MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF THE OXFORD-OHOKA COMMUNITY BOARD HELD AT THE OXFORD TOWN HALL, MAIN STREET, OXFORD, ON WEDNESDAY, 3 JUNE 2026, AT 6.30PM.

PRESENT

S Barkle (Chairperson), T Robson (Deputy Chairperson), M Brown, T Fulton, W Godfrey, R Harpur, N Mealings and P Merrifield.

IN ATTENDANCE

G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), B Dollery (Biodiversity Team Leader), S Binder (Senior Engineering Advisor), K Rabe (Governance Advisor) and C Fowler-Jenkins (Governance Support Officer).

1. APOLOGIES

There were no apologies.

2. PUBLIC FORUM

There were no members of the public present for the public forum.

3. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Item 7.3 – M Brown declared a conflict.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

4.1. Minutes of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board – 6 May 2026

Moved: M Brown Seconded: T Robson

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) **Confirms,** as a true and accurate record, the circulated Minutes of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting, held on 6 May 2026.

CARRIED

4.2. Matters Arising (From Minutes)

There were no matters arising.

5. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

6. ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

7. REPORTS

Item 7.2 "Mandeville Cemetery (Bradleys Road) Enhancement Plan" was taken at this time. The agenda order was retained in the Minutes to mitigate confusion.

7.1. **Proposed Roading Capital Works Programme for 2026 / 27 and Indicative Three-year Programme** – K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader) and J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

J McBride presented the proposed Roading Capital Works Programme for the 2026/27 financial year and the indicative three-year programme. She advised that the programme had been developed to cover areas with general budget allocations and included projects such as Kerb and Channel and Footpath Renewals, which were largely driven by condition. Staff had carried out a three-yearly condition rating of kerbs, channels, and footpaths. J McBride noted that this work related to renewals, undertaken when the condition of an entire footpath was assessed as poor or very poor. She also advised that maintenance was funded through a different stream and that large projects were excluded as they were funded separately.

M Brown noted that the splitter islands at the Tram Road/Earlys Road intersection had been damaged twice. He asked whether the maintenance was funded from this budget. J McBride advised that it was funded from a different budget, but she would check with the design team to ensure the islands were operating as intended.

N Mealings questioned the item listed under Walking and Cycling Projects relating to the Oxford town centre. J McBride explained that this referred to tactile ground-surface indicators that assisted people with vision impairments at crossing points. She noted that several in Oxford were quite old and that there had been an incident involving someone slipping, so staff intended to replace them all.

N Mealings then asked whether the high-risk intersection treatments were the low-cost, low-risk improvements. J McBride confirmed that they generally involved widening, a wider centre line, the installation of bollards at the approach to the intersection, and the reinforcement of the intersection with signage on approach.

T Robson enquired whether, when the Council undertook the replacement of the tactiles, they would be replaced with tactile pavers or with the plugs that were currently in use. J McBride noted that, over time, the Council had used both options but tended to use concrete pavers because the dots and strips inserted into asphalt often popped out and did not last.

Moved: M Brown Seconded: P Merrifield

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) **Receives** Report No. 260508111821.

(b) **Endorses** the 2026/27 DRAFT Proposed Roading Capital Works Programme (Trim: 260508111836).

(c) **Endorses** the indicative Roading Capital Works Programme for the 2027/28, 2028/29 and 2029/30 years.

(d) **Notes** that any feedback on the programme included with this report will be taken by staff at the Board meeting and incorporated into the final report, which is proposed to be taken to the Utilities and Roading Committee in July 2026 for approval.

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned for a workshop on speed limits from 7:24pm to 8:30pm.

7.2. **Mandeville Cemetery (Bradleys Road) Enhancement Plan** – B Dollery (Biodiversity Team Leader)

B Dollery spoke to the report, noting that it sought approval for the proposed enhancements to the Mandeville Cemetery. She advised that while some graves were visible; however, there might also be unmarked graves, and the graves were not fenced. The area was leased, and the lessee maintained the site. B Dollery explained that the lessee, along with some local residents, had approached the Council to ask whether any enhancements could be made to the reserve to better enable the community to enjoy it, noting that the area was already used by residents.

B Dollery further noted that a drain ran through the site, providing some biodiversity interest, and that the area also contained pine trees that would need to be harvested at some point. The Council conducted surveys and found no lizards on the site. The Council had therefore developed an Enhancement Plan to support biodiversity, particularly lizards, invertebrates, birds, the stream environment, and native planting.

B Dollery advised that the Council wished to install signage that reflected the site's history, acknowledged and respected the graves, and created a place where people could reflect and connect with nature. She provided an overview of the enhancement plan. The funding for the project had been allocated through the Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy and would be staged over three years.

S Barkle asked when the pine tree survey had been undertaken and, if any of the trees required removal. If the trees were to be removed and mulched, she suggested that the mulch should be left on site for planting. B Dollery confirmed that this was a possibility.

S Barkle further noted that there was a dedicated group of local volunteers who enjoyed undertaking planting in the area. She added that a local nursery had expressed interest in providing plants, presenting an opportunity to work collaboratively with the community on the project. S Barkle also proposed involving the local school in planting activities. B Dollery noted that she could contact the school regarding their potential involvement, noting that the site would benefit from local engagement given the existing community interest.

S Barkle then enquired whether there was a way to connect the area to the pine tree reserve. B Dollery noted that this was potentially feasible, but staff would need to investigate further.

W Godfrey sought clarity on the stock exclusion and fencing. B Dollery explained that these considerations would be worked through with the lessee, who was supportive of retaining the fencing and had been very helpful in offering ideas for the area. She noted that the lessee was highly supportive of efforts to tidy and enhance the site.

R Harpur asked whether there was road access to the site. B Dollery advised that there was currently a gate entrance off Bradleys Road. She noted that one community member had expressed concern that, if the reserve were enhanced, increased visitor numbers might reduce the sense of seclusion currently enjoyed in the area.

R Harpur further questioned what made the site particularly suitable for lizards. B Dollery clarified that the Council was aware that its projects might require areas that could be enhanced specifically for lizard habitat. She noted that the site was well-suited because there were currently no lizards present, allowing staff to manipulate and enhance the environment so that lizards from surrounding areas could move in and expand their population.

T Fulton enquired whether the reserve would be described as a dry-land park, and B Dollery noted that the soils were quite bony.

T Fulton sought clarification on the offset requirements of the Department of Conservation (DoC), asking whether this meant that, where a project potentially affected lizard habitat, the project could still proceed provided DoC required that lizards were established elsewhere. B Dollery explained that DoC considered applications on a case-by-case basis. She noted that, ideally, lizards would be retained on site with habitat enhancement, but a common requirement was that any removal be offset at a 1.5-fold ratio.

N Mealings asked, questioned when DoC determined that lizards were present and required relocation, who was responsible for funding the relocation. B Dollery advised that the report focused on Council projects. She explained that if, for example, Greenspace proposed to construct a tennis court in an area containing lizard habitat, the lizards would be relocated within the site, and funding for habitat enhancement would come from that project. It was the project proponent's responsibility to fund the necessary enhancement work.

Moved: W Godfrey Seconded: N Mealings

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) **Receives** Report No. 260412093988.

(b) **Approves** the Draft Mandeville Cemetery Enhancement Plan (Trim: 260215026682).

(c) **Notes** $21,128 from the Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy implementation funds to undertake enhancements.

(d) **Notes** that the enhancement plan contains the development of lizard habitat. This will not be created until required, but is identified as an important area that will deliver biodiversity benefits in the future.

(e) **Notes** that marked and unmarked graves will be identified using ground penetrating radar (a non-invasive method) and marked using signage and, where appropriate, the use of wildflower plantings.

(f) **Notes** that further surveys may alter the enhancement plan, with the potential of some pine trees removed (due to health and safety reasons), and some adjustments to the location of plantings should unmarked graves be discovered in those areas.

(g) **Notes** staff to contact Swannanoa School and residents to be involved in the project.

CARRIED

7.3. **West Eyreton Pit Enhancement Plan** – B Dollery (Biodiversity Team Leader)

B Dollery spoke to the report, noting that the idea had first arisen a few years earlier, when Board Members approached staff to investigate opportunities to enhance the area for biodiversity and to address potential safety concerns if the pit filled with water. Surveys were undertaken by the Council, and an Enhancement Plan was compiled for the area, including riparian low-stature and flexible planting around the pit and perimeter. The area was classed as a Hazardous and Industries List (HAIL) site due to the extraction that occurred and the subsequent granting of the site for hardfill use. Contamination surveys carried out by Environment Canterbury indicated that the area was unlikely to be contaminated. However, because the site was classified as a HAIL site, the Council took a precautionary approach and followed all required procedures to ensure their safety. This meant the project would likely not be open to community planting days, although there could be opportunities for community involvement in other ways.

S Barkle questioned the HAIL classification, noting that surveys had been carried out and found no evidence of levels above baseline. She commented that it would be unfortunate if the community were unable to be involved. S Barkle asked whether, given the testing already completed, the HAIL status could potentially be removed. B Dollery advised that removal was possible but would require an additional process. She noted that the Council believed the community could still be involved in alternative ways.

M Brown noted that sheep were currently grazed on the site and asked whether they could remain if the area were planted, or whether they would need to be moved. B Dollery explained that if the Council planted palatable species, the sheep would eat them, but without sheep, there could be challenges managing grass growth. She noted that the Council could potentially install plant protection, similar to what had been discussed for Mandeville.

M Brown expressed a preference not to delay the project while attempting to have the HAIL status removed. He supported progressing with the project and suggested that the school could be involved in mulching or ongoing care. He also wondered whether a better name could be found than “West Eyreton Pit.”

T Robson noted that recent work had been undertaken on the well, which would have disturbed the ground. He asked whether this meant the Council’s water unit had completed soil testing. B Dollery advised that the testing did not cover the entire site; no contamination had been found in the area closest to the road. She noted that a substantial survey would be required in order to lift the HAIL classification.

Moved: M Brown Seconded: P Merrifield

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) **Receives** Report No. 260429105559.

(b) **Approves** the Draft West Eyreton Pit Enhancement Plan (Trim: 240316041296).

(c) **Notes** that funding of $13,620 is required for the enhancements as allocated from Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy (signage fund, PJ 102472.000.5223, $5,000/pa; reserve natural values fund, PJ 102465.280.2543, $15,000/pa) funding with potential for use of the General Landscaping Budget.

(d) **Notes** that it is likely that community planting days will be permitted during enhancements with community undertaking activities that do not involve direct contact with the soil.

CARRIED

M Brown expressed a preference not to delay the project while attempting to have the HAIL status removed. He supported progressing with the project and suggested that the school could be involved in mulching or ongoing care. He also wondered whether a better name could be found than “West Eyreton Pit.”

T Fulton commended M Brown for his long-time advocacy of the project.

N Mealings noted that the matter had been discussed over three terms, and it was exciting to finally see progress.

Item 7.1 “Proposed Roading Capital Works Programme for 2026/27 and Indicative Three-year Programme” was taken at this time. The agenda order was retained in the Minutes to mitigate confusion.

8. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

9. CHAIRPERSON’S REPORT

9.1. Chairperson’s Report for May 2026

- Presented submission to the Annual Plan on behalf of the Board.
- Local Government New Zealand Zoom meeting on Headstart:
  - It was noted that all Councils would continue with their Long Term Plans and related processes, as these were required regardless of any future governance arrangements.
  - In response to questions about timing, it was noted that some Councils were ready to proceed now, and the process allowed them to do so. This implied that other Councils were not required to progress immediately if they were not ready. It was observed that the messaging on this point varied by source.
  - It was noted that the dates had been changed so that any amalgamation would occur at the time of the next local government elections.
  - Territorial boundaries were discussed, with advice that boundary changes could be considered as part of any proposals.
  - It was noted that at least two Territorial Local Authorities were required to submit a proposal. The Regional Council did not support making such a proposal itself, but did support involvement through a mayoral forum.
  - It was commented that the message from the Central Government was effectively “reform, or it will be done for you.” Ministers were expected to reconsider the backstop design, with the possibility of appointing Crown commissioners rather than a board of mayors. It was emphasised that change was coming regardless—whether through the three-month process or afterwards, a backstop mechanism would still apply.
  - It was noted that the Local Water Done Well framework had resulted in different water service delivery approaches across Councils, with some operating in-house models and others using external arrangements. Questions were raised about how this would function if Councils were to join together. It was advised that these arrangements could be changed over time, allowing new owners to be added as more Councils joined. There was flexibility to align approaches if required. However, it was acknowledged that Selwyn operated an external model while Christchurch operated an internal one, and that the significant differences in approach—and the strong views attached to them—could make agreement challenging. It was also noted that participation in Headstart did not require Councils to adopt the Local Water Done Well model.
  - It was noted that Councils choosing not to participate in Headstart would still be required to make final decisions by May 2027.
  - Headstart was described as an option for Councils that were ready to join early. For those not yet ready, the backstop process might provide a mechanism for working together and resolving uncertainties.
  - In response to questions about whether this work would continue under a change of government, it was noted that the intent was for the programme to continue, although the approach might differ depending on the political makeup. There appeared to be general bipartisan support for progressing reform.

  - It was noted that proposals would need to demonstrate economies of scale. Questions were raised about what would happen if a proposal was assessed as uneconomical—for example, in cases such as the three West Coast Councils, which were all under-resourced. It was advised that such proposals might still be accepted if there were strong reasons in other aspects of the submission.
  - When asked whether funding would be provided to support the development of a Headstart proposal, it was advised that no funding would be made available, as the process was voluntary.
  - Councils expressed concern that they were not bringing their communities along with them, and that a three-month timeframe was not sufficient to make well-considered decisions.
  - It was noted that although participation was voluntary, Councils that did not opt in could still have changes imposed on them through the backstop process.
  - It was advised that every territorial authority would ultimately be part of a unitary authority. Headstart would prioritise these arrangements, while the backstop process would not necessarily require them. By 2028, Councils might or might not be directed to become unitary authorities. The Government saw significant value in the model but was also considering general efficiencies; if these could be demonstrated, they would also be considered.
  - In response to questions about the future of Community Boards, it was noted that there was no clear indication of the Government's position. Their continuation would form part of any proposal and would be for Councils to determine.
  - It was confirmed that under the backstop process, Councils could be required to amalgamate even if not ready or not supportive. For example, if one Council opposed amalgamation but two supported it, the proposal would still be assessed on the basis of majority support, including population-based majority.
- Attended the All Boards Session.
- The Ohoka Residents Association Meeting was held, and the Committee was officially sworn in. The meeting included a discussion of the Ohoka development, including the application currently in the fast-track process and the application before the Environment Court. The Association also discussed Gatekeepers Lodge joining the Ohoka Residents Association, enabling the group to operate with registered society status and therefore hold a bank account for fundraising and related activities.
- There was increasing interest along Two Chain Road, between Tram Road and North Eyre Road, which lay outside the bus zone. Many children were biking to school or riding ponies along this route, and encouraging this activity would be beneficial. However, there was a concern that motorists did not slow down on the road, which the Board had previously discussed as a potential location for a path to support safe movement. It was noted that the road carried significant truck traffic, raising safety concerns for children. There had been discussion about approaching local contractors to explore whether costs could be reduced, and whether volunteers and community fundraising could help support the construction of a path. It was also suggested to investigate signage to alert drivers to school children using the road.

  J McBride noted that several rural schools faced similar issues. She advised that the current Government had provided no funding for walking and cycling initiatives. The only available funding came from the Council's limited minor safety budget. For similar requests, staff had encouraged communities to work together to help provide facilities, and she noted that the Council had supported such community-led initiatives in the past.

Moved: M Brown Seconded: N Mealings

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) **Receives** the verbal report from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Chairperson.

CARRIED

10. MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

10.1. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 11 May 2026.

10.2. Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Meeting Minutes 13 May 2026.

10.3. Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Meeting Minutes 18 May 2026.

10.4. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report 17 Match to 21 April 2026 – Report to Council Meeting 5 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.5. Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) Budget Reallocation in 2025/26 – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 12 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.6. Draft Annual Plan 2026-2027 Special Consultative Procedure – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.7. Drainage – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2026/27 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.8. Water Supply - Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2026/27 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.9. Wastewater – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2026/27 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.10. Staff Submission to the Annual Plan 2026/27 – Roading Budgets – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.11. Solid Waste– Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2026/27 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

10.12. Rangiora Airfield Governance Review 2026 – Report to Council Meeting 26 May 2026 – Circulates to all Boards.

Moved: S Barkle Seconded: M Brown

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) **Receives** the information in Items 10.1 to 10.12.

CARRIED

11. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

W Godfrey

- A site meeting was held at the Ohoka Domain, where preparations were underway for the installation of the new shed.
- A site visit was also undertaken to review the Ohoka Stream planting proposal. Suggested improvements included creating a link to Silverstream Reserve via two potential crossing points: one at the Skewbridge Road end and another at the Ohoka Road swing bridge.

T Robson

- A public workshop on the pump track was held on 17 June at 7.00pm.
- The dirt bike track had been completed, and the Trust indicated that they wished to undertake further work over the summer to expand and improve the facility.

T Fulton

- The first meeting of the Burnt Hill Storage Liaison Group was held, and members undertook a site visit. It was noted that the Group was currently working through procedural matters, which were slowing progress.
- A resident approached T Fulton expressing interest in the development of a bike track between Burnt Hill Road and the West Oxford Reserve. The resident was described as an enthusiastic supporter of bike track initiatives.
- Regarding the Wolffs Road Bridge project, the Group was seeking independent assistance to obtain an estimated project cost. This information would support the Group in preparing for a future bid process. The Group considered an independent assessment necessary before engaging engineering and design professionals and before approaching potential high-value funders. They were also seeking to engage a professional marketing and fundraising specialist to support the project.

M Brown

- The Oxford Medical Centre would begin interviewing project managers in the coming weeks. The target completion date for the project was 31 December 2028.

R Harpur

- The Waimakariri Access Group Meeting was held, during which the Group visited the Mandeville Sports Centre to assess accessibility across the facility. They identified several areas of concern and intended to write to the Board to outline these issues.
- It was noted that there continued to be confusion regarding the name “Mandeville.”

  G Cleary advised that this issue had arisen in several areas across the Waimakariri District. Land Information New Zealand was undertaking a national naming initiative, and due to this wider process, it was not intended to pursue any local naming changes at this stage.
- attended the All Boards Session.

P Merrifield

- The Oxford Museum container was in place and should be painted soon.

N Mealings

- The Annual Plan Submissions Hearing was held, with a range of local organisations and community groups presenting to the Council.
- Received a phone call from an Eyrewell Forest resident seeking guidance on how best to manage trees located on a paper road adjoining their property.
- The Arohatia te Awa Meeting was held. It was noted that the Ohoka Stream Esplanade planting event was scheduled for 21 June from 10.00am to 12.00pm, coordinated through the Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust.
- The Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party Meeting took place. The Group discussed potential improvements being assessed for the Oxford Transfer Station. The potential location of the rural recycling facility would be consulted on as part of the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan consultation process, with outcomes to be incorporated into the draft Long Term Plan for final consideration.
- A site visit was undertaken to Ohoka School to meet with concerned parents and the principal, accompanied by the Roading Manager and Road Safety Coordinator. The group discussed safety concerns for children biking or walking to school from Threlkelds Road and Mill Road, east of the school. Staff advised of upcoming changes to school zone speed limits and new signage near the school, which should improve safety. While there was a desire for a separate pathway along these roads, it was acknowledged that no funding was available for such a project. Residents raised the idea of community involvement. As Mill Road followed an old railway corridor, it was suggested that the Council could consider linking this initiative with other district rail trail projects and potentially support the formation

of an incorporated society or similar group to progress the desired outcomes locally and in other comparable areas.

- Attended the All Boards Session.
- At the Mandeville Sports Club Meeting, it was noted that the new Groundsman, Dan B, had been appointed and was undergoing induction. The Club expressed appreciation to John H and Sam S-B for covering duties during the recruitment period. Dog-related issues were raised, including interactions with nearby residents and professional dog walkers, as well as the need to ensure that people clean up after their animals.
- The Ohoka Residents Association Meeting was held; however, attendance was not possible due to a scheduling clash. Queries from the committee were answered in advance, and a request was made to include Gatekeepers Lodge on the agenda for discussion.
- 2026/27 Annual Plan Deliberations were undertaken. The projected average rates increase in the draft Annual Plan was 4.91%. After considering submissions and inflationary cost pressures, the increase could have reached 5.07%, but staff refined expenditure—such as relocating i-Sites into libraries from their current external locations—resulting in a final average increase of 4.97%. This positioned Waimakariri among the lowest in New Zealand, particularly given that the Council's rates figures included three-waters costs, whereas other Councils reported these separately.
- The Waimakariri Youth Council Meeting was held. A motivated group of new youth councillors were settling in well. They were keen to assist with the Ohoka Esplanade planting day and were planning a proposed river clean-up for mid-June or July 2026. They were also organising a youth disco night for school-aged youth across the district in July. Support was provided to arrange a field trip to Kate Valley for the Environment Committee during the school holidays, which was expected to provide valuable insights.
- The Rating Review Working Party Meeting was held, with the Group examining how the Council currently rated water services, which was done on a scheme-by-scheme basis. A range of options would be analysed to determine whether alternative approaches might be more fit for purpose than the status quo.
- A resident from Ohoka made contact after experiencing a cycling accident and sought advice on the appropriate Council channels to raise the matter with.
- A local resident enquired whether the Council had any plans for a separated cycle path from Mandeville to the motorway and also requested an update on the proposed Tram/Bradleys/McHughs Road roundabout.
- A Mandeville resident raised a query regarding the proposed Tram/Bradleys/McHughs Road roundabout, noting that the safety action plan on the Council's website was out of date (2022–2023). The resident also raised concerns about a dangerous intersection and speeding outside Kaiapoi High School and sought guidance on the most appropriate point of contact for these issues.

12. CONSULTATION PROJECTS

12.1. Stock Movement Bylaw 2026

https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/stock-movement-bylaw-2026

Consultation closed Friday, 12 June 2026.

The Board noted the consultation project.

13. BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

13.1. Board Discretionary Grant

Balance as at 31 May 2026: $2,984.

13.2. General Landscaping Fund

Balance as at 31 May 2026: $6,000.

The Board noted the funding update.

14. MEDIA ITEMS

Consultation on headstart.

15. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

16. URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

17. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board was scheduled for 6.30pm, Wednesday, 8 July 2026, at the Oxford Town Hall.

Workshops 9:13pm to 9:22pm

- *Speed Limits – Shane Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer) 30mins*
- *Discretionary Grant Funding Criteria Review – Kay Rabe (Governance Advisor) 10mins*
- *Members Forum*

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING CLOSED AT 9:22PM.

CONFIRMED

Chairperson

8 July 2026

Date