SOCIAL RECOVERY 101
A GUIDE FOR LOCAL SOCIAL RECOVERY
Waimakariri District Council’s social recovery framework and lessons learnt from the Greater Christchurch Earthquakes
THE LETTERBOX SCULPTURE IS A PERMANENT MEMORIAL OF THE STREETS THAT WERE ‘RED ZONED’ POST-EARTHQUAKE AND NO LONGER EXIST.

Disclaimer
This work has been compiled from a variety of sources including material generally available on the public record, reputable specialist sources and original material, as well as interviews with key people. Care has been taken wherever possible to verify accuracy and reliability however the material does not provide professional advice. No warranty is provided nor, to the extent lawful, is liability accepted for loss resulting from reliance on the contents of this guide. Readers should apply their own skill and judgement when using the information contained herein.
On the 14th November 2016 the Hurunui District experienced a 7.8 magnitude earthquake, causing widespread damage to parts of the district. The Hurunui District Council were fortunate to have the support and guidance of our neighbouring council and those who had supported their social recovery.

We were able to implement a successful social recovery programme following the guidelines and recommendations as shared in this document. This document provides valuable foundational information that will support your communities through the recovery process keep them at the heart and centre of your recovery.

Rochelle Faimalo – Social Recovery Coordinator,
Hurunui District Council
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What most people believe and what actually happens in the aftermath of a disaster are two different things. The movies, the media, and the authorities have too often insisted that we are a chaotic, selfish species and ought to fear each other. Yet in the wake of almost every major disaster a wave of altruistic and brave improvisation saves lives, forms communities, and shapes many survivors’ experiences.

The most startling thing about disasters … is not merely that so many people rise to the occasion, but that they do so with joy. That joy reveals an ordinarily unmet yearning for community, purposefulness, and meaningful work that disaster often provides.

These spontaneous acts, emotions, and communities suggest that many of the utopian ideals of the past century are not only possible, but latent in everyday life. A disaster can be a moment when the forces that keep these ideals from flowering, those desires from being realised, fall away.

Rebecca Solnit 2009

(A Paradise Built in Hell: The Extraordinary Communities that Arise in Disaster)
This guide was funded through the Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management (MCDEM) Resilience fund to support the ongoing knowledge and understanding about effective community-focused social recovery in Aotearoa/New Zealand.

It was prepared in the way that the social recovery was approached, as a community and Council partnership and was primarily written by Jude Archer, ex Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service (WESS) Team Leader and Sandra James, ex Waimakariri District Council Social Recovery Manager. Others who played major roles in developing, leading and working in the Waimakariri Recovery programme contributed enormously to this document and acted as a guiding steering group.

They are:-
- Linda Dunbar - You Me We Us Co-ordinator
- Jo Ealam - Oxford Community Trust Manager
- Chris Greengrass - ex WESS Team Leader (older persons)
- Karen Lindsay-Lees – Waimakariri District Council Communications and Engagement Coordinator/ex Earthquake Recovery Community Development Advisor
- Simon Markham - Waimakariri District Council Manager Strategy and Engagement/ex Waimakariri District Council Recovery Manager
- Kate Pierson - ex Waimakariri District Council Communications Advisor
- Tina Robinson - Local Manager, Work and Income, Ministry of Social Development

Special thanks to colleague Rochelle Faimalo Social Recovery Co-ordinator, Hurunui District Council, who is also treading the recovery path, for her feedback.

We must also acknowledge the residents of Kairaki, Pines Beach and Kaiapoi who worked with us so that this recovery could be relevant and achieve what they wanted to see for their communities post disaster. You challenged us, you encouraged us, and you inspired us to do the best that we could. We salute your passion, your courage and your grace at a very difficult time.

Social Recovery cannot or should not work in isolation from the other important parts of recovery.

In addition numerous local and government organisations contributed to positive social outcomes for our worst impacted communities. People went above and beyond and showed extraordinary professional resilience despite their own recovery journeys. The collaborative partnerships/relationships we formed with iwi, community and not-for-profit organisations and groups, business, insurance and government agencies were genuine and focused on a single goal for our community. Recovery is everybody’s business.

And last but not least, we must also acknowledge the Waimakariri District Council leadership who ‘trusted’ this approach in amongst the chaos, and whole-heartedly believed and supported that the ‘people’ were the most important aspect of our recovery journey.

Thank You!
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He aha te mea nui o te ao
What is the most important thing in the world?
He Tangata, He Tangata, He Tangata
It is the people, it is the people, it is the people
Maori proverb
The Waimakariri District approach to the earthquakes of 2010 and 2011 has been well documented. It is widely seen as an exemplary model of effective post-disaster recovery, particularly in the area of social recovery. It should be noted that this was a recovery from a very large disaster and we know many recovery operations in Aotearoa / New Zealand will be on a smaller scale. Nevertheless, we believe this framework is scaleable and valuable as a guide for all local social recovery efforts.

The local Social Recovery Manager’s role is a key aspect of a successful recovery, and we hope the lessons we learnt through our experience will provide a useful guide for those who find themselves in this position. This role’s aim is to provide leadership, co-ordination and oversight of social recovery initiatives, and to keep the wellbeing of the community at the heart of recovery decisions.

Getting a thorough understanding of community needs post disaster, working with existing trusted local services and enabling community initiatives that will emerge, alongside ‘official’ recovery arrangements are the cornerstones of a successful social recovery.

Every disaster is different. Every community is different. While this document provides guidance on where to begin, what to expect, and how to best manage each stage of the recovery, it should not be read as the ‘essential’ way to deliver Social Recovery, but rather as a range of actions that can be taken to meet your community’s needs post disaster.

Each section contains specific information and is designed to stand alone from other sections. Use the sections that best suit your post disaster needs and amend them to best fit your community.

Throughout this document you will find:
- quotes from people involved in the Waimakariri recovery
- Case studies from the Waimakariri recovery (light blue)
- Key things to consider (Check lists for you to use)

Our best wishes to your recovery team - Kia Kaha!
The role of social recovery is to identify needs and to co-ordinate efforts and processes to influence the immediate, medium and long term holistic regeneration of a community following a disaster.

Starting to think about recovery after the disaster event is too late. All levels (National, CDEM Group and Local) require well developed relationships and a clear understanding of social recovery roles and responsibilities. Social Recovery agencies should plan, resource, train and exercise for social recovery from known hazards in their communities.

Local Government plays a vital role in understanding its community’s strengths, capabilities, limitations and vulnerabilities as well as having existing relationships with agencies/organisations working in their city/district. Local knowledge and understanding is critical to good social recovery outcomes and Local Government plays a critical role in leadership and co-ordination at the local level. They should consider putting in place a Social Recovery Manager, whose role is to act as a facilitator, enabler, connector, and advocate who will foster collaboration and actively coordinate and integrate social recovery programmes and services.

Local Government should lead the development of a local social recovery plan, in partnership with agencies who have responsibilities for delivering Social Recovery services as well as other key local, regional and national agencies, based on identified community recovery needs and identify the most appropriate agency/organisation to lead each of the recovery work streams. National and regional lead agencies plans for the delivery of services and programmes at the local level should be integrated into this plan. Work streams may or may not align with CDEM welfare arrangements.

Community-focused recovery should put the community at the centre of the recovery programme by supporting and encouraging local leadership, initiatives and resilience. Every community has existing groups and networks, it is essential to build on what is already in place. Consider including community development and community events in your Social Recovery plan.

Consider if you need a Recovery Assistance Centre in the impacted community to provide up to date information and on-going assistance. Make sure it is easily accessible, welcoming and relevant.

Good communication and authentic community engagement are fundamental to the overall recovery of a community where every bit of information is vital to help people make sense of their altered lives. The importance of effective engagement and communication cannot be overstated.

Recovery is not business as usual, but increase capacity of business as usual services/programmes wherever possible, to meet identified local social recovery needs.

Keep an overview of changing social recovery needs by constantly analysing information from social service providers, pastoral care teams, one on one support service (if in place), community providers and networks and lead Social Recovery agencies and make sure services and programmes are nimble, flexible and responsive.
At 4.36am on the 4th September, 2010, the Canterbury region of New Zealand was rocked by a 7.1 magnitude earthquake. The result was extensive damage to Kaiapoi, Pines Beach and Kairaki in the Waimakariri District, and parts of nearby Christchurch City. A quarter of Kaiapoi businesses were immediately impacted, and there was widespread damage to local infrastructure: 5,000 people lost water and sewer services. Almost 1,200 homes - a third of all housing stock in Kaiapoi and most homes in Pines Beach and Kairaki - were severely damaged and 1,048 were eventually ‘red-zoned’.

In the early days of response activity Waimakariri District Council turned its mind to recovery, realising that these ‘exceptional circumstances’ called for a different approach from business as usual (BAU) practice.

This was articulated by the Waimakariri District Council Chief Executive in the first few days of the event, and set the tone for the recovery programme. He said:-

“Our success will not be measured by the kilometres of pipe and road that we replace, but by how our people come through this.”

Jim Palmer WDC Chief Executive

The Waimakariri District Council saw that it had a key role, particularly in co-ordinating and connecting the various recovery agencies, so that a clear plan could be developed enabling the impacted communities to recover as quickly as possible. The Council’s long history of supporting and enabling community development activity meant that it immediately understood it had a role in community wellbeing as well as more traditional local government activity such as infrastructure.

In addition working with existing structures and networks was important so that the recovery was community focused e.g. the business as usual monthly hui with the local Rununga became an important means to integrate and support Maori aspirations for recovery.

A DISTRIBUTED LEADERSHIP APPROACH WAS ADOPTED INVOLVING A HIGHER DEGREE OF DEVOLVED AUTHORITY TO TRUSTED STAFF TO MAKE DECISIONS IN THEIR SPECIALIST AREAS IN THE FACE OF RAPIDLY EVOLVING CIRCUMSTANCES.

This approach enhanced the agility and responsiveness of the recovery as senior staff appointed to recovery roles were trusted to use their experience and knowledge to develop and implement a responsive recovery framework.

We had no idea of the length of the journey ahead. We initially thought that we would be working in recovery for ‘a few months’ but by the time those few months were up it became obvious that this was going to be a complex programme of work with many agencies and organisations involved including Government (central, regional, local), insurance companies, private companies, the not for profit sector, community groups and organisations over a number of years.

It is important to note that the Social Recovery Manager’s role lasted 3 years but the long tail of social recovery continues today, eight years on. For example You Me We Us – whose aim is to build community connectedness and resilience, CETAS subsidies, and ongoing mental health supports still play an important role in the district today.
Figure 1 above, developed by Waimakariri Recovery Manager, Simon Markham, some years into the recovery will be useful for councils to consider and is key to a successful local recovery programme, so that the right level of resourcing can be put in place. The Waimakariri District Council assessed that the situation would be long in duration and widespread with severe damage. This required initial business as usual suspension, liaison with national/regional recovery agencies and the private sector as well as the need to increase recovery capacity and capability.

The Mayor and Councillors wholeheartedly supported this approach by quickly establishing an Earthquake Recovery Committee, of which they were all members, along with the Community Board Chair from the impacted communities.

HAVING A COUNCIL THAT WAS FOCUSED, NIMBLE AND FLEXIBLE AND ABLE TO MAKE DECISIONS QUICKLY, BASED ON THE MOST UP TO DATE INFORMATION, IN A FAST PACED CHANGING ENVIRONMENT WAS A SUCCESS FACTOR OF THE RECOVERY PROGRAMME.

Recovery Manager Simon Markham established a recovery structure based on the MCDEM Recovery framework and ‘leads’ were appointed to each of the recovery environments. Sandra James (previously Community Team Leader) was appointed as the Social Recovery Manager. Figure 2, next page shows the initial recovery structure, lead and support agencies.
Figure 2: The WDC initial recovery structure September 2010 (week 1)

The Council’s recovery team assembled quickly and met regularly to develop recovery plans, in addition they studied disaster recovery literature and developed the following principles that underpinned the Waimakariri District Council’s recovery programme:

**People First**
- Recovery is first and foremost about communities and people and not just about physical/infrastructure damage
- The impacted community must understand the process so they can have confidence in the recovery
- Recovery is complex - we must be flexible and adaptable to meet a range of diverse needs in our community
- We must provide opportunities/spaces for people to get together to enable social/recreation interaction – social connection is important for the community
- Build a strong and connected recovery team and support them well

**Local leadership and co-ordination**
- Taking the lead, developing a plan and being accountable
- Ensuring integration across the recovery streams – social, economic, built and environment
- Making sure everyone understands who has responsibility for what
- Advocating at the regional and national level for appropriate services/resources to help our communities recover better and quicker
Honest Community Conversations
• Keeping the community informed, committing to ‘when we know stuff we tell them – the good news and bad news’
• Committing to sharing rationale, decision making processes, reasoning, and simplifying technical information
• Providing answers to the community’s ongoing questions
• Committing to using a variety of engagement methods, suitable for the audience, that will give residents opportunities to be involved in the recovery of their community
• Ensuring we have a conflict resolution process that builds trust and belief

Working with what’s in the community
• Coordinating government and local social service provision so that people get access to what they need, when they need it – ensuring the best use of resources and avoiding duplication
• Working with, and employing as many locals as possible in the recovery programme
• Using a Community development (working with community strengths) approach – helping people to help themselves, getting people involved to look at opportunities for development – creating jobs, bringing people back to the area, and getting people involved in rebuilding their communities

Genuine Partnerships
• Proactively building sustainable partnerships and relationships with local, regional and national agencies and organisations including iwi
• Recognition of roles and responsibilities and working with existing expertise, knowledge and skills to get results
• Ensuring that everyone is aware of the Waimakariri Recovery Plan and can contribute to the Council’s integrated, coordinated approach

Speed vs Deliberation/ Familiar vs betterment
• Recognition that decisions will have a long term impact on the community
• Making good decisions that look for opportunities to build back better
The recovery planning framework below (Figure 3) shows the complexity of recovery and how every unit within Council plus external recovery agencies has a role to play, directly or indirectly. It is important to keep the many and varied linkages in mind when developing recovery frameworks and to ensure good communication. Note how ‘small’ the social recovery component of the overall recovery is, despite it being a priority for the Waimakariri Council.

Figure 3: Waimakariri District Recovery Planning framework
Key things to consider in a recovery programme have been identified as:-

☐ Leadership, co-ordination and collaboration

☐ Putting ‘people’ and ‘community wellbeing’ at the centre of the recovery programme

☐ An integrated approach - social, built, cultural and economic recovery working collectively and thinking and planning holistically

☐ Working with government, and other recovery agencies and building effective relationships and networks

☐ Building capacity with local organisations and local people and working side by side with official recovery agencies

☐ A commitment to ongoing relevant and timely communication and community engagement that builds trust and confidence with both the community and external partner agencies/organisations
2.1 Introduction
The role of social recovery is to identify needs and co-ordinate efforts and processes to influence the immediate, medium and long-term holistic regeneration of a community following a disaster\(^1\). It is important to make sure that the recovery is inclusive and empowering for all e.g. Maori, Pacific, Migrant, Deaf communities. Council’s provide overall leadership and coordination for Social Recovery at the local level.

2.2 Phases of Recovery
People will experience high and low emotional states throughout the recovery process. Fluctuating moods, energy and ability to take control of their circumstances influence their understanding of the recovery tasks and their capacity to plan and undertake what is required. Morale and energy rise as they start to resume a self-reliant and independent life and dip as anxiety and stress are retriggered by practical setbacks and lingering grief. Figure 4 above illustrates how this might look over the course of recovery for a single person.

2.3 How people are impacted by a disaster
The impacts of a disaster can affect people’s lives in many ways including:
- Physical harm to themselves or close ones
- Damage to their property or homes
- The loss of things they value – be they tangible or intangible
- Disruption of routines, relationships and familiar patterns of daily life
- Financial hardship
- Psychological trauma, grief and stress
- Impacts on short and long term health and quality of life

These factors can impact on personal, community and societal relationships and in addition health, wellbeing and normal life can be disrupted.

2.4 Social Recovery services
The National CDEM Plan 2015 outlines the CDEM approach to supporting communities before, during and after an emergency event. It lists the agencies with responsibility for welfare/social recovery sub-function coordination. Lead and support agencies are listed in Figure 5. Much like the council’s overall role these agencies should not solely focus on their own activity, but on leadership and coordination of the recovery sub-function that they are responsible for. And, in recovery, it is vitally important that this is driven by identified community needs.

---

1. MCDEM (2004) Focus on Recovery,
The lead agency roles transition from welfare to social recovery and their responsibilities are to:

(i) Work with relevant support agencies to ensure that community needs are being met and that services and information (for both operational and public information purposes) are integrated; and

(ii) Collaborate with other agencies that are responsible for other welfare/social recovery service sub-functions to ensure that services and information are co-ordinated, integrated, and aligned to meet community needs; and

(iii) Report to the local Social Recovery Manager on the co-ordination and performance of the welfare/social recovery sub-function for which they are responsible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery service</th>
<th>Lead agency – national level</th>
<th>Lead agency – national level</th>
<th>Lead agency – local level</th>
<th>Support agencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>MCDEM</td>
<td>CDEM Group</td>
<td>TLA</td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Ministry of Health, District Health Boards (DHB’s), St John, Ministry for Primary Industries, Ministry of Social Development, Police, The Office for Disability Issues, Te Puni Kokiri, New Zealand Red Cross, Salvation Army, Victim Support, Ministry of Pacific Island Affairs, Office of Ethnic Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs Assessment</td>
<td>MCDEM</td>
<td>CDEM Group</td>
<td>TLA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inquiry</td>
<td>New Zealand Police</td>
<td>New Zealand Police</td>
<td>New Zealand Police</td>
<td>MCDEM, CDEM Group, Local Authority, Ministry of Education, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Ministry of Health, District Health Boards (DHBs), Primary care, Ambulance services, New Zealand Red Cross</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care and protection services for children and young people</td>
<td>Oranga Tamariki</td>
<td>Oranga Tamariki</td>
<td>Oranga Tamariki</td>
<td>Ministry of Education, Police, New Zealand Red Cross, Te Puni Kokiri</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### What is social recovery?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Psychosocial Support</th>
<th>Ministry of Health</th>
<th>District Health Board</th>
<th>District Health Board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHO’s, Ministry of Education, Ministry for Primary Industries, Ministry of Social Development, Te Puni Kokiri, New Zealand Red Cross, The Salvation Army, Victim Support, Community based organisations and networks, Agencies and organisations that provide employee assistance programmes, Public Health Units, Pharmacies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household Goods and Services</th>
<th>MCDEM</th>
<th>CDEM Group</th>
<th>TLA (Council)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Health, DHBs, PHUs, Ministry of Social Development, Ministry for Primary Industries, New Zealand Defence Force, New Zealand Food and Grocery Council, New Zealand Red Cross, Salvation Army, Local community organisations, Local businesses,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shelter and accommodation</th>
<th>MBIE</th>
<th>MBIE</th>
<th>MBIE TLA (Council)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACC, Earthquake Commission, Inland Revenue, Insurance Council of New Zealand, Ministry of Business, innovation and Employment, Ministry for Primary Industries, New Zealand Red Cross, Salvation Army, Community based organisations, and networks, Local Authorities, industry organisations, business groups, banks and financial organisations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Welfare</th>
<th>MPI</th>
<th>MPI</th>
<th>MPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Federated Farmers of New Zealand, NZ Companion Animal Council NZ Veterinary Association, RSPCA, Territorial Authorities, World Animal Protection, MPI, Medical officers of health and health protection officers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 5 Lead and Support Agencies in Welfare/Social Recovery*
There are a number of officially designated support agencies who are likely to play a major role in social recovery on the ground in communities. In addition there will be business as usual community services and new, emerging community organisations that will want to support their community in recovery.

BEST RECOVERY EFFORTS RECOGNISE THE VALUABLE ROLES THAT ALL PLAYERS HAVE TO PLAY AND FOCUS ON THE COMMUNITIES POST DISASTER NEEDS BEING MET IN THE MOST RELEVANT AND TIMELY WAY.

2.5 Roles of Regional and National CDEM Agencies

2.5.1 National level responsibilities
The Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management (MCDEM) is the agency responsible at the national level for the coordination of welfare service/social recovery service sub-functions, and it appoints a National Welfare/Social Recovery Manager to fulfil this function.

MCDEM is responsible for providing reduction and readiness advice and support regarding welfare/social recovery to:

- CDEM Groups
- national welfare services agencies, and
- other national stakeholders

National level support for the Welfare/Social Recovery function is activated where an emergency that requires national coordination and support occurs, for example;

- When more than one CDEM Group area (region) is impacted by an emergency, or
- The complexity of the emergency warrants National Welfare / Social Recovery coordination and support.

National support and coordination of CDEM Group Welfare/Social Recovery functions is carried out via the National Crisis Management Centre (NCMC) during response, and the National Recovery Office during recovery.

2.5.2 CDEM Group level responsibilities
CDEM Groups are responsible at the CDEM Group level for the coordination and delivery of welfare/social recovery services, as stated in the National CDEM Plan 2015.

Each CDEM Group is responsible for ensuring that welfare/social recovery services are planned for, coordinated, and delivered effectively to people impacted by emergencies in its area.

To achieve this, the Coordinating Executive Group (CEG) appoints a Group Welfare Manager (and alternates), who leads welfare/social recovery readiness advice and support, including planning and relationship building with welfare services agencies.

In response and recovery, the CDEM Group supports and coordinates local welfare/social recovery service delivery when:

- The emergency affects more than one local authority or emergency operating area, or
- The scale or severity of the emergency exceeds the resources or capability of local level CDEM.

During response, the CDEM Group Welfare Manager is responsible to the Group Controller.

During recovery, the CDEM Group Welfare Manager will work closely with the CDEM Group Recovery Manager, and report regularly to the CEG and the National Welfare/Social Recovery Manager.

(For more detailed information on National and Regional roles and responsibilities see the Welfare Services in an Emergency – Director’s Guideline for CDEM Groups and agencies with responsibilities for welfare services in an emergency [DGL 11/15].)
2.6 Business as usual (BAU) vs Recovery Needs

A thorough understanding of the post disaster needs of the community is required to plan for the services/programmes that will facilitate an effective recovery.

Recovery from a disaster requires the right social support and services at the right time. Many business as usual services in the community, including those that are delivered by lead and support agencies can support effective recovery e.g. food banks, financial support etc. It may be most beneficial to increase the capacity and capability of these existing services to deliver recovery services/programmes. In addition it is likely that additional, targeted services will be needed.

While it is tempting to get back to BAU as soon as possible, those making decisions must decide if there are additional services needed, that vary from BAU services, taking into account the impact on the community post disaster. It is important that adequate resources are allocated for recovery roles, for example, does a staff member need to be seconded from their usual roles to a Social Recovery role?
What is social recovery?

Key things to consider:

- The role of Local Government in social recovery is important – leadership and co-ordination at the local level are key.
- Understanding the phases of recovery and how people and communities may be impacted is critical.
- Recovery is not business as usual but integrates social recovery needs and solutions into community business as usual services programmes where possible.
- Seek support and guidance from National and CDEM Group level Social Recovery teams.
- Become familiar with the National CDEM Plan 2015 and work with lead and support welfare/social recovery agencies to create integrated plans.
The purpose of local government is to promote the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of communities and therefore they play a key role in providing local leadership and co-ordination for social recovery.

In addition the CDEM Act 2002 directs Local Government to work closely with nationally mandated agencies with designated responsibilities, and other national, regional and local agencies including iwi to ensure that their community’s unique social recovery needs are understood and met.

Territorial Local Authorities or TLAs are important and unique ‘lead local recovery agent’s because:

- residents have expectations of councils
- they have working knowledge of the area, including land and infrastructure information, maps and so on;
- they have pre-existing relationships with communities, contractors, government agencies and NGOs;
- they have an elected mandate and decision-making authority;
- they have access to discretionary funding;
- they have a pre-existing ‘integrative’ framework of needs assessment and service delivery that is able to be modified as required, rather than be developed ‘on the fly’.

3.1 Elected members role
In Councils, recovery priorities are decided by the Mayor and Councillors. The Chief Executive directs their staff to plan and deliver on these priority areas.

Figure 6 below is an outline of the recovery decision making structure.
Clarifying governance roles and responsibilities, alongside recovery management roles and ensuring good communication exchanges between recovery staff and elected members is vitally important.

Elected members will want to be seen to ‘be there for their community’ and will most likely be actively working in communities from an early stage. Opportunities need to be taken early to link elected members to the social recovery programme.

3.2 Foster trust between Council and the community

Trust in Council will be critical for a good recovery. This will be influenced by how council is viewed before the event, immediately after the event and in every step toward recovery from that point on.

Because Council controls much of the public and regulatory space and oversees rules of access, many can regard council as a barrier to ‘getting on with it’ – planning processes can appear bureaucratic and unnecessarily restrictive.

This can be exacerbated if there is a pattern of negative interaction that results in distrust or perceived unnecessary ‘red tape’ and bureaucracy. A negative general attitude toward all government agencies might ensue as some people do not differentiate between local, regional and national government and see the combined three levels simply as ‘the government’.

Proactively fostering trust can ensure that available energy and resources are directed towards recovery rather than repairing damaged relationships with the community.

Trust in recovery decision makers has a major impact on the psychosocial health of individuals, so if you can build trust early on it will have positive outcomes for the overall recovery programme.

3.3 Have an immediate staff presence in impacted communities

Get Council representatives to impacted communities as soon as it is safe to do so – even if it is logistically very difficult and even if the officers can provide no material aid.

If access to the impacted communities is restricted due to public safety concerns by emergency services, request that one of the emergency services escort and/or transport Council support staff to the area or to a site in close proximity.

Without a strong and immediate local Council presence, people can feel they went through the disaster on their own – ‘without council’. Consequently, people can feel that they can no longer take the supportive role of council for granted and any trust between Council and the community can become strained and need to be re-established.

If Council uses ‘business as usual’ planning and decision making processes, busies itself with procedural matters, regulatory restrictions and jurisdictional issues, and is not seen out and about in the community and does not inform the community about what is (and what is not) happening, people will quickly feel abandoned. This uncertainty can become anxiety and then develop into anger – damaging previous trust and presenting a barrier to ongoing recovery.

Again, ‘being there’ with the community has a major influence on personal and community well being. Be there, be seen, listen and share information.

THE VALUE IN BEING SEEN IN THE COMMUNITY AND BUILDING TRUST CANNOT BE OVERSTATED AND EARLY CONTACT IS IMPORTANT IN MAKING THE RIGHT START. THIS EARLY CONTACT CAN BE VERY CHALLENGING FOR BOTH THE COMMUNITY AND THE COUNCIL OFFICERS. IT IS IMPORTANT TO CONSIDER WHAT OFFICERS MIGHT EXPECT TO ENCOUNTER AND NEED TO DO IN SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES; WHO WOULD PLAY THESE ROLES, WHAT ATTRIBUTES THEY WILL NEED AND ENSURE THEY ARE PROPERLY TRAINED AND PREPARED.
3.4 Be seen to solve problems – not create them
The old saying, ‘you only get one chance to make a first impression’ is certainly true in the aftermath of a disaster.

Trust is created where councils find solutions and unblock barriers – for example, managing to open a road in a restricted access area so that fruit pickers can harvest a crop means the farmer might prevent a total loss of a crop, and just as importantly, the message is clear that council is concerned for the community – not just the rules. The Waimakariri District Council pragmatically restored sewer infrastructure on privately owned property so that people could remain in their homes, even though this is not usual practice.

Another example in the Waimakariri was that the council developed a realistic policy around rates remissions e.g. if the house was uninhabitable people no longer had to pay the Improvements portion of their rates.

For commercial property owners a panel of inspectors was made available by Council, free of charge, to assist with safety assessments so that businesses could continue to function.

Acts of this kind quickly develops a picture of council ‘knowing what they are doing’ and ‘doing the right things’ and immediately builds confidence and trust.

3.5 Manage community expectations of Council
Inviting suggestions from the community about what they want to happen in the future can raise their expectations of action. Council does not want to limit the hopes and desires of the community but it is important to ensure that community expectations of council are realistic.

In the normal course of community consultation it is common for ideas to be canvassed to gauge community reaction with little impact on expectations. However, people who have just experienced a disaster are more likely be in a state of mind where options will be interpreted as intentions. This can lead to disappointment, frustration and anger – with trust in council becoming seriously damaged.

**BEING OPEN ABOUT COUNCIL’S LIMITATIONS CAN REDUCE TENSIONS BUT MUST BE MANAGED SENSITIVELY, SO THAT COMMUNITIES CAN BE SUPPORTED TO THINK BROADLY AND CREATIVELY ABOUT THEIR FUTURE.**

Where possible provide written materials to reinforce and document the information being presented so that people can take it away to read, consider or share with others. Make sure such materials are written in plain English and easy to understand.

On occasions when council cannot pursue a community endorsed suggestion, explain council’s rationale for not proceeding.

3.6 Transitioning from Response to Recovery
As the welfare services transfer to social recovery, it is essential to have a good and thorough welfare transition report that captures:

- a summary of the condition of the various aspects of the community and environment impacted by the emergency and their interrelationships, under the following headings:
  - Social environment;
  - Built environment;
  - Economic environment; and
  - Natural environment
• the Welfare Response action plan in place at the
time of transition, noting actions that are
incomplete
• the type and status of all assigned resources;
• action taken to finalise the calculation of
emergency expenditure; and
• key relationships and contact details of key
personnel

In addition a thorough briefing between the
Welfare Manager and the Social Recovery
Manager should cover:

• the actions currently being undertaken, and
• expected outcomes and proposals for
activities to be continued in the recovery
phase.

 Whilst the CDEM Welfare framework acts as a useful
guide, local Social Recovery efforts need to be focused
on developing and delivering a social recovery plan that
meets the community’s unique recovery needs. This
may mean that there are different and/or ‘grey’ areas
that sit outside the CDEM Welfare framework that do not
necessarily sit with a designated responsible or support
agency but may be best delivered by a local agency/
organisation that knows and understands the community.

As Social Recovery Manager these council activities
should be encouraged:-
• the creation of an online presence through
social media or websites, particularly for
disasters where the impacted community is
dispersed.
• the presence of council representatives at
agency led community meetings as a way of
‘being seen’ and establishing the link very early on.
• the use of council people deployed in the
community to gather intelligence and connect
with the community.
• the consideration of establishing a single point
of contact for council departments
directly involved in supporting communities to
recover. For example, a single contact point for
planning and building services. This will allow
for the specialist training of staff and provide
familiarity and consistency for the community.
• training for all front line staff - so that they are
all well informed and have correct information.
In addition they have knowledge about how
to deal with people who have been affected by a
disaster.

RECOVERY IS A MUCH MORE COMPLEX
PROCESS THAN RESPONSE PHASE
ACTIVITY AND A ‘ONE SIZE FITS ALL
APPROACH’ CANNOT BE ADOPTED.
RECOVERY PROGRAMMES, SERVICES
AND ACTIVITIES NEED TO BE BASED ON
IDENTIFIED COMMUNITY RECOVERY NEEDS
AND REFLECT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY’S
DEMOGRAPHIC AND CULTURAL MAKE-UP.
OFFICIAL RECOVERY MANDATED ROLES AND
RESPONSIBILITIES NEED TO WORK WITH
PRE-EXISTING COMMUNITY STRUCTURES
AND SERVICES TO GET THE BEST LONG-TERM
RESULTS FOR THE IMPACTED COMMUNITY.
Waimakariri Case Study:

The Waimakariri District Council was very community focused during the response phase and committed to ‘seeing this through’ with the community. Mayor David Ayers and CEO Jim Palmer’s leadership provided confidence and trust with the community and set the direction very clearly for the staff that this was not business as usual.

By day 2, and daily thereafter for 2 weeks staff were deployed to knock on doors and hand deliver the latest information updates. They were the eyes and ears on the streets of the most impacted communities and were able to keep Council informed of the communities needs and concerns.

At the same time Council was organising its recovery operation, under the leadership of Recovery Manager, Simon Markham and without hesitation allocated adequate recovery resources to successfully lead the local recovery. Staff sourced best practice recovery literature and identified the following key success factors, which Council were quick to acknowledge and adopt:-

- that local leadership was paramount so that the recovery could be responsive and flexible to ongoing community needs
- recovery was complex, and that a holistic, co-ordinated approach to recovery was essential
- being based in the community, close to the impacted communities was beneficial and having recovery agencies, including Council, accessible to the impacted communities would build trust, openness and transparency
- that working with as many locals as possible to facilitate a community focused recovery would yield the best results
- there were many players that Council hadn’t previously had relationships with (Insurance companies), so co-ordination and collaboration was critical
- working with regional and national recovery agencies was important to ensure distinct and unique local community needs were understood and acted on

The Council formed an Earthquake Recovery Committee, in which all Councillors wanted to be involved, along with the Chair of the Community Board from the impacted communities. This ensured timely and focused attention was given to the task ahead.

Senior recovery staff met with the Council’s Senior Leadership Team weekly to provide updates on current issues and progress and to ensure that the business as usual arm of Council was linked into the Recovery work programme.
Local Government’s role in recovery

Key things to consider:

- Local Government is the lead agency for local recovery efforts and is directed by the CDEM Act 2002 to do so.
- Elected members have an important role to play in setting recovery priorities and budgets.
- Foster trust between the Council and the community early in recovery.
- Have an immediate staff presence in impacted communities.
- Be seen to solve problems – not create them.
- Manage community expectations of Council.
- Have a good transition plan from response to recovery ensuring outstanding welfare issues are understood and integrated into recovery planning and key relationships and contacts are documented.
Local Government plays a vital role in understanding its community’s strengths, capabilities, limitations and vulnerabilities as well as having existing relationships with agencies/organisations working in their city/district. Local knowledge and understanding is critical to good recovery outcomes and Local Government should provide leadership and co-ordination of social recovery at the local level.

4.1 Social Recovery Manager
Local Government is responsible for developing a local social recovery plan for their city/district and should put in place a Social Recovery Manager role to provide leadership and oversight and to act as a facilitator, enabler, connector and advocate, if the community requires support over and above business as usual services.

The Social Recovery Manager should have a good understanding of community/social service networks and well developed relationships in the community and with government and key strategic social service providers. If the event is large enough they should be released from their business as usual role to focus on Social Recovery services and programmes.

They will be responsible for leading the development of a Social Recovery plan, establishing strong relationships with other recovery agencies and ensuring the community’s social recovery needs are being met.

4.2 Local Social Recovery Plan
Co-ordination and collaboration are key to an efficient and timely social recovery programme, and having good existing relationships and partnerships with an understanding of business as usual (BAU) roles is critical.

Developing a local social recovery plan is vital to ensure that all partners understand what the key areas of the Social Recovery programme are, who will lead various work streams how Social Recovery services, programmes and activities fit with business as usual activity and to ensure reporting and accountability.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEVELOPING THE LOCAL SOCIAL RECOVERY PLAN AND ENSURE THAT IT IS DEVELOPED IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COMMUNITY AS WELL AS LEAD AND SUPPORT AGENCIES TO MAKE SURE THE SERVICES ARE RELEVANT FOR THE COMMUNITY’S RECOVERY NEEDS.

Figure 7: How the Social Recovery plan began...
In the first few weeks after the event the Waimakariri District Council, their partners and community members from the impacted community identified social recovery needs based on data collected to date and local knowledge and information. Using the CDEM welfare framework, social recovery priorities and lead agencies were identified so that there was co-ordination and collaboration between agencies. Tasks for each work stream were clearly defined so there was a good understanding of areas of responsibility.

Some of the sub-functions were those that are identified in the CDEM National Plan (and therefore led by mandated agencies) and others were not and were led by known and trusted community organisations.

The very first draft of the plan was handwritten (see figure 7) and evolved to the model shown below in Figure 8, over the next few months.

This model allowed the social recovery programme to focus on our community needs, identify the most appropriate agencies to be involved and to coordinate activity so that the best use of resources was made and most importantly delivered the services the community needed in the most relevant way. The key is to keep it simple and focused on real community needs. Having a lead agency for each function is paramount.

Over time the plan was responsive to meet the communities changing social recovery needs. The version below (v6) was developed toward the end of the recovery. These were the things that the social recovery leadership team took leadership of. Note that there were many other recovery services and programmes being delivered outside of this framework, as well as many, many business as usual services and programmes. The Social Recovery Manager co-ordinated and supported activity and collaborated widely to ensure a joined up approach, as much as possible.

A Social Recovery Plan template is included in Appendix 1.
Key things to consider:

- Local knowledge and understanding is critical to good recovery outcomes
- Local Government should take responsibility for developing a local social recovery plan and it should be reviewed and updated regularly as community recovery needs change
- The appointment of a Local Social Recovery Manager is important to provide leadership and co-ordination
- Ensure other parts of the council, not directly involved in Recovery are briefed and understand the communities unique needs
Community Focused Recovery

International and national recovery principles highlight the importance of recovery being led by the community. While this is a great aspiration our experience was that Council leadership was highly valued in the Waimakariri recovery because it was very clear who was in charge. The Council worked hard to put the community at the centre of the recovery programme by supporting and encouraging local leadership, initiatives and resilience. A robust communication strategy was key to ensuring two way information exchange between council and the community. As a result a community focused recovery was put in place that was responsive and flexible, that genuinely engaged communities and empowered them to move forward.

Community-focused recovery should:

- Centre on the community, to enable those impacted by a disaster to actively participate in their own recovery;
- Allow individuals, families and communities to manage their own recovery and provide the right support for those who can't;
- Consider the values, culture and priorities of all impacted communities;
- Use and develop community knowledge, leadership and resilience;
- Recognise that communities might choose different paths to the recovery;
- Ensure that the specific and changing needs of impacted communities are met with flexible and adaptable policies, plans and services; and
- Build strong partnerships between communities and those involved in the recovery process.

5.1 Support community-focused decision making structures – hearing the community’s voice

Ensuring that the impacted community’s voice is heard is vitally important for community-focused recovery. This usually take the form of Residents Associations or community recovery committees which might:

- use or build on existing structures or organisations – for example a Residents Association might shift its focus to a recovery or a community development or community resilience committee might take on the role; or
- be purpose-built – establishing a dedicated recovery committee from impacted community members and relevant community organisations and agencies.

The structure and membership of community recovery committees will, in part, depend on the nature and impact of the disaster.

The key aim of a community recovery focused committee is to provide a mechanism where decisions about recovery activities can be made in a timely and informed manner. Its role should include:

- representing the needs of the community
- providing legitimate and recognised leadership
- acting as a community advocate
- communicating and listening to the community
- informing and engaging the community on recovery
- providing a strong communication channel between the community and council, and other organisations and government agencies involved in the recovery.

5.2 Support effective committees

Unsurprisingly, the aftermath of a disaster can lead to hugely increased interest from the community in decisions that affect them. This brings with it the risk that vocal individuals with their own specific agendas will push themselves forward to join or run community recovery committees or other key groups in the recovery.

In the interests of long-term recovery, it is preferable that community recovery committees represent the broad views and aspirations of the entire community. It is critical therefore that people who are involved in community
recovery committees are chosen carefully according to their ability to represent not just their interests but to think about the bigger picture and that the community decides on the process of appointment to the committee.

Some communities will be comfortable with a committee that simply evolves from interested people or known community leaders; others will need a more formal process.

COMMUNITY RECOVERY COMMITTEE MEMBERS WILL NEED TO BE LOCALLY CREDIBLE, WITH COMMUNICATION SKILLS, COMMITMENT AND THE TIME TO GIVE TO THE TASK. THE LIKELY DURATION OF RECOVERY WILL INFLUENCE WHO MIGHT BE ABLE TO BE INVOLVED.

Likely candidates might be found among existing leaders such as sporting club, service club or community organisation office bearers; religious leaders; business leaders or other positions of responsibility such as a school principal. They might also be found among those who do not have pre-existing community roles but who are experienced and capable people, who emerge following the event.

Often such people ask useful questions or contribute positive ideas at community meetings and other events. They might be happy to be approached to take an active formal role in the recovery.

It will also be useful to consider how a community recovery committee will interact with council decision making structures, in particular the Recovery Management structure.

Community Development staff should work with these groups closely to ensure they are supported and enabled. Providing secretarial support, photo copying, postage etc. are examples of how they might do this.
Waimakariri Case Study – Residents Associations important role

Residents Associations played an important role in the Waimakariri Recovery. The Pines Beach/Kairaki Residents Association was over 100 years old and well established within the community. The Kaiapoi Residents Association was established post-earthquake and no longer exists. Both very different groups, who had similar aims – to unite their community’s voice, and work with the recovery agencies to ensure their communities needs and issues were heard.

The Kaiapoi Residents Association was a good example of a leader stepping up to advocate for his community. Regular meetings were an opportunity for residents to share their experiences and support one another and to feel that they weren’t alone in their recovery. Local MPs and Council staff and elected members were in attendance at all meetings so that there was relevant and up to date information being shared and residents’ issues and concerns were being heard and addressed. The meetings were important for the resilience and wellbeing of the impacted residents. The Pines Beach/Kairaki Residents Association set up an earthquake focused committee which was led by one of the impacted residents. This played a vital role in ensuring this community’s unique needs were heard and understood.

Council and CERA representatives met with all Residents Association representatives every two weeks initially to share information and provide updates and also to hear issues and concerns from residents. Other agencies/groups would be brought in as necessary (e.g. Insurance). These meetings continued for 2 ½ years and they worked extremely well as a vehicle for exchanging information and discussing issues and solutions and communicating next steps. Good working relationships developed and trust was built.

It takes a whole community to recover from a disaster
5.3 Build on the Community’s networks

The community will already have a wide variety of networks that connect people to others in the community. These formal and informal networks include shared activities, such as hobbies, sport, business connections and charity work. Many of the organisations and people from these networks already have goodwill with other members and are also likely to have the skills, motivation and relationships to aid the recovery process. If they are elected or executive members of existing organisations they might already be recognised as having authority to represent sections of the community.

Such networks provide existing avenues to the community that are more targeted and interest based, for example, canvassing the views of young people about a recovery issue might get more buy in through the Young Farmers Club or Youth Drop-in centre rather than a general public notice or survey. Similarly the Art Society might be a more targeted avenue to consult on recovery arts projects.

In addition to these community based networks there are a range of national, regional and community based non-government agencies that provide services or infrastructure within the community. Many will be active in the recovery process such as the Ministry of Social Development, local DHB, Presbyterian Support Services, local NGO’s, Churches etc. and some will have roles prescribed by legislation or associated plans.

Councils can draw widely on the formal and informal networks and agencies that operate in communities. Having an experienced and known Social Recovery Manager will mean that many of these agencies roles and responsibilities are known and understood. These will have been identified in the process of considering potential partners in recovery. Understanding and developing this potential before a disaster event will be beneficial.

Waimakariri Case Study – Understanding the changing community and its capacity to respond

The Waimakariri District Council commissioned a report to collect data from social service agencies working in the Waimakariri District post September 2011. The aim of the mapping project was to identify the key current and anticipated social needs in Waimakariri and develop a guiding document for the local social service response moving forward into recovery. The study found:-

- Mental health particularly with regard to increased level of stress and anxiety, across all age groups was the key impact of the earthquake
- Social isolation especially for older people and those displaced was a primary concern for what was traditionally a tight knit community
- Schooling placed a significant strain on parents, students, teachers through the need to catch up on study and exams in what had been almost a year of disrupted schooling
- Domestic violence and abuse increased across all sectors of the community and was a particular concern

Recommendations from the study:–

- The importance of Social Service Waimakariri in bringing organisations together
- The need for increased social work support
- The need to focus on community facilities for men since the social displacement of the things like the league club and the bowling club
- Resources be put into developing community events and community spaces
- Consideration should be given to the further development of support to parents and teachers in the Waimakariri, possibly in the form of speakers or a course
- Further resources are needed for preventative mechanisms in domestic violence especially education and training
- No agency was identified as working with migrants and refugees so this needs to be addressed.

The Social Service Mapping Questionnaire is included in Appendix 2

3 Social Service Waimakariri – a collaborative of social service agencies working in the Waimakariri District who focused on key goals for the district
Community focused recovery

Key things to consider:

☐ Councils should put priority on a community-focused recovery

☐ Support effective recovery committees by encouraging and aiding inaugural groups to use processes more likely to lead to representative committees. This might include:

☐ convening an initial interest meeting; inviting all relevant community organisations and interest groups; and supporting them to determine the pathway they wish to take
☐ suggesting models that include representatives from different geographical locations, different population groups (such as young people or culturally diverse groups) and different interest groups (such as local business owners, sports clubs, farmers or heritage groups)
☐ suggesting an independent chair for example, an ex-mayor, former local Member of Parliament or similar
☐ providing assistance with drafting a constitution or terms of reference and advising on committee election processes
☐ encouraging people with the credibility, skills and commitment to join their community recovery committee
☐ encouraging wide representation including iwi and a range of interest groups, and other population groups are represented on the community recovery committee
☐ providing support to get the committee working smoothly so that they can focus on the main tasks – not administration For example, provide a facilitator, a minute or note taker and provide assistance, such as chairing initial meetings, providing meeting venues, photocopying and printing, coordinating invitations and mail outs

☐ Build on the community’s existing formal and informal networks

CHECK LIST
Each council is required under the CDEM Act 2002 to:-
- ensure they can continue to function, albeit potentially at a reduced level, during and after an emergency, and
- plan and provide for civil defence emergency management within their district.

While undertaking recovery readiness activity before a disaster is a task for every council, the detail and scale might vary considerably. This will depend on geographical location, population size and distribution, available resources and disaster risks.

Effective social recovery work is based on partnerships and community-focused decision making. To do this successfully, recovery action has to be thought about, planned for and, as much as possible practiced before a disaster.

### STARTING TO THINK ABOUT RECOVERY AFTER THE DISASTER EVENT IS TOO LATE.

**ALL LEVELS (NATIONAL, CDEM GROUP, AND LOCAL) REQUIRE WELL DEVELOPED RELATIONSHIPS AND A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF SOCIAL RECOVERY ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES. SOCIAL RECOVERY AGENCIES SHOULD PLAN, RESOURCE, TRAIN AND EXERCISE FOR SOCIAL RECOVERY FROM KNOWN HAZARDS IN THEIR COMMUNITIES.**

Recovery readiness involves developing operational systems and capabilities before an emergency happens, including making local arrangements in the following areas:-
- clarifying social recovery governance arrangements
- understanding social recovery roles and responsibilities with key stakeholders
- social recovery planning including understanding local social recovery delivery capability and capacity
- social recovery capability development (including training and exercising)
- development of information collection, management and sharing systems and
- development of a monitoring and evaluation framework

Understanding communities is critical to social recovery planning and every community is unique.

Building an understanding of a community involves knowing:-
- The social profile of a community e.g. age, ethnicity, mobility health, and socio-economic background of its citizens (many Councils have this data, based on census and other surveys, readily available)
- The wealth of skills and experience, and resources of local people and community groups, organisations and networks
- Community dynamics (how communities work) including local leadership, community strengths, and vulnerabilities that may impact the community’s capability to respond in an emergency.

Council plays an important facilitation role before a disaster nurturing connections between community organisations and with government agencies. Some of these might be through formal channels such as meetings and projects. Other connections might be less formal.

### THE GREATER THE COUNCIL’S UNDERSTANDING OF ITS COMMUNITY BEFORE A DISASTER, THE EASIER IT WILL BE TO IMPLEMENT AN EFFECTIVE SOCIAL RECOVERY PLAN.
Waimakariri Case Study – Collaboration - Understanding our community

Social Service Waimakariri had been in place in the community for several years prior to the disaster. This unique collaboration brought together key social service providers, Council and Central Government agencies to plan and focus on key issues throughout the district.

In addition a wider network of grass roots agencies and groups also met regularly to share information, discuss and plan solutions for district wide social priorities.

Through these meetings and forums there was a good understanding of the community strengths and vulnerabilities pre disaster. In addition a wider network of grassroots agencies and groups also met regularly to share information, discuss solutions and plan for district wide social priorities.

In addition these existing strong and trusted relationships were invaluable post disaster for effective collaboration and co-ordination.

See the Social Service Waimakariri website. http://www.sswaimakariri.co.nz/
Social recovery readiness: Preparation for the disaster

Key things to consider:

- Develop strong relationships with key national, regional and local organisations/agencies and understand and agree social recovery roles and relationships
- Work with communities to raise awareness of potential disasters
- Develop social recovery governance arrangements - your CDEM Welfare Committee play a vital role – also consider how you will communicate and engage with local social support and service agencies
- Develop social recovery plans including understanding social recovery delivery capability and capacity
- Build social recovery capability development (including training and exercising)
- Develop information collection, management and sharing systems
- Develop monitoring and evaluation frameworks
- Build an understanding of your community
Good communication and authentic community engagement are fundamental to the overall recovery of a community where every bit of information is vital to help people make sense of their altered lives. The importance of effective engagement and communication cannot be overstated.

7.1 Communication
While most councils are not new to communication and community engagement, the impacts on a community following a disaster mean that these services must adapt to the new and challenging circumstances. Two-way process of effective communication is even more important in recovery from disaster than at any other time.

In the first weeks and months sharing information about the status of short term recovery activities is very important. As time goes on, involvement and genuine collaboration ensures that the communities can be involved in making decisions about the longer term recovery of their community.

It is important to recognise that conflicting information or no information quickly leads to speculation, rumour and distrust. In the aftermath of a disaster community communication sharing and interaction is at its peak with people readily discussing all manner of observations from the disaster and what is occurring thereafter.

Good communication and community engagement can restore the sense of being ‘back in control’ for communities that can often feel powerless after experiencing major losses and trauma. This is important to the healing process for individuals and the community as a whole.

The following are seen as effective cornerstones for recovery communications:-
- Be open and honest even if its bad news
- De jargon the information
- Keep your Communications strategy brief – you don’t want it to get bogged down by policy

- This will not be a business as usual approach to communications – communications needs to be agile, transparent and accessible to meet the communities needs
- Have a genuine interest and empathy for the situation
- Deliver the right message to the right people at the right time – you can’t take a one size approach – know your audience and nuance messaging appropriately
- Being in and with the community is important so that messaging is relevant and timely
- Work with other parts of the organisation (who may not be working directly in Recovery) to think outside the square
- Be prepared to take criticism and act on it
- Work with the community – they will become your authentic ambassadors (champions)
- Align with other recovery agencies so there is coordination and consistency of messages
- Unearth community stories to accentuate the positive, to build hope – constant negative stories can be fatiguing and overwhelming
- When the information is sensitive to the needs of the audience, people start to believe council understands their needs
- Providing full and frank information on the options people can choose delivers better decision making.

Address misinformation and negative rumours and move quickly to ensure correct information is made available.

Adopt the following useful guide to use messages that say:-
- This is what we know
- This is what we don’t know
- This is what we are going to do
- This is what we want you to do

Be prepared to repeat key information

The most powerful form of public relations is word of mouth

EQ Recovery Communications Manager

REGULAR MEETINGS WITH RESIDENTS ASSOCIATIONS PROVIDED POSITIVE INTERACTION AND INFORMATION, WHILE THE PASTORAL CARE TEAM WAS AN IMPORTANT MEANS OF GATHERING THE KNOWLEDGE OF PEOPLE’S NEEDS. THEY ALSO ASSISTED IN IMPARTING THE KNOWLEDGE THAT THE REST OF THE COMMUNITY AND THE COUNCIL KNEW AND CARED ABOUT THEIR SITUATION.
Waimakariri Case Study – Communication

Waimakariri took a very proactive, considered, transparent and honest approach to community engagement and communications. Every channel was effectively and frequently utilised to ensure the community knew what was happening on their behalf and also understanding the reasons why. A comprehensive and intensive communications strategy (see Appendix 3) included the following methods:-

- Direct face to face delivery of newsletters to quake impacted people by Council staff and volunteers in the early phase of recovery.
- Special issues of the local newspaper paid for by the Council
- A regular and frequently updated Questions and Answers booklet which addressed every imaginable question and supplied an answer each time
- Specially branded email, on-line and hardcopy newsletters making sure that was little or no timing gap in information flows
- Regular workshops, meetings and expos people’s need to congregate and share experiences was important, so regular community meetings were facilitated
- New Web-page developed
- Centre-fold spreads in local newspapers
- Noticeboards in impacted communities
- Regular meetings with Residents Associations
7.2 Community Engagement
Community engagement is concerned with involving individuals, families, businesses, interest groups and other stakeholders directly in decisions that affect them. This will include decisions across the social, built, economic, environment and cultural environments.

Effective two-way community engagement is a two way exchange of information, views and aspirations. Community members should be active participants in informing or making decisions – not the unwilling recipients of well-intentioned decision-makers.

Some methods of community engagement might prove more effective than others in the post-disaster environment, particularly in the immediate aftermath or in engaging specific population or interest groups.

The method, the delivery, the setting and all aspects of the engagement process and the outcomes sought need to be consciously planned. Consideration also needs to be given to how the community can be involved in determining and implementing the engagement methods.

In practice the degree of influence of community engagement can vary from token efforts to genuine joint or delegated decision making. Most councils recognise the risks of non-involvement and will strive for meaningful participation in pursuit of various engagement goals.

This range of community engagement goals is described by the International Association for Public Participation Australasia (IAP2) in its Spectrum of Community Engagement. This framework uses five levels to illustrate the public participation goals and the promise to the community this implies. See Figure 9 below.

When applying the IAP2 framework, the more community engagement involves, collaborates with and empowers the community – the more the recovery is being focused on the community. This can be a quite different and challenging role for council but it will be well appreciated by a recovering community and lead to a better and quicker recovery.

---

IAP2’s Public Participation Spectrum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public participation goal</th>
<th>Promise to the public</th>
<th>Example techniques</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inform</td>
<td>We will keep you informed.</td>
<td>Facts sheets, Web sites, Open houses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consult</td>
<td>We will keep you informed, listen to and acknowledge concerns and aspirations, and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision.</td>
<td>Public comment, Focus groups, Surveys, Public meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involve</td>
<td>We will work with you to ensure that your concerns and aspirations are directly reflected in the alternatives developed and provide feedback on how public input influenced the decision.</td>
<td>Workshops, Deliberative polling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborate</td>
<td>We will look to you for advice and innovation in formulating solutions and incorporate your advice and recommendations into the decisions to the maximum extent possible.</td>
<td>Citizen advisory Committees, Consensus-building, Participatory decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empower</td>
<td>We will implement what you decide</td>
<td>Citizen juries, Ballots, Delegated decision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increasing Level of Public Impact

Figure 9: The IAP2 Spectrum of participation (www.IAP2.org)
7.2.1 Why is community engagement different following a disaster?

Community engagement is different following a disaster for several reasons. Foremost are the direct impacts of the disaster, which will affect people’s capacity to participate, for example:

- Community members who have suffered loss and trauma might struggle with what they regard as bureaucratic processes
- Many will be using their energy in the functions for daily living, which have become more complex and time consuming
- Many will be under financial stress
- Some might be physically dislocated from the community, living in alternative accommodation or absent from the area for long periods
- Some might be isolated as a result of disability, age or culture, which might be exacerbated following the disaster
- Timeframes are often different with needs and priorities changing rapidly – in the early stages these might change daily.

For council this means that simply providing a ‘business as usual’ opportunity to participate is insufficient – community engagement following a disaster must:

- Recognise the different state of mind of people impacted by the disaster
- Add tailored engagement techniques and approaches that might be different to those used in a ‘business as usual’ setting
- Use proactive participation strategies that reach out to affect individuals and communities
- Apply transparent consultation processes that maximise information exchange and clarify the basis for decision making
- Provide objective, fair and accessible processes that are not seen to show favour to individuals or groups over others
- Validate the views and input of interest groups with the broader community
- Continue to apply engagement principles throughout the planning, consultation and implementation of the recovery
- Be based on the capacity and needs of the impacted communities – rather than on the process and timeframe needs of council and other agencies

Challenges for community engagement that can emerge following a disaster include:

- Individuals who do not necessarily represent the views of the community might seek disproportionate influence on decisions about recovery, putting themselves forward as community spokesperson, with the media or political leaders
- New groups can emerge claiming ownership of some aspects for the recovery with or without broader community support
- Different views that are largely dormant before a disaster can be brought to a head as groups blame each other for the disaster
- New divisions can emerge as people make judgements about what they think is fair for them and not fair for others
- Councils might feel less willing to tackle contentious issues in a highly emotive post-disaster environment.

Because trauma emanates from profound powerlessness, interventions should emphasise empowerment, meaning they need to emphasise strengths, mobilise the community’s capabilities, and help the community to become self-sufficient

(Harvey, 1996)
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT THAT IS WELL MANAGED AND TAKES ACCOUNT OF POST-DISASTER CONDITIONS CAN:

- REDUCE THE POWERLESSNESS AND ISOLATION SOME PEOPLE WILL FEEL
- CREATE GOODWILL AND TRUST BETWEEN THE COMMUNITY AND COUNCIL – WHICH CAN BE HARD TO RESTORE IF ITS DAMAGED
- REALISE OPPORTUNITIES TO FULLY GRASP COMMUNITY CHALLENGES AND DISCOVER POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS
- MINIMISE DIVISIONS IN THE COMMUNITY AND SUPPORT THE SPREAD FOR RELIABLE INFORMATION.

The following principles can be used to guide effective community engagement during disaster recovery:

1. Understand the community: its capacity, strengths and priorities
2. Recognise complexity of recovery
3. Partner with the community to support existing networks and resources

The stakes are very high when engaging the community during disaster recovery and considerable planning, monitoring and refinement of approach is required.

Work with other agencies to ensure that community engagement activities are coordinated as much as possible and that findings are shared. For example, put involving the community on the agenda of multi-agency meetings; seek input from the community and other agencies into the design of community engagement tools, such as surveys; and encourage communities to invite key agencies to attend community meetings or engagement activities.

7.2.2 Act on what you’re hearing
At times it can seem to a community that their concerns, ideas and suggestions are not being heard or acted upon. This is sometimes because resources have not been secured or simply that the time between consultation and implementation can be considerable.

It is particularly important at a time where communities are stretched and their time is precious, that councils keep communities up to date about what has been pursued as a result of earlier community input.

For example, if a community is consulted to make a choice between say a memorial or a community arts initiative, the outcome or preference determined by the consultation needs to be reported and acted upon.

It is important to recognise that councils cannot take every idea on board. Nonetheless as the level of government closest to a community, councils are in a good position to gather community intelligence and feed it through to others, such as government agencies. Sometimes this might mean advocating directly with other recovery agencies such as CERA.

7.2.3 Engage the whole community
Communities are not homogenous groups and effective community engagement following a disaster will need to understand and manage this complexity.

Similarly, not all people will be interested in being engaged. It is important however, to ensure there are transparent and open opportunities for as many people as possible who want to be involved.

THE EXPERIENCE OF THE DISASTER WILL HAVE IMPACTED PEOPLE IN QUITE DIFFERENT WAYS AND HOW EACH DEALS WITH THEIR LOSS WILL VARY. PEOPLE ARE THEREFORE LIKELY TO BE AT VARIOUS STAGES OF RECOVERY AND MIGHT OR MIGHT NOT GRASP WHERE OTHERS ARE AT.

IN ADDITION TO THIS, THERE ARE LIKELY TO BE OTHER FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THEIR EXPECTATIONS OF COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OR THEIR CAPACITY TO CONTRIBUTE TO IT. FOR EXAMPLE, PEOPLE IMPACTED BY MOBILITY LIMITATIONS SUCH AS OLDER PEOPLE OR PEOPLE WITH A DISABILITY MIGHT NOT BE ABLE TO ATTEND COMMUNITY MEETINGS; OTHERS MIGHT HAVE DIFFICULTY HEARING; FOR OTHERS SUCH AS PEOPLE FROM CULTURALLY AND LINGUISTICALLY DIVERSE COMMUNITIES, READING ENGLISH MIGHT BE DIFFICULT.

ALSO REMEMBER THAT CHILDREN TOO HAVE A STAKE IN THE FUTURE OF THE COMMUNITY.
There will also be quite diverging views within a community, for example business interests and heritage interests might clash over streetscape renewal. Other divisions might be less predictable.

For example, community division might arise where ‘the insured’ resent assistance to ‘the uninsured’ or where perceptions arise that the system has supported some families more than others – particularly if this appears disproportionate to need. During the early period this will be largely about immediate support such as assistance, appeals, repairs, and donated goods. Longer term disparity will emerge as the apparent pace of re-establishment and rebuilding is seen to vary across a community.

**EFFECTIVE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT HAS TO ENSURE THAT THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE MANY VOICES AND OPINIONS IN THE COMMUNITY TO BE HEARD AND TO DO THIS IN A WAY THAT BRINGS THE COMMUNITY TOGETHER.**

### 7.2.4 Give people time

Time is one of the most important resources for quality of life. Time is necessary to think at one's own pace, to make decisions and to reflect on experiences – and for some, it might take considerable time, even years, to fully recover. Impacted people will be dominated by their losses and overwhelmed by the effort of recovery. The pressure to respond to deadlines can create additional anxiety and stress that will exacerbate personal issues and inhibit recovery – if people ‘are not ready’.

Council will be increasingly driven to manage its post-disaster services as efficiently as possible for example, gathering registrations and applications and to meet the many requirements of other parties for example funding deadlines.

People's personal priorities are likely to eclipse what they might regard as the administrative or ‘petty’ requirements of council or government. Some will simply be unable to respond to deadlines on time despite their best intentions.

Missing out on opportunities to have input into decisions affecting the community can cause some people to resent whatever decisions are made. This can have consequences down the track as some decisions are protested or need to be revisited. The experience for these people can add to a loss of faith in council and create a barrier to their recovery.

Council can easily be placed in a conflicted situation – where the community are saying ‘you are going too fast’ and government are wanting quick decisions about the funding, support and rebuilding assistance they are wanting to offer.

Council need good communication processes to manage the expectations of both the community and other levels of governments, particularly in fully grasping the needs of its communities and advocating these needs.

A key aspect of community recovery that needs to occur at a pace determined by the community, is making decisions about commemorations and memorials. Community involvement into all aspects of the planning and conduct of such events is critical.

### 7.2.5 Consider the whole community

Most community members are unused to government processes or accessing welfare support services and systems and will quietly do their best until they can no longer manage. They feel disinclined to make demands or create a fuss. Some people, such as isolated older people, people with disabilities or children, might be particularly vulnerable and despite being in immediate need of services and support might be difficult to engage.

A small minority will react loudly and critically. They might use the system – ringing government ministers, talking to media and seemingly gaining undue influence. They might promulgate unhelpful myths frightening and demoralising the community, for example, stories about service breakdowns or council incompetence.

As disasters can affect a large number of community members, councils need to ensure they retain a balanced view of community recovery needs and endeavour to consider the whole community in post-disaster activity.

### 7.2.6 Overcome engagement barriers

Sometimes it will be beneficial to engage the community as a whole; at other times better results might be achieved by segmenting the community and tailoring the engagement process to their circumstances.
For example, using different approaches for groups like young people, people who live in rural or isolated areas, small businesses, tourism and other businesses, older people, tenants and home owners, and so on.

Sometimes these groups can be engaged as part of whole community engagement activities with some adjustment to ensure their specific views are heard, for example using small group sessions as part of a larger forum.

In other circumstances, there might be specific consultation activities for some of these groups, and the engagement process might be customised to match their lifestyle preferred communication styles. For example, engagement of young people might include text message surveys or an art or music event in a public space, while consultation of older people might be combined with a meal at a senior citizens club or church.

Similarly, some of the targeted consultation might be geographically based. For example, residents impacted by earthquakes or floods may be engaged on a street by street basis or neighbourhood basis to focus on localised needs and concerns.

Getting in touch with some people to invite them to join engagement activities or simply to gather their views can be difficult. It can sometimes mean mobilising existing service networks, such as issuing personal invitations or deploying simple surveys through Meals on Wheels or other home based services.

### 7.2.7 Be prepared for the unexpected

The emotional state of people in the community will fluctuate during disaster recovery and it is not uncommon for setbacks to occur from time to time; even though on face value things might seem to be progressing well. Some triggers are reasonably predictable. For example, anxiety levels in a community impacted by ongoing aftershocks and predictions of the ‘next big one’ were publicised.

Similarly, the recovery progress of a community might be tested when:

- major milestones occur, such as anniversaries of the disaster
- an action of council or another recovery agency is perceived as insensitive
- other unrelated community traumas occur – for example, a road crash
- individuals in the community experience major health issues.

These setbacks will impact not only on people’s willingness to be involved in community engagement but might also reignite the stresses that make well-reasoned and thoughtful decisions difficult to make.

### 7.2.8 Use effective engagement methods

There are a multitude of ways to engage the community. The most obvious of which is public meetings.

However, many other methods can be used to ensure that as many members of the community are informed and as many voices and opinions are heard as possible.

As with all engagement methods the ones that are used will depend on the aim of community engagement. For example, some methods are more suited to providing information, generating ideas or agreeing priorities. See figure 10 for key engagement methods.

On the IAP2 spectrum of Inform – Consult – Involve – Collaborate – Empower, the expectation would be that community engagement would occur right along this spectrum, but with a leaning towards the Collaborate – Empower end. This is particularly the case if community-focused recovery is going to be realised. Certainly the role of a community recovery committee is an empowered one.
The following table sets out the benefits and limitation of some key engagement methods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>BENEFITS OR LIMITATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Public meetings                     | • Allows the views of individuals and community groups to be expressed  
• Good platform for simple, consistent information and key messages to large numbers from a community  
• Not a strong forum for dialogue  
• Meeting facilitation skills needed to channel energy productively  
• Might be scope to break up into smaller discussion groups or provide information-feedback booths |
| Focus groups                        | • Useful with relatively homogeneous groups  
• Suited to smaller interest and population groups  
• Good for generating and canvassing ideas rather than decisions  
• Allows for creative thinking if well facilitated |
| Workshops                           | • Can produce structured exploration of issues, options and ideas and future vision, direction and actions  
• Larger groups and broader agenda possible  
• Format can include smaller group work fed back to the whole group  
• Needs skilled facilitation |
| Roundtables and forums              | • A joint planning/decision making forum between council and key stakeholders with expertise about a specific issue  
• Helps to establish a collaborative process from the outset  
• Suited to dealing with topics with technical content  
• Can prepare informed recommendations for broader community consideration  
• Challenges in achieving representation |
| Hard copy surveys                   | • Can provide opportunities to reach mixed audiences where they live and in opportunistic locations  
• Can be combined with face to face support, for example interviewers or ‘opinion tent’ facilitators  
• If face to face interpretation is not possible what is requested must be very clear and brief preferably pre-tested  
• Good for gathering ideas and canvassing options  
• Requires intensive support and interpretation |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METHOD</th>
<th>BENEFITS OR LIMITATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Electronic surveys  
For example, website, email or social media consultation | • Can provide opportunities to reach specific audiences where they live  
• Suited to smaller interest and population groups with existing networks  
• Face to face interpretation is not possible so what is requested must be very clear and brief – pre-tested  
• Good for gathering ideas and canvassing options  
• Excludes non-online communities if only avenue |
| Vision surveys  
For example, photo and drawing submissions of how the community sees the future | • Can provide opportunities for input from people who are more visually orientated  
• Suited to broad invitation, particularly engaging children  
• Suited to dealing with a specific built or natural environment matter with cultural or heritage implications  
• Good for gathering ideas  
• Useful to feedback visual depictions of options |
| Onsite engagement  
For example, walking a roadside with the community and the roading options | • A joint planning/decision making event between council and interest groups conducted on site  
• Expertise is on hand to explain and discuss technical aspects  
• Suited to dealing with a specific built or natural environment matter with cultural or heritage implications  
• Can prepare informed recommendations for broader community consideration or make decision depending on mandate |

#### 7.2.9 Make the most of community meetings

It might appear difficult to make the time for community engagement when council is stretched and under pressure. It is also a time where criticism might be directed toward council and there might be a temptation to avoid this by not holding community meetings and other community engagement activities.

At this time however, the community will generally have a very strong need for information, wanting to be heard, and be looking for leadership, accountability and stability from council. Community meetings provide an immediate opportunity for a local presence by council and a chance to demonstrate council’s interest and commitment. It demonstrates that council is there for the community and is willing to do what needs to be done. Conducting community meetings is an important statement to the community and done well, will contribute to the community’s recovery.

**SUCH MEETINGS REQUIRE SKILLED AND SENSITIVE FACILITATION THAT ALLOWS ‘PEOPLE TO BE AND FEEL HEARD’ – TO SHARE EXPERIENCES, IDENTIFY NEEDS AND ADD TO THE INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR RECOVERY PLANNING AND DECISION MAKING. ANY SENSE OF TOKENISM OR SIMPLY DEFENDING COUNCIL DECISIONS OR ACTIONS IS LIKELY TO BE INFLAMMATORY AND BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE RECOVERY PROCESS.**
The content of community meetings might change over time. In early meetings, the focus will include – gaining understanding of the extent and nature of the damage, loss and impact of the event, and providing information on the event and services and support available. This means everyone getting a common picture of what has happened and using individual perspectives and experiences to help colour this in.

At the same time, initial community meetings will assist people to reconnect and check on what has happened to others in the district/city. Such meetings will provide the community with basic information on needs, such as where to stay and how to access immediately available government financial assistance. Details will need to be kept basic as many people will often be in an agitated state of mind and not capable or interested in complex discussions.

Within weeks, people are likely to have returned to their homes or the district/city and be dealing with the challenges of re-establishment. Community meetings will now start to include more complex information – identifying needs and issues and working on immediate solutions. Such meetings will be able to report on progress to restore functioning and can benefit from having a panel of specialists who will be able to advise directly on practical things like repairs, banking, power, insurance and water supply, telephone services, road closures and detours and so on. In addition, participants in community meetings might be able to share their local knowledge with council to help fill in information gaps and assist in solving problems.

At times participants in community meetings might become angry and look for someone to blame. It is important to allow anger to be vented in a controlled way so that people feel heard and that ‘the system’ and council appreciate their concerns. Generally, being defensive and responding to issues detailed by participants in this state of mind is unhelpful and does not aid the recovery process. Once people have vented their anger and emotion, they are more able to think rationally and start to look forward.

Waimakariri Case Study – Engaging the community in recovery

The impacted communities were divided into geographical areas, and we hosted several community conversation café style meetings to involve the community in recovery discussions and answer their questions. Several hundred people attended these meetings over 3 evenings.

Knowledgeable recovery personnel led discussions around specific questions to identify priorities in different aspects of the recovery and to have residents’ individual recovery questions answered. If questions couldn’t be answered on the night they were taken away and answers were found and published. The regular Question and Answer booklet began from these meetings.

Feedback from the community was very positive about the opportunity to have their concerns aired and answered and to be involved in recovery conversation.

Waimakariri Case Study – De-jargoning engineering speak

An example of the value of frank and honest engagement and communication was shown at a meeting about broken sewer lines. The residents arrived angry and fired up about lack of action but a 3 Water Engineer Gary Boot was able to share information about the situation and why it would be a long road to repair, along with what the plans going forward were. Honest, transparent communication earned him a standing ovation.

In all situations we listened carefully, we were never afraid of anger, and the reasons for it were always acknowledged. We always tried to help people understand the situation and let them know what was being done.

Working closely with other aspects of recovery, like the Engineers, is an important aspect of social recovery, as their actions can positively or negatively influence individual and community wellbeing.
Key things to consider:

☐ When advocating an issue ensure it reflects the views of the majority – not a vocal few. Use formal and informal avenues to keep people with influence in the loop, for example brief members of parliament.

☐ Review council community engagement policies and practice to assess how vulnerable or isolated groups might be contacted and involved in the wake of a disaster.

☐ Be open and honest with the community about what is possible, what is not possible and what is being done. Pay attention to the needs of the whole community when planning for recovery.

☐ Acknowledge the voices of noisy minorities – but ensure this is not at the expense of or a diversion from the needs of the whole community and less vocal minorities. Thoughtful community leaders will provide the best advice and information.

☐ Identify who in the community is at risk of missing out on the community engagement process and consider how they can be successfully engaged. Use existing networks to build engagement pathways and design methods suited to the characteristics of each group.

☐ Make sure misinformation or lack of information on divergent views is countered with facts and expert opinion and provided to all participants.

☐ Find ways to keep in contact with people not living in the district/city – either residents who have moved out of the district/city (temporarily or permanently) or those with holiday homes in the impacted area. Options for maintaining contact could include:
  - collecting contact details, email addresses/phone numbers and setting up a database to maintain both routine and targeted communication with different groups of people
  - ensuring that invitations to community events and engagement activities are also sent to people out of the district/city, and that records of events are freely available for those unable to attend.

☐ Be alert to events likely to set back recovery and reschedule or amend community engagement activities as necessary.

☐ Review council community engagement methods to assess the range of methods used and their likely effectiveness in the wake of a disaster. Use pre-disaster opportunities to practice less familiar methods.

☐ Build the capacity of frontline staff to contribute to community engagement, for example, raising awareness of the likely state-of-mind and communication needs of people impacted by the disaster and dealing with agitated people.

☐ Partner with other agencies to ensure consistency of information and minimise the number of meetings that the community need to attend by working collaboratively.

☐ Think about using information booths for specific services or information. Booths provide confidentiality for people and can provide a private space to address sensitive issues rather than dealing with them in a public meeting.
Communication and community engagement

Key things to consider (continued):

☐ Conduct public meetings and consult with impacted communities immediately (even if they are only seeking information) and throughout the recovery process.

☐ Such meetings should be attended by senior executives of council who have the responsibility, access to resources and decision making roles. They can be supported by content experts who are able to clarify detail, such as a land planner, and support service staff who can put some of what people are seeing and feeling into perspective.

☐ Consider inviting other recovery or infrastructure agencies. This can reduce the frequency of meetings the community is invited to and increase the likelihood of coordinated messages and community feedback.

☐ Ensure a skilled facilitator is able to manage the communication process for community meetings.

☐ Build in meals or unstructured chat time at community engagement events.

☐ Invite social recovery support services to attend community meetings and engagement activities. Discuss with them beforehand how best to connect with people. This might be a booth with brochures or staff in attendance, or it might be less formal with staff interacting with community members over a cup of tea after the meeting or during the engagement activity.

☐ Make sure invitations to the community are strictly focused on the community engagement objectives. Some might not get involved if they think they will be ‘harassed’ by support services – after all ‘they are fine’.

☐ There is a strong overlap here with the role of the mayor and councillors in communication with the community.

☐ Triangulate multiple data sources.
Social recovery programme time frames can range from a few weeks to many years. It will be different for each type of disaster event, and each community. We found that recovery happened in stages – from very early recovery where we were planning and developing our recovery programme to longer term recovery where we had flexible and agreed plans. Things were constantly changing and we had to review what we were doing and make changes when necessary.

With that in mind you will need to consider that your social recovery programme and services are flexible and nimble. You will need to revisit each of the recovery programmes and services often. Please note that the following recovery programmes and services are based on the CDEM welfare framework however additional services were added to meet our community’s needs. You should do the same to meet your community’s unique social recovery needs.

CDEM are responsible for establishing this sub-function under the CDEM National framework. Local Authorities should call on CDEM national and regional colleagues for support, guidance and if necessary resources to establish the needs assessment sub-function. In addition Social Recovery Managers should work closely with other welfare sub-function lead agencies, as well as community based agencies to ensure that there is coordination and an agreed system for gathering and analysing information, as well as a triage function – so that the right service is delivered by the right agency at the right time in an integrated and flexible way. Thinking and planning about this work in readiness is recommended as this is a complex area to navigate but is critical to successful social recovery.

Needs assessment is the process of understanding the needs of people impacted by the emergency. It provides the basis for social recovery service delivery. It can take the form of a door knock by an organisation such as the Salvation Army or Red Cross, or be done when people present at the RAC. It can also be done by other organisations through their business as usual functions and referred back to the recovery team.

COLLECTING INFORMATION IS THE EASY PART OF THE PROCESS. UNDERSTANDING WHAT ACTIONS ARE NEEDED AND IMPLEMENTING SOLUTIONS IS MORE DIFFICULT. COLLECTING DATA AND MEASURING RESULTS IS ESSENTIAL FOR APPROPRIATE TARGETING OF EFFORT, KEEPING RECOVERY SERVICES AND PROGRAMMES RELEVANT AND ACCOUNTABLE.

It is important to have local staff with the right skills leading the triage/case management function so that needs can be interpreted accurately. These will most likely be people with a social work/community work background, who understand individual complexities and know what services people are likely to need as well as having a good understanding of existing local services and organisations. Examples of Needs Assessment forms used in the Waimakariri are available in Appendix 4.

It is vitally important that there is co-ordination between services so that the impacted people don’t have to retell their story several times to get the service they need. While lead and support agencies have designated roles, and will offer specialist recovery services which will be invaluable to the impacted community members, some people will be best served by ‘business as usual’ services in the community or other agencies in the community offering recovery services e.g. by a church. This is where the triage/case management function works well.

Sharing of information is challenging in this environment. Government and other agencies will be limited by Privacy law about the information they can share. Every effort should be made to gain an understanding pre-event of how this will be managed.

The nature of needs assessment requirements changes over time and down the track might include questions on regeneration and community development. Ongoing review is essential.
Needs assessment

Key things to consider:

☐ Local Authorities are responsible for co-ordinating the needs assessment function at the local level, National level (MCDEM) and Group level CDEM can assist with support and guidance

☐ Plan for Social Recovery needs assessment during readiness including thinking about:-
  - What questions are important to ask?
  - How will you store the information? Who will enter the data?
  - What information you will need to help you plan for your recovery efforts
  - What information you will need to help you access and deliver recovery services and programmes

☐ Establish a customer relationship management (CRM) system to collect and manage information

☐ Establish a Case Management/triage team that understand individual complexities and how to access appropriate supports/services

☐ Work with pre-existing social/community service providers to co-ordinate needs assessment and provide a wrap-around-service

☐ Review social recovery services and programmes based on ongoing data and information

☐ Ensure needs assessment is done with empathy and is people-focused.

☐ Encourage community-led assessments, and use all available community networks. Ensure central co-ordination and triage so the right service is delivered at the right time.

☐ Ensure information management processes and applications are integrated throughout the assessment process and that:-
  a. Each assessment builds on existing data
  b. Only the data required for decision-making is collected
  c. Quality of information is assured

☐ Agencies must liaise closely with other sub-function agencies to ensure that where multiple needs are identified, the individual and/or family receives a wrap-around service to meet those needs.

☐ Ensure the privacy of personal information is safeguarded in accordance with privacy legislation.
9.1 Establishing a Recovery Assistance Centre (RAC)

When a disaster has widespread, long-term impacts, and the Civil Defence Centre has been given a closure date, it may be necessary to open a Recovery Assistance Centre to provide up to date information and on-going assistance.

Continuing to provide access to recovery services and supports in a one-stop shop minimises the stress for residents of dealing with a range of agencies.

Local Government (TLA) are responsible for establishing and running the RAC. Many Government agencies will also want to be in attendance to assist and provide advice to impacted people.

A central location for the RAC is important, as is widespread advertising of its existence. If a Pastoral Care Team is put in place, it will be a valuable way of spreading the word about the RAC. Otherwise it can be advertised on all recovery communication including newspapers noticeboards, newsletters, websites, and via letter box drops.

The range of services to be offered in the RAC will be determined by the nature of the disaster, but the Waimakariri case study gives an example of the main areas to consider.

Both the need for a RAC, and the specific agencies and services required, is best determined by a meeting of those groups and agencies who have worked in the Civil Defence Centre, along with the team leading the social recovery.

The agencies required will be on a flexible roster, since needs will change with time.

Initially services dealing with the provision of temporary accommodation and tenancy issues might be important, then, for example more focus might be required on housing problems (requiring council building inspectors) or financial issues.

Also, an Advocacy desk may be necessary, as there will be people who find themselves in situations where they are not qualified to help themselves. A person who has had experience in community work and is familiar with a wide range of agencies will be best suited to the role.

Having arrangements in place pre-disaster would be helpful.

Having a Managerial Team responsible for the day to day running of the RAC, and regular meetings between personnel working in it, are key contributors to a harmonious and effective centre.

With council services, NGOs and community-based social services, government departments (e.g. the IRD and HNZ) the benefits for residents of having a local one-stop shop quickly became apparent.

**Waimakariri Case Study - Recovery Assistance Centre (RAC)**

The state of emergency was lifted by the Waimakariri District Council eleven days after the earthquake event. With this the Civil Defence Centre was closed and a RAC opened four days later in the main hall at the Kaiapoi Community Centre, located nearby.

The RAC was established because it was clearly apparent to those involved with the Civil Defence Centre that further support services were going to be needed. The transition from welfare to recovery was seamless as many of those involved in running the Civil Defence Centre transferred to the RAC.

Reception at the RAC was provided by Library and Council staff who were unable to work their business as usual roles due to damaged buildings. Everyone entering the RAC was asked to fill out a registration form (see Appendix 5) and guided to the correct desk if it was a straight-forward appointment. The forms were kept in files of chronological order, as well as being entered each day on a CRM database by council staff.

The vast majority of those who came into the RAC, were also interviewed at the Triage Desk. It was instrumental in creating a systematic approach to managing support. This desk was run by a team from a local Trust who were skilled in working with people with complex issues. They helped tease out the kind of support that was needed by individuals. People also filled out a straight forward Needs Assessment Form at this point which was added to the CRM database.

When it opened, the RAC had representatives from key agencies:
- Work and Income (processing grants)
- Inland Revenue Department
- Housing New Zealand (coordinating accommodation)
- Council building inspectors
- representatives of the major trading banks
- representatives of Nga Tuharuriri and Maori organisations such as Te Puni Kokiri.

Importantly, the RAC also had an Advocacy desk, to help people encountering difficulties of any kind. The Advocacy role soon morphed into a team as it became clear that demand for advice and guidance would be high. This Advocacy team formed the basis of the later established Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service (WESS).

**Dealing with the issues**

Requests for accommodation were among the most frequent calls for help received by those working at the RAC, and as many offers of accommodation came in they needed to be scrutinised. Eventually, representatives of the Canterbury Earthquake Temporary Accommodation Service (CETAS), which had been set up to manage the demand for temporary accommodation regionally, had a presence at the RAC, but a lot of the placements for people were found by the Advocacy service, to whom locals brought their offers of accommodation.

However, arguments over tenancies was the major issue presented at the Advocacy desk during the early weeks. At the heart of the problems was that regulations covering tenancies did not provide for emergency situations. This meant that if the situations were to be sorted out amicably all parties needed to demonstrate good will, which was not always the case. Landlords still had ongoing mortgages and wanted tenants to stay on as per their tenancy agreement, while tenants often believed the house was too damaged for occupancy. “Being frightened” was not enough reason to terminate a tenancy under the law.

Representatives from the Tenancy Tribunal and the Tenants Advocacy Service were willing to make appointments to meet with individuals at the RAC. This was a positive because it allowed Advocacy staff to be present as support for the individual, as well as removing the need for travel into Christchurch city which had sustained significant damage.

**Dealing with the stress**

Red Cross Counsellors from Australia and other parts of New Zealand were also present from early on to work with those tearful and traumatised, and they reported to the local Psychosocial co-ordinator in the RAC.
This co-ordinator was a local who ran a private counselling practice in the District. This role was put in place by the council to ensure co-ordination and consistency of messaging in-line with official advice from, the then psychosocial lead, MSD. They were responsible for triaging people in the early days of the event, but moved into an over-sight role when large numbers of counsellors became available and needed co-ordinating and managing.

Private spaces were set up within the RAC to allow for counselling conversations to take place as people presented.

Another important initiative in the RAC was the provision of an informal Drop-in space where people could just come and talk to others over a cup of tea. The space was run by volunteers from the local Red Cross who provided morning and afternoon tea, and sometimes by volunteers from local churches. Eventually this service was devolved to the local churches to run in their own rooms on a rotating basis.

The RAC remained in operation until just prior to Christmas in 2010 (3 months after opening) when the Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service was set up in the same building and agencies continued to provide support and information to residents either through new services developed or through refined business as usual.

9.2 Management team for the Recovery Assistance Centre.

The regular weekly staff meeting was an important aspect of the team building and excellent working atmosphere which evolved. Open and frank discussion was encouraged, and information, ideas and feedback were shared and reviewed. It was a vital way of establishing whether any new services were required, or if some were obsolete.

The Management team also met regularly with the overall Recovery Committee which consisted of leads for built, economic, environment, cultural recovery, as well as with a local Social Recovery issues and solutions Group.

The Social Recovery issues and solutions group was made up of representatives from local Police, Schools, Medical Centres, WINZ, community based agencies and Council and had been formed so that community trends and needs could be identified and used to modify services in the RAC. The meeting was chaired by the Social Recovery Manager.

The Management Team planned the closure of the RAC in close consultation with the community and key partners and ensured that adequate services, programmes and supports were in place for the next phase of recovery.

The RAC expanded and became the Earthquake Hub, on the same site, minimising disruption and confusion for the community.
**Recovery assistance centre**

**Key things to consider:**

- Local Authorities are responsible for establishing Recovery Assistance Centres
- The Civil Defence Centre (CDC) Manager and the Social Recovery Manager and other key agencies/personnel should meet to discuss the need for a Recovery Assistance Centre while the CDC is still operating so adequate planning can take place.
- Put in place good management and governance teams to provide oversight and support for the RAC
- Make sure the RAC is accessible for all and available at various times, for people who may be working - a late night per week for example would be an advantage
- Make sure it’s in, or close to the impacted community, is accessible and welcoming
- Think about what people need and get the right agencies/services based there. You may need to think about services that don’t currently exist e.g. tenant advice and support
- Establish a good information management system (CRM system or database)
- Establish a skilled and experienced triage team to help people work out what services they need, considering both official mandated roles and adapted community services
- Consider establishing a support co-ordination/navigation service, to assist those who need one on one support
- Review changing community recovery needs via a Social Recovery group meeting with representatives of lead and support agencies and others such as education, health and NGO’s working locally, so that the RAC services are timely and meeting the communities changing needs
- Provide private spaces in the RAC for counselling services so that people can be seen immediately if necessary
- Provide a drop in space in the RAC for informal ‘coffee and chat.’ This may eventually devolve to a marae, or church group or such like to host on an ongoing basis
10.1 Understanding Psychosocial Support

The primary objectives of psychosocial recovery are to minimise the physical, psychological and social consequences of an emergency and to enhance the wellbeing of individuals, whanau and communities. Recovery can last for an indeterminate period, from weeks to even decades.

Post disaster studies have shown that most people involved in a disaster or its aftermath will benefit from some sort of psychosocial support. For most, the stress will be eased by care and support from their families, friends and community. Some will need more formal support through counselling services, and only a small number usually need specialised mental health services as shown in figure 11 below.

Providing effective psychosocial support requires:
- Collaborative partnerships based on clear roles and responsibilities and effective leadership
- Careful planning
- Good training and support for personnel at all levels and all agencies
- Engaged and informed communities
- Effective communication
- Regular monitoring and evaluation

The diagram highlights that psychosocial support is broadly divided into two categories
1. Mental health treatments
2. Psychosocial treatments

The former is focused on specialised services and targeted focused non-specialised support (e.g. psychosocial, social work or counselling). The latter is focussed on community supports and basic services and supports.

The Ministry of Health (MOH) and District Health Boards (DHB) are responsible for coordinating the provision of psychosocial supports after an event. It should be noted that they are not the sole provider, but their role is to coordinate activity and advise on the type and nature of services needed for ongoing psychosocial support.

Local Government will have a lead role in working with the MOH/DHB and community providers to ensure appropriate psychosocial treatments are resourced and in place.

In 2016 the Ministry of Health published a ‘Framework for Psychosocial support in Emergencies’ which captures current thinking for psychosocial support in emergencies and provides the basis for planning psychosocial support in Aotearoa / New Zealand. Find that document at www.health.govt.nz.

All of the mental health treatments following a disaster are delivered by trained mental health professionals. However most of the psychosocial treatments are delivered by providers, agencies, organisation or individuals who are community based and know their community well.

It is vitally important that the Local Welfare/Social Recovery Committee is involved in developing the psychosocial plan so that community and family support and basic services and security can be woven in alongside more specialised mental health treatments.

**Investment and effort is required to improve resilience, help communities reconnect and provide and target support services to avoid long term health issues.**

_Cera “Community in Mind”_

There will be many offers of assistance, from a wide range of agencies and organisations that will carry over from the response phase to the recovery.

It is vital that all services are linked into official efforts and that if agencies or individuals are working one-on-one with people within the impacted community that their practice is safe and professional.

It is important to acknowledge that there will already be existing organisations offering counselling and support services in the community, and many more such as the Salvation Army or the Red Cross, who will offer psychosocial first aid and support services after the event. In addition the CDEM Director’s Guidelines lists additional agencies that may be required, or be available, to provide support in the psychosocial area. (See Appendix 5).

It may be necessary to have an appropriately trained person at the local level, to work closely with the lead psychosocial agency in a big recovery. This local coordinator can be from a local social service agency or, as in the Waimakariri case, it can be a local counselling practitioner. This person will be the link with the lead psychosocial agency, so that relevant and timely information is being shared and more importantly that people on the ground are being briefed on providing the right psychosocial support, messaging and advice. In addition they can be responsible for vetting all offers from individuals offering counselling and social work to ensure they are appropriately qualified. They may also manage a roster of counselling services in the RAC to avoid double ups and brief door-knocking teams so appropriate psychosocial messaging is being given.

The more specialised mental health services will be organised by the DHB and Public Health Organisations and are usually accessed through local Medical Centres.

**THE SOCIAL RECOVERY MANAGER WILL HAVE VALUABLE LOCAL CONTACTS AND KNOWLEDGE AND CONTEXT ABOUT THE TYPES OF ‘SOCIAL INTERVENTION’S THAT WILL HELP THE COMMUNITY RECOVER. THIS PART OF PSYCHOSOCIAL RECOVERY IS OFTEN MISUNDERSTOOD AND UNDERVALUED. BY ENABLING LOCAL, PROFESSIONAL TRUSTED SUPPORT NETWORKS SERVICES SUCH AS MARAE, CHURCH AND LOCAL LEVEL NGO’S, THE COMMUNITY WILL BE ABLE TO ACCESS FAMILIAR AND TRUSTED SERVICES THAT OFTEN WILL BE ENOUGH TO HELP THEM THROUGH.**

Up to date and timely information, widely distributed, will be important, as some agencies will work outside of the official recovery programme of work.

The Social Recovery Managers role of providing overall leadership and co-ordination is important so that psychosocial services and programmes are linked and aligned to other social recovery services and programmes.
Waimakariri Case Study: Local initiatives to support Psychosocial recovery

- Worked closely with the Psychosocial lead agency to ensure consistency of information and to advocate for relevant, timely services for Waimakariri

- Worked closely with local, regional and national service providers through Social Service Waimakariri to plan a coordinated approach at the local level

- Housed the WESS support team in the Recovery Hub close to all other recovery services.

- Maintained regular visits in impacted areas by the Pastoral Care Team

- Continued identifying and responding to community needs through regular meetings with all local providers in the psychosocial space. (The Social Recovery Issues and Solutions group met regularly.)

- Widely advertised counselling services available, including the free Brief Intervention services available through GP's. See Appendix 5 for an example of a brochure created to distribute psychosocial information in the community.

- Liaised with key social service providers who had sourced additional funding to expand their services, particularly in counselling. An important initiative was the provision of counsellors in schools for children.

- Worked with the local Social Services providers to channel funding for initiatives such as the Events Coordinator.

- Employed an Events Coordinator to provide opportunities for the community to get together regularly.

- Ensured that information about all aspects of the recovery was given openly and regularly to residents, even when it was bad news. Public meetings, newsletters, website updates, and leaflets were regularly provided.

- Worked with, and actively promoted, the “Alright?” campaign, developed by the Canterbury District Health Board, to educate and inform about getting through the stresses brought on by the earthquakes.

- Advertised the availability of the 0800 Earthquake help line with its link to, “Right Service, Right Time.”

- Facilitated distribution of Red Cross Grants, Care Packs and other donated ‘goodies’ in the community.
There were lots of wonderful offers of support pouring in during the first few weeks, it felt like Christmas. Some offers were quite major, such as Air NZ offering flights to any town in New Zealand. It was difficult to decide who was most in need of these, and decisions had to be made on community recommendation.

We often found it was the most practical things that eased the stress for people, things like the free laundry and donations of firewood. There was a group who were collecting and storing plants for people and that was a real saviour for those whose homes were ruined.

In the early days the provision of porta potties by council was another life-saver, particularly for the elderly who found it a struggle to go out to the outdoor Portaloos at night. And a retired builder from the community made stands for these potties, which meant they were a better height and more manageable.

One of the most generous offers came from Melbourne, where a group offered a week-long retreat with pampering and counselling for ten women who had been badly impacted by the earthquakes. We had a hard time deciding who would benefit most from that, but decided as a team on the recipients.

Waimakariri Earthquake Support Team Leader
Waimakariri Case Study: Engaging with men

Engaging with men in the psychosocial space after a disaster can be a challenge. Events which target “male” activities such as a fishing day out, create the opportunity for meaningful dialogue about dealing with stress. Especially if a trained counsellor is present to facilitate conversations in the informal setting.

In the Waimakariri, a male counsellor was proactive in creating opportunities for men to talk about the pressures they were feeling, and the ways they could manage their stress. He offered weekly courses for small groups over six weeks, both daytime and evening. He was also prepared to ‘drop in’ on someone who it was felt by WESS might benefit from attending the course, but who might need some encouragement to do so. His good humoured, down to earth approach usually dispelled any reservations.

The courses were initially free to participants, and later expanded to offer courses for women. Local council supported the initiative by providing rooms in the RAC, and later, the Hub, for the courses to run.
Key things to consider:

- The Social Recovery Manager should work closely with the psychosocial lead agency to ensure recovery services are planned and coordinated and aligned to the wider social recovery services and programme.

- Encourage the psychosocial lead to develop a plan for local psychosocial services with the local welfare/social recovery committee to ensure relevance to the local context.

- Work with the local social service and support agencies to plan and develop appropriate local services that will provide community and family support and basic services and support.

- The local Social Recovery Manager should advocate for relevant and timely psychosocial support and services including additional resources if required.
10.2 One on One support

After a disaster, there will be people who require one on one support to navigate their way through a personal recovery. There is new language, processes and systems to deal with and peoples lives have been turned upside down. In addition vulnerable groups such as the elderly, single parents, or people with high health needs-mental or physical, often benefit from one on one support. Having someone knowledgeable walking beside them, clarifying matters and supporting them in their decision making is hugely beneficial.

The Victorian Bushfire Case Management Service (VBCMS) 6 was established to help people get the supports and services they needed during the recovery process. The VBCMS was a central plank in the psychosocial recovery process – identifying people who needed supports; helping them gain access to necessary services; gauging the extent of support provided; and monitoring how well the supports have been provided.

Establishing a team to work with households in this way is a vital part of social recovery to ensure no one is struggling alone, and planning for it should begin in the earliest stage of the recovery. The Psychosocial lead should take leadership in creating and funding this service in partnership with the local Social Recovery team.

It would be useful to consider how such a service will be organised in pre disaster planning, including identifying how it may be delivered at the local level. This will greatly facilitate the timely set-up of an appropriate support team.

The Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service (WESS) team was in place when the RAC closed after three months, and continued working in the same buildings providing a seamless service for the community.

WESS employed locals to provide the one on one support, but support coordinators can be drawn from a variety of sources. These sources can include seconded Government employees or not for profit sector personnel. (Or the team can be made up of a combination of sources.) There are advantages if the team is local and representative of its community’s diversity. It can give a more ‘personal’ feel to the service, and the added bonus of locals helping locals.

If the coordinators are seconded from government or non-government sources, they will have their regular salaries, but funding for a community-drawn team should be obtained through the psychosocial lead.

WESS was part of the Greater Christchurch Support Coordinator model under CETAS, but was locally managed and became a cornerstone of the Waimakariri Social Recovery framework. As well as having their own weekly meeting, WESS Coordinators attended regular CETAS meetings and training sessions with Christchurch based coordinators. Records were held in common on a CETAS database, and coordinators operated according to CETAS prescribed protocols.

The appointment of a Team Leader early on to manage the team’s well-being, is important. Duties will include:

- organizing professional monthly supervision for the team
- allocating and reviewing workloads
- ensuring record keeping and data management is up to date
- managing information flow and weekly meetings to keep coordinators up to date
- liaising with all other agencies and services in the recovery, including meeting with the Social Recovery Manager regularly
- Managing team morale and conflict resolution

Waimakariri Case Study

Advocacy to Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service (WESS).

When the RAC was set up at the closure of the Civil Defence Centre, a leader from the community with an extensive knowledge of local organisations, formerly the coordinator of a local Support Service, was appointed to an advocacy desk to assist people with the problems they were encountering that didn’t fit within designated CDEM functions. This Advocacy role quickly grew as people presented with a wide range of issues, and it became apparent that a team of people would be needed.

In addition, a person had been funded with specific responsibility for the elderly. This person, who was the manager of the local elderly day-care centre, had extensive knowledge of who the local elderly were, and what services were available in the area. She eventually became the leader of elderly response within the WESS team when it was formed.

Both these initial roles were funded from the preliminary MSD Earthquake Relief fund, managed by the Oxford Community Trust, so that wages were quickly in place. MSD eventually provided funding and oversight of (WESS) through Canterbury Earthquake Temporary Accommodation Service (CETAS), when it was created. (MSD were the psychosocial lead at this time).

Both the leaders had experience in building and managing teams, and were asked, now the funding was in place, to bring together a team of support co-ordinators from the community to work with the large numbers presenting for assistance. Essentially the team had two strands, care of the elderly and support for general households.

Some team members were recruited from those already working in the RAC, some had been volunteering in the Civil Defence Centre and been recognised as having the necessary skills to assist others. Some members were recommended by the RAC Managers. Many were nominated by the leaders themselves who knew the individuals from their work in the community. Personal qualities were seen to be more important than qualifications.

Support Coordinators operate in a high trust environment and it is important that they are honest, practical, well organised individuals capable of managing up to 40 cases at a time. Their hours of work need to be flexible depending on when meetings are held or householders are available. Often this includes weekends and evenings.

Applicants were asked to fill out an Application Form, and an interview was held by the Team Leaders. This was to ensure that people knew the nature and intensity of the role they were undertaking, and to ensure they were ready to commit. Police checks were requested on all successful applicants.

Because reasonable wages and hours were able to be offered, applicants could take up the positions without financial hardship. One coordinator reduced her regular job, nursing, to part time so that she could pick up a role. Other members had been, as an example, builders, teachers, social workers or engineers. Some were retirees.

This diversity, and its reflection of the community, was always seen as a team strength. The allocation of a coordinator to a household could be made with consideration to recovery need or household values. The reality is that some people don’t like to feel they are being helped by a social service, but are happy to receive advice or assistance from someone local or whom they perceive as a “regular person.” For example, if it was a complex building problem, the builder coordinator could be allocated or the ‘posh’ (!) coordinator assigned to an upmarket house.

As well as having locally appointed support coordinators, coordinators from Te Mata Waka and He Waka Tapu, (working with Maori whanau in particular) and MSD, worked within the WESS team.

CETAS provided training and team building workshops, managed the database, provided reports and oversight. See examples of documents used by the WESS service in Appendix 6.

Funding

The MSD earthquake fund was first accessed for Advocacy services by the Oxford Community Trust who were managing the Triage Desk in the RAC.

Once the WESS support team was established, the Waimakariri District Council managed the payroll until a local Kaiapoi community organisation was upskilled to take over the finances.
This organisation the Darnley Club, a local Day Care for the Elderly, was a small, long-standing not-for-profit group with a governing board of local stalwarts. The desire was to provide another avenue for local input into the recovery, as well as to establish WESS within a flexible and minimally bureaucratic structure. The treasurer of this organisation was well-qualified to manage the pay roll and expenses of the service, and was remunerated for her hours from the WESS funding.

Day To Day Operating
The WESS team had a local office, an administrator, and enough hot desks with computers (kept in good operating order by the Waimakariri District Council) for many of the team to be present in the facility at any one time to manage their entries in the database.

The central location in the town in what had been the RAC and later became the site of the Earthquake Hub, meant that people could easily drop in. The provision of this Hub space by the Council, which brought together most of the recovery players, also meant that good working relationships and ready contact were fostered between recovery agencies and WESS. Trust and respect were developed through formal and informal interactions in the Hub. This often facilitated quicker resolution of household issues with EQC, Fletchers and Insurance companies.

Each coordinator worked with around 30-40 households at a time, ensuring that everything was progressing as well as possible in the recovery process. Most people were visited in their own home on a regular basis, although appointments could also be made to meet in the office.

As the process went on, Coordinators actually took on up to fifty households as some reached a ‘dormant’ stage of the process – waiting for plans, or an insurance settlement, for example.

The Coordinator’s most valuable role often was attending meetings with the householder as another set of eyes and ears. Because people were often stressed, understanding important information could be compromised. Coordinators could also clarify the stages in the rebuild process so that people could be reassured things were proceeding.

Developing a knowledge of agencies available to support particular needs also became important, so that coordinators could direct people who suffered from stress or financial difficulties to the most appropriate agency.
The team meetings held weekly often included a speaker from a variety of these agencies to inform about the services they could provide. The team meetings were also an important way of sharing information, asking questions, and keeping up to date with changes. Major players in the recovery (such as Cera and EQC) were often invited, and the WDC Social Recovery Manager regularly attended and reported on developments. These meetings were also an important social interaction time for coordinators, and contributed significantly to the development of a good team spirit.

The Waimakariri was fortunate in that funding was provided for a second team leader to manage the high number of elderly residents in the impacted area. This meant that there was, in effect, a dual leadership of the whole team. The advantage of this was that any problems within the service could be shared, and also, if one Team Leader was on annual leave the other carried on. Thus when one returned to work there was never a backlog of issues to be dealt with. This was a significant factor in maintaining the Team Leaders’ health and well-being in a long haul of managing in a rapidly changing, often stressful environment. Team Leaders also carried a caseload of households, but in fewer numbers.

The service was widely advertised at local meetings, in Council fliers, on noticeboards, newspapers, recovery websites, and by the Pastoral Care Teams regular door knocks.

**Downsizing the Support Service**

At its height WESS had 17 coordinators working in the service. Two of these positions were funded by other organisations (MSD and Nga Mata Waka) but were housed as part of the team in Kaiapoi. The full team operated for almost three years.

But as numbers needing assistance in Waimakariri declined, it was necessary to downsize the team. Determination of how many positions needed to go at a given time was driven by MSD funding constraints, as the money allocated to WESS was reviewed every six months. Notice of how many positions would be funded from a future point, based on the database of coordinator workload, was signalled some months in advance. The seconded coordinator from MSD returned to BAU, and then voluntary resignations were accepted. Eventually a Last On-First Off policy was applied and team numbers declined steadily over an 18 month period. The team eventually operated with two full time equivalents for two years, after which funding ceased completely.
Key things to consider:

- Having good working relations and established trust with the organisation identified under CDEM to lead psychosocial recovery will be important, as they will facilitate the set up and funding of the team. It is best if the team is established within an existing NGO or the Council in the affected communities.

- Post disaster, planning should begin early for how a one on one support team will be provided and funded. Team members may be seconded government or NGO workers, or may be recruited from the local community.

- The employment of local people in the support roles where possible has beneficial effects because the ‘buy-in’ with the community is greater. And it is an advantage if the team is representative of the community, having diverse backgrounds and ethnicity.

- Early consideration about the management of data is important – is there an existing database within the lead agency or Council that can be used, or will one need to be created?

- The Support Service will be a vital part of the Social Recovery, and it is beneficial to have it closely linked to other recovery efforts.

- Privacy Legislation principles around the gathering and sharing of data will need to be observed by the service.

- Depending on the location of the disaster, having specialised people in the support team to deal with particular vulnerable groups, e.g. elderly or young, is an advantage.

- The team could exist for up to five years, and a strategy for down-sizing it as demand declines will need to be put in place.
In an emergency situation most people are comfortable in accepting support from agencies, or in accessing support that is on offer. But there is also a significant number who do not take such steps. Sometimes it is because they believe that others “are much worse off than me” or it can be just that they are not comfortable accepting assistance, having always been self-sufficient, independent people. Yet another group may be over-whelmed by the situation they find itself in, and wish only for it “to go away,” without taking any proactive steps themselves. The Pastoral Care team is vital in identifying such households, and linking them to the one on one support service.

Team Leader, Waimakariri Support Service
Waimakariri Case Study - Door-Knocking and Pastoral Care

Door knocking was a vital initiative from the early days of the RAC. Large numbers of people, many from faith-based communities in the District but outside the main impacted area, offered to visit households.

The effectiveness of door-knocking as a means of identifying the needs of the community was recognised by the Social Recovery Manager who embraced the offers and initiated the process for regular outreach visits.

Dates and areas were identified, a call for volunteers sent to churches and other organisations throughout the District, and people would gather at the RAC meeting room at a specified time. Council supplied maps, explained the expectation of conditions, and, after a safety briefing from the RAC Advocacy Team leader, people were sent off in pairs to knock on doors in designated streets. Having clear maps and an organised approach was vital to the success of the exercise.

Council provided high-vis vests and lanyards with identification tags for the pastoral care team.

Information collected from the door-knock was summarised on a specially developed database by a council worker employed for the purpose. They kept records of the streets visited and the timeline of return visits, and provided regular and ongoing reports.

Any serious psychosocial concern was passed to the Advocacy (later WESS) team for follow up. In the early days the feedback from the door-knocks identified infrastructure problems as well, allowing council teams to respond quickly to any individual serious sewerage or flooding issues.

Because it was seen as an effective tool to assist in psychosocial recovery and would be a long term strategy, a decision was eventually made early on to appoint a part-time Pastoral Care Coordinator to manage the on-going teams of outreach volunteers.

A local church was supported to apply to The Government Earthquake Recovery Fund for Coordinator wages. An application process with interviews was held. The appointee was an existing member of the outreach team who took over liaison with the Council for maps, briefing and debriefing the volunteers, and handing on any requests for follow up to the WESS team. The WESS team leader met with the Coordinator after every outreach visit to follow up on households who needed additional assistance.

In addition, The Pastoral Team also became an important source of dispersing information about up-coming meetings, events, or new supports. In this way they became an invaluable tool for communicating with residents.

Several other groups from outside the immediate area occasionally door knocked within the Waimakariri area (such as Salvation Army or Red Cross).

Relationships were managed with these groups amicably over a cup of tea so that the structured approach being taken to outreach in the Waimakariri could be explained.

Without exception this was accepted by the groups, who were then happy to report any planned activity to the Social Recovery team at the Hub and ensure they weren't doubling up on visiting areas before they went out.

Also there was agreement to bring back to the WESS the name of any household they were planning to assist, to check whether support was already being received. In the finish, the Salvation Army offered valuable outreach and assistance through their coffee van which they parked on street corners or in supermarket carparks.

For the three and a half years it was operating the team continued to locate people requiring assistance or support. As late as March 2014 (four years after the event) it was reported to the Kaiapoi Community Board that the Pastoral Care Team were still knocking on approximately 50 doors each week.

Pyschosocial support - Pastoral care
Key things to consider:

- A database or spreadsheet to manage information collected
- A questionnaire to be filled in by the door knocking team for each household. It can be adapted to meet changing needs as time goes on
- Organise funding for the Pastoral Care Team Coordinator if it becomes an on-going commitment. (Work with a local NGO or church to channel the funding)
- Have a set of fluro vests and identification lanyards for the team
- Set up a training session for volunteers around best door knocking practice. Agencies such as Red Cross and Salvation Army have good guidelines about such things as keeping yourself safe, how to diffuse a challenging situation. Be respectful of the fact that many in faith based communities have been door knocking for years, and don’t need to be taught ‘how to suck eggs”
- Ensure door knockers are briefed and debriefed everytime they do an outreach visit
- Have liaison with a Support or Navigation service, or another appropriate agency, who can follow up with households who need additional support
- Keep the Outreach teams informed about what follow-up has occurred from their previous work
- Have a systematic outreach programme to ensure the impacted areas are covered. Council can provide maps, and these can be marked clearly so that each person knows the streets and households they are visiting. A record can be kept so that revisits can be done at timely interval-
- Foster collaboration with any other organisation wishing to do outreach in the area so that a coordinated collection of information is maintained, and most importantly, that community needs are being met
Restoration of community spaces and facilities was extremely important to allow business-as-usual community services to continue to function. A temporary library was established quickly and the Council’s Service Centre was relocated to the Hub. Council worked with community groups to find suitable alternative spaces so that they could continue to meet.

10.4 Community Events

It is well documented that organised events after a disaster are an important contributor to well-being in a community. Social events serve not only as a source of entertainment in difficult times, but also as a means of bringing the community together and maintaining a sense of connectedness.

Events which cater for all ages, from children to adults, is the ideal goal. Sometimes it may be necessary to think laterally to provide activities for groups of society which may not otherwise be engaged in wellbeing initiatives. One successful example from the Waimakariri experience was the organisation of a “Boys Only” fishing trip where men could get together and share their frustrations or express their stress in an informal setting. A trained male counsellor, as a participant in the event, facilitated informal conversations during the day out.

An Events Coordinator is key to the successful running of events, and should be appointed early on. In the Waimakariri experience, a Coordinator was appointed a month after the September 2010 Earthquake, and remained in place for two and a half years. The events organised in the first few months after the disaster proved to be the most well-attended.

Other agencies/groups/organisations are likely to be also be organising events so co-ordinating efforts is advantageous for maximum community impact. For example support was given to the weekly dinners organised by the Kaiapoi Baptist Church, and the CERA organised Summer of Fun, was facilitated by the Waimakariri events co-ordinator. An events programme was an important part of the overall psychosocial support.

Post disaster, a range of philanthropic funders are often happy to provide support for such events. Funding can either be held by council or a NGO based in the community. Creating a brand under which to market the events is important. It gives a sense of continuity and recognition for the activities going forward in recovery.

Because feedback to the funder is required to obtain ongoing support, it will be important to conduct occasional feedback surveys with those attending recovery events.

“One of the important things is finding good ways to promote events. Wider promotion would have helped to increase attendance even further. But marketing of events is a challenge when people are focussed on recovering their properties and lives.”

Waimakariri Events Coordinator
Waimakariri Case Study – Events Coordination

The Events Coordinator appointed in Waimakariri was a person who was already well connected in the District. She had had a key role managing a major annual art exhibition in Kaiapoi for a number of years, and worked part time as a sports administrator at a large high school.

The events organised ranged from small street parties to large youth and community activities. The aim was to provide local events which were free or affordable, safe and fun for all members of the community, with a particular focus on children.

Examples of events offered were: a garden tour and high tea for the elderly, a family friendly Zumba evening, a Buskers afternoon, and a variety of Neighbourhood BBQs.

Within the first 24 months over 3500 people from Kaiapoi, Pines Beach and Kairaki and the wider Waimakariri area attended various events.

All events used local venues and, where possible, local resources and people. All events were either free or entry by gold coin donation.

Volunteers from churches, youth groups, community board members, community groups and individual local residents helped with supervision, promotion and organisation of some of the larger events.

All events were promoted under the brand, Rise Up Kaiapoi Community Events. This created a unity between all the events and provided a name people could recognise and trust. People reported that they felt comfortable attending Rise Up Kaiapoi Community Events.

Often, events were able to link in with other activities that local groups or Council were running to support the community.

Events targeted at elderly, children and youth were the most well-attended and had large numbers present. Attending numbers were strongest in the few months immediately after the September 2010 earthquake, and at events run during the summer of 2012, two years after the event.

Comment from feedback forms filled in by various age groups was positive. Those attending the events reported finding them, “valuable and enjoyable,” and, “a great way of keeping connected with the community.”

Marketing of events in the Waimakariri was done through every means – social media and the Recovery Website, “New Foundations,” letter box drops, local newspapers, Council and Cera newsletters and pamphlets, as well as in school newsletters. Posters were placed on the centrally located recovery noticeboard, and in shops. The Pastoral Care team also helped spread the information as they did their rounds.

Some events created during the period that the Events Coordinator was in place have now been taken on by other community groups, and are still being coordinated and funded, particularly under the stewardship of the community development group, “You Me We Us.”

Cera4 recognised the importance of community led events and developed a strategy to support hosting and facilitating events or occasions to help connect communities, providing information and resources to increase understanding of particular groups and investing in community leaders.

---

4. Community in Mind: Shared programme of action
2015. Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority (CERA)
Key things to consider:

☐ A recovery events programme is essential to bring the community together and maintain a sense of connectedness

☐ Think about all sectors of your community when organising events e.g. children, youth, families, men, women, elderly

☐ Consider employing an events co-ordinator as part of your recovery team
Financial Supports

The displacement of people and/or loss of income following a disaster are two of the largest financial impacts on individual households. For those who need to find alternative accommodation there will be a need for a bond and rent in advance, as well as weekly payments for rent. For some families, the lump sums required will be a challenge, especially if temporary accommodation is not covered in their insurance policy (or if they are uninsured.)

For the majority of households, any long term accommodation costs will be initially covered under their contents insurance policy, up to a specified amount or period of time.

The Waimakariri experience showed that there is a large variation in the sum covered by an individual’s insurance policy, and few were aware of what they were entitled to prior to the event.

11.1 CDEM Grants

The Ministry of Social Development (MSD) coordinates the provision of information about, and access to, the range of financial assistance available to people impacted by an emergency.

Types of CDEM Grants Available

- Accommodation for evacuees in motels, hotels, camping grounds or homestays, for example
- Payments to householders for billeting evacuees in private homes
- Loss of livelihood where evacuees can’t work and lose income due to the emergency - they can’t get to work, the workplace is closed, or they need to remain with their family or whanau, for example
- Food, clothing and bedding (immediate needs up to a maximum amount)

Information about when and what CDEM grants are available, and who can access them is available online: www.workandincome.govt.nz/products/a-z-benefits/civil-defence-payment.html.

Inland Revenue also has a role in financial support as they manage tax relief and income assistance through a range of measures. MBIE help businesses to recover by providing information and support, and may also provide financial support.

The CDEM Directors Guidelines, lists other Government and non-government sources of financial assistance. For more information see Appendix 8.

For ease of access in the Waimakariri during the first few weeks, WINZ representatives were present at the Civil Defence and RAC centres so that applications for assistance could be received and processed quickly.
11.2 RED CROSS and OTHER GRANTS
Following an event, substantial cash donations from within New Zealand and/or overseas may be given to the Red Cross, Government and, sometimes, the local council.

These sums are often administered as grants for specific purposes, usually with particular criteria governing eligibility. Some are available immediately, and others are created over time as different needs arise. These are usually targeted to meet specific costs not covered under a household’s insurance. For example in the Greater Christchurch Earthquakes Red Cross grants were made available for such specific things as Displaced School Children, Relocation of a household, and Independent Advice.

THE RED CROSS CONTINUED TO CREATE AND DISTRIBUTE GRANTS FOR OVER FIVE YEARS IN THE CANTERBURY EARTHQUAKE RECOVERY.

A summary of all Red Cross Grants created in response to the Canterbury Earthquakes can be found in Appendix 9.

Service organisations such as Lions and Rotary can also receive funds from their international counterparts in a disaster, and can make donations for specific assistance when requested. In the Waimakariri experience, where the Support Coordinators were drawn from the local community, a high level of trust already existed between the Service Organisations and the Coordinators so that requests for funds to assist householders with various supports could be processed quickly and confidently.

Waimakariri District Council made a one off Emergency grant available immediately after the earthquakes, but careful consideration needs to be given to how any local emergency grant will be managed. There will be an understandable desire by elected members to disperse the funds as quickly as possible with minimal fuss. However the Waimakariri experience showed that criteria and application process is best decided in collaboration with those on the ground in the Civil Defence or RAC centres.

“Being able to assist people in this way in those first chaotic days, was one of the finest things I’ve done”

WDC Councillor involved in the distribution of the Mayoral Emergency Fund at the Kaiapoi Civil Defence Centre.
Waimakariri Case study - Grants available to householders in the Waimakariri

Mayoral Earthquake Emergency Fund
The Mayoral Earthquake Emergency Fund was created mainly from a Mainpower grant given to the WDC. Payments of up to $500 could be applied for at the Civil Defence Centre and were given out on a case by case basis. Criteria was flexible initially, being dependent on proof of residence in the impacted area. Because of concerns about the safety of large sums of cash on the premises, a security firm and police had a presence in the Civil Defence Centre.

Oversight of the dispersal of the Civil Defence Centre grant was by four local Elected Members and Council staff, and grants were made to individuals, depending on their situation, following an interview. Applicants, who needed proof of home address, filled in a form and were interviewed by one of the Councillors.

Often money was applied for by households who suddenly found themselves having to feed and house a large influx of displaced family members.

Establishing and managing a large fund at short notice is challenging and for those who were working in the Centre, there was some concern that the process around application for the grant was not strict enough, making it possible for people from outside the district to apply.

When the Civil Defence Centre closed, the Emergency Grant continued to be available at the RAC, but applicants were processed and vetted by the Triage Team who sent applications to the Council for consideration in a much more measured process. The Councillors met as required to consider the applications so as to minimise the time taken to make the grants available.

The Mainpower fund, along with other national donations given to WDC, later became an Earthquake Recovery Grant of $250 to assist people with earthquake related costs such as emergency repair fees or materials.

Temporary Accommodation Assistance
The Temporary Accommodation Assistance (TAA) was a Government response in Canterbury (including Waimakariri) to the on-going costs for those who were out of their homes for a long time and still had both rental and mortgage payments to make after their insurance cover was exhausted. It is still in place in this region (2018). The Grant is administered under MSD through CETAS. Uninsured households are considered for the allowance on a case-by-case basis.

The TAA Grants vary according to the size of the household:
- One person household
- Two person household
- Three or more person household

The Assistance is in place in Canterbury until December 2019 to support those still out of their homes awaiting settlement or repair.

More information about the TAA is available at: www.quakeaccommodation.govt.nz Freephone 0800 673 227 (Monday to Friday between 8am and 5pm).

Red Cross Grants
Following the Canterbury Earthquakes, Red Cross created targeted grants for a range of purposes, and with strict criteria, to disburse the money collected through their National and International appeals. See list of grants created in Canterbury in the Appendix. 9

Their aim was to help people to meet costs which arise as a result of the disaster but are not covered by insurance.

The Grants were created in consultation with both elected officials and community members of the impacted areas. The Commission governing the creation and distribution of grants in Canterbury consisted of elected members from Waimakariri, Selwyn and Christchurch Councils, as well as Ngai Tahu and central government.
In the Waimakariri, advice about grants and all application forms were available from the RAC, and later, the Hub. The WESS team were kept up to date with all developments in grants through regular information visits from Red Cross staff, and assisted many people with Red Cross applications.

The Waimakariri District Councillor, who was part of the Red Cross Earthquake Commission, sought information from the WESS team about the problems households were finding and the kind of grants which would be useful to meet that need. Thus it was obvious there was a real desire on the part of Red Cross to disburse their funds in the most relevant way possible.

Other community organisations also carried Red Cross application forms, although most referred people to the Hub for guidance in application.

Good working relationships between WESS and staff processing grants in the recovery team in Red Cross, Christchurch, meant that a phone call could often sort out a delay or clarify a situation around an application. Forms were collected from the Hub on a regular basis and couriered to Red Cross.

Organisations such as Lions or Rotary made generous donations and small community Trusts could be applied to for funding. These were a godsend because they could often fund things quickly, such as breaks away for stressed families, that didn’t fit the criteria of other, larger providers. They also donated practical things like petrol vouchers which could be distributed as needed, not only to householders in financial stress, but also to volunteers who offered transport and assistance with moving households.

Team Leader, Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service
Key things to consider:

☐ The regional and local CDEM manage Emergency Accommodation for the first two weeks. Civil Defence grants may be available through WINZ for those who billet displaced people.

☐ MSD are the lead agency to manage financial assistance through civil defence payments, see www.workandincome.govt.nz/products/a-z-benefits/civil-defence-payment

☐ Other organisations/philanthropic trusts/government agencies may provide financial assistance for individuals and/or the recovery programme.

☐ Establishing and manage a large Emergency fund at short notice is challenging and needs careful thought and planning.
Damage to sanitation systems, disruption of supply lines, and/or the closure of regular outlets such as supermarkets, will mean that almost immediately there will be a need for coordination around the supply of food, water and other household goods.

Under CDEM guidelines, basic goods and services are to be provided to people who are displaced or sheltering in their own homes as a result of an emergency until other methods of supply are put in place.

The CDEM guidelines considers the following as basic items:
- Water
- Food and grocery items
- Infant formula
- Pet food
- Clothing and footwear (appropriate to climate and cultural practices)
- Bedding and blankets
- Cooking and eating utensils
- Fuel (cooking and heating)
- Medication
- Sanitary products and nappies for infants
- Cleaning products- household and personal
- Temporary units for sanitation such as portaloos, portapotties or mobile showers

The Local Authority or Council is the lead agency for Household Goods and Services at the local level and plays an important role coordinating the distribution of goods and services, and should facilitate the initial meetings between all the agencies providing them. A number of agencies have supporting roles, see Welfare Services in an Emergency, Director’s Guidelines for further detail.

It is essential to have considered who the partners will be in pre disaster planning, and to work with local agencies and systems.

Both Red Cross and Salvation Army are likely to play an important role as support agencies in the provision of food, water and services following an emergency.

Following the event there may be an influx of donated food, some of which may come directly to the Civil Defence Centre or RAC, but most will be donated directly to the foodbanks in the area. (If there is more than one.) Having donations referred to, and managed by one organisation means that dispersal of goods received is co-ordinated and responsive to need.

Together these organisations can run rosters of volunteers and can keep records of who is receiving assistance to ensure it is fairly distributed. A simple form of family name, number and contact details is sufficient for this purpose. Liaison meetings usually occur between foodbanks in normal times, and these will be vital to continue during recovery.

Council usually manages water supply, using tankers at designated places where residents can fill containers, or identifying other safe sources to draw from. There may also be large supplies of bottled water available at the RAC and foodbanks which can be distributed alongside food supplies if needed.

Eventually, all food and water supplies might return to the premises of a local organisation with a foodbank, although extra space may have to be provided for surplus goods for example, in a rented container. The food banks often offer other social services, and will be well-placed to assist with any other social needs of a household, or can make an appropriate referral. The presence of the Food Bank coordinator(s) at the regular Social Recovery Committee meeting will be important.
Waimakariri Case Study – Household Goods and Services

Coordination of food and water began at the Kaiapoi Civil Defence Centre. An area in the building was designated for the distribution of food parcels, and was set up in an orderly way so that boxes of food could be quickly packed and distributed.

A roster of volunteers was established which meant that there were usually 2 or 3 people on hand to organise supplies as they arrived, and set out them out systematically. Some of the supplies were housed in refrigerated trucks outside the Centre (perishables), and brought in as required.

An area with tables and chairs was provided close by where recipients of food parcels could have a coffee and chat if they wished.

Food supplies by the truckload arrived daily from other areas of the country, as well as many donations of baking.

Once the Triage team in early Recovery was in place, they became the first point of assessment for all needs, including food supplies. They would establish the number of children and adults and any particular needs, and send them with a form to the food bank area.

When response transitioned into recovery one of the local foodbanks took on the co-ordination role and managed receiving and distributing food for the district. This ensured a co-ordinated approach using existing (BAU) community providers and systems.

Other supports put in place to allow people to stay in their own homes included:

- Deploying adequate numbers of Portaloos in streets without functioning sewer.
- Portapottys for the elderly and disabled.
- Liaising with local camping grounds who made their showers available for residents.
- Held a list of residents who were happy for other residents to come in and use their shower was also made available at the RAC.
- Organising community members from groups and Churches to disperse hot evening meals donated on a large scale by a local group.
- Using council swimming pool staff (who were unable to work due to damaged facilities) to help manage and clean their portapotty daily (a retired builder also built stands for these potties so that they were easier to manage for the elderly)
- Liaised with local pharmacies who were operating a roster system to meet resident medication In the longer term requirements.
Waimakariri Case Study – Laundry Services

There was major damage to drainage and sewage infrastructure in Kaiapoi, so that toilets could not be used and household tasks such as family laundry become a major problem.

A free laundry sponsored by a large white ware company helped address this issue in Kaiapoi. Four large washers were donated on loan, and Council plumbed them in and housed them in a portacom in a central location.

Opening hours were advertised in newspapers and recovery literature, generally morning and early evening availability, although these times were modified according to demand.

Early on, a local was hired to manage the laundry on a part time basis, with funding from the Disaster Relief Fund. He had a presence at the laundry for a set number of hours, and was funded for a mobile phone so that he could be contacted outside those hours in an emergency. A local Community Trust managed the funding for his role.

His presence was important to ensure that use of the machines was orderly, and done on a first come first use basis. He also ensured the premises were kept clean, and the machines in good operating order.

Use of the machines gradually declined as the red zones were cleared, and as Council or Insurance made repairs to household sewerage lines. The laundry was dismantled after approximately 6 months.

It was necessary to be mindful of the fact that there was an existing laundry business in the town which was still operating, and this business felt somewhat comprised by a free service being supplied.
Key things to consider:

- Territorial Local Authorities are the lead agency at the local level for Household Goods and Services
- Work with existing community providers to deliver appropriate services, based on identified need.
- Identify a lead agency from within the community to provide co-ordination and liaison for food distribution
- Provide suitable and appropriate goods and services to enable people to stay in their own homes.
Volunteer coordination refers to the management of personnel volunteering to assist households in a practical way so that the assistance is co-ordinated and organised.

Immediately after a major event there is usually an influx of people willing to help in a practical way. It is important to have someone designated to organise these volunteers, to ensure they have something practical to assist with, and to maintain their enthusiasm for helping. Jobs such as cleaning mud or debris from around houses, or helping people to salvage goods and move, are often the most immediate needs.

For the first few weeks, Periodic Detention groups were also available to help with the removal of liquefaction in the Waimakariri. These teams were managed separately to the other offers of assistance, and had their own coordinator overseeing the work.

An organised, practical person can often be found in one of the local Trusts or Church community for this Volunteer Coordination role. The skill set required includes:

- Practical skills, e.g. plumbing or carpentry
- Experience in managing others
- Competence with maps and organising callouts – logistics
- Good people skills

A Trust or church can apply for funding for this position, either to a government disaster fund if one has been created, or to a philanthropic trust.

The Waimakariri position was funded as a 20 hour per week position for two years.

This position will be a valuable part of the recovery effort, especially when linked to the Support Coordinators and Pastoral Care teams. The flow of information between them means that needs can be quickly identified and responded to.

Having someone with a big picture of what’s needed in terms of assistance also means that the deployment of any large one off offers, such as that made by the “Student Army” in Waimakariri, can be utilised in the best possible way.

“

The volunteers in the first week or so after the earthquake, were anybody and everybody from five year olds to the elderly. The weekend volunteer work force had all these people for quite a number of weeks. Literally hundreds of people turned out with their shovels. After the initial buzz of activity, for the most part, the teams I ran were retired folk, who had the time and heart to be out helping. Others were stay at home mums. There were also shift workers, people on a benefit, farmers, and clergy. The churches were a huge help which was ongoing for all the time I was coordinating in Kaiapoi. I was in my role of volunteer coordinator for around 22 or 23 months.

Volunteer Coordinator, Waimakariri Earthquake Recovery

”
Waimakariri Case Study – Practical Assistance

An organised, willing and able builder from a local church trust stepped up to manage the large number of volunteers who arrived at the RAC to offer practical assistance when the call went out.

The first days involved the hard physical job of removing liquefaction from around houses. This was piled up on the streets for removal by Council. Another immediate need was to help shift people out of their houses because their houses were uninhabitable.

As the recovery went on, types of assistance included gardening (people wanted their favourite plants saved), lawn mowing, and firewood delivery for the winter. Shifting complete households, for those without family or insurance to cover the cost, also continued. This involved helping with the packing up, and physically shifting the household to storage or a new home.

Repairs and maintenance to houses, especially doors and cupboards which no longer shut, was another major need. Front and back doors were prioritised for obvious safety reasons.

A large number of food parcel deliveries were made in the early stages, in conjunction with the household goods and services team.

The volunteer teams also did mail drops of earthquake recovery information and upcoming meetings in impacted areas, as well as delivering door to door surveys for Cera and the local Council.

The coordinator of the volunteers worked on a voluntary basis for the first two months, then funding for the role was sourced from the Earthquake Recovery fund via The Hope Trust, the church organisation to which the Coordinator belonged.

The Practical Assistance team was an important part of the recovery effort, and worked closely with the Support Coordinators to identify what was needed and where. Often the practical assistance was a way of identifying a household which needed further support through other services. To be able to help people with practical assistance made a difference for many people at this challenging time.

One of the hard things with the coordination role was to keep volunteers encouraged and motivated, and understandably a lot of them got stale after 6 or 8 weeks of being asked to help. There was a big drop off after that period of time, which of course made the job harder for me and the other committed volunteers that stayed through to the completion of the role. Unfortunately after the initial drive to help, a lot of the time there wasn’t the luxury of having so many volunteers that I could give some a break and not ring them up!

Volunteer Coordinator, Waimakariri Earthquake Recovery
Key things to consider:

☐ In the longer term ensure all volunteers are police checked, or use volunteers who are already police checked

☐ Put someone in charge of organising the volunteers who arrive to help, who is practical and organised

☐ Source funding for the role if it is to continue longer than a couple of months

☐ Church communities are a good source of willing helpers

☐ Ensure there is liaison between other support services e.g. pastoral care, support co-ordinators and the Practical Assistance team so that considerations about when to help, and where, can be targeted to identified need
If the recovery from the disaster is to be long term, many new agencies and services may be needed in the impacted area for an extended period of time. Existing buildings may be damaged or at capacity so housing these services may be a challenge.

A “Hub” follows the closure of the RAC and essentially brings together important players in the recovery repair/rebuild process, and may include representatives from economic recovery, communications, community engagement, social recovery including support co-ordinators, banks, insurance companies and their construction ‘arms’. Planning for the hub should begin early by identifying an appropriate site in the impacted community.

Temporary buildings on council public land (or other central sites) can be a solution. Rented portacoms, which are readily transported to the chosen site, suit this purpose and the number can be readily increased if demand grows.

Creating a reception role to manage the facility is important. This person can provide a point of contact for local people to express any concerns, as well as facilitate good relations between all the recovery agencies. Choosing someone personable, efficient and flexible will make these things happen.

Local Council usually implements and funds the establishment of a Hub, and should discuss any cost sharing opportunities with a central government recovery agency, if one has been established.

A one-stop-shop approach provides the impacted community with access to the services and advice they will need to recover quickly. It also aids in effective collaboration of recovery agencies and working towards common and understood goals.
Waimakariri Case Study - The Kaiapoi Hub

The Hub began life in January 2011, about four months after the main earthquake event when the RAC closed.

It was a village of portacom offices which grew on the parkland of Darnley Square in central Kaiapoi. The land was requisitioned by Council for the purpose, being ideally located next to the community centre which housed the RAC so that the transition from early to longer term recovery was seamless for the community. Hub meetings were held regularly to ensure good information exchange about recovery plans, policies and objectives. At its busiest over 80 people worked on site.

The portacom ‘village’ housed Council infrastructure teams, economic recovery teams and the Social Recovery team including earthquake support co-ordinators, data management, communications and community development. Also on site were rebuild agencies and insurance company project managers, building inspectors, EQC and CERA representatives.

Social impacts were always clearly in front of the lead players through the presence of the Social Recovery Manager at meetings.

When impacted areas of Kaiapoi were red-zoned in September 2011 and CERA emerged as the lead recovery agency, the Council’s Infrastructure Recovery Unit was disbanded, and the Hub morphed to serve a more diverse purpose.

The hub provided the impacted communities of Kaiapoi, Pines Beach and Kairaki an accessible one-stop shop for all earthquake enquiries. Being in the community, close to the residents built strong and trusted relationships between them and earthquake recovery agencies.

Hub co-ordinator

The Council employed a part time Coordinator to manage the Hub and forge strong working relationships with the companies using it. Her role was pivotal in liaising between often upset residents and the company they wished to meet with. This Kaiapoi Earthquake Hub Coordinator also became CERA’s representative in Kaiapoi which help streamline information and understanding between recovery agencies and for the community.

From the perspective of social recovery the establishment of this coordinator/reception service at the Kaiapoi Hub was a very significant move. It meant that people frustrated by trying to make contact with their insurance company, EQC and/or other agencies involved with the recovery via the 0800 telephone service could call at the Hub in person and have appointments organised for them.

Being accessible and available locally to listen to stories with empathy and take action if needed, helped people manage their way through the recovery process. We were constantly seeking feedback so we could adjust our services to meet changing needs. See Appendix 11 for the Kaiapoi Earthquake Hub feedback form.

Not only did this approach mean that people had improved access to recovery services, it also contributed to an improvement in relationships between these organisations as they chatted over morning or afternoon tea. The Hub Coordinator also organised regular BBQs which brought many agencies and council staff together and contributed to a team spirit.

Reports prepared in August 2012 by the Kaiapoi Earthquake Hub Coordinator show that from 16 September 2011 to 24 August 2012 the Hub had handled 2020 requests for appointments or enquiries.
It is considered best practice and the best option for families to remain in their own place of residence if it is safe to do so after an emergency.

For some households, however, alternative accommodation may be necessary. Under the Welfare Services Directors Guidelines 2015, shelter (generally 2-3 days) and Emergency Accommodation (generally up to 2 weeks), is the responsibility of the CDEM group/Territorial Authorities.

MBIE is particularly responsible for the planning and provision of longer term Temporary Accommodation.

The Canterbury Earthquakes provided a sharp learning curve for householders in understanding what was written in their insurance policies. It was a surprise to many that payments for temporary accommodation after an emergency, if they had it, was covered in their contents insurance, not their house insurance. Thus, after the earthquakes in the Waimakariri, there were some who found themselves well looked after by their insurance company, who placed them in motels, bed and breakfasts or private accommodation for long periods of time, while others learnt, to their shock, that they weren’t covered for temporary accommodation at all.

15.1 CETAS –Managing Temporary Accommodation

In response to the high number of displaced people after the Canterbury Earthquakes, CETAS (a partnership between Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment and MSD) was created to manage temporary housing in the greater Christchurch area, including Waimakariri.

CETAS also managed the Temporary Accommodation Assistance, a payment for people who now found themselves with both a mortgage and rent and the Support Coordination Service.

The aim was to give people one point of contact for assistance, rather than having to deal with a range of government agencies.

CETAS was therefore the centralised service for matching displaced people with accommodation offers from landlords, real estate agents, and private households (some of whom offered their holiday homes as temporary accommodation for example). Later in the recovery they also matched people to the Temporary Villages. There were three points of contact with the service:
- Via an 0800 number
- Via a website
- Face to face at an Earthquake Hub or central CETAS office

CETAS had representatives in the Waimakariri RAC, and later, the Hub, so that temporary accommodation and the Accommodation Assistance grant.

As well as the formal service offered through CETAS for matching people with accommodation, there were many informal offers from locals in the Waimakariri.

People approached the RAC or Hub, or the WESS team with offers of sleep-outs or spare rooms, and, sometimes, houses. Where local knowledge could confirm that it was a genuine offer, these were passed on directly to householders looking for temporary accommodation.

Some people were more comfortable with this informal, local process rather than listing with a government service.
Because of the very high demand for temporary houses, some rents became exorbitant. Some people were able to let out their houses and move away for an extended period to capitalise on the high rents. This is part of the reality, the WESS team worked directly with householders to help find suitable accommodation solutions.

15.2 Providing a Temporary Village
When it became clear that temporary accommodation was going to be a long term requirement, temporary villages were created under special legislation by Cera.

The goal was to try and manage the peaks of supply and demand and to offset the very high rents being charged in the private sector.

Rents for houses in the village were aligned with the Temporary Accommodation Assistance and thus helped many people without other means of financing alternative accommodation.

Some people had had to move several times previously because of the high demand for private sector rentals, so the villages supplied some certainty of tenure.

The Villages were managed by MBIE, who appointed an external Rental Agency to manage the tenancies and rent payments. The tenancies were treated as normal agreements, with contracts, bonds, and weeks paid in advance.

Waimakariri Case Study:

The Temporary Village in Kaiapoi was established on an area of Council owned reserve land - the Domain - under an order in Council which allowed for the short term construction of 22 houses. These were a mix of 2, 3 and 4 bedroom dwellings.

It occupied one corner of the domain, allowing the rest of the land to be available for recreational use. The Village was fenced and patrolled regularly by Government funded security services (who also patrolled the cleared Red Zone areas), and operated for the most part without incident. Funding for the construction of the houses was via Government, and they were built with ease of removal in mind.

Although stays in the village were planned to be short term – 12 weeks was the average length of stay - some people with complicated rebuilds or repairs found themselves living there for up to 3 years. The Kaiapoi Village was especially important because it allowed children to continue attending their local schools while repairs to the family home took place, and also allowed elderly residents to remain close by and connected to their town.

As demand in the Waimakariri dropped, the houses were used by people from the Christchurch area. The houses were sold once the need for accommodation had been met, to a mix of social and private buyers.

The houses have been sold and the Domain remediated and returned to Waimakariri District Council (WDC). 5 houses were sold to the Christchurch Methodist Mission for social housing purposes, 5 were sold to Scenic Hotel for tourism accommodation on the West Coast, and 12 were sold to a commercial buyer.

Temporary Accommodation Fact Sheet www.quakeaccommodation.govt.nz

The land in the Domain is once again used as public space.
Temporary accommodation

Key things to consider:

- Try to keep people in their own homes, if it is safe to do so, by supplying essential services e.g. alternative water and sewerage supplies.

- CDEM are responsible for shelter (2-3 days) and Emergency Accommodation (generally up to 2 weeks).

- MBIE are responsible for longer term Temporary Accommodation and will consider a range of options relevant to the circumstances.

- Insurance may or may not provide assistance for temporary accommodation, dependant on individual insurance policies.
Investing in community development during social recovery is extremely important. Supporting existing groups and networks as well as assisting emerging groups has been shown to increase community capital and capitalise on existing strengths following a disaster. The role will largely be a reactive one to begin with, responding to the needs of recovery and recovering organisations.

Many organisations will have lost premises or personnel, and be struggling to carry on their sport, club activity or services. These things are the ‘social infrastructure’ that help communities work in business as usual and it will be these places that people turn to first following a disaster for advice and support, as they are known and trusted to the impacted community.

The community development role is likely to initially focus on the following:

- assisting groups with funding applications, writing letters of support for them, or linking them to other organisations with whom they can share premises or resources.
- supporting community-led initiatives such as rubble rousers, community lunches, or other events to get off the ground.
- mapping of services to identify what is available, what gaps exist and what new services may be needed, will be an important way of creating a picture of a community’s capacity post disaster, and of prioritising projects.

THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT FUNCTION SHOULD WORK HARD TO REMOVE ‘ROAD BLOCKS’ TO ALLOW COMMUNITY-LED INITIATIVES TO FLOURISH. THESE MAY LOOK DIFFERENT TO WHAT NORMALLY HAPPENS, NEW LEADERS WILL EMERGE, AND NEW GROUPS WILL EMERGE. COUNCIL COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ADVISORS SHOULD WORK WITH THEM TO ENSURE THEY ARE GUIDED TO MEET ‘REAL’ COMMUNITY NEEDS AND CO-ORDINATE WITH OTHER WORK GOING ON. COMMUNITY-LED INITIATIVES ARE INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT AS THEY EMPOWER COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO ‘DO SOMETHING USEFUL’ FOR THEIR FELLOW CITIZENS IN THEIR HOUR OF NEED. AND WITH OR WITHOUT ‘OFFICIAL’ PERMISSION THEY ARE LIKELY TO DO THE WORK THEY PLAN TO DO ANYWAY! WORKING WITH THEM IS FAR PREFERABLE TO WORKING AGAINST THEM.

The Community Development role requires a person who is well connected, flexible, adaptable (as things change constantly in the recovery space) and out-going. The ‘right’ person could be drawn from an existing Community Team, or from another community provider, or in fact from the impacted community, as they will have a wide range of networks and connections, as well as a passion for their community to do well.

The Waimakariri experience showed that it takes time for the community to have the energy for planning far ahead, and a community development role with a future focus may not be truly workable for some time after the disaster, it is important however that they are on the ground early in the recovery to focus on short term community development functions.

Council may choose to fund this role or funding may be available through the Department of Internal Affairs for the role, or through a philanthropic trust.
Waimakariri Case Study – Community Development in action

The Waimakariri Earthquake Community Development Team was in place, on the ground from Day 1 of recovery. Seconded from the Council’s community development team, they had existing connections and relationships in the impacted communities, and with the social service and not for profit sector within the wider district. There were many and varied tasks that arose based on the changing needs of the communities, especially around Government announcements regarding land zoning and what would happen next.

One of the keys to success was that they were based at the ‘Hub’ and therefore were close to the impacted community and their unique needs. The Community Development team played a ‘project management’ type role that was able to respond quickly to new and emerging needs identified by the community.

Examples of some of the work the Community Development team did are :-

- Distribution of offers of help. For example, Tauranga “adopted” Kaiapoi/Pines and Kairaki, and sent down care parcels, oranges, holiday offers, all manner of things which the team ensured got dispersed widely amongst community organisations.
- Creating a “how to” guide-sheet for funding applications for use by community organisations.
- Advocating for Social Recovery meetings and forums.
- Contributing to local Recovery publications and newsletters, websites etc., ensuring community information was accessible and spread widely.
- Establishing a time bank which was then picked up by a community organisation.
- Organising informal community get-togethers for information and conversations. (Including, three years into the recovery, a workshop facilitated by Community Development Guru, Peter Kenyon, where the community did some visioning work around what they wanted to retain, what they wanted to change, and what they could be involved with)

As its legacy, the Community Development team facilitated the creation of a locally driven community development project as part of its exit strategy. “You Me We Us” continues to this day to facilitate events and community projects in the local area. It is now an independent trust run by the local community, employing a part-time coordinator, and is a valuable legacy of the Waimakariri Earthquake Community Development role.

“We had lots of plans for the Waimakariri Community Development, but it was not possible to get them off the ground initially, the community just wasn’t ready until about 18 months into the role. Until then it was a matter of being reactive, getting behind organisations and supporting them to source funding for what they wanted to do. For example we helped a local trust apply to get larger kitchen equipment so they could increase their community lunch programme.”

Earthquake Community Development Advisor, Waimakariri EQ recovery.
Waimakariri Case Study
Rubble Rousers – Community Development in action

The Rubble Rousers were a group consisting of interested members of the community and two members of the Kaiapoi Community Board that formed early in 2012 to bring colour and temporary improvement to vacant land spaces in Kaiapoi created as a result of the Canterbury earthquakes. One of the Earthquake Community Development advisors acted as secretary for the group.

Rubble Rousers motto was “colouring Kaiapoi with promise” and the objective was similar to the Christchurch GapFiller and Greening the Rubble groups from which they took guidance.

Rubble Rousers undertook a number of localised projects in Kaiapoi; including mural and flowers on the main street, artwork at a central damaged church site and a letterbox sculpture on a prominent town corner created from boxes retrieved from uninhabitable homes.

Who was involved:
• Kaiapoi Community Board
• Kaiapoi Promotions Association
• Waimakariri Arts Trust
• Rangiora Menzshed
• Kaiapoi Menzshed
• Kaiapoi High School
• Kaiapoi Baptist Church
• Lions
• Rotary
• Creative Arts Group
• Range of local businesses
• Waimakariri District Council
Community Development

**Key things to consider:**

- Put Community Development resource in early in the recovery – it will play a vital role in ensuring that existing capability is supported and assisted to continue to meet the communities needs.

- Actively engage and support existing networks that are functioning in an impacted community.

- Support community-led initiatives to establish. Co-ordinate and work with them to ensure that their work is meeting real community need and linking in with other work happening so there is not duplication.

- Be on the ground, and responsive and reactive to changing community needs.

- Support Residents Associations/community recovery committees to ensure there is a strong voice between the impacted community and recovery agencies.

- Think about the longer term community development – how are you going to facilitate action to help this community think about its changed future going forward?

**Exiting**

You should begin to plan your exit from Social Recovery, back to business-as-usual from the outset. Work with existing providers and agencies early to plan how this will happen and what additional/different services may be needed when the Social Recovery programme exits.
At the final stages of editing this document we were reflecting on the lessons learnt and realised that there were a few key factors we hadn’t talked about.

Fun, humour, positivity and a strong and connected team.

Working in recovery is really hard work, it’s relentless, the hours are long, often things will blindside you, and emotions are high.

We were intentional about building and supporting a team of passionate people who could withstand the pressure for days, weeks, months and years. We were also intentional about having fun!

Most of us who worked in this team will say it was the best project we’ve ever worked on, and the best team environment. We were one, focused on a common goal, and we looked out for each other. Make this a priority!

And always remember...
And always remember...
Glossary Of Terms And Acronyms

BAU – Business as Usual

Case Management - ‘Case management’ refers to a coordinated approach to service provision, ideally through one or just a few points of contact. It has been defined as ‘a collaborative process of assessment, planning, facilitation and advocacy for options and services to meet an individual’s holistic needs through communication and available resources to promote quality cost-effective outcomes’ (http://www.cmsa.org.au/definition.html). It stands in contrast to an approach where the individual identifies and chooses different service providers, for different issues, with little overall integration or coordination. This can lead to gaps in service provision and duplication of effort.

CDHB – Canterbury District Health Board

CDEM – Civil Defence and Emergency Management

CERA - Canterbury Earthquake Recovery Authority

CETAS – Canterbury Earthquake Temporary Accommodation Service

CPH – Community and Public Health

DIA – Department of Internal Affairs

EOC - Emergency Operations Centre

EQC - Earthquake Commission

ERC - Earthquake Recovery Committee, comprising Waimakariri District Council’s elected Councillors and Kaiapoi Community Board Chair.

HNZ – Housing New Zealand

LGA – Local Government Act

MBIE- Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

MCDEM – Ministry of Civil Defence and Emergency Management

MOE – Ministry of Education

MOH – Ministry of Health

MSD – Ministry of Social Development

NGO – Non-government organisation

RAC - Recovery Assistance Centre, located in the council’s Community Centre in Sewell Street Kaiapoi, and later became ‘the Hub’.

Red Zone/Red zoned – Refers to geographic areas designated by government to be unsuitable for housing

Resilience – Often seen in a positive light, resilience can be defined as a system’s ability to ‘bounce back,’ ‘cope’ with new conditions, or ‘thrive’.

Response phase – pertains the immediate aftermath of disaster before functionality has been restored.

TPK – Te Puni Kokiri

TLAs - Territorial Local Authorities (TLAs)

VBRRA - Victorian Bushfire Reconstruction and Recovery and Authority

WDC – Waimakariri District Council

WESS – Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service

WINZ – Work and Income New Zealand

WNC – Wellbeing North Canterbury
Appendix 1: Social Recovery Plan Template

This appendix provides a planning tool for social recovery after a disaster. It can be used to:
• provide an overall picture of the main responsibilities and key personnel in the social recovery
• provide a record of agreed activity and initiatives

Goal:

Objective/s:

1. 
2. 
3. 
4. 
5. 
6. 

The role of social recovery is to coordinate the efforts of agencies and organisations that have significant recovery roles in the social environment, whether legislative or voluntary, to care for the social recovery of the community. Managing the social recovery response is complex. The social component cannot be separated from managing the restoration of critical infrastructure, businesses, homes, environment, rural and community. If recovery is not done well there may be significant impacts for the health and wellbeing of our communities, resulting in recovery programmes going longer than needed.
## Appendix 1: Social Recovery Plan Template

### Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRESS</th>
<th>KEY MEANING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>←</td>
<td>Positive progress is underway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✗</td>
<td>Not completed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Work Stream Name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Stream</th>
<th>Earthquake Need</th>
<th>Evidence</th>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Lead / Partners</th>
<th>Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

100
This appendix provides an example of the survey questions asked of social providers and community organisations in the Waimakariri in an effort to obtain a picture of the capacity to meet recovery needs, and to identify gaps in services. The questionnaire can be used as a template for a social mapping exercise before or after a disaster event.

Agency name: 

Key contacts: 

Phone: Email: 

Address: 

Core Business: 

Where are you delivering your services? 

To the people of which community? 

**Current Status**

Has your core business changed since September?

How has it changed?

What trends and issues have merged in your client group?

How are you currently responding to them?

Are these earthquake related?

Did you apply for CRF funding for these services?

Did you get it?

For what time period?

Are there other political or personal stressors affecting your agency, that could potentially affect the day to day running of your service?
Appendix 2: Social Service Mapping Questionnaire

**Future Status**
What needs do you anticipate will emerge in your client groups in the next six months?

What needs do you anticipate will emerge in the next two years?

What are the key risks for your organisation over this period of time?

Do you anticipate having the capacity to respond to these needs?

What are the solutions which will provide the support for you to get through this?

What additional training and resources will you need? (Supervision and staff support, physical, training and emotional wellbeing)

Will you be applying for CRF funding if it is available?

**Wider community**
What key risks have you identified for the community as a whole over the next two years?

What key risks have you identified for the social service sector over this period?

What strengths have you identified in the community?

What strengths have you identified in the social service sector?

What resources will you potentially be able to offer other providers?

What capacity do you have to extend/diversify your service?

Anything else you'd like to add?
Appendix 3: Building A Communication Plan

This appendix presents the variety of communication methods which can be used to reach as wide an audience as possible after a disaster, and the advantages of each method. It can be used to form the basis of a Communication plan.

---

**Earthquake Recovery - Public Communication**

**Key objectives:**

- Relevant, timely, understandable, comprehensive information is easily available to all audiences during the earthquake recovery.
- Reinforce and encourage a sense of community.
- Provide signposts to practical help

**Relevant parties:**

- Directly affected audiences: Includes all people directly affected by the earthquake and recovery/rebuild programme.
- Internal audiences: All people and organisations who are involved - directly or indirectly - in earthquake recovery and rebuild programme - i.e. CERA, CETAS, DBH, MSD, etc.
- ‘District and beyond’ audiences: All residents not directly affected by the earthquake but who have an interest in the overall recovery/rebuild programme.
## Primary channels of communication:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What</th>
<th>Who</th>
<th>Why</th>
<th>When</th>
<th>How</th>
<th>Where</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public meetings</td>
<td>Directly affected audiences</td>
<td>Feedback from WDC’s community meetings indicated that they are a well received and effective communication channel for directly affected audiences</td>
<td>As and when required throughout the recovery and rebuild programme, particularly when residents are in zones directly affected</td>
<td>Meetings held according to the rebuild programme i.e. Zone by Zone or according to area</td>
<td>In community/ recreational centres (schools, churches, halls) which are easily accessible to meetings attendants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maildrops</td>
<td>Directly affected</td>
<td>A fast and cost efficient way to get information out to the community, given geographically constrained and low quantity distribution</td>
<td>On an ad hoc basis-as and when required OR as and ongoing regular initiative</td>
<td>Text and images on A4 duplex branded with appropriate logo(s) for credibility and authenticity</td>
<td>Throughout zones or areas that require specific or ‘individualised’ information. To be delivered electronically and a copy made available online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake Recovery - New Foundations Newsletter</td>
<td>Directly affected</td>
<td>Effective way to update the community on key events, milestones, potential delays, adjusted programme timeframes, predicted forecasts, events etc</td>
<td>Ongoing-potentially monthly or more frequently depending on how quickly new information emerges (i.e. on an as required basis)</td>
<td>Visually attractive format - including text (preferably bullet points for clarity), images, diagrams and timelines</td>
<td>Zone/area specific, or city wide. Could be delivered electronically and a copy made available online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media Releases</td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td>Quick way to connect with media, relevant agencies / organisations</td>
<td>As and when required</td>
<td>Via email</td>
<td>Local (and regional/ national) when required outlets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake Recovery Noticeboards</td>
<td>Directly affected</td>
<td>Provide a signpost to practical help and represent a reliable source of earthquake recovery information</td>
<td>Continually updated-opportunity to engage the support of the local Residents’ Associations for noticeboard maintenance</td>
<td>Eye-catching noticeboards with a point of difference are updated with newsletters, media releases, maps, timelines, diagrams, public notices - all material relevant to earthquake recovery</td>
<td>One noticeboard located in each key suburb. All noticeboards branded for familiarity and credibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising</td>
<td>Directly affected</td>
<td>Reaches wide demographic, clear and effective way to distribute information and key messages</td>
<td>As and when required</td>
<td>A clear, concise spread with relevant images, diagrams and timelines, branded accordingly for credibility</td>
<td>In key newspapers and relevant grassroots publications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 4: General Needs Assessment Forms

4.1 This appendix provides examples of Needs Assessment forms which can be used as templates for household data collection or at CDC or RAC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property address at time of event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postal address (if different)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone Numbers (home and Business)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Information (ages of people in the house, any disabilities)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal address as in rates data base (+ URL link to google maps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner of the Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied by?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private or Commercial property?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valuation Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Contact Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance status (settled, in progress, uninsured)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance company name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Requested Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Which provider(s) they wish to see (Bank, EQC, Insurance etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQC Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cross Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consent to share form signed (Circle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Action(s) taken

Date of visit:  

Seen by: (Name and position)
### Appendix 4: Recovery Assistance Centre Reception Form

**4.2 This template can be used**
- to establish the best referral service for a household
- for the creation of a database to maintain a record of supports put in place for a household

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>RAC Reception Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Full Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent Address</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phone Number(s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Email</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Is this your first visit? (+ date of any previous visit)</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number in Household</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current address (if not at permanent address)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you own this home or are you renting?</th>
<th>Home Owner</th>
<th>Renter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for visit:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What other support have you accessed?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Do you have personal support such as friends and/or family?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name and ages of people in your household:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you insured? + name of Insurance company</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Need</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whanau Support</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food and Water</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accommodation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-on-one support -</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practical support</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Counselling</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Welfare</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action taken today:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future visit/ action:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Consent:

I agree/disagree to this information being shared with others to help me get the required assistance.

Name
Signed
Date:

RAC STAFF MEMBER:
Appendix 5: Psychosocial Support Agencies

This appendix provides an example of material produced by the Waimakariri Council to give communities impacted by the Greater Christchurch earthquakes information about psychosocial supports.

The brochure can be used as a template for summarising psychosocial recovery information, and can be widely dispersed in a community to social service providers, GPs, libraries etc so that the information is readily accessible to an impacted community.

The recent earthquake and subsequent aftershocks have been a very frightening experience for everyone. Most people are experiencing now is a normal response to this extraordinary event. Many of us will be feeling stressed, worried, anxious, on edge or feeling a sense of lack of control. Many of us are coping well by getting back into normal routines and continuing to talk to family, friends, neighbours and colleagues.

**COUPLE / RELATIONSHIP RELATED**
- Relationship Services: Have a room at 337B High Street in Rangiora, Thursdays and Fridays. Need to phone 0800 785 263 to register or book in.
- Family Court: Six free sessions may get a K esperanee counsellor; don’t need to be appearing. Phone 03 462 4311 and ask for Family Court Counselling.

**COUNSELLING / SUPPORT**
- Relationship Services: Have a room at 337B High Street in Rangiora, Thursdays and Fridays. Need to phone 0800 785 263 to register or book in.
- Ruby Manoea: C/O Kapsule Community Services, 116 Williams Street, Kaiapoi 03 327 8845
- Presbyterian Support: 03 310 8588 (For primary school age children) contact Beverley Firman on 03 310 7991 or 027 7162287
- Stress relief: Barbosa on 03 310 8621, Susan on 03 312 7800

**DISABILITY**
- CCS Disability Action: Cathie Sinclair - 03 313 8312
- IDEA Services: 03 313 8926

**ELDERLY**
- Centrelink: Chris Greenbank on 03 327 9504
- Presbyterian Support: 03 315 6598
- Age Concerns: 03 316 0003

**FAMILY VIOLENCE**
- Government Helpline: 0800 211 211
- Christchurch Women’s Refuge: 0800 1 REFUGE
- Otahuhu Women’s Refuge: 0900 117 474
- Sentinel Women’s Trust: 03 364 2900
- Shopping Violence Services: 0800 479 799

**GENERAL INFORMATION / SUPPORT**
- Kaiapoi Community Support: 03 327 8644, 116 Williams Street, Kaiapoi
- Red Cross: 03 327 8611

**RENTAL HEALTH**
- Family Mental Health Service: 0800 215 510
- Presbyterian Support - Link: 03 313 8690
- Chris Agency: 03 310 8600 or Warren Line: 0800 600 276

**SEXUAL ABUSE**
- The Sexual Abuse Centre: 03 315 9926
- START: 03 305 1414

**OTHER SOURCES OF SUPPORT**
- Stress Relief: Avoca on 02 922 6920
- Skill Improvement: Real Skills Trust 03 323 7837 (free workshops with some fees for other services)
- Skill Improvement: The Art of Living: 021 313 7462 (free workshops with some fees for other services)
- Active Life Coaching: Martin Tan on 03 310 2228 (free initial consultation and negotiable fees for further sessions)
Appendix 6: One On One Support Team-Wess Operational Templates

This appendix shows a variety of forms used by the Waimakariri Earthquake Support Service (WESS) after the Greater Christchurch earthquakes. These forms provide templates which can be used to operate a one on one support team for households in an area impacted by a disaster.

6.1 Form to establish Eligibility for One on One Support Service
This template may be used in a RAC or by a social service or by the One on One service itself to establish whether a household meets the criteria for one on one assistance. Criteria will be determined by the nature of a specific disaster.

Support Coordination – one on one support for impacted households

Eligibility for Support Service Check List
The primary goal of the one on one service is to ensure that:
Individuals/families who have lost their home or who may have to relocate are aware of the services and agencies available to assist them with relocation and any other related matters. This is achieved by facilitating timely and coordinated access to information, and referrals to support services.

Eligibility Criteria (please indicate the criteria that the household meets)
☐ Have to move out while their home is repaired or land remediated, or
☐ Have lost their home completely and require assistance to relocate

1. If the household does not qualify, please record their name and contact details only below, and the agency (if any) they have been referred to.
2. If the Household is eligible, please complete all details below and

Send to the Screening/Referral Coordinator, Programme Office

Name(s) ____________________________________________

Current Address ____________________________________

Damaged Home Address (If different) ______________________

Number of people at the address: __________________________

Phone Contact(s) ____________________________________

Email address _________________________________________

Preferred Method of Contact _____________________________

Preferred time of Contact _______________________________

Notes

Response Required Yes/No

Name of Person recording details __________________________

Contact Number: ______________________________________

Date of Contact ________________________________________
### 6.2 Checklist used by a One on One Support Worker on a first visit to a household

This template can be used to ensure correct information is given and gained when a One on One Support worker makes a first visit to an impacted household.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs Assessment</td>
<td>Have you completed a Needs Assessment form with the individual/family and identified and agreed on a Recovery Plan?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have you discussed the privacy statement with the individual/family?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has the householder signed the Needs Assessment form and Recovery Plan?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants Information</td>
<td>Have you gone through available grants?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have you made a plan with the family to apply for any grants?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints Policy</td>
<td>Have you explained the Coordinator complaints policy?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have you left a copy of the Complaints Policy with the household?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Information</td>
<td>Have you left your contact details with the household?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next Contact</td>
<td>Have you advised the household when you will next be in contact?</td>
<td>❌</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that some of these actions might be completed over several visits.
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6.3 One on One Support service monthly report to lead psychosocial agency
This appendix provides a template for monthly reporting of numbers and activity by a One on One service to the lead psychosocial agency or funder.

SUPPORT SERVICE MONTHLY REPORT

Report Date: ____________________________

Statistics for Month ________________________________

Current client total: ____________________________

Number of Cases closed: ____________________________

Number of new enrolments and source: (eg door knock, drop-in, referral)

Household Composition of new enrolments: (eg over 65, extended family, single parent)

General Comments (trends, observations, needs, issues)

Signed: ____________________________

Role: ____________________________
6.4 Service Evaluation – One on One service Feedback form used by WESS
This appendix provides a template for receiving feedback about the quality of service the One on One Support has provided. It can be used as an evaluation tool when the household is exiting from the One on One support service.

### One on One Support Service
**EVALUATION FORM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Support Service</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Your household has received this form because you have recently received support from a support service member. We would appreciate your feedback as it ensures our service keeps improving.

*Your personal response will remain confidential to the [Name of service] Support Service.*

Your Support Worker’s Name: ________________________________

**Did we treat you well?** (Circle one)

| 1. Improvement required | 2. Satisfactory | 3. | 4. | 5. Very Good |

**What difference did we make to your situation?**

______________________________________________________________

**In particular has the [Name of service] :** (Circle yes or no)

1. Assisted you in taking some steps towards future planning? Yes/No
2. Helped you develop a recovery plan? Yes/No
3. Contributed towards your self-confidence and wellbeing? Yes/No
4. Assisted you to gain more community connections and support? Yes/No
5. Given you a better understanding of the recovery effort? Yes/No
6. Helped you understand the processes you can use to progress your recovery? Yes/No

**How could we improve the service?**

______________________________________________________________

Thank you for taking the time to complete this evaluation. We appreciate your feedback.
6.5 Support Service Permission Form

This form gives the one on one support service permission to ask questions on behalf of a household.

SUPPORT SERVICE PERMISSION FORM

I ________________________________

Name

of ________________________________

Address

Give consent for ________________________________

Name of Support Service or Person

to collect and disclose information relating to my claim/personal circumstances

(Claim Number) ________________________________ from the following organisations:

__________________________________________

__________________________________________

__________________________________________

__________________________________________

__________________________________________

e.g. Lawyer, EQC, Insurance

Signed: ________________________________

Date ________________________________

Name of Support Service ________________________________

Office Address ________________________________

Phone ________________________________

Email ________________________________
This appendix shows the range of questions which can be asked in a door knock exercise after a disaster. The range of questions can be modified, and will evolve over the course of subsequent door knocks. This appendix will serve as a template for an initial door knock after a disaster.

### Appendix 7: Door Knock Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Phone(s)</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number in Household: Adults</th>
<th>Children (under 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disabled, elderly, very small children, (Please explain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Any extra assistance required for any of these groups?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolated (i.e. are you able to leave the property if necessary)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Issues with your home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Do you wish to be visited again | YES | No |

This information will be shared with (name of organisation(s))

Please sign that you agree with your information being shared:

Date:  


### Appendix 8: Financial Assistance- Support Agencies And Roles

This appendix shows the table of lead agencies for different areas of financial recovery after a disaster. This appendix is reproduced from page 166 of the Director's Guidelines for CDEM groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accident Compensation Corporation</td>
<td>Provide compensation to claimants. Ensure continuation of payments to treatment providers or deferral of levy payments in certain circumstances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earthquake Commission</td>
<td>Provide information about:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Claim lodgement and settlement processes for natural disaster damage as defined in the EQC Act 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Settlement of valid claims under the Earthquake Commission Act 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inland Revenue</td>
<td>Provide tax relief and income assistance through a range of measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Council of New Zealand</td>
<td>Provide specific disaster recovery information to assist with minimising loss, information on how to lodge insurance claims, and insurance company contacts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment</td>
<td>Help businesses to recover by providing information and support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry for Primary Industries</td>
<td>Provide, after an emergency affecting primary industry sector producers, on-farm relief that meets the Ministry’s funding criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Depending on the scale of an adverse event, MPI may provide funding for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rural Support Trusts and other agencies for recovery activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Appointments of Agricultural Recovery Facilitators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Technical advice and meeting other costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand Red Cross</td>
<td>Manage a national relief fund and financial support services in the form of an independent relief or recovery cash grant process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salvation Army</td>
<td>Manage a relief appeal to assist affected persons in the most appropriate way eg money from donors and supporters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community based organisations and networks</td>
<td>Assist affected people to connect with financial assistance and services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authorities</td>
<td>(regional and national) Participate in a disaster relief fund trust (if established in the region). Manage and administer mayoral relief funds.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Further Support may be provided by:**

**Agency Support**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community based organisations and networks</th>
<th>E.g. some rural support trusts can take a lead role coordinating rural recovery. In classified medium and large-scale event, MPI funds agreed activities of Rural Support Trusts in support of farming families and primary producers’ recovery.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Industry organisations</td>
<td>E.g. Dairy NZ + Beef and Lamb New Zealand, and Rural Women New Zealand (among others) – provide information to help farmers cope with and recover from adverse events including financial planning and farm management advice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business groups</td>
<td>E.g. Chambers of Commerce mentoring activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banks and financial organisations</td>
<td>E.g. financial planning advice for customers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Grants Provided After The Canterbury Events

This appendix is a summary of the grants created by Red Cross to support recovery for impacted households after the Greater Christchurch earthquakes. The grants were targeted to specific assistance over 5 years to meet the changing needs of the recovery.

### Recovery Grants

**2010 Damaged Home Grant**
To assist people living in homes that have been badly damaged or are without sewerage or water services.

**2010 Emergency Grant**
To assist those who have been displaced from their homes as a result of damage arising from the earthquake.

**2010 Financial Support Grant**
To provide support for families who have lost a small business as a result of the earthquake.

**2010 Hardship Grant**
To assist those who have suffered hardship as a result of the earthquake.

**2010 Relocation Grant**
To assist Waimak and Selwyn districts households in the green zone that have had to move out and will be moving back into their earthquake damaged homes.

**2010 Special Grant**
Precursor to 2010 Hardship Grant.

**2011 Building Materials Grant**
The provision of building materials to uninsured home owners, whose land has been zoned green, needing to repair their earthquake damaged homes.

**2011 Alternative Sewerage System Grant**
For households that have had to find alternatives to their flushing toilets at home for at least 90 days.

**2011 Bereavement Grant**
For immediate family members of those killed in the Canterbury earthquakes.

**2011 Displaced Schoolchildren Grant**
To assist caregivers of schoolchildren who have moved from both their home and their school in the period immediately after the 22 February 2011 earthquake.

**2011 Emergency & Hardship Grant**
To provide immediate support for people without services for seven days or more, or forced to leave their damaged homes for seven days or more.

**2011 Enhanced Water Filter Grant**
To assist households whose domestic water supply comes from either a well or a bore and whose water quality deteriorated as a result of the earthquakes and who now need an enhanced water filter (EWF) in order to make their water potable.

**2011 Independent Advice for Small Businesses Grant**
To assist small and family owned businesses, with fewer than ten employees, who need financial assistance to access legal, accounting or engineers/building advice in relation to the effect of earthquakes on their business.
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Grants Provided After The Canterbury Events

2011 Independent Advice Grant
To assist vulnerable homeowners to make an informed decision on their land or property. This grant could be used to obtain professional advice or reports which could include legal, financial, geotechnical or engineering.

2011 Relocated Schoolchildren Grant
To provide financial support to the caregivers of children who have been displaced from their usual residence, forcing them to move further than 3km away from their early childhood facility or school due to the 22 February 2011 Christchurch earthquake.

Recovery Grants Update
As at 31 December 2013

2011 Seriously Injured Grant
To assist those who were most seriously injured by the 22 February 2011 earthquake.

2011 Targeted Moving Assistance Grant
To assist home owners whose residential properties have been issued with a Section 124 Building Act Notice by their council or a CERA Section 39(2)(c) or Section 45 notice.

2011 Temporary School Grant
To provide financial support to the caregivers of children affected by their early childhood facility or school’s temporary closure due to the 22 February 2011 earthquake.

2011 Winter Assistance Grant
To assist people living in significantly damaged homes caused by the earthquakes. Grants for the elderly, children under 5 years, school-aged children and those with pre-existing medical conditions.

2012 Bereavement Grant
A second round of assistance to immediate family members of those killed in the Canterbury earthquakes.

2012 Disability Support Grant
To support people with significant disabilities, and their carers, who are encountering considerable hardship in meeting disability-related needs and maintaining quality of life due to the effect of the earthquakes on physical infrastructure and access to services.

2012 Physical Impairment Grant
To assist people who have an ongoing physical impairment from physical injury sustained in the Canterbury earthquakes and still receiving rehabilitation or medical treatment as at 23 July 2012 in relation to this physical injury.

2012 Seriously Injured - Case Review Project
This grant was provided to recipients of the Seriously Injured Grant who opted to take part in a multi-agency review of their experience in accessing support services after the earthquake and their ongoing support needs. A grant payment was made in recognition of their ongoing needs.

2012 Seriously Injured - Open up for all Grants
To assist those who were most seriously injured by the earthquake on 22 February 2011.

2012 Storage for Renters Grant
To assist people who rent properties in the greater Christchurch area who have had to pay for storage for their belongings as a result of the earthquakes and have exhausted other financial assistance.
Appendix 9: Red Cross Grants After A Disaster

Grants Provided After The Canterbury Events

2012 Storage for Homeowners Grant
To assist homeowners who have had to vacate their damaged property and pay for storage for their belongings any time since 4 September 2010 and have exhausted other financial assistance.

2012 Mobility Assistance Grant
To assist people who suffer from mobility issues – resulting in them self-isolating.

2012 Winter Assistance Grant
To assist vulnerable households who are living in homes significantly damaged by the earthquakes or, due to earthquake damage, have had to move into accommodation which is damp and/or difficult to heat.

2012 Essential Items Card
To assist Canterbury residents affected by the earthquakes and experiencing financial hardship, to purchase essential items through any of The Warehouse stores.

2013 Christchurch School children’s Grant
To assist primary and secondary school students in greater Christchurch who are experiencing anxiety or financial hardship as a result of the earthquakes. 179 schools (more than 61,000 students)

2013 Essential Services Grant

2013 Community Wellbeing Grant

2014 Winter Initiative
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Application Form And Criteria

This appendix provides an example of the form used to process applicants for the Emergency fund created after the earthquakes in the Waimakariri. It can be used as a template to manage any fund created and managed by Local Council after a disaster.

Name of applicant: ____________________________  Contact Phone: __________
Address: ____________________________

(Please see the reverse side of this form for guidelines).

Please detail what the requested funding is for.

Please indicate the amount of funding required: $________

Is the applicant a resident of the Waimakariri District? Yes / No

Situation of personal financial hardship for emergency assistance as a result of the earthquake – please give details

Yes / No

Please note that applications received from outside of the general zoned area will be considered on their merits.

For office use only:
Date Received: ____________________________
Approved/Declined: ____________________________  Amount $________ Date: __________
Signature – Mayor

Date Received:

Signature of applicant
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Relief Fund Criteria

**Guidelines**

1. That the applicant is resident within the earthquake affected area of Kaiapoi/Pines Kairaki.

2. That the event, or requirement for funds is for a situation of personal financial hardship for emergency assistance as result of the earthquake.

3. That the funds are a last resort to assist with immediate emergency requirements up to $500.00.

4. Grants will only be one off.

5. Each grant will be dealt with on an individual basis to allow for flexibility.

**Priority for funding**

1. To help individuals and families in their immediate need.

2. Provide a source of funds to help those who do not qualify for other funding.

3. Assistance would be provided for such things as:
   - Groceries
   - Tools
   - Medical
   - Fuel
   - Power
   - Transport
   - Care

**Applicants approved by:**

The Mayor or as delegated by the Mayor.
This appendix provides a template for recording feedback from those householders using the services of the Hub. Receiving and analysing feedback allows certainty that the Hub is meeting the needs of the community, and also helps identify any other providers which might be needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance - general banking &amp; Investment</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance - Mortgage Services</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property development</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture removal companies</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget advisors</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenants Protection Association</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities providers (power, phone etc)</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher EQR</td>
<td>☐  ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Government agencies (please note who):

Do you have any other feedback about the hub?
Appendix 12: Waimakariri Earthquake Community Development Coordinator Job Description

This appendix shows the range of skills and duties identified as important in the job description for a Community Development role after the earthquakes in the Waimakariri District. A Community Development coordinator plays an important role in social recovery after a significant disaster. This appendix can be used as a template for a Community Development role following any disaster.

**PURPOSE**

To provide advisory assistance to community groups on community projects, programme development, access to funding and people resources.

To assist communities and the Council to identify current community issues, and establish and prioritise needs.

Ongoing update of a Community Profile from a variety of sources, and the development of strategies to assist the community to address identified needs.

To support local services and programmes, in partnership with the community to continue to operate during a period of rapid change.

To work with local communities and communities of interest to assist with capacity building and empowerment.

To establish community partnerships and networks to ensure community views and needs are reported to Council.

To encourage collaboration amongst community organisations.

To assist the Social Recovery Manager in the preparation of information for Council planning e.g. LTCCP and annual budgets.

**KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS REQUIRED**

1. A relevant qualification in social and community studies, and/or experience in related fields, with necessary skills to identify community needs and develop solutions.

2. Understanding of, and ability to promote empowering and developmental models in community work.

3. Empathy for, and ability to identify the needs and potential of diverse community groups.

4. The ability to assist groups to formulate their ideas into constructive actions, ability to undertake community based research, and to effectively complete projects.

5. A working knowledge of how specific needs of local groups interact with wider support systems and networks.

6. A successful track record in community development work either in a voluntary or professional capacity.

7. An ability to relate to people from different age/gender groups, people with diverse social and cultural values, as well as groups with special needs.

8. Skills in facilitating, co-ordinating, and formulating project plans.

9. An ability to work successfully as part of a team.

10. Ability to work in a political environment supporting community development principles.
RELATIONSHIPS

The Community Development Advisor, in carrying out his/her duties shall:

1. Establish a close working relationship with service provider groups, community groups, and networks.

2. Support Council staff to make appropriate contacts in the community as required by the Local Government Act 2002.

3. Maintain effective communication with the Social Recovery Manager and other members of the Social Recovery team.

4. Liaise with resourcing agencies to identify resources for community development opportunities in the Kaiapoi/Pines Beach/Kairaki areas.

5. Network with other local authority Community Development Advisors where appropriate.

6. Establish and maintain such other links as are necessary to fulfil the position objectives.

OTHER REQUIREMENTS

To take part in Civil Defence training programmes and exercises.

To assist effectively in a Civil Defence emergency.

To take all practical steps to ensure your own and other employees’ safety as well as the safety of members of the public.

To notify your supervisor of any hazard or potential hazard.

To actively participate in providing solutions or suggesting better ways to do things.

To ensure that improvements are made in the way jobs are done.

To ensure that proper account of tikanga Maori and the Treaty of Waitangi is taken a a in all Departmental activities.

To maintain an effective partnership with tangata whenua as provided for by the Memorandum of Understanding.

HOURS OF WORK

Normal hours of work are 40 hours per week but some meetings may occur outside normal office hours.

AMENDMENT TO JOB DESCRIPTION

This job description may be amended from time to time by the Chief Executive Officer, after consultation with the officer.