

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WOODEND-SEFTON COMMUNITY BOARD HELD AT THE WOODEND COMMUNITY CENTRE, SCHOOL ROAD, WOODEND ON MONDAY 9 JUNE 2025 AT 5.30PM.

PRESENT

S Powell (Chairperson), M Paterson (Deputy Chairperson), B Cairns, R Mather, P Redmond and A Thompson.

IN ATTENDANCE

Mayor D Gordon

K LaValley (General Manager Planning, Regulation and Environment), G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), J Recker (Stormwater and Waterways Manager), G Stephens (Design and Planning Team Leader), J Mason (Greenspace Landscape Architect) and C Fowler-Jenkins (Governance Support Officer).

There were five members of the public present.

1 APOLOGIES

Moved: S Powell Seconded: B Cairns

THAT an apology or absence be received and sustained from I Fong.

CARRIED

2 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts recorded.

3 CONFIRMATION MINUTES

3.1 Minutes of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting – 12 May 2025

Moved: R Mather Seconded: M Paterson

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

- (a) **Confirms**, as a true and accurate record, the circulated minutes of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting held on 12 May 2025.

CARRIED

3.2 Matters Arising (from minutes)

There were no matters arising.

3.3 Notes of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board Workshop– 12 May 2025

Moved: R Mather Seconded: A Thompson

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

- (a) **Receives**, the circulated Notes of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board workshop, held on 12 May 2025.

CARRIED

4 DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS FROM THE COMMUNITY

4.1 John Vanderburg and Angela Bairati – Pegasus Youth Space

J Vanderburg spoke to the Board noting that he and A Bairati wanted to have a say on what was going to happen with the Pegasus Youth space. He stated that they were not against the playground activities, however, they did have some suggestions about the location of the proposed activities. He commented it was good that the youth had their say in the survey and were happy that adults as the ratepayers would also get their say. They lived opposite the Maungatere Reserve and their preferred option was a centralised location regardless of the activities that would be entertained. They believed that the location at Karen Eastwood Park / Waikati Reserve was the best location for the following reasons:

- It was a central location within Pegasus, close to the existing playground.
- There was low impact on nearby residents compared with other sites.
- It was favoured by youth with proximity to the lake and key gathering areas.
- There was existing infrastructure such as parking, toilets and benches.
- It was close to local businesses, promoting community vitality and supporting local businesses.

J Vanderburg stated that he was opposed to the location of Maungatere Reserve, which was mentioned as options for the flying fox and gaga dodgeball. They were opposed for the following reasons:

- Isolated on Pegasus' fringe, fragmenting resources, and wasting the chance to enrich Pegasus' centre.
- It was located in a strictly residential zone.
- It already served as a valued green space for walking, jogging and dog walking.
- The environmental impact on the neighbourhood in terms of visual and noise pollution affecting privacy.
- The risk of becoming a night-time hangout.
- It lacked dedicated parking, paths, toilets and other amenities.
- It had close proximity to Hurunui Reserve (200 metres), which already had a playground.

B Cairns asked if the deputation had lobbied their neighbours in regard to their concerns. J Vanderburg noted they had spoken with one of their neighbours who had liaised with others so there was some support for these concerns.

The Chair thanked the presenters for their deputation.

4.2 Tony Hall – Waikuku Beach Flooding

T Hall spoke to the Board noting he was representing a group of property owners at Waikuku Beach who were concerned about the downstream effects of the Stokes development. He suggested that no new development should be at the expense of an existing community, which he believed it would be. The development would exacerbate further existing issues of flooding around Waikuku Beach brought on by additional flows of water into the Taranaki Stream as a result of both the Pegasus development and Ravenswood. T Hall was aware there had been times where the floodgates had not operated in the way that they should and had caused significant upset in Waikuku Beach.

He had read a Council report where the downstream effects were considered however it acknowledged that Stokes had not completed the plan for downstream effects. He also read the cultural report to the Stokes development, which was described as preliminary, nonspecific and non-exhaustive therefore it would be interesting when it got to detail how the development looked at the requirements for the Taranaki Stream given it was a valuable Mahinga Kai resource for Ngai Tahu. In some of the recommendations within the report it

mentioned that there would be a requirement for a larger culvert to cope with flooding. Residents' contention would be that it should not be enlarged, that was an acknowledgment that there would be more water flowing in the Taranaki Stream and if there was it should be held west of the Main Road and then released at a timely fashion. The environmental report for this said that the emissions of the development once completed would be close to ten times what the emissions were from a dairy farm. He thanked the Council for the proactive work they had done during the last floods and the work they were currently doing to mitigate flooding.

P Redmond asked how the Council could assist residents given the development was on the fast-track proposal. T Hall explained that they did not know what the status was, and if they were going to use the fast-track status.

P Redmond noted that T Hall had implied that a retention area west of State Highway One would be beneficial. T Hall noted that there were stormwater plans available for the development. Residents were raising concerns believing that development had got to a point where enough was enough. They were really concerned about Waikuku Beach, which was the jewel of the crown.

The Chair thanked T Hall for his deputation.

5 ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

6 REPORTS

6.1 Proposed Rooding Capital Works Programme for 2025 / 26 and Indicative Three-year Programme – K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader) and J McBride (Rooding and Transportation Manager)

J McBride spoke to the report which sought the endorsement of the proposed 2025/26 rooding capital works programme. This was for the general allocation of budget which included kerb and channel renewals, footpath renewals, minor safety programme, new footpath programme and bus shelter. The kerb and channel and footpath renewal programmes were generally driven by condition. The last condition rating was undertaken in early 2025. The minor safety programme was based on known safety issues that staff had in their deficiency database and could be a result of service requests. Staff had a prioritised programme for the bus shelter and the new footpath programmes. Bus shelters were largely based on boarding numbers.

A Thompson noted it was hard to find much going on in the Boards' area, and he wondered why that was. J McBride explained there were areas in Kaiapoi and Rangiora which were a bit older and had the older style of dish channels. Whereas areas in Woodend were a bit better because they were newer.

P Redmond asked where on the Woodend footpath the improvements were located. J McBride explained that the Board had previously raised concern regarding sections of footpaths on Main North Road, Woodend Road and Rangiora Woodend Road. There were areas where the power poles had been put in the middle of the footpath with no space for a wheelchair or pram to navigate around. There would be some isolated widening of the footpath done to remedy this.

B Cairns noted that there were issues on Solander Road in Pegasus, of tree roots causing trip hazards on the footpaths. He queried how that would be dealt with. J McBride noted that this covered footpath renewals where the Council did an entire length of a footpath. Uneven footpaths were covered by a footpath maintenance budget.

S Powell enquired what was happening with the five roads intersection. J McBride explained that it was included in the Council's Long Term Plan. The Council had the funding for design and then the construction beyond that. The New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) had agreed to co-fund the design during this three-year period. The Council would then apply for the construction costs in the next three-year period.

S Powell noted the rumble strips in the road on Pegasus Main Street which caused a lot of noise with the buses for the new houses being built. She asked where that would sit. J McBride noted that would come from the general pavement maintenance budget.

Moved: R Mather

Seconded: A Thompson

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250505077283.
- (b) **Endorses** the 2025/26 draft Proposed Rooding Capital Works Programme (Trim: 250411064435).
- (c) **Endorses** the indicative Rooding Capital Works Programme for the 2026/27, 2027/28 and 2028/29 Financial Years.
- (d) **Notes** that feedback from this report, and reports to the other Community Boards, will be taken by staff at the Board meetings and will be incorporated into the final report which is proposed to be taken to the Utilities and Rooding Committee in July 2025 for approval.

CARRIED

R Mather commented it was a good report.

6.2 **Revised Design for the Welcome to Woodend Entrance Sign – J Mason (Greenspace Landscape Architect)**

J Mason spoke to the report which sought the approval for the revised welcome to Woodend sign and the associated budget. Following the Board's decision in May 2024 to proceed with the sign on Rangiora Woodend Road, feedback had now been received from the Runanga's appointed representative and the Runanga had provided a revised design for the sign. She noted staff had since been advised that the Kowhaiwhai pattern was subject to further revision from the Runanga to ensure it accurately reflected the Woodend locality. The updated cost from the design had come in at \$6,500 plus gst.

B Cairns asked if this was for standard sized timber and if it was similar to the sign in Kaiapoi. J Mason noted that it was not similar to the sign in Kaiapoi. Originally, they were timber rounds however the signwriter was proposing squares on standard timber.

B Cairns queried if landscaping was included in the \$6,500 budget. J Mason explained that it was not, however staff could include some vegetation around the base.

R Mather enquired if, when installed, the sign would not be located too close to the hedge. J Mason noted that she would be working with the Rooding team to confirm the placement as there was a 6.5 metre line that was required from the edge of the road. the visibility was of primary concern however it also needed to meet rooding requirements.

P Redmond asked if staff were looking at coordinating these signs to be similar or were they being dealt with in isolation. J Mason stated that they were being treated as separate signs. The Runanga were not happy with the Woodend Beach sign however were supportive of the Woodend sign.

P Redmond queried what sort of cost was involved in getting the cultural input. G Stephens noted that it varied. He hoped with the Woodend Beach sign that some efficiencies could be made with the Woodend Beach development.

B Cairns asked if any cost savings could be made with the installation of both signs. G Stephens explained that there would not be as the locations were close but not close enough to achieve significant efficiencies. Most signs were created off site and installed in one go.

Moved: M Paterson

Seconded: A Thompson

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250508081191.
- (b) **Approves** the revised design for the Welcome to Woodend Sign.

- (c) **Approves** Staff undertaking procurement and installation of the approved Welcome to Woodend Sign at the previously approved location on Rangiora Woodend Road.
- (d) **Notes** the Board currently has \$12,810 allocated for Welcome to Woodend entrance signage from the General Landscaping Budget.
- (e) **Notes** the Board have agreed that no budget should be used for entrance signage to the South and North of Woodend on the Main North Road at this point due to the unknown impacts of the proposed future Wooden bypass.
- (f) **Notes** that the proposed design is replicable in the remaining two entrances in the future when these are able to be progressed.
- (g) **Notes** that staff have undergone consultation on the signs with the community and further refined the preferred designs to be more unique to the area and include cultural designs.
- (h) **Notes** Ngāi Tūāhuriri Runanga have an interest in these signs and have provided staff with a representative to revise/approve the proposed sign design who has provided feedback on appropriate wording as well as a modified design which includes a traditional Kowhaiwhai pattern.
- (i) **Notes** that the estimated cost of the implementation of this sign is \$6,500 which is within budget available.

CARRIED

The meeting was adjourned for a workshop on Waikuku Flooding from 6:15pm to 7pm.

7 CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

8 CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

8.1 Chairpersons Report for May 2025

Moved: S Powell

Seconded: R Mather

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the report from the Woodend-Sefton Community Board Chairperson (Trim: 250604099756).

CARRIED

9 MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

- 9.1. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 7 May 2025.
- 9.2. Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Meeting Minutes 14 May 2025.
- 9.3. Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Meeting Minutes 19 May 2025.
- 9.4. Northern Pegasus Bay Bylaw 2024 Implementation Plan and Advisory Group Terms – Report to Council Meeting 6 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards
- 9.5. Submission to Central Government Consultations April 2025 – Report to Council Meeting 6 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards
- 9.6. Council Submissions Process and Delegation – Report to Council Meeting 6 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards
- 9.7. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report March 2025 to Current – Report to Council Meeting 6 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards

- 9.8. Progress Update on Capital Work Renewals Programmes and Sports Ground Growth Programme for Greenspace – Report to Community and Recreation Committee Meeting 20 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.9. Libraries Update to 8 May 2025 – Report to Community and Recreation Committee Meeting 20 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.10. Aquatics May Report – Report to Community and Recreation Committee Meeting 20 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.11. Draft Annual Plan 2025-2026 Special Consultative Procedure – Report to Council Meeting 27 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.12. Drainage Staff Submission to Annual Plan 2025/26 – Report to Council Meeting 27 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.13. Water Supply – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2025/26 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 27 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.14. Wastewater – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2025 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 27 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.15. Road Staff Submission to the 2025/26 Annual Plan – Request Changes to the Road Capital Works Budget – Report to Council Meeting 27 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.16. Greenspace and Strategic and Special Projects Staff Submission to the 2025/26 Annual Plan – Report to Council Meeting 27 May 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.

Moved: R Mather

Seconded: B Cairns

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the information in Items 9.1 to 9.16.

CARRIED

10 MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

B Cairns

- Pegasus Residents Group Meeting.
 - Matariki Morning Tea at Pegasus School on 17 June 2025.
 - 20 June 2025 at 6pm they have a talk from Joseph Hullen and then a walk around the wetlands and return to the community centre for a BBQ.
 - 16 July 2025 Annual General Meeting.
 - The group were considering reinstating a community cuppa to fill the gap left by Ronels Cuppa.
- Youth Action plan had kicked off with various events around the district, at this stage 180 surveys had been completed, with schools like Kaiapoi High promising to have the entire school participate. Posters are to go up in various establishments to capture the 18-24 year old views as to what works and what doesn't work for youth in the district. So far the team had seen many of the youth not understanding what a Council does. The team had been very flexible in terms of how they were trying to capture as much information as possible from across the entire district with a demographic which mingled and talked a different language to what we were used too.
- Waimakariri Welcoming Communities first stake holder meeting took place last week, with loads of talk and enthusiasm. With more meetings planned, with an outcome of having action plans on how we can better welcome people to our community. The aim was to have an event in September 2025 which was welcoming communities' month.
- The promotion review had been delayed, with options to come before the new Council and the Long Term Plan.
- North Canterbury Food bank meals provided by the month, 29,191 in February, 33,241 in March and 38,871 meals handed out in April.
- Food Secure North Canterbury (FSNC) were to create videos hosted by Jo Seager to encourage people to grow and eat healthy food.

- In August, FSNC were looking at holding a food security forum in Hurunui and in 2026 looking at developing a bountiful backyards program to inspire people to grow their own food.
- On 24 June 2025 Council would learn about a proposed Housing Action Plan, as there was no transitional housing or emergency housing in the district and limited social housing. Otautahi Christchurch had the potential to come out to the Waimakariri.
- Woodend Pegasus strategy workshop would be held with the Council on the 24 June.

M Paterson

- Signs had been installed at Owen Stalker Park.

P Redmond

- Speed limit change on State Highway One – four schools supported the higher speed limit.

R Mather

- Appointed as a member of the Pegasus Community Centre Project Steering Group.

11 CONSULTATION PROJECTS

11.1 Volleyball Net Installation at Kaiapoi Domain

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/volleyball-net-installation-at-kaiapoi-domain>

Consultation closes Friday 13 June 2025.

11.2 Draft Community Development Strategy 2025-2035 – Whakawhānake Hapori o Waimakariri

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/draft-community-development-strategy-2025-2035-whakawhanake-hapori-o-waimakariri>

Consultation closes Sunday 22 June 2025.

11.3 Inclusive Waimakariri- Draft Accessibility Plan

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/inclusive-waimakariri-draft-accessibility-plan>

Consultation closes Sunday 22 June 2025.

11.4 2025 Environmental Awards

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/2025-environmental-awards>

Applications close Saturday 28 June 2025.

The Board noted the consultation projects.

12 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

12.1 Board Discretionary Grant

Balance as at 31 May 2025: \$2,375.

12.2 General Landscaping Budget

Balance as at 31 May 2025: \$14,326.

The Board noted the funding update.

13 MEDIA ITEMS

Nil.

14 QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

15 URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil.

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board is scheduled for 5.30pm, Monday 14 July 2025 at the Woodend Community Centre, School Road, Woodend.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 7:20PM.

CONFIRMED



Chairperson

14 July 2025

Date

Workshop

- *Waikuku Rain Event – Jason Recker (Stormwater and Waterways Manager) – 20 minutes*
- *Members Forum*