

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA ON WEDNESDAY, 13 AUGUST 2025 AT 7PM.**

**PRESENT**

J Gerard QSO (Chairperson), K Barnett, R Brine, M Clarke, M Fleming, J Goldsworthy, L McLure, B McLaren, J Ward, S Wilkinson and P Williams.

**IN ATTENDANCE**

B Cairns (Kaiapoi-Woodend Ward Councillor).

K LaValley (General Manager Planning, Regulation and Environment), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer), G MacLeod (Greenspace Manager), K Howat (Parks and Facilities Team Leader), M Edgar (Assets Officer – Greenspace), C Taylor-Claude (Parks Officer), B Dollery (Biodiversity Team Leader), P Daly (Road Safety Coordinator), T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader) and A Connor (Governance Support Officer).

Six members of the public were present.

**1. APOLOGIES**

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: L McClure

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives and sustains** apologies for absence from I Campbell.

**CARRIED**

**2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

There were no conflicts declared.

**3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

**3.1. Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 9 July 2025**

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: J Goldsworthy

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Confirms**, as a true and accurate record, the circulated Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting, held on 9 July 2025.

**CARRIED**

**3.2. Matters Arising (From Minutes)**

There were no matters arising from the Minutes.

3.3. **Workshop notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 9 July 2025**

Moved: M Fleming

Seconded: J Goldsworthy

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the circulated Notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board workshop, held on 9 July 2025.

**CARRIED**

4. **DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

4.1 **Pilot Community Shopping Proposal – Sam Fisher**

S Fisher stated that while looking at hubs of activity, he found the Rangiora area had eight op shops. He wished to facilitate more regular visitors to the op shops by providing a specialised bus service to the various op shops. He had found a driver which may solve the previous insurance concerns. The plan was to start promoting the service with older people at retirement homes. This could eventually become a commercial service, bringing residents to town from Christchurch and other towns in North Canterbury. However, S Fisher needed funds to initiate the proposal and would, therefore, be charging for the service once it commenced.

B McLaren asked what the Board could do to support this project. S Fisher noted that Enterprise North Canterbury (ENC) had indicated that this was not a priority for them; however, if the Board advocated for the project, this could change.

M Clarke noted that the Minibus Trust operated a shopper bus in Rangiora, with a cost of \$2 per trip. S Fisher advised he had approached the Trust; however, the initial costs were too significant for it to be a viable option.

K Barnett questioned whether S Fisher had considered the target market, because although older people visited the op shops, a large number of younger people also did. S Fisher highlighted that, while they were focusing on older people for the time being, he also wanted to integrate younger people. The target market was women over the age of 20.

M Fleming wondered if S Fisher had considered a commercial bus service, similar to Kinetic, which offered grants and services. S Fisher had not spoken to Kinetic but had spoken to other services and would further investigate.

4.2 **Highfield Lane Residents – David Armin**

D Armin stated as that as households grew the need for parking also grew. He felt it was essential to have overflow capability due to cars not always having space for off-street parking. Highfield Lane was a narrow one-lane street, and he had concerns that installing no-stopping restrictions would push vehicles down the lane, increasing pedestrian traffic. This was a solution trying to fix a problem that did not exist and could set a precedent for other cul-de-sacs. The tenant living and operating a home business from his property had provided off-street parking for their customers and was therefore no longer contributing to the perceived increase in vehicles parking on the lane.

R Brine sought clarity on the home ownership. D Armin clarified that he owned the property, which he then rented out. The tenant in the house owned and operated the home business.

R Brine then asked how D Armin believed the majority of the residents in Highfield Lane felt regarding no-stopping restrictions. D Armin stated that, from his perspective, it was split down the middle for those who did and did not want the restrictions.

K Barnett noted an incident, which occurred in Highfield Lane, and enquired if D Armin knew the details. D Armin confirmed he knew the incident occurred; however, he did not know any details. Nevertheless, from his point of view, he did not understand how a vehicle parked on the lane could have impacted the manoeuvring of an ambulance.

B McLaren questioned if D Armin was aware of any other businesses operating in Highfield Lane. D Armin was certain of one other home business being operated and believed that there may be a few others.

In response to a further query from B McLaren, D Armin noted that he was not aware of any challenges relating to recycling trucks servicing Highfield Lane; however, most vehicles, regardless of size, could not manoeuvre the cul-de-sac in one motion.

K Barnett asked if residents were aware of the drainage works proposed for Highfield Lane. D Armin commented that the works were currently underway. The drainage works would cut into the berm slightly; however, it was overdue and needed. It would not have any impact on the ability to park.

M Fleming questioned whether having no-stopping restrictions on half of the cul-de-sac would aid in vehicles turning. D Armin agreed that there would be some advantages; however, if vehicles did not park in the proposed no-stopping area, there would be nowhere else to park. The lane needed provision for parking.

P Williams noted that most houses on Highfield Lane had off-street parking and questioned whether the on-street parking was utilised and D Armin confirmed it was used regularly.

In response to a question from S Wilkinson, D Armin stated the business operating on his property brought approximately six additional cars a day; however, they did not arrive simultaneously, with only one car parked at a time in the off-street park provided by the business.

## **5. ADJOURNED BUSINESS**

### **5.1. Request approval of No-Stopping Restrictions in Highfield Lane – J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager) and S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer)**

S Binder reported space along a kerb was for the public good and was to be safely shared by residents, visitors, businesses and all travellers. This was a difficult balance to achieve. Parking-related service requests were the second most common road-related request the Council received; however, only a small portion of no-stopping requests were brought to the relevant Community Boards based on merit. In addition to the feedback from residents provided in the report, two further residents provided their perspective. One stated the previous parking issues had been resolved, felt it was still safe for vehicles to park in the proposed area, and they had concerns that the proposed no-stopping lines would prevent visitors from parking in the lane. The second initially stated that the expense of installing no-stopping restrictions was not necessary. However, after being directed to this report they said that if no-stopping lines were installed and it would end the complaints, the minimal cost would be worth it.

S Binder also provided an update on the drainage works being undertaken in Highfield Lane, noting the aim was to clear the existing infrastructure and aid in the flow of water to the wastewater system on Buckleys Road. A minor reshaping of the existing pavement

would take place; however, it would not expand the sealed width. The swale would also be reshaped with a new culvert installed to assist with flow.

K Barnett sought further clarification on the responses that stated the no-stopping restrictions were not going far enough. S Binder commented that some residents wanted no-stopping restrictions along the entire lane.

M Fleming questioned whether staff had any objections to no-stopping restrictions being installed only from the driveways of house four to house six. S Binder explained that there was no standard regarding where no-stopping restrictions could be installed; however, the sealed road space would not be wide enough for vehicles to turn in one manoeuvre regardless of no-stopping restrictions being installed. He believed that adding fewer or more stop lines would have a minimal impact, as the current road configuration had generally functioned well over its lifetime.

L McClure questioned what period of the time service requests were received over, and whether the requests had been received when the home business opened. S Binder confirmed that the requests had been received over the last six months, which appeared to align with the commencement of the home business.

L McClure then inquired whether the issue had been resolved due to the home business offering off-street parking. K LaValley reported that in the previous two and a half months, the Council's Environmental Services Unit had visited Highfield Lane when they were in the area and had not noticed any problems or issued any infringements.

P Williams asked if staff was aware that Rockgas would no longer deliver to properties if there were no-stopping restrictions outside unless the property had sufficient on-site parking. Staff were not aware of Rockgas's policy; however, they believed the driveways on Highfield Lane allowed for the parking of a larger vehicle.

J Goldsworthy asked if the proposed no-stopping restrictions would decrease the number of vehicle movements on Highfield Lane or if other measures would be needed to address the health and safety concerns highlighted in the original service request. J McBride did not believe no-stopping restrictions would change the number of vehicle movements on the Lane. Staff held a workshop with the Utilities and Roading Committee to discuss how they would like to address the issues being faced on the Highfield Lane. Staff would be doing more work on the possibility of future footpath provision, which would address pedestrian safety concerns.

Moved: S Wilkinson

Seconded: K Barnett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** Report No. 250613107325.

**AND**

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board recommends:

**THAT** the Utilities and Roading Committee:

(b) **Approves** retaining the status quo.

**CARRIED**

S Wilkinson supported retaining the status quo, noting that the proposed installation of no-stopping restrictions seemed to be filled with a large amount of emotion.

K Barnett also supported the motion and noted that when looking at only the facts without emotion, there was enough space for vehicles to park on Highfield Lane whilst leaving sufficient room for another vehicle to manoeuvre. This was a cul-de-sac, and the narrowness and speed conditions should be taken into consideration. She welcomed the investigations into pedestrian access.

B McLaren supported the motion, expressing his concern that these seemed to be vexatious complaints and he did not want Council staff time spent on neighbourly disputes.

P Williams advised that he would support the motion; however, he would try and further liaise with Highfield Lane residents before the Utilities and Roading Committee dealt with this matter.

J Ward also supported retaining the status quo, she felt that the concerns of parking associated with the home business had been resolved.

## 6. **REPORTS**

### 6.1. **Request to Approve Consultation on a No-Stopping Restriction for Coronation Street – J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager) and S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer)**

J McBride reported that the request for the installation of no-stopping restrictions on Coronation Street, Rangiora followed several service requests regarding access to the street specifically immediately west of the new traffic lights on Southbrook Road. Coronation Street was purposely designed with a narrow carriageway to discourage rat running. She highlighted this report was only seeking approval to consult with the community on the possibility of no-stopping restrictions.

P Williams asked whether the problem on Coronation Street was created by the cycleway. J McBride did not believe that was the case, as the cycleway emerged onto the road, and the area where the carriageway was still narrow lay to the west of the cycleway access. Regardless, the Council did not wish to encourage rat running. She noted that the complaints were only received when cars were parked on both sides of the road outside number 31 Coronation Street.

P. Williams further enquired whether staff would investigate the Rockgas scenario in this situation. J McBride advised there would be a number of areas across the Waimakariri District where this could occur, and it would be unlikely that the Council could investigate them all.

J Goldsworthy questioned whether there were any plans to increase the width of Coronation Street. J McBride confirmed there were no plans to extend the width of the street as it would encourage more traffic in the area.

In response to a further question from J Goldsworthy, J McBride noted that when the intersection was designed there were fewer businesses in the area requiring on-street parking, and the growth in business activity had impacted the use of on-street parking.

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: K Barnett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** Report No. 250730140367.

**AND**

**THAT** Rangiora-Ashley Community Board recommends:

**THAT** the Utilities and Roading Committee:

- (b) **Approves** staff proceeding with consultation on the installation of No Stopping for a length of 55m between the driveway to no. 31 and Southbrook Road.
- (c) **Notes** that targeted consultation will be undertaken with residents along the length of Coronation Street and businesses in the area and will include an online information / survey form for general public feedback.
- (d) **Notes** that a further report will be submitted to the Community Board with the results of the consultation feedback.

**CARRIED**

B McLaren supported the motion as public consultation would provide clarity whether any change was needed.

K Barnett noted she had observed some challenges with vehicles being parked along Coronation Street or waiting to turn left onto Southbrook Road. She would therefore support the motion.

J Gerard had also experienced the same challenges as K Barnett, highlighting that he and the Mayor went to observe the situation after receiving complaints. He therefore welcomed the public consultation.

P Williams also welcomed the public consultation; however, he was wary of where people would park if the no-stopping restrictions were installed.

6.2. **9 Elm Drive, Rangiora – Tree Removal – M Edgar (Assets Officer – Greenspace)**

K Howat took the report as read, noting that it was the Council's view that the Elm tree situated at 9 Elm Drive, Rangiora should be retained and be maintained in accordance with the Council specifications.

M Fleming asked if elm trees were on the Council's list of trees to plant on berms. K Howat stated it depended on the situation; however, most trees were planted by developers, not the Council. He noted the property owner had observed some root intrusion which could be treated with root guard if necessary.

P Williams enquired whether Elm trees were deciduous and K Howat confirmed they were.

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: S Wilkinson

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250721132683.
- (b) **Approves** the retention of the Elm Tree and maintaining it in accordance with Waimakariri District Council specifications with meaningful consultation with the owner of 9 Elm Drive, Rangiora.
- (c) **Notes** that the retention of the Elm Tree is consistent with Waimakariri District Council's Street and Reserve Trees policy.
- (d) **Notes** that the retention of the Elm Tree is also consistent with the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) recommendation.

B McLaren understood the original request from the property owner was for the tree to be trimmed to prevent shading with less concern for root intrusion. He would like the plan to be developed in conjunction with the owner of 9 Elm Drive, Rangiora who felt maintenance only happened when asked.

S Wilkinson supported this motion as such situations, needed to be dealt with proactively, whilst following the Council's rules and aligning with the expectations from residents.

#### AMENDMENT

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: R Brine

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250721132683.
- (b) **Approves** the retention of the Elm Tree and maintaining it in accordance with Waimakariri District Council specifications.
- (c) **Notes** that the retention of the Elm Tree is consistent with Waimakariri District Council's Street and Reserve Trees policy.
- (d) **Notes** that the retention of the Elm Tree is also consistent with the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) recommendation.

Although K Barnett understood the sentiment behind the original motion, it was not practical for Council to consult with every resident in the Waimakariri District on the maintenance of street trees near their properties. Arborists worked with the specifications they had, which could not always please everyone. She believed that an explanation to the resident outlining the maintenance expectations and the trimming schedule and process would suffice.

R Brine concurred, noting residents not satisfied with the maintenance of street trees near their property could lodge a service request with the Council if needed.

M Fleming also agreed, as consultation with individual property owners could also create expectations for residents not based on Council specifications.

The Amendment became the Substantive Motion.

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: R Brine

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (e) **Receives** Report No. 250721132683.
- (f) **Approves** the retention of the Elm Tree and maintaining it in accordance with Waimakariri District Council specifications.
- (g) **Notes** that the retention of the Elm Tree is consistent with Waimakariri District Council's Street and Reserve Trees policy.
- (h) **Notes** that the retention of the Elm Tree is also consistent with the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) recommendation.

**CARRIED**

#### 6.3. 151 Northbrook Road Food Forest – C Taylor-Claude (Parks Officer) and B Dollery (Biodiversity Team Leader)

B Dollery spoke to the report, advising that approval was being sought for the creation of a food forest in Northbrook Reserve. The Board received a deputation in March 2025 directing staff to scope the project. She noted the original location identified had some challenges; however, the proposed location was much better suited and would provide the

community group with an area to set up their food forest, where it would be visible to the rest of the community.

L McClure questioned what the proposed Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) would entail. G MacLeod explained that it would set boundaries and guidelines for what the community group could and could not do in the designated area of the reserve. It would also state there was no financial delegation or financial expectation from the Council and cover health and safety requirements.

M Fleming asked if there was a water supply on the site. B Dollery noted that a water source had been considered; however, if the plants were planted in August and were well mulched, they should not need watering. There were other water sources nearby, if necessary.

B McLaren queried if soil testing had been undertaken due to the proximity to a Hazardous Activities and Industrial List (HAIL) site, which was not suitable for food production. B Dollery noted that it had not been tested as it was likely a HAIL site due to being a stormwater area. The proposed location was adjacent to the HAIL site and therefore assumed to be safe for planting. G. MacLeod also indicated that there was no reason to suspect chemicals or heavy metals in the soil.

Following a question from P Williams, B Dollery highlighted that all work and planting would be managed and maintained by volunteers. The area would not need irrigation, and if watering was necessary, the cost to the volunteers would be minimal.

Moved: L McClure

Seconded: M Fleming

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250724135832.
- (b) **Approves** approximately 200m<sup>2</sup> located at 151 Northbrook Road for the installation of a Food Forest.
- (c) **Notes** that the project is being driven, funded, and maintained by a group of dedicated community members and volunteers (there is no impact on rates as the group externally funds the project).
- (d) **Notes** that the food forest is not intended to be leased to the group as they are not set up as an official entity. This means if there were no longer interest in supporting the planting, the Council would retain the right to revert this to a low maintenance design. If this were to occur, further reports would be submitted to the Rangiora Ashley Community Board for decision.
- (e) **Notes** that Council staff will work with the lead community members to develop a Memorandum of Understanding to clarify roles, responsibilities, and legislative requirements. This will include the development of a Health and Safety Plan to protect Council staff, volunteers, and the public.
- (f) **Notes** the land in question is currently not used for any other organised recreational use and the Food Forest will not adversely impact any other Northbrook Wetland recreational activities.

**CARRIED**

L McClure stated she had been very supportive of this initiative from the beginning, and many local residents also supported the establishment of a food forest. Comcol had also indicated that they wished to be involved. Several pledges for tree donation had been received, and volunteers were ready for their working bee to get planting.



M Fleming was supportive of the motion, noting that it was good that the site would be visible to the public.

P Williams concurred with the previous speakers and therefore supported the motion.

K Barnett also supported the motion and congratulated all those involved. She was pleased with the proposed location and hoped this would be the first of many food forests in the Rangiora area.

6.4. **Application to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board's 2025/26 Discretionary Grant Fund – T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader)**

T Kunkel reported that the Mainland Staffordshire Bull Terrier Society was requesting funding to purchase a gazebo to use at the Championship Show being held at the Rangiora Show Grounds. The application complied with the Board's Discretionary Grant Application Criteria as it was from a non-profit organisation and was for less than \$1,000; however only 30% of the Society members resided in the Rangiora-Ashley Ward.

Moved: M Fleming

Seconded: B McLaren

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 250708123449.
- (b) **Approves** a grant of \$400 to the Mainland Staffordshire Bull Terrier Society for a branded gazebo during the South Island Show Jump Camps to be held in January 2026.

**CARRIED**

M Fleming noted that whilst only 30% of the members were local to the Rangiora-Ashley Ward, the Championship Show event was open to the public. The gazebo would also benefit the public with responsible dog ownership education.

B McLaren noted that whilst the gazebo would be used across Canterbury, the Championship Show would bring many people into Rangiora.

T Kunkel reported that the Southbrook Community Sports Club was in the process of replacing its clubhouse. They were, therefore, requesting \$575 to draft plans for their new facility, which they would be used to raise further funds.

Moved: R Brine

Seconded: J Ward

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (c) **Approves** a grant of \$575 to the Southbrook Community Sports Club Inc. towards the cost of design plans for its new Club facilities.

**CARRIED**

R Brine highlighted the Council allocated \$1.3 million to the Club for the replacement of its clubhouse in its 2024-34 Long Term Plan on the basis that they raised a further \$2 million. The Club had investigated various options at different locations in North Canterbury to ascertain what they could develop for a lower cost. R Brine further noted that the Club was considering changing its name to reflect the wider use of the facility as a Community Hub. A large amount of work was being completed behind the scenes to replace the Club's facilities as affordably as possible.

J Ward also supported the motion and she believed that the revamping of the facility was critical. North Canterbury was facing a crisis with sporting bodies folding due to a lack of funding. Hence, it was essential to support and provide fit-for-purpose facilities for the wellbeing of the community. Member fees mostly went to competition organisers rather than directly to the club, it was therefore important that the community rallied and raised the funds.

B McLaren did want to see this project go ahead; however, he had concerns that the proposed design plan may not be detailed enough to be used to raise the remaining funds.

J Gerard supported the motion; however, he did share B McLaren's concerns. During the development of the Dudley Aquatic Facility, the grant from the Board aided in creating plans that were used to solicit funds from the community. He hoped that the same could be done for the Southbrook Community Sports Club.

K Barnett also had similar reservations, as the Board had a limited Discretionary Grant funding, and the Club had already received a substantial amount of funding from the Council. However, there was the question of intent and whether the Board supported the Club trying their best to get the project off the ground. She felt broader development of a Community Hub that would be exciting and therefore would support the motion.

P Williams highlighted that the Club had \$100,000 in the bank, with funds increasing. It also received \$24,000 from the Council for building maintenance.

In his right of reply, R Brine clarified that the project would not cost \$3.9 million and that the Club was aware that any proposed development over \$3 million was unrealistic. That was why it was considering more cost effective options. The \$100,000 was a loan from the Saracens Rugby Football Club and would be paid back. He acknowledged that the Club received \$24,000 from the Council, of which \$18,000 was for insurance. The remaining \$6,000 were to cover all maintenance of Club buildings for the year. He encouraged all Board members to attend the Club's Annual General meeting on Tuesday 15 August 2025 to see all the hard work being done.

T. Kunkel reported that the North Canterbury Pony Club comprised several affiliated clubs, including the Rangiora Pony Club. They had applied for funding towards the hiring of portable toilets at South Island Show Jump Champs that they would be hosting in January 2026. She noted that the application complied with the Board's Discretionary Grant Application Criteria, as the Club was a not-for-profit organisation, and the funding requested of \$840 was less than the Board's general limit of \$1,000 per financial year. However, the South Island Show Jump Champs was not being hosted within the Rangiora-Ashley Ward and only 20 % of the Club members resided in the ward. She also highlighted that the Club had also applied to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for funding.

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: M Fleming

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(d) **Declines** a grant to the North Canterbury Pony Club.

**CARRIED**

J Gerard stated the Board previously granted the Club \$1,000 towards a cross-country course for the Springston Trophy; however, the event made a net profit of \$11,000. Therefore, whilst he supported the Club, he felt they did not need the funds.

## 7. CORRESPONDENCE

### 7.1. Rangiora and Kaiapoi Town Centres Parking Management Plans – Adopted by Council

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: J Goldsworthy

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** correspondence No. 250708123636.

**CARRIED**

## 8. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

### 8.1. Chair's Diary for July 2025

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: K Barnett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 250630118280.

**CARRIED**

## 9. MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

9.1. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 2 July 2025.

9.2. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 14 July 2025.

9.3. Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Meeting Minutes 21 July 2025.

9.4. May 2025 Flood Event Response and Recovery – Expenditure and Funding Sources – Report to Council Meeting 1 July 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

9.5. Adoption of Alcohol Control Bylaw – Report to Council Meeting 1 July 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

9.6. Libraries Update to 3 July 2025 – Report to Community and Recreation Committee Meeting 15 July 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

9.7. Aquatics July Report – Report to Community and Recreation Committee Meeting 15 July 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

9.8. Youth Action Plan Early Engagement Update – Report to Community and Recreation Committee Meeting 15 July 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.

9.9. Approval to Install No-Stopping Restrictions on Flaxton Road at Camwell Park – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 15 July 2025 – Circulates to Rangiora-Ashley and Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Boards.

9.10. Project Update Under Infrastructure Resilience Fund 2024/25 and May 2025 Flood Recovery Progress Update – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 15 July 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.

Public Excluded

9.11. Acquisition of property in Durham Street, Rangiora – Report to Council Meeting 1 July 2025 – Circulates to Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.

Moved: L McClure

Seconded: J Goldsworthy

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the information in Items 9.1 to 9.10.
- (b) **Receives** the separately circulated public excluded information in Item 9.11.

**CARRIED**

## **10. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

### **R Brine:**

- Received a briefing from the New Zealand Police. He hoped for more transparency in the future.
- Joint Landfill Committee. The dividend paid this year was \$19,850,000. Equity to assets was 38.9%. Landfill volumes were trending down partly due to the increasing cost of the levy. Kate Valley had more than 100 years of capability before it would be full. The first electric rubbish truck had been purchased from Sweden with a capacity of 600kms before needing charging

### **J Goldsworthy:**

- The Big Splash would be held on Friday, 22 August 2025.
- Took part in a Hearing Panel for 13 students from Rangiora High School. As part of their curriculum, they had to advocate and attempt to make a change in their local government arena. Key points were youth mental health, youth unemployment, pedestrianising High Street and promoting a female running group for safety. It was interesting to hear their passion and perspectives.
- Attended the Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ) Conference. Clear signals from the Government on what they want councils to do. However they were also extending what Councils would be expected to do. There was a session on the proposed Local Government Systems Amendment Bill.

### **P Williams:**

- Attended Audit and Risk Committee meeting.
- Attended a briefing with the New Zealand Police.
- Attended several Drainage Advisory Group meetings.
- Attended the Waikuku Beach community facility drop-in session.
- Attended Southbrook Development session at Sutton Tools.

### **M Fleming:**

- Attended Waimakariri Access Group meeting, where the condition of the Waikuku Beach toilets was raised.
- Keep Rangiora Beautiful were waiting to plant trees.

### **M Clarke**

- Very lucky to have the St John's Ambulance Service in Rangiora.

### **K Barnett:**

- Attended the Kaiapoi Art Expo.
- Attended the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting in Mandeville.
- Rachel's House Trust Big Splash was upcoming.
- Rangiora High School Leo's Club would be hosting a quiz night to raise funds for Kitty Kingdom.
- Attended Southbrook Development drop-in session at Sutton Tools.

### **J Ward**

- Enjoyed the LGNZ Conference, but was not impressed with the Government saying that councils were not doing their jobs right. Local Government were responsible for more than a third of all Government infrastructure.
- Attended a visit to the Canterbury Museum site.

### **L McClure**

- Attended a native herb planting in Northbrook Reserve that would lead to the new Food Forest.
- Attended the Kaiapoi Art Expo opening.
- Southbrook Sports Club Working Committee Annual General meeting would be held on Tuesday, 15 August 2025.
- Attended the All Boards Training Session.
- Attended the Church Street Market.
- Attended Orana Wildlife Park Focus Group. The focus was on their core goals for the next 50 years and celebrating their 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary.
- Attended Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting. The Public Forum at the beginning of the meeting was very interesting.
- Attended the North Canterbury Youth Futures Expo.

### **B McLaren**

- Had a busy day as a Justice of the Peace at Rangiora Library.
- Attended the All Boards Training Session.
- Attended the Church Street market.
- Attended Neill Prices' funeral.
- Attended Waikuku Beach Development Plan drop-in session.
- Attended the North Canterbury Youth Futures Expo.
- Attended the Southbrook Development session at Sutton Tools.
- Display in Rangiora Library showing the future of the Canterbury Museum.

### **S Wilkinson**

- Attended Southbrook Development session at Sutton Tools.

## **11. CONSULTATION PROJECTS**

Nil.

## **12. BOARD FUNDING UPDATE**

### **12.1. Board Discretionary Grant**

Balance as at 31 July 2025: \$15,102.

### **12.2. General Landscaping Fund**

Balance as at 31 July 2025: \$29,290, carry forward to be calculated.

The Board noted the funding update.

## **13. MEDIA ITEMS**

Nil

**14. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil

**15. URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil

**NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board is scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 10 September 2025.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 9.02PM.

**CONFIRMED**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Chairperson

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

**Workshop (9.02pm – 9.51pm)**

Trim: 250813149208

- *School Speed Zone Planning Members Forum*