In the Environment Court of New Zealand Christchurch Registry

I Mua I Te Kōti Taiao O Aotearoa Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2025-CHC-66

Under the Resource Management Act 1991

In the matter of an appeal under clause 14 of Schedule 1 of the Resource

Management Act 1991

Between Christchurch International Airport Limited

Appellant

Waimakariri District Council

Respondent

Notice of person's wish to be party to proceedings

Date: 12/09/2025

Section 274 party's solicitors:

J M Appleyard | M E Davidson
Anderson Lloyd
Floor 2, The Regent Building, 33 Cathedral Square, Christchurch 8011
PO Box 13831, Christchurch 8141
DX Box WX10009 Christchurch
p + 64 3 379 0037
jo.appleyard@al.nz | meg.davidson@al.nz



NOTICE OF PERSON'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS

Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

To The Registrar

Environment Court

Christchurch

MainPower New Zealand Limited (*MainPower*) wishes to be a party to the appeal by Christchurch International Airport Limited (*CIAL*) against the decision of Waimakariri District Council (the *Respondent*) on the Proposed Waimakariri District Plan (*Proposed Plan*).

CIAL's Interest in these proceedings

- 2 MainPower is a person who made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
- MainPower is also a person who has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the public generally in that it owns and operates the electricity distribution network that serves the North Canterbury and Kaikoura regions (covering the Waimakariri, Hurunui and Kaikoura Districts). The need to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the electricity distribution network is a matter of importance to the Waimakariri District.
- 4 MainPower is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 208CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (*RMA*).
- MainPower is interested in matters that may affect its ability to operate, maintain, develop and upgrade the electricity distribution network. Specifically, MainPower is interested in CIAL's appeal points that relate to the recognition and protection of important infrastructure as follows:
 - (a) SD-O3:
 - (b) SD-O4;
 - (c) UFD-P2;
 - (d) UFD-P9;
 - (e) EI-P1;
 - (f) EI-P5; and

(g) EI-P6.

MainPower's position on relief sought

- 6 MainPower supports the relief sought by CIAL to the extent that it relates to the protection of important infrastructure. MainPower supports the relief sought by CIAL because:
 - (a) It is consistent with the matters contained in MainPower's submissions and further submissions, and addressed in evidence throughout the Proposed Plan hearings process;
 - (b) MainPower sought similar relief to ensure adequate recognition and protection of important infrastructure in the Proposed Plan; and
 - (c) MainPower considers that the relief sought by CIAL will give better effect to the relevant requirements of the RMA and will better achieve the purpose of the RMA; and
 - (d) It results in the most appropriate planning framework in terms of section 32 of the RMA.

Mediation

7 MainPower agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Signed for and on behalf of MainPower New Zealand Limited by its solicitors and authorised agents Anderson Lloyd:

Dated this 12 day of September 2025

J M Appleyard / M E Davidson

Corrysia

Counsel for MainPower New Zealand Limited

Address for service for Rolleston Industrial Developments Limited, Carter Group Property Limited and CSI Property Limited:

C/- Jo Appleyard/ Meg Davidson Anderson Lloyd

Floor 2.

The Regent Building, 33 Cathedral Square, Christchurch 8011

Phone: 03 450 0700 Fax: 03 450 0799

Email: jo.appleyard@al.nz | meg.davidson@al.nz

Advice

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court in Christchurch.