

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL DELIBERATIONS OF THE DRAFT 2024-2034 LONG TERM PLAN HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA COMMENCING AT 9AM ON TUESDAY 21 MAY 2024.

PRESENT:

Mayor D Gordon, Councillors A Blackie, R Brine, B Cairns, T Fulton, G Goldsworthy, N Mealings, P Redmond and J Ward.

IN ATTENDANCE:

J Milward (Chief Executive), G Bell (Acting General Manager Finance and Business Support), G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading), C Brown (General Manager Community and Recreation), S Hart (General Manager, Strategy, Engagement and Economic Development), K LaValley (General Manager Planning, Regulation and Environment), C Roxburgh (Project Delivery Manager), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager), J Recker (Stormwater and Waterways Manager), G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager), D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager), M Greenwood (Aquatic Facilities Manager), T Sturley (Community Team Manager), M Bacon (Development Planning Manager), M Maxwell (Strategy and Business Manager), S Nichols (Governance Manager), H Street (Corporate Planner), E Stubbs (Governance Support Officer), K Rabe (Governance Advisor) and A Smith (Governance Coordinator).

The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 11am and reconvened at 11.20am.

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 1.40pm and reconvened at 2.20pm.

The public excluded portion of the meeting commenced at 2.20pm and concluded at 2.45pm.

The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 4.35pm and reconvened at 4.50pm.

1. APOLOGIES

Moved: Councillor Goldsworthy Seconded: Councillor Mealings.

Apologies were received and sustained from Deputy Mayor Atkinson and Councillor Williams.

CARRIED

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Nil.

Mayor Gordon provided an overview of how the meeting may run with reports being considered first and then submissions. He acknowledged the work of staff to ensure that sound budget information is presented for consideration and commented on the challenges of the economic climate as the Council work through deliberations.

3. PRESENTATIONS

An overview was provided by G Bell (Acting General Manager Finance and Business Support).

G Bell presented a summary of the financial context. When the draft LTP documents went to the public for consultation, it was a prudent, and balanced plan for the community needs, with good headroom, necessary but not excessive rate increases whilst allowing for new initiatives and service levels. Since the draft was published new information has come to hand, with community views and submissions, along with staff reviewing some aspects of the budgets for changes. The financial information before the Council for consideration today takes into account the staff adjustments.

	Draft LTP	Draft LTP after staff submissions
	2024/25	2024/25
	\$000	\$000
Revenue	189,530	195,572
Expenses	158,738	162,561
Surplus	30,792	33,011
Capex	53,511	91,811
Borrowing	233,713	236,724

G Bell spoke to the summary of changes with both revenue and expenses higher. It should be noted that not all changes have rates impacts.

	Draft LTP		Draft LTP after staff submissions
	Average rates	Average rates	Average rates
	2023/24	2024/25	2024/25
	\$000	\$000	\$000
Rates revenue	88,360	97,856	98,554
UAGCs	27,981	28,289	28,289
Average rates increase %		8.94%	9.39%

G Bell advised the rates impact, at the time of the draft LTP was 8.94% and now it is proposed an average rate of 9.39%.

Expense changes have reduced with some savings found. There are also revenue changes along with operational expenditure carry-overs having an impact, along with housing for the elderly being the main capital changes and some are timing changes that have been captured in the staff reports.

G Bell spoke to a presentation slide showing the rates impact over ten years. While there is a spike in the 2024/25 year he reminded the Council that they are only setting the rates for one year however the strategy is for 10 years. He spoke of how the budgets flows through to the second year of the LTP and then balance out.

G Bell mentioned options for reducing rates such as land sales, borrowing (short term solution), savings/change of level of service. He emphasised that we are operating in an uncertain environment with government reforms, interest rates, depreciation, inflation and reduced roading subsidies. There was a strong likelihood of being in this environment for the next couple of years yet and decisions today had to keep matters in context.

Mayor Gordon wondered if one of the drivers was insurance. G Bell advised that uncontrollable costs such as insurance was driving upwards and that was due to a number of factors. Two broad factors were the value of assets increasing, (as does the cover) and the level of natural disasters locally and worldwide which flow through globally and locally. Aspects of professional indemnity were affecting Council's' and 2023 Supreme Court decisions were making insurers wary of risk which in turn all has serious impacts on the costs. Currently staff are working closely with the insurers for the renewal process.

J Millward reminded the Council that rates had started at in excess of 19% when the budget process started and the prolonging of inflation has continued to impact. The Council either pass on costs or reduce the level of service to the community. J Millward updated the Council on insurance and depreciation adding 3% on rates. Management have spread the depreciation over nine years of the LTP and he explained the rational in a high inflationary environment. The Annual Report would be released in October and will show a 15% movement. It was advised that Councils nationwide are under a lot of pressure with mid-range of 15% for rate increases. Staff worked hard to keep to minimal increases from rates that went to the community consultation in March. The Mayor had discussed with the Management and was assured that every lever has been pulled to get to the average rate, without compromising the level of service to the community. Land sales is an option and staff will be encouraged to continue to work within the situation to assist with year two of the LTP.

Mayor Gordon commented that all Council's were affected by substantial increases in the current insurance market, which attributed to very sobering considerations.

4. REPORTS

4.1 Draft Long Term Plan 2024-2034 Special Consultative Procedure – H Street (Corporate Planner) and S Docherty (Senior Policy Analyst)

The report was taken as read.

Moved: Councillor Blackie

Seconded: Councillor Redmond

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. LTC-03-20 / 240426065872.
- (b) **Receives** all 336 submissions and 1661 associated submission points raised by submitters, which are included in the 'Deliberations Pack' previously distributed to Councillors.
- (c) **Notes** Hearings were held on Wednesday 8 May, Thursday 9 May and Friday 10 May 2024, with 62 submitters heard by the Council.

CARRIED

4.1a CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSIONS TO THE DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2024 - 2034

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Goldsworthy

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receive** all public submissions, **pro forma**, noting the decisions would be finalised at the end of the meeting.
- (b) **Notes** that Councillors were welcome to suggest amendments to recommendations as each public submission point is discussed through the agenda.
- (c) **Notes** minor wording changes to the public responses are considered by Councillor agreement as each submission is discussed through the agenda.

CARRIED

4.2 Roading Staff Submission May 2024 – Request Changes to the Roothing Capital Works Budget – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roothing) and J McBride (Roothing and Transportation Manager)

J McBride commented there were currently no allowance for maintenance. The Williams Street bridge upgrade was allocated in years four and five. Waka Kotahi were reviewing emergency funding repairs due to rainfall, with no allowance proposed and the National list of funding would not be known until September 2024, which caused uncertainty until then.

Mayor Gordon commented on maintenance and leaf fall. G Cleary suggested consideration of two other roading reports before a decision of this report.

Councillor Blackie enquired about the gravel pit and what implications were if the project was pushed out. J McBride advised there was a need to purchase land for a continuing gravel source. Weather events have been utilising the current gravel pits.

At this point the meeting moved to consider items 4.3 and 4.4 before returning to consider this report. The order has remained that of the agenda order.

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240509074629.
- (b) **Approves** the budget changes as shown in **Table One** below.

Table One: Proposed Budget Changes				
Project	Draft Long Term Plan 2024 - 2027 (\$000)	Updated LTP Budget 2024 - 2027 (\$000)	Updated Budget (Year)	Comments
Tram Rd / Oxford Rd Intersection Improvements and RIAWS	250	250	2025/26	Shift budget within LTP period. Move budget of \$250,000 from 2024/25 out to 2025/26.
Tram Rd / Two Chain Rd Intersection Improvements and RIAWS	250	250	2025/26	Shift budget within LTP period. Move budget of \$250,000 from 2024/25 out to 2025/26.
Todds Rd / Fernside Rd Intersection Improvements	414	685	No change in year delivered. (2024/25)	Additional budget requested for the upgrading of the intersection including removal of the concrete headwalls on Todds Rd and replacement of the culvert.
Leaf Fall Sweeping – Additional budget for Queen Street	19	29	No change in year delivered. (Annual Budget)	Additional budget requested to allow for additional street sweeping / sump cleaning on Queen Street, not previously allowed for within maintenance budgets.
Lees Valley Resilience Works and Bypass Bridge Replacement with Concrete Ford	780	780	2024/25	Shift budget within LTP period. Bring forward \$150,000 of budget from 2026/27 into 2024/25 to allow for new culverts in Lees Valley Rd and construction of a concrete ford to replace the Bypass Bridge
Gravel Pit Land Purchase	0	1,000	2024/25	New budget to allow for land purchase for a future gravel pit for unsealed road metalling, noting revenue of \$450,000 is held so the difference to fund is \$550,000.
Widen culvert on Townsend Rd	350	350	2025/26	Shift budget within LTP period. Move budget of \$350,000 from 2024/25 out to 2025/26, with carryover (also \$350,000 from 2023/24) with a total budget of \$700,000.
School Safety Improvements	550	550	2026/27	Shift budget within LTP period. Move budget of \$550,000 from 2024/25 out to 2026/27. Awaiting clarification on changes to legislation for setting speeds around schools.
TOTAL	2,613	3,494		

(c) **Approves** the budget changes from 2023/24 including moving budgets out to future years as shown in **Table Two** below.

Table Two: Budget Changes from 2023/24 including shifting budgets				
Project	2023/24 Budgets (\$000)	Updated LTP Budget 2024 - 2027 (\$000)	Updated Budget (Year)	Comments
Durham St Land Purchase for Carparking	3,000	2,800	2024/25	Reallocate existing budget from the Durham St Land Purchase for Carparking budget (carry over from 2023/24 of \$3M) to allow for Improvements to the carparks as below. This is from an existing budget which has commitments of \$1.9M.
Town Centre Carpark (Ashley Street) Layout Improvements	0	150	2024/25	Reallocate existing budget from the Durham St Land Purchase for Carparking budget (carry over from 2023/24 of \$3M) to allow for Improvements to the carpark including widening the footpath and removing wheel stops. This is from an existing budget.
Town Centre Carpark (Alfred Street) Layout Reconfiguration	0	50	2024/25	Reallocate existing budget from the Durham St Land Purchase for Carparking budget (carry over from 2023/24 of \$3M) to allow for reconfiguration of the around the old Bunnings site. This is from an existing budget.

Marsh Rd / Railway Rd Intersection Design	50	50	2026/27	Shift budget within LTP period. Move budget of \$250,000 from 2023/24 out to 2026/27.
Widen culvert on Townsend Rd	350	350	2025/26	Shift budget within LTP period. Carry over budget of \$350,000 from 2023/24 to be moved out to 2025/26, with LTP budget (also \$350,000) with a total budget of \$700,000.
School Safety Improvements	690	690	2027/28	Shift budget within LTP period. Move budget of \$690,000 from 2023/24 out to 2027/28. Awaiting clarification on changes to legislation for setting speeds around schools.
TOTAL	4,090	4,090		

- (d) **Notes** that carry over projects from 2023/24 have been included in the updated budgets.
- (e) **Notes** that the outcome of the National Land Transport Programme application is unlikely to be known until September 2024, and there is a risk some projects within the Long Term Plan will not receive co-funding. Should this occur then a report will be brought to Council on this matter.
- (f) **Notes** that overall there is no impact on the Rooding rates due to the proposed changes, as these are primarily moving budget between years and therefore these small changes can be smoothed to achieve a zero increase overall.
- (g) **Notes** that there is currently no allowance made within Council budgets for responding to emergency events.
- (h) **Notes** that there is currently no allowance in the next three years for maintenance of the Williams Street Bridge Balustrade, and that funding for the replacement of the balustrade currently sits in 2027/28.
- (i) **Circulates** this **report** to the Community Boards for information.

CARRIED

Mayor Gordon commented on the importance to residents for regular maintenance on leaf fall in Queen Street and the situation was currently being reviewed. It was a busy area and the community have strong views. He was supportive of the motion.

Councillor Brine acknowledged the Queen Street tree situation with leaf fall but noted that other streets in the district suffer similar afflictions.

Councillor Redmond stated he would support the motion. He reflected on the Lees Valley resilience works which are proactive as the road is critical for residents and the unique area contributes to the district economy, therefore was supportive of this project. The gravel pit was needed as there is ongoing need for gravel so this project needs to proceed. With regard to the Townsend Road culvert, Councillor Redmond commented on this being another link into Rangiora and with increasing traffic on that route it was essential the road be widened.

Councillor Fulton endorsed comments regarding Lees Valley and thanked staff for their diligence in an area with a challenging environment and the resilience of the residents with rainfall and road conditions. The current gravel pits are reducing in life expectancy and the Council need to plan for the future, therefore he was supportive of the motion.

Councillor Mealings believed this was a pragmatic reshuffle of resources. She commented it was a bit disappointing that the Tram Road safety improvements were pushed out a year, but was accepting of the situation if it is only one year. Councillor Mealings commented on the need for gravel sourced locally and the Lees Valley project must be done. She was appreciative of staff juggling complex roading projects.

Mayor Gordon, in his right of reply, commented on the Townsend Road culvert and school safety with work included in the budget. Lees Valley is important to be maintained and during a recent visit to the area it was clear that users and residents showed great community spirit. Mayor Gordon acknowledged work put in however there was much more to be done in this area. He noted that there were more challenges ahead as roading contracts were coming up and meeting expectations of the community would need to be carefully balanced.

4.3 Roading Staff Submission May 2024 – Rangiora Eastern Link Road Summary of Submissions
– G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading) and J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager)

J McBride noted there were 170 submissions received with 100 people supportive of the Council option; 35 people did not support; 21 wanting the timing moved out, and six submissions not support the other options. The majority of submitters were in favour of including the Eastern Bypass link in the LTP.

Mayor Gordon commented on a discussion last week with Waka Kotahi and that for the project to be considered it has to be included in the LTP. J McBride confirmed that was the case for the project to be put forward for Waka Kotahi consideration. There was a similar situation with Skew Bridge.

Councillor Ward sought clarification that by putting the Eastern Bypass into the LTP there is no impact on rates in this year. J McBride commented that first there is some work required for the business case and then 90% of funding is required in 2028-30. There would be some funding in this coming financial year for funding preparation.

In a supplementary question Councillor Ward sought clarification that if the project was not in the LTP then the Development Contributions were at risk. Staff confirmed this was the situation. Councillor Ward then commented that if the project is delayed it will cost more with inflation and because of capex projects are behind (34% completed in next year) enquired if planning staff will have the time to put into both the Eastern Bypass and Skew Bridge projects. G Cleary advised there are timing considerations of the projects due to the deliverability with consents, property purchase, design, etc and lot of work up front however the big costs do come in the later years.

Councillor Fulton enquired if performance on the Rangiora link improved the Tuahiwi-Rangiora road connections being all linked. J McBride reflected that whilst the projects are helpful occurring together it does not need to happen together however they interrelate on the overall roading network. In a supplementary question

In a supplementary question Councillor Fulton enquired if problems are created because of the timing. G Cleary advised this was not the case but other projects do need to be conscious of each component and they can be done as individual projects.

Councillor Redmond sought clarification if no Waka Kotahi funding was forthcoming and the Council support the option today, where did that leave the project. J McBride advised the project would be paused and a report would be brought back to the Council. The current recommendation allows staff to get started. It was a significant decision for the Council and further consultation with the Council for future direction would occur. Councillor Redmond clarified that the Council was not committing to the project if no funding was forthcoming from Waka Kotahi. Staff confirmed that was the situation.

Councillor Redmond asked staff if there was a choice to prioritise Skew Bridge or the Eastern Bypass which would they recommend. Staff advised that both projects had significant impacts on the roading network however the Eastern Bypass would be the higher priority between the two.

Councillor Blackie sought clarification on the business case, enquiring if Lineside Road and other pinch points into Kaiapoi has impacts with Waka Kotahi. Staff did not believe it needs to be contingent on Waka Kotahi funding as the next three years would involve design and consenting. While it was better if the two projects are linked and coordinated it is not essential.

Councillor Goldsworthy enquired how the Eastern Bypass impacts on rating impacts and the benefits to the eastern links. Staff commented on the Woodend bypass helping with north/northwest traffic, with the Eastern link also feeding in. The Woodend bypass only has links to Pineacres and Pegasus. The Council always has options on how it rates however the Council has taken a district wide network perspective. The Eastern link road as example involves 25% development contributions, 25% from district wide contribution and 50% from ratepayers (aside from Waka Kotahi funding).

In a supplementary question Councillor Goldsworthy enquired if the Council took it a step further how would it impact on all other rating services such as 3waters. G Cleary advised he did not believe this was significant enough a project to change the rating model. J Millward commented that it was something to consider with the next rating review, however there are other complications such as roading damage and subsidies for different types of vehicles.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Mayor Gordon

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240514076789.
- (b) **Approves** the inclusion of the Rangiora Eastern Link Road in the Long Term Plan as consulted upon.
- (c) **Notes** that the majority of consultation feedback was in favour of progressing the construction of the Rangiora Eastern Link Road (65.4%).
- (d) **Notes** staff have submitted this project as part of the application to National Land Transport Plan application to NZ Transport Agency (Waka Kotahi).
- (e) **Notes** that the outcome of the application to the National Land Transport Plan 2024-2027 is unlikely to be known until September 2024.
- (f) **Notes** that the outcome of the National Land Transport Plan 2024–2027 is known, staff will a report to council to confirm direction forward.
- (g) **Notes** that prior to funding being confirmed staff will be progressing enabling discussions with NZ Transport Agency, KiwiRail, and Environment Canterbury, as well as preparing information to support a future business case.
- (h) **Notes** that the proposed funding split between Levels of Service and Growth for this project will be confirmed during the development of the Detailed Business Case and until such time the level of co-funding available will remain uncertain.

CARRIED

Councillor Ward stated she was supportive of the motion as this was the first step of getting the project accepted into the LTP. Councillor Ward believed any delay would be financially detrimental and inflation would take over with increased costs. The Western side of Rangiora needed a link road as well as the Skew Bridge upgrade to cater for the growing district. Councillor Ward believed that if the project was delayed it would cause a negative knock-on effect for sustainability and businesses to operate, along with increasing gridlock in Southbrook. Councillor Ward firmly stated that planning must start now to meet obligations to ratepayers.

Mayor Gordon acknowledged Councillor Ward as a passionate advocate of the project. He was supportive of including the project in the LTP to aid funding and build case with Waka Kotahi. Mayor Gordon spoke of not supportive of the project being funded 100% by rates and he would not let the government off the hook for a fair contribution, therefore he would continue to advocate for funding. The public expect the Council to cater for growth. Mayor Gordon emphasised to the Council that this was not just one project, and that Skew Bridge also requires funding to aid the coordinated plan of the overall network. Mayor Gordon stated he would continue to ask for Southbrook improvements and encourage vehicles to use alternative routes. He was able to advise that Lineside Road would no longer have funding for a central wire rope barrier the length of the road, and therefore there were other opportunities to discuss an opportunity to reallocate funding to make the area safe and improved. Mayor Gordon stated that development contributions cannot be compromised and that the detailed design would pick up residents' concerns as the Council continue to build a business case to improving the roading network over the next 10 years.

Councillor Brine stated he would support the motion, as he reflected on the concept/idea of a Eastern bypass some 20years ago, due to the indications of how busy Rangiora and the surrounding area would get in the future. He reflected on the history of community and council decisions of the time, stated today's decision was a long time coming.

Councillor Redmond was supportive of the motion however held some reservations with Waka Kotahi funding commitment balanced against the need to have a vision for the future. Councillor Redmond noted the staff priority, the network overall, connectivity and the future. He acknowledged the bypass was essential for the future however in the absence of any Waka Kotahi funding the Council would need to review the situation.

Councillor Fulton had given consideration to the project noting it is one of four or five transport plans for improved connectivity with Kaiapoi, Woodend and Pegasus. He noted the situation may need to be revisited if the government are not funding going forward however, he would support the motion for now.

Councillor Goldsworthy commented that he was conflicted with the 'nice to have' verse necessity given the economic situation and the ambiguity of Waka Kotahi funding contribution however he would support the motion for inclusion in the LTP.

Councillor Blackie was supportive of advocating to Waka Kotahi for funding. He commented on the strategy to get people out of cars and yet research shows if you build a road it will be filled with cars. Councillor Blackie hoped the business case included updating the three roads we have rather than building a fourth road.

Councillor Ward, in her in right of reply, commented that short of buying a lot of property, which is not practical to widen current roads, the proposal was, in her opinion, the most practical option and would make movement in and out of the township much more sustainable.

4.4 Roading Staff Submission May 2024 – Proposed Adjustments to Walking and Cycling Budgets – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading) and J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager)

J McBride, on introducing the report noted a date was incorrect in the report. The Transport Choices programme, which was reduced in funding and the draft National Policy Statement indicated significantly less funding in this area however staff recommend continuation with the project subject to Waka Kotahi funding. Up until now Pegasus was not included in funding programmes.

Staff noted that the new footpath programme was previously unsubsidised and now this was recommended to continue unsubsidised however it requires \$100,000 in the next financial year, with footpaths for East Belt placed in the 2024/25 year.

Mayor Gordon commented on recommendation (d) which will consider more when there is more clarity surrounding the Better Off Funding outcomes.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Cairns

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240509074633.
- (b) **Approves** the following budget changes:
 - i. Carry over New Footpaths Major Towns (PJ100746) budget of \$144,800.00 from 2023/24 into the 2024/25 financial year.
 - ii. Bring forward New Footpaths Major Towns (PJ100746) budget of \$100,000 from 2025/26 into the 2024/25 financial year, to allow both Lees Rd and East Belt paths to proceed.
 - iii. Carry over Delivering Strategic Cycleways (PJ 102153) budget of \$931,059.45 from 2023/24 into 2024/25 financial year.

- (c) **Notes** the carryover of the Kaiapoi to Woodend – Better Off Funding (PJ 102138) budget of \$851,982 from 2023/24 into 2024/25 financial year is included within the Finance Carry Over Report.
- (d) **Notes** that the Woodend to Ravenswood Cycleway (Chinnery’s Road to the Ravenswood Reserve) cycling connection will not proceed until such time as the outcome of the National Land Transport Programme (NLTP) Funding Application is known.
- (e) **Notes** that a funding application has not been put in for the Kaiapoi to Woodend connection as part of the NLTP bid at this time, due to the Woodend Bypass construction being planned and Council’s strong desire to see a walking & cycling connection delivered as part of that project.
- (f) **Notes** that historically Council has funded the construction of new footpaths as unsubsidised works, as this is generally an area that does not receive co-funding.
- (g) **Notes** that staff are continuing to develop the detailed design, and tender documentation of the Lees Road Footpath, East Belt Footpath and Woodend to Ravenswood Cycleway from within existing approved subsidised budgets.
- (h) **Notes** that the Chinnery’s Road to Ravenswood section above was a portion of the wider Woodend to Ravenswood Cycleway which was formerly part of the “Delivering Strategic Cycleways” category of the Transport Choices Programme, and both Lees Road, and East Belt footpaths were within the “Creating Walkable Neighbourhoods” category of the Transport Choices programme.
- (i) **Notes** that an application for co-funding has been submitted through the National Land Transport Programme (NLTP) for the Woodend to Ravenswood walking & cycling connection, however the outcome of this is unlikely to be known until September 2024.
- (j) **Notes** that a further report will be brought back to Council once the result of the National Land Transport Programme (NLTP) applications is known.
- (k) **Notes** that a separate report to Council on options for progressing the Kaiapoi to Woodend walking & cycling connection utilising the Better-Off funding that was previously identified for this project.
- (l) **Notes** that if construction was to proceed then this would be within the 2024/25 financial year.
- (m) **Circulates** this report to all Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Ward remarked that what was set out in the report was important for the community and appreciated the work that staff had undertaken to this point.

Councillor Cairns commented on the connection for local residents within Woodend and how this being funded will make people happy therefore he was supportive of the motion.

Mayor Gordon was supportive of the motion and acknowledged the amount of work that goes into such projects. He reflected on recent discussions with the Department of Internal Affairs regarding the Better-Off Funding programme, however the Minister wants other priorities such as 3Waters, and therefore clarity is still being sought on what government funding would be forthcoming. Mayor Gordon reflected on the importance of the Ravenswood / Woodend link and how the Woodend Path was a huge benefit to the wider community. He would continue to advocate for safe crossing points at Ravenswood and Pegasus.

Councillor Redmond stated he would support the motion however it was essential for co-funding projects such as the Woodend-Pegasus footpath as connectively was hugely important. Councillor Redmond stated that if the Transport Choices funding was withdrawn he would not support the project if it was solely funded by the Council for cycling and clarified it would support co-funded projects.

4.5 Solid Waste – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading) and K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

K Waghorn took the report as read.

Mayor Gordon enquired how was the Waste Minimisation Fund currently used. K Waghorn explained the \$50,000 budget, noting that education came from a different budget allocation.

Moved: Councillor Brine

Seconded: Councillor Redmond

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240430067816.
- (b) **Notes** that these proposed budget changes are not forecast to have any significant adverse financial implications in the Long Term Plan period.
- (c) **Notes** that the 2024/25 opening balances of the Collections Account and Waste Minimisation Account have been amended to reflect current opening and forecast closing balances for these accounts in the 2023/24 financial year, that the projected opening balances are higher than presented in the draft LTP budgets.
- (d) **Approves** the amended Collection Account budgets presented in the updated Long Term Plan budget sheets in Attachment i (TRIM Ref 240508073093) which present a 50% drop in WDC bag expenditure and revenue, and the following changes to rates revenues, collection, and disposal costs from adjustment to bin numbers in the 2024/25 financial year:
 - i. Increase total recycling rates, processing expenditure and collection costs by 0.2%.
 - ii. Decrease total rates for rubbish bins and the costs for collection by 0.3% and decrease the costs for disposal of rubbish by 4.5%.
 - iii. Decrease total rates for organics bins, and the costs for collection and disposal of organics by 0.3%.
- (e) **Approves** the amended Waste Minimisation Account budgets presented in the updated Long Term Plan budget sheets Attachment ii (TRIM Ref 240508073096), which relate to the higher than projected opening balance, one carry over, and proposed changes to the Southbrook Resource Recovery Park capital works expenditure and funding as shown in **Table (e) i** and **Table (e) ii**:

Year	Draft Budget Capex	Proposed Budget Capex	Change in Capex from Draft Budget
2024/25	<u>\$440,000 Total</u> \$440,000 Upgrade Design \$0 Rural Rec. Intrastruct.	<u>\$244,500 Total</u> \$220,000 Design/Consent \$24,500 Carry Over	<u>-\$195,500 Total</u> -220,000 +24,500
2025/26	\$2,963,800 RRH	\$200,000 Des/Consent	-\$2,763,800
2026/27	\$3,186,590 RRC	\$3,885,000 RRH	+\$698,410
2027/28	\$0	\$0	\$0
2028/29	\$0	\$2,266,000 RRC	+\$2,266,000
Upgrade Project Total	\$6,590,390	\$6,571,000	-\$19,390

Table (e) i – Changes to Waste Minimisation Account Capital Works Budget

Year	Draft Budget Funding	Proposed Budget Funding	Change in Funding from Draft Budget
2024/25	<u>\$440,000 Total</u> \$440,000 Upgrade Design \$0 Rural Rec. Intrastruct.	<u>\$244,500 Total</u> \$220,000 Design/Consent \$24,500 Carry Over	<u>-\$195,000</u> -220,000 +24,500

2025/26	<u>\$2,963,800 Total</u>	<u>\$200,000 Total</u>	<u>-\$2,763,800 Total</u>
Waste Min	\$1,481,900	\$0	-\$1,481,900
Loan	\$1,081,900	\$0	-\$1,081,900
Surplus	\$400,000	\$200,000	-\$200,000
2026/27	<u>\$3,186,590 Total</u>	<u>\$3,885,000 Total</u>	<u>+\$698,410 Total</u>
Waste Min	\$1,593,295	\$1,942,500	+\$349,205
Loan	\$1,513,295	\$1,081,900	-\$431,395
Surplus	\$80,000	\$860,000	+\$780,600
2027/28	\$0	\$0	\$0
2028/29	\$0	<u>\$2,266,000 Total</u>	<u>+\$2,266,000 Total</u>
Waste Min		\$1,133,000	+\$1,133,000
Loan		\$400,000	\$400,000
Surplus		\$733,000	\$733,333
Upgrade Project Total	\$6,590,390	\$6,571,000	-\$19,930

Table (e) ii – Changes to Waste Minimisation Account Capital Works Funding

- (f) **Notes** that the total project costs for the Southbrook Resource Recovery Park are not anticipated to be higher than the totals presented in the draft LTP budgets.

Year	Draft Budget	Proposed Budget	Change from Draft
2024/25	<u>\$1,105,000 Total</u> \$55,000 Renewal \$270,000 Upgrade Design \$40,000 Improvements \$740,000 Land Purchase	<u>\$291,000 Total</u> \$106,000 incl. C/O \$125,000 Design/Consent \$60,000 incl. C/O \$0 Land Purchase	<u>-\$814,000 Total</u> +\$51,000 C/O -\$145,000 +\$20,000 C/O -\$740,000
2025/26	\$40,000 Renewal \$0 \$0	<u>\$885,000 Total</u> \$40,000 Renewal \$105,000 Design/Consent \$740,000 Land Purchase	<u>+\$845,000 Total</u> \$0 +\$105,000 +\$740,000
Total Capital	<u>\$1,145,000 Total</u>	<u>\$1,176,000 Total</u>	\$
Renewal	\$55,000	\$106,000	
New Works	\$1,050,000	\$1,070,000	

- (g) **Approves** the amended Disposal Account budgets presented in the updated Long Term Plan budget sheets Attachment iii (TRIM Ref 240508073097) which relate to proposed changes to the Capital Works budgets as **Table (f) i** and **Table (f) ii**:

Table (f) i - Changes to Disposal Account Capital Works Budget (no change to 26/27 & 27/28)

Year	Draft Budget Funding for Capex	Proposed Budget Funding for Capex	Change in Funding from Draft Budget
2024/25 Surplus	\$1,105,000 incl. renewals	\$291,000 incl. C/O	-\$814,000
2025/26 Surplus	\$40,000 renewal	\$885,000 incl. renewal	+\$885,000 incl. renewal
2027/28 Waste Min Loan Surplus	<u>\$4,624,500 Total</u> \$1,668,000 \$2,002,000 \$954,500 incl. renewals	<u>\$4,624,500 Total</u> \$1,668,000 \$2,252,000 \$704,500 incl. renewals	<u>\$0 Total</u> \$0 +\$250,000 -\$250,000
Total Capital Renewal New Works	\$5,834,380	\$5,865,870	+\$31,000

Table (f) ii - Changes to Disposal Account Capital Works Funding (no change to 26/27)

- (h) **Notes** that if land purchase negotiations proceed to a point where the purchase can be finalised in 2024/25, staff will bring a report to Council via the Property Portfolio Working Group to request approval of the purchase and for the budget to be brought forward.
- (i) **Notes** that the changes to kerbside bin numbers in 2024/25 will result in a 4.5% decrease in revenue and disposal expenditure from a forecast decrease in kerbside rubbish weights, a 1.7% decrease in disposal and a 1.4% decrease in transportation operational budgets.
- (j) **Notes** that staff will investigate whether requested extensions to kerbside collection services would be cost-neutral to provide, and that this matter would be brought to Council for consideration before any further action is taken to consult with affected ratepayers.
- (k) **Notes** that the deferral of the Southbrook RRP upgrades will reduce revenue from sale of second-hand and other diverted goods by an estimated 51% in 2026/27, and 18.7% in 2027/28 and 2028/29.
- (l) **Circulates** to the Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Brine commented on the good quality of the report and thanked staff for their efforts, acknowledging the work of running this sized operation, which is an essential service, for this budget was managed well.

Councillor Redmond reflected on good submissions received through the consultation in this area. Whilst he was supportive of the motion he commented that he would like to see the waste management funds clearer on what they are being used for as one can overlook the upgrading of facilities.

4.6 Water Supply – Utilities and Roothing Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2024-2034 Long Term Plan – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roothing), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and C Fahey (Water and Wastewater Asset Manager)

The report was taken as read and there were no questions from members.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Fulton

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240404051976.
- (b) **Approves** increasing the South Belt Link Main project budget by \$85,000 such that a total budget of \$249,375 is available in 2024/25.
- (c) **Notes** that this is required to ensure that the project gets completed in 2024/25 so that the water level of service will continue to be maintained in the West Rangiora and Southbrook areas when the Summerset Development comes online.
- (d) **Notes** that this project is solely growth funded and there will be an increase in the West Rangiora Water Development Contributions because of the budget change.
- (e) **Approves** transferring \$100,000 from the Ohoka WTP Upgrade from 2024/25 to 25/26 as this budget is not required due to expected timeframe for the land acquisition. This will leave a budget of \$250,000 for 24/25.
- (f) **Notes** that this budget change will reduce the Ohoka Water Rate by \$51.67 or 3.53% as it is solely debt funded.
- (g) **Approves** transferring \$300,000 from the Ohoka UV Upgrade from 2024/25 to 25/26 as this budget is not required due to timeframe for the land acquisition expecting to delay progress of the UV upgrade project. This will leave a budget of \$600,000 for 2024/25.
- (h) **Notes** that this budget change will reduce the District Water Rate by \$0.91 or 2.6% as it is solely debt funded.
- (i) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Ward appreciated the work of staff in a changing environment.

Councillor Fulton commented that this is essential work and noted the Ohoka upgrades are meeting the needs for the current community.

4.7 Mandeville Resurgence and Channel Diversion Upgrade Project Stage 1 Staff Submission for 2024-2034 Long Term Plan – J Recker (Stormwater and Waterways Manager)

The report was taken as read and there were no questions from members.

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 240507072873.
- (b) **Approves** deferred budget as part of the deliberations on the Long Term Plan, of \$837,600 from 2024/25 to 2025/26 financial year under the Stormwater LOS (PJ 101299.000.5123) budget, for the construction of stage 1 of the Mandeville Resurgence Channel Upgrade Project. This provides a total budget of \$1,675,200 in the 2025/26 financial year.
- (c) **Notes** that the construction of stage 1 will not commence until the Council has approved the final design in following consultation with residents.
- (d) **Notes** that a total budget of \$20,940,000 added to the draft budgets for stage 2 in the later part of the 2024/34 LTP and spread over 6 years remains unchanged as part of this staff submission.
- (e) **Notes** the rating impacts of the stage 1 and 2 works remain the same as in the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan.
- (f) **Circulates** this report to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for information.

CARRIED

Mayor Gordon supported the budget but stated that more work is to be done in the Mandeville community and a process and plan to link back with the community through clear communication would be occurring in the near future. There was more community input to occur before any construction would happen. Mayor Gordon acknowledged that had Councillor Williams been present, he would be supportive of this report. Mayor Gordon stated that the Council needed to ensure our plan matches community expectations.

Councillor Brine remarked that this is an investment and considerable consultation would be undertaken however he was also aware that the Council will try to please most people, most of the time, but unlikely to satisfy all.

Councillor Fulton commented that this is a good start and enables the Council to move forward with the community. The Council acknowledged the emotion of the residents of Mandeville, noting that Stage 2 is for another day. He was supportive of this positive first step and looked forward to the plan progressing.

Councillor Mealings stated that while it may be frustrating for some to defer the project for a year, it is imperative that the Council sit down with the community and really understand what we dealing with, particularly regarding modelling and understanding the consequences and consenting etc as this is not simple to achieve a satisfactory, long term plan for the community. Councillor Mealings commented that this plan provides a pathway forward.

4.8 Drainage Staff Submission to Long Term Plan 2024-2034 – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading) and K Simpson (3 Waters Manager)

J Recker took the report as read.

Councillor Goldsworthy enquired if some of these projects would fall under the resilience project. Staff did not believe they are under the same umbrella.

Councillor Brine reflected on the number of submissions, asking if the Council were to approve the report, how does this impact on the resilience project. Mayor Gordon advised that aspect was referred to in another report for consideration during the meeting and to hold the questions until then.

Moved: Councillor Fulton

Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240501068341.
- (b) **Approves** the following changes to capital budgets for drainage, as a result of the budget review process that has been undertaken:

Scheme	Budget Name	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons
Kaiapoi	Raven Quay Stormwater Renewal	Nil	Allocate Kaiapoi renewals budget of \$150,000 of budget in 2024/25 for design and construction.	Upgrade of stormwater pipe to align with Raven Quay Water and Wastewater upgrades.
Coastal Urban	School Road Drainage Upgrade	\$415,000 in 2024/25 for construction.	Allocate additional budget of \$126,000 (debt funded) of budget in 2024/25 for construction.	Additional budget for pipework and secondary flow path modifications to comply with Council level of service standards.
Oxford Urban	Matai Place Stage 2 Drainage Upgrade	\$20,000 in 2023/24 for design and \$104,700 in 2024/25 for construction.	Allocate additional budget of \$75,300 (debt funded) of budget in 2024/25 for construction.	Upon completion of the design options memo the estimate has been updated as part of this work and an additional budget of \$75,300 is required to implement the preferred option.
Kaiapoi	Underchannel Piping	\$20,000 (annually)	Allocate additional budget of \$20,000 (debt funded) of budget for a total of \$40,000 (annually).	This budget contributes to the underchannel piping for the Roading Department annual kerb and channel renewal project. This increase aligns Kaiapoi's budget more closely with Rangiora's (\$60k), as its current allocation is not significant compared to the total project cost.
Coastal Urban	Woodend Capacity Improvements	\$62,820 in 2025/26 for design and	Bring forward \$62,820 design budget to the 2024/25 financial year,	Following numerous service requests and consultations with

Scheme	Budget Name	Current Allowance	Recommended Change	Reasons
		\$628,200 in 2026/27 for construction.	and the construction budget of \$628,200 to the 2025/26 financial year (debt funded).	residents, it is recommended to prioritise these works.
Oxford Rural	Oxford Rural Drain Maintenance	Nil	Increasing the Oxford Rural Drain Maintenance budget \$9,950 (rate funded) for a total of \$70,000.	Increase due to an average drain maintenance expenditure of \$84,290 for the previous three years.
Central Rural	Central Rural Drain Maintenance	Nil	Increasing the Oxford Rural Drain Maintenance budget \$16,770 (rate funded) for a total of \$150,000.	Increase due to an average drain maintenance expenditure of \$166,554 for the previous three years.
District Drainage	Mandeville Resurgence Channel Diversion Upgrade Stage 1	\$1,675,200, allocated evenly over two years: \$837,600 in 24/25 and \$837,600 in 25/26 for stage 1 improvement works.	Deferring \$837,600 budget from 24/25 to 25/26, giving a total budget of \$1,675,200 (debt funded) in 2025/26 for the construction of stage 1.	Deferred budget due to further resident consultation required regarding detailed design of channel improvement works.

- (c) **Notes** that these additional budgets, new budgets, advanced, and deferred budgets have the following net rating impacts as shown in the table below:

Scheme	Rating Impact
Kaiapoi	The additional budget (\$20,000 for Kaiapoi Underchannel Piping) will increase the Kaiapoi drainage rate by \$0.24 per property or 0.1%. The allocation of renewal funds requested for the Raven Quay Stormwater Renewal will not affect the Kaiapoi drainage rate, as these funds have already been collected from the rates.
Coastal Urban	The additional budget (\$126,000 for School Road) will increase the Coastal Urban drainage rate by \$2.88 per property or 1.2% in 2024/25. Bringing forward of Woodend Capacity Improvements budget will increase the drainage rate by \$8.38 per property or 1.7% in 2026/27 instead of 2027/28 as previously planned.
Oxford Urban	The additional budget (\$75,300 for Matai Place Stage 2 Drainage Upgrade) will increase the Oxford Urban drainage rate by \$6.59 per property or 2.5%.
Oxford Rural	The additional budget in 2024/25 (\$9,950) will increase the Oxford Rural drainage rate by \$23.58 per property or 11.8% in 2025/26.
Central Rural	The additional budget in 2024/25 (\$16,770) will increase the Central Rural drainage rate by \$18.31 per property or 7.8% in 2025/26.
District Drainage	The deferred budget in 2024/25 (\$837,600) will have no change on the rates in 2026/27 as the total budget of \$1,675,200) is unchanged.

- (d) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Mayor Gordon was supportive of the motion.

4.9 **Funding Flood Resilience and Improvements** – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roading) and K Simpson (3 Waters Manager)

G Cleary took the report as read.

Councillor Brine enquired if this report should be considered ahead of the submission comments. G Cleary advised that the Council had already heard from submitters, read the submissions and taken into account matters and therefore the decisions on this report would shape the responses to submitters.

Councillor Mealings enquired if matters will be applied to asset damage since Waka Kotahi do not fund for emergency weather events. G Cleary stated the team will be focused on drainage but it does cross over to the roading network. Funding could be applied in that area and the Council has discretion which projects the funding is put to work.

Councillor Fulton asked if the Council employ persons, will they sit in one area or in a dry winter do they get redeployed. G Cleary advised that the personnel would sit as a distinct team as currently resourcing is dragged from across the teams however this has impacts on the various teams. During non-flooding times there will be other work for this team to focus on. With appropriate resourcing staff can front-foot some work rather than wait for a flood or major event. This team will leverage off exiting PDU and systems in place for delivering projects, with benefits of having a focused team.

Following a supplementary question from Councillor Fulton about the team being deployed for the Mandeville situation, staff confirmed that could occur.

Moved: Councillor Brine

Seconded: Councillor Goldsworthy

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240514076874;
- (b) **Approves** Option A (a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team), as consulted within the Long Term Plan 2024-34, with an annual cost of \$480,000 to be funded 50% from operational budgets and 50% from capital works budgets;
- (c) **Notes** that the rating impact of the funding 50% of the Infrastructure Resilience Team from operational budgets on a District wide basis would increase rates by approximately \$13.33 (including GST) per ratepayer;
- (d) **Approves**, as consulted, the Flood Recovery and Resilience capital works budget of \$20 million spread over 10 years of the Long Term Plan 2024-34;
- (e) **Notes** that the rating impact of loan funding the \$20 million for Flood Recovery and Resilience budget on a District wide basis over a 25 year period would increase rates by a total of approximately \$28.91 (including GST) per ratepayer on average of the next 10 years.

CARRIED

Councillor Brine commented on how good ideas are created and go through a process but often it is ineffective resources and funding that then has flow on effects to the desired outcome. This is staff driven advising of the benefits and the Council being prepared to resource it to make essential steps forward.

Councillor Goldsworthy stated that if you vote for this motion, then be proud of a proactive step of being resilience in our community and protecting our infrastructure that our community has paid for. This could save money in the longer term, and he could see the benefits of having a dedicated team, therefore was supportive of the motion.

Councillor Mealings stated this is an important project. She reminded colleagues of the \$10m unbudgeted funds for emergency funding that were required previously and Waka Kotahi funding being harder to receive, however this initiative will aid the overall goals. Councillor Mealings commented on the volume of service requests from previous flood events and how this team will assist the workflows and impacts on the community which benefit directly.

Mayor Gordon was supportive of this motion and acknowledged the proactive way the team has come up the proposal. He spoke of previous involvement with a number of Civil Defence events and the importance of having a team to respond quickly therefore was 100% in support of the motion. He would prefer having the resource on board rather than hiring expensive consultants. Mayor Gordon reflected on conversations with residents affected by the past flood events and the costs involved to protect property. Mayor Gordon stated that if this doesn't happen the Council is leaving the community vulnerable, therefore urged the members to support the motion.

Councillor Redmond stated he would support the motion, noting that submissions received were generally balanced on pro or against. This report supports the community and the ongoing discussions with the community.

Councillor Cairns agreed with colleagues' comments and acknowledged local knowledge and localism, with the need to protect our community and property, therefore he fully supported the motion.

4.10 **Wastewater – Utilities and Roothing Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2025/34 Long Term Plan – G Cleary (General Manager Utilities and Roothing), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and C Fahey (Water and Wastewater Asset Manager)**

The report was taken as read. There were no questions.

Moved: Mayor Gordon

Seconded: Councillor Fulton

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240405053554.
- (b) **Approves** adding a new budget of \$540,000, comprising of \$513,000 renewals and \$27,000 growth, for the Raven Quay Gravity Main Renewal project in 24/25.
- (c) **Notes** that this project will be funded 95% by renewals and 5% through growth as the pipe will be sized to receive additional flow from growth in the area.
- (d) **Notes** that there will be an increase of \$4.03 in the Kaiapoi Sewer Development Contributions because of this new budget being added due to the growth portion of the budget.
- (e) **Notes** that there will be no rating impact from the renewals portion of the budget as there is sufficient balance in the EDSS renewals fund to fund the project.
- (f) **Notes** that design of the gravity main is already in progress and the construction contract will be tendered in the first quarter of the 2024/25 financial year.
- (g) **Approves** transferring \$100,000 from the Oxford WWTP Upgrade from 2024/25 to 2025/26 as this budget is not required based on change in the scope for 2024/25.
- (h) **Notes** that this budget change will reduce the Oxford Sewer Rate by \$7.63 or 0.73% as it is solely debt funded.
- (i) **Approves** removing the Woodend WWTP Overflow Improvement budgets of \$52,350 from 24/25 as the budget is no longer required.
- (j) **Notes** that there will be a minor decrease to the EDSS rate as a result of removing the Woodend WWTP Overflow Improvement budget as it is solely debt funded.
- (k) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

The Council adjourned for refreshments at 11am and recommenced at 11.20am.

4.11 **Response to Submissions for Building the Right Facilities at the Right Time (Southbrook Grant and Cricket Oval Grant)** – G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager)

G MacLeod introduced the report noting that the Southbrook Sports Club (SSC) proposal for support of \$1.3 million towards facilities at Southbrook Park had been put into year four of the LTP following public submissions as it was considered it was the right time financially. From a Club perspective it would also allow time for them to raise the \$2.6 million for their contribution.

Councillor Goldsworthy asked, with regard to the SSC funding, did that give the Council any control or ownership over the building? G MacLeod noted that the Council changing rooms were under their building. The ownership of the building would be covered with the new build.

Councillor Ward asked if the option for the Council to just upgrade the changing sheds/showers and leave the Club to fund the rest of the build had been considered. G MacLeod noted that the Club needed to show that they could raise their \$2.6 million contribution and had a lot of work to do as a Club. It was still necessary to provide changing rooms as they were currently not meeting the needs of junior or women's sport.

C Brown noted that it would be more expensive in the long run if the changing rooms were upgraded now. He believed pushing out the build by four years would give the Club time to achieve something cost effective.

Councillor Ward asked what assistance the Council could give to the club raising funds. C Brown noted that if the Club Board supported the recommendation and approved the Council's \$1.3 million provisional contribution to the facility, then that would be a signal to Rata or Lotteries that the Council was a partner to the project and usually that would be enough indication of support to assist applications. Lotteries may require further confirmation such as an issued a Building Consent.

Councillor Redmond asked about Council policy in terms of toilets and changing rooms as opposed to clubrooms. G MacLeod advised that generally clubrooms were club funded, however there was a level of service required for toilets and changing rooms as a necessary provision for sport. C Brown noted that the feasibility study identified that the SSC was an important part of the community facility network.

Councillor Fulton asked if the funding provision to the club would allow the Council to have some say around the table. C Brown noted that work around potential governance models had not yet been done, the feasibility study identified that it would be important for the Council to consider its future involvement for example, full control and lease. There were several different options.

Councillor Fulton queried if there had been consideration of provision for other users. C Brown replied yes, the justification for \$1.3 million was on the basis that it would provide a key community facility for the district.

Councillor Cairns queried comparable facilities in the district. G MacLeod referred to the renewal programme for facilities and noted the Loburn Domain Pavilion was in year seven of the LTP for upgrade work.

Councillor Cairns raised Kendall Park as in a much worse condition than Southbrook, and asked why that was not on the list for renewal. G MacLeod commented on the difficulty of planning when there was change within clubs. In addition, there was also discussion with New Zealand Football around funding.

Councillor Cairns asked if the second field for Mainpower Oval was to be a first class field. G MacLeod advised that it was for representative cricket and to help accommodate the large growth in women's cricket rather than a flow-over field for first class cricket. Hagley was only able to stage a certain amount of cricket and the field would assist with the whole network of cricket.

Councillor Cairns noted that in the LTP there was \$790,000 provision for Mainpower Oval and asked if that would increase with the new field. He asked if supporting bodies were not providing enough funding, and as such the Council was acting as a fallback. C Brown replied that in terms of cricket he would not agree, other first class cricket grounds were fully funded through Local Authorities while Canterbury Country Cricket (CCC) needed to fundraise another 30% for maintenance of top of what the Council provided. Football was different, it was bottom-up funded with no funding from national bodies going to grassroots, meaning pitches relied on ratepayer funding.

Councillor Blackie asked if it were time that the Council had a policy around not funding clubrooms as they were a 'nice to have'. C Brown commented that in terms of SSC while there was a clubroom component, there was also a community facility component. For those community groups that used the clubrooms it would be challenging to find other facilities. He did not believe bars should be funded, however community facilities were different.

Councillor Redmond asked what the costs were to maintain the second field and who would pay for that. C Brown did not have the exact maintenance figures, currently the Council funded 70% of maintenance and there would need to be some component to maintain the second field. The second field would make CCC more profitable.

Moved: Councillor Brine

Seconded: Councillor Ward

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240507072629.
- (b) **Approves** the Council's \$1.3 million provisional contribution to the Southbrook Community Facility, currently in years two and three of the draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan be moved to year four of the 2024-34 Long Term Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that the moving of the Council's \$1.3 million provisional contribution to the Southbrook Community Facility to year four of the 2024-34 Long Term Plan is recommended as a result of the community feedback received and to allow sufficient time for the Southbrook Club fundraise.
- (d) **Notes** that the funding towards Canterbury Country Cricket for the development of a cricket oval at 154 East Belt and all other future capital replacement funding for buildings remains unchanged from the draft 2024-34 Long-Term Plan.

CARRIED

Councillor Cairns Against

Councillor Brine supported pushing the support to the SSC out to year four of the LTP as there was a lot that needed to be covered: As the Community Facility Portfolio holder he noted the incredibly dynamic nature of the space. Kendall Park was an example of frequent change that made it difficult to advance improvements. Kaiapoi Trustees were a good example of where a Club and the Council were able to work together for improvements. At Loburn Domain assistance had been provided to upgrade changing facilities, but not the clubroom; the Council had also helped with irrigation at the Domain. He commented that 50/50 partnerships between clubs and the Council like that proposed by CCC were worth consideration. The cricket facility at Mainpower was not a 'nice to have' as it was very well used and there had been a huge growth in women's cricket. It was very important that cricket facilities were well maintained as first-class cricket status was easily lost and hard to regain. Councillor Brine noted that a report regarding the SSC would come to the Council in due course. He asked that Councillors support the motion.

Councillor Ward agreed with pushing the SSC funding out four years as there was still a lot of work that needed to happen. The Club needed to show their fundraising ability and get things established before the Council could support. CCC had been very strong over the years and deserved support.

Mayor Gordon supported the motion and thanked Councillor Brine for his excellent summary and leadership in this space, and his time and effort for the benefit of the community. The SSC had an ambitious funding strategy which the Council had helped get the first part over the line, being the feasibility study. He agreed pushing out the funding to SSC as there was still a lot of work to complete and raising sufficient funding in the current economic climate was difficult. He understood the comments from Councillor Blackie around strategy for supporting clubs and it was something to consider, however he did like flexibility in this space and looking at proposals on their merits, for example the contribution of \$1 million to the Kaiapoi High School to enable seating that they would not have been able to have otherwise. He noted the good partnerships with Kaiapoi Trustees and Mandeville Sports Club and commented that the Sefton Hall was another challenge. He believed the support to the CCC was an easy decision as he did not want to see first class cricket lost to the district and there was a risk that it could be as highlighted by the CCC submission. The oval had originally been built with a huge amount of volunteer effort and the land had been purchased at Coldstream for that purpose.

Councillor Redmond commented that sport was very important to community wellbeing and was a core function rather than a 'nice to have'. First class cricket did provide economic benefits to the district and the proposal put forward was a good co-investment opportunity. He was less enthusiastic about the funding to the SSC and did support pushing out four years with the opportunity to be considered at the next LTP. He commented that the question from Councillor Blackie regarding toilets/change room versus clubrooms was an important one to consider.

Councillor Fulton commented on the comparison of the Mandeville Sports Centre (MSC) model to that of the SSC or CCC. The MSC was a product of its time where members of the local community had been guarantors to the project. Models like that would not happen these days and it was not possible to jump into a project and hope it worked out. The Council was providing a sound role to SSC as a space holder and enabler and the four years was sensible to allow the community to come up with the funding. The facility was important as a meeting and recreation space not just a sports centre. With regard to the CCC there were very real consequences for having a substandard first-class wicket and some facilities had never recovered from receiving a downgrade. CCC was a high performing organisation and deserved support of the Council.

Councillor Cairns commented that he had visited sports grounds when considering this report and saw a high level of inequity between grounds, in particular he noted the poor condition of changing rooms at Kendall Park. In terms of the SSC he noted that a number of users were not reflected in the income statement and asked if they were contributing to keeping the Club going. He believed that if this motion was supported there would be many sports clubs coming to Council to request more support and the Council needed to be clearer on what they would fund. On principle he would not support the motion.

Councillor Brine respected the principle that Councillor Cairns raised and did not have an issue with his argument. He had sat around the table for a number of years and over time there had been interesting challenges for community facilities. They had been able to please many people but not all the people all the time. Assistance to groups such as consenting and connections did add up. With regard to Kendall, staff were trying to progress and a report would be brought to the Council regarding an upgrade in due course. The Council did have two members appointed to the SSC and once the historical debt had been forgiven a Community Board member had been appointed. In the past Mainpower Oval had been at risk of a downgrade and the Council had immediately showed support to rectify concerns.

Councillor Brine thanked colleagues for their careful consideration of the issues and agreed with Councillor Redmond on the importance of sport to the health and wellbeing of the community.

4.12 **Rangiora Civic Precinct – Service Centre and Trevor Inch Library Master Planning 2024** – C Brown (General Manager Community and Recreation), D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration) and R Hawthorne (Property Unit Manager)

C Brown advised that there had been an increase to the cost estimate for Option A – Ground Floor Library Extension from \$19.8 million in the Draft LTP to \$21.4 million. This increase was largely due to configuration changes necessary to the carpark. He noted the considerable information contained in the report and would take it as read.

Councillor Redmond asked for clarification on the level of support for the various options A B and C. C Brown noted that there was 36% support for option C, 28% support for Option A and 20% supported no spend.

C Brown advised that there had been no funding allocation for the Rangiora Museum. Staff had met with museum representatives however it was clear that they needed to go through a planning process regarding their future needs including a collection policy and storage considerations. They had several options, for example a display in the library with the display items rotating. A museum expert was going to work alongside the Rangiora Museum to look at utilising the Better Off funding and that work was nearly ready to start.

Councillor Fulton asked about the need to retrofit specialist heating or storage if museum space was not included initially and was the required later. C Brown advised that some options around museum space would need a budget increase, while others would not, for example a small display space. Better off Funding could be used to continue with designs and staff could provide updates on a museum space.

Councillor Goldsworthy raised that LTP submitters did not appear to see value in the library currently and asked was the library outdated? He asked if staff believed the community would see more value in a versatile modern library environment. C Brown advised that the current library only met levels of service in terms of square metre space based on 2014 figures. The library did an amazing job at what it did, but there were modern library options that could not offered to the community at the moment. If those options became available with an upgrade, then the community may see additional value in the facility.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Cairns

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240322046393.
- (b) **Approves** Option A – Ground Floor Library Extension - in the Long Term Plan 2024 along with increase of capital budget for Option A to \$21,375,000 total (increased from previous allowance of \$19,805,000 in the Draft LTP) to accommodate the updated cost estimates, and retention of the draft operational budget provision of \$4,600,000, noting that overall this has a change of less than 0.04% percentage points average rates impact over and above the previous draft LTP budget provision.
- (c) **Notes** that the preferred Option A and proposed budget above also retains within the scope some urgent deferred renewals and refurbishment works to the Rangiora Service Centre, and the Trevor Inch Library structure, and that this is included within the budget as capital works over and above present routine ongoing maintenance operational budgets.

- (d) **Notes** that the preferred Option A does not include budget provision for inclusion of the Rangiora Museum at this stage, but the ability to accommodate that within the proposed budget will be established through the further steps of design refinement.
- (e) **Notes** that the current budget provisions only cover the first stage of what will likely be a multi-stage approach to library improvements and provision of additional staff space over the longer term. Indicative future budgets for likely expenditure beyond the term of the Long Term Plan 2024-2034 are included within the Infrastructure Strategy, however these are only approximate and depend on the final option. Full completion of all stages i.e. a two-storey service centre extension and eventual two-storey replacement of existing Trevor Inch Library structure is estimated to cost in the order of \$64m all up.
- (f) **Approves** staff continuing with preliminary design development of all options, utilising the remaining balance of Better off Funding budget, which currently sits at approximately \$150,000 currently unspent/uncommitted.

CARRIED

Councillor Redmond Abstained

Councillor Ward commented that the library upgrade was well overdue. The library had done an amazing job in the district but could not offer the full service that was needed in the district now. This was stage 1 in getting the library and service centre under one roof which she believed was very important to get underway.

Councillor Cairns had been a long-time supporter of libraries and believed they were important to the community and wellbeing. There was a lot of foot traffic into the library – 10,000 visitors on a monthly basis, and this would only increase with improvements. All sorts of activities were available in a modern library.

Mayor Gordon supported the motion and thanked staff for all the work that had gone into it as well as managing expectations. Providing certainty to the project was a priority. He would like to see the Museum as part of the project however there was some work to be done on that. In terms of museum storage, the overall storage could be looked at, for example extending ground floor storage at Kaiapoi which would address concerns around a lift. In terms of community support for libraries he referred to excellent customer service satisfaction surveys and libraries as a space for all members of the community to feel safe to use. The art space was a further expression of the community and staffing could be looked out of current operations. He supported the direction of the motion as a sensible plan which may be able to be brought forward if circumstances changed.

Councillor Blackie was conflicted, he understood the role of libraries but was concerned that 50% of submitters opposed library upgrades. He supported as a placeholder however with 10 years of predicted recession he could not see it happening financially.

Councillor Fulton commented that the project was needed to meet the growing needs of the population including growth and increasing diversity. He personally loved library spaces and he had observed use of space that went beyond taking out books. Libraries provided a comfortable, warm, safe, family space for the community. He believed it was important to include provision for the Rangiora Museum and space where people could share and enjoy heritage and art even if that was not immediately but was ready to go when funding allowed. He endorsed a specialised storage space. He was aware of the cost, but believed the value was immeasurable.

Councillor Redmond endorsed the comments of Councillor Blackie and noted a recent Infometrics report that showed GDP for the district was down 0.9% which was worse than the New Zealand average. Additionally, a recent ENC business survey showed business confidence was down. His concern was around timing. He accepted the value of the library, however that needed to be balanced against the current economic climate with a recessionary outlook. Half of submitters were opposed to funding library improvements. Councillor Redmond liked the option for minor refurbishments and believed the library upgrade may need to be pushed out further than the current LTP.

Councillor Ward, in right her of reply, noted that 30-40 submitters opposed nearly everything in the LTP and that should be kept in mind when looking at the responses. The current economic climate was a great time to be going out to tender and spending money in the community before inflation increases. The upgrades were a long time overdue; they had been promised in 2014 and subsequently pushed out since then however Councillor Ward believed the community deserved better.

4.13 **Response to Submissions for the Natural Environment Strategy Draft Long Term Plan 2024** – G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager)

G MacLeod took the report as read. There were no questions.

Moved: Councillor Blackie

Seconded: Councillor Mealings

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240514076639.
- (b) **Approves** funding to be included in the 2024- 2034 Long Term Plan for the Natural Environment Strategy (Option A) as consulted.
- (c) **Notes** that the submission responses will be put to the Natural Environment Strategy Project Control Group that would consider these within the Natural Environment Strategy and Natural Environment Strategy Implementation Plan and report back to Council.

CARRIED

Councillor Blackie noted that the topic had been debated at length and noted the long-term value. It was something they had to do as mandated. There had been many hours working on this and he was happy with the contribution.

Councillor Cairns was heartened by the numbers of submissions supporting a food forest.

Councillor Mealings commented that it was an amazing piece of work completed over a year and a half that pulled together a whole body of feedback from the Natural Environment Strategy, customer satisfaction surveys and over 90 representatives from various environmental groups. She hoped colleagues would support the motion.

4.14 **Housing for the Elderly – Proposed New Housing Development** – R Hawthorne (Property Unit Manager)

C Brown provided a brief overview noting that the funding available was from the sale of houses, Rata Foundation funding, funding from the Better Off Funding initiative, Department of Housing & Urban Development (HUD) funding which was approved in February 2024 and debt funding of \$3.5 million.

Mayor Gordon asked about the certainty of HUD funding given the recent report on the initiative. C Brown advised that at this time funding was still available, however, if that was removed then a report would need to come back to the Council. There had been no signal that the funding would not go ahead.

Mayor Gordon suggested that the delegations to the Property Manager in the motion should be expanded to the Property Manager and the Property Portfolio holder.

Councillor Ward asked what land staff had in mind for the development. C Brown noted that there were a number of potential pieces of land including north Woodend, regeneration land in Kaiapoi, and in Rangiora. Choice of site would have an impact on the budget and a further report would come to the Council with more details. There was commercial sensitivity around development location.

Mayor Gordon noted there were two options in the staff recommendation and asked which staff supported. R Hawthorne noted Option 2 had a greater debt level however the larger number of units maximised the Rata Foundation funding. Option 2 figures were included in the Draft LTP and was the option supported by the Property Portfolio Working Group.

Mayor Gordon asked if the development would be rates neutral and staff confirmed that was the case. In fact, it was more than cost neutral and would return a surplus over time which would provide equity for future projects.

Councillor Redmond asked about the effect of Option 2 on debt levels and J Millward advised that it was within the debt ceiling.

Councillor Cairns asked if the 50/50 funding split was affected by which land was chosen for development. R Hawthorne advised the split was on development and land was excluded.

Councillor Goldsworthy asked what occupancy rate had been used for cost analysis and R Hawthorne advised that it was set at 97% occupancy, the properties were at below market rent and so were attractive on the open market.

Moved: Councillor Redmond

Seconded: Councillor Ward

THAT the Council

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240515077729.
- (b) **Approves** setting aside funds, in the 2024-34 Long Term Plan (2024 LTP) totalling \$11,651,750 (Option 2 as detailed in this report) to expand the Housing for the Elderly portfolio.

Option 2	HUD	BOF	Equity	Debt	Total
Government	\$4.615m	\$1.0m			\$5.615m
Council			\$2.5m	\$3.5m	\$6.0m
Total	* Approx. 32 Units				\$11.615m

- (c) **Notes** that Option 2 allows for more Units to be built, maximising additional funding from Rata Foundation, where as Option 3 results in less Units built but equally a lower debt incurred.
- (d) **Notes** that \$2 million is proposed to be spent in Year 1 of the 2024 Long Term Plan, with the balance of funds expended in Year 2 of the 2024 Long Term Plan.
- (e) **Notes** that the anticipated development is contemplated at a Council owned site or sites yet to be determined, as sanctioned in Council's Housing Policy. Some prospective sites have higher infrastructure costs while others may have higher land costs. However, the higher cost structure is already accounted for in the budget forecasts, so represent a worst case scenario.
- (f) **Notes** the proposed development options aims to be Rates neutral with a rental structure for the site that pays down the loan over time and covers all operating costs, as well as the long term renewal and replacement costs associated with the development. Options exist to mitigate financial risk through reducing (or expanding) the number of units built, and / or adjusting the debt ratio or rental revenue charged.

- (g) **Delegates** to the Property Manager and Property Portfolio holder authority to progress contract negotiations, and execution of the same, with the Department of Housing and Urban Development, to secure the \$4,651,750 funding offered through the Affordable Housing Fund administered by them.
- (h) **Delegates** to the Property Manager and Property Portfolio holder authority to progress site selection and development planning for the new complex and to bring a report to Council with further details and a recommended location in October 2024, or earlier if possible.
- (i) **Notes** that Council is contributing equity of \$2.5 million to the development, being proceeds from the sale of 7 houses previously used to operate an affordable housing scheme, which was substantially funded from a Rata Foundation Grant. They have signalled a willingness to invest further in Council's housing activity by providing a Grant for up to \$25,000 per Unit built.
- (j) **Delegates** to the Property Manager and Property Portfolio holder authority to progress discussions with the Rata Foundation to secure additional funding from them as mentioned in this report.
- (k) **Notes** that the sums mentioned in this report are GST inclusive due to GST not being claimable on residential development and that the revenue and expenditure is associated with the Housing for the Elderly Activity (164 cost centre) with \$2.5 million equity transferred from the redundant Community Housing Activity (165 cost centre).
- (l) **Notes** that the Property Portfolio Working Group have discussed the development options and are supportive of the recommendations in this report.
- (m) **Notes** the report will be provided to Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Redmond noted he was a member of the Property Portfolio Working Group which supported Option 2, and congratulated staff on the successful HUD application. He was also concerned that the HUD funding maybe at risk, meaning the project may need to be scaled back. He encouraged his colleagues to support this project to build 32 elderly units at no cost to the ratepayer.

Councillor Ward was pleased with staff efforts to upgrade current homes and to put effort into building new units.

Councillor Mealings commented that the report was the culmination of a lot of work over an extensive time. To be able to build \$11.6 million in assets for a \$3.5 million loan, and getting 32 homes over the heads of housing vulnerable people was a great result.

Councillor Cairns noted that at a recent Kaiapoi Community Wellbeing meeting he had learnt that there were 35 people living in cars in Kaiapoi, one of those who was over 65 and had been assisted into housing. Housing was very important and he asked staff to keep up the good work in this space.

Mayor Gordon supported the motion and noted the support for the debt increase from colleagues as the overall package made sense. He was concerned about the recently released report regarding HUD funding and encouraged the project to get underway as soon as possible. He noted that the Council also had a partnership in place with Abbeyfields. The Council were aware that housing was a need and was trying to meet that need. He looked forward to an update on the HUD situation.

4.15 **Fees and Charges – Adoption of Fee Charges to Take Effect from 1 July 2024** – M Harris (Customer Services Manager)

M Harris introduced the report and requested the Council approved the Fees and Charges. The report was taken as read. Staff would be able to update media once approved.

Moved: Councillor Ward

Seconded: Councillor Goldsworthy

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240508073298.
- (b) **Approves** the schedule of changes to fees and charges to take effect from 1 July 2024 and to be included in the Long Term Plan 2024-2034.

CARRIED

Councillor Ward thanked M Harris and her team for their work.

Councillor Goldsworthy appreciated the rationalisation of pricing.

4.16 **Budgeted Carryovers from 2023-24 to 2024-25 Financial Year** – P Christensen (Finance Manager)

The report was taken as read and there were no questions.

Moved: Councillor Fulton

Seconded: Councillor Cairns

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 240509074046.
- (b) **Adopts** the carryovers as listed (240509074050) for inclusion in the 2024-34 Long Term Plan. The total carryover amount is \$36.5 million, unspent portion \$27.8 million.
- (c) **Notes** the rate effect of the carryovers is nil. Rating effect of carryovers will be “smoothed” over future years. There will be no rating effect to 2024/25.

CARRIED

5 **CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSIONS TO THE DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2024-2034**

Note: Submissions related to each Council department were considered in conjunction with Council department reports. The submission point numbering below aligns with that in the Summary of Submissions and Officer recommendations provided to Councillors in a separate folder.

Refer to item 4.1a.

At the beginning of the meeting:

Moved Mayor Gordon

Seconded Councillor Goldsworthy

The Council **resolves** that all proforma recommendations are adopted and subject to debate during the meeting.

CARRIED

At the end of the meeting:

Moved Mayor Gordon

Seconded Councillor Mealings

The Council **resolves** that all proforma recommendations are adopted as per the debate and motion that occurred during the meeting.

CARRIED

1. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

Option A received 76 submissions and 26 submission points.

Option B received 27 submissions and 8 submission points.

Option C received 53 submissions and 24 submission points.

Other: 40 submission points do not support any options.

Other: 32 submission points were received on the Lineside Road property.

Notes suggested changes to the draft NES documents will be considered by the NES Project Control Group prior to reporting back to the Council when presenting the documents for final approval.

1.1. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Recreational spaces in rural areas**

LTP2024.17.6 in Submission LTP2024.17 by Waikura Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.2. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - New Park between Rangiora and Woodend**

LTP2024.14.6 in Submission LTP2024.14

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff to undertake a marketing or awareness campaign of the pathway and recreational trail opportunities within the district.
- (b) **Notes** that there is a good network of pathways and recreational opportunities that offer a unique difference to our district and link residents to nature within either a short walk or drive from urban settlements.
- (c) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested there be mention made in the response to Mr Fisher of the work that had been undertaken in Millton Memorial Reserve including the arboretum and pathways installed there.

1.3. How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Recreational Lake

LTP2024.14.7 in Submission LTP2024.14

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Council has a Project Working Group looking after the scope and future of this project. Submissions from the Long Term Plan should go to this group for consideration. Submitter should also be noted as interested party for any future engagement on this project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.4. How we'll prioritise the natural environment

LTP2024.18.1 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** the Natural Environment Strategy's main focus is on Council owned land. Private landowners have the opportunity to work with Council on restoration projects, should they wish to.
- (c) **Notes** Council is waiting on further guidance from Central Government on changes to the National Priority Statement Indigenous Biodiversity and what this means for Significant Natural Areas.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that any reference to Significant Natural Areas (SNAs) in the response to submitters, would make it clear that it was up to private land owner/s if they wish to identify an SNA on land they owned. It was suggested the wording of the following paragraph be used:

With regard to SNAs, the Waimakariri District Council already has an SNA programme which was voluntary. Works to identify and notify additional SNAs in the District Plan is required in five years' time. Therefore, this work was set to commence in year 4 of this LTP at which point the guidance from central government would be clear and staff would carry out works instructed by any replacement legislation.

1.5. How we'll prioritise the natural environment

LTP2024.26.1 in Submission LTP2024.26

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** Council has carried out smaller projects on restoration including Matawai Park and Silverstream Reserve. Both of these projects have shown what can be done for restoration in the district. These offer great examples of real results in smaller areas.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.6. How we'll prioritise the natural environment

LTP2024.34.2 in Submission LTP2024.34

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.7. How we'll prioritise the natural environment

LTP2024.38.1 in Submission LTP2024.38

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Kate Valley Landfill is a high standard landfill which is designed, constructed, and operated to minimise harm to the environment.
- (b) **Notes** that Transwaste Canterbury Ltd regularly investigates alternative technologies for the disposal of waste, and recently found that landfill was still the most appropriate waste disposal method for mid and north-Canterbury at the present.
- (c) **Notes** that as part of the ongoing process to review alternative technologies, at some point the Council may want to consider pyrolysis plant, however this is not currently under active consideration at this time.
- (d) **Notes** that previous studies into composting options determined that with several well-established composting facilities in mid- Canterbury it would not be economically viable for Council to build a composting plant to compete with these facilities.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon commented on the full and informative responses given.

1.8. How we'll prioritise the natural environment

LTP2024.42.1 in Submission LTP2024.42

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** the science community through NIWA is well versed in what modelling and impacts can be expected through climate and environmental science. Council is ensuring it takes on board factual and relevant research when determining its strategic directions.

Councillors Comments:

As the submission point specified reference to the Lineside Road property, it was agreed that there should be acknowledgement of this and advise the rationale as to why the Council purchased the property and what the benefit would be. There had not been any firm decision made by the Council on the property at this time and noting the rental that was currently being received by the Council was largely covering costs.

1.9. How we'll prioritise the natural environment

LTP2024.43.1 in Submission LTP2024.43

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that the work outlined for SNAs as directed under the National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity are scheduled to begin in year 3 of the 2024-2034 LTP by which time sufficient guidance should have been gained from central government.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that any reference to Significant Natural Areas (SNAs) in the response to submitters, would make it clear that it was up to private land owner/s if they wish to identify an SNA on land they owned. It was suggested the wording of the following paragraph be used:

With regard to SNAs, the Waimakariri District Council already has an SNA programme which was voluntary. Works to identify and notify additional SNAs in the District Plan is required in five years' time. Therefore, this work was set to commence in year 4 of this LTP at which point the guidance from central government would be clear and staff would carry out works instructed by any replacement legislation.

1.10. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.22.7 in Submission LTP2024.22

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.11. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Lineside Road property - Do not support**

LTP2024.50.2 in Submission LTP2024.50

LTP2024.53.2 in Submission LTP2024.53

LTP2024.117.2 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

LTP2024.156.3 in Submission LTP2024.156

LTP2024.213.2 in Submission LTP2024.213

LTP2024.293.7 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.294.7 in Submission LTP2024.294

LTP2024.304.1 in Submission LTP2024.304

LTP2024.303.2 in Submission LTP2024.303

LTP2024.299.1 in Submission LTP2024.299

LTP2024.296.2 in Submission LTP2024.296

LTP2024.290.2 in Submission LTP2024.290

LTP2024.201.9 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.98.6 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

The response to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board should include the rationale for the purchase of the Lineside Road property to provide a better understanding. It was noted that this property is situated in the Kaiapoi-Woodend Ward.

Councillor Fulton suggested, and it was agreed, that an explanation of the type of land that the Lineside Road property was, should be included in the response.

1.12. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.49.1 in Submission LTP2024.49

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.13. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Stormwater management**

LTP2024.70.1 in Submission LTP2024.70

That the Council

- (a) **Notes** the primary purpose of the Sovereign Lakes stormwater management area is to treat and attenuate stormwater.
- (b) **Notes** there is limited opportunity develop the wildlife habitat in the stormwater ponds without compromising the stormwater function that it undertakes.
- (c) **Notes** that native plants will be used where any planting is undertaken around the outside of the ponds, and gravel walkways will be developed in the future.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.14. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Pest Control**

LTP2024.100.2 in Submission LTP2024.100

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** staff will make contact with the supplier, so they understand our procurement process.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.15. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Education**

LTP2024.25.2 in Submission LTP2024.25

LTP2024.113.2 in Submission LTP2024.113

LTP2024.139.2 in Submission LTP2024.139

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that the Waimakariri Natural Environment Strategy has education as a priority strategic direction.
- (c) **Notes** that the Council is currently coordinating a collaborative review of the Northern Pegasus Bay Bylaw to strengthen the maintenance of coastal values in the District.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.16. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Building on productive land**

LTP2024.122.6 in Submission LTP2024.122

LTP2024.196.7 in Submission LTP2024.196

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the District Plan review is considering the issue of protection of farmland through considerations as part of the District Plan review.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.17. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Arohatia te Awa project**

LTP2024.125.2 in Submission LTP2024.125

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that the Arohatia te Awa working group had their first meeting of 2024 in March and is continuing with the works along the River Cam plus other projects to support the vision of the project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.18. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.127.1 in Submission LTP2024.127

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

- (b) **Notes** that the Arohatia te Awa working group have met and are undertaking a workshop to precisely define the vision and desired outcomes for the land to enable decision regarding design to be made.
- (c) **Notes** that the Council supports the idea of public planting days and looks forward to being invited to these in the future once planned.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.19. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Additional insights and suggestions**

LTP2024.137.2 in Submission LTP2024.137

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that relationships with schools is facilitated via the libraries and community teams and supported by ecologists in the Greenspace department.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.20. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Do not support**

LTP2024.59.1 in Submission LTP2024.59

LTP2024.74.1 in Submission LTP2024.74

LTP2024.76.1 in Submission LTP2024.76

LTP2024.88.1 in Submission LTP2024.88

LTP2024.128.7 in Submission LTP2024.128

LTP2024.129.7 in Submission LTP2024.129

LTP2024.130.7 in Submission LTP2024.130

LTP2024.131.7 in Submission LTP2024.131

LTP2024.132.7 in Submission LTP2024.132

LTP2024.133.7 in Submission LTP2024.133

LTP2024.141.1 in Submission LTP2024.141

LTP2024.142.1 in Submission LTP2024.142

LTP2024.183.1 in Submission LTP2024.183

LTP2024.182.1 in Submission LTP2024.182

LTP2024.232.1 in Submission LTP2024.232

LTP2024.248.6 in Submission LTP2024.248

LTP2024.251.1 in Submission LTP2024.251

LTP2024.219.1 in Submission LTP2024.219

LTP2024.293.6 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.294.6 in Submission LTP2024.294

LTP2024.339.5 in Submission LTP2024.339

LTP2024.338.1 in Submission LTP2024.338

LTP2024.337.1 in Submission LTP2024.337

LTP2024.306.1 in Submission LTP2024.306

LTP2024.305.1 in Submission LTP2024.305

LTP2024.303.1 in Submission LTP2024.303

LTP2024.302.1 in Submission LTP2024.302

LTP2024.301.1 in Submission LTP2024.301

LTP2024.300.1 in Submission LTP2024.300

LTP2024.298.6 in Submission LTP2024.298

LTP2024.297.6 in Submission LTP2024.297

LTP2024.296.1 in Submission LTP2024.296

LTP2024.292.1 in Submission LTP2024.292

LTP2024.291.2 in Submission LTP2024.291

LTP2024.289.1 in Submission LTP2024.289

LTP2024.288.7 in Submission LTP2024.288

LTP2024.189.1 in Submission LTP2024.189

LTP2024.275.1 in Submission LTP2024.275

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council has legislative obligations to protect, maintain and enhance the natural environment.
- (c) **Notes** that the Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust has a strong working relationship with Council staff which is enabling more local residents to gain support and advice.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.21. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Contestable Fund**
LTP2024.188.2 in Submission LTP2024.188

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that only "legislative" actions have been included in Option C.
- (c) **Notes** that should Option A be adopted, there will be two contestable funds, one for community and one for private individuals, of \$10,000 per annum.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.22. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**
LTP2024.185.2 in Submission LTP2024.185

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that staff are aware of the recycled plastic products and will make it a focus to consider working such products into its design work in the future, where it fits the project budget.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.23. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Central Government direction**
LTP2024.156.1 in Submission LTP2024.156
LTP2024.167.1 in Submission LTP2024.167

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council has legislative obligations to protect, maintain and enhance the natural environment.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.24. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Lineside Road property - Defer further development**
LTP2024.235.2 in Submission LTP2024.235
LTP2024.332.2 in Submission LTP2024.332
LTP2024.329.2 in Submission LTP2024.329

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.25. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.253.1 in Submission LTP2024.253

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** the working group is currently not at a point where precise decisions on how the Lineside Road land will be used all options which support biodiversity, and the enhancement of ecosystem services will be considered.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.26. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.178.2 in Submission LTP2024.178

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.27. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.243.5 in Submission LTP2024.243 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of New Zealand

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council has legislative obligations to promote, protect, maintain and enhance the natural environment.
- (c) **Notes** that education is fundamental to effectively and efficiently undertaking Council functions with regard to the natural environment.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.28. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.280.1 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Approves** Staff to work with the Waimakariri Access Group to develop a draft accessibility design guide and report back to Council.
- (c) **Notes** this work should be led by the Community and Recreation Department with advice sought from Utilities and Roading staff.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

- 1.29. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment** - Food Secure North Canterbury
LTP2024.172.1 in Submission LTP2024.172 by Food Secure North Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** the Natural Environment Strategy has the aim of maintaining, enhancing, and creating healthy ecosystems and whilst this includes all plant species, it is not a vehicle to offer further support to the planting of exotic species in place of indigenous.
- (c) **Notes** that Council staff will consider all plant species when creating- new plantings and management plans and ensure that the “right plant, right place, right purpose” principal is used.
- (d) **Notes** that Council staff will partner with existing organisations to offer education events to community and will administer the contestable fund if the strategy is adopted.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

- 1.30. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment** - Kaiapoi Food Forest Trust
LTP2024.228.1 in Submission LTP2024.228 by Kaiapoi Food Forest Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** the Natural Environment Strategy has the aim of maintaining, enhancing and creating healthy ecosystems and whilst this includes all plant species, it is not a vehicle to offer further support to the planting of exotic species in place of indigenous.
- (c) **Notes** that Council staff will consider all plant species when creating- new plantings and management plans and ensure that the “right plant, right place, right purpose” principal is used.
- (d) **Notes** that Council staff will partner with existing organisations to offer education events to community and will administer the contestable fund if the strategy is adopted.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

- 1.31. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**
LTP2024.285.2 in Submission LTP2024.285

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

- 1.32. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment** - Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust
LTP2024.220.1 in Submission LTP2024.220 by Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Notes** the great work that the Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust has already done in its establishment and bringing a voice to environmental matters in a coordinated manner on behalf of the community.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.33. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.343.1 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.34. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment**

LTP2024.124.4 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

1.35. **How we'll prioritise the natural environment - Creation of a wetland between Kaiapoi and Rangiora**

LTP2024.280.12 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2. Building the right facilities at the right time

That the Council:

Notes Option A received 92 submission and 24 submission points

Notes Option B received 103 submissions and 50 submission points

Notes the MainPower Oval 2026/27 received 36 submission points

Notes the Southbrook Sports Club 2027/28 received 31 submission points

Notes Fees and charges received 9 submission points

Notes 8 submission points do not support or partially support the topic

Notes 11 submission points provides general feedback

2.1. **Building the right facilities at the right time**

LTP2024.18.2 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

The recommendation required an update based on the previous decision of the Council earlier at the meeting.

2.2. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.22.3 in Submission LTP2024.22

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Canterbury Country Cricket are seeking a cost share and would be looking to help fund the project to at least a 50-50% level. The land is owned by the Waimakariri District Council and was purchased with the expectation it would be developed for Cricket.
- (b) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

The recommendation required an update based on the previous decision of the Council earlier at the meeting.

2.3. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.26.2 in Submission LTP2024.26

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

The recommendation required an update based on the previous decision of the Council earlier at the meeting.

2.4. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.34.4 in Submission LTP2024.34

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

The recommendation required an update based on the previous decision of the Council earlier at the meeting.

2.5. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.43.3 in Submission LTP2024.43

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

The recommendation required an update based on the previous decision of the Council earlier at the meeting.

2.6. Building the right facilities at the right time - Pre-school play equipment at Dudley Pool

LTP2024.25.5 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is sufficient provision with the Council's levels of service for play and recreation within Dudley Park and Dudley Aquatic Centre, as well as Victoria Park being within 500 metres of this location.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.7. **Building the right facilities at the right time**

LTP2024.20.6 in Submission LTP2024.20

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.8. **Building the right facilities at the right time - User Pays**

LTP2024.23.7 in Submission LTP2024.23

LTP2024.49.2 in Submission LTP2024.49

LTP2024.139.4 in Submission LTP2024.139

LTP2024.292.3 in Submission LTP2024.292

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council.

2.9. **Building the right facilities at the right time**

LTP2024.94.3 in Submission LTP2024.94

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the MainPower Oval and MainPower Stadium are Council owned assets that have naming rights which MainPower support through financial contribution.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council.

2.10. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Hydrotherapy facility**

LTP2024.108.4 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community board support for the development of a hydrotherapy pool as outlined within the District Aquatics Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.11. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Second Cricket Ground at MainPower Oval**

LTP2024.30.1 in Submission LTP2024.30 by Canterbury Country Cricket Assn Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Mainpower Oval.

2.12. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Second Cricket Ground at MainPower Oval**

LTP2024.86.1 in Submission LTP2024.86

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Mainpower Oval.

2.13. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Second Cricket Ground at MainPower Oval**

LTP2024.117.3 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Mainpower Oval.

2.14. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Second Cricket Ground at MainPower Oval - Opposed**

LTP2024.128.6 in Submission LTP2024.128 LTP2024.129.6 in Submission LTP2024.129

LTP2024.130.6 in Submission LTP2024.130

LTP2024.131.6 in Submission LTP2024.131

LTP2024.132.6 in Submission LTP2024.132

LTP2024.133.6 in Submission LTP2024.133

LTP2024.235.4 in Submission LTP2024.235

LTP2024.245.8 in Submission LTP2024.245

LTP2024.248.5 in Submission LTP2024.248

LTP2024.219.2 in Submission LTP2024.219

LTP2024.255.11 in Submission LTP2024.255

LTP2024.293.5 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.294.5 in Submission LTP2024.294

LTP2024.304.5 in Submission LTP2024.304

LTP2024.299.3 in Submission LTP2024.299

LTP2024.298.5 in Submission LTP2024.298

LTP2024.297.5 in Submission LTP2024.297

LTP2024.288.6 in Submission LTP2024.288

LTP2024.201.8 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.98.9 in Submission LTP2024.98

LTP2024.343.3 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

LTP2024.232.9 in Submission LTP2024.232

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitters needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Mainpower Oval.

2.15. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Second Cricket Ground at MainPower Oval - Ownership of the land**

LTP2024.156.4 in Submission LTP2024.156

LTP2024.167.3 in Submission LTP2024.167

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Mainpower Oval.

- 2.16. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Second Cricket Ground at MainPower Oval - Sport Canterbury**
LTP2024.249.2 in Submission LTP2024.249 by Sport Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Mainpower Oval.

- 2.17. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Southbrook Sports Club**
LTP2024.279.1 in Submission LTP2024.279 by Southbrook Community Sports Club

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club.

- 2.18. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Southbrook Sports Club - Hub partnership**
LTP2024.108.3 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club.

- 2.19. **Building the right facilities at the right time - Southbrook Sports Club - Opposed to the upgrade**

LTP2024.117.4 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

LTP2024.128.5 in Submission LTP2024.128

LTP2024.129.5 in Submission LTP2024.129

LTP2024.130.5 in Submission LTP2024.130

LTP2024.131.5 in Submission LTP2024.131

LTP2024.132.5 in Submission LTP2024.132

LTP2024.133.5 in Submission LTP2024.133

LTP2024.167.4 in Submission LTP2024.167

LTP2024.237.3 in Submission LTP2024.237

LTP2024.248.4 in Submission LTP2024.248

LTP2024.219.3 in Submission LTP2024.219

LTP2024.193.4 in Submission LTP2024.193

LTP2024.293.4 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.294.4 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.304.4 in Submission LTP2024.304
LTP2024.303.4 in Submission LTP2024.303
LTP2024.298.4 in Submission LTP2024.298
LTP2024.297.4 in Submission LTP2024.297
LTP2024.288.5 in Submission LTP2024.288
LTP2024.201.7 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.343.2 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club.

2.20. Building the right facilities at the right time - Southbrook Sports Club

LTP2024.156.5 in Submission LTP2024.156

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club.

2.21. Building the right facilities at the right time - Southbrook Sports Club - Sport Canterbury

LTP2024.249.1 in Submission LTP2024.249 by Sport Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club.

2.22. Building the right facilities at the right time - Facilities Network Plan

LTP2024.117.5 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.23. Building the right facilities at the right time - Ravenswood Aquatic Facility and Library

LTP2024.117.6 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community board support for the development of its current community facilities.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.24. Building the right facilities at the right time - Student involvement

LTP2024.137.4 in Submission LTP2024.137

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.25. Building the right facilities at the right time - Do not support

LTP2024.217.2 in Submission LTP2024.217

LTP2024.305.2 in Submission LTP2024.305

LTP2024.275.3 in Submission LTP2024.275

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club and Mainpower Oval cricket .

2.26. Building the right facilities at the right time - North Canterbury Netball Centre

LTP2024.165.1 in Submission LTP2024.165 by North Canterbury Netball Centre

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will continue to work with North Canterbury Netball Centre on the master plan for the Coldstream Road location to see if this is an option that suits both Council and Netball.
- (b) **Notes** that the funding for renewal of courts is in the next Long Term Plan in 2029/30 at a sum of \$183,330. This allows for planning to proceed and be resolved within the next three years to help inform any changes required to the draft Long Term Plan in 2027.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.27. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.245.7 in Submission LTP2024.245

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council has pushed funding for Ravenswood to year 11 of the Long Term Plan to reflect the need to understand what the community would likely need at the time and to see how the growth of the area plays out.
- (b) **Notes** that the funding in the current draft of the Long Term Plan supports the renewal of our existing asset base to make them compliant and modern.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.28. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.283.3 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that consideration is given to alternate modes, such as walking & cycling needs, as part of each project.
- (b) **Notes** that there are often a number of competing demands, and that staff and Council work hard to try and balance these as best we can for the Community.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.29. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.189.2 in Submission LTP2024.189

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club and Mainpower Oval cricket .

2.30. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.178.4 in Submission LTP2024.178

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club and Mainpower Oval cricket .

2.31. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.227.2 in Submission LTP2024.227

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested the recommended response to the submitter needed to be updated to reflect the earlier decision made by the Council regarding Southbrook Sports Club and Mainpower Oval cricket .

2.32. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.224.2 in Submission LTP2024.224

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.33. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.2 in Submission LTP2024.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.34. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.343.4 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

2.35. Building the right facilities at the right time

LTP2024.124.5 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.
- (b) **Approves** Staff to work with the Waimakariri Access Group to develop a draft accessibility design guide and report back to Council.
- (c) **Notes** this work should be led by the Community and Recreation Department with advice sought from Utilities and Road staff.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

The meeting adjourned for a refreshment break at 4.35pm and reconvened at 4.50pm.

3. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

That the Council:

Notes Option A received 58 submissions and 15 submission points

Notes Option B received 17 submissions and 6 submission points

Notes Option C received 76 submissions and 43 submission points

Notes 40 submissions do not support upgrade and refurbishment projects

Notes 6 submission wanted maintenance only

Notes 4 submission points were received on the Rangiora Museum

Notes 10 submission points provided feedback related to this topic

3.1. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.18.3 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.2. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.26.3 in Submission LTP2024.26

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that any extension will consider transport linkages and accessibility in future design stages._

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.3. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.34.6 in Submission LTP2024.34

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that in the long term a two-storey building (i.e. option B) will have a better net present value; due to present rates affordability this was not Council preferred option.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.4. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.53.5 in Submission LTP2024.53

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.5. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library - Maintenance only

LTP2024.43.4 in Submission LTP2024.43

LTP2024.74.3 in Submission LTP2024.74

LTP2024.219.4 in Submission LTP2024.219

LTP2024.339.2 in Submission LTP2024.339

LTP2024.338.3 in Submission LTP2024.338

LTP2024.189.3 in Submission LTP2024.189

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.6. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.107.2 in Submission LTP2024.107

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.7. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library - Do nothing

LTP2024.59.3 in Submission LTP2024.59
LTP2024.88.3 in Submission LTP2024.88
LTP2024.118.3 in Submission LTP2024.118
LTP2024.128.2 in Submission LTP2024.128
LTP2024.129.2 in Submission LTP2024.129
LTP2024.130.2 in Submission LTP2024.130
LTP2024.131.2 in Submission LTP2024.131
LTP2024.132.2 in Submission LTP2024.132
LTP2024.133.2 in Submission LTP2024.133
LTP2024.141.3 in Submission LTP2024.141
LTP2024.232.3 in Submission LTP2024.232
LTP2024.248.1 in Submission LTP2024.248
LTP2024.251.3 in Submission LTP2024.251
LTP2024.217.4 in Submission LTP2024.217
LTP2024.255.9 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.193.3 in Submission LTP2024.193
LTP2024.293.1 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.1 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.337.3 in Submission LTP2024.337
LTP2024.335.3 in Submission LTP2024.335
LTP2024.332.5 in Submission LTP2024.332
LTP2024.306.3 in Submission LTP2024.306
LTP2024.305.3 in Submission LTP2024.305
LTP2024.304.6 in Submission LTP2024.304
LTP2024.303.5 in Submission LTP2024.303
LTP2024.299.4 in Submission LTP2024.299
LTP2024.298.1 in Submission LTP2024.298
LTP2024.297.1 in Submission LTP2024.297
LTP2024.296.3 in Submission LTP2024.296
LTP2024.292.4 in Submission LTP2024.292
LTP2024.289.3 in Submission LTP2024.289
LTP2024.288.2 in Submission LTP2024.288
LTP2024.275.2 in Submission LTP2024.275
LTP2024.201.14 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.6 in Submission LTP2024.99
LTP2024.98.8 in Submission LTP2024.98
LTP2024.343.5 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.8. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.108.6 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that in the long term a two-storey building (i.e. option B) will have a better net present value; due to present rates affordability this was not Council preferred option.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.9. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library - Rangiora Museum

LTP2024.117.8 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

LTP2024.127.2 in Submission LTP2024.127

LTP2024.160.2 in Submission LTP2024.160 by Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society (Inc)

LTP2024.245.2 in Submission LTP2024.245

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there are synergies between the Rangiora Civic Precinct and Library project, and the current needs of the Rangiora Museum
- (b) **Notes** that current preferred Option A does not make provision for incorporation of the Rangiora Museum; however, could potentially include some degree of display space with minimal (or nil) effect on current budget, although this may not fulfil the current need/desires.
- (c) **Approves** staff working with the Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society to more fully establish the vision for the Rangiora Museum and their needs, so that assessment can be made of options for full or partial inclusion of museums spaces within the proposed new Library extensions, for Option A.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.10. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.154.4 in Submission LTP2024.154

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the proposed Option A (Councils preferred) and Option B are both extensions to the existing buildings at the Rangiora Civic precinct campus; and that these are essentially new builds of new floor areas (multistorey in the case of Options B) adjacent to, and variously connected to, the existing buildings via link spaces.
- (b) **Notes** that the staff cost estimates are conceptual level based on floor areas established from previous business cases and design briefs, updated for current population projections, utilising high level cost estimating on a floor area basis using recent cost rates from quantity surveyors. The estimates include inflation provision, and contingency; and further detail will be provided within the staff submission report to LTP Deliberations meeting.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.11. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.167.5 in Submission LTP2024.167

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submission does not support any of the options put forward within the Long Term Plan, but suggests urgent repairs only, and review of current staffing levels.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.12. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.235.6 in Submission LTP2024.235

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** Option A as the Councils preferred option. **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.13. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.244.1 in Submission LTP2024.244

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Libraries Design Brief considers also the wider District needs and that there is separate provision in the Infrastructure Strategy for potential development of Library facilities as part of the planned Ravenswood Community Facility.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.14. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.287.1 in Submission LTP2024.287

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the submissions suggested facilities to be considered within or associated with a new library extension may have some merit and fit within a modern library and civic precinct experience and will be considered in the next stages of design as refinements are made. These will need to be balanced Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

3.15. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.283.5 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that future design stages will further explore the provision of bicycle parking as part of the overall site external works and transport network planning, which can reasonably be expected to be funded through the current budget provision.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

3.16. Extension of the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library

LTP2024.227.3 in Submission LTP2024.227

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that this submission appears to be potentially in support of Option C, or no works at all.
- (b) **Notes** that the library no longer meets the needs for a diverse and growing community. The proposed extension considers what will be required for our current community but also looks forward 30 years to make sure the council can still provide library services for the cultural and social well-being of our community, based on population projections. This also addresses the changing library experience, which is more than just a space for books. The proposals do not duplicate the Museum, rather they intend to support and accommodate the future museum needs and aim to rationalise with the library services and facilities, similar to what currently occurs with the art space, and at the Kaiapoi Library.
- (c) **Notes** that a high-level analysis of future office space requirements forms part of the business case, with staff numbers modelled from a number of inputs, including population projections and expected sector changes, and different ways of working (including working from home patterns). The Council has already outgrown its current space, with all spaces currently used, and also leases numerous other buildings within the Town Centre.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that all responses to submissions regarding the extension to the Trevor Inch Memorial Rangiora Library were to be updated to reflect the decision agreed by the Council earlier in the meeting.

4. Funding flood resilience and improvements

That the Council:

Notes Option A received 94 submissions and 32 submission points

Notes Option B received 67 submissions and 39 submission points

Notes 20 submissions did not support any of the options

Notes 1 submission provided other feedback related to this topic

4.1. Funding flood resilience and improvements - Managing increased water flows

LTP2024.2.1 in Submission LTP2024.2

That the Council:

(a) Notes that surface water channels and stormwater infrastructure such as culverts are mapped in GIS and this information is used to assess the performance of the drainage system.

(b) Notes that if there are any areas of concern, then a service request should be lodged, and Council staff will investigate further.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.2. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.18.4 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

(a) Receive the submission.

(b) Advise that Council needs to invest in on-going maintenance of assets in order to prolong their life and maintain the levels of service.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.3. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.26.4 in Submission LTP2024.26

That the Council:

(a) Notes that trees and other vegetation is used as a flood management technique, among other mitigations, where appropriate.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.4. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.39.5 in Submission LTP2024.39

That the Council:

(a) Notes that specific flood resilience and improvement projects are not known at this stage, however the funding will be used to implement future works to cater for the implications of increased weather patterns, climate change and associated impact on our services.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.5. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.34.11 in Submission LTP2024.34

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that flood resilience and improvement works will help provide for the implications of increased weather patterns, climate change and associated impact on our services.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.6. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.17.10 in Submission LTP2024.17 by Waikura Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is benefit in establishing a flood resilience and improvements fund and a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team rather than engaging external consultants following significant rainfall events.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.7. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.65.5 in Submission LTP2024.65

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is benefit in establishing a flood resilience and improvements fund and a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team rather than engaging external consultants following significant rainfall events.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.8. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.83.4 in Submission LTP2024.83

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that as predicting the impact of flooding can be difficult, there is benefit in establishing a flood resilience and improvements fund to implement improvements works as they occur.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that in the staff response to the submitter that the correct figure be used rather than the phrase “ *over \$30 million*”. This request to be carried through in all such incidents.

4.9. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.108.8 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that all community boards will have an opportunity to comment on proposed flood resilience projects as they are allocated and prioritised into the future.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.10. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.117.9 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that all community boards will have an opportunity to comment on proposed flood resilience projects as they are allocated and prioritised into the future.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.11. Funding flood resilience and improvements - Do not support

LTP2024.59.4 in Submission LTP2024.59
LTP2024.141.4 in Submission LTP2024.141
LTP2024.248.7 in Submission LTP2024.248
LTP2024.306.4 in Submission LTP2024.306
LTP2024.305.4 in Submission LTP2024.305
LTP2024.298.7 in Submission LTP2024.298
LTP2024.297.7 in Submission LTP2024.297
LTP2024.271.4 in Submission LTP2024.271
LTP2024.292.5 in Submission LTP2024.292
LTP2024.290.5 in Submission LTP2024.290
LTP2024.291.4 in Submission LTP2024.291
LTP2024.189.4 in Submission LTP2024.189
LTP2024.201.6 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.4 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is benefit in establishing a flood resilience and improvements fund and a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team rather than engaging external consultants following significant rainfall events.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.12. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.241.5 in Submission LTP2024.241

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that further consultation with residents will be undertaken on the Mandeville Resurgence Channel project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.13. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.340.5 in Submission LTP2024.340

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Ministry for the Environment stated last year that "There is no evidence to support the occurrence of cloud seeding, geoengineering, or any other weather modification methods by the New Zealand Government."
- (b) **Notes** that the establishment of a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team and a Flood Recovery and Resilience Fund will help us to respond to and recover from future significant rainfall and flooding events.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.14. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.217.5 in Submission LTP2024.217

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is benefit in establishing a flood resilience and improvements fund to implement improvements works as they occur.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.15. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.193.7 in Submission LTP2024.193

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will continue to actively monitor for any funding opportunities that may be made available by the current government.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.16. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.224.4 in Submission LTP2024.224

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is benefit in establishing a flood resilience and improvements fund and a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team rather than engaging external consultants following significant rainfall events.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.17. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.343.7 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that all community boards will have an opportunity to comment on proposed flood resilience projects as they are allocated and prioritised into the future, as will affected community members.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.18. Funding flood resilience and improvements

LTP2024.124.6 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the establishment of a permanent Infrastructure Resilience Team and a Flood Recovery and Resilience Fund will help us to respond to and recover from future significant rainfall and flooding events.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

4.19. **Funding flood resilience and improvements**

LTP2024.280.11 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the infrastructure resilience team will undertake a wider role of responding to natural hazard events and other incidents that impact Council's infrastructure, including that of wildfire.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

That the Council:

Notes Option A received 100 submissions and 40 submission points

Notes Option B received 21 submissions and 9 submission points

Notes Option C received 17 submissions

Notes Option D received 35 submissions

Notes 6 submissions do not support any options

Notes 28 submitters provided feedback related to the topic

5.1. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Lineside Road safety concerns**

LTP2024.3.1 in Submission LTP2024.3

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the submitter's comments around impacts of increasing traffic volumes on Lineside Road and adjoining side roads.
- (b) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link (REL) is an important element in the future transport needs of the district, to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (c) **Notes** that it is important to progress investigation and planning into this route, to address current issues with safety, access and congestion through Southbrook, and provide alternate routes to support future growth around Rangiora.
- (d) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available and to agree the funding split, and this will likely influence the Council's decision around timing.
- (e) **Notes** that Council continues to work closely with NZ Transport Agency in regard to Lineside Road safety improvements.
- (f) **Notes** that NZ Transport Agency have requested funding for Lineside Road as part of the next National Land Transport Programme.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.2. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Development of planned road from Lehmans Road to the Ashley Bridge**

LTP2024.10.6 in Submission LTP2024.10

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the construction of the Northwest Rangiora Arterial Road is included in the Long Term Plan starting in 2029/30 for design.
- (b) **Notes** that destination surveys have previously been undertaken in Rangiora, looking at heavy vehicle movements and whether they were travelling through the town or to Rangiora (or Southbrook) as a destination.

- (c) **Notes** that the investigations determined that the majority of heavy movements were travelling to Rangiora as a destination and as such a bypass would have limited effectiveness.
- (d) **Declines** the request to ban heavy vehicle movements in Rangiora, as heavy vehicles are required to service businesses within the township.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.3. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.18.6 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's request to consider a 'toll fee'.
- (b) **Notes** that further investigation would be required to confirm whether this would be a viable approach.
- (c) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available and to agree the funding split, this would include how the project is financed.

Councillors Comments:

Note that staff comments around needing an alternative 'free' route were misleading as there were already three other routes available out of Rangiora.

5.4. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.26.5 in Submission LTP2024.26

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the submitter does not support the Rangiora Eastern Link Road.
- (b) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link (REL) is an important element in the future transport needs of the district, to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (c) **Notes** that it is important to progress investigation and planning into this route, to address current issues with safety, access and congestion through Southbrook, and provide alternate routes to support future growth around Rangiora.
- (d) **Notes** that previous investigations determined that the majority of heavy movements were travelling to Rangiora as a destination and as such a bypass would have limited effectiveness.

Councillors Comments:

Improve response to submitter, remove last paragraph and address rental leakage.

5.5. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.34.8 in Submission LTP2024.34

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the submitter does not support the Rangiora Eastern Link Road
- (b) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link (REL) is an important element in the future transport needs of the district, to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (c) **Notes** that it is important to progress investigation and planning into this route, to address current issues with safety, access and congestion through Southbrook, and provide alternate routes to support future growth around Rangiora.
- (d) **Notes** that previous investigations determined that the majority of heavy movements were travelling to Rangiora as a destination and as such a bypass would have limited effectiveness.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.6. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.45.6 in Submission LTP2024.45

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's views on upgrading of Marsh Rd / Waikoruru Road.
- (b) **Notes** that budget is included in the Long Term Plan with the design in 2027/28 and construction in 2028/29, to align with the construction of the Rangiora Eastern Link Road.
- (c) **Notes** that further investigation of longer-term safety improvements at the intersection of Rangiora Woodend Road / Boys Road / Tuahiwi Road (5 Crossroads) are to be undertaken and extensive consultation will need to be carried out with both Runanga and the wider Community prior to any further works proceeding.
- (d) **Notes** that once decisions are made of the future roading configuration at the intersection of Rangiora Woodend Road / Boys Road / Tuahiwi Road (5 Crossroads) and the extent of works is determined, then consideration may be given to bringing budget forward.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.7. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.50.7 in Submission LTP2024.50

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for work continuing even if it needs to be done without Government subsidy.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's view that the Rangiora Eastern Link Road should progress ahead of Skew Bridge if required.
- (c) **Notes** that while the Long Term Plan put these two projects into one question, in practice they are not linked and can be progressed separately and independently.
- (d) **Notes** that both projects will have a detailed Business Case prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available, and this will likely influence the Council's decision around timing.
- (e) **Notes** the request to include bus lanes on the Rangiora Eastern Link Road, however **Notes** that the future need is unlikely to be on Southbrook Rd as this is where key stops and destinations are located.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.8. Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Heavy vehicles through the centre of Rangiora

LTP2024.37.6 in Submission LTP2024.37

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that significant planning has gone into the future transport needs for the district to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's view around heavy vehicles in Rangiora.
- (c) **Notes** that destination surveys have previously been undertaken in Rangiora, looking at heavy vehicle movements and whether they were travelling through the town or to Rangiora (or Southbrook) as a destination.
- (d) **Notes** that the investigations determined that the majority of heavy movements were travelling to Rangiora as a destination and as such a bypass would have limited effectiveness.
- (e) **Declines** the request to ban heavy vehicle movements in Rangiora, as heavy vehicles are required to service businesses within the township including Southbrook.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.9. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.56.3 in Submission LTP2024.56

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's request to push this project out into later years of the Long Term Plan.
- (b) **Notes** that significant planning has gone into the future transport needs for the district to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (c) **Notes** the submitter's view that the construction of the Woodend Bypass will provide improvements for those accessing via Rangiora Woodend Rd.
- (d) **Notes** that relying on the Woodend Bypass alone does not adequately provide for the further growth which is forecast in east Rangiora, nor does it address the safety, access and congestion issues currently experienced through the Southbrook area.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.10. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.65.7 in Submission LTP2024.65

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that significant planning has gone into the future transport needs for the district to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's query around wider upgrades to support the development of the Rangiora Eastern Link Road (e.g., Northbrook Rd Improvements).
- (c) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link Road will meet Northbrook Rd at McPhail Avenue / Sparks Lane and likely include a roundabout in this location.
- (d) **Notes** that the majority of traffic accessing the Rangiora Eastern Link Road at this location will be from the north and east, both of which have already been upgraded.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.11. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.67.5 in Submission LTP2024.67

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for increased infrastructure, but a desire that this is spent on Walking & Cycling rather than larger road infrastructure.
- (b) **Declines** the request for a barrier on Rangiora Woodend Road alongside the shared path.
- (c) **Notes** that Council has budgeted \$500,000 per year over the next ten years for improvement to the Walking & Cycling Network.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.12. Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Woodend Bypass alternative

LTP2024.67.6 in Submission LTP2024.67

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council supports and continues to advocate for the Woodend Bypass, with complementary facilities such as a separated shared path to ensure that there are opportunities for alternate modes within the corridor.
- (b) **Notes** that there are significant safety issues in Woodend related to access across the state highway, which will be addressed with the introduction of the Woodend Bypass.
- (c) **Notes** that Council is advocating for a balanced approach that will provide much needed safety and freight improvements, caters for continuing growth in the district, while also providing options for how people travel. This includes investment in new infrastructure for alternate modes (such as walking & cycling) and public transport.
- (d) **Notes** that Council is one of the Greater Christchurch partners and continues to support Public Transport improvements and the case for Mass Rapid Transit for the Greater Christchurch area.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.13. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.107.3 in Submission LTP2024.107

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link Road has been in the Council's Infrastructure Strategy for some time but has only recently been moved into the Long Term Plan due to fast tracking of development and increasing congestion, safety and access issues in Southbrook.
- (b) **Notes** that the Woodend Bypass has been proposed for two decades by NZ Transport Agency (as this is a State Highway), however has not previously received any funding to progress the design or construction in the National Land Transport Programme (NLTP), despite Council strongly advocating for its construction.
- (c) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available, and this will influence the Council's decision around timing.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.14. Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Toll fee

LTP2024.119.6 in Submission LTP2024.119

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for the Rangiora Eastern Link.
- (b) **Notes** the request to consider a 'toll fee'.
- (c) **Notes** that further investigation would be required to confirm whether this would be a viable approach.
- (d) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available and to agree the funding split, this would include how the project is financed.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.15. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.117.10 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the ability to obtain NZ Transport Agency co-funding is not yet confirmed.
- (b) **Notes** that in order to be able to present a compelling case to NZ Transport Agency, there is a reasonable amount of work to be done on resolving several specific issues, developing a more detailed concept plan and estimate, and creating a business case. Without these steps a clear understanding of the costs and funding needs cannot be reached. There will be the opportunity to make further decisions about the timing of the construction costs after that.
- (c) **Notes** that funding is included in the Long Term Plan to address key areas of concern particularly around intersection on the West Rangiora Route, at Townsend Culvert and Skew Bridge.
- (d) **Notes** that Council is continuing to work with NZ Transport Agency on safety improvements for SH71 Lineside Road.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.16. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.162.1 in Submission LTP2024.162

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that significant planning has gone into the future transport needs for the district to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's view that the construction of the Woodend Bypass will provide improvements for those accessing via Rangiora Woodend Rd.
- (c) **Notes** that relying on the Woodend Bypass alone does not adequately provide for the further growth which is forecast in east Rangiora, nor does it address the safety, access and congestion issues currently experienced through the Southbrook area.
- (d) **Notes** that the intersection of Main North Rd (SH1) and Woodend Rd is controlled by NZ Transport Agency.
- (e) **Notes** that Council has continued to advocate for safety improvements within Woodend, however these have not been delivered on and are now unlikely to proceed as improvements at a national level are now focused on the Woodend Bypass.

Councillors Comments:

Improve response to submitter by addressing submission points and incorporating recommendation to Council.

5.17. Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Do not support

LTP2024.59.5 in Submission LTP2024.59
LTP2024.141.5 in Submission LTP2024.141
LTP2024.232.4 in Submission LTP2024.232
LTP2024.251.5 in Submission LTP2024.251
LTP2024.305.6 in Submission LTP2024.305
LTP2024.302.4 in Submission LTP2024.302

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the submitter does not support the Rangiora Eastern Link Road.

- (b) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link (REL) is an important element in the future transport needs of the district, to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (c) **Notes** that it is important to progress investigation and planning into this route, to address current issues with safety, access and congestion through Southbrook, and provide alternate routes to support future growth around Rangiora.
- (d) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available and to agree the funding split, and this will likely influence the Council's decision around timing.

Councillors Comments:

Improve response to submitters by incorporating points 3 and 4 of the recommendation to the Council.

5.18. Rangiora Eastern Link Road - User Pays

LTP2024.188.7 in Submission LTP2024.188

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's preference for more of the Rangiora Eastern Link Construction to be funded by the development.
- (b) **Notes** that significant work has been undertaken to assess the level of benefit the road will provide to existing road users, and how much should be attributed to growth.
- (c) **Notes** that requiring development to fund more would be inequitable and place unfair burden on these developments, when existing road users are also benefitting from the new infrastructure.
- (d) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available and to agree the funding split, and this will likely influence the Council's decision around timing.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.19. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.154.6 in Submission LTP2024.154

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for the Rangiora Eastern Link.
- (b) **Notes** that a programme of improvements is planned across a number of routes around the district, to provide options for the route of travel that drivers choose to take.
- (c) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available, and this will influence the Council's decision around timing.

Councillors Comments:

Advise submitter that during detailed design there will be consultation with affected landowners regarding alignment.

5.20. Rangiora Eastern Link Road - Three sets of traffic lights

LTP2024.156.10 in Submission LTP2024.156

LTP2024.167.7 in Submission LTP2024.167

LTP2024.213.7 in Submission LTP2024.213

LTP2024.275.6 in Submission LTP2024.275

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the introduction of the traffic signals was undertaken to achieve a number of objectives, including better access for the side streets which provide access for schools / a pre-school / businesses and residents, to replace the Kea Crossing which was operating well beyond recommended safe capacity and delivering a safer crossing point, while not unduly impacting through traffic on Southbrook Road.
- (b) **Notes** that the traffic signals are monitored and the timing between phases set to maximise throughput capacity on Southbrook Road and minimise delays.
- (c) **Notes** that purely synchronising the traffic signals by time would give all three side roads the same amount of time on green (e.g. South Belt, Coronation/Torlesse, and PAK'nSave/Mitre 10) which would cause more delays and frustration, as the demands on each side road differ.
- (d) **Notes** that the staff regularly monitor the performance of the traffic signals and the amount of traffic on the side roads and make adjustments to the phasing of the traffic signals as required.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.21. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.192.3 in Submission LTP2024.192

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's view that the road should be formed one way either side of the railway line.
- (b) **Notes** that to achieve this, Council would have to purchase a significant number of properties either side of the rail corridor, which would have a significant.
- (c) **Notes** that KiwiRail would not support a new road being constructed within the rail corridor, and as such this option is not considered viable.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.22. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.218.6 in Submission LTP2024.218

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for the Rangiora Eastern Link
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's view on the Southbrook bridge (by PAK'nSave), but **Notes** that while this may make some level of improvement, it would not reduce congestion significantly due to the fact it is an isolated spot along the length, and other impediments remain.
- (c) **Notes** the concern about the Fernside / Todds intersection, and that Council is proposing improvements by constructing a right turn bay.
- (d) **Notes** that there are a number of other improvements intended on Fernside, at Johns and Lehman's Roads.
- (e) **Notes** the submitter's concerns regarding South Belt / Southbrook, also noting that there are other improvements of higher priority on Townsend Road, including culvert widening and intersection improvements at Fernside intersection which are currently programmed.
- (f) **Notes** that a programme of improvements is planned across a number of routes to provide options for the route that drivers choose to take.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.23. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.245.4 in Submission LTP2024.245

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's view that the Rangiora Eastern Link should not be built at this time as co-funding is not anticipated.
- (b) **Notes** that as part of the investigations for this project, a full business case will be carried out. This will take into account the projected usage, acknowledging the other projects that are intended such as the Woodend Bypass.
- (c) **Notes** that the Rangiora Eastern Link Road is proposed to help address current safety, congestion and access issues in Southbrook, as well as to cater for future growth.
- (d) **Notes** that failing to adequately cater for future growth is likely to result in increasing safety and access issues, as well as increasing travel time and congestion through the Southbrook area.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.24. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.217.6 in Submission LTP2024.217

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's concern regarding congestion on the Main North Road, which is a state highway controlled by NZ Transport Agency.
- (b) **Notes** that NZ Transport Agency will be progressing the Woodend Bypass, as and when instructed by Central Government.
- (c) **Notes** that the need to upgrade infrastructure is considered with development, however timing of the funding of projects particularly at a national level is not under Council's control.
- (d) **Notes** that the Council has continued to strongly advocate for this project being undertaken in a timely manner.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.25. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.253.4 in Submission LTP2024.253

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for Skew Bridge to be progressed as soon as possible even if this means doing the work unsubsidised.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's view that the Rangiora Eastern Link should be delayed and considered as part of the next Long Term Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that while the Long Term Plan put these two projects into one question, in practice they are not linked and can be progressed separately and independently.
- (d) **Notes** that both projects will have a detailed Business Case prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available, and this will influence the Council's decision around timing.
- (e) **Notes** these two businesses cases will be considered independently.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.26. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.299.6 in Submission LTP2024.299

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's view that the Rangiora Eastern Link should be delayed and considered in 5+ years.
- (b) **Notes** that while the Long Term Plan put these two projects into one question, in practice they are not linked and can be progressed separately and independently.
- (c) **Notes** that this project will require a detailed Business Case to be prepared which will determine whether NZ Transport Agency funding is available, and this will influence the Council's decision around timing.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.27. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.284.6 in Submission LTP2024.284

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that significant planning has gone into the future transport needs for the district to meet the Communities needs and cater for growth.
- (b) **Notes** the submitter's view that the construction of the Woodend Bypass will provide improvements for those accessing via Rangiora Woodend Rd.
- (c) **Notes** that relying on the Woodend Bypass alone does not adequately provide for the further growth which is forecast in east Rangiora, nor does it address the safety, access and congestion issues currently experienced through the Southbrook area.
- (d) **Notes** that an alternate SH1 route via Lineside Rd would increase travel times, pass through residential areas and as such is not considered a viable option.
- (e) **Notes** that Council will continue to work closely with NZ transport Agency on options to improve transport connections in North Canterbury.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.28. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.280.5 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's general support for the Rangiora Eastern Link Road.
- (b) **Notes** that as part of the investigations for this project, a full business case will be carried out. This will take into account the projected usage, acknowledging the other projects that are intended such as the Woodend Bypass.
- (c) **Notes** that while the Council have not yet made a firm commitment to a shared path along the REL, it is currently allowed for in the project concept and estimate. Final decisions will be made once concept design / preliminary design and firmer estimates are completed.
- (d) **Notes** that relying on the Woodend Bypass alone does not adequately provide for the further growth which is forecast in east Rangiora, nor does it address the safety, access and congestion issues currently experienced through the Southbrook area.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.29. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.224.6 in Submission LTP2024.224

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Rangiora Northwest Arterial Road still remains a critical part of the district's future transport plans and is included in the Long Term Plan starting in 2029/30 for design.
- (b) **Notes** that the primary usage of the Rangiora Eastern Link Road is to provide easier access for anticipated growth to the east of the town, as well as existing residents in current eastern areas.
- (c) **Notes** also that the construction of this link will serve a different need, namely that of traffic and freight not needing to travel through Southbrook if it is not their destination, therefore easing congestion through this area.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.30. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.343.8 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the ability to obtain NZ Transport Agency co-funding is not yet confirmed.
- (b) **Notes** that in order to be able to present a compelling case to NZ Transport Agency, there is a reasonable amount of work to be done on resolving several specific issues, developing a more detailed concept plan and estimate, and creating a business case. Without these steps a clear understanding of the costs and funding needs cannot be reached. There will be the opportunity to make further decisions about the timing of the construction costs after that.

Councillors Comments:

The response should refer to the growth of the whole district not just Rangiora.

5.31. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.124.8 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the health and wellbeing concerns raised by the submitter and agrees to working with them to consider these impacts as part of the investigation and design.
- (b) **Notes** there are a number of other more detailed assessments that will be required as the project progresses, including assessments on drainage, noise, biosecurity, ecological values and other matters.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.32. **Rangiora Eastern Link Road**

LTP2024.221.6 in Submission LTP2024.221

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council works hard to balance a number of competing needs along Southbrook Road.
- (b) **Notes** that significant work has gone into ensuring flow along this corridor is maximised, while allowing safe access for those who need to access from side roads, or to cross the road itself.
- (c) **Notes** that progressing the Rangiora Eastern Link Road will provide an alternate route which will remove some traffic from Southbrook Road, allowing for more effective operation of this corridor.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

5.33. Rangiora Eastern Link Road

LTP2024.219.8 in Submission LTP2024.219

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council works hard to balance a number of competing needs along Southbrook Road.
- (b) **Notes** that the introduction of the traffic signals at Coronation Street / Torlesse Street was undertaken to achieve a number of objectives, including better access for the side streets which provide access for schools / a pre-school / businesses and residents, to replace the Kea Crossing which was operating well beyond recommended safe capacity and delivering a safer crossing point, while not unduly impacting through traffic on Southbrook Road
- (c) **Notes** that significant work has gone into ensuring flow along this corridor is maximised, while allowing safe access for those who need to access from side roads, or to cross the road itself.
- (d) **Notes** that cyclists have rights to use the road corridor, just like any other user and should be able to do so safely.
- (e) **Notes** that people choosing to use other modes results in less vehicles on the road, which benefits drivers.
- (f) **Notes** that the reason the roundabout at the Southbrook Rd / South Belt intersection was replaced with traffic signals, was because the intersection was not operating effectively and particularly in the afternoon peak, there were long queues on Southbrook Road coming into Rangiora.

Councillors Comments:

Reword last paragraph in response to submitter.

6. Utilities and Roothing

Roothing and Transport

6.1. **Bridge Repairs**

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Waimakariri Gorge Bridge Deck is currently being replaced in conjunction with Selwyn District Council.
- (b) **Notes** that Skew Bridge Replacement is in the Long Term Plan and an application for funding has Traffic Calming

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

LTP2024.18.8 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that for all projects around the district, consideration needs to be given to a number of competing demands and opinions. Staff and Council work hard to balance these as best we can for the Community.

Councillors Comments:

Add detail to response noting the difficulty in securing NZTA funding.

6.2. Traffic Calming - Victoria Street

LTP2024.109.3 in Submission LTP2024.109

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that while the concerns of speed are noted on Victoria Street, this needs to be prioritised against all other known safety issues in the district, and that Victoria Street is not considered to be a high speed / high risk street.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council undertakes regular monitor of traffic volumes and speeds on roads around the district as part of our traffic counter programme, and if the situation warrants it, then further action will be considered.
- (c) **Notes** that the last count taken on Victoria St in 2022 showed a mean speed 42.6km/h across a 7-day period.
- (d) **Notes** that this is considered to be low and not sufficient to require traffic calming.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.3. Traffic Calming - Traffic lights on main arterial roads

LTP2024.201.18 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.10 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the costs of different options need to be considered for each specific instance in order to avoid having an undue additional cost on the ratepayer.
- (b) **Notes** that in recent instances where traffic signals have been installed, it has been to achieve wider benefits, not just pedestrians (e.g. access from side roads, ability to turn into and out of a new facility), and as such a pedestrian overbridge alone would not have provided all the required benefits.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.4. Traffic Calming - Speed Limit reductions/Speed Humps

LTP2024.304.10 in Submission LTP2024.304

LTP2024.201.3 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.11 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Council always seeks to find the right balance in terms of lower speed limits to improve safety and maintaining a good efficient roading network.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council will be reconsidering its approach to speed limits once additional guidance is received from the new Government.
- (c) **Notes** that the Council also receives a large number of requests from other members of the community seeking lower speed limits, hence a balanced approach is needed.

Councillors Comments:

Suggest recommendation should be to partially accept.

6.5. Passenger Rail

LTP2024.25.16 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee have requested work be undertaken to investigate the viability of regional passenger rail in the Canterbury area.
- (b) **Notes** that for regional rail to be viable it would need to be high frequency, and this would likely come at a high cost. As such affordability will be a key consideration.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.6. Pedestrian Refuge Ivory Street

LTP2024.56.2 in Submission LTP2024.56

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitters concerns about the safety of the pedestrian refuge.
- (b) **Notes** that while the Ivory St / Queen St intersection does have some safety concerns, it would not meet a safety or volume threshold for warranting traffic lights at this stage, and that there are a number of intersections in the district which would be considered before this one.
- (c) **Notes** that in the meantime, the concerns about residents needing to cross Ivory St need to be responded to, and due to the location of intersections and driveways in the vicinity, the chosen location was the best of the options available.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.7. Deterioration in road surfaces and drainage

LTP2024.56.1 in Submission LTP2024.56

LTP2024.264.7 in Submission LTP2024.264

LTP2024.306.6 in Submission LTP2024.306

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that investment in road maintenance is prioritised for higher volume key transport corridors.
- (b) **Notes** that additional funding for road maintenance activities has been requested as part of the 2024 to 2027 National Land Transport Programme, and that the Draft Government Policy Statement on Transport supports an increasing focus on road maintenance.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.8. Walking and Cycling

LTP2024.280.6 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that safe pedestrian and cycling access for all users is important for our district.
- (b) **Notes** that walking and cycling provides good health benefits and dedicated facilities can encourage these alternate modes of travel, which also reduces greenhouse gas emissions.
- (c) **Notes** that the Draft Government Policy Statement on Transport released by the Government in March 2024 for consultation, signalled a significant reduction in funding for walking and cycling projects, and as such it is considered that sufficient co-funding will be available to continue to progress these facilities in the near future.
- (d) **Acknowledges** that the funding allocation for cycling projects in the LTP is a general allowance per year, however
- (e) **Notes** that a list of prioritised projects has previously been agreed with Council.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.9. Path between Pineacres and Woodend

LTP2024.66.6 in Submission LTP2024.66

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the request for improved walking & cycling facilities between Pegasus, Woodend & Kaiapoi.
- (b) **Notes** that safe pedestrian and cycling access for all users is important for our district.
- (c) **Notes** that walking & cycling provides good health benefits and dedicated facilities can encourage these alternate modes of travel.
- (d) **Notes** that walking & cycling connections between Kaiapoi / Woodend and Ravenswood is included in the Walking & Cycling Network Plan.
- (e) **Notes** that Transport Choices funding for design of these connection had been approved, however funding for the overall programme was then withdrawn by the new Government in December 2023 resulting in this project being put on hold.
- (f) **Notes** that an application for co-funding has been included in the National Land Transport Programme for the Woodend to Ravenswood connection, however the outcome is unlikely to be known until September 2024.
- (g) **Notes** that Council is strongly advocating for a separated shared path to be included as part of the Woodend Bypass, and as such will not be progressing the Kaiapoi to Woodend connection at this time.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.10. Cycle path between Pegasus/Woodend/Kaiapoi

LTP2024.168.8 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

LTP2024.336.7 in Submission LTP2024.336

LTP2024.283.9 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the request for improved walking & cycling facilities between Pegasus, Woodend & Kaiapoi.
- (b) **Notes** that safe pedestrian and cycling access for all users is important for our district.
- (c) **Notes** that walking & cycling provides good health benefits and dedicated facilities can encourage these alternate modes of travel.
- (d) **Notes** that walking & cycling connections between Kaiapoi / Woodend and Ravenswood is included in the Walking & Cycling Network Plan.
- (e) **Notes** that Transport Choices funding for design of these connection had been approved, however funding for the overall programme was then withdrawn by the new Government in December 2023 resulting in this project being put on hold.
- (f) **Notes** that an application for co-funding has been included in the National Land Transport Programme for the Woodend to Ravenswood connection, however the outcome is unlikely to be known until September 2024.
- (g) **Notes** that Council is strongly advocating for a separated shared path to be included as part of the Woodend Bypass, and as such will not be progressing the Kaiapoi to Woodend connection at this time.
- (h) **Notes** that Council has resolved not to undertake any further speed limit reviews until new national guidance is released.

Councillors Comments:

Remove references to 'National' government throughout, as it should be central government.

6.11. **Cycleways - Support**

LTP2024.82.7 in Submission LTP2024.82

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that safe pedestrian and cycling access for all users is important for our district.
- (b) **Notes** that walking and cycling provides good health benefits and dedicated facilities can encourage these alternate modes of travel, which also reduces greenhouse gas emissions.
- (c) **Notes** that the Draft Government Policy Statement on Transport released by the Government in March 2024 for consultation, signalled a significant reduction in funding for walking and cycling projects, and as such it is considered that sufficient co-funding will be available to continue to progress these facilities in the near future.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.12. **Cycleways - Do not Support**

LTP2024.143.2 in Submission LTP2024.143

LTP2024.304.9 in Submission LTP2024.304

LTP2024.201.17 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.9 in Submission LTP2024.99

LTP2024.98.7 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that safe pedestrian and cycling access for all users is important for our district.
- (b) **Notes** that walking and cycling provides good health benefits and dedicated facilities can encourage these alternate modes of travel, which also reduces greenhouse gas emissions.
- (c) **Notes** that the Draft Government Policy Statement on Transport released by the Government in March 2024 for consultation, signalled a significant reduction in funding for walking and cycling projects, and as such it is considered that sufficient co-funding will be available to continue to progress these facilities in the near future.
- (d) **Notes** that providing for alternate modes means people have choice in how they travel, and this can have a positive impact on those who need to drive.

Councillors Comments:

Concern expressed around repeating overstated language. Add that the 'significant work' on the Plan included and responded to public consultation.

6.13. **Road safety - safely cross SH1 by cycle, foot and bus**

LTP2024.258.6 in Submission LTP2024.258

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the request for improved walking & cycling facilities between Woodend and Ravenswood, including across State Highway One.
- (b) **Notes** that a walking & cycling connection between Woodend and Ravenswood is included in the Walking & Cycling Network Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that Transport Choices funding for design of this connection had been approved, however funding for the overall programme was then withdrawn by the new Government in December 2023 resulting in this project being put on hold.
- (d) **Notes** that an application for co-funding for this project has been included in the National Land Transport Programme, however the outcome is unlikely to be known until September 2024.

- (e) **Notes** that while the distance between Woodend and the new Ravenswood development is not significant, the proximity of the drain means that it needs to be piped to allow for the construction of the new path, which has cost associated.
- (f) **Notes** that Council is continuing to advocate for a safe crossing point between Ravenswood & Pegasus as part of the Woodend Bypass project.

Councillors Comments:

Improve response to submitter to make process more clear.

6.14. **Walking and Cycling - Wood**

LTP2024.284.7 in Submission LTP2024.284

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitter's support for increased cycling and walking facilities.
- (b) **Notes** that the Transport Choices funding which was a significant step up in the delivery of walking and cycling facilities has been withdrawn, and as such Council will be considering its options to progress these projects once the outcome of the National Land Transport Programme application is clearer later this year.
- (c) **Notes** that Council has an ongoing budget for cycling projects each year, for the duration of the Long Term Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.15. **Upgrades to Park and Ride facilities**

LTP2024.82.8 in Submission LTP2024.82

LTP2024.258.4 in Submission LTP2024.258

LTP2024.124.12 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the implementation of Park & Ride facilities is being staged in a way as to meet anticipated demand and allow for growth in the future as and when demand increases.
- (b) **Notes** that usage of the Park & Ride facilities continues to be monitored to inform future decision making around needs going forward.
- (c) **Notes** that there is benefit for the wider community in the use and uptake of Park & Ride and Express Bus Services, as more people in buses means fewer cars on the road and less congestion.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.16. **Lees Valley Road**

LTP2024.106.1 in Submission LTP2024.106

LTP2024.193.5 in Submission LTP2024.193

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submitters support for increased maintenance and resilience works to support access into Lees Valley.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.17. **Quality of road crossings**

LTP2024.109.1 in Submission LTP2024.109

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that negotiating a pedestrian cut down in a mobility scooter can be challenging.
- (b) **Notes** that all new pedestrian cut downs are required to be built to comply with the Waimakariri District Council's Engineering Code of Practice, which takes into account the needs of those using mobility scooters.
- (c) **Notes** that staff will contact the submitter to determine whether there are any particular pedestrian cut downs which are of concern.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.18. **One-way system**

LTP2024.109.4 in Submission LTP2024.109

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that significant work has been undertaken in planning for future transportation needs for the district, and specifically around Rangiora which is our largest urban centre.
- (b) **Notes** that due to a very constrained corridor and impact on adjacent schools / residents & businesses, Newnham St / Railway Rd is not considered a viable alternate route.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.19. **Orbiter bus (My Way) for Waimakariri**

LTP2024.113.7 in Submission LTP2024.113

LTP2024.150.8 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

LTP2024.180.6 in Submission LTP2024.180

LTP2024.168.23 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

LTP2024.283.12 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Public Transport (PT) in the Greater Christchurch area is managed by the Canterbury Regional Transport Committee, of which Council is a member.
- (b) **Notes** that Public transport provides important access opportunities for members of the community and is important for our district.
- (c) **Notes** that Council partners of the Greater Christchurch Partnership have endorsed the Public Transport Futures Business Case and this is being implemented over the next 10 years.
- (d) **Notes** that Council continues to advocate for improved intra-district bus services within Waimakariri.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.20. **Traffic Management Team**

LTP2024.108.11 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that a specialist in-house traffic management delivery team would be expensive to establish due to the plant and equipment required to deliver this service, and also to maintain due to ongoing operations and renewals costs. This would be an additional imposition on the ratepayer, or Council would need to recoup its costs from Community Groups.

- (b) **Notes** that the Council does have internal traffic management expertise, but this is used to provide guidance to external parties, approve external applications, and audit compliance.
- (c) **Notes** that consideration is being given to other means of providing support to Community Groups in this area and staff will continue to work with these groups to provide advice and guidance on traffic management options and minimising costs.

Councillors Comments:

Make reference in response on staff advising of cost-effective options for traffic management. Traffic management including costs were also being looked at a national level.

6.21. **Accessibility of our bus stops in Waimakariri**

LTP2024.150.5 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** the challenges noted in regard to accessing public transport.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will liaise with the submitter to understand specific concerns. This will then be followed by a wider audit.

Councillors Comments:

In response to submitter incorporate information from recommendation to Council regarding liaising with submitter.

6.22. **Road marking of Bus Stops**

LTP2024.150.6 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will investigate and programme marking of a bus box accordingly.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.23. **Disabled Carparks**

LTP2024.150.7 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Agrees** that a review of mobility parks in key activity centre's needs to be undertaken.
- (b) **Notes** a review has been added to the Roding work programme however has not yet been able to be undertaken due to workload.
- (c) **Notes** that this work remains a high priority and will be brought back to the Waimakariri Access Group.
- (d) **Notes** that mobility parks within private supermarket carparks will be beyond the scope of the review, as they are not controlled by Council (e.g., Ravenswood New World Carpark).

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.24. **Buses between Oxford, Rangiora and Christchurch**

LTP2024.211.1 in Submission LTP2024.211

That the Council:

- (a) **Agrees** that public transport provides important access opportunities for members of the community and is important for our district.
- (b) **Notes** that for a bus service to be attractive from Oxford to Rangiora or Oxford to Christchurch, it would need to be at regular intervals, and this would likely come at a high cost. As such affordability would be a key consideration.

- (c) **Notes** that previously bus services have been run from Oxford into Christchurch city, however these services had limited attractiveness and attracted small numbers.
- (d) **Notes** that any requests for additional services need to be backed up with evidence and a full understanding of the rating implications. In the past services have been provided that have been poorly used, in some cases.

Councillors Comments:

Request that staff work with the Communications Team regarding response to submitter and opportunity of working with the Play Advocate.

6.25. **Public Transport - ECan**

LTP2024.309.1 in Submission LTP2024.309 by Environment Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Agrees** that Public Transport patronage has continued to grow within the district, which is very pleasing to see.
- (b) **Notes** that Council's Public Transport Infrastructure Programme is focused on delivery of the PT Futures Business Case and improvements at bus stops, including the provision of shelters and real time information to support the use of public transport services.
- (c) **Notes** that Park & Ride facilities are continuing to be monitored to understand demand and where any improvements / changes may be required. A number of the facilities have been developed in such a way that there are opportunities for future expansion when required.
- (d) **Notes** that work is ongoing to considering other locations for Park & Ride to support the use of public transport in new development area, for example Woodend / Ravenswood, and that these opportunities will be discussed with Environment Canterbury.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.26. **Cost of traffic management**

LTP2024.143.3 in Submission LTP2024.143

That the Council:

- (a) **Declines** the submitters request.
- (b) **Notes** that CoPTTM (Code of Practice for Temporary Traffic Management) is the current national code for working on the road.
- (c) **Notes** that the majority of cones are installed by contractors who have applied for a specific Traffic Management Plan (TMP).
- (d) **Notes** that the Council review and approval of these TMP's is in accordance with the regulations and guidance that apply to this activity.
- (e) **Notes** that as part of the approval process, staff undertake an assessment as to the suitability of the traffic management proposed.
- (f) **Notes** that any significant deviation away from the national guidance or lessening of the approved plans below this level would leave the contractor and the Council liable in the case of accidents.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.27. Construct a new straight bridge on Skewbridge Road

LTP2024.245.5 in Submission LTP2024.245

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the support for the Council to continue to advocate to NZ Transport Agency for subsidy for the replacement of Skew Bridge.
- (b) **Notes** the support for the Council to progress the replacement work unsubsidised, should funding not be able to be secured.
- (c) **Notes** that the current approaches to the bridge are considered out of context and there is a crash history on these approaches which needs to be addressed when the bridge is replaced.
- (d) **Notes that** while the bridge has a crash history, this has not been sufficient to build a case for replacement in the past, and that the current structure has not yet reached the end of its life.

Councillors Comments:

Reword response to submitter. This project has not been able to attract funding.

6.28. Railway infrastructure for freight

LTP2024.340.7 in Submission LTP2024.340

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that in conjunction with the Greater Christchurch Partnership, the Council does look at opportunities for increased use of rail, especially for passenger services. However, to date the indicated costs are significantly higher than the anticipated benefits.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.29. Path between North end of Woodend to Ravenswood

LTP2024.168.15 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the request for improved walking & cycling facilities between Woodend and Ravenswood.
- (b) **Notes** that a walking & cycling connection between Woodend and Ravenswood is included in the Walking & Cycling Network Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that Transport Choices funding for design of this connection had been approved, however funding for the overall programme was then withdrawn by the new Government in December 2023 resulting in this project being put on hold.
- (d) **Notes** that an application for co-funding for this project has been included in the National Land Transport Programme, however the outcome is unlikely to be known until September 2024.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.30. Pegasus Lighting

LTP2024.168.16 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council's agreed levels of service for paths in rural areas is that they are not lit.
- (b) **Notes** that No comments. of the town-to-town shared paths currently have any lighting, and consideration of lighting would only occur if usage increased significantly.
- (c) **Notes** that flag lighting is in place at intersections.

Councillors Comments:

Advise that the location is zoned rural.

Staff noted that if levels of service were improved in this location there would need to be a number of other areas also considered.

6.31. Park N Ride Ravenswood

LTP2024.336.4 in Submission LTP2024.336

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that significant work has been undertaken to support the implementation of Park & Ride facilities in the district, to meet demand and allow for growth in the future as / when demand increases.
- (b) **Notes** that staff continue to consider opportunities in conjunction with other key stakeholders such as Environment Canterbury.
- (c) **Notes** that Council will continue to work with Environment Canterbury on the timing of delivery of infrastructure such as park & ride facilities.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.32. Pegasus Ingress and Egress

LTP2024.331.6 in Submission LTP2024.331

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council previously resolved not to open a second access from Pegasus to Woodend, until such time as the Woodend Bypass was constructed.
- (b) **Notes** that a second connection via Gladstone Road is proposed in the future.
- (c) **Notes** that once the timing for the Woodend Bypass has been confirmed by NZ Transport Agency, consultation will be carried out with the Woodend and Pegasus communities regarding the location and form of the permanent connection between Pegasus and Woodend.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.33. Lighting for the cycling infrastructure

LTP2024.283.11 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council's agreed levels of service for paths in rural areas is that they are not lit.
- (b) **Notes** that No comments. of the town-to-town shared paths currently have any lighting, and consideration of lighting would only occur if usage increased significantly.

Councillors Comments:

Advise submitter of improved cycle trail signage to be installed by ENC.

6.34. Repairs to Tram Road

LTP2024.343.9 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Receives** the submission
- (b) **Thanks** the submitter for raising the issue of repairs on Tram Road.

- (c) **Notes** that staff continue to work with the maintenance contractor and have been undertaking additional testing to ensure as much as possible that the right repairs are being selected.
- (d) **Notes** that on occasions holding repairs will be necessary due to constraints such as weather conditions / time of year or budgets, until an area wide treatment can be undertaken.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.35. **Condition of Main Street - Oxford**

LTP2024.343.10 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Thanks** the submitter for raising the issue of road surface damage on Main Street in Oxford.
- (b) **Notes** that a site meeting will be organised with the Chair of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board (or the Boards representative(s)) to discuss the specific areas of concern and how this might fit into forward works programmes.
- (c) **Notes** the consideration of Speed Limits has been place on hold until such time as the new Government provides further guidance on the setting of speed limits.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.36. **Rural shingle and gravel roads**

LTP2024.343.11 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Thanks** the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for raising the issue of unsealed road maintenance.
- (b) **Notes** that the re-metalling programme following three consecutive flood events has been ongoing and is due for completion during May / June 2024.
- (c) **Notes** that additional auditing has been continuing to ensure that the required levels of service on our unsealed roads is being met.
- (d) **Notes** that additional funding for re-metalling has been sought as part of the National Land Transport Programme funding bid to NZ Transport Agency, and the outcome of this is likely to be known in September / October 2024.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.37. **Footpaths in Oxford**

LTP2024.343.12 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is a New Footpath Programme which has identified and prioritises the installation of footpaths on streets within urban areas that currently have no footpath.
- (b) **Notes** that the Tram Road Path received co-funding through the Transport Choices Programme.
- (c) **Notes** that a path on McHugh's Road is not currently allowed for within the proposed programme.

Councillors Comments:

Advise the Board that a report will follow in due course.

6.38. **Active Transport Options**

LTP2024.124.9 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that providing active transport options including safe pedestrian and cycling access for all users, is important for our district.
- (b) **Agrees** that walking and cycling provides good health benefits and dedicated facilities can encourage these alternate modes of travel, which also reduces greenhouse gas emissions.
- (c) **Notes** that the Draft Government Policy Statement on Transport released by the Government in March 2024 for consultation, signalled a significant reduction in funding for walking and cycling projects, and as such it is considered this could impact the delivery of new facilities.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.39. **Road safety - Rangiora High School**

LTP2024.242.1 in Submission LTP2024.242 by Rangiora High School

That the Council:

- (a) **Thanks** the submitter for prioritising safety around their school and for requesting this School Travel Planning work be undertaken.
- (b) **Notes** that staff support the development of this School Travel Plan, noting this will require collaboration across a number of parties and ownership from the school to get the best outcome.
- (c) **Notes** that Travel Demand Management budget has been requested in 2024/25 which would have adequate funding included to be able to fund this work (subject to Waka Kotahi co-funding approval).
- (d) **Notes** that staff will work with the school to deliver the School Travel Plan.

Councillors Comments:

Discussion around provision of funding for Rangiora High School (RHS) travel plan. Councillors supported a travel plan, however expressed concern that ratepayers would be funding this rather than the Ministry of Education. Staff provided some context noting that school travel sat within the Road Safety Team in terms of staff working with schools to provide different options for travel. An example of this had been the work with Southbrook School. The large population at RHS did have an impact on the network.

Any budget would come back to the Council and would be subject to Waka Kotahi co-funding. Funding would not be directly passed to the school. A likely scenario would be the Council engaging a consultant and there would be staff, Community Board, Council, RHS and key stakeholders working together and providing the school ownership to ensure they delivered on the Plan.

Staff would change the recommendation to partially accept and update.

6.40. **Trial transport interventions**

LTP2024.124.13 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Thanks** the submitter for encouraging trialling of transport interventions with the community.
- (b) **Notes** that Council will consider options for trials where appropriate.

Councillors Comments:

Councillors queried whether this was not already a project and staff provided some further detail.

6.41. **Mafeking Bridge**

LTP2024.283.10 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Mafeking Bridge has previously been identified as a pinch point in the walking & cycling network.
- (b) **Notes** that Council has allocated budget for widening of the bridge, with design in 2024/25 and construction in 2025/26.
- (c) **Notes** that this assumes NZ Transport Agency co-funding through the National Land Transport Programme, and should co-funding not be available then Council would need to further consider timing for progressing this project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.42. **Woodend Bypass - Currie**

LTP2024.24.6 in Submission LTP2024.24

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council will continue to work with NZ Transport Agency on the Woodend Bypass to ensure that there is easy and safe access to the Woodend Bypass.
- (b) **Notes** that infrastructure required to support the Woodend Bypass is still to be confirmed, however is more likely to include access to the Bypass near Pegasus.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.43. **Woodend Bypass - opposed**

LTP2024.283.8 in Submission LTP2024.283

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council supports and continues to advocate for the Woodend Bypass, with complementary facilities such as a separated shared path to ensure that there are opportunities for alternate mode within the corridor.
- (b) **Notes** that there are significant safety issues in Woodend related to access across the state highway, which will be addressed with the introduction of the Woodend Bypass.
- (c) **Notes** that Council is advocating for a balanced approach that will provide much needed safety and freight improvements, caters for continuing growth in the district, while also providing options for how people travel. This includes investment in new infrastructure for alternate modes (such as walking & cycling) and public transport.
- (d) **Notes** that Council is one of the Greater Christchurch partners and continues to support Public Transport improvements and the case for Mass Rapid Transit for the Greater Christchurch area.

Councillors Comments:

Address submitter response regarding underpass and lights and note lights would still be required for the school.

6.44. **Woodend Bypass - in favour**

LTP2024.73.8 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

LTP2024.271.6 in Submission LTP2024.271

LTP2024.201.24 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.16 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council will continue to work with NZ Transport Agency on the Woodend Bypass to ensure that there is easy and safe access to the Woodend Bypass.
- (b) **Notes** that infrastructure required to support the Woodend Bypass is still to be confirmed, however is more likely to include access to the Bypass near Pegasus.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.45. **Five Cross Roads intersection upgrades**

LTP2024.177.1 in Submission LTP2024.177

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that further investigation of longer-term safety improvements in the area are to be undertaken and extensive consultation will need to be undertaken with both Runanga and the wider Community.
- (b) **Notes** that while budget currently sits in outer year, a request to bring forward budget can be put forward once decisions are made on the future roading configuration, and the extent of works is determined.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.46. **Five Cross Roads intersection upgrades**

LTP2024.236.1 in Submission LTP2024.236

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that further investigation of longer-term safety improvements in the area are to be undertaken and extensive consultation will need to be undertaken with both Runanga and the wider Community.
- (b) **Declines** the request to bring forward budget until such time as decisions are made on the future roading configuration and the extent of works is determined.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.47. **Road Safety - Spokes**

LTP2024.280.7 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the request for a safer crossing point at Woodend Rd / SH1.
- (b) **Notes** that the National Government has signalled intent to construct the Woodend Bypass in the Draft Government Policy Statement.
- (c) **Notes** that should the Woodend Bypass proceed then a considerable volume of traffic would shift from Main North Road to the new Bypass, significantly improving access within Woodend.
- (d) **Notes** that Council will continue to work closely with NZ Transport Agency to achieve access and safety improvements in conjunction with the delivery of the Woodend Bypass project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.48. **Public Transport - Spokes**

LTP2024.280.8 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Agrees** that improving Public Transport is very important as it provides opportunities for how people choose to access services, employment and health facilities.
- (b) **Notes** that Council's Public Transport Infrastructure Programme is focused on improvements at bus stops, including the provision of shelters and real time information, to support the use of public transport services delivered by Environment Canterbury.
- (c) **Notes** that Council has endorsed the MRT indicative Business Case which has been developed for the Greater Christchurch area.
- (d) **Notes** that Council is continuing to advocate for a public transport service between Waimakariri and Hornby, however this is not currently included within a future programme of services.
- (e) **Notes** that previously a service was run between Waimakariri, the Airport, and Hornby, however due to low patronage numbers, this service was discontinued.
- (f) **Notes** that the proposed MRT Route for north of Christchurch is via Papanui Road / Main North Road, out to Chaney's Corner.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.49. **Visitor maps and signage**

LTP2024.282.3 in Submission LTP2024.282 by Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** the ongoing work which Kaiapoi Promotions Association and ENC have undertaken in the area of Walking & Cycling and commends them for this work.
- (b) **Notes** that regional mapping opportunities require wider consideration.
- (c) **Declines** the request for a grant of \$15,000.
- (d) **Notes** that Mafeking Bridge has previously been identified as a pinch point in the walking & cycling network.
- (e) **Notes** that Council has allocated budget for widening of the bridge, with design in 2024/25 and construction in 2025/26.
- (f) **Notes** that this assumes NZ Transport Agency co-funding through the National Land Transport Programme, and should co-funding not be available then Council would need to further consider timing for progressing this project.

Councillors Comments:

Advise submitter that ENC had completed maps for trail network and were working with Council staff on signage for tracks. Staff noted a report would come back to the Community Board regarding cycle trail signage.

6.50. **Cycle path between Pegasus and Woodend**

LTP2024.73.14 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the request for improved a walking & cycling facilities between Woodend and Ravenswood, including across State Highway One.

- (b) **Notes** that a walking & cycling connection between Woodend and Ravenswood is included in the Walking & Cycling Network Plan.
- (c) **Notes** that Transport Choices funding for design of this connection had been approved, however funding for the overall programme was then withdrawn by the new Government in December 2023 resulting in this project being put on hold.
- (d) **Notes** that an application for co-funding for this project has been included in the National Land Transport Programme, however the outcome is unlikely to be known until September 2024.
- (e) **Notes** that while the distance between Woodend and the new Ravenswood development is not significant, the proximity of the drain means that it needs to be piped to allow for the construction of the new path, which has cost associated.
- (f) **Notes** that Council is continuing to advocate for a safe crossing point between Ravenswood & Pegasus as part of the Woodend Bypass project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Solid Waste

6.51. Waste Removal in South Eyre Road

LTP2024.12.1 in Submission LTP2024.12

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council's kerbside collection services are provided into Silverstream and end at Ohoka Road, therefore any waste collections services in the Clarkville area currently would be provided by private collection companies.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will consider the extension of kerbside collection services into more developed rural areas that are in close proximity to current collection areas such as Clarkville and Ashley/Loburn during the preparation of the draft Waste Management & Minimisation Plan later this calendar year.
- (c) **Notes** that potentially affected communities would be consulted about the option of receiving a Council kerbside collection service during the special consultative procedure.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.52. Green Organics Bin collection

LTP2024.58.1 in Submission LTP2024.58

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will include the option of providing the organics collection service to properties in the extended Ohoka kerbside collection area, which covers Ohoka, Mandeville, Swannanoa and Mulcocks Road, during the preparation of the draft Waste Management & Minimisation Plan later this calendar year.
- (b) **Notes** that property owners within the extended Ohoka kerbside collection area would be consulted about the option of receiving a Council kerbside collection service during the special consultative procedure.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.53. Recycling initiatives

LTP2024.117.12 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the proposed Southbrook resource recovery park upgrades will increase site capacity to handle current and future growth and provide the flexibility to manage future changes in the solid waste landscape.

- (b) **Notes** that Council investment into recycling infrastructure improvements and existing education programmes, and Council support for community and business-led recycling and re-use initiatives, will be considered when the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan is developed.
- (c) **Notes** that the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan will be put out for public consultation later in the 2024 calendar year, and that the outcomes of this consultation will be included in the draft 2025/26 Annual Plan for final consultation.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.54. **Upgrade to the Southbrook Resource Recovery Park - opposed**

LTP2024.128.3 in Submission LTP2024.128
LTP2024.129.3 in Submission LTP2024.129
LTP2024.130.3 in Submission LTP2024.130
LTP2024.131.3 in Submission LTP2024.131
LTP2024.132.3 in Submission LTP2024.132
LTP2024.133.3 in Submission LTP2024.133
LTP2024.156.12 in Submission LTP2024.156
LTP2024.248.2 in Submission LTP2024.248
LTP2024.293.2 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.2 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.76.6 in Submission LTP2024.76
LTP2024.298.2 in Submission LTP2024.298
LTP2024.297.2 in Submission LTP2024.297
LTP2024.288.3 in Submission LTP2024.288
LTP2024.275.5 in Submission LTP2024.275
LTP2024.201.2 in Submission LTP2024.201

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** the importance of solid waste infrastructure being fit for purpose, with sufficient capacity to meet current and future demands.
- (b) **Notes** that the Southbrook resource recovery park is currently operating at capacity and the proposed upgrades are planned to increase site capacity to handle current and future growth in demand.
- (c) **Notes** that staff have been advised that Council is eligible to apply for Waste Minimisation Funds (WMF) funding for the proposed upgrades, and that once the designs are complete, an application will be made to the WMF.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.55. **High frequency furnace**

LTP2024.217.1 in Submission LTP2024.217

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Kate Valley Landfill is a high standard landfill which is designed, constructed, and operated to minimise harm to the environment.
- (b) **Notes** that Transwaste Canterbury Ltd regularly investigates alternative technologies for the disposal of waste, and recently found that landfill was still the most appropriate waste disposal method for mid and north-Canterbury at the present.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Water Supply

6.56. **Chlorine and Fluoride in drinking water**

LTP2024.60.6 in Submission LTP2024.60
LTP2024.82.6 in Submission LTP2024.82

LTP2024.143.5 in Submission LTP2024.143
LTP2024.219.14 in Submission LTP2024.219
LTP2024.255.7 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.201.4 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.124.11 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that all public water supplies are now required by law to have chlorine as a residual disinfectant in the water unless an exemption is obtained from Taumata Arowai, and that staff are continuing to work with Taumata Arowai to understand what steps would be required in the future to obtain an exemption to this requirement.
- (b) **Notes** that to date Waimakariri District Council has not received an instruction from the Director General of Health to fluoridate any of its water supplies, and as such there are no plans currently in place to fluoridate any supplies.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Wastewater

6.57. Oxford Wastewater Treatment Plant

LTP2024.94.7 in Submission LTP2024.94
LTP2024.93.6 in Submission LTP2024.93

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the impact of the Oxford WWTP upgrade will be considered as part of the 3 Waters rating review which is proposed to be undertaken in 2026 in advance of the next LTP.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that in the summary section that the text ends after “ ... *consulting with the community.*”

6.58. Investment in wastewater infrastructure

LTP2024.309.2 in Submission LTP2024.309 by Environment Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Council's investment in infrastructure is set out in the Long Term Plan and supported by both the 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy and Activity Management Plans which consider a 50 year period to cater for growth, renew existing asset and achieve required levels of service.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.59. Oxford Sewer Rates

LTP2024.343.14 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will present to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board on the current challenges with the Oxford WWTP and the options available to upgrade the system.
- (b) **Notes** that the points raised regarding the Oxford wastewater rate will be considered as part of the 3 Waters rating review which is proposed to be undertaken in 2026 in advance of the next LTP.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Stormwater Drainage

6.60. McIntosh Drain

LTP2024.64.1 in Submission LTP2024.64

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that it is recommended as part of the Drainage Staff Submission to bring forward the budget for the upgrading works on McIntosh Drain to the 2025/26 financial year, with design in the 2024/25 financial year.

Councillors Comments:

In response to a query from Mayor Gordon on the status of this work, G Cleary stated that a plan was in place and communication with the resident was ongoing.

Councillor Blackie requested that the Coastal Drainage Group should be informed on the issue and the proposed solution, as they were unaware of Mrs Robinson's concerns.

6.61. Mandeville Resurgence Project

LTP2024.146.5 in Submission LTP2024.146

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that further consultation with residents will be undertaken on the Mandeville Resurgence Channel project.

Councillors Comments:

Request to correct the summary to reflect the meeting was held at Ohoka Hall and not Ohoka Mall.

6.62. Mandeville Resurgence Project

LTP2024.159.1 in Submission LTP2024.159

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will meet with the property owners of 1124 Tram Road to discuss the proposed works and to gain your input to the specific works on your property.
- (b) **Notes** that the net effect of Stage 1 Option A and Stage 2 works will be an overall benefit to the property at 1124 Tram Road.
- (c) **Notes** that the overall flood risk to the property in the 200-year event will not change as a result of the works.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.63. Mandeville Resurgence Project - Prioritise

LTP2024.171.5 in Submission LTP2024.171

LTP2024.341.1 in Submission LTP2024.341 by Mandeville Residents' Association

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Mandeville Resurgence Channel project will be progressed as a priority.
- (b) **Notes** that while the proposed works will improve the drainage through the Mandeville area, the overall flood risk in extreme events will remain.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.64. Mandeville Resurgence Project – Stage 2

LTP2024.290.7 in Submission LTP2024.290

LTP2024.291.8 in Submission LTP2024.291

LTP2024.292.7 in Submission LTP2024.292

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that both the Stage 1 and Stage 2 works of the Mandeville Resurgence Channel project are required to help manage the undercurrent flow and improve the drainage through the Mandeville area.
- (b) **Notes** that while the proposed works will improve the drainage through the Mandeville area, the overall flood risk in extreme events will remain.

Councillors Comments:

In the staff response in the last line remove the word “later” from the sentence.

6.65. Land Drainage Rating Review

LTP2024.253.5 in Submission LTP2024.253

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the approach for land drainage rating will be reviewed as part of the 3 Waters rating review, which is programmed to be undertaken in 2026 in advance of the next LTP.
- (b) **Notes** that while the Mandeville Resurgence Channel Upgrade project benefits properties in the Ohoka drainage rating area, the surface flow and resurgence flow originates from inland properties beyond the Ohoka drainage rating area, hence there is justification for this project to be funded from the District Drainage rate.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.66. Drain Maintenance Expenditure

LTP2024.254.1 in Submission LTP2024.254 by Central Rural Drainage Advisory Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the approach for land drainage rating will be reviewed as part of the 3 Waters rating review, which is programmed to be undertaken in 2026 in advance of the next LTP.
- (b) **Notes** that while the Mandeville Resurgence Channel Upgrade project benefits properties in the Ohoka drainage rating area, the surface flow and resurgence flow originates from inland properties beyond the Ohoka drainage rating area, hence there is justification for this project to be funded from the District drainage rate.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.67. Ashley River control works

LTP2024.193.6 in Submission LTP2024.193

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that while the management of river systems and flood defences is ultimately the responsibility of the Regional Council, the Council has a strong track record of working closely with Environment Canterbury on how they manage the rivers in our district and will continue to do so.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.68. Collecting rainwater

LTP2024.201.20 in Submission LTP2024.201

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that while rainwater tanks are commonly used on rural properties in our district for non-potable purposes, the use of rainwater tanks for potable drinking water purposes requires additional measures to be taken, such as testing and treatment, to ensure the water is safe to drink.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.69. Upgrades to Oxford's urban stormwater system

LTP2024.343.15 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Long Term Plan already includes budgets to upgrade the urban stormwater system in Matai Place, Flannigans Drain (which will benefit Rata Street and Tui Street), Pearson Drain (which will benefit Main Street) as well as drainage upgrades in Bay Road, Burnett Street and Knight Street.
- (b) **Notes** that the Oxford WWTP does not have the required scale to generate power, however innovation and new technologies to reduce the overall waste stream will be considered as part of the Oxford WWTP upgrade project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Project Delivery Unit

6.70. Pegasus Lake

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that while the Council is currently not responsible for the maintenance of Pegasus Lake, prior to the lake being vested to Council the maintenance needs of the lake will be understood and adequate budget assigned.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.71. Draft Development and Financial Contributions Policy 2024

LTP2024.149.1 in Submission LTP2024.149 by Summerset Group Holdings Limited

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff agree that both occupancy levels, and demand, are important factors when considering the Housing Unit Equivalent (HUE) value that should be applied in Development Contribution assessments, however, consider that the Policy currently has provisions whereby both of these factors can be considered, therefore no further amendments to the Policy are recommended.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Others

6.72. Three Waters Reforms

LTP2024.253.6 in Submission LTP2024.253

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff are currently revisiting and updating the work on the benefits of metering to better understand leakage and network integrity as well as volume metric charging to reduce the overall water demand, which will feed into the 3 Waters rating review proposed to be undertaken in 2026 in advance of the next Long Term Plan.
- (b) **Notes** that while provision exists already to enable property owners to utilise rainwater and greywater systems, Council has no plans to mandate or incentivise the use of rainwater and greywater reuse tanks at this stage.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.73. **Three Waters Reforms**

LTP2024.178.9 in Submission LTP2024.178

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council will work with the new government to deliver better and more sustainable water services for everyone.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

6.74. **Three Waters Reforms - Opposed**

LTP2024.201.15 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.7 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council welcomed the repeal of the Water Services Entities Act and will work with the new government to deliver better and more sustainable water services for everyone.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7. **Community and Recreation**

Greenspace and Community Facilities

7.1. **Greenspace and Community Facilities**

LTP2024.257.7 in Submission LTP2024.257

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Council has provided funding to continue to operate and maintain the areas of greenspace we have for our district.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.2. **Southbrook Sports Club building**

LTP2024.25.13 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.3. **Sefton Domain**

LTP2024.27.1 in Submission LTP2024.27

LTP2024.168.12 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested a workshop on the Sefton Domain project so members understood the possible timelines given that the Sefton Hall Committee would need to sell the Sefton Library and raise the remaining funds prior to building. He noted that the septic tank would be required regardless of the timeline of this project.

7.4. Continued investment in MainPower Stadium and NC Netball

LTP2024.32.6 in Submission LTP2024.32

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** North Canterbury Netball are currently undertaking a feasibility study to look at its future operation.
- (b) **Notes** staff are working alongside North Canterbury Netball who have presented to Council and are keen to further discuss how netball is delivered in the district.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.5. Development of the Lineside Road property

LTP2024.34.9 in Submission LTP2024.34

LTP2024.253.3 in Submission LTP2024.253

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.6. Pegasus Dog Park

LTP2024.73.15 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have investigated options to bring soil and grass the area of the dog park and suggest that this may wish to be considered by the Woodend Sefton Community Board with its general landscaping fund.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.7. Norman Kirk Park

LTP2024.108.12 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the budget of \$60,000 for power installation within Kaiapoi to assist with events is already in year one of the draft long term plan.
- (b) **Notes** staff will provide a report to the Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board on the final location of where the power box will be placed.
- (c) **Notes** that Council's preference is for Norman Kirk Park given this was identified through the regeneration plan for Kaiapoi.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.8. Urban Effects

LTP2024.87.1 in Submission LTP2024.87 by Urban Effects

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have been made aware of this offer of service and have passed details onto them.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.9. **Pegasus Community Centre - Do not support**

LTP2024.128.4 in Submission LTP2024.128
LTP2024.129.4 in Submission LTP2024.129
LTP2024.130.4 in Submission LTP2024.130
LTP2024.131.4 in Submission LTP2024.131
LTP2024.132.4 in Submission LTP2024.132
LTP2024.133.4 in Submission LTP2024.133
LTP2024.248.3 in Submission LTP2024.248
LTP2024.255.10 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.293.3 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.3 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.298.3 in Submission LTP2024.298
LTP2024.297.3 in Submission LTP2024.297
LTP2024.288.4 in Submission LTP2024.288
LTP2024.201.5 in Submission LTP2024.201

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that this project is already funded in the Long Term Plan and has money in the current financial year for land purchase.
- (b) **Notes** Council is committed to delivering this project for the Pegasus Community who have put much time and effort into this project.

Councillors Comments:

Amend in 'Reason' and 'Response' add the word "work" after "Significant ...".

7.10. **Pegasus Community Centre - Support**

LTP2024.73.12 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the funding for the Pegasus Community Centre is within the Long Term Plan

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.11. **Installation of permanent Boccia and Bocce court markings at MainPower Stadium**

LTP2024.150.3 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff to work with the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust on the installation of Boccia court markings at MainPower Stadium and for staff to report this back to Council.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.12. **Poplar Trees, Featherston Street, Kairaki**

LTP2024.195.2 in Submission LTP2024.195
LTP2024.194.2 in Submission LTP2024.194

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will make contact with the submitter to discuss tree concerns and work through the Council tree policy.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.13. **Bike Jumps in Oxford**

LTP2024.202.1 in Submission LTP2024.202

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council provides capital funding to the Pearson Park Advisory Group on an annual basis. This fund is currently sitting at \$47,034 with a further \$12,319 budgeted for 2024/25 financial year.
- (b) **Notes** that the submitter should be invited to meet with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to discuss the ideas they have and feed this back to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.
- (c) **Notes** staff will liaise with the submitter and the Pearson Park Advisory Group to ensure the ideas are presented for consideration.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that the response be delivered to the Oxford Students via a visit by himself to explain the process to the students in person and asked staff to liaise with Mrs Campbell to achieve this outcome. He also suggested a site visit to Pearson Park. He asked staff to also invite the Oxford-Ohoka Chair, Deputy Chair and the Chair of the Pearson Park Advisory Group. This to cover all the submissions made by the students.

7.14. **Basketball Court in Oxford**

LTP2024.203.1 in Submission LTP2024.203

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council provides capital funding to the Pearson Park Advisory Group on an annual basis. This fund is currently sitting at \$47,034 with a further \$12,319 budgeted for 2024/25 financial year.
- (b) **Notes** that the submitter should be invited to meet with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to discuss the ideas they have and feed this back to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.
- (c) **Notes** staff will liaise with the submitter and the Pearson Park Advisory Group to ensure the ideas are presented for consideration.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.15. **Upgrade to Pearson Park Skatepark, Oxford**

LTP2024.204.1 in Submission LTP2024.204

LTP2024.210.1 in Submission LTP2024.210

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council provides capital funding to the Pearson Park Advisory Group on an annual basis. This fund is currently sitting at \$47,034 with a further \$12,319 budgeted for 2024/25 financial year.
- (b) **Notes** that the submitter should be invited to meet with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to discuss the ideas they have and feed this back to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.
- (c) **Notes** staff will liaise with the submitter and the Pearson Park Advisory Group to ensure the ideas are presented for consideration.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that the response be delivered to the Oxford Students via a visit by himself to explain the process to the students in person and asked staff to liaise with Mrs Campbell to achieve this outcome. He also suggested a site visit to Pearson Park. He asked staff to also invite the Oxford-Ohoka Chair, Deputy Chair and the Chair of the Pearson Park Advisory Group. This to cover all the submissions made by the students.

7.16. **Oxford Dog Park**

LTP2024.205.1 in Submission LTP2024.205

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** this is a project funded in the current financial year and has just been through public consultation. The project has a total budget of \$102,250.00 in the current financial year.

- (b) **Notes** that staff will be preparing a report on the consultation for the Rural Township Off Lead Dog Exercise Area to the June 2024 Oxford Ohoka Community Board meeting.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.17. **Dirt Bike track in Oxford**

LTP2024.206.1 in Submission LTP2024.206

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council provides capital funding to the Pearson Park Advisory Group on an annual basis. This fund is currently sitting at \$47,034 with a further \$12,319 budgeted for 2024/25 financial year.
- (b) **Notes** that the submitter should be invited to meet with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to discuss the ideas they have and feed this back to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.
- (c) **Notes** staff will liaise with the submitter and the Pearson Park Advisory Group to ensure the ideas are presented for consideration.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that the response be delivered to the Oxford Students via a visit by himself to explain the process to the students in person and asked staff to liaise with Mrs Campbell to achieve this outcome. He also suggested a site visit to Pearson Park. He asked staff to invite the Oxford-Ohoka Chair, Deputy Chair and the Chair of the Pearson Park Advisory Group. This to cover all the submissions made by the students.

7.18. **More rope swings at the Ashley Gorge River**

LTP2024.207.1 in Submission LTP2024.207

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council does not provide rope swings at Ashley Gorge and generally cannot do so due to health and safety restrictions and how rope swings are constructed.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.19. **More equipment for Pearson Park**

LTP2024.208.1 in Submission LTP2024.208

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council provides capital funding to the Pearson Park Advisory Group on an annual basis. This fund is currently sitting at \$47,034 with a further \$12,319 budgeted for 2024/25 financial year.
- (b) **Notes** that the submitter should be invited to meet with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to discuss the ideas they have and feed this back to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.
- (c) **Notes** staff will liaise with the submitter and the Pearson Park Advisory Group to ensure the ideas are presented for consideration.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.20. **Youth space in Oxford**

LTP2024.209.1 in Submission LTP2024.209

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** staff will contact the submitter to better understand the idea they have.
- (b) **Notes** staff will also put the submitter in touch with the youth council so they can assist them in working with council.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.21. Pump Track south of Pegasus

LTP2024.225.3 in Submission LTP2024.225

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council has recently partnered with Pegasus School to install bike parking at Pegasus Beach.
- (b) **Notes** that between the Trust and Council there could be discussions on future development of bike focused recreation in the Pegasus coastal area. That staff should bring groups together and report back through the Woodend Sefton Community Board.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.22. Biodiversity data information and monitoring

LTP2024.309.3 in Submission LTP2024.309 by Environment Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council continues to be part of the Biodiversity Champions Network and looks forward to sharing data and resources to achieve great outcomes for our environment.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.23. Pest Management

LTP2024.309.4 in Submission LTP2024.309 by Environment Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council and its Staff are working with ECan to ensure its natural environment strategy is aware of other policy or strategic frameworks in our implementation plan.
- (b) **Notes** that staff are meeting regularly and have good networks across each organisation to ensure good awareness of the work we are both doing for the environment.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.24. Locking public toilet doors

LTP2024.234.7 in Submission LTP2024.234

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council staff will look to make contact with the individual to discuss their circumstances and get a better understanding of possible solutions.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.25. Silverstream Reserve

LTP2024.250.4 in Submission LTP2024.250 by Silverstream Volunteer Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** greenspace staff to look into potential options for further linkages to complete the Silverstream walkway and reserve areas.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.26. Biodiversity - withdraw support for SNAs and PNAs

LTP2024.255.6 in Submission LTP2024.255

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council will work with willing landowners should they wish to have an SNA designated on land they own.
- (b) **Notes** that Council is unaware of any work around the protected natural area program and has not set aside budget for this in its draft Long Term Plan.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that in all submissions dealing with SNA's that this be made clear that this is a voluntary activity.

7.27. Increase to hire fees for community facilities.

LTP2024.168.14 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

LTP2024.258.3 in Submission LTP2024.258

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff working on a fee proposal for consideration by Council as part of the draft 2025 Annual Plan.
- (b) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested the response to the submitter be amended to reflect that reductions in fees can be applied to through the Fee Waiver Committee process.

Mayor Gordon also requested that the Terms of Reference, including a maximum budget, be brought to the Community and Recreation Committee for sign-off.

7.28. Increase to sport and recreation fees and charges

LTP2024.249.3 in Submission LTP2024.249 by Sport Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Sport Canterbury advocating on behalf of our community for access to sport and recreation opportunities and the importance this has to achieve the four well beings.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.29. Gladstone Park maintenance

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have had an assessment and repair criteria undertaken and expect to carry out the suggested improvements and renovations during its spring renovation program.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon acknowledged the work done by the Club recently and its successful fundraising efforts.

7.30. Waikuku Beach Toilets and Carpark

LTP2024.168.21 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that these toilets are out in later years of the Long Term Plan and are currently noted through condition assessment as working and in fair condition.
- (b) **Notes** that to bring the toilets forward, there will need to be a re-prioritisation of the current toilet renewal program.

- (c) **Notes** that the local accessibility group is keen for a whole design to Waikuku Beach and car park area as it is seen as a place that more people should be able to access regardless of mobility concerns.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.31. **Waikuku Skate Ramp**

LTP2024.168.22 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will work with the community to agree a design, have it costed and report back to Council.
- (b) **Notes** that funding for this project should be sourced from existing renewal budgets.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.32. **Community Facilities**

LTP2024.258.2 in Submission LTP2024.258

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that greenspace staff are currently engaging with youth and the community in relation to the Pegasus youth project. A final concept plan is expected to be presented to the Woodend Sefton Community Board at its July 2024 meeting.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will continue to work with the Pegasus Community Centre working group on the development of that project.
- (c) **Notes** that staff are actively working on land purchase for the North Woodend Ravenswood for a number of public works, including a potential community facility for the future.
- (d) **Notes** that funding for this community facility has been pushed out to year 11 of the Long Term Plan. However, this will be reviewed for the next draft long term plan in 2027 to retest assumptions regarding network distribution, service delivery options and public need.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.33. **Youth Friendly Spaces**

LTP2024.267.7 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council provides both staff time and also elected member representation to the youth council. Council has also provided funding for the next three years specifically for youth activation or improvements to public spaces.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.34. **Community Facility - Ravenswood**

LTP2024.336.3 in Submission LTP2024.336

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that there is funding within the Long Term Plan for Pegasus and has pushed the funding for Ravenswood into year 11 to allow for the land purchase and work with the community to better understand what the facility needs to be.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.35. **Permanent Market and Outdoor Event Space - Kaiapoi**

LTP2024.282.2 in Submission LTP2024.282 by Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council is currently trialling a night market in Kaiapoi that staff will be reporting back to Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board for consideration for a longer term occupation.

Councillors Comments:

Councillor Fulton requested an amendment to response with the last sentence to read *“Staff are keen for the Kaiapoi Promotion Association to be included ...”*

7.36. **Community involvement in Parks and Reserves**

LTP2024.172.2 in Submission LTP2024.172 by Food Secure North Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the great work our Community Team do in bringing communities of interest together as well as giving them an understanding of how to be involved in council process and in using the spaces we provide.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.37. **MainPower Stadium Boccia Courts**

LTP2024.267.11 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff to work with the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust on the installation of Boccia court markings at MainPower Stadium and for staff to report this back to Council.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that it be made clear to the submitter that while the Stadium is owned by the Council, the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust operate the facility on behalf of the Council.

7.38. **Mandeville Sports Club reclassification**

LTP2024.229.1 in Submission LTP2024.229 by Mandeville Sports Club

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Councils own internal classification used to set level of service and therefore level of asset and service provision, has the Mandeville domain set as a Sport and Recreation Reserve with a district catchment. This the highest level of classification that can be provided and is similar to the likes of the Coldstream Road area.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that it be made clear to the submitter that while the Mandeville Sports Club is owned by the Council, the Mandeville Sports Club operate the facility on behalf of the Council.

7.39. **Access to the natural environment**

LTP2024.124.3 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have submitted a report in response to this submission point which has a list of recommendations and information for Council to consider.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.40. **Oxford Health Centre**

LTP2024.343.17 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Supports** the Oxford Community Health Centre Trust in its negotiations with Health NZ and other key stakeholders regarding its proposed expansion.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested a workshop to update members on this facility.

7.41. **Targeted services rate for Pegasus**

LTP2024.168.17 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff to review the targeted street tree rate for Pegasus and prepare a report back to the Woodend Sefton Community Board and then Council for its consideration.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this item had been requested several times and noted that staff had indicated that a review would be carried out. Mayor Gordon asked that the response to the submitter gave a more definite timeline for the review.

7.42. **Arts in the district**

LTP2024.25.12 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Council would be happy to have discussions about a film studio in the district with any potential providers or developers.
- (b) **Notes** that currently the Council doesn't have funding or land availability to support the development of a film studio in the district.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.43. **Restore Rangiora Bowling building**

LTP2024.25.14 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Rangiora Bowling Club has recently been to the Community and Recreation Committee in March 2024 to discuss the future of the building they own.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will continue to work with the Rangiora Bowling Club on options to ensure the Clubs sustainability and will report back to Council as required.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.44. **Restoration of historic cottage**

LTP2024.25.15 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** the carry forward of the current budget for Cobb Cottage at Rangiora Museum of \$89,650.00. into year two of the Long Term Plan 2024.
- (b) **Notes** that the Cobb Cottage is subject to ongoing discussions with the Rangiora & Districts Early Records Society Incorporated about the future of where the museum might be located. As such the budget is on hold until the conclusion of that discussion which is also part of the Trevor Inch Memorial Library project.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.45. Capital Projects prioritisation

LTP2024.56.5 in Submission LTP2024.56

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that funding to purchase land in Woodend is included in the current Long Term Plan however, there is no money identified to build any community facilities.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will continue to monitor the need for community facilities in the area and the options in terms of the distribution of the network and report this back to Council.
- (c) **Notes** that funding to provide an extension to the current Rangiora Library has been included in the Long Term Plan and that staff are actively working with the Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society to see how best to accommodate their needs in the future.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.46. North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group (NCEAG) - Walking and Riding Strategy

LTP2024.71.1 in Submission LTP2024.71 by North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group

LTP2024.72.1 in Submission LTP2024.72

- (a) **Notes** staff will work with the North Canterbury Equestrian Advocacy Group and ensure they have access to information or relevant strategies of interest.
- (b) **Notes** the Council welcomes this group to the district and acknowledges the role they are wanting to play as an advocate for improved safety standards and access for equestrian pursuits.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.47. Surf Lifesaving season extended

LTP2024.73.10 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

LTP2024.168.18 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Surf Life Saving New Zealand have been contacted to understand what the cost would be and how the season can be extended.
- (b) **Notes** that Council funds the surf lifesaving season and will review information presented by staff during the deliberations of the Long Term Plan on any extension to the season.

Councillors Comments:

C Brown noted that the information on the cost of extending the season by two weeks. This would be approximately \$40,000 due in part to increased wage costs. Mayor Gordon noted that the Government had recently announced an increase in funding to Life Saving New Zealand and C Brown advised that this was for capital seeding and not for operational expenses. A report on this matter would be presented to the Community and Recreation Committee in due course.

Mayor Gordon requested that the response to the submitter be amended accordingly.

7.48. Gladstone Park flooding

LTP2024.73.11 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

LTP2024.168.19 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff undertaking renovations to the grounds to assist with capacity and reduce the impact of standing water.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.49. **Youth initiatives in Pegasus**

LTP2024.73.16 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** staff support for the recent consultation around youth spaces for Pegasus Town
- (b) **Supports** the staff recommendation that a youth-led, collaborative approach be applied to developing Pegasus-based activities for young people.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.50. **CCTV cameras in Pegasus**

LTP2024.73.17 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will be presenting a draft camera policy for Council to consider, noting that the ongoing operations of privately funded cameras will be a discussion point.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.51. **Satisfy Food Rescue - WDC Waste Disposal Levy**

LTP2024.123.1 in Submission LTP2024.123 by Satisfy Food Rescue

That the Council:

- (a) **Accepts** part of Submission Point #2 from Satisfy Food Rescue, which requests the sum of \$20,000 per year for 3 years to help fund Satisfy's annual operation costs.
- (b) **Instructs** staff to allocate a budget of \$20,000 within the Waste Minimisation Account to fund Satisfy Food Rescue in the 2024/25 financial year.
- (c) **Notes** that allocation of this funding to Satisfy Food Rescue may impact on the Councils ability to fund some discretionary projects that could arise during the 2024/25 financial year.
- (d) **Notes** that the provision of ongoing funding for Satisfy Food Rescue beyond the 2024/25 year will be considered when the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan is developed.
- (e) **Notes** that the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan will be put out for public consultation later in the 2024 calendar year, and that the outcomes of this consultation will be included in the draft 2025/26 Annual Plan for final consultation.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.52. **Satisfy Food Rescue - Kaiapoi Community Hub**

LTP2024.123.2 in Submission LTP2024.123 by Satisfy Food Rescue

That the Council:

- (a) **Declines** the request for financial assistance to cover foundation costs.
- (b) **Notes** that Council have already contributed nearly \$1.5 million to the Kaiapoi Community Hub project.
- (c) **Notes** that Council contribution to date has included commitment to covering some of the cost of connection to services, which had been previously designated as the responsibility of tenants.
- (d) **Notes** that staff will continue to support Satisfy Food Rescue with any endeavours around the acquisition of funding via philanthropic or central government funding sources - Either with advice on applications or letters of support.

Councillors Comments:

Reword the response by removing the phrase "preferential treatment".

7.53. Satisfy Food Rescue - General

LTP2024.123.3 in Submission LTP2024.123 by Satisfy Food Rescue

That the Council:

- (a) **Declines** the request for financial assistance to cover foundation costs.
- (b) **Notes** the staff intention to progress plans for a shared community space at the hub site.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.54. Youth Crime

LTP2024.109.2 in Submission LTP2024.109

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that youth behaviour issues do not appear to have disproportionate representation from young Māori in our District.
- (b) **Notes** that the Māori Warden Service is not limited to Māori.
- (c) **Notes** that staff will raise with Social Services Waimakariri, North Canterbury Youth Services Network, District libraries and Police that the potential value of establishing a Māori Warden Service in the Waimakariri District
- (d) **Notes** that, should those partners deem a local Māori Warden Service, the engagement of Māori Wardens would need to be approved and brokered via the Runanga.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.55. Arts Strategy

LTP2024.108.10 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahivi Community Board

LTP2024.295.1 in Submission LTP2024.295 by Waimakariri Public Arts Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Arts Facilitator role will be designed to include the identification and addressing of gaps in capacity and capability for the various Trusts and galleries operating in the District.
- (b) **Notes** that this work may involve engaging others appropriately qualified to offer support
- (c) **Notes** that the role may also involve assisting with the acquisition of necessary funding.
- (d) **Notes** that the Arts Facilitator role will include scoping the specific needs of each of the various Trusts and galleries across the District.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that the Arts Strategy be 'celebrated' in the amended response to the submitter.

7.56. Food forests

LTP2024.85.2 in Submission LTP2024.85

LTP2024.117.11 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

LTP2024.228.2 in Submission LTP2024.228 by Kaiapoi Food Forest Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Kaiapoi Food Forest Network is working with Council on locations for new forests and to find communities interested in having one.
- (b) **Notes** community development staff involvement in the Food Secure North Canterbury Network and the associated acquisition of significant funding to support its endeavours.

- (c) **Notes** that, with food security being a national priority it is expected that some degree of central government and philanthropic funding is likely to continue.
- (d) **Notes** that, with staff continuing to have active involvement in Food Secure North Canterbury, should the need for Council funding support become apparent, a report will be brought to Council at the appropriate time.

Councillors Comments:

Amend response to submitter in the third sentence by removing the word "Network".

7.57. **Safer communities**

LTP2024.117.13 in Submission LTP2024.117 by Rangiora Ashley Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that local Police continue to have an active presence in Town Centres.
- (b) **Notes** that local business centre and general community security is a mix of private arrangements and the regular and reliable surveillance provided by Community Watch Patrols in Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Oxford and Pegasus.
- (c) **Notes** that staff work closely with Community Patrols to monitor and review the need and location of cameras in and around our Town Centres.
- (d) **Notes** that, with the incidence of crime reportedly increasing, there is a general unease around security in some sectors of our community.
- (e) **Notes** that Community development staff maintain a broad range of connections across all sectors of the Rangiora and wider District communities and are confident that emerging concerns will be brought to their attention, so that appropriate responses can be facilitated by appropriate agencies.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.58. **Spaces free of impediments**

LTP2024.150.4 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** Staff to work with the Waimakariri Access Group to develop a draft accessibility design guide and report back to Council.
- (b) **Notes** this work should be led by the Community and Recreation Department with advice sought from Utilities and Roading staff.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.59. **Reversible core board signage**

LTP2024.150.9 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff to work with the Waimakariri Access Group to find a suitable greenspace/public space to implement a Core board sign.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will report the outcome of this back to the Council for its information and have the Waimakariri Access Group comment on the outcome and use of the Core-board.
- (c) **Approves** staff in the customer service and libraries unit to work with the Waimakariri Access Group to look at printing and having Core-board resources available in both service centres and libraries.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.60. **CCTV cameras - better coverage**
LTP2024.245.9 in Submission LTP2024.245

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will be presenting a draft camera policy for Council to consider, noting that the ongoing operations of privately funded cameras will be a discussion point.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.61. **Play advocate workforce**
LTP2024.249.4 in Submission LTP2024.249 by Sport Canterbury

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council currently has a Play Advocate working within the Greenspace team to support the promotion of play in both destination and neighbourhood play spaces.

Councillors Comments:

Amend the response to make it clearer that the Council now has its own Play Advocate.

7.62. **Landscaping budgets**
LTP2024.168.10 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will prepare a report on the general landscaping budgets for Council to consider prior to the 2025 Draft Annual Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.63. **Recreation Aotearoa**
LTP2024.342.1 in Submission LTP2024.342 by Recreation Aotearoa

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council invites representatives of Recreation Aotearoa to meet with Council or present at a workshop or deputation on how they would like to see recreation represented in future budgets.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.64. **SPCA Snip n Chip Programme**
LTP2024.272.1 in Submission LTP2024.272 by SPCA New Zealand

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission. Advise SPCA that Council has begun a project to explore whether an Animal Bylaw is appropriate to establish for Waimakariri District and their request will be factored into that work.

Councillors Comments:

Amend response to submitter to note Council's appreciation to the vets who are involved in the Snip and Chip initiative as this is not the Council's role. The possible Animal Bylaw could be in relation to registration of cats and would come via the District Planning and Regulation Committee in due course.

7.65. **Significant Natural Areas**
LTP2024.201.16 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.8 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council does not have the authority to acquire private land specifically for significant natural areas.

Councillors Comments:

It was agreed that any reference to Significant Natural Areas (SNAs) in the response to submitters, should make it clear that it was up to private land owner/s if they wish to identify an SNA on land they own. Suggested the wording of the following paragraph be used:

With regard to SNAs, the Waimakariri District Council already has an SNA programme which was voluntary. Works to identify and notify additional SNAs in the District Plan was required in five years' time. Therefore, this work was set to commence in year 4 of this LTP at which point the guidance from central government would be clear and staff would carry out works instructed by any replacement legislation.

7.66. **The Forgotten Wilderness of West Kaiapoi**

LTP2024.285.8 in Submission LTP2024.285

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff are to prepare a report to the Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board to consider if Council should enter a lease with LINZ for the land at Adderley Terrace and Fuller Street.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.67. **Recreational cycle route - Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc**

LTP2024.282.1 in Submission LTP2024.282 by Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that budget sits in year 6 for the Kaiapoi stopbank recreational walkway for \$258,457.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.68. **Street Seating**

LTP2024.258.7 in Submission LTP2024.258

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** staff using existing enhancement budget to place up to two additional seats on Pegasus Boulevard (from state highway 1 to Infinity Drive roundabout).

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.69. **Kaiapoi River precinct development**

LTP2024.268.1 in Submission LTP2024.268

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff are requested to work with the Cure Boating Club to better understand and create a concept plan for the projects they have raised.
- (b) **Notes** that one of the key reasons for the work at Murphy Park was to look at providing a safe access into the water, noting currently the assets do not provide this.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Libraries and Museums

7.70. **Extend Kaiapoi Museum**

LTP2024.1.1 in Submission LTP2024.1 by Kaiapoi District Historical Society Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will work with the Kaiapoi District Historical Society Inc to assist with planning and approvals to install storage options at the rear of Ruataniwha on Council land.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested that consideration be given to expanding the Kaiapoi Library for storage for all Museums in the district and as this was on ground level the need for lift or hoist would fall away.

Councillor Cairns noted that the museum intended to fund the work and was just giving the Council a heads up for its Long Term Plan.

7.71. **Device to move items to the second level of the Kaiapoi Museum**

LTP2024.1.2 in Submission LTP2024.1 by Kaiapoi District Historical Society Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Kaiapoi District Historical Society Inc is open to approach staff located at Ruataniwha to ask for assistance in moving items and archiving advise.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will work the Kaiapoi District Historical Society Inc to assist with planning and look at the installation of a device to move items between the floors at Ruataniwha where the Museum is located.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.72. **Book choices**

LTP2024.20.7 in Submission LTP2024.20

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Libraries is a modern, future-focused network which maintains a physical book collection of around 125,000 items. Physical issues (i.e., books being 'taken out') are comparatively very strong across fiction and non-fiction when assessed against other public libraries supporting a similar population size. An emphasis is placed on providing modern, high-quality titles that are relevant to our communities. Additionally, the collection contains many titles that are unique and relevant to Waimakariri and extensive special collections, including migrant and neurodiverse.
- (b) **Notes** Members are encouraged to utilise the 'purchase suggestion' service for content recommendations. We encourage the submitter to utilise this offering and any titles that are not currently held will be considered for inclusion.
- (c) **Notes** Waimakariri Libraries holds content in a variety of mediums across three locations, with some titles being available in digital formats. The website or mobile app can be used to search the complete catalogue of titles. It's possible that the omitted items of 'classic literature' referenced above are held at other Waimakariri libraries, are in digital formats, or were out on loan.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Aquatic Facilities

7.73. **Oxford Pool**

LTP2024.35.1 in Submission LTP2024.35

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for enclosing Oxford Pool, which does not currently align with Councils Aquatic Plan and is not included in the Long Term Plan

Councillors Comments:

Councillor Fulton noted that this matter had been canvassed by community groups in the area. Update the answer to include this information.

7.74. Splashpad at The Pines Beach Oval

LTP2024.51.2 in Submission LTP2024.51
LTP2024.62.1 in Submission LTP2024.62
LTP2024.63.1 in Submission LTP2024.63
LTP2024.89.1 in Submission LTP2024.89
LTP2024.104.1 in Submission LTP2024.104 by Pines Kairaki Beaches Association Inc
LTP2024.103.1 in Submission LTP2024.103
LTP2024.102.1 in Submission LTP2024.102
LTP2024.101.1 in Submission LTP2024.101
LTP2024.105.1 in Submission LTP2024.105
LTP2024.111.1 in Submission LTP2024.111
LTP2024.110.1 in Submission LTP2024.110
LTP2024.97.1 in Submission LTP2024.97
LTP2024.116.1 in Submission LTP2024.116
LTP2024.195.1 in Submission LTP2024.195
LTP2024.194.1 in Submission LTP2024.194
LTP2024.198.1 in Submission LTP2024.198
LTP2024.197.1 in Submission LTP2024.197
LTP2024.256.1 in Submission LTP2024.256
LTP2024.263.1 in Submission LTP2024.263
LTP2024.262.1 in Submission LTP2024.262
LTP2024.270.1 in Submission LTP2024.270
LTP2024.269.1 in Submission LTP2024.269
LTP2024.333.2 in Submission LTP2024.333
LTP2024.327.2 in Submission LTP2024.327
LTP2024.326.2 in Submission LTP2024.326
LTP2024.325.2 in Submission LTP2024.325
LTP2024.324.2 in Submission LTP2024.324
LTP2024.323.2 in Submission LTP2024.323
LTP2024.322.2 in Submission LTP2024.322
LTP2024.321.2 in Submission LTP2024.321
LTP2024.320.2 in Submission LTP2024.320
LTP2024.319.2 in Submission LTP2024.319
LTP2024.318.2 in Submission LTP2024.318
LTP2024.313.2 in Submission LTP2024.313
LTP2024.312.2 in Submission LTP2024.312
LTP2024.311.2 in Submission LTP2024.311
LTP2024.328.2 in Submission LTP2024.328
LTP2024.317.2 in Submission LTP2024.317
LTP2024.316.2 in Submission LTP2024.316
LTP2024.315.2 in Submission LTP2024.315
LTP2024.314.2 in Submission LTP2024.314

That the Council:

- (a) Notes** community support for the development of Aquatic leisure options within the district which aligns with the District Aquatics Plan

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.75. Hydroslide

LTP2024.25.4 in Submission LTP2024.25
LTP2024.32.7 in Submission LTP2024.32

That the Council:

- (a) Notes** community support for further development of Aquatic recreation which aligns with Councils Aquatic Plan and is not included in the Long Term Plan

Councillors Comments:

In response to submitter remove the word “honeymoon”.

7.76. Swimming Pool for the Woodend/Pegasus/Ravenswood/Waikuku communities.

LTP2024.73.9 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for a development of aquatic recreation within the Woodend/Pegasus/Ravenswood/ Waikuku area which aligns with the District Aquatics plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.77. Aquatic Facility at Pines Beach

LTP2024.151.6 in Submission LTP2024.151

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for the development of leisure and recreation spaces.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.78. Hoist at Dudley Pool

LTP2024.150.1 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for inclusive and accessible spaces available for all community users.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

e

7.79. Improving the accessible changing facilities at Dudley Pool

LTP2024.150.2 in Submission LTP2024.150 by Waimakariri Access Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for further development of the facilities and changing spaces at Dudley Park Aquatic Centre.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.80. Dudley Pool charges

LTP2024.219.15 in Submission LTP2024.219

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for prudent and efficient use of rates funding.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon requested the status on this project and was advised that the quote for the hoist project was well underway. The issue with the changing rooms was that they were inadequate for disabled patrons however there was little that could be done to modify what was already established. The Mayor suggested a meeting be set up between the submitter, the Portfolio Holder, the Mayor and the Manager to discuss concerns and possible solutions.

7.81. Oxford Pool fee options

LTP2024.343.18 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** community support for discounted entry and community facility use.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Property

7.82. Purchasing Property

LTP2024.9.7 in Submission LTP2024.9

LTP2024.217.9 in Submission LTP2024.217

LTP2024.98.10 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

- (a) **Continues** to follow the processes and requirements outlined in the Property Acquisition & Disposal Policy when acquiring property. This includes utilising independent valuations by a Registered Valuer as the basis for negotiations. The Policy also specifies that where property is acquired for a public work the processes, assessment and responses stipulated by the Public Works Act 1989 will in general apply.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.83. Community housing Kaiapoi

LTP2024.25.10 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council should continue with its refurbishment program of older housing Units and where practicable make improvements to accessibility using the principles of universal design.
- (b) **Notes** Council should continue with renew and upgrade site infrastructure and where practicable make improvements to accessibility using the principles of universal design.
- (c) **Notes** Council should continue to work with individual tenants to where possible access funding for upgrades to address specific access needs.
- (d) **Notes** Council will actively consider the use of universal design in the development of any new Housing it develops.
- (e) **Notes** Council will liaise with Community Housing Providers that specialise in providing accessible housing to encourage their active participation in Waimakariri and to improve Council's Community housing Rangiora.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this response was to be updated to include the decision that was agreed by the Council previously at this meeting, to include Abbeyfield comment, to provide a fuller response. It was agreed that this updated response was to go into all the similar submission points that follow, on community and social housing.

7.84. Community housing Rangiora

LTP2024.25.11 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

- (a) **Actively** consider how to support better housing outcomes for Rangiora and the District as a whole, with the guidance of the Property Portfolio - Working Group.
- (b) **Considers** extending the provision of its Housing for the Elderly service (where financially sustainable to do so) in line with its new Housing Policy. It will also consider re-purposing Council owned land in support of targeted housing initiatives, either by Council or other community housing providers.
- (c) **Actively** considers how it can liaise and support Community Housing Providers to meet some of the increasing needs of those suffering from housing stress in line with the Council's new Housing Policy.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.85. Social/Elderly Housing - support

LTP2024.34.10 in Submission LTP2024.34

LTP2024.234.6 in Submission LTP2024.234

LTP2024.168.6 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

LTP2024.124.15 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council, with the guidance of the Property Portfolio - Working Group, will actively consider how to support better housing outcomes for the district as a whole.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider extending the provision of its Housing for the Elderly service (where financially sustainable to do so) and consider re-purposing Council owned land in support of targeted housing initiatives, either by Council or other community housing providers.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider how it can liaise with and support Community Housing Providers to meet some of the increasing needs of those suffering from housing stress.

Council will, through the Waimakariri District Plan Review process, continue to consider the need for Zone changes to meet future housing needs and contribute to wider housing related matters through its participation in the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan. In the same way it will consider integration with the provision of infrastructure to support housing growth along with matching zoned housing supply to anticipated demand.

Zoning of areas of the district for future housing is a matter that is being considered as part of the Waimakariri District Plan Review and has also recently been considered through the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan (<https://greaterchristchurch.org.nz/urbangrowthprogramme/greater-christchurch-spatial-plan/draft-greater-christchurch-spatial-plan>). These documents also consider integration with the provision of infrastructure to support housing growth along with matching zoned housing supply to anticipated demand.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this response was to be updated to include the decision that was agreed by the Council previously at this meeting, to include Abbeyfield comment, to provide a fuller response. It was agreed that this updated response was to go into all the similar submission points that follow, on community and social housing.

7.86. Council owned properties

LTP2024.53.8 in Submission LTP2024.53

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council continue with the ongoing review of Council owned property under the guidance of the Property Portfolio Working Group to ensure an efficient and appropriate use of Council resources.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.87. Surplus assets

LTP2024.68.7 in Submission LTP2024.68

LTP2024.257.9 in Submission LTP2024.257

LTP2024.275.7 in Submission LTP2024.275

LTP2024.134.4 in Submission LTP2024.134

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council will continue to actively review its property holdings following the processes and requirements outlined in the Property Acquisition & Disposal Policy.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.88. Social/Elderly Housing - Do not support

LTP2024.143.4 in Submission LTP2024.143

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council, with the guidance of the Property Portfolio - Working Group, will continue to actively consider how to support better housing outcomes for the District as a whole.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider extending the provision of its Housing for the Elderly service (where financially sustainable to do so, without recourse to Rates) and consider re-purposing Council owned land in support of targeted housing initiatives, either by Council or other community housing providers.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider how it can liaise with and support Community

Housing Providers to meet some of the increasing needs of those suffering from housing stress. This may involve ongoing analysis and reporting of housing stress in the District.

Council will, through the Waimakariri District Plan Review process, continue to consider the need for Zone changes to meet future housing needs and contribute to wider housing related matters through its participation in the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan. In the same way it will consider integration with the provision of infrastructure to support housing growth along with matching zoned housing supply to anticipated demand.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this response was to be updated to include the decision that was agreed by the Council previously at this meeting, to include Abbeyfield comment, to provide a fuller response. It was agreed that this updated response was to go into all the similar submission points that follow, on community and social housing.

7.89. Housing Policy

LTP2024.257.6 in Submission LTP2024.257

That the Council:

- (a) Notes** Council, with the guidance of the Property Portfolio - Working Group, will actively consider how to support better housing outcomes for the District as a whole.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider extending the provision of its Housing for the Elderly service (where financially sustainable to do so) and consider re-purposing Council owned land in support of targeted housing initiatives, either by Council or other community housing providers.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider how it can liaise with and support Community Housing Providers to meet some of the increasing needs of those suffering from housing stress.

Council will, through the Waimakariri District Plan Review process, continue to consider the need for Zone changes to meet future housing needs and contribute to wider housing related matters through its participation in the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan. In the same way it will consider integration with the provision of infrastructure to support housing growth along with matching zoned housing supply to anticipated demand.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.90. Community Housing Woodend

LTP2024.258.5 in Submission LTP2024.258

That the Council:

- (a) Consider** how to support better housing outcomes for Woodend and the District as a whole, with the guidance of the Property Portfolio - Working Group.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider extending the provision of its Housing for the Elderly service (where financially sustainable to do so). It will also consider re-purposing Council owned land in support of targeted housing initiatives, either by Council or other community housing providers.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider how it can liaise and support Community Housing Providers to meet some of the increasing needs of those suffering from housing stress.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this response was to be updated to include the decision that was agreed by the Council previously at this meeting, to include Abbeyfield comment, to provide a fuller response. It was agreed that this updated response was to go into all the similar submission points that follow, on community and social housing.

7.91. **Affordable Housing Solutions**

LTP2024.201.23 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.15 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council, with the guidance of the Property Portfolio - Working Group, will actively consider how to support better housing outcomes for the District as a whole.

In line with its new Housing Policy Council will actively consider how it can liaise with and support Community Housing Providers to meet some of the increasing needs of those suffering from housing stress.

Council will, through the Waimakariri District Plan Review process, continue to consider the need for Zone changes to meet future housing needs and contribute to wider housing related matters through its participation in the Greater Christchurch Spatial Plan. In the same way it will consider integration with the provision of appropriate infrastructure to support housing growth along with the impact of housing development on existing communities.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this response was to be updated to include the decision that was agreed by the Council previously at this meeting, to include Abbeyfield comment, to provide a fuller response. It was agreed that this updated response was to go into all the similar submission points that follow, on community and social housing.

7.92. **Property Portfolio**

LTP2024.343.16 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council continue to maximise revenue and minimise holding costs by leasing out property not currently being used for a public work or community benefit.
- (b) **Notes** that the Property Acquisition and Disposal Review is already underway to evaluate the highest and best use for all Council owned property, which in some circumstances may result in property being leased out or sold.
- (c) **Notes** that the output of the review is presented to the Property Portfolio - Working Group, who may periodically update Council and Community Boards on progress with the review.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon noted that this response was to be updated to include the decision that was agreed by the Council previously at this meeting, to include Abbeyfield comment, to provide a fuller response. It was agreed that this updated response was to go into all the similar submission points that follow, on community and social housing.

Council Grants and Contestable Funds

7.93. **Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Waikuku Beach Surf Life Saving Club**

LTP2024.144.6 in Submission LTP2024.144

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** an increase in the Waikuku Beach Surf Life Saving Clubs annual grant from \$5,000 to \$10,000.
- (b) **Notes** that there has been no increase in the grant since 2011 to reflect increases in costs and also the role that the Waikuku Beach Surf Life Saving Club deliver for our community.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.94. **Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Eyreton Hall**

LTP2024.190.1 in Submission LTP2024.190 by Eyreton Hall Association Inc

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** an increase in the annual grant to the Eyreton Hall Association from \$1,800 to \$3,200.

- (b) **Notes** that the increase in grant is to reflect the increase in operational charges that the Eyreton Hall Association needs to pay each year.
- (c) **Notes** the great work the Eyreton Hall Association do on behalf of our community and the service they provide. This is a valuable volunteer group providing an asset that the Eyreton community value.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.95. **Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Allocation**

LTP2024.156.2 in Submission LTP2024.156

LTP2024.167.9 in Submission LTP2024.167

That the Council:

- (a) **Approves** the continuation of community group grants program noting the great work these organisations do for a myriad of people across our community. Without these grants there would be far greater cost required of council to support our community.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.96. **Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Arts Waimakariri**

LTP2024.200.1 in Submission LTP2024.200 by Arts Waimakariri

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff are committed to working with our local galleries to assist them in gaining as wide an audience as possible, whether via existing channels, or by identifying means to acquire funding for increased promotion.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.97. **Council Grants and Contestable Funds - North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support**

LTP2024.247.1 in Submission LTP2024.247 by North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Neighbourhood Support's role in facilitating neighbourhood connection across the district.
- (b) **Notes** the growing number of local residents on the Get Ready database and its potential value as a means to quickly disseminate information via a network of Neighbourhood Group connections.
- (c) **Approves** the granting of \$5,000 for the first year of the Long term Plan toward the Getz Ready annual subscription fee and work to keep the database current. Subsequently a report to come to the Council on options for future years funding.

Councillors Comments:

S Hart understood that the "Get Ready" funding is based on population, so the greater the population the greater the figure. The requested figure of \$5,000 per annum would cover the annual subscription fee, and to run and manage the programme. Mayor Gordon noted that the recommendation for this year was for the grant of \$5,000 and suggested that this be agreed to, but for next year this sum to put to Civil Defence and a further report to come to Council as part of the Annual Plan process. This would be the logical area for this to come from. Councillor Redmond suggested a report to come to the Council to consider any options available, noting that Selwyn District Council operate this programme in-house. Councillor Cairns noted that this had a much higher cost. Mayor Gordon noted his preference for the programme to be run from the outside organisation. J Millward agreed that there should be a report, with accountability on how the funds were spent.

7.98. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Silverstream Volunteer Group

LTP2024.250.2 in Submission LTP2024.250 by Silverstream Volunteer Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that council has proposed a field/biodiversity ranger position in the draft Long Term Plan which could assist in the delivery of this work, as well as the implementation plan of the Natural Environment Strategy.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.99. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Predator Free Waimakariri

LTP2024.250.3 in Submission LTP2024.250 by Silverstream Volunteer Group

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council has a field/biodiversity ranger proposed in the draft Long Term Plan as part of the natural environment strategy.
- (b) **Notes** that this position along with the funding in the natural environment strategy will be able to assist with ongoing pest control in the district and therefore at this time no additional funding should be allocated.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.100. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Discretionary Grant Fund

LTP2024.168.11 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

J Millward provided information in response to this request from Woodend-Sefton Community Board for an increase in Discretionary Grant funding. In the 2023/24 Annual Plan Oxford-Ohoka Community Board were granted an increased Discretionary Grant fund, and this figure did not align with the amount per population that the other Boards were receiving. A calculation had been done based on 51c per head of population, to align the Grants to all Boards, which would make the Grants as follows:

Community Board	Previous Grant	Increased Grant for 2024/25
Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi	\$ 5,570	\$ 8,600
Rangiora-Ashley	\$10,500	\$14,200
Woodend-Sefton	\$ 4,540	\$ 6,830
Oxford-Ohoka	\$ 6,330	\$ 6,330 Retain same

This equates to a total increase of \$9,000 across all the Boards.

There was discussion on the fairness to all Boards of any change to Grant funding allocation. Councillor Brine noted that Rangiora-Ashley didn't spend all their allocated grant funding, Councillor Redmond said that both Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi and Woodend-Sefton spent all their allocation in the current year. It was agreed that the position would be put to all the Community Boards and get their views and agreement on the possible adjustment, with no carry-over funding.

Moved Mayor Gordon Seconded Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

- (a) **Adopts** the annual Discretionary Grant to each Community Board as follows, subject to the consultation with the Community Boards,

Community Board	New Discretionary Grant 2024/25
Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi	\$ 8,600
Rangiora-Ashley	\$14,200
Woodend-Sefton	\$ 6,830
Oxford-Ohoka	\$ 6,330 Retained

CARRIED

7.101. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Te Kohaka o Tūhaitara Trust

LTP2024.259.1 in Submission LTP2024.259 by Te Kohaka o Tūhaitara Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the great contribution that the Trust offers to our community and the way they and the staff care for the coastal areas of our district.
- (b) **Acknowledges** the partnership and way our two organisations work together.
- (c) **Notes** the successful outcomes of the planting days and plantings that the Trust has been undertaken at the Mahinga Kai Reserve in Kaiapoi.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.102. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Kaiapoi Pony Club

LTP2024.274.1 in Submission LTP2024.274

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will work with the Club on fund raising pathways and report any shortfall back to Council as part of its 2025 draft annual plan considerations.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.103. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Oxford Arts Trust

LTP2024.199.1 in Submission LTP2024.199 by Oxford Arts Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council has recently completed an Arts Strategy for the district which has a position for an Arts Coordinator. Once this position is filled, the candidate will be in touch with the Oxford Arts Trust to assist with future funding strategies.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.104. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Mandeville Sports Ground

LTP2024.229.2 in Submission LTP2024.229 by Mandeville Sports Club

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff will work with Mandeville Sports Club to review the concept plan and capital projects for the domain. These will be prioritised and brought back to Council for future consideration.
- (b) **Notes** that staff will approach Delta to see if there is scope for them to undertake mowing, utilising existing Mandeville operational budgets.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.105. Council Grants and Contestable Funds - Rangiora High School Farm

LTP2024.175.1 in Submission LTP2024.175 by Rangiora High School Farm

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** while this would provide obvious benefits for the students of the school and potentially the wider community, Council would be unable to fund the requested \$50,000.
- (b) **Notes** that Council does have staff resource that may be able to provide technical assistance and also suggest that the High School, contact the Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust to investigate other funding opportunities.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.106. **Support for Orana Park**

LTP2024.240.6 in Submission LTP2024.240

LTP2024.246.1 in Submission LTP2024.246 by Orana Wildlife Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the great work that Orana Wildlife Trust does for the science community in regards to its breeding programs and allowing people to enjoy this via ticketed access.
- (b) **Notes** that given the financial strain on our community, the Council is not in a position at this time to support an out of district tourist attraction. Council wishes the Trust all the best.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Community Development

7.107. **Support for Satisfy Food Rescue**

LTP2024.90.1 in Submission LTP2024.90

LTP2024.92.3 in Submission LTP2024.92

LTP2024.157.1 in Submission LTP2024.157

LTP2024.153.1 in Submission LTP2024.153

LTP2024.147.1 in Submission LTP2024.147

LTP2024.158.6 in Submission LTP2024.158

LTP2024.163.1 in Submission LTP2024.163

LTP2024.170.1 in Submission LTP2024.170

LTP2024.152.6 in Submission LTP2024.152

LTP2024.136.2 in Submission LTP2024.136

LTP2024.173.1 in Submission LTP2024.173

LTP2024.239.1 in Submission LTP2024.239

That the Council:

- (a) **Declines** the request for financial assistance to cover foundation costs.
- (b) **Notes that** staff have support, by way of endorsement of review of funding applications to assist Satisfy Food Rescue with the acquisition of funding.
- (c) **Notes** the staff intention to progress plans for a shared community space at the hub site.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.108. **Alcohol and Drug harm prevention project**

LTP2024.265.4 in Submission LTP2024.265 by Hospitality New Zealand

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that staff have reached out to hospitality New Zealand to discuss opportunities for them to support Alcohol and Drug Harm Prevention initiatives in the Waimakariri District.
- (b) **Notes** that, following that meeting, staff will raise potential engagement with Hospitality New Zealand, with the Alcohol and Drug Harm Steering Group.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.109. **Youth Vaping**

LTP2024.267.1 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Youth Council's well researched and thorough submission to Council's 2024 - 2034 Long Term Plan.

- (b) **Supports** the Taituarā (Local Government New Zealand) proposal to Central Government that new legislation should require that vape shops be licensed, in the same manner as alcohol outlets.
- (c) **Notes** that at this stage, vaping is legislated under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Health. Licensing for the sales of vape products falls under the Smokefree Environments and Regulated Products Act 1990. Vape sales are allowed under this Act and a Bylaw cannot overrule an Act of Parliament.
- (d) **Notes** that if a proposed change to legislation was successful, Council could implement a bylaw process which could give Councils control over the number and location of vape shops in our towns depending on the outcomes of a period of public consultation. Youth Council should be informed of any developments relating to legislation change which could prompt the process to implement a bylaw.
- (e) **Notes** that community development staff are working with key providers across the community to identify opportunities to raise awareness of the negative effects of vaping and to educate and empower parents and young people to address vaping in our youth population.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.110. Youth Mental Health and Wellbeing

LTP2024.267.2 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Youth Council's well researched and thorough submission to Council's 2024 - 2034 Long Term Plan
- (b) **Notes** that community development staff, via facilitation of the Walife Suicide Prevention Steering Group, have identified young people as a key demographic impacted by mental health issues and at-risk of suicide and self-harm.
- (c) **Notes** that community development staff will undertake to work with the Youth Development Facilitator and Youth Council on initiatives to promote and facilitate resilience, wellbeing and suicide prevention.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.111. Racism

LTP2024.267.4 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Youth Council's well researched and thorough submission to Council's 2024 - 2034 Long Term Plan.
- (b) **Notes** that the role of the Waimakariri District Council Welcoming Communities Coordinator will be to work with

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.112. Social housing - youth

LTP2024.267.5 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Youth Council's well researched and thorough submission to Council's 2024 - 2034 Long Term Plan.
- (b) **Notes** the work of the Social Services Waimakariri-led Housing response working group in effecting responses to a shortage of Youth Housing in the Waimakariri District.

- (c) **Acknowledges** the role that Council can play in advocating for adequate and proportionate provision of youth transition housing in the Waimakariri District.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.113. **Youth Voice**

LTP2024.267.6 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Youth Council's well researched and thorough submission to Council's 2024 - 2034 Long Term Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

7.114. **Youth - Representation and Belonging**

LTP2024.267.8 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Waimakariri Youth Council's well researched and thorough submission to Council's 2024 - 2034 Long Term Plan.
- (b) **Notes** that 20 local respondents in the Youth Voice Canterbury - Youth Takeover Survey detailed representation and belonging as key issues affecting young people in the Waimakariri District.
- (c) **Notes** that a pending review of the youth Development Strategy will create an opportunity for staff to work with Youth Council in engaging with local young people to identify effective solutions to generate a more youth-friendly and youth-inclusive District.
- (d) **Notes** the work undertaken to date to ensure that local young people have a voice in local decision making.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8. Planning and Regulation

District Development

8.1. **District Plan**

LTP2024.18.9 in Submission LTP2024.18

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the submission points.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8.2. **Availability of land for residential, commercial and industrial development**

LTP2024.83.6 in Submission LTP2024.83

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the sufficiency of housing capacity under the National policy Statement for Urban Development is being considered as part of hearing of submissions as part of the proposed District Plan review.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8.3. RMA Notifiable consents

LTP2024.293.12 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.294.12 in Submission LTP2024.294

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** this is an operational matter. No change is recommended to the Long Term Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8.4. Environmental concerns

LTP2024.293.14 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.294.14 in Submission LTP2024.294

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that the submissions are not accepted as National regulations limit radiofrequency fields and the RMA establishes whether applications should be non-notified, limited notified or publicly notified.

(b) **Copies** of the Radiofrequency Reports for the six 5G facilities granted resource consents can be made available to the submitters.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

Environmental Services

8.5. Local alcohol Policy

LTP2024.265.1 in Submission LTP2024.265 by Hospitality New Zealand

That the Council:

(a) **Acknowledge** Submission and state: The Waimakariri LAP is due to be reviewed later in 2024 when all issues presented will be discussed and debated.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8.6. Vape Stores

LTP2024.343.13 in Submission LTP2024.343 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

That the Council:

(a) **Acknowledge** submission. At this stage, a Bylaw cannot be used to restrict sales due to the overriding legislation - Smokefree Environments and Regulated Products Act 1990, administered by the Ministry of Health. If a vendor has a licence to sell tobacco, they can sell vape products. It is not under local government legislation.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8.7. Freshwater legislation

LTP2024.148.6 in Submission LTP2024.148

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 sets out the objectives and policies for freshwater management under the Resource Management Act 1991.

(b) **Notes** that the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management 2020 (NPS-FM) requires that Regional Councils give effect to it, with timeframes set out in the Resource Management Act 1991.

(c) **Notes** that the control of landfills is generally provided for in the operative Waimakariri District Plan, with provisions subject to decision in the proposed District Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

8.8. Resource Consent Fee

LTP2024.257.11 in Submission LTP2024.257

That the Council:

- (a) Do not accept the submission.
- (b) **Advise** the Submitter that if they are concerned about the fees charged for a particular subdivision application, they can request a review.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9. Finance and Business Support

Financial Management

9.1. Council debt levels

LTP2024.11.6 in Submission LTP2024.11
LTP2024.156.13 in Submission LTP2024.156
LTP2024.167.8 in Submission LTP2024.167
LTP2024.223.6 in Submission LTP2024.223
LTP2024.143.9 in Submission LTP2024.143
LTP2024.217.7 in Submission LTP2024.217
LTP2024.219.9 in Submission LTP2024.219
LTP2024.255.1 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.332.8 in Submission LTP2024.332
LTP2024.201.19 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.12 in Submission LTP2024.99
LTP2024.98.3 in Submission LTP2024.98
LTP2024.134.2 in Submission LTP2024.134

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that debt needs to be managed on behalf of ratepayers.
- (b) **Notes** that debt is needed to fund infrastructure and community assets. The Long Term Plan forecasts that the Council's external debt will be \$295m at the end of 2033/34. The debt is managed within the Council's Treasury policy to ensure financial sustainability.

Councillors Comments:

Councillor Ward suggested that the response to the submitter, should include reference to the value of the assets that the Council would own at the end of 2033/34, to show a relative figure to the external debt of \$295m at that time. J Millward advised that the debt calculation was still being worked on.

9.2. Local Government Funding Agency (LGFA)

LTP2024.9.6 in Submission LTP2024.9
LTP2024.217.8 in Submission LTP2024.217
LTP2024.293.11 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.11 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.201.1 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.1 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that debt needs to be managed on behalf of ratepayers.
- (b) **Notes** that debt is needed to fund infrastructure and community assets. The Long Term Plan forecasts that the Council's external debt will be \$295m at the end of 2033/34. The debt is managed within the Council's Treasury policy to ensure financial sustainability. LGFA enables Council to access funds at lower interest rates than using another method of borrowing.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested that the response to these submitters also needed to be updated to include value of the Council owned assets as at the end of 2033/34 (as per submission point 9.1 above).

9.3. Rates

LTP2024.257.8 in Submission LTP2024.257

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that the increase in asset values has had an impact on depreciation costs and this in turn has an impact on rates increase.
- (b) **Notes** that the LTP has allowed for a smoothing of this impact on rates by gradually increasing the additional funding required due to the increase in asset values over a five-year period.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.4. Property Valuation (GV)

LTP2024.9.8 in Submission LTP2024.9

That the Council:

- (a) **Reassures** the submitter that the Council is required to follow a statutory process to produce Rating Valuations that includes an independent audit and objection process.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.5. Affordability

LTP2024.17.8 in Submission LTP2024.17 by Waikura Trust

LTP2024.17.9 in Submission LTP2024.17 by Waikura Trust

LTP2024.59.6 in Submission LTP2024.59

LTP2024.222.1 in Submission LTP2024.222

LTP2024.232.8 in Submission LTP2024.232

LTP2024.176.6 in Submission LTP2024.176

LTP2024.193.1 in Submission LTP2024.193

LTP2024.303.10 in Submission LTP2024.303

LTP2024.302.2 in Submission LTP2024.302

LTP2024.301.6 in Submission LTP2024.301

LTP2024.300.6 in Submission LTP2024.300

LTP2024.273.6 in Submission LTP2024.273

LTP2024.286.6 in Submission LTP2024.286

LTP2024.212.6 in Submission LTP2024.212

LTP2024.189.6 in Submission LTP2024.189

LTP2024.243.3 in Submission LTP2024.243 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers of NZ

LTP2024.275.8 in Submission LTP2024.275

LTP2024.201.10 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.2 in Submission LTP2024.99

LTP2024.98.2 in Submission LTP2024.98

LTP2024.134.1 in Submission LTP2024.134

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.
- (b) **Acknowledge** that rates increase impacts the budgets of people in the community, but that Council has worked hard to keep the rates increase as low as possible while maintaining the levels of service the community expects.
- (c) **Acknowledge** the costs related to growth but advise that growth allows communities to become more vibrant and spreads the cost of services between more people over time.
- (d) **Acknowledge** Council has different ways of meeting costs such as general and targeted rates as well as user pays for some services. Advise Council gives careful consideration to how costs are allocated for different services.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.6. Unpaid rates written off

LTP2024.53.9 in Submission LTP2024.53

LTP2024.68.6 in Submission LTP2024.68

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the submitters be advised that the information they have heard is not accurate and that the Council appreciates the opportunity to correct the misinformation as follows:

In 2020/21 changes to rating legislation made unused Māori Freehold land non-rateable, and required that when the rating status changed, any rates and penalty arrears owing on the land was written-off. Nine properties were involved, and a total rates write-off of \$232K was reported in the 2020/21 Annual Report.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.7. Rating base

LTP2024.68.5 in Submission LTP2024.68

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Mr Deacon be advised that the Council does investigate reports of unauthorised land use or building work and follows up to ensure appropriate consents are applied for, and that the rates are updated where relevant.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.8. Rates - Rates decrease

LTP2024.219.12 in Submission LTP2024.219

LTP2024.293.10 in Submission LTP2024.293

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** the work that has been done to control costs and rework the programme.
- (b) **Notes** that a rates reduction would have a negative impact on both the level of services provided to the community and the Council's financial position.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.9. Rates - Rates increases over time

LTP2024.193.2 in Submission LTP2024.193

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the rates by area table in the Consultation document includes the first three years of the LTP only.
- (b) **Notes** that the full Draft Long Term Plan document contains proposed Rates by are for the full 10 years on Pages 196 to 219.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.10. Rates - Rural communities

LTP2024.243.1 in Submission LTP2024.243 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers NZ

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** the varying impact of rates increases on different properties and **Notes** there will be increases that are both higher and lower than the advertised "average".

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.11. **Rates - Promote rebates**

LTP2024.243.2 in Submission LTP2024.243 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers NZ

That the Council:

- (a) **Advise** NC Province of Federated Farmers of NZ the various ways that Council actively promotes the rates rebate scheme to the community, including full page adverts in the local newspaper.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.12. **Rates - UAGC**

LTP2024.243.4 in Submission LTP2024.243 by North Canterbury Province of Federated Farmers NZ

LTP2024.98.1 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

- (a) **Advises** the submitter that in addition to the Uniform Annual General Charge, Waimakariri has a number of rates that are assessed as fixed charges or are partially assessed as a fixed charge and that are also included in the calculation of the statutory 30% cap.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.13. **Rates - inequitable impact for disadvantaged populations**

LTP2024.124.17 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Advises** the National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu that Council is very aware of the financial hardship being experienced in the District and has made careful budgeting decisions to keep the rates increase as low as possible while still delivering essential services to support the community.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.14. **Rates - Short-term Rental Accommodation (STRA)**

LTP2024.265.2 in Submission LTP2024.265 by Hospitality New Zealand

That the Council:

- (a) **Thanks** Hospitality New Zealand for their offer of assistance and advises that staff will be in touch to discuss options during any future review of rating for short-term residential accommodation.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.15. **Wage Freeze for Senior Staff**

LTP2024.17.7 in Submission LTP2024.17 by Waikura Trust

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that performance reviews should be undertaken;
- (b) **Notes** that placing a wages freeze on staff may not save money and may led overall to higher costs.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.16. **Staffing levels/costs**

LTP2024.42.6 in Submission LTP2024.42
LTP2024.49.6 in Submission LTP2024.49
LTP2024.128.8 in Submission LTP2024.128
LTP2024.130.8 in Submission LTP2024.130
LTP2024.131.8 in Submission LTP2024.131
LTP2024.132.8 in Submission LTP2024.132
LTP2024.133.8 in Submission LTP2024.133
LTP2024.156.11 in Submission LTP2024.156
LTP2024.232.7 in Submission LTP2024.232
LTP2024.143.1 in Submission LTP2024.143
LTP2024.217.3 in Submission LTP2024.217
LTP2024.219.5 in Submission LTP2024.219
LTP2024.219.11 in Submission LTP2024.219
LTP2024.255.3 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.293.8 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.8 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.332.9 in Submission LTP2024.332
LTP2024.304.2 in Submission LTP2024.304
LTP2024.303.9 in Submission LTP2024.303
LTP2024.302.3 in Submission LTP2024.302
LTP2024.301.7 in Submission LTP2024.301
LTP2024.300.7 in Submission LTP2024.300
LTP2024.288.8 in Submission LTP2024.288
LTP2024.201.21 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.13 in Submission LTP2024.99
LTP2024.98.4 in Submission LTP2024.98
LTP2024.134.3 in Submission LTP2024.134

That the Council:

- (a) **Advises** that staff resources are regularly reviewed and considered within the annual budget, in conjunction with the levels of service demands. In addition, a review is carried out to determine whether it is more cost effective to employ or contract through external contractors for project work.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.17. **Rating - level of spending/capital projects**

LTP2024.56.4 in Submission LTP2024.56

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that the Council must balance the provision of services to the Community with the rates burden on ratepayers. When preparing the LTP the Council has presented a rates rise that will be financially prudent to cover the effects of inflation and growth while being aware of the effect of the rates burden on ratepayers.
- (b) **Confirms** that the Council will continue to advocate for alternative funding mechanisms for Local government other than rates and debt.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.18. **Earthquake Recovery Loan**

LTP2024.56.6 in Submission LTP2024.56

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that The Earthquake Recovery activities continues within the Long Term Plan as there as uncompleted projects (including planned multi-year projects), that are to be funded from the Earthquake Recovery Loan, rather than other funding sources. This ongoing need for the Earthquake Recovery activity was a topic of debate at the Council Long Term Plan meetings in late January 2024.

- (b) **Notes** that excepting standard inflation adjustments by finance department; there are no new projects nor any added new operational or capital spend to the Earthquake Recovery & Regeneration Activity, compared to the previously set budgets. A small number of projects have also now either been cancelled, deferred, or transferred into other department budgets, as part of this Long Term Plan; where this was feasible or where decided by the Council and Community Boards. Some examples variously being riverbanks walkway/war memorial reserve, Corcoran reserve viewing platform, and some elements of Kaiapoi Town Centre recovery (principally streetlighting / feature lighting works under KTC EQ Recovery)

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.19. **Central Government funding**

LTP2024.84.6 in Submission LTP2024.84

LTP2024.82.10 in Submission LTP2024.82

LTP2024.167.10 in Submission LTP2024.167

LTP2024.124.16 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that Central Government is requiring Council to undertake more work without funding for the work to be undertaken.
- (b) **Notes** that the Council budgets to claim all available funding from central Government. In 2024-25 this is forecast to be \$16.0m, which is around 8.4% of total revenue. This is mostly subsidies for roading.
- (c) **Notes** that the Council will continue to participate in consultation when Central Government changes requirements for Councils and will advocate for funding to carry out the extra work from Central Government.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

9.20. **Borrowing funds**

LTP2024.128.1 in Submission LTP2024.128

LTP2024.129.1 in Submission LTP2024.129

LTP2024.130.1 in Submission LTP2024.130

LTP2024.131.1 in Submission LTP2024.131

LTP2024.132.1 in Submission LTP2024.132

LTP2024.133.1 in Submission LTP2024.133

LTP2024.248.9 in Submission LTP2024.248

LTP2024.294.16 in Submission LTP2024.294

LTP2024.293.16 in Submission LTP2024.293

LTP2024.297.9 in Submission LTP2024.297

LTP2024.298.9 in Submission LTP2024.298

LTP2024.288.1 in Submission LTP2024.288

LTP2024.134.5 in Submission LTP2024.134

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** that debt needs to be managed on behalf of ratepayers.
- (b) **Notes** that debt is needed to fund infrastructure and community assets. The Long Term Plan forecasts that the Council's external debt will be \$295m at the end of 2033/34. The debt is managed within the Council's Treasury policy to ensure financial sustainability.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

Governance

9.21. **Role of Elected Members**

LTP2024.9.10 in Submission LTP2024.9

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledges** the differing views of the submitter and the community.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.22. **Official Information Act requests - associated fees**

LTP2024.43.7 in Submission LTP2024.43
LTP2024.128.9 in Submission LTP2024.128
LTP2024.129.9 in Submission LTP2024.129
LTP2024.130.9 in Submission LTP2024.130
LTP2024.131.9 in Submission LTP2024.131
LTP2024.132.9 in Submission LTP2024.132
LTP2024.133.9 in Submission LTP2024.133
LTP2024.232.6 in Submission LTP2024.232
LTP2024.248.8 in Submission LTP2024.248
LTP2024.219.10 in Submission LTP2024.219
LTP2024.293.9 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.9 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.298.8 in Submission LTP2024.298
LTP2024.297.8 in Submission LTP2024.297
LTP2024.288.9 in Submission LTP2024.288
LTP2024.275.4 in Submission LTP2024.275
LTP2024.201.12 in Submission LTP2024.201

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that fees and charges are permitted under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, section 13, when following a prescribed format, which is supplied from the Office of the Ombudsman Guidelines and the Ministry of Justice scale of fees.
- (b) **Authorises** fees and charges applicable under the new Policy related to charging for LGOIMA requests be considered and adopted in June.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.23. **Withdraw membership from Local Government New Zealand (LGNZ)**

LTP2024.138.6 in Submission LTP2024.138
LTP2024.255.2 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.332.10 in Submission LTP2024.332
LTP2024.304.11 in Submission LTP2024.304
LTP2024.303.8 in Submission LTP2024.303
LTP2024.201.25 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.17 in Submission LTP2024.99
LTP2024.16.11 in Submission LTP2024.16

That the Council:

- (a) **Recommends** Council remain a member of LGNZ for the 2024/25 year and review the membership mid- 2025.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.24. **Government Partnerships**

LTP2024.219.13 in Submission LTP2024.219

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** staff will continue to explore and evaluate opportunities for collaboration and partnership with central government, and where appropriate bring such opportunities to Council for consideration.
- (b) **Notes** that partnering with central government on the delivery or funding of public services and infrastructure often results in improved community outcomes, and cost sharing opportunities.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.25. **Drop-in sessions**

LTP2024.235.9 in Submission LTP2024.235

That the Council:

- (a) **Acknowledge** that any consultation drop-in sessions timings are not going to suit everyone in the community.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.26. **Ombudsman Recommendations**

LTP2024.98.12 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the Council continues to work in a transparent manner and operate its meetings in accordance with the Local Government Act 2002 and the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

Business Support

9.27. **Vehicle Fleet**

LTP2024.18.10 in Submission LTP2024.18

LTP2024.143.8 in Submission LTP2024.143

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** the Council is due to review the Vehicle Replacement Policy later in 2024 which will consider the sustainability and frequency of replacement/renewal and vehicles fit for purpose across a range of Council activities.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

9.28. **Value of consultants**

LTP2024.201.22 in Submission LTP2024.201

LTP2024.99.14 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Council staff have undertaken a review of the use of consultants across the organisation, and where appropriate re-prioritised and re-assigned relevant work to staff.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10. Strategy, Engagement and Economic Development

Climate Change and Sustainability

10.1. **Climate Change and Sustainability - Climate Reporting**

LTP2024.16.6 in Submission LTP2024.16

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.2. Climate Change and Sustainability - Carbon sequestration

LTP2024.16.7 in Submission LTP2024.16

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.3. Climate Change and Sustainability - Vehicle Fleet

LTP2024.16.8 in Submission LTP2024.16

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.4. Climate Change and Sustainability - Canterbury Climate Change Partnership Plan

LTP2024.16.9 in Submission LTP2024.16

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.5. Climate Change and Sustainability - 2022 Customer Satisfaction Survey

LTP2024.16.10 in Submission LTP2024.16

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.6. Climate Change and Sustainability - Council emissions target for 2030

LTP2024.94.8 in Submission LTP2024.94

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.7. Climate Change and Sustainability

LTP2024.143.7 in Submission LTP2024.143

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.8. Climate Change and Sustainability - Managed retreat policy

LTP2024.255.4 in Submission LTP2024.255

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.9. Climate Change and Sustainability
LTP2024.255.5 in Submission LTP2024.255

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.10. Climate Change and Sustainability
LTP2024.257.10 in Submission LTP2024.257

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.11. Climate Change and Sustainability - TKTT
LTP2024.259.2 in Submission LTP2024.259 by Te Kohaka o Tūhaitara Trust

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.12. Climate Change and Sustainability
LTP2024.293.15 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.15 in Submission LTP2024.294

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.13. Climate Change and Sustainability
LTP2024.267.3 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

(a) Notes that Council staff present the 2024-27 climate resilience work programme to the Waimakariri Youth Council for their consideration.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.14. Climate Change and Sustainability - Integrated Transport Strategy
LTP2024.178.8 in Submission LTP2024.178

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.15. Climate Change and Sustainability

LTP2024.98.13 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.16. Climate Change & Sustainability

LTP2024.124.10 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.17. Climate Change and Sustainability

LTP2024.280.9 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.18. Climate Change and Sustainability

LTP2024.124.7 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.19. Climate Change and Sustainability - Moving to electric vehicles

LTP2024.280.13 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

(a) Notes that the information be received.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

Emergency Management

10.20. Community engagement

LTP2024.25.8 in Submission LTP2024.25

That the Council:

(a) Accept the submission and respond to the final question regarding the airfield use as an emergency management centre.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

Others

10.21. Other issues

LTP2024.286.7 in Submission LTP2024.286
LTP2024.201.11 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.201.26 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.3 in Submission LTP2024.99
LTP2024.99.18 in Submission LTP2024.99

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.
- (b) **Advise** that the Local Government Act 2002 makes provision for Councils to take the Treaty of Waitangi into account.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.22. Hospital and/or 24 Hours Health Care Facility

LTP2024.14.8 in Submission LTP2024.14
LTP2024.73.7 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.
LTP2024.107.1 in Submission LTP2024.107

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that council will continue to advocate for improved healthcare services within the district on behalf of its communities, and that the provision of public healthcare and medical services are functions of central government and its health sector partners.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.23. New High School

LTP2024.73.13 in Submission LTP2024.73 by Pegasus Residents Group Inc.

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** that Council will continue to advocate to the Ministry of Education for new educational institutions within the district where appropriate and ensure opportunities to support and collaborate with the Ministry are pursued.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.24. Mixed Use Business Area (MUBA)

LTP2024.108.13 in Submission LTP2024.108 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.
- (b) **Acknowledge** the positive feedback regarding the work undertaken today.
- (c) **Advise** Council continues to work with interested parties on developments that add value to Kaiapoi.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.25. Woodend Pegasus Area Strategy funding

LTP2024.168.9 in Submission LTP2024.168 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
LTP2024.178.10 in Submission LTP2024.178

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.

- (b) **Advise** funding for the Woodend-Pegasus review has been retained.
- (c) **Advise** that funding to implement projects from the review should be requested once the review is complete and projects have been identified.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.26. **Nighttime Economy & Event Strategies**

LTP2024.265.3 in Submission LTP2024.265 by Hospitality New Zealand

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.
- (b) **Advise** that Council plans to undertake a review of events in the district shortly and will consider their suggestions as part of this.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.27. **Local products**

LTP2024.340.8 in Submission LTP2024.340

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.
- (b) **Advise** ENC has a current project to achieve this objective.

Councillors Comments:

No comments.

10.28. **Upholding Te Tiriti o Waitangi - Treaty of Waitangi**

LTP2024.267.9 in Submission LTP2024.267 by Waimakariri Youth Council

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.
- (b) **Advise** that the Local Government Act 2002 makes provision for Councils to take the Treaty of Waitangi into account.

Councillors Comments:

Mayor Gordon suggested and it was agreed that the wording of the response to the submitter be given some further consideration, with reference to the Treaty of Waitangi.

10.29. **Consultation Document**

LTP2024.235.10 in Submission LTP2024.235

LTP2024.227.6 in Submission LTP2024.227

That the Council:

- (a) **Notes** Consultation documents have to summarise the key activities and outcomes the Council wants to achieve for the community over the coming ten years. They cover a lot of ground while still needing to appeal and be accessible for a wide audience. Staff are reviewing the draft LTP process holistically to ensure any improvements can be identified and made in future documents, pages and surveys.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.30. **Race based policies**

LTP2024.98.5 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

- (a) **Receive** the submission.

(b) Advise that the Local Government Act 2002 makes provision for Councils to take the Treaty of Waitangi into account.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.31. UNs Sustainable Development Goals

LTP2024.143.6 in Submission LTP2024.143
LTP2024.255.8 in Submission LTP2024.255
LTP2024.293.13 in Submission LTP2024.293
LTP2024.294.13 in Submission LTP2024.294
LTP2024.201.13 in Submission LTP2024.201
LTP2024.99.5 in Submission LTP2024.99
LTP2024.98.11 in Submission LTP2024.98

That the Council:

(a) Receive the submission.

(b) Advise the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals are no longer in the LTP.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.32. World Economic Forum (WEF) and World Health Organisation (WHO)

LTP2024.9.9 in Submission LTP2024.9

That the Council:

(a) Notes that there are no references to the World Economic Forum, or World Health Organisation in the draft Long Term Plan.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.33. National Public Health Service Te Waipounamu

LTP2024.124.1 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

(a) Receive the submission.

(b) Thank the National Public Health Service for their comments.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.34. Greater Christchurch Partnership Housing Action Plan

LTP2024.124.14 in Submission LTP2024.124 by National Public Health Service, Te Waipounamu

That the Council:

(a) Notes Council staff are in the process of supporting the implementation of the Greater Christchurch .Housing Action Plans phase one actions, following Council's adoption of this plan.

The Council agrees that good quality affordable housing is essential to the well-being of all of those within our community. Council has adopted the Greater Christchurch Housing Action Plan, alongside it's Greater Christchurch partners, and begun implementing the phase one actions from the plan. Council also recently adopted its own Housing Policy and continues to deliver its Elderly Persons Housing service. Council will begin work on a Housing Strategy for the Waimakariri in 2024 and has plans to further develop it's elderly persons housing provision.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.35. Woodend Pegasus Area Strategy

LTP2024.258.1 in Submission LTP2024.258

That the Council:

(a) **Receive** the submission.

(b) **Advise** that the Woodend-Pegasus Area Strategy is now due for review and that a project will be commencing shortly in conjunction with the local Community Board.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

10.36. EV Charging points

LTP2024.280.14 in Submission LTP2024.280 by Spokes Canterbury

That the Council:

(a) **Receive** the submission.

(b) **Advise** Council works with stakeholders to help facilitate the installation of EV chargers but does not provide funding.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

11. Christchurch Stadium

11.1. Christchurch Stadium

LTP2024.23.6 in Submission LTP2024.23

LTP2024.82.9 in Submission LTP2024.82

That the Council:

(a) **Notes** that a request for operational funding support for the Te Kaha stadium has been received by Waimakariri District Council.

(b) **Approves** staff organising a briefing with Council to further discuss this matter noting that public consultation would be required before any decision about possible future funding were made.

Councillors Comments:

No comment.

6. QUESTIONS

There were no questions.

7. URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS

There was no urgent general business.

8. MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

In accordance with section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act (or sections 6, 7 or 9 of the Official Information Act 1982, as the case may be), it is moved:

Moved Mayor Gordon

Seconded Councillor Goldsworthy

1. That the public is excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

Item No.	Subject	Reason for excluding the public	Grounds for excluding the public-
8.1	Increase of Budget Related to Insurance Renewal	Good reason to withhold exists under section 7	To carry on without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations) LGOIMA Section7(2)(i).

CARRIED

The public excluded portion of the meeting was conducted from 2.20pm until 2.45pm.


9. NEXT MEETING

The Council is scheduled to meet at 1pm on Tuesday 25 June 2024 to adopt the 2024/34 Long Term Plan.

The next ordinary monthly meeting of the Council is scheduled for **Tuesday 4 June 2024**, commencing at 1pm, to be held in the Council Chamber, Rangiora Service Centre, 215 High Street, Rangiora.

There being no further business, the meeting concluded at 5.22pm, on Tuesday 21 May 2024.

CONFIRMED



Chairperson
Mayor Dan Gordon

25 June 2024
Date