

WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL

MEMO

FILE NO AND TRIM NO: DDS-06-10-02-05-09-01 / DDS-14-08 / 240201014595

DATE: 1 February 2024

MEMO TO: District Plan Review Hearings Panel

FROM: Neil Sheerin, Senior Policy Planner

SUBJECT: Hearing Stream 10A - Christchurch International Airport Ltd - Airport Noise Contours and Bird Strike

The Hearing Panel has questioned why my s42A report relating to the above did not contain an Appendix A showing recommended amendments to provisions in the Proposed Plan as notified. (Appendix A in my s42A report contains 'Recommended responses to submissions and further submissions'.)

The Executive Summary of my s42A report states (paragraph 9):

“14 [of the 118 CIAL submission points considered in my report] are recommended to be accepted in part. These tend to relate to provisions in the Proposed Plan which CIAL wish to see retained as notified, or which involve only minor amendment to notified provisions....”

Of these submission points, there are only two instances in which my recommendations propose amendments to provisions in the Proposed Plan as notified. These are in response to CIAL submission points 254.63 (concerning NOISE-MD2) and 254.64 (concerning NOISE-MD3). They are shown in Appendix A in my s42A report, and as follows:

NOISE-MD2	Management of noise effects <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The extent to which effects, as a result of the sensitivity of activities to current and future noise generation from aircraft, are proposed to be managed, including avoidance of any effect that may limit the operation, maintenance or upgrade of Christchurch International Airport.2. The extent and effectiveness of any indoor noise insulation.3. The extent to which a reduced level of acoustic insulation may be acceptable due to mitigation of adverse noise effects through other means, e.g. screening by other structures, or distance from noise sources.4. The ability to meet acoustic insulation requirements through alternative technologies or materials.5. The extent to which the provision of a report from an acoustic specialist provides evidence that the level of acoustic insulation ensures the amenity values, health and safety of present and future residents or occupiers.6. The reasonableness and effectiveness of any legal instrument to be registered against the title that is binding on the owner and the owner's successors in title, containing a 'no complaint' clause relating to the noise of aircraft using Christchurch International Airport.
NOISE-MD3	Acoustic insulation <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The extent to which a reduced level of acoustic insulation may be acceptable due to mitigation of adverse noise effects through other means.

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">2. The ability to provide effective acoustic insulation through alternative technologies or materials.3. The extent to which the provision of a report from an acoustic specialist which provides evidence that the level of acoustic insulation ensures the amenity values, health and safety of present and future occupants or residents of the site.4. Any potential reverse sensitivity effects on other activities that may arise from residential accommodation or other noise sensitive activities that do not meet acoustic insulation requirements necessary to mitigate any adverse effects of noise.5. The location of any nearby business or infrastructure activities and the degree to which any sensitive activities may be adversely affected.
--	---