notes** the Play, Active Recreation, and Sport Strategy does not replace any existing strategy or plan that contributes to this area.
- (d) **Notes** the creation of this strategy would involve community and stakeholder engagement and input.
- (e) **Notes** the creation of a Play, Active Recreation and Sport Strategy was in alignment with Sport New Zealand and Sport Canterbury's Strategic objectives.
- (f) **Notes** that both Christchurch City Council and Selwyn District Councils either have an existing strategy in place relating to this area or were in the advanced stages of developing one.

**CARRIED**

A division was called:

For (8): Mayor Gordon, Deputy Mayor Atkinson, Councillors Brine, Cairns, Fulton, Goldsworthy, Mealings and Ward.

Against (2): Councillors Blackie and Williams.

Abstain (1): Councillor Redmond.

**8/2**

Councillor Brine noted it was a comprehensive report, he highlighted his involvement in sport and his passionate belief in the importance of sport for the wellbeing of the community. Councillor Ward agreed with the importance of sport and recreation to wellbeing and the importance in looking after sporting facilities.

Councillor Blackie opposed the motion, he believed the strategy sat in the 'nice to have' category. He believed sport and recreation was working well in the district and had for decades. He commented that the \$50,000 would be better spent on a playground that required fixing it was not the time to be putting \$50,000 into a study when Council needed to be making savings. Those things that were important would happen whether there was a strategy or not.



Councillor Fulton believed a strategy had real value for the purpose behind investment. He urged consideration of transport connections to connect people to spaces and facilities which was a real purpose of the strategy.

Councillor Williams agreed with Councillor Blackie and would not support the motion. Waimakariri already had some of the best sporting facilities and he congratulated staff on that, the stadium was a 'nice to have' not a necessity.

In right of reply, Councillor Brine commented that this district would not be where it was in terms of facilities without planning and strategizing. This motion provided a strategy for the future. He had worked with several past Community and Recreation Managers and there had always been continual planning meetings.

5.6 **Fees and Charges – Adoption of Fee Changes to take effect from 1 July 2023 –**  
M Harris (Customer Service Manager)

M Harris took the report as read, noting the usual timing had been changed to assist with the administration required, however the fee changes would take effect from 1 July as per usual.

Councillor Redmond queried the change in name from “large unit” to “Queen Unit” and M Harris replied that this was a more descriptive name as large implied significantly larger which the units were not.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson                      Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230518072421.
- (b) **Approves** the schedule of changes to fees and charges to take effect from 1 July 2023 and to be included in the Annual Plan 2023-2024.

**CARRIED**

*Mayor Gordon left the meeting and took no part in the discussion or decision making on this item. Mayor Gordon vacated the Chair in favour of Deputy Mayor N Atkinson.*

5.7 **Draft Annual Plan 2023-2024 Staff Submission – Kaiapoi Art Expo Funding –** J Millward  
(Chief Executive)

There were no questions raised on this item.

Moved: Councillor Redmond                      Seconded: Councillor Ward

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230524076003.
- (b) **Approves** a grant of \$2,000 towards the Kaiapoi Art Expo as part of the Annual Plan 2023-2024, and then consider this funding again at part of the next Long Term Plan process.
- (c) **Notes** this would be funded by the Council's community grants budget, which was funded by the community services rate.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Williams abstained

Councillor Redmond stated that the Kaiapoi Art Expo was a worthwhile and iconic event which brought visitors to Kaiapoi and the district, however he believed that the allocation of funding should be reviewed and considered again during the Long Term Plan process.

Councillor Ward agreed that the Expo was a wonderful event which supported both local artists and businesses.

Mayor Gordon returned to the meeting and retook the Chair.

5.8 **Budgeted Carryovers from 2022-23 to 2023-24 financial year** – P Christensen (Finance Manager)

J Millward took the report as read.

Councillor Williams raised a concern that many of the smaller projects that were included in the carryovers should have been completed. He questioned if the Council had sufficient contractors to ensure the Capital Work Programme was completed in a timely manner and believed that the bigger contractors put off doing the smaller projects. Councillor Williams believed that the Procurement Policy should be reviewed to allow smaller contractors to tender for the smaller projects. J Millward noted that the first sector of the year had been very unsettled for contractors however he believed that the rest of the year should improve with more of the work being completed on time. J Millward committed to bringing a report to the Council on the work being done on updating the Procurement Policy and tendering process.

In response to a question from Councillor Mealings on the Mill Road Storm Management Area's budget, J Millward noted that the full budget would be brought back once options had been investigated.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Brine

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 230517071243.
- (b) **Adopts** the carryovers as listed (Trim: 230517071246) for inclusion in the 2023-24 budget.
- (c) **Notes** this report compiles the full list of projects as presented to the Council's Annual Plan deliberation meeting and any changes in consideration to those reports would be reflected within these budgets.
- (d) **Notes** the rate effect of the carryovers was nil. Rating effect of carryovers would be "smoothed" over future years.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Ward stated she was confident that the projects could be completed in a timely manner.

**6. MATTER REFERRED FROM THE KAIAPOI-TUAHIWI COMMUNITY BOARD**

6.1 **Murphy Park Rowing Precinct** – H Belworthy (Landscape Architect) and D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager)  
(Refer to attached cope of Report 230329044003 to the Kaiapoi-Tuahwi Community Board meeting 17 April 2023)

H Belworthy advised that since the report had been presented to Kaiapoi-Tuahwi Community Board in April 2023 further information had come to light regarding the Murphy Park Rowing Precinct. The Dragon Boat used the boat ramp which required upgrading for their needs, with 290 members they also needed space to grow. Waka Ama also had a casual use of the space with local youth and school and needed more space for launch. The Kaiapoi Cure Club had concerns about the 'rowing precinct' portion of the name considering the variety of uses.

The Cure Club raised concerns regarding congestion of the river and was concerned to encourage more boat users on the Kaiapoi River. Staff would have a discussion with all boat users in the next few months. Kerrs Reach in Christchurch was developing a new 1km wide channel and because of the development the Union Rowing Club had now withdrawn from the Kaiapoi River just leaving St Margaret's Rowing Club.

Councillor Atkinson raised the option of laying the report on the table until evidence could be brought together for a new plan. D Roxborough commented that was an option, however staff were aware that work needed to be completed and they could proceed with the project and seek further budget if required. Mayor Gordon suggested a new submission to the Long Term Plan.

Councillor Redmond asked if assistance could be provided to St Margarets and the Dragon Boating Club for grant applications. If they were able to contribute to the public infrastructure it may allay criticism in the community regarding funds being spent to assist those clubs.

Councillor Goldsworthy asked how long were the licence to occupy for the clubs and was there a chance of them relocating to the new facility at Kerrs Reach? C Brown advised the licence could be terminated with a month's notice. He commented on the investment St Margarets had made in their facilities on the river. It was noted that though St Margarets was located in town a number of students were from the Waimakariri.

Councillor Mealings asked if the infrastructure being upgraded was available to the public and D Roxborough advised yes as it included upgrading the carpark and the ramp. The buildings were St Margarets.

Councillor Mealings asked what the budget implications of delay were. D Roxborough advised that it would be a carry forward of funds to the following year. C Brown commented there needed to be consultation with the Dragon Boat Club. With the increase in size of the club there were more rowers and more conflict which required a more formal agreement.

Councillor Fulton asked what the benefits of non-resident groups using Waimakariri facilities were. C Brown commented aside from the economic benefit, there was the vitality of seeing people in the river. St Margarets also carried out a full river clean-up. He highlighted several sporting codes came to play in the district in regional sporting competitions.

Councillor Ward asked if improvements could be made to the car-parking which was in poor condition while they delayed the boat ramp improvements. C Brown agreed there was enough funding for the car-park project.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson

Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the Council:

- (a) **Refers** the matter back to Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board for further consideration in light of new information provided.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Atkinson highlighted the new information. Groups coming to Kaiapoi contributed to the economy and the Motorhome Association was a good example of this with \$110 night per couple. He believed it was a worthwhile project and noted the huge membership of the Dragon Boat Club. There needed to be an awareness of patch protection.

Councillor Blackie endorsed the comments of Councillor Atkinson, there was congestion on the river that needed addressing.

## 7. SUPPLEMENTARY AGENDA ITEM

### 7.1 Water Supply Staff Submission to Annual Plan 2023-2024 – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and C Roxburgh (Project Delivery Manager)

C Roxburgh spoke to the report highlighting five requested amendments to the Annual Plan since the Plan had been to consultation. The items equated to 3.4% of the total value of the 2023/24 work programme for water supply and staff believed it was deliverable.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson

Seconded: Mayor Gordon

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** Report No. 230426058081.

(b) **Approves** the following changes to capital budgets for water supply, as a result of the budget review process that has been undertaken:

Item	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons	Rating Impact
Rangiora Woodend Road Booster Main (Woodend Water Supply)	\$619,000 budget in 2024/25 to upgrade trunk main on Rangiora Woodend Road to facilitate growth on scheme.	Reallocate \$50,000 of this budget in 2023/24 and reduce 2024/25 amount to \$569,000 to allow design to commence in 2023/24	Ensure project was deliverable in 2024/25 by starting design earlier.	Negligible as there was an existing budget that was growth funded so no impact to existing ratepayers.
Peraki Street Roof Replacement (Kaiapoi Water Supply)	Nil	Allocate an \$80,000 capital renewal budget in 2023/24 in the Kaiapoi water supply cost centre for the replacement of the Peraki Street water headworks roof replacement.	Address recently identified deficiency in headworks roof.	No change to 2023/24 rates, as increased loan takes effect from 2024/25. As it was renewals funded and there was surplus in the account, no rating impact.
View Hill Reservoir Repairs (Oxford Rural No.1 Water Supply).	Nil	Allocate a \$120,000 capital level of service budget in 2023/24 for sealing and repairs of the View Hill Reservoir on the Oxford Rural No.1 scheme.	Address deficiencies identified through recent inspection.	No change to 2023/24 rates, as increased loan takes effect from 2024/25. Repayments on new loan forecast to increase rates by \$4.74 per unit per year from 2024/25 (approximately 1% increase).
Kings Ave Water Headworks Building Improvements	Nil	Allocate \$50,000 capital level of service budget for 2023/24 for improvements to the building to improve the overall site safety.	Address issues identified through recent investigations into the wider site from a combined roading, wastewater, drainage and water supply point of view.	No change to 2023/24 rates, as increased loan takes effect from 2024/25. Forecast increase was \$6 per UAC, which equates to a 1.3% increase to the Waikuku Beach water rate.
Merton Road and Priors Road Water Servicing:	\$300,000 in 23/24 \$1,345,000 in 24/25 \$nil in 25/26	\$360,000 in 23/24 \$1,581,000 in 24/25 \$651,000 in 25/26	Ensures budget matches scope required to be delivered, and timing matches	Nil as project funded by beneficiaries (existing landowners and developer).

Item	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons	Rating Impact
			developer expectations.	

(c) **Notes** that the combined value of the above 2023/24 increase (\$360,000) had been assessed against the full Capital Programme and deemed to be within the overall contingency allowance made when the deliverability of the programme was assessed, and therefore were deemed to be deliverable.

(d) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Atkinson commented that the work needed to be completed. The works had been factored into the rate increase.

## 8. **CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSIONS TO THE DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2023-2024**

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the consideration of all public submissions be received, proforma, noting the decisions would be finalised at the end of the meeting.

**CARRIED**

Mayor Gordon noted that Councillors were welcome to suggest amendments to recommendations as they went through the agenda.

### 1. **CONSULTATION**

#### 1.1 **Investment needed to meet new water standards**

##### 1.1.1 **I prefer option A (The Community supports a more robust testing regime and bringing forward planned treatment upgrades to meet the new rules).**

Various Submitters

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that 47 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to the investment needed to meet new water standards.
- (b) **Notes** majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Annual Plan engagement document (Option A).
- (c) **Approves** the budgets as per Option A of the Annual Plan for the investment needed to meet the new water standards, remaining unchanged as per the draft Annual Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

##### 1.1.2 **I prefer option B (The community does not support this further investment into testing and infrastructure upgrades. This would mean however that water supplies would likely not pass the exemption process and would need to be chlorinated).**

Various Submitters

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that 47 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to the investment needed to meet new water standards.

- (b) **Notes** majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Annual Plan engagement document – Option A.
- (c) **Approves** the budgets as per Option A of the Annual Plan for the investment needed to meet new water standards, remaining unchanged as per the draft Annual Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**1.1.3 Investment needed to meet new water standards**

DAP23-24.20.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Mr S Bell.
- (b) **Notes** that the submitter was in favour of testing and upgrades as required provided there was adequate public consultation on the topic and that the Annual Plan consultation document was considered to provide a forum for this consultation to take place.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- It was suggested the response include the broader reason for the new water standards which was to ensure safe drinking water.

**1.1.4 Investment needed to meet new water standards**

DAP23-24.25.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Mr Aaron and Morgan and Mrs Beilby.
- (b) **Notes** that while the submitter's view was acknowledged that the rules should not be followed by Council due to these being imposed on the Council, there was a legal obligation for the Council to meet new rules now that they had been adopted.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- The response should include that the Council was working hard to achieve exemptions and keep the community informed for example by holding drop-in sessions. It was suggested Council communications material on the matter such as the pamphlet be included for information.
- The officer recommendation should be to receive not accept.

### 1.1.5 Investment needed to meet new water standards

DAP23-24.32.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Mr C Wightman.
- (b) **Notes** the point made that investment in source water was important and should be prioritised, however it should be noted also that this did not negate the need to provide treatment and extra testing in order to meet the new Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- The officer recommendation should be to receive not accept.
- A broader explanation as per 1.1.4 was necessary around the opposition the Council had provided for 3 Waters reform and Chlorination, including the Select Committee process. This applied to a number of the submissions.

### 1.1.6 Investment needed to meet new water standards

DAP23-24.58.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Mr and Mrs Holland.
- (b) **Notes** the opposition stated by the submitter to the three waters reforms did not negate the need of Council to meet the new drinking water rules.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 1.1.7 Investment needed to meet new water standards

DAP23-24.36.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Mrs K Barnett.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter had identified that there was a chance that even with UV treatment the Council may not be successful in gaining chlorine exemptions, however even if this was the case and chlorine was required to be added, UV treatment was required simply to meet the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rules.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 1.1.8 Investment needed to meet new water standards

DAP23-24.43.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Mrs H Thomas.
- (b) **Notes** it was not considered appropriate for the Council to be providing cost benefit analysis of the Three Waters Reform programme to residents on behalf of central Government, as had been requested by the submitter. The Councils analysis had concluded that the Three Water Reform would not be in the financial interests of Waimakariri ratepayers.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 1.1.9 Investment needed to meet new water standards

DAP23-24.53.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.
- (b) **Acknowledged** that there were a number of various permutations of the outcome of the chlorine exemption process given this process was new and a precedent was yet to be set, however despite this staff had given careful consideration and considered that it was hard to see a future compliance pathway under any of these scenarios that would not benefit from the addition of UV treatment either for bacterial compliance, protozoal compliance, or both.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 1.1.10 Investment needed to meet new water standards

DAP23-24.41.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission made by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that while there was some information available online about the levels of treatment on different water supplies, this could be further enhanced by the production of a map as suggested by the Board.
- (c) **Notes** that further consideration would need to be given to a Council position with regard to struggling private water suppliers if and when the situation arose.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.



### **1.1.11 Investment needed to meet new water standards – Addition of chemical additives**

DAP23-24.57.1, DAP23-24.59.4, DAP23-24.63.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submissions made by Ms A Saunders, Mr G Barclay and Mr D Harnett.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council's approach of committing to treatment of water without the use of chemicals by way of UV disinfection was consistent with the submitters' views, however also acknowledged that if chlorine exemption applications were unsuccessful, chlorine may still need to be used.
- (c) **Notes** that when chlorine was required to be used that adequate controls and protections were in place to ensure that chlorine was used in a safe manner, and that this was backed up by thorough testing and monitoring regimes in line with the Drinking Water Quality Assurance Rule requirements.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- It was requested that the second bullet point in the response to the submitters be shortened to just advise that the Council was applying for an exemption.
- The response should be to receive.
- Remove 'if possible' in the first bullet point.

## **1.2 Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

### **1.2.1 I prefer option A (The community sees value in spending on stormwater infrastructure that would make the District more resilient to extreme weather and heavy rainfall events.)**

Various submissions.

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submissions.
- (b) **Notes** that 43 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to stormwater upgrades needed to respond to extreme weather events.
- (b) **Notes** majority of feedback received was in favour of Council's preferred option as per the Annual Plan engagement document – Option A.
- (c) **Approves** the budgets as per Option A of the Annual Plan for stormwater upgrades needed to respond to extreme weather events, remaining unchanged as per the draft Annual Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- It was suggested that the response to submitters include reference that the Council would be reviewing drainage rates during the LTP.
- It was requested that the word extreme be removed from the motion, staff noted the point however that this was the wording that had been in the consultation documentation.
- Clarification was provided that the ringfenced 'Better Off' funding was still Tranche 1 of the programme.

- It was requested that the response to the submitters include phone numbers of organisations such as for ECan that they could discuss issues with. It was requested that throughout responses to submitters where other organisations were referred to that emails and numbers could be provided to assist residents. Where it was WDC then the response should be that the Council would be in touch with the submitter.
- Responses should include that the Council submitted to ECan and other organisations on issues.

**1.2.2 I prefer option B (The community does not support further investment in stormwater infrastructure. Without this investment the risk of flooding during large storm events remains the same.)**

Various submissions

**That** the Council:

- Receives** the submissions.
- Notes** that 43 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to stormwater upgrades needed to respond to extreme weather events.
- Notes** majority of feedback received was in favour of Councils preferred option as per the Annual Plan engagement document – Option A.
- Approves** the budgets as per Option A of the Annual Plan for stormwater upgrades needed to respond to extreme weather events, remaining unchanged as per the draft Annual Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**1.2.3 Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events - Utilise existing funds**

DAP23-24.20.2

**That** the Council:

- Receives** the submission from S Bell.
- Notes** that additional budget has already been included for drain maintenance.
- Notes** that Environment Canterbury were the agency that were responsible for the management of rivers and stopbanks.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 1.2.4 **Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.25.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Aaron and Morgan and Mrs Beilby.
- (b) **Notes** that while Waimakariri District Council maintains drains in the rural drainage schemes and maintains roadside drains and gutters, Environment Canterbury were the agency that were responsible for the management of rivers, including the management of gravel extraction.
- (c) **Notes** that a full version of the NIWA Climate Change Scenario Technical Report was available on Council's website.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 1.2.5 **Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.32.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Colin Wightman.
- (b) **Declines** the request to halve the investment in stormwater upgrades.
- (c) **Notes** that the investment in stormwater upgrades was being targeted to the urban areas and the areas of highest flood risk, to reduce the risk of flooding during future large storm events.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was suggested the recommendation would be to *partially accept* as there was agreement to target upgrades to higher population densities.
- The response should also include information on the upstream effects on downstream.

#### 1.2.6 **Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.57.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms Adrienne Saunders.
- (b) **Notes** that additional budget has already been included for drain maintenance.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**1.2.7 Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.58.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Brian and Maureen and Mrs Holland.
- (b) **Declines** the request to decrease the investment in stormwater upgrades.
- (c) **Notes** that the design of the proposed upgrades would allow for the natural flow of water through our urban and rural areas.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**1.2.8 Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.43.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs Heather Thomas.
- (b) **Notes** that the responsibility for the urban stormwater infrastructure was currently proposed to transfer to water service entities as part of the current Water Services Reform programme.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**1.2.9 Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.59.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr David Harnett.
- (b) **Declines** the request to moderate the investment in stormwater upgrades.
- (c) **Notes** that the investment in stormwater upgrades has been reviewed to ensure this work was delivered efficiently and was integrated with other works to achieve savings in using existing budgets.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **1.2.10 Stormwater upgrades needed to help weather extreme events**

DAP23-24.41.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that a working party has been set up to consider alternative rating structures for drainage and stockwater, which would report back to Council in November 2023 on a proposed rating structure to be consulted on as part of the draft 2023/24 LTP in March/April 2024.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **1.3 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

In reference to items 1.3.1, 1.3.2 and 1.3.3 G Cleary explained that there had been consultation with the community on three potential funding options. While the Council preference had been partial funding the majority of feedback was in favour of Option C – to fully fund the shortfall. Budgets had been prepared on Option A however the Council could consider the fully funded option.

Councillor Mealings asked if there were other options to fund the shortfall. J McBride explained that the funding amount for maintenance had been confirmed by Waka Kotahi and there were no other options for maintenance funding. At the next funding round from Waka Kotahi they would be requesting more. Whenever funding opportunities came up staff would always pursue those with Waka Kotahi for example Transport Choices.

Councillor Mealings noted the 1.5% effect on roading rate and asked what the overall rating impact was. J Millward advised it would increase the rate rise to 5.97% to 6.24%.

Councillor Williams asked if Council did not fully fund the shortfall would that mean road maintenance would fall behind meaning there would be greater costs incurred in the future. G Cleary noted the large challenge for funding maintenance of the road network and that budgets were being stretched over the whole sector. Waka Kotahi funding had not matched the increase in construction costs. Currently staff were going through a process with the contractor to ensure that they were receiving the full work required for the contract and that an extra resource was monitoring that. Staff were very conscious this year of going over budget on road maintenance. A review would be undertaken for the LTP process to answer that question including an audit of the whole unsealed network so a more informed decision could be made.

Councillor Fulton asked if say 10% funding was not spent now on road maintenance would that equate to 25% spend the following year to catchup. G Cleary commented it was important to keep on top of maintenance. He did not believe the Council was in that situation as they were putting a lot of additional metal on unsealed roads and that spending was insuring they were not going backwards. There would be much more information leading into the LTP.

#### **1.3.1 I prefer option A (We partially fund the gap for some renewal projects and all maintenance activities. This equates to an added \$1,058m of funding.)**

Various submissions

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Redmond

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submissions.
- (b) **Notes** that 44 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to inflation fueling higher roading costs.

- (c) **Notes** that slightly more people were in favour of option C (15) to fully fund the shortfall than the Council's preference to partially fund the gap, option A (13).
- (d) **Approves** the budgets as per Option A of the Annual Plan for inflation fuelling higher roading costs, remaining unchanged as per the draft Annual Plan.

**LOST**

Councillor Redmond believed that while the majority of respondents wished to fully fund the shortfall the balanced approach was option A (partially fund the gap). He did not believe the impact on rates of fully funding was sustainable for ratepayers and instead there should be a compromise approach.

Councillor Brine noted that staff comments provided reassurance that the shortfall would be addressed in the LTP.

Amendment

Moved: Councillor Goldsworthy    Seconded: Councillor Cairns

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submissions.
- (b) **Notes** that 44 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to inflation fuelling higher roading costs.
- (c) **Notes** that slightly more people were in favour of option C (15) to fully fund the shortfall than the Council's preference to partially fund the gap, option A (13).
- (d) **Approves** fully funding the shortfall of \$1.28 million which meant the District's road network would receive the maintenance and renewals needed (Option C).
- (e) **Allocates** additional budget to fully fund the shortfall of \$1.28 million at a cost of \$222,000 per annum, which was an increase on the Roading Rate of an additional 1.5%.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

Councillor Goldsworthy commented that if the Council was not on top of maintenance the cost was too great for the future. He believed roading was a core role of Council and as such it was worth the cost.

Councillor Williams supported the amendment as maintenance was vital and he did not want to see the roading network going backward especially due to the impact on safety. It was imperative staff effectively spent the funding to keep roads safe.

Councillor Blackie noted the core roles of Council were roading and water. By not focusing on this they would be doing ratepayers a disservice. Maintenance could not be delayed on roading or 3 Waters.

Councillor Atkinson supported the amendment and asked if Councillors could remove items from the budget to get back to a rise of 5.97%. He had no problem funding core Council work.

Councillor Mealings supported the previous comments, driving through any community it was apparent that rural roads required attention and funding to support that. She did not like the rate increase, however hoped savings could be made elsewhere. Deferring maintenance would cost more in the future.

Councillor Redmond was conflicted, while he would like to spend further funding on roads, they had heard from staff they were looking at other efficiencies. He did not believe Option A was going backward. He suggested that other options may need to get removed from the budget such as art, which was not a core matter.

Councillor Fulton was supportive of the amendment for additional budget to fund the shortfall. Without that there was a risk of going backwards and damage to the network. Roothing was a core spend for Council and by not funding they would be letting down ratepayers.

Councillor Cairns noted inflation was influencing the additional cost. He believed they were justified to increase rates to improve roading.

Mayor Gordon would have preferred to look at efficiencies to ensure maximum value from the contract. He received many emails regrading roading related matters. Whilst he did not like to see the increase to rates, he had been persuaded by the argument put forward and would support the amendment. However, he still wanted to see efficiencies from the contractor and auditing their delivery was still important.

Councillor Atkinson commented that it was clear they would not stop looking for efficiencies and if it was not required to spend the funding staff would not.

The amendment became the substantive motion

### **1.3.2 Inflation fueling higher roading costs – I prefer option B (We only fund the gap for maintenance. This equates to \$665k.)**

Various submissions

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submissions.
- (b) **Notes** that 44 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to inflation fuelling higher roading costs.
- (c) **Notes** that slightly more people were in favour of Option C (15) to fully fund the shortfall than the Council's preference to partially fund the gap, option A (13).
- (d) **Approves** fully funding the shortfall of \$1.28 million which meant the District's road network would receive the maintenance and renewals needed (Option C).
- (e) **Allocates** additional budget to fully fund the shortfall of \$1.28 million at a cost of \$222,000 per annum, which was an increase on the Roothing Rate of an additional 1.5%.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **1.3.3 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs – I prefer option C (We fully fund the shortfall of \$1.28m which means our road network receives the maintenance and renewals needed.)**

Various submissions

**Receives** the submissions.

- (a) **Notes** that 44 people/organisations submitted as part of the Annual Plan in relation to inflation fuelling higher roading costs.
- (b) **Notes** that slightly more people were in favour of Option C (15) to fully fund the shortfall than the Council's preference to partially fund the gap, option A (13).
- (c) **Approves** fully funding the shortfall of \$1.28 million which meant the District's road network would receive the maintenance and renewals needed (Option C).

- (d) **Allocates** additional budget to fully fund the shortfall of \$1.28 million at a cost of \$222,000 per annum, which was an increase on the Rooding Rate of an additional 1.5%.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **1.3.4 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

DAP23-24.20.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Stephen Bell.
- (b) **Notes** that the increase in costs has been largely driven by increasing market costs including fuel, labour and materials which has put pressure on road maintenance budgets.
- (c) **Notes** that reducing spending in the areas of maintenance, operations and renewals would result in decreased levels of service on the transport network and likely result in increased greenhouse gas emissions.
- (d) **Notes** that the general condition of the sealed road network has remained steady despite increasing flood events over the last two years, however failure to address increasing construction costs would result in less work being able to be carried out going forward, which would subsequently result in further deterioration of the network.
- (e) **Notes** that the National Road to Zero Strategy has a vision where everyone, whether they're walking, cycling, driving, motorcycling or taking public transport, can get to where they're going safely.
- (f) **Notes** that the State Highway Safety Improvement Programme was led by Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency).

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **1.3.5 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

DAP23-24.25.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Aaron and Morgan and Mrs Beilby.
- (b) **Notes** that the increase in costs has been largely driven by increasing market costs including fuel, labour and materials which has put pressure on road maintenance budgets.
- (c) **Notes** that reducing spending in the areas of maintenance, operations and renewals would result in decreased levels of service on the transport network and likely result in increased greenhouse gas emissions.



- (d) **Notes** that the general condition of the sealed road network has remained steady despite increasing flood events over the last two years, however failure to address increasing construction costs would result in less work being able to be carried out going forward, which would subsequently result in further deterioration of the network.
- (e) **Notes** that the National Road to Zero Strategy has a vision where everyone, whether they're walking, cycling, driving, motorcycling or taking public transport, can get to where they're going safely.
- (f) **Notes** that the State Highway Safety Improvement Programme was led by Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency)

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was asked if the reference to the social cost could be removed from the recommendation as it did not add anything. It was also asked that the response to the submitter be shortened for the same reason. This change was necessary for a number of the responses.
- It was suggested that roading networks had been deteriorating well before flooding events over the past two years due to lack of maintenance. J McBride agreed that while there had been some deterioration the flood events had massively exacerbated the damage.
- It was suggested that there were additional infrastructure pressure on roads such as increased agricultural vehicle travel (for example cut and carry operations).
- It was asked if staff could more explicitly state that Council did not have control over decisions by Waka Kotahi – for example installing wire rope barriers.
- It was asked that reference to the advocacy of Council to Waka Kotahi (on behalf of residents) be added to the response.

### **1.3.6 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

DAP23-24.32.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Colin Wightman.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for road maintenance budgets increasing.
- (c) **Notes** that Council must meet Waka Kotahi's (NZ Transport Agency) requirements to receive funding.
- (d) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi requires all approved organisations to have an endorsed procurement plan which outlines how Council would procure services including maintenance activities, while ensuring that a competitive process is being followed and that Council were achieving value for money.
- (e) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi carry out audits of approved organisation every three years to ensure they were meeting funding requirements..

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was asked that a fuller response be provided to the submitter including steps to ensure work was delivered to the agreed specification and noting similar complaints across other Councils. Also adding that with severe weather events Central Government maintenance funding had been reduced which was why extra resource was required.

### 1.3.7 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs

DAP23-24.57.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms Adrienne Saunders.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters comments regarding efficiency.
- (c) **Notes** that Council must meet Waka Kotahi's (NZ Transport Agency) requirements to receive funding through the National Land Transport Fund for road maintenance activities.
- (d) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi requires all approved organisations to have an endorsed procurement plan which outlines how Council would procure services including maintenance and provide value for money.
- (e) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi carry out audits of approved organisation every three years to ensure that funding requirements were being met.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 1.3.8 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs

DAP23-24.58.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Brian and Maureen and Mrs Holland.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for increasing only maintenance related budgets.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It would be helpful to provide information on the number of flooding events to the submitter.

### 1.3.9 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs

DAP23-24.43.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs Heather Thomas.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for road maintenance budgets increasing.
- (c) **Notes** that this additional funding was for maintaining and renewing existing assets and as such does not include the provision of new facilities such as rural paths to schools. However there were other parts of the roading budget that make allowance for these facilities.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **1.3.10 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

DAP23-24.59.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr David Harnett.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters comments regarding efficiency.
- (c) **Notes** that Council must meet Waka Kotahi's (NZ Transport Agency) requirements to receive funding for road maintenance activities.
- (d) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi requires all approved organisations to have an endorsed procurement plan which outlines how Council would procure services including maintenance and provide value for money.
- (e) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi carry out audits of approved organisation every three years.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **1.3.11 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

DAP23-24.53.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Rangiora Ashley Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters comments regarding budgets needing to increase to address maintenance and safety issues.
- (c) **Notes** that specific budget for the Townsend Rd Culvert was allowed for within the Long Term Plan with funding in the 2023/24 year for construction.
- (d) **Notes** the support for Walking and Cycling Priority One projects and that these were currently being progressed through the Transport Choices Programme with the exception of Dixons Rd Gritted Path.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **1.3.12 Inflation fuelling higher roading costs**

DAP23-24.41.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for maintenance repairs and preventing rework.

- (c) **Notes** that in some circumstances short term holding repairs were required for safety reasons, until such time as the maintenance contractor can carry out the long term repair.
- (d) **Notes** that staff would continue to work with the contractor to ensure consideration was given to the best maintenance options.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **1.4 Consultation - Other**

### **1.4.1 Consultation – General Comment**

DAP23-25.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Aaron and Morgan and Mrs Beilby.
- (b) **Notes** the point made by the submitter.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2 UTILITIES AND ROADING**

### **2.1 Roading**

#### **2.1.1 Public Transport**

DAP23-24.1.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Jackson Davey.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for public transport.
- (c) **Notes** that there was significant work currently underway through the Greater Christchurch Partnership on future public transport needs in conjunction with the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, Greater Christchurch Transport Plan and Mass Rapid Transit Investigation work. This work would support future investment in public transport services and infrastructure.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 2.1.2 Direct commuter bus routes

DAP23-24.21.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for increasing commuter bus services from Rangiora and Kaiapoi to the center of Christchurch.
- (c) **Notes** that the existing direct bus services were very popular and provides a time competitive connection to the city.
- (d) **Notes** that there was significant work currently underway through the Greater Christchurch Partnership on future public transport needs in conjunction with the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, Greater Christchurch Transport Plan and Mass Rapid Transit Investigation work. This work would support future investment in public transport services and infrastructure.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- The response to the submitter should include reference to the partnering with ECan on the Park n Ride.

### 2.1.3 Increase the frequency of buses

DAP23-24.44.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Simon Rutherford.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for increasing public transport services.
- (c) **Notes** that there was significant work currently underway through the Greater Christchurch Partnership on future public transport needs in conjunction with the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan, Greater Christchurch Transport Plan and Mass Rapid Transit Investigation work. This work would support future investment in public transport services and infrastructure.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- The response to the submitter should include reference to the partnering with ECan on the Park n Ride.

### 2.1.4 Park and Ride Ravenswood

DAP23-24.40.14

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.
- (c) **Notes** that the implementation of Park and Ride Facilities was being staged in a way as to meet anticipated demand and allow for growth in the future as and when demand increases.

- (d) **Notes** that funding for land associated with a Park and Ride Facility in the Ravenswood / Woodend area was included in the 2023/24 financial year.
- (e) **Notes** that staff would continue to advocate to Environment Canterbury for improved Public Transport services including a connection to Ravenswood.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- The response should also include that staff and *Council* would continue to advocate.

### **2.1.5 Parking at Rangiora Cemetery**

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Brown.
- (b) **Declines** the request for parking outside the Lawn Cemetery.
- (c) **Notes** there was parking available in the wider area including in the Lawn Cemetery, in Coldstream Oval which was directly across the road, as well as in the adjacent Maria Andrews Sports Ground.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- Councillor's requested a report to the Community and Recreation Committee regarding options for parking in that area.
- It was requested that the response include that the Council would continue to monitor the parking situation.

### **2.1.6 Parking for people with impaired mobility**

DAP23-24.12. 4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs Tess Jolly.
- (b) **Notes** a review of the mobility carpark in key activity centres has been added to the Roading work programme and would be carried out this calendar year.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.7 Smart Parking technology**

DAP23-24.53.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Rangiora Ashley Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that staff were currently working on options for Smart Parking and would be holding a workshop with the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board later this year to discuss options which were available, and following this a report would be taken to Council for consideration.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was noted that a report would be coming to Council on Smart Parking options.

**2.1.8 Active transport infrastructure**

DAP23-24.21.5

**That** the Council:

- Receives** the submission from Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health.
- Notes** support for investment in walking and cycling facilities for the district.
- Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority one projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- Notes** that Council has been successful in getting approval for a package of walking and cycling projects through the Transport Choices programme and was currently working to progress these through to funding approval.
- Notes** that an Emissions Reduction Plan was required for the Greater Christchurch area and was being prepared with the Greater Christchurch Partners.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.9 Cycleway - Waikuku Beach to Rangiora**

DAP23-24.18.4

**That** the Council:

- Receives** the submission from Mr Garry Grant.
- Notes** the request for an improved walking and cycling facilities between Waikuku and the Rangiora-Woodend Cycle Path.
- Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority one projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- Notes** that a connection between Waikuku and the Rangiora-Woodend Cycle Path does not sit within the current prioritised projects and as such was likely to be some time away.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.10 Safety for cyclists on Tram Road**

DAP23-24.46.4, DAP23-24.50.4

**That** the Council:

- Receives** the submissions from Mr Robert would iams and Ms Karen Baas.
- Notes** the request for improved walking and cycling facilities on Tram Road.

- (c) **Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority one to three projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- (d) **Notes** that improved cycling facilities on Tram Rd does not sit within the current prioritised projects and as such was likely to be some time away.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.11 Walking/Cycling visitor maps**

DAP23-24.47.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Kaiapoi Promotions Association.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the ongoing work which Kaiapoi Promotions Association have undertaken in the area of Walking and Cycling and commends them for this work.
- (c) **Notes** that regional mapping opportunities require further consideration.
- (d) **Declines** the request for a grant of \$15,000.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was suggested that staff add to the response that Enterprise North Canterbury had walking and cycling maps.
- It was requested that staff add to the response that the Walking and Cycling Network Plan programme was to be considered further as part of the LTP.

**2.1.12 Cycle infrastructure**

DAP23-24.45.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Spokes Canterbury.
- (b) **Notes** the support for investment in walking and cycling facilities for the district.
- (c) **Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority one to three projects, to be delivered as funding was available.
- (d) **Notes** that Council has been successful in gaining for approval of a package of walking and cycling projects through the Transport Choices programme and was currently working to progress these through to funding approval.
- (e) **Notes** that this includes a connection between Rangiora and the Passchendaele Path, a connection between Kaiapoi and Woodend and also Woodend and Ravenswood, along with improved wayfinding signage.
- (f) **Notes** the request to progress work associated with Safer Speeds and Road to Zero.

**CARRIED**



Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.13 Walking/Cycling facilities**

DAP23-24.44.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Simon Rutherford.
- (b) **Notes** the support for investment in walking and cycling facilities for the district.
- (c) **Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority one projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- (d) **Notes** that where renewal activities can provide improvements to walking and cycling then this was considered, within funding rules set by Waka Kotahi.
- (e) **Notes** that walking and cycling was considered where new infrastructure was planned including within new development areas.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.14 Multi-use paths in new subdivisions**

DAP23-24.53.6, DAP23-24.42.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submissions from Rangiora Ashley Community Board and Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the support for investment in walking and cycling facilities for the district, including providing transport options for children travelling to school.
- (c) **Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority one projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- (d) **Notes** that Council has been successful in gaining approval for a package of walking and cycling projects through the Transport Choices programme and was currently working to progress these through to funding approval.
- (e) **Notes** that opportunities for walking and cycling in urban and rural areas were considered as part of new developments and the Engineering Code of Practice was currently being updated to better reflect an increase in the need for these facilities.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- The best opportunity to consider cycleways was during planning of the subdivision. There were difficulties in retrofitting cycleways. The topic was to be considered as part of the LTP and had not been considered in this Annual Plan. It was also a District Planning matter.

### **2.1.15 Multi-use path connecting Passchendaele to Ashley Gorge**

DAP23-24.41.16

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the request for a walking and cycling connection between Rangiora and Ashley Gorge via Ohoka and Mandeville via North Eyre Rd to Ashley Gorge.
- (c) **Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- (d) **Notes** that improved cycling facilities between Rangiora and Ashley Gorge via Ohoka and Mandeville via North Eyre Rd to Ashley Gorge was identified, however does not sit within the current prioritised projects and as such was likely to be some time away.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.16 Walkway between Pegasus and Woodend**

DAP23-24.40.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the request for a walking and cycling connection between Woodend and Ravenswood was included in the Walking and Cycling Network Plan, however Transport Choices funding if approved for construction was for a shared facility and not a path only as requested by the Board.
- (c) **Notes** that Council approved a Walking and Cycling Network Plan for the district in October 2022, which identifies gaps in the network and set priority projects to be delivered as funding was available.
- (d) **Notes** that additional funding to complete a walking connection would be brought to Council for consideration as part of the Long Term Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was suggested the answer was missing a comment regarding pedestrian options were being considered as part of Woodend Safety improvements.

### **2.1.17 Connect Woodend Beach Road to Pegasus and Waikuku Beach**

DAP23-24.40.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the request for improved walking and cycling connection between Woodend Beach Rd and also through to Pegasus.
- (c) **Notes** that the Walking and Cycling network Plan approved by Council in October 2022 included a connection through Cooper Beach Rd, however the timing of this connection was dependent on development.

- (d) **Notes** that the connections requested were not included within the current prioritised projects from the Walking and Cycling Network Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.18 Lineside Road safety improvements**

DAP23-24.1.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Jackson Davey.
- (b) **Notes** the support for safety improvements along the Lineside Rd corridor.
- (c) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) have jurisdiction over SH71 Lineside Road.
- (d) **Notes** that as part of the Road to Zero Programme, Waka Kotahi have a State High Safety Improvement Project planned for Lineside Road to address the high crash risk along the corridor.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.19 Traffic calming and pedestrian islands**

DAP23-24.9.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Ian Brown.
- (b) **Notes** that the road corridor needs to cater for a variety of different road users, not just motor vehicles, to allow for safe access.
- (c) **Notes** that the kerbing and concrete islands were used to provide safe crossing points for pedestrians and to control the speed of vehicles, particularly in urban areas.
- (d) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) spending on safety projects was to reduce deaths and serious injuries on the roads, which has an estimated social cost of \$4.9M for each fatal crash and \$923,000 for each serious injury crash.
- (e) **Notes** that decisions around investment on state highways was influenced by central government policy and not local Council.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 2.1.20 Speed Limits

DAP23-24.9.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Ian Brown.
- (b) **Notes** the request of the submitter.
- (c) **Notes** that developing a speed management plan and setting safe and appropriate speeds was a requirement of the "Setting of Speed Limits Rule 2022".
- (d) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi (NZ Transport Agency) have provided clear guidance on assessing and setting of speed limits which all Road Controlling Authorities were required to follow.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was noted that the decisions to move to reduced speeds throughout the District had not yet been made by the Council and this should be reflected in the wording of the response to the submitter. Staff undertook to provide a response that better reflected the process required for speed limit setting.

### 2.1.21 Speed Limits- Oxford

DAP23-24.29.2; DAP23-24.41.15

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr John and Shirley and Mrs Farrell and Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that Council's Standing Orders state that when a motion has been considered and rejected by the local authority or a Committee, no similar notice of motion may be accepted within the next 12 months, unless signed by not less than one third of all members, including vacancies.
- (c) **Notes** that as such this matter cannot be considered again until after 6 September 2023, unless the conditions as outlined in the standing orders have been met.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 2.1.22 NW bypass

DAP23-24.28.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Nick Taylor.
- (b) **Notes** that the construction of the North-West Rangiora Arterial Road was included in the Long Term Plan starting in 2030/31.
- (c) **Notes** that the construction of the new collector road would be funded by Growth and Levels of Service, as it would provide for existing traffic as well as allowing for growth in the network.

- (d) **Notes** that heavy vehicles were required to pay Road User Charges which contributes to the upkeep of roads around the country.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **2.1.23 Lehmans Road/Oxford Road roundabout**

DAP23-24.28.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Nick Taylor.
- (b) **Notes** that improvements at the Oxford Rd / Lehmans Rd intersection were included in the Long Term Plan in 2025/26 (design) and 2026/27 (construction).

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **2.1.24 Asphalt Tram Road To South Eyre Road**

DAP23-24.46.5; DAP23-24.50.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Robert would iams and Ms Karen Baas.
- (b) **Declines** the request for asphalt on Tram Rd, through to South Eyre Rd.
- (c) **Notes** that asphalt surfacing in this area would not meet Councils previously agreed Levels of Service for Road Surfacing, and that asphalt surfacing results in a reduction in skid resistance, which would increase the safety risk.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- There was some discussion on skid resistance.

#### **2.1.25 Street Accessibility Audit**

DAP23-24.48.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from CCS Disability Action.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters request to carry out an accessibility audit of the district's footpaths.
- (c) **Notes** that this would be investigated further and once the costs were determined a report would be brought back to the Utilities and Roading Committee for consideration.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.26 Seal portion of Bush Road, Oxford**

DAP23-24.55.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr John Clark.
- (b) **Notes** the request for the sealing of Bush Road.
- (c) **Notes** that Bush Road currently does not meet the requirements of the Rural Seal Extension Policy due to the low volumes of traffic using the road.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.27 Road Safety – Cust**

DAP23-24.37.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Cust Community Network.
- (b) **Notes** the request for improved walking and cycling connections in Cust.
- (c) **Notes** that the Walking and Cycling Network Plan was approved by Council in October 2022 and this included improvements in Cust which were approved as Priority Three.
- (d) **Notes** that the timing for Priority Three projects has not yet been confirmed however this would be considered further as part of the Long Term Plan process.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.28 Footpath maintenance/access – Cust**

DAP23-24.37.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Cust Community Network.
- (b) **Thanks** the submitter for raising the issue of maintenance of footpaths in Cust.
- (c) **Notes** that these issues would be investigated and remedial actions undertaken.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.29 Tram Road repairs**

DAP23-24.41.12

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Thanks** the submitter for raising the issue of repairs on Tram Road.
- (c) **Notes** that the repairs initially undertaken were holding repairs until such time as the maintenance contractor was able to undertake the permanent repairs.
- (d) **Notes** that staff would work with the maintenance contractor to ensure that where at all possible permanent repairs were undertaken as quickly as possible going forward.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was asked who was responsible for ineffective holding repairs. Staff advised they would look at the response wording.

### **2.1.30 Condition of Main Street – Oxford**

DAP23-24.41.13

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Thanks** the submitter for raising the issue of road surface damage on Main Street in Oxford.
- (c) **Notes** that a site meeting would be held with the Chair of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board to discuss the specific areas of concern and how this might fit into forward works programmes.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.31 Seal Bramleys Road**

DAP23-24.42.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** the request for sealing of Bramleys Road in Tuahiwi.
- (c) **Notes** that Waka Kotahi have planned safety improvements on SH71 Lineside Rd, and that this issue should be considered once there was a clearer understanding of what this would involve.
- (d) **Notes** that staff would prepare a report to Council for consideration on this issue as part of the Long Term Plan process.
- (e) **Notes** that staff would liaise with Ngai Tuahuriri prior to progressing this report.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.32 Maintenance of rural shingle roads**

DAP23-24.41.14

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Thanks** the submitter for raising the issue of unsealed road maintenance.
- (c) **Notes** that the remetalling programme following the July 2022 flood event was currently underway and was to be completed by June 2023.
- (d) **Notes** that additional auditing was currently underway to ensure that the required levels of service on our unsealed roads was being met.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**2.1.33 Pedestrian crossings Oxford**

DAP23-24.29.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr John and Shirley and Mrs Farrell.
- (b) **Notes** that the use of red slurry surfacing at the pedestrian crossings was considered as part of the pedestrian crossing upgrades on Main Street in Oxford, however was not progressed due to concern about this causing issues with conspicuity for the visually impaired.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was noted the response to the submitter had not addressed the Christchurch City Council use of painted pedestrian crossings and it was asked if this could be clarified for the submitter. The response should also reference that options would be looked at when the speed was reconsidered.
- It was requested that it be noted that feedback from the Waimakariri Access Group would be considered for pedestrian crossings.

**2.1.34 Industrial sites/truck depots**

DAP23-24.46.6, DAP23-24.50.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Robert Williams and Ms Karen Baas.
- (b) **Declines** the submitters request.



- (c) **Notes** that while land can be zoned for Business activities through the District Plan, this does not prohibit an individual or organisation from seeking either re-zoning of land through a Zone Change application, or from carrying out a business activity in a rural area subject to resource consent. These activities were controlled by the Resource Management Act.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.1.35 Roading - Levels of spending**

DAP23-24.36.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs Kirstyn Barnett.
- (b) **Notes** the submitters support for road maintenance budgets increasing.
- (c) **Notes** that investment in road maintenance was prioritised for key transport corridors.
- (d) **Notes** that consideration of spend on UV Water Treatment sits outside of the Transport area, however would be considered as part of the overall rates impact during Council deliberations.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was suggested that the statement that the condition of the sealed road network had remained steady over the last two years was incorrect.

## **2.2 Solid Waste**

### **2.2.1 Recycling initiatives**

DAP23-24.16.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs Margaret Austin.
- (b) **Notes** that Council has plans to expand and upgrade the Southbrook resource recovery park, which (if approved) would provide space for more diversion to occur and which would allow more materials to be recycled and items to be repaired for re-sale.
- (c) **Notes** that Council staff work with our contractors, with other Councils in Canterbury, and support community initiatives to develop and implement recycling initiatives for the district and the region; and that staff also participate in national research and educational initiatives through the WasteMINZ network.
- (d) **Notes** that Council was in the process of undertaking a Waste Assessment, which would identify a range of waste minimisation options for the Council to consider for adoption in a revised Waste Management and Minimisation Plan.
- (e) **Notes** that Council supports the circular economy principals in the updated New Zealand Waste Strategy, and continues to advocate for more product stewardship in New Zealand so that the burden of costs for recycling and take-back schemes was removed from ratepayers and was borne by manufacturers, suppliers and consumers.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was noted that the plans to expand and upgrade the Southbrook resource recovery park had not been approved by Council at this time and the wording should better reflect this. Staff were happy to update the recommendations and responses.

## **2.2.2 Glass Recycling**

DAP23-24.23.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Robert Devlin.
- (b) **Notes** that the planned upgrades at Southbrook resource recovery park (if approved) would allow us to take fully colour- separated glass at this site within the next two to three years.
- (c) **Notes** that a range of options for improving recycling services and diverting recyclables from landfill was being considered as part of the Waste Assessment.
- (d) **Notes** that the Government recently postponed their proposed Container Return Scheme for beverage containers from 2024 to 2026, and that this scheme would impact considerably on the amount of glass and other beverage containers that were placed in kerbside bins and dropped off at our solid waste facilities.
- (e) **Defers** any decision around introducing a glass-out recycling collection service at kerbside until after the Container Return Scheme has been introduced and the impacts of the scheme on kerbside recycling composition and volumes were better understood.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.2.3 Greenwaste**

DAP23-24.44.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Simon Rutherford.
- (b) **Notes** that our Council was required by legislation to give effect to the New Zealand Waste Strategy in our Waste Management and Minimisation Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that the revised New Zealand Waste Strategy has a focus on reducing organic waste going to landfill, which includes garden waste, food scraps and timber; and that the specific target in the NZWS was to Reduce the biogenic emissions from waste by at least 30 percent.
- (d) **Notes** that Council would consider a range of options as part of the Waste Assessment to determine how we can divert more organic waste from landfill.
- (e) **Notes** that the Government may bring in regulations that would require all Councils to provide a mandatory food scrap or organics collection service to all properties within our collection areas to meet the NZWS targets.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was requested that the option of a greenwaste bin provision for rental properties be considered by the Utilities and Roading Committee. Staff advised there were a lot of variables to consider regarding that option, and a workshop on the matter to highlight complexities may be the best way forward.

## **2.2.4 Plastic Recycling**

DAP23-24.44.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Simon Rutherford.
- (b) **Notes** that the recycling processing plant would only accept semi-rigid household plastic containers with material types 1 (PET), 2 (HDPE), and 5 (PP), that were between 250 ml and 3 litres in volume, and they would not accept any lids.
- (c) **Notes** that as from February 2024, the Government would require all Councils to provide a standardised kerbside recycling collection service, and this would continue to restrict our Council to taking lidless 1, 2 and 5 plastics at kerbside.
- (d) **Notes** that we do accept clean polystyrene packaging and PVC pipes and fittings for recycling and pot-plant holders for re-use at Southbrook resource recovery park.
- (e) **Notes** that Mitre10 Mega in Rangiora accepts polystyrene and pot plants which were managed through product stewardship schemes, that Soft Plastics Recycling - a product stewardship scheme funded and managed by the Packaging Forum, retailers and suppliers - was available at three stores in Rangiora, and that this has not been offered as a service for Council to provide at our solid waste facilities.
- (f) **Notes** that staff would continue to work with our contractor and various receiving companies to expand the range of materials that we can take for recycling at both solid waste facilities, and that the planned Southbrook resource recovery park upgrade would provide additional capacity to increase the range of recycling at this site.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was requested that information be provided to the submitter on what recycling options were available.

## **2.2.5 Rural Recycling**

DAP23-24.37.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Cust Community Network.
- (b) **Notes** that Council staff have taken measures to ensure that the skips at the Cust Rural Recycling Facility were emptied before holiday periods and immediately after long weekends, in order to better manage capacity.
- (c) **Notes** that additional pickups may be required over longer holiday periods (e.g. Christmas and New year) and staff would work with our contractors to ensure that need would be better addressed in future.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was noted that no submissions regarding other rural recycling schemes had been received.

**2.2.6 Educational programme**

DAP23-24.41.11

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the planned education centre would be an education hub where educational materials can be permanently displayed.
- (c) **Notes** that educational materials would include what can and cannot be recycled or diverted in our District, and the full consequences - including environmental and financial costs - of contamination of recycling and other diverted waste streams.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was noted that the education centre was to be based in Rangiora and it was asked how the education could reach other areas of the district. Staff advised that there outreach education facilities such as in schools and at fairs, even bin audits were an opportunity for education.

**2.3 Water Supply**

**2.3.1 Fluoridation**

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health.
- (b) **Notes** that while there were benefits to dental health associated with fluoride, there would be some members of the community who were opposed to the addition of chemicals to their water, and so if this were to be progressed by the Council without a directive from the Director General of Health, a significant community consultation exercise would need to be undertaken prior to the Council making any such decision.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was requested that the response be more balanced and the sentences be reversed to highlight that Council had not been asked for input and that fluoridation was not a Council decision.

**2.3.2 Renewal of Oxford Township water pipe**

DAP23-24.29.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr John and Shirley and Mrs Farrell.
- (b) **Notes** that staff were aware of the leaks on the water supply pipe noted and were currently considering options to accommodate this within the renewals programme.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.3.3 Groundwater Aquifers**

DAP23-24.41.8

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the Board makes a valid point and that staff were actively working on processes to better understand and protect water sources from risks of contamination, and that the deep groundwater sources within the district have a very good track record of producing high quality drinking water for the Council's communities which the Council was striving to maintain.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.3.4 Groundwater**

DAP23-24.38.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms Sandra Stewart.
- (b) **Notes** that the Government's proposed Water Services Reforms does not cover the management of rivers, lakes and groundwater sources.
- (c) **Notes** that the oversight of private drinking water supplies was now looked after by Taumata Arowai.
- (d) **Notes** that a working party has been set up to consider alternative rating structures for drainage and stockwater, which would report back to Council in November 2023 on a proposed rating structure to be consulted on as part of the draft 2023/24 LTP in March/April 2024.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.4 Wastewater**

### **2.4.1 Oxford Sewer Rates**

DAP23-24.41.22

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the Oxford sewer scheme was more expensive to run than the Eastern Districts Sewer Scheme, due to the economies of scale.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.5 Drainage**

### **2.5.1 Waterway monitoring**

DAP23-24.16.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs Margaret Austin.
- (b) **Notes** that the while stock exclusion was predominantly enforced by Environment Canterbury, the Council's Stormwater Drainage and Watercourse Protection Bylaw 2018 and Stockwater Race Bylaw 2021 set out requirements to avoid bank damage or pollution caused by spraying or stock.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.5.2 Drainage Network**

DAP23-24.34.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr Jason Smith.
- (b) **Notes** that the \$7.8m included in the budget in response to the July 2022 flood events, would help to improve the network and implement necessary stormwater upgrades that would significantly reduce the flooding risk of these extreme events.
- (c) **Notes** that the maintenance of all roadside drains was included in the Roothing and Drainage Maintenance Contract, but was only undertaken reactively.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **2.5.3 Mandeville flooding issues**

DAP23-24.52.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mandeville Residents' Association.
- (b) **Notes** that Council staff have been making progress on a potential solution to upgrade the existing channel and also divert some flow down No.10 Road that would maximise the flow capacity of the existing system and divert some flow without exacerbating the flooding elsewhere.
- (c) **Notes** that the budgets for this project have been increased to \$2.6 million.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- Councillors were concerned that the work continued to be pushed out and requested that more attention be paid to residents' concerns in Mandeville. Staff noted they could be clearer on engagement. The overall project would take place in two stages over four financial years.
- It was requested that the timeframe be made clear with the Mandeville Residents Association. It was also requested that the four year time frame be brought forward as much as possible and the Council would be actively trying to bring forward the programme.
- It was requested that a drop-in session be held in Mandeville in July/August. In reality the work had been pushed out for years and the Council needed to go and front concerns, tell the community what the Council knew and bring them on the journey. It was asked that the drop in session be added to the response.
- It was suggested that the response referencing lodging service requests was not helpful as residents had already lodged numerous service requests.
- It was noted clear communication was required in order to not lose good faith with the Mandeville community and clarification was provided on the budget.

**2.5.4 Improvements to underground culvert drain - Greigs Drain Road**

DAP23-24.50.8, DAP23-24.46.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms Karen Baas and Mr Robert Williams.
- (b) **Notes** that Council staff would look at undertaking further improvement works, from existing budgets, such that it was in a state that they can maintained more easily by property owners in the future.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- It was asked that the response to the submitter include that the Clarkville Drainage Committee had put this on their agenda to address.
- It was suggested that reference to Drainage Committees be added to responses where relevant to help educate the community on their role.

**2.5.5 Upgrades to Oxfords Urban stormwater system**

DAP23-24.41.23

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the \$7.8m included in the budget in response to the July 2022 flood events, includes for works in Oxford including upgrades on Pearsons Drain, Bay Road, Flannigans Drain and Burnett Street.
- (c) **Notes** that there was already budget in place for Matai Place and a project was currently underway to improve the drainage in Tui and Rata streets.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.5.6 Land drainage in Sefton**

DAP23-24.38.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms Sandra Stewart.
- (b) **Notes** that while Sefton village was not located within an urban or rural drainage scheme was served by a road drainage system to manage stormwater during wet weather events.
- (c) **Notes** that only drainage related service request was lodged for Sefton village during the July 2022 flood events.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.5.7 Drainage issues at Woodend Beach**

DAP23-24.40.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the \$7.8m included in the budget in response to the July 2022 flood events, includes an upgrade to the drainage system in Stalkers Road, Woodend Beach.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.6 Development Contributions**

### **2.6.1 Abbyfield House – waiver of development contributions**

DAP23-24.10.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Abbeyfield Waimakariri Inc.
- (b) **Notes** that the Development Contributions Policy delegated to the elected members the ability to grant a development contributions remission in appropriate circumstances.
- (c) **Notes** that there were no changes required to the Development Contributions Policy to allow the elected members to consider the unique and compelling circumstances of any particular development.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.



## **2.6.2 Development contributions discounts - Greenspace infrastructure plans**

DAP23-24.38.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms S Stewart.
- (b) **Supports** staff in the preparation of the Natural Environment Strategy.
- (c) **Notes** that sustainability, climate change, and biodiversity were considered as part of the design of new developments.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## **2.6.3 Development Contributions MR873**

DAP23-24.32.9

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr C Wightman.
- (b) **Notes** the views of the submitter.

**CARRIED**

Councillors Comments:

- That the staff recommendation be amended from 'Not Accepted' to 'Partially Accepted'.
- That the Council's response to the submitter should be rephrased into a fuller response including the planning requirements and clarification on the maximum of 20 dwellings being the qualifier over five years.

## **2.6.4 Three Waters – Reforms**

DAP23-24.57.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms A Saunders.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the support of the Council's position on Three Waters Reform.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- That the Council's response to the submitter contained further information on the process the Council followed in opposing the Three Waters Reforms and its appeal on the decision by the courts.

### 3. **COMMUNITY AND RECREATION**

#### 3.1 **Aquatic Centres**

##### 3.1.1 **Extended Dudley Pool Facilities**

DAP23-24.5.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms T Bristow.
- (b) **Supports** the staff review of Aquatic Strategy to inform recommendations for the upcoming Long Term Plan (LTP). Further development of Aquatic sites was proposed for the last LTP but was pushed out beyond the Infrastructural Review (11<sup>th</sup> year) due to the economic environment and pressures at the time. The Aquatic Strategy which informed that LTP round highlighted the need for further development of leisure and hydrotherapy options and further consideration as to the suitability of the changing facilities. As identified, the community needs remain with pressure increasing as the community continues to grow.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Change to wording on page 74 (second sentence on the top of the page) “This work would involve...” should be amended to read “This work may involve...”.

#### 3.2 **Community Development**

##### 3.2.1 **Food Security Initiatives**

DAP23-2.53.8

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the role of both Community Development and Greenspace staff in working with Community Partners interested in establishing food forests, to identify potential private or Council-owned sites that would be most suitable for food forests.
- (c) **Notes** that there were currently food secure initiatives located on both Council and private land, in line with availability, fit-for-purpose and cost.
- (d) **Acknowledges** the role of Community Development staff in supporting community partners interested in establishing food forests to identify potential external funding sources and establish sustainable funding strategies.
- (e) **Acknowledges** the role of Community Development staff in linking community partners interested in establishing food forests with funding advisers from the appropriate government and philanthropic funding organisations.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 3.2.2 Safer Communities

DAP23-24.53.11

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.
- (b) **Acknowledges** that increased crime in town centres did appear to be a national issue.
- (c) **Notes** that central Government have allocated funding to support increased security for business.
- (d) **Notes** that CCTV provision in the Rangiora Town Centre was currently the responsibility of Police.
- (e) **Notes** that, prior to any review, it needed to be determined whether current provision was adequate to capture the town centre in its entirety.

**CARRIED**

#### Councillor comments:

- C Brown gave an update on this project noting that the level of services were still being developed which would include who had access to the data stored, locations and possible service providers for managing and monitoring the cameras.
- Requested a report to come to the Council regarding this initiative which should include information on possible locations and who was monitoring and where the data would be used. Important to emphasise that this would be a community led project run by the community and not by the Council. Wanted no allegations of spying by residents.
- Concern that the data captured should be followed up appropriately by the Police.
- Ongoing discussions occurring between the Police and North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support in relation to the Neighbourhood Support team for co-ordination and monitoring. There was an assurance that this would be community led and community funded.
- Acknowledgement that no matter how good the data captured the Police would prioritise its work flow which could impact on response times.
- Change to Council's response (third paragraph) should be amended to show that Council supported the work of the Police.
- Council's response to be completely rewritten including information on the mobile camera options.

### 3.2.3 Depots/locations for excess produce

DAP23-24.41

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the value of food security in creating a culture of sharing, connection and equity across local communities.
- (c) **Notes** the value in the Community Development staff working with Community Boards and interested residents to lead a community pantry project, under the Food Secure North Canterbury banner.
- (d) **Notes** that this would involve working with Council's Greenspace team to identifying appropriate sites, which may include neighbourhood parks and either Council or privately-owned community halls.

- (e) **Notes** that where Council approvals were needed, staff would present a report to the Council's Community and Recreation Committee.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.2.4 Attracting Medical Practitioners**

DAP23-24.41.21

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that Waimakariri District Council were a member of the Rural Health Alliance of Aotearoa New Zealand (RHAANZ), which advocated for additional capacity for rural General Practitioners (GP) across the country.
- (c) **Notes** that the role of Waimakariri Health Advisory Group was a means to advocate for local health service provision.
- (d) **Notes** that there were opportunities for the Council, supported by the Waimakariri Health Advisory Group, to leverage of RHAANZ alignment and Council's relationship within Immigration New Zealand to facilitate a local response to our GP shortage.
- (e) **Notes** that Community Development staff could facilitate this work.
- (f) **Notes** that there was currently no budget to incentivise additional GP provision; however this may be addressed via the larger piece of work undertaken by RHAANZ.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Include the fact that the Council had an appointee on Te Whatu Ora in the Council's response to the submitter.

### **3.3 Greenspace and Community Facilities**

#### **3.3.1 Move Netball courts from Dudley Park to MainPower Stadium**

DAP23-24.5.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms T Bristow.
- (b) **Notes** Netball were currently undertaking a feasibility study to look at its future operation.
- (c) **Notes** staff were working alongside Netball and have requested that the current Committee presents an update on its feasibility study and direction the Club wished to take to the Council's Community and Recreation Committee.
- (d) **Notes** that staff were also reviewing the Sport Facilities Strategy which informed Council's investment on sporting facilities across the district. This would be completed as part of the LTP considerations.

**CARRIED**

Councillor comments:

- Include the rationale that Netball had originally decided not to move when the Stadium was being built.

**3.3.2 Development and implementation of a Landscape Plan - Crown Land Kaiapoi**

DAP23-24.15.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Received** the submission from Mr M Pinkham.
- (b) **Notes** that staff were currently working with Land Information New Zealand (LINZ) to obtain a License to Occupy for this area.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**3.3.3 Use of poisons in public parks**

DAP23-24.16.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission from Mrs M Austin.
- (b) **Notes** that staff had reduced the use of chemicals in the public realm for the control of pest weeds.
- (c) **Notes** staff regularly reported to the Council on herbicide usage and would continue to do so through public reports that identified the amount used and an evaluation of alternatives.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**3.3.4 Biodiversity**

DAP23-24.41.7

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** that Greenspace staff be asked to provide each Community Board with a presentation on Arohatia te Awa and the Natural Environment Strategy and how they could work with staff to identify areas of interest in the Board regions.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 3.3.5 Riparian planting

DAP23-24.16.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs M Austin.
- (b) **Notes** that the Arohatia te Awa project and the Natural Environment Strategy would cover the areas being mentioned in the submission.
- (c) **Notes** that staff would make contact with the submitter and put her name on the engagement list for the Arohatia te Awa project.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 3.3.6 Native plant propagation centre

DAP23-24.42.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that staff were making use of land in the regeneration area of Kaiapoi to help with the on growing of trees. This was primarily to assist in the growing of species that were not commercially viable to on grow in nurseries.
- (c) **Notes** that a full propagation centre would require significant investment both with capital and operationally and any such activity would be in competition with the established nurseries in the region.
- (d) **Notes** that staff were currently not experiencing difficulty in sourcing locally grown eco-sourced plants for native planting projects.

**CARRIED**

Councillor comments:

- That the partnership with Te Kōhaka Trust in the propagation of native plants should be included in the response to the submitter.
- That under staff recommendation should show as 'declined' rather than accepted.

### 3.3.7 Purchase of wetland blocks

DAP23-24.38.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms S Stewart.
- (b) **Notes** that through the Environment Strategy staff would create a list of criteria to help identify future wetlands or other ecologically significant land that may be of interest to the Council for purchase.
- (c) **Notes** that the Environment strategy would go through the Council and the criteria would be subject to this process.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Change the staff recommendation to 'received' rather than 'accepted' as no decision had yet been made in regards to this matter.

**3.3.8 Storage for art collection**

DAP23-24.31.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission by Mr B Hoult.
- (b) **Notes** the any facility for art storage would need climate control and other technical aspects not currently provided for within the wider community facilities network. The Council currently had no appropriate facility to meet the Trust's requirements.
- (c) **Notes** that assessment of possible solutions would be completed as part of the Arts Strategy. The Civic Precinct upgrade could provide storage and museum facilities and a network plan would look at vacant space which could be transformed to a climate control system. This would come together for the Long Term Plan, in the meantime staff would work with the Trust to see if there were more opportunities to display the art in other buildings.

**CARRIED**

Councillor comments

- Agreement that there was sufficient space around the Council campus to house the excess art requiring storage.
- Staff to contact B Hoult to assist in relocating the art work.
- Change wording in the Council's response to the submitter to a more positive and supportive narrative.

**3.3.9 Pegasus Community Centre**

DAP23-24.39.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Waiora Links.
- (b) **Notes** that following recent public consultation staff would be bringing a report back to Woodend-Sefton Community Board and the Council with a recommendation of a location and community facility delivery mechanism. The report would be completed in July 2023 following which a detailed design and building would commence.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.10 Seating along Pegasus Boulevard**

DAP23-24.39.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Waiora Links.
- (c) **Requests** staff to work with Woodend-Sefton Community Board to consider providing the required seating from its general landscape funding.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- The Council's response to the submitter should be amended to say that staff would be in contact to work through options with the Trust.

### **3.3.11 Permanent market and outdoor event space – Kaiapoi**

DAP23-24.47.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Kaiapoi Promotions Association.
- (b) **Notes** the funding mentioned by Kaiapoi Promotions Association was for the development of public space linkage at South MUBA which had been through a tender process for design partner.
- (c) **Notes** the design partner may find space suitable in its development for market style stalls as it looks to work with the Council on how this area was developed.
- (d) **Notes** the Kaiapoi Community Hub was focused on providing food resilience and community wellbeing through services rather than commercial recreation.
- (e) **Notes** the Kaiapoi Promotions Association had in the past assisted the Council in developing the events area located to the north of the river at Norman Kirk Park. This events area was available for use should the Association wish to use it.
- (f)

**CARRIED**

Councillors comment:

- The area suggested was not large enough to house what was proposed in the concept plan.
- Rephrase the last paragraph to indicate that staff would work with the Kaiapoi Promotions Association to find a viable solution.
- Norman Park not a suitable location for the proposed permanent facility.

### **3.3.12 Upgrade of the Trevor Inch Memorial Library**

RAP23-24.53.9

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council had supported a study for the civic precinct (including the library) within its better off funding package (tranche one).



- (c) **Notes** that the work on this study would be presented to the Council as part of its 2024 Long Term Plan preparation.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.13 Support for Galleries in the District**

DAP23-24.42.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** staff to work with Enterprise North Canterbury, the promotions associations and the Council's internal communications team to create greater awareness of the public art galleries.
- (c) **Notes** that staff would include promotion and awareness of the galleries as part of the Council's Public Art Strategy.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.14 Hiring fee exemptions on council facilities**

DAP23-24.41.9

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** staff were undertaking a community facilities network plan to inform the 2024 Long Term Plan and this would include discussion on fees and charges.
- (c) **Notes** staff had indicated to the Community and Recreation Committee that the fee waiver process and low hire fees for Council facilities was having a negative impact on not for profit run community centres.
- (d) **Notes** any change in process to the current fee structure should be done through the 2024 Long Term Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments

- A full and transparent process was followed in the current fee setting.
- Nothing was free – someone had to pay for the facilities.
- Reword the Council's response to the submitter to present a more positive message i.e. remove the word 'negative'.

### **3.3.15 Community facility - Mandeville**

DAP23-24.41.10

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** with the opening and facilities provided at Mainpower Stadium, the Council was not planning on further indoor court provision within the current Long Term Plan.
- (c) **Notes** an increase to current service levels was not expected to take place in the coming Long Term Plan as the Council had developed the four courts at Mainpower Stadium, funded and supported court provision at Kaiapoi High School as well as noting that across the greater Christchurch network, it was expected to see a further nine community courts established in the near future (Parakiore) plus up to ten new indoor courts at Nga Puna Wai.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.16 Skate Park in Oxford**

DAP23-24.41.17

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that the Pearson Park Advisory Group had funds totalling \$60,000 with a further \$10,000 identified for the next financial year to undertake projects at Pearson Park.
- (c) **Notes** that the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board should speak with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to understand where the skate park sits on its list of priorities for funding as per its annual budget.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.17 Wheelchair accessible track Ashley Gorge Reserve**

DAP23-24.41.18

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** Greenspace staff were working with the Ashley Gorge Advisory Group on providing wheelchair access and would continue to provide support.
- (c) **Notes** the area in question would require significant works to bring it to accessible standards.

- (d) **Notes** staff would encourage the Ashley Gorge Advisory Group to work with the Waimakariri Advisory Group on this project.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.18 West Eyreton Pit**

DAP23-24.41.19

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Request** staff to create a timeline plan and communicate this to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.19 The Oaks Reserve, Oxford**

DAP23-24.41.25

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** staff to create a timeline for the West Eyreton Pit project and work with the Oxford Ohoka Community Board to deliver this.
- (c) **Notes** the Council had budgeted for the Oaks Reserve Dog park in year three of the Long Term Plan, \$95,000 and Greenspace staff would be working with the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board in the new financial year to finalise and develop this dog park.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- The West Eyreton Pit project had been delayed for too long and should now be prioritised.
- If the Pit project was further delayed than at least there should be a maintenance programme to keep it neat and tidy in the interim.
- Both areas should be developed together to achieve a connected community space.
- Acknowledged that the pit was a complex site, however signage on the historical significance being developed and a proposed picnic table sited in the area should be prioritised.
- A complete project needed to be considered during the Long Term Plan and should be prioritised.

### 3.3.20 Pegasus Youth Project

DAP23-24.40.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** staff to work with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board to identify suitable options for a temporary solution to engage youth.
- (c) **Notes** that staff should consider either a temporary land use option (noting the winter season was due) and also if there were any events that could be undertaken to help engage youth, either on a program level or by installation of an asset that could be repurposed at a later time.
- (d) **Notes** staff should work with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board to identify if the budget for any temporary intervention was funded by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board's general landscape fund or if the skate park project budget was reduced according.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- No budget identified at present to move a temporary facility forward.
- Ongoing negotiations regarding the Pegasus Community Centre (which would include a youth facility).
- Staff to work to progress Active Youth in Parks and Reserves project in the Pegasus area.

### 3.3.21 Sefton Hall

DAP23-24.40.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that staff would work with the Committee to better understand the septic tank issue and report back prior to the Long Term Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 3.3.22 Maintenance of link between Woodend Main Road and Jill Creamer trail

DAP23-24.40.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that staff would work with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board to identify the exact area of concern on the Jill Creamer Trail and if this was the Council's responsibility, staff would look at an increase in maintenance frequency or discuss with the Te Kohaka Trust how this might be undertaken if within the Trusts remit.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**3.3.23 Rangiora Airfield**

DAP23-24.40.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** staff to undertake a review of airfield user fees and earning potential with the future development proposed next to the asset.
- (c) **Requests** staff to undertake an economic benefits analysis of the airfield and what it brought to the district or could bring in future if developed.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- That the Council's response to the submitter be changed to include the italics words as follows:
- 'The Airfield was also undergoing some exciting developments including private partnership which may ....'.

**3.3.24 Woodend Beach Toilets and Playground**

DAP23-24.40.11

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** Greenspace staff to arrange a workshop with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board to develop options for the renewal projects at Woodend Beach Domain.
- (c) **Notes** the workshop should include information on the playground, toilet and car parking areas and responses to the flooding issues that had been a concern for the asset over the last few winters.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- In Council's response to the submitter the wording should read as follows:  
*"Staff were going to arrange a workshop....."*

**3.3.25 Viewing platform at Pegasus and Waikuku Beaches**

DAP23-24.40.12

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** staff were continuing to work with Surf Life Saving New Zealand on the procurement of a suitable tower option for the district's coastal areas.

- (c) **Notes** staff were committed to working with the Waimakariri Access Group and other communities of interest to assist in providing a more accessible platform or option for people to enjoy the district's beaches.
- (d) **Notes** staff would engage with the Waikuku Surf Life Saving Club and other community groups to open discussions on roles they could contribute in helping to make the beaches more accessible.
- (e) **Notes** the above point may include providing an accessibility matt or similar that could be rolled out during the summer patrol season.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.26 Ravenswood Library and Community Centre**

DAP23-24.40.16

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that figures would be updated for the Long Term Plan in 2024 and any consideration on bringing this project forward should be conducted at that time following review of the data.
- (c) **Notes** that staff were presently working on securing land for the facility at Ravenswood and expected to be reporting back to the Board and the Council on this in mid to late 2023.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### **3.3.27 Skate ramp at Waikuku Beach**

DAP23-24.40.17

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** staff to undertake planning with both the Woodend-Sefton Community Board and users of the skate ramp at Waikuku Beach with a view to bringing a report back to the Council for consideration at the 2024 Long Term Plan.
- (c) **Notes** the current ramp was nearing its renewal period and had served the community well over the last few decades.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Query regarding what was wrong with the current ramp and staff responded that it was too steep and too short for safe use especially for scooter riders. This was a health and safety concern and there had been many complaints received from the community for some years.

### 3.3.28 Woodend town centre toilets

DAP23-24.40.18

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that staff had applied to the Tourism Infrastructure Fund to match funding for this project to a total of \$400,000.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

### 3.3.29 Waikuku Beach surf club toilets

DAP23-24.40.18

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that in the Public Toilet Strategy the Waikuku Beach toilet block was identified for replacement in the long term.
- (c) **Requests** staff prepare an update of the Toilet Strategy for the 2024 Long Term Plan so that better understanding of competing pressures for toilet renewals across the district could be achieved.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- In response to a query regarding the status of a similar request for Coopers Creek staff replied that a report would be coming back to the Council shortly on this matter.

### 3.3.30 Cust Community Network requests

DAP23-24.37.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Cust Community Network.
- (b) **Notes** that staff would conduct car park monitoring at Cust Domain and report back to Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and the Council prior to the 2024 Long Term Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that the Council had allocated \$105,000 to the car park project to the infrastructural strategy review (Year 11) of the Long Term Plan.
- (d) **Notes** that staff would be working with the Cust Advisory Group to install cameras at the Cust Community Centre.
- (e) **Notes** that the Cust Domain was covered by the Sports Reserves Management Plan that the Council had already adopted.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Noted that all council facilities seemed to be struggling with a lack of space for parking and acknowledged that work was being carried out to report back to the Council during the Long Term Plan process.
- Query regarding Year 11 when the long term plan was for a ten year cycle. Staff explained that the eleventh year was used to review infrastructural strategy.

**3.3.31 Recreational path from north end of the Waimakariri River bridge to central Kaiapoi**

DAP23-24.47.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Kaiapoi Promotions Association.
- (b) **Notes** that Environment Canterbury carried out improvements to the surface of the track in 2021 to help make it more useable for a wider section of the community.
- (c) **Notes** that further work was being done by staff to look at access improvements to what was seen as the wider Silverstream walkway which could link back into Double Days Road.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

**3.3.32 Drainage at Gladstone Park**

DAP23-24.40.13

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Notes** that staff should prepare a bid to the Long Term Plan to remediate any long term issues with the flooding experienced at Gladstone in the 2022 season.
- (c) **Notes** that any bid to the Long Term Plan should look to enact the recommendations of the remedial works in the report done in 2022 and should update this over the 2023 winter season.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.



### **3.4 Requests For Funding**

#### **3.4.1 Request for funding and/or assistance – Oxford Community Trust**

DAP23-24.30.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford Community Trust.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the great work that both the Oxford Community Trust and the Council's Community Team had done over the last 27 years for the people in Oxford.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Change to the Council's response to the submitter, in the last paragraph change the word 'group' to Trust and remove Tessa Sturley's name, just mention the Community Team as a whole.

#### **3.4.2 Request for funding and/or assistance – Orana Wildlife Trust**

DAP23-24.56.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Orana Wildlife Trust.
- (b) **Requests** that staff work with other local councils to identify a joint approach to how the wildlife park was funded.
- (c) **Requests** staff to bring back further information to the Council on the direction of each Territorial Authority and recommend how best the Council could work with the Trust in the future.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Acknowledged that even though the Council declines this request it would come back to the Long Term Plan.
- Orana Wildlife Trust, while not in the district, did attract many of the residents to the park and the Park was a significant learning experience and also was involved in conservation.
- Comment made that the Council funds the Christchurch Museum which was an educational attraction and not located in the district. However it was noted that the Museum did not charge for entry whereas the Park did and as such was a 'commercial' entity.
- Acknowledgement that more data would be available when considering the Long Term Plan on how the other councils would support the Trust in the future.
- Agreement that the Park should be promoted through Enterprise North Canterbury and the Tourism Strategy.
- Requested staff to investigate other opportunities to support the Trust in other ways rather than financially.

### 3.5 Property

#### 3.5.1 Abbeyfield House – provision of land

DAP23-24.10.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Abbeyfield Waimakariri Inc.
- (b) **Supports**, through the Property Portfolio Working Group, Community Housing Providers and other groups that provide targeted housing solutions by facilitating, advocating for and encouraging the activities of groups such as Abbeyfield Waimakariri Inc within the district.
- (c) **Reviews** the Council Policy settings and undertakes consultation with regard to Council's on-going role in supporting improved housing outcomes in the district, including the potential for future partnering relationships which may extend to such groups utilising Council owned land.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 3.5.2 Property Portfolio

DAP23-24.41.24

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the sensible approach to maximise revenue and minimise holding costs by leasing property out not currently being used.
- (c) **Notes** that the Property Acquisition and Disposal Review was already underway to evaluate the highest and best use for all Council owned property, which in some circumstances may result in property being leased out.
- (d) **Notes** that the output of the review was presented to the Property Portfolio Working Group, who may periodically update the Council and Community Boards on progress with the review.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Suggested that an automatic 3% increase in lease fees on smaller properties should be considered.
- Work identifying properties and applying market values and review on a case by case basis.
- Weigh the cost of maintenance on small pieces of land against increasing fees which could result in the land falling back under Council responsibility.

#### 4. PLANNING AND REGULATION

##### 4.1 Building Unit

##### 4.1.1 Abbeyfield House – Waiver of resource and building consent fee

DAP23-24.10.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Abbeyfield Waimakariri Inc.
- (b) **Notes** that there was a fee waiver process in place to consider applications on a case-by-case basis.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- That the staff recommendation be changed to 'received' rather than 'accepted'.

##### 4.1.2 Affordable housing solutions

DAP23-24.32.8

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr C Wightman.
- (b) **Notes** that an applicant may apply for a waiver from the building code or seek a modification of the building code. Such an application would be considered when a consent was being processed. Additionally, an applicant may apply to the Council for an exemption from the need for building consent.
- (c) **Notes** that applicants were also able to apply for a resource consent should there be a breach of a district plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- That the staff recommendation be changed to 'received' rather than 'accepted'.

##### 4.2 Development Planning Unit

##### 4.2.1 Population growth in the eastern areas

DAP23-24.11.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mrs L Kerr.
- (b) **Notes** that the pattern of urban growth in the district would be assessed as part of the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan which was intended to be notified for public engagement within the second half of the 2023 calendar year.

**CARRIED**

Councillor comments:

- That the staff recommendation be changed to 'received' rather than 'accepted'.
- That the Rural Resident Plan and other provisions be included in the Council's response to the submitter.

#### 4.4.2 Resource Management - Reforms

DAP23-24.57.5

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms A Saunders.
- (b) **Notes** that localism in Resource Management Reform was a matter discussed within the Waimakariri District Council submission to the Select Committee.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- That the Council's submission on the Natural and built Environments Bill be sent to the submitter with the response.

### 5. **STARTEGY, ENGAGEMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

#### 5.1 Strategy and Business

##### 5.1.1 Prioritising Climate Change

DAP23-24.7.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr D Hill.
- (b) **Notes** that Mr Hill would be informed of the Council's climate change programme.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

##### 5.1.2 United Nations Sustainable Development Goals

DAP23-24.20.4

M Maxwell spoke to the number of submissions regarding statements around UN Sustainable Development Goals (UN-SDG). Council had chosen to show the links of their Community Outcomes to the high-level principles of the UN-SDG as they believed they were appropriate in their intent.

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr S Bell (UN Sustainable Development Goals).
- (b) **Notes** that clarification on how the Council had linked these to the Community Outcomes would be provided to submitters.
- (c) **Requests** that the response to submitters include advice that inclusion of the UN Sustainable Development Goals be reviewed as part of the Long Term Plan.
- (d)

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Mayor Gordon suggested a review of the goals inclusion should be considered as part of the Long Term Plan. He recognised that several submitters had raised issues around the inclusion of the UN-SDG in the Annual Plan and this would provide time for staff to consider their stance. It was noted that several views had been expressed by submitters that were not entirely correct.
- It was highlighted the UN-SDG were internationally recognised. The Council was not in a conspiracy with the UN, the Council answered to ratepayers.
- Mayor Gordon requested it be noted in the response to submitters that the UN Sustainable Development Goals would be considered during the Long Term Plan.
- Councillor Blackie suggested that the word 'accept' be replaced with 'receive' in some of the submitter responses as some of the submissions had been factually incorrect. M Maxwell agreed 'receive' was more appropriate.

## **5.2 Community and Engagement**

### **5.2.1 Promotion and marketing costs**

DAP23-24.13.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Arts Waimakariri.
- (b) **Notes** that the Communications and Engagement Team welcome a conversation with Arts Waimakariri about their needs for marketing collateral, and that there was a potential to provide support for template design and marketing material for volunteers to administer, which would improve design and advice on maximising social media and print media reach.
- (c) **Declines** provision of ongoing 'in-kind' staff time and print advertising to Arts Waimakariri as there was a precedent this would set for other community groups with ties to the Council and was not a sustainable level of service.
- (d) **Notes** the Communications and Engagement Team were happy to on-share material in the Community Noticeboard (weekly newspaper full page ad in Northern Outlook and North Canterbury News) when there were spaces available in the already booked columns.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Arts Waimakariri were not a legal entity, therefore should be responded to individually.
- Change Council's response to indicate that the Communications Team would be in contact rather than asking them to contact the Council.

### **5.2.2 Communications with Cust Residents/CCN**

DAP23-24.37.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Cust Community Network.
- (b) **Notes** that in some instances these 'short notice' projects could be hard to provide sufficient warning for but digital technology, specifically online community networks, did a great job of spreading useful information.

- (c) **Notes** the offer from the Cust Community Network to assist the Council spread Cust community specific information, and that the Communications and Engagement Team would work with the Cust Community Network appropriately going forward.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Staff to investigate other relevant local Facebook sites for the circulation of information in the future. Team to follow up and get community buy-in on this initiative.

### **5.3 Emergency Management**

#### **5.3.1 Emergency management framework**

DAP23-24.57.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms A Saunders.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **5.3.2 Radio advertising promotion – Kaiapoi Promotions Association**

DAP23-24.47.2

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Kaiapoi Promotions Association.
- (b) **Notes** that this request had been made and responded to in September 2021 and advise the Council's position remains the same as the last response.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments

- There was no reason the Association could not utilise the Capacity Building grant received from the Council for this project.

### **5.4 Economic Development**

#### **5.4.1 EV Charging point in Cust**

DAP23-24.37.6

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Cust Community Network.
- (b) **Declines** the funding the provision of EV chargers in Cust at this stage.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

- Changes the staff recommendation to 'received' rather than 'accepted'.

#### **5.4.2 Funding for Woodend Pegasus Area Strategy Projects**

DAP23-24.40.15

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the Woodend Pegasus Area Strategy was under review.
- (c) **Acknowledges** there may be projects that require funding through the Long Term Plan as a result of the review.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **5.4.4 Funding for Woodend, Pegasus and Waikuku promotions**

DAP23-24.39.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Waiora Links.
- (b) **Acknowledges** there was currently no Promotions Association for the area.
- (c) **Reviews** the long term arrangements for Promotions Associations across the district.
- (d) **Notes** that the Area Strategy was currently being reviewed and this request could be considered through that process.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### **5.4.5 Streetscapes**

DAP23-24.53.12

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

## 6 FINANCE AND BUSINESS

### 6.1 Finance

#### 6.1.1 Proportionate universalism

DAP23-24.21.3

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Te Mana Ora/Community and Public Health.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 6.1.2 Rates – Levels of spending

DAP23-24.36.4

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms K Barnett.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the sentiment that rates could be set at a higher level, however, notes that the funding strategy being used allows the Council to maintain levels of service and to keep the rates to an affordable level.
- (c) **Notes** that the Council would recover any shortfall in depreciation funding required where there was not significant levels of inflation impacting on households and business.
- (d) **Notes** that although the average district rates increase was 5.97%, that there were targeted rating areas where the rate movements were much lower and higher than this movement.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 6.1.3 Rating for street trees

DAP23-24.40.10

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Woodend-Sefton Community Board.
- (b) **Requests** a review of the Pegasus Services rate be referred to the Greenspace Manager with a view to including any recommended changes to levels of service or funding in the Draft 2024-2034 Long Term Plan.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.



#### 6.1.4 **Financial Statements**

DAP23-24.66.1

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand.
- (b) **Advices** Federated Farmers that the average district rate increase was 5.97%, which was lower than the latest inflation rates of 6.8% and 7.3% when the draft plan was approved for consultation.
- (c) **Notes** that a number of farms within the district, as indicated by the rating samples provided within the draft Annual Plan for 2023-24, would be less than the previous year; the average large farm paying 4.4% less than the previous year.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 6.1.5 **Renters vs Owners – allocation of bins**

DAP23-24.44.8

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Mr S Rutherford.
- (b) **Advise** Mr Rutherford that due to the service being funded by targeted rates on property, the Council may allocate organics bins to a property only with the agreement of the property owner.

**CARRIED**

Councillors comments:

None.

#### 6.1.6 **Memo to Council – Appendix (Trim Ref: 230519073079)**

DAP23-24.58.8

**That** the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission from Ms A Saunders.
- (b) **Responds** to Ms Saunders regarding her documentation which included:
  - (a) Affidavit of Conditional Acceptance and Truth for Council Rates delivered to the Council 28 October 2022
  - (b) Notice of Default and opportunity to Cure 18 November 2022
  - (c) Notice of Default 12 December 2022
  - (d) Notice of Coercion and aid to abet deception, enslavement and fraud 17 February 2023.

Councillors comments:

- Change staff recommendation to declined.

9. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

10. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

11. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED**

*Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Ward

In accordance with section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act (or sections 6, 7 or 9 of the Official Information Act 1982, as the case may be), it was moved:

(a) That the public was excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting

The general subject of the matter to be considered while the public was excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution were as follows:

Item No.	Subject	Reason for excluding the public	Grounds for excluding the public-
8.1	Council Enterprise System Programme Budget Analysis	Good reason to withhold exists under section 7	To carry out, or carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities and negotiations and to prevent the disclosure or use of official information for improper gain or improper advantage under LGOIMA Section7(2)(h),(i) and (j),

**CARRIED**

**CLOSED MEETING**

**Resolution to resume in Open Meeting**

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Ward

**THAT** open meeting resumes, and the business discussed with the public excluded remains public excluded unless otherwise resolved as per the individual recommendation.

**CARRIED**

*The public excluded meeting commenced as 3.15pm and concluded at 3.40pm.*

**OPEN MEETING**

12. **NEXT MEETING**

The Council would meet at 1pm on Tuesday 20 June 2023 to adopt the 2023/24 Annual Plan. The next ordinary Council meeting would be held occur on Tuesday 6 June 2023.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 3.43PM.

CONFIRMED



---

Chairperson  
Mayor Dan Gordon

20 June 2023  
Date