

**MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA, ON WEDNESDAY, 8 MARCH 2023 AT 7PM.**

**PRESENT:**

J Gerard (Chairperson), R Brine, I Campbell, M Clarke, M Fleming, J Goldsworthy, L McClure, B McLaren (arrived at 7.10pm) and P Williams.

**IN ATTENDANCE**

G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roothing), J McBride (Roothing and Transport Manager), D Young (Senior Engineering Advisor), K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader), S Morrow (Rates Officer), K Nutbrown (Communications and Engagement Advisor), E Belton (Youth Development Facilitator), T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader) and E Stubbs (Governance Support Officer).

Four members of the public were in attendance.

**1. APOLOGIES**

Moved: L McClure                                  Seconded: M Fleming

**THAT** apologies for absence be received and sustained from K Barnett, J Ward and S Wilkinson

**CARRIED**

**2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

**3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

**3.1. Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 15 February 2023**

Moved: J Goldsworthy                                  Seconded: M Clarke

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Confirms**, as a true and accurate record, the circulated Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting held on 15 February 2023.

**CARRIED**

**3.2. Matters Arising (From Minutes)**

Nil.

**3.3. Notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Workshop - 15 February 2023**

Moved: J Goldsworthy                                  Seconded: J Gerard

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Workshop held on 15 February 2023.

**CARRIED**

#### **4. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

##### **4.1. Environment Canterbury Draft Annual Plan 2023-24 – Councillor G Edge**

Environment Canterbury (ECan) Councillor Edge provided a quick overview of the ECan 2023/24 Draft Annual Plan. ECan was anticipating that the rates increase would be an average of 10%. The main drivers for the rate increase were public transport (including driver wage increases), initiatives to meet carbon emissions targets and flood recovery. In terms of impact on property rates, there would be a decrease in rates for rural properties and approximately \$44 and \$37 increase in rates for Rangiora and Kaiapoi urban, respectively.

J Gerard asked if any significant projects in the Draft Annual Plan would affect the Waimakariri District. Councillor Edge advised that the work in the Okuku River and the Macintosh Drain project was continuing.

P Williams questioned what the ECan rate increase was in the previous year. Councillor Edge noted that the rate rise had been 16.2%. He did not believe the rates increases were out of control, as increases could not just be based on inflation, because there were broad issues to address.

P Williams also enquired if ECan would be addressing silt build-up in the Kaiapoi River. Councillor Edge was unaware of any planned dredging of the Kaiapoi River, however, he undertook to follow up and report back.

In response to a question from P Williams, Councillor Edge advised that the bus patronage figures could be provided. He commented that the Express service was over-utilised while off-peak had low numbers. ECan had found that switching to smaller buses would not be practical. The MyWay model used by Metro Timaru was being investigated. J McBride advised that a report on bus usage would be submitted to the Utilities and Roading Committee for consideration at their next meeting.

##### **4.2. Proposed Rangiora Town Cycleway**

Representatives from Rangiora PAK'nSAVE, James Flanagan and Rebecca Parish, raised concerns about the proposed Rangiora Town Cycleway Route. J Flanagan commented that there had been no meaningful consultation with PAK'nSAVE as a key business stakeholder in the area. Furthermore, it seemed that the railway crossing had not been included as part of the original integrated design but rather as an afterthought. He was concerned that cyclists would consider the cycleway as a 'safe' route, however, he believed the route had multiple risk points for the cyclists.

R Parish highlighted the following concerns:

- PAK'nSAVE had not been treated as a key business stakeholder.
- The cycleway would encourage more users through an industrial area, including primary school children.
- There was no sense in relocating the truck queuing lane for the cycleway, as it had just been relocated.
- The cycleway kerb was millimetres from the truck turning curves. Therefore, a path separated by a barrier kerb was not a sufficient risk mitigant.
- There needed to have been more focus on safety at the rail crossing at Railway/ Station/ Marsh Roads. The Council's approach appeared to be to secure the route in haste and sort safety afterwards. Safety should be considered before the detailed design phase.

In conclusion, R Parish stated that as a key affected stakeholder, PAK'nSAVE was being pushed through a process that they believed lacked due consideration and safety focus. Due to their concerns, PAK'nSAVE could not accept the cycle pathway.

B McLaren acknowledged PAK'nSAVE as a key cooperate citizen of Rangiora and enquired about the number of truck and trailer movements out of the loading zone. J Flanagan advised that there were 30 heavy vehicles a day on average, but it could vary.

R Brine noted that 24,000 vehicles used Southbrook Road per day, of which over 1,000 were heavy vehicles and asked what PAK'nSAVE believed the alternative location to the cycleway could be. J Flanagan commented that PAK'nSAVE was not in a position to answer. However, Southbrook Road had traffic lights and controlled turning, whereas Railway Road had no traffic management and uncontrolled turning of predominantly heavy vehicles.

J Gerard thanked J Flanagan and R Parish for speaking to the Board and highlighting their concerns.

*Item 6.2 "Approval of Scheme Design for Consultation – Transport Choices Project 2 – Railway Road / Torlesse Street / Coronation Street / Country Lane" was taken at this time. The Minutes have been recorded as per the agenda.*

## **5. ADJOURNED BUSINESS**

Nil.

## **6. REPORTS**

### **6.1. Approval of Design – Transport Choices Project 4 – Rangiora On-Road Cycle Lane** – K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader), A Mace-Cochrane (Project Engineer) and J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

D Young introduced the report noting that the on-road cycle lane was not intended as a safe passage for low-confidence cyclists. Instead, the route provided a continuous safe link through Rangiora, with the proposed works providing clear guidance along the path with coloured markings or symbols.

K Straw provided a comprehensive overview of the entire route using the Scheme Design Drawing of each section of the cycle lane. As part of his explanation, he highlighted refuges, parking changes, lane width, green slurry delineations and on-road painted cycle lanes, installation of separation devices, curve realignment and intersections. K Straw further explained that speedhumps would be installed to slow vehicle speed on the north and south approach to the Ashley Street/ Blackett Street roundabout, which was the recommended design approach following a coroner's investigation. By slowing vehicles, it provided cyclists with the opportunity to leave the cycle lane and join the road.

K Straw advised that a new kerb buildout would be constructed to allow cyclists to continue past any parked bus at the bus stop on the corner of Ashley and Burt Streets (rather than encouraging into the bus stop), maintain parking, and increase bus stop length. He noted that a carpark would be lost outside Lilybrook Village. However, the carpark was used mainly for staff parking, and there was ample off-street parking to accommodate patronage for shops.

L McClure asked if carparks would be lost outside Kip McGrath on Ivory Street. D Young confirmed that a carpark would be lost, however, there was another project planned to improve Ivory Street / Northbrook Road intersection.

M Fleming questioned the proposed education of the public regarding sharing the road with cyclists. K Straw agreed that education was needed, as there seemed to be little understanding of the New Zealand Road Code about the rights of cyclists.

I Campbell enquired about a possible shared path on the outside of the Blakett / Ashley Streets roundabout and the safety of cyclists merging with vehicles at the roundabout. K Straw noted that a Grade 3 cycleway was designed for fairly confident cyclists. The design for a cycle lane outside the roundabout was more significant than the design provided. D Young commented that while the application was new to Rangiora it was standard road design guidance and good practice. J McBride reiterated that the proposed design aligned with the Coroner's recommendations.

In response to a question raised by P Williams, K Straw confirmed that 40 carparks would be removed along the cycle route. P Williams further asked why allowance was made for two bus parking on the Ashley / Burt Streets corner when buses could park elsewhere, to which K Straw noted that it was an ECan requirement.

J Goldsworthy enquired about future plans for the Northbrook/ Ivory Streets intersection and if completing the cycle lane as part of future works would not be better. D Young explained that there was currently no budget in the 2021/31 Long-Term Plan for upgrading the Northbrook/ Ivory Streets intersection. However, it had been recognised as requiring attention and would be one of the projects brought to the Council for consideration. Concerning temporarily delaying a portion of the cycleway, he believed it was better to complete the lane from one end to the other, otherwise, it could confuse cyclists.

J Goldsworthy also asked about the previous parking survey and the average occupancy of carparks along the route. K Straw commented that some sites were seldom used while other areas were busy; it was, therefore, difficult to ascertain average occupancy. D Young commented that the team fully acknowledged the loss of carparks and sought to minimise the impact while trying to balance several issues.

Moved: J Goldsworthy

Seconded: R Brine

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230131011985.
- (b) **Recommends** to the Utilities and Roding Committee that:
  - i. **Approves** the Design as per the Design Drawing Set (Trim 230216020671), noting that the staff would then implement the works.
  - ii. **Approves** the No Stopping Schedule as per the Schedule of No Stopping Restrictions (Trim 230217021456).
  - iii. **Notes** that staff would inform impacted residents and stakeholders prior to works being implemented.
  - iv. **Notes** that the works as designed would result in the loss of 40 on-street, car parking spaces, though out the length of the project, at the locations specified within the Schedule of No Stopping Restrictions (Trim 230217021456).
  - v. **Notes** that this project is funded through the "Transport Choices" funding stream (which was still subject to final signing and confirmation), and this requires that all works were completed by June 2024.

- vi. **Notes** that the funding agreement between Waka Kotahi and the Waimakariri District Council was dependent on the site having been through an independent Road Safety Audit process, which would proceed upon acceptance of this report, and that the safety audit may result in further minor design changes.

**CARRIED**

P Williams against

J Goldsworthy commented that there had been much work on the project, concentrating on safety. It was a Grade 3 cycleway for confident cyclists to use as a commuter thoroughfare. The Council was accommodating a modal shift, and while he did not necessarily agree, integrating cyclists on the road was required.

R Brine had witnessed many elderly residents on bicycles, and he also believed there was a need to consider less-confident cyclists. He trusted there would be feedback on the Blackett / Ashley Streets roundabout speedhumps, however, he accepted that the Council was heeding the advice of the Coroner. He was encouraged by plans for the realignment of the Northbrook Road/ Ivory Street intersection.

P Williams had concerns regarding the whole cycleway concept. He believed that children and young people should be kept off busy roads and that the Council had to think long-term and consider the proposed Eastern Bypass. He was concerned about removing car parks adjacent to the Rangiora Showgrounds as they were well utilised during events.

L McClure supported the motion, however, she urged that education should be provided about the Blackett /Ashley Streets roundabout as Rangiora High School students utilised it often.

6.2 **Approval of Scheme Design for Consultation – Transport Choices Project 2 – Railway Road / Torlesse Street / Coronation Street / Country lane** – K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader), A Kibblewhite (Senior Project Engineer) and J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

D Young introduced the report noting that the cycleway was part of the Walking and Cycling Network Plan. Feedback showed that 82% of respondents favoured more significant spending on cycleways which was a strong mandate. He noted the increase in cyclists and improved cycle access to Christchurch. The report's purpose was to request the Board to recommend the scheme design of the cycleway for public consultation. Once the concept was approved by the Council's Utilities and Roading Committee, staff would undertake further consultation (where PAK'nSAVE would be recognised as a key stakeholder) and proceed to detailed design. An essential part of the process would be an independent Road Safety Audit.

D Young explained that letters were dropped in every mailbox along the proposed route, including every business. However, he acknowledged that the communications with stakeholders may have needed to be more thorough. D Young briefly explained the background to selecting the route and noted that this route was the better option compared to the other routes considered. However, he noted the concerns raised by PAK'nSAVE regarding the proposed cycle route.

K Straw provided a comprehensive overview of the entire route using the Scheme Design Drawing of each section of the cycle lane. He also tabled revised drawings for part of the route and explained changes. As part of his justification, he highlighted path type, path width, required tree removal, intersections, berms and kerbs, parking changes and traffic signals.

M Fleming asked for clarification on the low kerb separating trucks and cyclists outside PAK'nSAVE. K Straw explained that it would be a standard kerb and channel which was about 130 millimetres high and would therefore not prevent heavy vehicles from driving over the kerb. Other options may be to increase the kerb height or to install physical barriers, however, that area was very constrained.

In response to questions, D Young provided further details on the status of the Marsh/ Station/ Railway Roads intersection, he noted that KiwiRail had previously undertaken a safety investigation, however, it required a refresh. The challenges at the intersection would be difficult to resolve, as it may require land purchases. However, there was budget provision for upgrading the intersection in the later years of the 2021/31 Long Term Plan that could be brought forward. Therefore, he did not believe the intersection should be a consideration to not progress with the route.

P Williams also questioned which groups had provided feedback – cycle groups or the general public. D Young explained that a comprehensive flyer had gone to every letterbox to consult the wider public. There had been a wide range of submissions from organisations and individuals, which the Council considered.

P Williams questioned if the cycleways were potentially giving children a false sense of security. D Young acknowledged there were challenges with the route, however, he reiterated that compared to other routes, this route was considered the safest option.

P Williams enquired about the removal of car parking on Country Lane. D Young acknowledged parking around Southbrook Park was a challenge especially during touch rugby session. It was likely cars would stretch another four to five cars further along the side streets.

J Goldsworthy asked about the critical safety differences on the various routes considered. D Young explained that a multicriteria analysis had been conducted, which could be provided to the Board. The various options were evaluated against approximately ten criteria through a robust process involving several staff members. A summary of the analysis had been provided to the Board and Council previously. There had been a clear preference for the current route resulting from the analysis.

R Brine sought clarity if it would be possible to approve the route as a 'Scheme Concept' rather than a 'Scheme Design'. D Young believed that was an option, he noted that the Council would halt the process if they felt the risk could not be mitigated. However, they did believe risks could be mitigated, which would be shown in the detailed design phase.

R Brine asked about future consultation, and D Young confirmed that the Council planned to contract every business and individual on the route. K Straw advised that the upcoming report to the Council's Utilities and Roading Committee would include the Communications Plan.

I Campbell questioned if the Council had considered the ratio of cars to cyclists and the traffic flow of rural residents. D Young explained that they were expecting an increase in cyclists, however, they did not have data on that at this stage. Staff were aiming to mitigate cycleway impact on vehicle impediment to travel.

Moved: R Brine

Seconded: B McLaren

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230131011979.
- (b) **Recommends** to the Utilities and Roothing Committee that:
  - i. **Approves** the scheme Concept as per Scheme Concept Drawing Set (Trim 230216020650) for the purposes of consultation.
  - ii. **Notes** that staff would present the approved Scheme Concept to directly impacted residents and stakeholders for feedback.
  - iii. **Notes** that feedback from the consultation would be fed into the Detailed Design, and that the Detailed Design would be reported back to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board in May 2023.
  - iv. **Notes** the Scheme Concept requires the removal of seven on-street, car parking spaces at the locations detailed within the Draft Parking Removal Schedule (Trim 230221023538), and that the final approval of any parking spaces to be removed would be included within the detailed design report in May 2023.
  - v. **Notes** that any parking to be removed as result of the Scheme Concept would be communicated directly with the immediate adjacent residents.
  - vi. **Notes** that the Scheme Concept required the removal of 12 existing street trees, which were required to be replaced in alternative locations as noted in the Draft Tree Removal Schedule (Trim 230223024638), and that final approval of the removal of any street trees would be included within the detailed design report in May 2023.
  - vii. **Notes** that this project was funded through the "Transport Choices" funding stream (which was still subject to final signing and confirmation), and this requires that all works was complete by June 2024.
  - viii. **Notes** that the funding agreement between Waka Kotahi and the Waimakariri District Council was dependent on the site having been though an independent Road Safety Audit process, which would proceed upon acceptance of this report, and that the safety audit may result in further minor design changes.

**CARRIED**

P Williams against

R Brine noted that the cycleway's design would be submitted to the Board for consideration in the future with hopefully solutions addressing the challenges. He was a frequent cyclist on Southbrook Road and noted that well-planned, safe cycle routes were essential. Supporting the 'Scheme Concept' would allow staff to consider options and valid points raised during consultation. He looked forward to the upcoming report.

B McLaren supported the motion, considering the number of vehicle movements on Southbrook Road compared to vehicle movements on Railway Road.

P Williams acknowledged the difficulty in retrofitting cycle lanes into existing infrastructure, however, he did not support the motion. He believed that cycleways needed to be safe for children as they gave a false sense of security, and children may expect cars to give way. He was also concerned about the extent of the previous consultation. In conclusion, he noted that figures from Christchurch City Council showed a decline in cyclists.

L McClure thanked the representatives from PAK'nSAVE and agreed that the Council needed to review the consultation process. She believed there needed to be a safety-first approach and noted that staff had much work ahead of them to provide a design that she would consider safe for children. M Fleming concurred that there was a need for more significant safety barriers and visibility for children.

J Goldsworthy supported the motion for further consultation and feedback from the community. In his opinion, the safety concerns were valid, however, he was reminded of the many robust conversations regarding routes and commended the work staff had put into the scheme.

M Clarke supported the motion, although he understood the concerns of PAK'nSAVE regarding the possible interactions between heavy vehicles and cyclists.

In his right of reply, R Brine noted that staff had acknowledged there was work to do and were going out to the community for feedback.

6.3 **Road Naming – Townsend Fields Stage Four** – S Morrow (Rates Officer – Property Specialist)

S Morrow briefly introduced the report, which requested the Board to approve road names for Townsend Fields Stage Four. He noted that if the Board did not care for the road names provided by the developer, they could select other names from the Community Boards pre-approved road names list.

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: L McClure

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230223024989.
- (b) **Approves** the following road names for Townsend Fields Stage Four for four roads numbered one to four on the Plan of the Subdivision (Trim 230223024964).
  - i. Kelly Street
  - ii. Sutton Street
  - iii. Cathie Street
  - iv. McIntyre Place (Private ROW)
- (c) **Notes** that the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board may replace any proposed names with a name of its choice.

**CARRIED**



6.4 **Youth Council – Dudley Skate Park Mural Update** – Emily Belton (Youth Development Facilitator)

E Belton spoke to the report and highlighted that the project was youth-led and that the youth were engaged throughout the process. They were supported by the Squash Club, who approved the mural to be painted on their building. The Youth Council were excited to see progress on the skate park redevelopment.

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: B McLaren

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 220901151416.
- (b) **Notes** that design approval was being sought from the Waimakariri Public Arts Trust.
- (c) **Approves** the preferred option for completion of the mural, supported by the local artist, with the community engaged in aspects of its painting.
- (d) **Notes** that the Rangiora Squash Club had fully endorsed the project and gave full license to proceed with this youth-led approach.
- (e) **Notes** that a comprehensive Health and Safety Plan would guide this work, under the supervision of the Youth Development Facilitator.
- (f) **Notes** that \$3,000 in Creative Communities Funding had been allocated for the completion of this project. This provision was limited to a funding period which ended 31 March 2023.
- (g) **Notes** that Youth Council and Council staff would present to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board an overview of mural design.

**CARRIED**

P Williams supported youth in the district and hoped there would be future Councillors among them.

J Gerard was pleased to see the enthusiasm for the beautification of the town. He requested E Belton to pass on the Board's gratitude to the Youth Council for spearheading the project.

6.5 **Application to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board's 2022/23 Discretionary Grant Fund** – T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader)

T Kunkel spoke to the report, which requested discretionary grant funding for a Relay for Life fundraising event to be held in Rangiora. This inspiring community event allowed people to celebrate cancer survivors and carers, remember loved ones lost to cancer, and fight back by raising awareness and funds for the Cancer Society. Much of the work was done by volunteers, however, there were several unavoidable costs incurred in organising such an event, such as the hire of portaloos, lighting/ sound systems and a stage. This year the event would be held in Dudley Park, Rangiora, on Saturday, 1 April 2023 and was expected to attract approximately 50% of participants from the Rangiora-Ashley Ward.

T Kunkel confirmed that the application was brought by a Council staff member acting in his personal capacity as the Chairperson for the Rangiora Relay for Life Fundraising Committee. T Kunkel reminded the Board that they still had \$16,189 funding remaining for the remainder of the financial year.

Moved: L McClure

Seconded: B McLaren

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 230222023990.
- (b) **Approves** a grant of \$4,000 to the Relay for Life Fundraising Committee towards the costs of hosting the Relay for Life event.

**CARRIED**

L McClure noted that Relay For Life is a community fundraising event full of energy and emotion. All the funds raised during the event would be donated to the Cancer Society, a well-known organisation that was very active in the community supporting people dealing with cancer. The funds used to host the event would reduce the funds which could be contributed towards the Cancer Society's objectives, such as education, support, and research. Community organisations were currently dealing with difficult economic times, in which it was challenging to secure funding and sponsorships. Because the Board had substantial funding available to allocate to community groups and organisations until June 2023, L McClure supported a grant of \$4,000, which was the estimated cost of hosting the event. B McLaren concurred with the comments made by L McClure and supported the motion.

6.6 **Approval of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Plan 2022-25** – T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader)

T Kunkel thanked all Board members for their hard work contributing to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Plan 2022-25. The Chairperson could approve any minor changes to the Plan in the final version.

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: L McClure

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 230118005748.
- (b) **Approves** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Plan 2022-25 (Trim 230209016874).
- (c) **Authorises** the Chairperson to approve the final version of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Plan 2022-25, if any further minor editorial corrections are required.

**CARRIED**

L McClure thanked T Kunkel for her assistance with the plan.

7. **CORRESPONDENCE**

Nil.

## 8. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

### 8.1. Chair's Diary for February 2023

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: J Goldsworthy

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 230301027983.

**CARRIED**

## 9. MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

- 9.1. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 13 February 2023.
- 9.2. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 15 February 2023.
- 9.3. Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Meeting Minutes 20 February 2023.
- 9.4. Submission on the Review into the Future of Local Government – Report to Council meeting 7 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.5. Ratification of the Council submission to variation 1 of the Proposed District Plan – Report to Council meeting 7 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.6. Submission on the Water Services Legislation Bill and Water Services Economic Efficiency and Consumer protection Bill – Report to Council meeting 7 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.7. Establishment of a Property Portfolio working Group – Report to Council meeting 7 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.8. Review of Elected Member Conference and Training Policy – Report to Council meeting 7 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.9. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report January 2023 – Report to Council meeting 7 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.10. UV Treatment Strategy and Rationale – Report to Council meeting 8 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.11. Aquatics February Update – Report to Community and Recreation Committee meeting 21 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.12. July 2022 Flood Response Update - Report to Utilities and Roading Committee meeting 21 February 2023 – Circulates to all Boards.

Moved: J Goldsworthy

Seconded: R Brine

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the information in Items 9.1 to 9.12.

**CARRIED**

## 10. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

### R Brine

- The Council approved the Draft Annual Plan, which included an average 5.97% rate rise.
- The Joint Solid Waste and Joint Landfill Committees had their inaugural meetings. It was a requirement that a representative from Christchurch City Council (CCC) had to chair the Joint Landfill Committee and Mark Peters was therefore elected as the new Chairperson. J Gough was the CCC representative to Transwaste and Grant Miller was the rural representative.

### **J Goldsworthy**

- Attended the Swannanoa Fair.
- Attended two catchups meeting with the Rangiora Promotions Association.
- Attended EcoEducate recycling bin audit.
- Attended Youth Council meeting.
- Attended meeting with Council's Civil Defence and Regulation staff.

### **I Campbell**

- Met with residents of Yaxleys Road, Loburn regarding stormwater issues.
- Contacted Council staff regarding War Memorial at the Loburn Domain.

### **M Fleming**

- Noted the Waimakariri Access Group's upcoming accessibility training for Council staff.

### **M Clarke**

- Briefly attended the Greypower meeting - the President of the local chapter had now been elected as the National President.
- Attended market day at St Johns and was able to assist residents with minor complaints through the Council's Service Request System.

### **P Williams**

- Noted numerous Council meetings.
- Commented that living costs were increasing and people were now struggling to pay rates, he believed it was the Council's duty to help keep costs down.
- Still receiving comments regarding the problems with the road network in the district.

### **L McClure**

- Attended the Festival of Colour.
- Referred to report included as part of the agenda.

### **B McLaren**

- Referred to report included as part of the agenda.

## **11. CONSULTATION PROJECTS**

### **11.1. Pegasus Community Centre**

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/pegasus-community-centre>

Consultation closes Wednesday 15 March 2023.

### **11.2. Huihui Mai – Greater Christchurch Partnership**

<https://www.greaterchristchurch.org.nz/>

Consultation closes Sunday 26 March 2023.

The Board noted the projects out for consultation.

**12. BOARD FUNDING UPDATE**

**12.1. Board Discretionary Grant**

Balance as of 28 February 2023: \$16,189.

**12.2. General Landscaping Fund**

Balance as of 28 February 2023: \$26,495.

The Board noted the Board funding upgrades.

**13. MEDIA ITEMS**

Nil.

**14. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil

**15. URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil

**NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board is scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 12 April 2023.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 9.25PM.

**CONFIRMED**



\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson

12 April 2023

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date