
PRESENT:


IN ATTENDANCE:

J Palmer (Chief Executive), J Millward (Manager Finance and Business Support), G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading), C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation), C Sargison (Manager Special Projects), C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager), G Hutchison (Wastewater Asset Manager), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager), O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager), K LaValley (Project Delivery Manager), K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager) R Hawthorne (Property Manager), G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager), P Christensen (Finance Manager), H Street (Corporate Planner), S Nichols (Governance Manager), A Smith (Governance Coordinator) and E Stubbs (Governance Support Officer).

Day One (28 May 2019)
Meeting adjourned at 10.13am for refreshments and reconvened at 10.31am.
Meeting adjourned at 12.12pm for lunch and reconvened at 12.45pm.
Meeting adjourned at 3.42pm for refreshments and reconvened at 4.05pm.
Meeting adjourned at 4.50pm on Tuesday 28 May, and reconvened at 9.00am on Wednesday 29 May 2019.

Day Two (29 May 2019)
Meeting adjourned at 10.20am for refreshments and reconvened at 10.40am.

1. APOLOGIES

Nil.

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Councillor Brine declared he was coach of the Southbrook Premier Cricket team. He was not on the Committee. Previously for twelve years he had been a Council appointee of Southbrook Sports Club and during that period had acted as Chair. Mayor Ayers noted he had also been a Council appointee to the Southbrook Sports Club in the past.

Councillor Barnett declared a conflict of interest with the Cust Community Network and the group’s submission on Cust speed limits.

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1 Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Thursday 9 May 2019 to hear submissions to the Draft 2019-2020 Annual Plan

Mayor Ayers raised a matter received from the Pegasus Residents Association related to minutes on page 37 of the agenda. Minor amendments were noted.

Moved: Mayor Ayers    Seconded: Councillor Felstead
THAT the Council:

(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Thursday 9 May 2019, with the acknowledgement of a minor edit to the submission of the Pegasus Residents Association.

CARRIED

4. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

Nil.

5. OVERVIEW

J Palmer and J Millward provided an overview of the financial aspects related to the Annual Plan. J Millward spoke to a PowerPoint (Trim 190602077878). Five key topics of the draft Annual Plan were reflected on being; ultra-violet treatment across all water supplies, implementation of the Water Zone ZIPIA and biodiversity initiatives, investigation into park and ride as part of the regional public transport plan, proposed development of tennis courts at Coldstream Road and the Council increasing local leadership in the area of sustainability.

J Millward discussed the cost drivers and total expenditure of the different areas of the Council as a result of the feedback from the Annual Plan submissions, which amounted to 0.3% increase from the draft Annual Plan. The impact of district growth and where the rate changes are occurring was also outlined. Overall, the average district rates increase was 4.8%. The Council capital programme and debt forecast for the next ten years was discussed, as was the Council grading from Standard and Poor’s. The upcoming introduction of the 3bin rubbish collection system had an impact on rates over the next two years and the importance of ongoing messages to the community would continue.

General discussion and questions occurred related to financial impacts and understanding of the Council borrowing practices and the ongoing prudent financial management. Councillors indicated general acceptance of the financial impacts going forward as a result of the capital projects, ongoing requirements for maintenance and earthquake related improvements. The impact of growth and community expectations, particularly for recreation facilities, differences between urban and rural demands for infrastructure and impacts of future government regulations, particularly water related were commented on.

6. REPORTS

6.1 Draft Annual Plan 2019-2020 Special Consultative Procedure – H Street (Corporate Planner)

Moved: Mayor Ayers Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report LTC-03-15-02/TRIM Number 190521071264

(b) Receives all 129 submissions and associated submission points raised by submitters, which are included in the ‘Deliberations Pack’ previously distributed to Councillors.

CARRIED
6.2 Rating for Drinking Water Supply UV Disinfection – Summary of Submissions – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)

C Roxburgh commented on feedback received in relation to the two options. It was noted that Federated Farmers and the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board supported option B. Three community boards supported option A.

Staff commented on the overall feedback received and different ways of interpretation acknowledging different views on which is fairer overall. The nitrate related aspects were noted but are a different matter for consideration at another time. Some feedback suggested a deferral and staff explained the impacts, including funding, consultation and construction to ensure the appropriate process was followed. Staff advised that both options have benefits and that the decision lies with the Council.

Councillor Atkinson enquired if it was known what consultation each Community Board undertook to reach their conclusions. J Palmer stated he was unsure, and was not aware of specific consultation with the community, but members individually interact with members of the community.

Councillor Williams asked if there was a breakdown of addresses/areas of submitters for each option. Staff advised they have the information of submitters, however for privacy reasons it is not included within the public documentation. Staff also advised there has not been an analysis done on what part of the district supported which option.

Councillor Barnett referred to recommendation (g) and commented about the potential timing of new water standards, asking why is there a need to put $5 in the 2019/20 year rather than wait until the government had confirmed details. Staff advised some schemes had already completed the UV scheme within district.

Councillor Stewart enquired if there was potential confusion from ratepayers understanding comments on the district wide water rate, and whether submitters thought this matter is wider than UV, given other proposed projects. Staff referred to agenda pg 51, advising each scheme has different rates, and if it was a uniform charge you would see the same numbers for each year, therefore staff did not believe there was confusion for the ratepayers.

Moved: Mayor Ayers    Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190426060012.

(b) Notes that as part of the consultation on the Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan, residents were invited to make submissions on a proposal to aggregate the costs of UV disinfection treatment for water supplies into one account and establish a new uniform annual charge for each property connected to a Council water supply (presented as Option A), with the alternative option of continuing to rate each scheme individually for UV costs being presented as Option B.

(c) Notes that 63 individuals or groups made submissions on this topic, with 40 (63%) being in favour of Option A and 23 (37%) in favour of Option B.
(d) **Notes** that groups that submitted in favour of Option A included the Pegasus Residents’ Group, The Woodend-Sefton Community Board, the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board, the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and the Canterbury District Health Board.

(e) **Notes** that groups that submitted in favour of Option B included the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board and Federated Farmers of New Zealand.

(f) **Resolves** to adopt the rating proposal presented as ‘Option A’ in the Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan to aggregate the costs of UV disinfection treatment for water supplies into one account and establish a new uniform annual charge for each property connected to a Council water supply.

(g) **Notes** that this will result in current costs associated with UV upgrade projects (and in the case of Poyntzs Road a connection to another scheme as an alternative to a UV upgrade project) being taken out of each individual water supply account, and replaced by a new uniform annual charge starting at $5 per connection per year in 2019/20 to $34 per connection per year in 2028/29.

(h) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for their information.

A Division was called by Councillor Stewart

For: Mayor Ayers, Councillors Felstead, Barnett, Blackie, Doody, Brine Gordon and Meyer.
Against: Councillors Atkinson, Stewart and Williams.
8 : 3

Mayor Ayers commented that the consultation document had a clear preference for option A and that there were low number of submissions contrary to that, with people responding accordingly to the Council preferred option. He believed it was clear about the matter of UV. Mayor Ayers commented that no Community Board went out specifically on this or any other matter, as the Council was consulting district wide. Community Boards connect with their local area which is their role he stated.

Councillor Atkinson, stated he would be voting against the motion as he believed it is financially not a good move and if the Council is going to do this it needs to be a water rate in general. Councillor Atkinson remarked that the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board is are there to represent their community and they did talk with their community informally. He reflected on past consultations and the volume of response (on any consultation), wishing that more people would respond. Councillor Atkinson believed submissions are to be taken into account, but they are not a defining factor and the Council need to make sensible decisions.

Councillor Gordon agreed with sentiments expressed, advising he had attended many drop-in sessions and listened to the community feedback. He remarked he had observed that people were clear and had a high degree of understanding of the matter. Councillor Gordon believed it was a difficult topic and can understand both viewpoints; with some substituting others and affordability for small community areas. Councillor Gordon believed the options proposed are a pragmatic way forward and can be applied to other schemes in the future. He held some sympathy of differing views, but on balance Councillor Gordon believed this proposal is the right way forward.
Councillor Stewart stated she would vote against option A, as she was supportive of a district wide water rate, and not in favour of a piecemeal proposal which she believed did not really deal with the issue and bring matters in line with all water supplies. Councillor Stewart believed pragmatism was driving this, and the aspect of fairness to all was important, remarking that she believes people do not understand it is just about UV. Councillor Stewart remarked that unfortunately costs should fall where they fall until the district have considered the district matters and addressed the issue in a comprehensive fashion.

Councillor Williams stated he did not believe the public were clear on the matter, remarking on some perceptions, possibilities pending government changes, and protection of reticulation systems, with some areas such as Rangiora possibly not requiring UV treatment. Councillor Williams remarked he did not believe the communication is clear and there may be a need to chlorinate. Councillor Williams commented that to be fair there was a need to debate the matter in 2021 and then re-evaluate. Councillor Williams stated he did not think this is fair on the biggest areas such as Kaiapoi and Rangiora.

Councillor Barnett, remarked that like others, she had been conflicted on the situation, and reflected on the need for safe drinking water following the Havelock North incident. Councillor Barnett saw this proposal as a step in the right direction. Councillor Barnett remarked she was heartened by comments of submitters, more than the numbers. She commented on areas with high rate rises that also have water issues and noted two submitters' comments in particular, with the Canterbury District Health Board stating safe drinking water should be a New Zealand right and Councillor Barnett remarked she did not want to go for mandatory chlorination systems, believing UV was a logical step. People talked about equity and fairness across the district with another submission that spoke to Councillor Barnett being the Pegasus Residents Group, and they commented on the impact to Pegasus residents was minimal but safe drinking water is important for everyone. Councillor Barnett stated we need to look at ourselves as a district.

Councillor Brine reflected on discussions to date and the importance of health and safety issues and the information being provided up front. He was supportive of the idea and proposals.

Mayor Ayers, in his right of reply, remarked one advantage is to share costs across the district, reflecting on the earthquake impacts for areas such as Pines/Kairaki who would struggle without district support. He reminded Councillors that the past scheme relied on a pool of ratepayers. He commented on everyone contributing to various halls to the benefit of many. Mayor Ayers suggested the proposal is common to every water supply in the district and reminded Councillors what UV treatment does; zapping everything at source, which provides a high level of purity for water that chlorine will not do. Mayor Ayers reflected on Councillor Stewart's comment that it was a piecemeal approach and should be reviewed in 2021; however he stated none of the elected members know what the Council will decide in 2021. He reflected on past exercises to combine all three waters together, and not achieving a single solution and leaving it until 2021. Mayor Ayers stated he would not be any more confident in 2021 than in 2018 of the outcome, however this proposal spreads the cost across the district. Mayor Ayers stated it is a test of a democracy as to how you treat your minorities and that is how this Council has considered matters in past.
6.3 Water Supply – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)

C Roxburgh spoke briefly to the report.

Councillor Stewart remarked on the completion date, the proposed Regulator and timeframes for implementation under a potentially new drinking water scheme, enquiring what happens to the construction timeframe when the government Regulator has not clarified the detail. J Palmer advised that through the Annual Plan Deliberations next year the matter will be reviewed and the Council would respond to any budget changes necessary at the time.

Moved: Councillor Barnett  Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190424059253.

(b) Approves deferring $500,000 of the Rangiora UV treatment installation budget from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade.

(c) Approves deferring $700,000 of the Kaiapoi UV treatment installation budget from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade.

(d) Approves deferring $200,000 of the Domain Road UV Treatment Installation Budget – Oxford Urban Share from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade.

(e) Approves deferring $70,000 of the Domain Road UV Treatment Installation Budget – Oxford Rural No.2 Share from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade.

(f) Approves deferring $100,000 of the Cust UV treatment installation budget from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade.

(g) Approves deferring $100,000 of the Cust Headworks Renewal budget from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade, which is part of the wider Cust UV installation project.

(h) Approves deferring $200,000 of the Pegasus UV treatment installation budget from 2019-20 to 2020-21, to allow consideration of the upcoming draft changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand prior to committing to construction of the proposed upgrade.
(i) **Notes** that there is still a degree of uncertainty regarding the timing of the upcoming changes to requirements, and in the event that the drivers for these projects require the upgrades to progress faster than allowed for with the proposed changes to the budgets, staff will bring a further report to Council to request some or all of these budgets to be brought forward.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Barnett remarked that this is a sensible move and as there is not confirmed detail about a government Regulator the Council will continue to monitor and review the situation.

Councillor Williams stated it is important that the Council is ready for any government changes, therefore he was supportive of the motion believing it to be a sensible approach.

Councillor Stewart stated she was supportive of the motion.

6.4 **Wastewater – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submissions to the Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan – G Hutchison (Wastewater Asset Manager)**

G Hutchinson commented on Fernside to align with planned works for next year and avoid carryover. There would be briefings to the Utilities and Roading Committee in June, with a report to follow in August.

Councillor Stewart enquired if the matter included fencing. Staff confirmed that fencing was included, and the project would be tendered. A separate report would be considered at a later date by the Council.

G Hutchinson commented on Cridland Street, providing an update on the work programme. G Cleary advised the benefit of the capital works programme revision and alignment of project spend and benefits.

Councillor Gordon enquired of the Rangiora treatment plant, and if there were any concerns with new aspects including remediation, power costs and pumps. Staff commented on power consumption and a business case would see a report brought to the August meeting of the Utilities and Roading Committee. Staff advised minor issues had mostly been resolved and all matters finalised next year.

G Hutchinson advised a request for $215,000 to ensure secure fencing mitigating an incident that occurred in Gore where a young child drowned in a waste water plant.

Councillor Barnett queried recommendation (d), noting many Waimakariri Council properties have deer fence, and in the Gore incident it was seven lines of wire. G Hutchinson acknowledged deer fence is climbable and recommended getting a smaller security gauge so you cannot get a foothold. G Cleary spoke of a district incident in 2018. Councillor Barnett queried who were the Council trying to keep out. Staff advised barbed wire along the top of fencing deters most people. Deer fencing can be climbed or people can gain access underneath the fence. The proposed wire is safer, with staff commenting on various aspects.

Councillor Stewart enquired if the use of strainer posts are proposed. Staff confirmed that would be the case, with additional posts and strainers to aid security.
THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 190416056516.

(b) **Approves** the request to defer budget for the Fernside connection to EDSS Project as set out in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme - Project</th>
<th>Budget Type</th>
<th>Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fernside Connection to Eastern Districts Sewer Scheme</td>
<td>Solely Growth</td>
<td>$169,225 (2019/20)</td>
<td>$40,000 (2019/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$406,013 (2021/22)</td>
<td>$406,013 (2021/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$73,075 (2019/20)</td>
<td>$50,000 (2019/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$135,338 (2020/21)</td>
<td>$158,413 (2020/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$135,337 (2021/22)</td>
<td>$135,337 (2021/22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) **Approves** the request to defer budget for the Cridland Street Sewer Repairs Project as set out in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme - Project</th>
<th>Budget Type</th>
<th>Draft 2019/20 Annual Plan</th>
<th>Revised Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cridland Street Sewer Repairs</td>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$500,000 (2019/20)</td>
<td>$100,000 (2019/20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000 (2020/21)</td>
<td>$900,000 (2020/21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(d) **Approves** a new renewal budget of $215,000 in 2019/20 for improving the standard of fencing of the districts WWTP’s.

(e) **Notes** that $215,000 is not budgeted for in 2019/20 that will need to be funded from renewals.

(f) **Notes** that this new budget will increase the Eastern Sewer rate by approximately 0.16% (0.26% if the Septage facility design project is not deferred)

(g) **Approves** that the deferring of the Septage facility design budget $100,000 to 2020/21.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon remarked that he thought it was a good report and looked forward to subsequent updates.

Councillor Stewart welcomed the report and commended staff for tackling the level of carry-overs and reviewing the capital works programme.

Mayor Ayers commented on why we keep people out of areas; which reduces the risk of drowning, and is security for all.

6.5 **Mandeville Drainage – Summary of Submissions – K Simpson (3Waters Manager)**

K Simpson summarised three submissions; Mandeville Residents Association (MRA), Ohoka Drainage Advisory Group (ODAG) and Oxford-Ohoka Community Board (OOCB). A subsequent recommendation is to bring forward the budget and reasons why were outlined. There would be a further report for the 2020/21 Annual Plan. Staff advised that there had been good engagement with the MRA and this report presents a high level of information.
Moved: Councillor Atkinson    Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council

(a) **Receives** report No. 190517069694.
(b) **Notes** that a recommendation is included in the Drainage & Stockwater – Utilities & Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan report on bringing forward the investigation budget from 20/21 to 19/20 for the Mandeville Resurgence Channel Diversion / Upgrade project.
(c) **Notes** that this change will have no impact on rates.
(d) **Circulates** this report to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson stated he believed this was a sensible way forward.

Councillor Gordon concurred with previous comments and acknowledged the work of staff and ongoing interest of the MRA to keep the Council briefed on matters.

Councillor Williams believed the proposal was sensible and staff had provided a good report, commenting on the importance of drainage matters in that area of the district.

Councillor Felstead stated drainage has occupied the minds of the OOCB for some time, and they would be pleased to see the investigation being brought forward in the planning programme.

6.6 **Drainage and Stockwater – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan – O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager) and K Simpson (3 Waters Manager)**

Councillor Barnett asked where the Runanga submission was in relation to recently raised matters. J Palmer advised the matters would be reviewed after the lunch break.

K Simpson acknowledged drainage and stock water have the biggest changes, primarily due to a review of the work programme and achievability. He referred to pg 113, outlining changes and movement of budgets and spoke of individual projects. K Simpson commented on the carry-over projects and steps taken for delivery completion.

Councillor Barnett referred to Lehmans Road, and sought clarification on rural/urban boundaries. K Simpson advised what was funded from the district wide drainage rate as it relates to managing rural flows, affecting an urban area. In a supplementary question Councillor Barnett queried if Development Contributions (DC) were involved. G Cleary advised no, it was not captured from the DCs and reasoning why, including it is not one property benefiting as it has district wide implications.

Councillor Atkinson queried Parham Drain, deferring work and the ability to handle additional water flows and whether its capacity is appropriate. K Simpson advised
the request related to changing budgets rather than changing work. There has been much work undertaken in the area and this project was always identified as a long term solution. An internal Project Control Group (PCG) had been established as multiple Council units were effected and were contributing to the solution.

Councillor Doody referred to Wiltshire and flooding around the rest home, enquiring if this project would assist past issues. Staff confirmed it would, and also another project in Janelle Place and White Street will assist, reducing the risks. It is designed for an increased level of service through larger pipes reducing blockages etc, however storms can breach the design capacity however staff acknowledge they are providing a substantial level of service.

Moved: Councillor Gordon   Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council

(a) **Receives** report No. 190418057971.  
*Lehmans Rd Drain Upgrade Stage 1*

(b) **Approves** an additional capital works (LOS) budget of $125,000 in 19/20 for the Lehmans Rd Drain Upgrade Stage 1 project under the District Drainage account.

(c) **Notes** that this with give a total budget of $250,000 for Lehmans Rd Drain Upgrade Stage 1 project, when combined with the existing budget of $125,000 in the 18/19 financial year proposed to be carried forward to the 19/20 financial year.

(d) **Notes** that the additional $125,000 budget would increase the District Drainage rate by approximately 1.8% or $0.38 per property.

**North Brook - Janelle to White**

(e) **Approves** transferring the capital works (LOS) budget of $280,000 in 19/20 to 20/21 for North Brook – Janelle Place to White St under the Rangiora urban drainage account.

(f) **Notes** that this will give a total budget of $560,000, with $150,000 in 19/20 and $410,000 in 20/21.

*Wiltshire / Green Pipework Upgrade.*

(g) **Approves** an additional capital works (LOS) budget of $475,000 in the 21/22 financial year for the Wiltshire / Green Pipework Upgrade Stage 1 to be funded from the Rangiora urban drainage account.

(h) **Notes** that this will give a total budget of $975,000 for the Wiltshire / Green Pipework Upgrade Stage 1 project.

(i) **Notes** that the additional $475,000 budget would increase the Rangiora Drainage rate by approximately 2.5% or $4.89 per property.

(j) **Notes** that the main reason for the increase in budget is to provide capacity within the primary system for the 1 in 50 year level of service from the end of Janelle Place and Wiltshire Court, as there is no secondary flow from these cul-de-sacs.

(k) **Approves** the deferral of the Stage 2 works by three financial years to commence in the 24/25 financial year as follows:

- Investigation and consultation in 24/25 - $72,000
- Design and consenting/landowner approval in 25/26 - $405,000
Pentecost Road Stormwater Main and SMA

(l) **Approves** the reallocation of $378,000 from the Pentecost Road Stormwater Main – LOS budget, $102,000 from the Pentecost Road Stormwater Main – Growth budget, $180,000 from the Pentecost Road SMA – LOS budget and $45,000 from the Pentecost Road SMA – Growth, from the 19/20 financial year to the 20/21 financial year.

(m) **Notes** that the remaining budgets in the 19/20 financial year, combined with the planned carryover from 18/19 to 19/20, give a budget of $445,000 in the 19/20 financial year for the construction of the stormwater main adjacent to the new Rangiora West School and the consenting and detailed design of the remaining works.

(n) **Notes** that the total budget of $1,150,000 for the works remains unchanged and hence has no impact on rates.

(o) **Notes** that staff will bring a report to Council if additional budget is required once the concept design is complete.

Pegasus – Stormwater Minor Improvements.

(p) **Approves** the removal of the Pegasus Minor Stormwater Improvements budget of $10,000 per year.

(q) **Notes** that no works have been identified for this budget over the last two financial years.

Kaiapoi West / Silverstream - Pond Areas 1&2; Land Purchase

(r) **Approves** the reallocation of $206,250 from 19/20 to 20/21 and $1,856,250 from 20/21 to 21/22 for the Kaiapoi West / Silverstream Pond Areas 1&2; Land Purchase project.

(s) **Notes** that there will be no impact on development contributions as a result of this budget reallocation.

Parnhams Drain Catchment Improvements.

(t) **Approves** the transfer of $100,000 from 20/21 to 19/20 for Parnhams Drain Catchment Improvement project.

(u) **Notes** that if approved the budgets for Parnhams Drain Catchment Improvement will be $300,000 in 19/20 (was $200,000), $700,000 in 20/21 (was $800,000) and $3,000,000 in 21/22 (unchanged).

Feldwick Drain Catchment Improvements.

(v) **Approves** the reallocation of $200,000 from 19/20 to 20/21 and $3,600,000 from 20/21 to 21/22 for Feldwick Drain Catchment Improvement project.

(w) **Notes** that if approved the budgets for Feldwick Drain Catchment Improvement will be $200,000 in 19/20 (was $400,000), $200,000 in 20/21 (was $3,600,000) and $3,600,000 in 21/22 (was $0).

Mandeville Resurgence Channel Diversion / Upgrade.

(x) **Approves** bringing forward the investigation budget for the Mandeville Resurgence Channel Diversion / Upgrade project of $20,000 in 20/21 by one financial year to 19/20.

(y) **Notes** that the budgets for design and consent of $100,000 and construction of $550,000 will remain in 21/22 and 22/23 respectively.

(z) **Notes** that this change will have no impact on rates.

(aa) **Notes** that staff will report back to Council on any further changes to budgets for this project as part of the proposed draft 20/21 Annual Plan in January 2020.
Stockwater – Syphon Renewals

(bb) Approves a new capital works (renewals) budget of $60,000 in 19/20 for the renewal of stockwater syphons under the Stockwater account.

(cc) Notes that as this work is funded from the stockwater renewals fund, there will be no impact on rates.

Stockwater – Operational budgets

(dd) Approves an increase to the stockwater operating budget of $22,860, comprising of a $3,500 increase in the asset management (operations) budget, $2,000 increase in the public education budget and a $17,360 increase in the maintenance budget.

(ee) Notes that as this additional operational budget will increase the stockwater race rates by approximately 6.4% $16.07 per property on average.

Carryovers from 18/19

(ff) Approves the additional carryover of the following budgets from the 18/19 financial year to the 19/20 financial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>18/19 Budget</th>
<th>Carryover to 19/20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100551</td>
<td>North Brook Enhancement Work</td>
<td>$184,400</td>
<td>$184,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101266</td>
<td>Lehmans Rd Drain Upgrade Stage 1</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100645</td>
<td>Rangiora West / Townsend Fields - Land Purchase Pond 5, Culverts, Swales</td>
<td>$2,280,000</td>
<td>$855,000 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100848</td>
<td>North Brook - Janelle to White</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$280,000 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100849</td>
<td>Wiltshire / Janelle to White</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101262</td>
<td>Flaxton Road Urbanisation</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100631</td>
<td>Parnhams Drain PS Upgrade &amp; Access</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100561</td>
<td>Oxford Drainage Upgrade Non Scheduled</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101012</td>
<td>Mill Road SMAs</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>$350,000 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Includes $565,000 carryover to 19/20 and $290,000 carryover to 20/21.

(2) Includes $100,000 carryover to 19/20 and $180,000 carryover to 20/21.

(3) Includes $50,000 carryover to 19/20 and $300,000 carryover to 20/21.

(gg) Notes that the Tuahiwi Stream Capacity Upgrades and the Kaiapoi – Pump Station Renewals projects no longer need to be carried forward to the 19/20 financial year.

(hh) Notes that the McIntosh Drain Upgrade, Pentecost Rd Stormwater Main and Pentecost Road SMA projects are planned carryovers from the 18/19 financial year already approved as part of the LTP.
Councillor Gordon thanked staff for work undertaken and managing community expectations. He commented on wanting to see drainage systems perform properly.

6.7 Waimakariri Water Zone – Recommendation for Option A – S Allen (Water Environment Advisor)

S Allen reflected on earlier discussions and decisions of the Council, and the recommendations that came from the Water Zone Committee. S Allen commented on the differences of options presented and the budget split between the two departments. Mention was also made in relation to the Rating and Finance Policy.

 Moved: Councillor Stewart  Seconded: Councillor Barnett

THAT the Council

(a) Receives report No. 190501061992.
(b) Adopts Option A for the Waimakariri Water Zone – Zone Implementation Programme Addendum, as presented in the Draft Annual Plan. Option A is for Council to play a coordination role, in addition to the role of educator and advisor, and also the creation of a Biodiversity Officer role.
(c) Notes that Option A was supported by 66% of submitters to the Draft Annual Plan for the Waimakariri Water Zone topic.
(d) Approves the funding of Option A: Implementation of the ZIPA with a budget of $205,000 for 19/20 and 20/21 from the general rate, for inclusion in the Annual Plan. This comprises of $150,000 under the District Drainage account, and $55,000 from the Greenspace Operations account.
(e) Notes $100,000 per annum for 19/20 and 20/21 for ZIPA Implementation has already been approved from the District Drainage account in the Long Term Plan, which is funded from the general rate.
(f) Notes Option A funding would bring the total ZIPA implementation funding to a total of $305,000 per year for the 2019-21 financial years. Option A funding includes primarily creation of the role of a WDC Biodiversity Officer, who would have a dual role i.e. also implementation of biodiversity regulations under the WDC District Plan.
(g) Notes that the increase in the general rate is calculated to be $9.48 per average rateable property for Option A.
(h) Notes that the Revenue and Financing Policy will be updated to include a new activity of ‘Land and Water (Waimakariri Water Zone)’ under the general rate.
(i) Circulates this report to Community Boards and Waimakariri Water Zone Committee.

CARRIED

Councillor Stewart, stated she was supportive, and this resolution makes it clear that the Council are taking sensible steps forward to address the ZIPA and matters within Council related to biodiversity and the dual role across the Water Zone Committee recommendations. Councillor Stewart was encouraging and
stated reporting back is important, along with regulatory responsibilities and acknowledgement of bio-diversity being a key responsibility. Councillor Stewart commended the report positively.

Councillor Barnett remarked she was not in favour of setting policy without a budget, acknowledging this was ten years in the making. Councillor Barnett commented that the environment is everyone’s responsibility; clean water and improving natural biodiversity is strongly supported by the community and this is a way of not overtaxing the ratepayers.

Councillor Atkinson acknowledged this matter crosses over with Environment Canterbury (Ecan) and this is where the Council work with neighbours and work together for future schemes. Councillor Atkinson believed this is a very positive result.

Councillor Doody remarked on being impressed with the educator/advisor aspect of the role. Councillor Doody stated she would rather see this first then the coordinator role but acknowledged submissions and comments.

In her right of reply, Councillor Stewart reflected on the overlap of responsibilities acknowledging Ecan as having responsibility in this space but territorial authorities do have legislative responsibilities also.

6.8 Solid Waste Activity – Utilities and Roading Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

G Cleary and K Waghorn presented this report. K Waghorn noted the key point of the submission is that there has been a larger uptake of bins than what was anticipated when the budget was being prepared, with 3,100 more bins requested. This has resulted in a change in the overall collection costs in the income for these extra bins but there will be an overall reduction in the amount of bins that will need to be delivered during the 2019/20 year because of the increase numbers delivered now. Each bin costs $53 to deliver. This will equate to an increase in rates and a surplus to the budget, but it is recommended that there be no changes as there is considerable uncertainty on the number of bins required the following year and the weight of rubbish and organics going into the bins.

Councillor Barnett remarked she has received feedback from residents who are renting properties, who would like the bins but the landlords have said no. Councillor Barnett asked is there any recourse for the tenants in these cases, for them to be able to have a bin service through the Council. K Waghorn advised unfortunately this is not the case.

Councillor Doody asked about the Mandeville Sports Ground, and asked will there be any further consideration in the future for sports fields to have extra rubbish bins. K Waghorn acknowledged that the Council has already agreed for schools to have extra bins for collection, and it would need to be a Council decision for sports grounds, and if agreed extra collections would be added in the rates.

Moved: Councillor Brine Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190424059253.

(b) Approves amending the budget allowances in the Collection Account as follows:
i) Kerbside Collection Rates (Opt-In) income increase from $1,427,261 to $1,742,565

ii) Wheelie Bin Fees income increase from $0 to $85,820

iii) Kerbside Collection management & promotions expenditure from $135,580 to $146,840

iv) Disposal Charges Refuse expenditure increase from $655,500 to $781,170

v) Disposal Charges Organics expenditure increase from $241,590 to $283,560

vi) Landfill Levy expenditure increase from $29,585 to $35,257

vii) Contract Payments – Refuse Collection expenditure decrease from $479,236 to $412,752

viii) Contract Payments – Recycling expenditure decrease from $414,245 to $411,316

ix) Contract Payments – Organics expenditure decrease from $508,537 to $464,885

(c) Approves amending the budget allowances in the Disposal Account as follows:

i) Southbrook Gate Sales income decrease from $2,858,585 to $2,674,135

ii) Refuse Collection Charges income increase from $655,500 to $781,170

iii) Refuse to Landfill expenditure decrease from $1,660,657 to $1,646,572

iv) Green Waste expenditure decrease from $246,460 to $214,949

v) Transportation expenditure decrease from $558,365 to $547,060

(d) Approves the new charges for the delivery of additional replacement bins when a set of bins is being replaced at a property at one time, to be recovered through the Council’s Debtors system, as follows:

i) Delivery of an additional 80 litre bin $89.60

ii) Delivery of an additional 140 litre bin $98.40

iii) Delivery of an additional 240 litre bin $108.00

(e) Notes that the remaining fees and charges and the targeted rates approved for the draft Annual Plan budgets will not be adjusted.

(f) Notes that the Collection Account is funded by targeted rates, refuse bag sales and wheelie bin fees.

(g) Notes that the Disposal Account is funded by general rates and gate fees, and that the gate fees for refuse and greenwaste disposal are set at a level that fully funds the handling, transport and disposal costs of these materials.

(h) Notes that the updated bin numbers, and the effect on costs and revenue, were not available at the time the draft Annual Plan Budgets were prepared.

(i) Circulate report No. 190424059253 to the Community Boards.

CARRIED

Councillors Brine and Doody both commented favourably with the increased uptake of the bin collection options.
6.9 **Roading Staff Submission – Topito Road Upgrade – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)**

G Cleary and J McBride presented this report. The report advises feedback that has been received from the Mana Waitaha Trust agent regarding roading financial contributions applied to a resource consent for cluster housing on Topito Road. Previously the Council has been advised of other utility services for this development and it is now recommended that with the development and increased volume of traffic on Topito Road, that the current width of three metres be upgraded to five metres. It is recommended that this road upgrade be funded 70% by the Council with the remaining 30% share provided by the developers. It is understood that the applicant has lodged an objection to this and considers that the approach is not in line with the Memorandum of Understanding. J Palmer provided clarification on this objection, which has suggested that the Council has not engaged with the Runanga on this matter.

Could an alternative approach in this situation, be a targeted development contribution on any other developments on Topito Road in the future. J McBride said this option had been given consideration, but noted that it is difficult to determine what cluster housing may occur in an area. There are potentially ten properties on Topito Road that could be developed in the future, but it is unknown whether these developments would ever happen. It was noted that any future developments would have the benefit of the road being upgraded, and financial contributions for roading cannot be retrospectively recovered.

Moved: Councillor Brine  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report TRIM number 190501062382;

(b) **Advises** the Runanga that Council will fund 70% ($177,100 excluding GST) of the cost subject to developers funding the remaining 30% share ($75,900 excluding GST);

(c) **Notes** that obtaining additional road reserve width along Topito Road will not be pursued at this time and that this matter will be discussed with Runanga in terms of the ongoing development in MR873;

(d) **Circulates** this report to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board for information.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Brine stated he does not wish to see a precedent being set by the Council.

Councillor Felstead noted that these charges are as per Council Policy and these charges are relevant to the whole district and fairness to everybody.

Mayor Ayers noted that with the change in the District Plan to enable development to occur in MR873, on land owned by local families who are descendants of the original grantees, this is a difficult situation, and there will always be requirements for roading upgrades.
Councillor Atkinson noted that the Council is obligated to meet the terms of the Deed, but there are costs involved in any development.

Mayor Ayers noted that the Council is acknowledging the situation and hopes that agreement can be reached for any future developments on Maori Reserve land.

6.10 Roading Staff Submission – Runanga Request for improvements on Tuahiwi Road – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

G Cleary and J McBride presented this report, which sought approval for a proposed approach to address drainage issues on Tuahiwi Road. The issue is the roadside berm on the east side of Tuahiwi Road between the Marae and the Urupa which becomes soft during the wet season and is damaged when vehicles park on the berm alongside the road. It was acknowledged by the Councillors and that these aspects can be fixed.

Councillor Atkinson asked if this could create a parking issue when there are events held at the Marae and was there any parking options being considered. J McBride advised that it was intended for the swale to be formed back from the road to allow for a grass berm wide enough to still allow for parking. A swale should go a long way towards helping this situation but the situation will be monitored.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Mayor Ayers

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives report No. 190415056053;
(b) Approves the recommend approach of installing a swale along the roadside and monitoring;
(c) Notes that this work can be carried out form within Maintenance Budgets in 2019/20;
(d) Circulates this report to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board and the Utilities & Roading Committee.

CARRIED

6.11 Roading Staff Submission – Coldstream Road Improvements – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

G Cleary and J McBride presented this report, seeking additional budget for improvements to Coldstream Road in conjunction with the construction of the multi-use sports facility. J McBride advised that an update has been done on the estimated costs and this is more than the current budget in the LTP of $500,000. The upgrades are required to better cater for increased use once the new facility is built. These will include pedestrian refuge islands, cyclist facilities and improvements to cater for a high number of turning vehicles.

There were no questions from members.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Barnett
THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190415056065;
(b) Approves the budget of $50,000 being retained in 2019/20;
(c) Approves the budget of $450,000 being moved to 2020/21 and additional budget of $210,000 being allocated to allow the upgrade work to be carried out (total budget of $660,000 for 2020/21);
(d) Notes that a briefing will be held with the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board prior to formal approval of the scheme design being sought;
(e) Circulates this report to all Community Boards and the Utilities & Roading Committee for information.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon suggested that even without the new facility being constructed, there are existing deficiencies along this stretch of Coldstream Road, with Maria Andrews Park, Mainpower Hockey Turf and Mainpower Oval. This will help address these deficiencies. There were a number of submissions on this matter, during the LTP consultation process in 2018, it was noted.

6.12 Roading Staff Submission – Ohoka Road Fencing – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

G Cleary and J McBride presented this report, to brief the Council on the ongoing concerns raised by residents of the Silverstream subdivision. These concerns related primarily to noise, privacy and vehicle lights causing issues along the western side of the road. During the LTP process in 2018 residents submitted on their concerns and this resulted in asphalt being laid early this year. Following this, there has been further complaints about the ongoing noise and privacy issues. Residents have requested the fence to be replaced along the full length of the road with new 2.4 metre high fences.

Following a question from Councillor Stewart, it was pointed out that the fencing has been replaced at either end of this stretch of Ohoka Road, with 2.4m high fencing, as the road ramps upwards approaching the two bridges, which compromises the privacy of residences in these locations. J McBride noted that there were four options included in the report and provided a brief explanation of these options, including Option (d) to maintain the status quo.

There is currently no funding allocated for replacement fencing, but the Council could consider funding this fence from the current project budget.

Moved: Councillor Meyer    Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190407051583;
(b) Declines the request for fencing improvements and maintains the status quo;
(c) Circulates this report to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board, Oxford-Ohoka Community Board and the Utilities & Roading Committee for information.

CARRIED
6.13 **Roading Staff Submission – Request changes to the Roading Capital Works Budget – J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)**

G Cleary and J McBride presented this report seeking approval for budget changes to the Roading budget, firstly to cover bringing forward the funding for the Rangiora Woodend/Boys/Tuahiwi Road intersection improvements. The second change requested is for the Travel Demand Management/Modelling, which is the Council’s share (12%) of the Greater Christchurch commitments. NZTA have confirmed that a subsidy rate of 51% is available.

Staff noted there were 61 submissions received on Park and Ride and 42 were in favour of bringing funding forward for investigation of Park and Ride. Nineteen submitters preferred the status quo.

Staff advised in relation to Skew Bridge that NZTA are unlikely to provide any funding for construction of a bridge in the current three year time frame. Staff will be pursuing this matter to see if there can be any funding secured for design and how this can be progressed going forward. J Palmer noted the amount of enhancements to the roading that the Council has invested in, being approximately $15m, to the Flaxton/Fernside Roads, to reduce the pressure of traffic volumes on Southbrook and Lineside Road. This has been a broader programme of work to cater for now and the future. The Council seeks to have further discussions with NZTA on this funding matter.

Councillor Gordon referred to the Tuahiwi intersection and the possibility of a roundabout going there. J McBride advised that this could have been an option, but the issue is the need for land purchase to accommodate a roundabout. G Cleary advised the Runanga have indicated the strong preference not to sell any MR873 land for roading and it was confirmed that the Rangiora-Ashley, Woodend-Sefton and Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Boards will be kept updated on progress with regard to this intersection.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Barnett

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 190415055924;

(b) **Approves** the budget changes as shown in **Table One** below;

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Draft Annual Plan 2019/20 ($000)</th>
<th>Updated Annual Plan 2019/20 ($000)</th>
<th>NZTA Funding Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora Woodend / Boys Rd / Tuahiwi Rd</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel Demand Management / Modelling</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$591.3</td>
<td>$301.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$0</strong></td>
<td><strong>$791.3</strong></td>
<td><strong>$401.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) **Approves** bringing forward $100,000 of budget for Park and Ride investigation as outlined in the Draft Annual Plan Consultation document;
(d) **Notes** that the budget of $591,250 for Travel Demand Management has a Council share of $289,712 which will be reallocated from Footpath Reconstruction funding which is now subsidised;

(e) **Notes** that an application will be made to NZTA for a 51% share of the funding required for Travel Demand Management / Modelling. The risk of this not being funded is considered to be low;

(f) **Notes** that budget may need to be brought forward for other projects if work progresses more quickly than anticipated;

(g) **Notes** that all GCO Partners are contributing to the cost of this work;

(h) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards and the Utilities and Roading Committee.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon remarked he would like to see the Skew Bridge project to progress as it is an important alternative traffic route to Southbrook and Lineside Road. He believed the improvements to the Tuahiwi intersection need to be progressed now, mainly for safety reasons. Councillor Gordon hoped that a broader perspective could be considered in time. Councillor Barnett was also in support of the Tuahiwi intersection project progressing and believes this is one of the most dangerous intersections in the district. With regard to the Skew Bridge project, Councillor Barnett believed the Council should be encouraging NZTA to see that the district needs a road network that is servicing our rapidly growing area.

6.14 **Roading Staff Submission – Subdivision Contribution Programme Update**

– J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager) and G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading)

G Cleary and J McBride presented this report, providing an update on the roading subdivision contribution programme for the 2019/2020 Annual Plan.

G Cleary noted that there is always uncertainty as to whether developments will progress through the upcoming year and if all the projected developments did occur, reports will need to come to the Council through the year requesting budget.

There were no questions from members.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

(a) ** Receives** report No. 190413055719;

(b) **Notes** the that there is likely to be pressure on the Subdivision Contribution budget in the 2019/20 year due to the quantum of anticipated development;

(c) **Notes** that further reports will be brought before Council as and when there is more certainty around future projects and costs;

(d) **Notes** that support has previously been given to Browns Road / North Eyre Road property owners north of the Eyre River, as well as Broad Road / Rangioura Leithfield Road property owners should they come up with a 50% cost share for sealing outside of their properties;
6.15 Development Contributions Schedules Following Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan Consultation – K LaValley (Project Delivery Manager)

K LaValley and G Cleary presented this report and the updated development contribution schedules for the 2019/20 Annual Plan, following the staff submissions. Two development changes noted are the Tuahiwi Water DC and also the District Roading DC which was not included in the report.

Councillor Barnett asked about the submission received on in-fill housing, and asked if there should be a comment included with respect to a review of in-fill development with respect to development contributions for the 2020/21 AP. K LaValley noted that this report deals with the schedules and the particular submission relates to a potential change to the Development Contributions Policy, rather than the schedule.

Moved: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190516069213.
(b) Approves the 2019/20 Development Contribution schedules to be effected on 1 July 2019 at the start of the new financial year.
(c) Notes if further changes to the 2019/20 Development Contributions schedules are required based on the Annual Plan deliberations, a further report will be presented to Council at the 18 June Annual Plan adoption meeting.
(d) Notes that consent and connection applications receipted prior to 1 July 2019 will include the 2018/19 Development Contribution rate.
(e) Notes that UV projects have been removed from growth budgets for 2019/20 and that there have been development contributions assessed and paid during the 2018/19 year. Based on Local Government Act 2002 requirements, these development contributions do not have to be refunded as the UV treatment projects will still be completed.

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett remarked this is a comprehensive report and commented that it will be good to see some work on the issue of in-fill housing and cater for the aging population and the potential need for some smaller housing in the district.

The meeting adjourned at this time, 3.42pm on Tuesday 28 May and reconvened at 4.05pm.

6.16 Earthquake Recovery – 3 Waters and Roading Staff Submission to the 2019-2020 Draft Annual Plan – G Boot (Senior Engineering Advisor), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

K Simpson outlined the report purposes and advised the programme is progressing steadily. J Palmer advised that the tender design of Kaiapoi roading has been confirmed this week, with work programmed across 2019/20 and 2020/21.
Councillor Meyer queried the Feldwick Drain pump not being required due to a new pump. The pump station has been repaired post-earthquake and K Simpson advised that work was being undertaken with staff developing options which includes a larger pump station located further back with stormwater management within the Regeneration area.

Moved: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Meyer

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No.190418058189

(b) Approves the amendments to the Earthquake Recovery budgets for Roading and Drainage, as outlined in Tables 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account Number</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Draft Annual Plan Budget (19/20)</th>
<th>Proposed Annual Plan Budget (19/20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100268.000.5134</td>
<td>Kaiapoi East Access Road</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100251.000.5124</td>
<td>Feldwick Drain Pump Station</td>
<td>$192,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Recommended changes to the 19/20 roading and drainage earthquake budgets

(c) Notes that the current programme estimate is $38.70M, which compares favourably with the overall programme budget of $38.65M (<0.2%).

(d) Notes that the additional $30,000 for Kaiapoi East Access Road receives a 51% subsidy from NZTA.

(e) Circulates this report to the Community Boards.

CARRIED

Mayor Ayers remarked it was good to see funding being brought forward for the roading project.

6.17 Tennis Development Coldstream Road – C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation)

C Brown presented this report, seeking a decision of the Council to contribute to a ten court tennis facility on Coldstream Road. It was advised that Rangiora and Southbrook Tennis Clubs have completed a draft business plan on the requirements of membership and a financial plan in the future. Rangiora High School have been spoken to by Council staff since the last briefing update to Councillors, around the availability of their tennis courts for use by the public. These courts are generally for students use, though they can be made available by phoning the school, however this is not promoted.

The Council’s contribution would be to provide the land, services to the boundary, develop the grounds to asphalt level and provide car parking. The Clubs would be responsible for the flexi-pave court surface on top of the asphalt, line marking, nets, lighting, fencing and the clubrooms. The proposal at the moment is that the Clubs would own the assets, and they would depreciate, maintain and replace these assets into the future.
A Heads of Agreement would be required between the combined Clubs and the Council. This proposal was included in the Annual Plan consultation, and 58 responses were received, with 25 against the proposal and three reserved their decision at this stage. A resource consent would also be required for this development.

Councillor Williams asked for a breakdown of the residential location of people who submitted in favour of this proposal as he understood that a number of the submitters in favour of the proposal are not ratepayers in the Waimakariri district. C Brown did not have the information on hand but advised it would be made available.

Councillor Williams asked would one court be adequate for community use. C Brown advised that the “pay for play” trend is popular and it is important for the clubs to have this income to cover ongoing maintenance. The peer reviewer noted that it is now more common for people to use the “pay for play” system and it is important for Clubs ability to get revenue and the trend for people wanting to be able to use these facilities. If the Council was to take more courts for the community to use for free then that would be taking money from the Clubs, and the Clubs will need that money for maintenance of the facility in the future. For the public to use the Kaiapoi Tennis Courts, C Brown advised that there has been a key available from the pool to use the courts, however historically nobody has gone to the pool to get a key. There are also courts at Kaiapoi High School available for the public to use.

Following question from Mayor Ayers, C Brown confirmed that the proposal for the facility is that all the courts will be available on a “pay for play” basis, and none are free for use by the public.

Councillor Barnett asked if it was anticipated if there would be any issues with the resource consent. C Brown believes there is sufficient space on the site for car parking and does not believe there is an issue. It was noted that there could be objections from the public relating to lighting being installed.

The proposal will not progress until the Clubs have guaranteed funding to complete the development, and this could potentially be pushed out after the 2019/2020 year.

Following a question from Councillor Gordon, C Brown said staff would be happy to have a conversation with the tennis clubs to make sure they are financially able to fund the maintenance of these courts in the future.

Following question from Councillor Brine on the “pay for play”, C Brown said this would be for any times that the courts are available and to have a booking availability on the website.

 Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190404050422
(b) Notes the tennis clubs draft business case (Trim 190502062504)
(c) Notes the Peer Review of the business case (Trim 190502062506)
(d) Approves staff developing a Heads of Agreement, for approval by Council, with the Southbrook and Rangiora Tennis Clubs with the key responsibilities as outlined in the Section 4.6 of this report.
(e) **Approves** the provision of up to $1 million as signaled in the Annual Plan for the development of the infrastructure and base for the ten court tennis development at Coldstream Road.

(f) **Notes** that, in accordance with the key terms of the proposed Heads of Agreement, no expenditure will occur until Council has agreed that Tennis Clubs have the necessary funding to complete the development and report this back to the Community and Recreation Committee for its approval.

(g) **Approves** the funding provision over two financial years with existing funding of $515,000 being available for use during 19/20 financial year and the balance of $485,000 to be funded in the 20/21 financial year.

(h) **Notes** that a resource consent will be required before any construction can commence.

(i) **Notes** that timing of construction will be managed so as not to conflict with the construction of the multi sports facility in Coldstream Road.

A division was called.

For: Mayor Ayers, Councillors Felstead, Atkinson, Barnett, Blackie, Doody, Brine, Gordon and Meyer

Against: Councillors Stewart and Williams

9:2

Councillor Gordon thanked the staff for the report provided. It was noted the proposal for a tennis court facility in Rangiora has been ongoing for some years and believes this is the right time. He noted the submitters included a significant number of individuals who are members of other clubs in the district who support this development. He remarked he would like to have further discussion on community access to the courts and for this to be reported back to the Community and Recreation Committee. Councillor Gordon believes this is a one off chance to get this project underway and tennis are looking to make a substantial contribution. Councillor Gordon stated this is an opportunity to support this proposal and urged councillors to support it.

Councillor Atkinson acknowledged that the submissions received are taken into account. With regard to club membership, Councillor Atkinson referred to the Croquet Clubs and how their membership has grown in recent years since the clubs development. Councillor Atkinson is in support of a “pay for play” system and the need to book a court in advance, rather than just turning up to have a game. Councillor Atkinson remarked that in regard to the Kaiapoi Tennis Courts, the “pay for play” system could apply there as well. Councillor Atkinson believes this is the right proposal for the game of tennis.

Councillor Williams suggests that the clubs should be contributing their $1m first before the Council then pays its contribution of $1m. Councillor Williams said this is a large portion of money for the Council to be contributing, noting the Riding for the Disabled group and the Croquet Club have a Council loan for their facilities. Councillor Williams stated he does not believe that the community members should have to pay to use these courts when they would already be paying for it in their rates.

Councillor Stewart asked if the funds approved for the years noted in the recommendation were not needed in these years, would these funds be available for future years. J Palmer said it is possible the funds would not be necessary until later years and in which case a report would need to come back to the Council. Councillor Stewart suggested this should be included in the recommendation.
Councillor Stewart believes this proposal has merits, but sees this as a “nice to have” and at this time there are more fundamental demands on the limited ratepayer funds than this and stated she cannot support this.

Councillor Brine commented that the clubs are now working together for this project and believes the Council should support this project now and not put it off. Councillor Brine supports the “pay for play” plan, which enables the Clubs to be able to put money aside to cover future maintenance.

Councillor Barnett noted the current sites of the clubs have both been built around and their ability to grow is constrained. She believes it is positive for tennis in the area, that the two Clubs have reached agreement on the proposal and that the Council needs to commit this money now, as without it, the Clubs will not attract any other funding from larger funders, such as Lotteries, or the Rata Foundation. Councillor Barnett sees this as economic development, by attracting people into the centre of Rangiora and creating jobs in Waimakariri because of becoming a hub for sport, including tennis, which there is a definite need for. She noted the submissions of smaller clubs, and comments from Ashley Tennis Club stating that the Council would be short sighted in turning down this offer of a 50/50 contribution from the Rangiora and Southbrook Clubs to develop this facility. This would never be able to be developed at such a low cost to Council in the future.

Councillor Meyer was supportive of the tennis facility proposal. He noted that the Kaiapoi Tennis Club is very busy at the moment with good membership and he believed this proposed Coldstream tennis facility would enhance the Kaiapoi courts. Councillor Meyer noted that the Kaiapoi Club has not come to the Council in recent years (up to 20 years), for any funds, for maintenance or replacement courts, including replacement lighting.

Councillor Doody was supportive of this proposal and for having courts that people pay to use. She referenced the upgrades done to the courts at Mandeville Sports Club and the damage that has been caused to them which now needs to be repaired. Councillor Doody was very much in favour of people paying for the use of the courts and it enables a database of who uses these facilities, which aids people to respect the property so everyone can enjoy and benefit from them.

Mayor Ayers noted the comments questioning the inconsistency of Council support for different groups in the district. The Council has contributed to the upgrade of Southbrook Park and Kendall Park he said and also noted other Clubs that have had support over the years from the Council, including the Kaiapoi Riverside Bowling Club in Hinemoa Park. Mayor Ayers said it is very clear in recommendation (f) that there must be agreement with the Clubs and it is a budget provision at the moment. Mayor Ayers noted the comment from a submitter that this facility would complement the Kaiapoi tennis courts and for tennis across the district, this would be an important step in the right direction.

In reply, Councillor Gordon noted the tennis clubs are not getting this facility for nothing and there will be a lot of work involved in fundraising. Councillor Gordon acknowledged and thanked the members of the tennis clubs for the work they have done in putting this proposal together, as volunteers, doing this for the betterment of their sport and also for our community. The Clubs need a commitment from Council, to then move on to arrange the sale of their properties and come to the table with their contribution. Councillor Gordon urged colleagues to support this proposal.

6.18 Airfield Fees and Charges – C Sargison (Manager Special Projects)

C Brown and C Sargison presented this report on airfield fees and charges. C Sargison noted there has been concerns raised by some users of the airfield...
related to the costs and the staff and Councillors have spent some time on this matter, as has the Airfield Advisory Group. A proposal from the Canterbury Recreational Aircraft Club (CRAC) objected to the original fees increase proposed and had forwarded an alternative proposal to staff which was discussed today. This Club has 105 members with their own planes (and others without) and also the club owns three planes. The current fees that they pay of $60 is not sufficient. CRAC had raised concerns with the lack of consultation on this matter, with the Council relying on their members of the Advisory Group circulating the information to their own club members, noting it is difficult to meet with all users of the airfield.

C Sargison believed that the amended recommendation put before the Council now is fairer, with a staged implementation programme over three years and reduced fees for more regular users of the airfield. C Sargison said it will be important in the future to review the airfield fees during every Long Term Plan process so that three yearly reviews become standard practice. It was noted that this has not been the case in recent years, with staff resources having been directed to the earthquake recovery. It was noted that some of the people who have hangars at the airfield are also able to store more than one plane and some have other vehicles stored outside the hangar, which can generate revenue.

Regarding the landing fees for outsiders flying into the Rangiora airfield, Councillor Blackie asked how do the landing fees get captured for these. C Sargison advised that this is captured on the AIM system as everyone landing at Rangiora Airfield have to announce this. This automatically relates the users contact address which relays to the Councils TechOne system.

Councillor Barnett noted that there is inconsistency in the landing fees for Way to Go Helicopters and CRAC. C Sargison noted that Way to Go Helicopters do not use the runway at all, and paid to have their own landing pad installed several years ago. C Sargison said it is important to consider that no matter whether there was a daily fee, the Council would still be contributing for the running costs of the airfield. It was agreed that there will possibly be requests for exemptions received if these changes are implemented.

Councillor Stewart asked to get to self-funding, what levels of fees would the Council need to get to, so that this operation no longer impinges on the ratepayer. C Sargison said to get a landing fee of this level, would not be achievable. All small airfields in the country are not self-funded. Councillor Stewart would like to know information on where this airfield sits in relation to other smaller airfields across the country. C Sargison said this information was provided at a briefing in 2018.

Moved: Councillor Williams Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190513067253 and Memo No: 190527073826

(b) Approves airfield landing fees to be set at $10.00 per day, inclusive of GST, from 1 July 2019.

(c) Approves a 50% reduction in landing fees for financial members of the Canterbury Recreational Aircraft Club for 2019/20
(d) **Recommends** to the incoming Council that financial members of the Canterbury Recreational Aircraft Club pay 75% of the airfield landing fees for 2020/21 and 100% of the airfield landing fees for 2021/22.

(e) **Approves** a 50% reduction in landing fees for Way to Go Helicopter Company.

(f) **Delegates** to the Community and Recreation Committee the authority to consider any submissions from Clubs and individuals at the Airfield for a concession on landing fees.

(g) **Approves** ground rental being increased to $6.00 per square metre, incl GST, for 2019/20 financial year.

(h) **Recommends** to the incoming Council that it increases the ground rental for 2020/21 to $8.00 per sq m, including GST and for 2021/22 to $9.42 sq m (incl GST).

(i) **Recommends** to the incoming Council that all annual ground leases increases are linked to the Local Government Cost Index for all ground rental leases from 22/23 and that all airfield charges are reviewed for each LTP.

(j) **Approves** each new lease at the airfield having the ground rental set at $9.42 including GST and a provision in the lease for annual ground rental increases linked to the movement of the Cost Price Index as well as a review at each Council LTP.

(k) **Notes** that because of the timing of rent review clauses in existing leases it can take two financial years for increases to come into effect.

(l) **Notes** that the Airfield Advisory Group supports the proposed changes to the landing fees and the ground rental.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Barnett Against

Councillor Williams acknowledged the work of staff on this matter and suggested that this proposal is very generous for the users of the airfield. The CRAC have been offered an additional two membership places on the Rangiora Airfield Advisory Group.

Councillor Gordon agreed with the comments of Councillor Williams, noting that the concerns of users have related to the landing fees, rather than the ground rentals. There has been a lot of meetings and discussions on this matter with users. Councillor Gordon also acknowledged the members of the Airfield Advisory Group and the work they do and their expertise.

Councillor Atkinson noted that there are costs for playing or partaking in any sport and for people who use the airfield, this is no different.

Councillor Barnett stated she does not support this recommendation on increasing fees and charges, with the wider community not having been consulted on this proposal. She noted that the users of the airfield also put in a lot of volunteer work. Councillor Barnett held a concern with different fees and exceptions as noted in the recommendation and believes there will be a lot of groups or individuals who will seeking exceptions as well. This will create a lot of work for staff and for the Community and Recreation Committee and this could have been managed by doing a wider consultation which has been done on all
the other fees and charges. Councillor Barnett does support a graduated increase in fees but believes these need to be for the whole community.

Councillor Brine commented that colleagues have put in a lot of time on this matter with the Advisory Group members, supported by staff and have come up with a recommendation. Councillor Brine trusts their judgement and supports this recommendation.

Councillor Williams said there has been various consultation on this matter with the affected people. There would be a lot of people who are not affected and would not be interested. This was also included in the Long Term Plan. With the increases being spread over a three year period, Councillor Williams reiterated that the users of the airfield are getting a good deal.

The meeting adjourned at 3.42pm and reconvened at 4.05pm.

6.19 Cust Community Centre Upgrade – C Sargison (Manager Special Projects)

Having earlier declared a conflict of interest, Councillor Barnett stepped away from the table during consideration of this report.

C Brown and G MacLeod presented this report, which seeks approval of the Council for funding to renew some of the Cust Community Centre during the 2019/20 financial year. The centre has not had any upgrades for some time and there are some necessary compliance upgrades that are required as well as cosmetic upgrades.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190506064053
(b) Notes the report from Holmes Fire regarding fire safety compliance.
(c) Approves a budget of $335,990, funded from the Community Facilities Renewals account for the necessary compliance and cosmetic upgrades to the Cust Community Centre as listed in this report.
(d) Notes that staff will work with the Cust Community Advisory Group on the details of the replacement and centre upgrades.
(e) Circulates this report to the Rangiora Ashley Community Board.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon noted that the Cust Community Centre was built by the community at no cost to the Council and have had an active Advisory Group who have managed the facility over the years. A new Advisory Group has been appointed and is looking forward to moving ahead with improvements. This centre is well overdue for an upgrade and it has been used extensively by other groups while other facilities were getting upgraded.

Councillor Doody would be pleased to see these improvements taking place for this well used facility in Cust.

Councillor Stewart commented on the large size of the hall, and whether there was still the need for a facility that can accommodate 260 people. Councillor Stewart also noted the size of the smaller rooms at the community centre, where
she has attended meetings. The question was asked if there had ever been any consideration of reconfiguration of these smaller rooms. She commented on the better size of the A&P meeting room at the Oxford Town Hall.

Councillor Williams was supportive of some of the improvements but does not believe this is the worst furniture in some Council community halls. He questioned the Council spending money on a hall in one community and not others.

Mayor Ayers suggested that there be an assessment undertaken of meeting spaces available in the Cust area.

Councillor Brine commented that this is an important asset that the Council needs to look after and fully supports this recommendation.

Councillor Gordon noted the comments of Councillor Stewart and whether a large hall like this is still required. There are numerous community groups who use this facility regularly for events, requiring the main hall space, including the Cust Fire Brigade and St Johns he remarked.

Mayor Ayers commented to staff that there could be information provided to a Community and Recreation Committee workshop in the near future, with a list of buildings and the positives of each facility.

Councillor Barnett returned to the table at 4.25pm.

6.20 Community and Recreation Department Staff Submission to the Draft 2019-20 Annual Plan – C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation) and G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager)

Staff present for consideration of this report were C Brown (Manager Community and Recreation), G MacLeod (Community Greenspace Manager) and M Greenwood (Aquatic Facilities Manager). Staff request changes to the Community and Recreation Budgets for Greenspace and Aquatics for the 2019/2020 Annual Plan.

C Brown provided a summary of the specific items staff would like the Council to consider changing, as in the recommendations.

- Rangiora Croquet Club – for development of two new lawns to cater for growing membership.
- Surf Life Saving New Zealand – to maintain the current level of service in the three beaches they currently patrol in the district (Waikuku, Woodend and Pegasus), there is an increase required to cover staff wages to keep up with industry market movements.
- Dudley Aquatic Centre – additional funding for the financial year to ensure there is sufficient funding for any unplanned breakdowns with any of the equipment. C Brown also noted the request for approval to increase the fees for Aquarobics Casual Community Services/Senior rate from $6.50 to $7.00.
- Marine Precinct Kaiapoi – additional operational maintenance budget for the second half of the 2019/20 financial year for assets to be maintained to manufacturers guidelines.

Moved: Councillor Doody  Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190510066599.
(b) **Approves** a Grant of $25,000 for the Rangiora Croquet Club as a contribution towards the development of two new Croquet Lawns

(c) **Approves** a $6,580 increase to the Surf Lifesaving New Zealand budget increasing it to a total of $88,600.

(d) **Approves** Surf Lifesaving services provided by Surf Life Saving New Zealand for the 2019/20 season being undertaken at Waikuku, Pegasus and Woodend Beach for a period 33, 26 and 26 days respectively.

(e) **Approves** an additional $10,000 allocation from the Pools renewal fund to allow for the renewal of plant and equipment located at Dudley Aquatic Centre.

(f) **Approves** an additional $25,000 operational budget for the maintenance of the Marine Precinct in Kaiapoi.

(g) **Approves** a $0.50 increase, effective from 1 July 2019 to the Aquarobics Casual Community Services/Senior rate which increases the fee from $6.50 - $7.00.

(h) **Notes** that recommendation (e) will have no effect on rates as the money requested is from depreciation accounts which has already been collected.

(i) **Approves** the budget allocation for the spending on the Multi Use Sports Facility as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019/20 Financial Year</th>
<th>2020/21 Financial Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$15 million</td>
<td>$13 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CARRIED**

The meeting adjourned at 4.50pm and reconvened at 9am on Wednesday 29 May 2019.

6.21 **Rangiora Service Centre Refurbishment Project – R Hawthorne (Property Manager)**

R Hawthorne presented this report, advising staff had been provided an update on the refurbishment project in March. The revised concept plan increased the useable floor space, provided enhanced public meeting spaces, improved customer service areas and better aligned with future service centre and library extensions. The revised budget was $6.735 million. The conclusion for the business case was that the alternative design represented the best balance between the whole of life cost and investment perspectives.

Councillor Gordon noted submissions referring to sustainable design and asked if sustainable technology such as solar panels had been considered by the design consultants. R Hawthorne advised that Athfield Architects had foremost in their thinking the best outcomes economically and the wider environmental benefits. The concept design had not contemplated special aspects in relation to PV however it was something that could be worked through in the project. There were limitations such as roof slope and orientation of building.

Councillor Gordon asked about alternative lighting and R Hawthorne advised that it had already been applied in a number of parts of the building where lights had been replaced with those that had a fraction of the running cost. Councillor Gordon asked about reporting back on cost implications if sustainable design was considered further and R Hawthorne replied yes.
Councillor Barnett asked if the $2.735 million increase was of such significance that under the Local Government Act, Council would be required to go back to the public to consult on. J Palmer noted the redevelopment had been budgeted and consulted on as part of the Long Term Plan. He believed that the financial consequence was not such a magnitude as to trigger a significance test. In addition, as the spend would be completed by the end of the financial year consultation would be tokenism, as it was more rightly a question for this year.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190521071635.

(b) Receives the Civic Accommodation Indicative Business Case (190521071636).

(c) Notes that the Accommodation Indicative Business Case has been peer reviewed and updated in response to the reviewers’ comments.

(d) Notes that the Indicative Business Case recommends Option B: Full refurbishment of existing building & staged expansion outside envelope as the best balance between the whole of life cost and investment objectives.

(e) Notes that the 30 Year Infrastructure Strategy allows for expenditure on extensions of both the Rangiora Library and Service Centre on the current site. This will be allowed for in the next Long Term Plan to reflect the changes.

(f) Agrees that the existing site (215 High Street, Rangiora) will be Council’s long term Administrative Headquarters and primary Service Centre.

(g) Notes that revised concept plans prepared by Council’s architect for refurbishment of the existing building:

i) increases the useable floor space for office functions,

ii) provides enhanced public meeting spaces with better separation from staff areas,

iii) improves customer service areas; and

iv) better aligns the refurbishment project with the future service centre and library extensions.

(h) Approves a budget variation of an additional $2.735 million in the 2020/21 financial year, with the revised total project budget of $6.735 million.

(i) Approves progressing to the developed design phase, with a further report to be presented to Council in September 2019.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson noted that the refurbishment had been debated at meetings and briefings and believed that it was long overdue to improve staff working conditions. The Council should be a leader in the District to showcase civic buildings of which ratepayers could be proud he stated. He believed it was imperative staff had somewhere decent to ‘live’ and in terms of sustainability he was confident that that matter would be given serious consideration.

Councillor Doody considered a revamp of the building was timely for the comfort of staff and Councillors and recognised the improvements in terms of lighting and heating.
Councillor Stewart endorsed colleague’s comments and stated that renovation was well overdue, particularly for staff. Councillor Stewart remarked she was confident in the reputation of Athfield’s, as architects, and that they would see something exciting in the end.

6.22 Governance Staff Submission – ANZAC Service Budget – S Nichols (Governance Manager)

S Nichols presented this report to seek an increase in budget of $12,000 to cover the costs of traffic management plans (TMP) for ANZAC Day services. She would take the report as read.

Councillor Williams asked if there would be a difference in the overall budget if the Governance budget increase and the roading budget decreased. S Nichols advised that there would be a shortfall. TMP costs had increased and roading had not been contributing, and funds had come from the Governance budget.

Councillor Williams asked about the sound system for the Kaiapoi services which had received some poor feedback. S Nichols advised the RSA used their own contractor for the sound system and passed the fee on to the Council. S Nichols noted comments on the system had been passed on to the RSA. There was a general discussion on sound quality and road closures for services.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 190516069154.
(b) Approves the increased allocation of $12,000 to GL10.135.343.2465 (from $5,500 to $17,500) of the ANZAC Day Services Operational Budget.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon acknowledged it was a tidying up situation with the budget. The services were important with a growing number of public attendance, and road safety management must occur for such public gatherings.

Mayor Ayers suggested that since the Council paid for the sound system then a discussion could be held with the Kaiapoi RSA regarding improving sound quality.

6.23 Carryovers from 2018-2019 to 2019-2020 – P Christensen (Finance Manager)

J Millward presented this report. In summary the Draft Annual Plan had $20.2 million of carryovers, and there was a request for approval of an additional $9.9 million of carryovers with $2.3 million being spent by the year end.

Councillor Barnett asked if the carryovers included Board funding and J Millward replied yes.

Councillor Barnett referred to the $1.4 million unallocated for the Kaiapoi Town Centre and asked if it were normal to have budget sitting there unallocated. J Palmer replied yes, both Town Centre budgets were created knowing there was
a program of work. There was still some funding pressure to come, for example lights. Both town centres originally had $5 million and $1 million was added to that. The programme of work had continued to be implemented and evolve. Most other large multi-year projects such as flood relief had a sum which was ring-fenced for those purposes with projects identified within. There were a number of works in Kaiapoi Town Centre including the mixed use business areas which would generate budget pressures. Staff were aware of items not sitting in a budget that would be needed to be provided in the next year’s AP or later LTP deliberations.

Councillor Barnett queried why the funding had not been identified as available for the pontoon budget. J Palmer noted that budget could have been used, however it was likely to mean there was a Kaiapoi Town Centre budget deficit at a later date. Ultimately the net effect to the community was no different but the Kaiapoi Town Centre works were preserved.

Councillor Meyer asked if the standardisation of footpaths would come out of that funding. J Palmer replied that was the intended source.

Councillor Meyer asked about tidying up of the footpath around the BNZ building (Kaiapoi). J Palmer replied that was part of the areas requiring finishing off.

Councillor Barnett asked if there was potential through regeneration for reporting on likely use of the funding. J Palmer noted that there was Town Centre report due for both town centres. He noted the town entrance way issue. The community was appreciative of what was happening in the town centres and there was a desire that the parts that required finishing would also be completed to standard.

Moved: Councillor Barnett  Seconded: Councillor Brine

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 190513067283.
(b) **Adopts** the carryovers as listed (190513067281) for inclusion in the 2019-20 Annual Plan.
(c) **Notes** the rate effect of the carryovers is 0.13% between years. This is adjusted by effectively “smoothing” the rate effect between these years.

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett wanted to make sure the Council were keeping an eye over all projects coming up. Capital expenditure was be tight over the next few years and the Council need to be mindful on what was allocated and that the community were consulted.

Councillor Brine commented he had never had a major issues with carryovers, believing they were a ‘fact-of-life’. He was satisfied the community were well informed with regards to projects and carryovers.
7. **CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSIONS TO THE DRAFT ANNUAL PLAN 2019-2020**

Moved: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

The consideration of all public submissions be received, proforma, noting the decisions would be finalised at the end of the meeting.  

CARRIED

**Discretionary Grant Fund**

DAP19-20.104.5 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board's proactive promotion of the Discretionary Grant.

(c) **Declines** the Board's request for the 2019/20 Annual Plan.

(d) **Seek** staff to re-assess 50cents per head of population against fund allocation and updated Census data, ensuring increase of fund is not CPI, but population based for the 2019/20 financial year.

(e) **Seek** staff to investigate increase of discretionary fund based on $0.75cents per head of population for the 2020/21 Annual Plan.

CARRIED

**Consultation Process**

DAP19-20.69.6 in Submission DAP19-20.69 by Mrs Desray Lithgow

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** consultation on the 2019/2020 Annual Plan is determined by the Special Consultative Procedure in the Local Government Act 2002 which provides for a consultation period of one calendar month. For this Annual Plan, consultation opened on 8 March 2019 and closed on 11 April 2019. It's a pity your group only became aware of the consultation on the 4 April 2019, only a few days before consultation closed. The 2019/2020 Annual Plan Consultation document was advertised in both the electronic and print media before the Consultation Document was released on 8 March 2019.

CARRIED

It's great to see you're interested in the Council's annual budget. The Council is keen to have your input into the community conversation about rates and the services Council provides. This is an annual conversation and usually takes place in Waimakariri District during March and April each year.

Encourage the submitter to be aware of the Annual Plan consultation period.

**Councillor comments**

Councillor Barnett noted the name should be *Mrs Desray Lithgow*. She asked the response include an invitation to ‘keep an eye out, for the next round of consultation in March.'
Biodiversity

DAP19-20.29.3 in Submission DAP19-20.29 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes the support for the Council’s aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity, through funding to implement recommendations in the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum.

CARRIED

Biodiversity - I prefer option A

DAP19-20.4.2 in Submission DAP19-20.4 by Ms Tonya Bristow
DAP19-20.2.2 in Submission DAP19-20.2 by Mr Alistair Gray
DAP19-20.5.2 in Submission DAP19-20.5 by Mr Miles Dalton
DAP19-20.13.5 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
DAP19-20.19.2 in Submission DAP19-20.19 by Mr Eddy van Til
DAP19-20.31.2 in Submission DAP19-20.31 by Ms Christine Levett
DAP19-20.35.2 in Submission DAP19-20.35 by Mr Brian Heron
DAP19-20.36.2 in Submission DAP19-20.36 by Dr Jennifer Dunn
DAP19-20.48.2 in Submission DAP19-20.48 by Mr Steve Gregory
DAP19-20.51.2 in Submission DAP19-20.51 by Mrs Sarah Davidson
DAP19-20.53.2 in Submission DAP19-20.53 by Mr Andrew Blackwell
DAP19-20.58.2 in Submission DAP19-20.58 by Mr Tony Srhoy
DAP19-20.65.2 in Submission DAP19-20.65 by Mr Rich Ainley
DAP19-20.69.2 in Submission DAP19-20.69 by Mr Desray Lithgow
DAP19-20.72.2 in Submission DAP19-20.72 by Mrs Beccy Creswick
DAP19-20.81.2 in Submission DAP19-20.81 by Mr Jim Martin
DAP19-20.82.2 in Submission DAP19-20.82 by Mr Daniel Huisman
DAP19-20.87.2 in Submission DAP19-20.87 by Mr Chris Thompson
DAP19-20.88.2 in Submission DAP19-20.88 by Mrs Fran van Til
DAP19-20.89.2 in Submission DAP19-20.89 by Mrs Judith Roper-Lindsay
DAP19-20.90.2 in Submission DAP19-20.90 by Ms Amanda Black
DAP19-20.115.1 in Submission DAP19-20.115 by Ecan
DAP19-20.116.2 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
DAP19-20.119.2 in Submission DAP19-20.119 by Mr Jim Ryan
DAP19-20.135.2 in Submission DAP19-20.135 by Mr Peter and Shirley and Mrs Jenkins

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes the support for the Council’s aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity, while balancing cost to the ratepayer – as presented by Option A in the draft Annual Plan consultation document for the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum.

CARRIED

Biodiversity - I prefer option B

DAP19-20.3.2 in Submission DAP19-20.3 by Mr Nathan Punton
DAP19-20.8.2 in Submission DAP19-20.8 by Mr Kevin Wilder
DAP19-20.15.2 in Submission DAP19-20.15 by Mr Kit Peverill
DAP19-20.25.2 in Submission DAP19-20.25 by Mr Paul Morrin
DAP19-20.27.2 in Submission DAP19-20.27 by Mrs Eileen Worsfold
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the submission on the implementation of recommendations in the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA).
(c) Notes concerns such as the proposed increase in rates and staff resourcing. The Council considers these concerns, as well as the aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity when deciding the funding allocation. The Council intends to support our community to do more, and work closely with Environment Canterbury to ensure coordination of activities.

CARRIED

Biodiversity - I prefer option C

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the submission supporting the Council’s aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity, through the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum recommendations and employment of a Biodiversity Officer. The increase in rates and staff resourcing to implement recommendations of the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) will also be considered when deciding on funding allocation.

CARRIED

Cust River

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the Cust River is one of the waterways that Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) funding and staff resourcing would work to improve, in collaboration with Environment Canterbury.

CARRIED

Pegasus Lake

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Notes** that Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) funding and resources by Waimakariri District Council and Environment Canterbury will work to improve groundwater and storm water quality that enters Lake Pegasus. Direct management of algal blooms would be addressed by the Council when Lake Pegasus ownership is vested by Todd Property to the Council.

**CARRIED**

**ECan relationship**

DAP19-20.117.2 in Submission DAP19-20.117 by Mr David Blackwell  
DAP19-20.103.2 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board  
DAP19-20.16.6 in Submission DAP19-20.16 by Mr Robert and Margaret and Mrs Devlin  
DAP19-20.118.6 in Submission DAP19-20.118 by Ms Andrea Clinick

**THAT** the Council:
  
  (a) **Receives** the submission.
  
  (b) **Notes** that Council is working closely with Environment Canterbury to clarify roles and responsibilities to implement recommendations in the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA). This is to ensure the best outcomes for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity, through identification of gaps and ensuring an equitable increase in resources.

**CARRIED**

**Soil Health**

DAP19-20.18.6 in Submission DAP19-20.18 by Ms Linda Pocock

**THAT** the Council:
  
  (a) **Receives** the submission.
  
  (b) **Notes** the support for the Council’s aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity, presented by Option A for the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum.
  
  (c) **Notes** the concern that more guidance is required for landowners on how to improve soil health. The role to advise on improving soil health is a responsibility shared by many, such as community members, farm industry bodies, Environment Canterbury and the Council- all with our part to play.

**CARRIED**

**Costs**

DAP19-20.6.1 in Submission DAP19-20.6 by Mr Geoff Sperry  
DAP19-20.10.2 in Submission DAP19-20.10 by Mr Mike Grove-Merritt  
DAP19-20.68.2 in Submission DAP19-20.68 by Ms Kay Rabe  
DAP19-20.42.4 in Submission DAP19-20.42 by Mr Dave Martin  
DAP19-20.106.6 in Submission DAP19-20.106 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand  
DAP19-20.116.13 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board  
DAP19-20.55.23 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board  
DAP19-20.71.8 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre  
DAP19-20.86.7 in Submission DAP19-20.86 by Mr Martin Pinkham
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes concern regarding the increase in rates and staff resourcing to implement recommendations of the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA). The Council considers these concerns, as well as the aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity when deciding funding allocation.
(c) Advises that the proposed cost of staff resourcing for the Biodiversity Officer role was unclear in the draft Annual Plan consultation document. It should have indicated that funding allocation was partially for project costs, with $110,000 earmarked for the Biodiversity Officer role, composed of a salary and organisational overheads.
(d) Advises that the Biodiversity Officer role will also examine implementing the New Zealand Biodiversity Strategy, when finalised.

CARRIED

Private Wells
DAP19-20.103.9 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the support for the Council’s aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity by playing a coordination role, in addition to educator and advisor.
(c) Advises that Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) funding does support providing guidance to owners of private wells to undertake regular testing and improve water safety.

CARRIED

Planting
DAP19-20.104.16 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the support for the Council’s aim to care for our freshwater resources and indigenous biodiversity, while balancing cost to the ratepayer – as presented by Option A for the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum.
(c) Advises that funding is allocated for native riparian planting to implement recommendations in the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA). Funding allocation for planting, and amenity improvements such as walkways, is allocated $70,000 in 2019-20 under the preferred Option A.
(d) Notes the recommendation to consult with the rural drainage advisory groups on where to extend native planting.

CARRIED
Biodiversity Officer

DAP19-20.122.1 in Submission DAP19-20.122 by Ms Gillian Giller

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Advises that the Biodiversity Officer role will cover both terrestrial and freshwater biodiversity. The Biodiversity Officer will cover functions under the District Plan, such as to provide landowner assistance for Significant Natural Areas, as well as a role to implement recommendations of the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA).

CARRIED

Sustainability

DAP19-20.3.5 in Submission DAP19-20.3 by Mr Nathan Punton
DAP19-20.2.5 in Submission DAP19-20.2 by Mr Alistair Gray
DAP19-20.5.4 in Submission DAP19-20.5 by Mr Miles Dalton
DAP19-20.12.4 in Submission DAP19-20.12 by Mr Jackson and Sienna and Mrs Davey
DAP19-20.26.4 in Submission DAP19-20.26 by Ms Reta Read
DAP19-20.32.5 in Submission DAP19-20.32 by Mr David & Renee and Mrs Nelson
DAP19-20.54.3 in Submission DAP19-20.54 by Canterbury District Health Board
DAP19-20.55.5 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board
DAP19-20.65.5 in Submission DAP19-20.65 by Mr Rich Ainley
DAP19-20.69.5 in Submission DAP19-20.69 by Mrs Desray Lithgow
DAP19-20.78.4 in Submission DAP19-20.78 by Cust Community Network
DAP19-20.82.5 in Submission DAP19-20.82 by Mr Daniel Huisman
DAP19-20.92.3 in Submission DAP19-20.92 by Waimakariri Youth Council
DAP19-20.106.4 in Submission DAP19-20.106 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges your interest in the Council's Sustainability Strategy and advises that a draft Corporate Sustainability Strategy is being prepared and is anticipated to be ready for consultation with the community later in the 2019 calendar year.

CARRIED

Councillor comments

Councillor Gordon believed the response to submissions on sustainability should be improved to provide information on the work currently being undertaken by Council including looking at photovoltaic systems, electric vehicle charging stations and vehicles. J Palmer agreed the response could be updated to include things the Council were doing, thinking about doing and the next stage of the Sustainability Strategy.

Sustainability - I prefer option A

DAP19-20.8.5 in Submission DAP19-20.8 by Mr Kevin Wilder
DAP19-20.9.4 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.9.5 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.10.5 in Submission DAP19-20.10 by Mr Mike Grove-Merritt
DAP19-20.13.7 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** your support for the vision of investing in a sustainable and resilient future for our people, our businesses, our infrastructure and our environment by taking responsibility and showing leadership.

(c) **Notes** a draft Sustainability Strategy is under preparation and is anticipated to be ready for public consultation later in the 2019 calendar year.

**CARRIED**

**Sustainability - I prefer option B**

DAP19-20.8.6 in Submission DAP19-20.8 by Mr Kevin Wilder
DAP19-20.9.6 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.10.6 in Submission DAP19-20.10 by Mr Mike Grove-Merritt
DAP19-20.13.8 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
DAP19-20.16.5 in Submission DAP19-20.16 by Mr Robert and Margaret and Mrs Devlin
DAP19-20.18.5 in Submission DAP19-20.18 by Ms Linda Pocock
DAP19-20.19.5 in Submission DAP19-20.19 by Mr Eddy van Til
DAP19-20.21.3 in Submission DAP19-20.21 by Ms Kathryn Taylor
DAP19-20.31.5 in Submission DAP19-20.31 by Ms Christine Levett
DAP19-20.51.6 in Submission DAP19-20.51 by Mrs Sarah Davidson
DAP19-20.53.6 in Submission DAP19-20.53 by Mr Andrew Blackwell
DAP19-20.58.5 in Submission DAP19-20.58 by Mr Tony Srhoy
DAP19-20.68.5 in Submission DAP19-20.68 by Ms Kay Rabe
DAP19-20.72.5 in Submission DAP19-20.72 by Mrs Beccy Creswick
DAP19-20.86.6 in Submission DAP19-20.86 by Mr Martin Pinkham
DAP19-20.89.6 in Submission DAP19-20.89 by Mrs Judith Roper-Lindsay
DAP19-20.90.5 in Submission DAP19-20.90 by Ms Amanda Black
DAP19-20.99.6 in Submission DAP19-20.99 by Ms Annabel Wilson
DAP19-20.114.4 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents’ Group Inc.
DAP19-20.116.5 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
DAP19-20.118.5 in Submission DAP19-20.118 by Ms Andrea Clinick
DAP19-20.128.6 in Submission DAP19-20.128 by Mr Garry Cattermole
DAP19-20.130.3 in Submission DAP19-20.130 by Ohoka Residents Association
DAP19-20.104.4 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.
(b) **Appreciates** your feedback about Council becoming a local leader in Sustainability to anticipate how to position local government in the transition to a low emissions economy.

**CARRIED**

**Forestry**

DAP19-20.104.18 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT the Council:**

(c) **Receives** the submission.

(d) **Notes** Council currently owns a large number of forestry blocks and has recently harvested a significant area along the coastal region. This has been replanted in exotic forestry as well as some areas of native planting in partnership with the Te Kohaka O Tuhaitara Trust. Council has a commitment to replant forestry once harvested, however, where the land holdings are small and the nature of the blocks are difficult due to matters such as power lines and road frontages, an assessment is undertaken as to the commercial viability of continuing to plant these blocks in forestry.

(e) **Notes** Council does not currently have a programme of expansion, however, it is supportive of the Te Kohaka O Tuhaitara Trust which has been working with Government agencies to consider options for the future.

**CARRIED**

**Sustainability Funding**

DAP19-20.60.1 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical

DAP19-20.60.2 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical

**THAT the Council:**

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Advises** that Council currently have no financial assistance programme to assist business develop solar and wind generation. It notes that there are a number of Central Government initiatives, such as EECA that have been developed to provide assistance and specialist advice to businesses.

**CARRIED**

**Resource Consent Dairy Farms**

DAP19-20.60.4 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical

**THAT the Council:**

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Advises** that the matter of effluent spreading, or similar, is not a matter that is controlled by Waimakariri District Council is respect to contamination of land or water. Council has some functions in regard to amenity matters within the operative District Plan, however discharges are a function of ECan under the Resource Management Act. ECan have been progressing changes to their Land and Water Plan, which sets out the consent requirements to manage contamination of land and water resources. Draft planning provisions are currently available on the ECan web page.

**CARRIED**
Eyrewell - Rural Residential land

DAP19-20.60.5 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges that Waimakariri as a whole has grown in recent years. The most significant areas continue to be the urban areas, as evidenced by development in and around Rangiora and North Woodend for example. Residential development beyond existing areas is subject to regional planning controls and at this time no new, detailed propositions for new town like developments can be entertained, nor does forward planning for infrastructure anticipate such development. The District Plan is currently being reviewed and the options for rural land being considered; the Plan when notified in 2020 provides a formal opportunity for new development propositions to be considered.

CARRIED

Eyrewell - District Plan

DAP19-20.60.7 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Advises that currently the Eyrewell area is identified by zoning as Rural Zone. Many of the matters raised in your submission are much more aligned with Residential Zoning and the levels of service expected within those zones. The District Development Strategy (confirmed in 2018) and the recent consultation of on the District Plan (‘Whats the Plan?’) has put forward an approach for this area along with others, namely on going Rural provisions, and although the Council is interested in specific feedback, which was sought as part of the consultation referred to above. There are also regional planning controls which at this time direct residential development and services towards existing urban areas.

CARRIED

Lifestyle Blocks

DAP19-20.111.1 in Submission DAP19-20.111 by Mr Max Luisetti

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the District Plan is under review. A key matter is the Rural Zone and the development controls that should be placed on it. Versatile soils has always been a planning matter, but one that in recent years has carried less weight compare to other matters. Council is entertaining all options for the Rural Zone and a key control it has is the subdivision lot size, and should that change may have a bearing on the relief sought in your submission. Please also note that the Government is working on new versatile soil provisions that may amend the resource Management Act 1991 to make fertile soils a matter of national importance.

CARRIED

Councillor comments
Councillor Barnett requested that the word ‘entertaining’ be replaced with ‘considering’.
Town Entrance Themes

DAP19-20.55.11 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes a Town Entries Strategy is currently being drafted, and will be presented to each of the Community Boards over the coming months for their feedback. This strategy considers the types of treatments that might be appropriate for respective town entries, and attempts to create prioritization for the set of entries identified.
(c) Notes that following feedback from the Community Boards, staff will present the draft strategy to Council for their consideration.
(d) Notes Staff recommend Council continue to support the development of the Town Entries Strategy.

CARRIED

Councillor comments
Councillor Gordon suggested there be a better worded response. The response should include advice that a there would be an upcoming staff report.

Contestable Fund

DAP19-20.122.2 in Submission DAP19-20.122 by Ms Gillian Giller

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Confirms the request for a staff report to the District Plan and Regulation Committee on the Contestable Fund criteria and budget allocation, once the likely uptake of fund allocations is known.

CARRIED

Water Quality - Kaiapoi River

DAP19-20.55.21 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Advises that the wedge between saltwater and freshwater in coastal estuaries is a dynamic natural process, and does not necessarily impact negatively on amenity values. Council will continue to work with Environment Canterbury to manage the Kaiapoi River estuary in keeping with preserving and protecting its natural values.

CARRIED

Water Quality - General

DAP19-20.104.17 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Notes** that a review of drainage maintenance and management in the District is intended to be carried out by Council, as a recommendation in the Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA). This review will include the use of glyphosate and other herbicides, as well as physical maintenance methods.

**CARRIED**

**Customer Service**

DAP19-20.104.19 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) ** Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** additional resources are not funded for the 2019/20 year as improvements to service request responsiveness to customers is currently being addressed by a cross organisation project team with a focus on system enhancements and staff training.

**CARRIED**

**Councillor comments**

Councillor Stewart asked about the need for additional resourcing to ‘complete the loop’ (meaning go back to the person enquiring when an issue was signed off) and asked wasn’t that business as usual. J Palmer commented there was no actual budget response to that, it was solely a process improvement process and an active piece of work to look at service request response.

**Regional Public Transport Plan - I prefer option A**

DAP19-20.4.4 in Submission DAP19-20.4 by Ms Tonya Bristow
DAP19-20.2.4 in Submission DAP19-20.2 by Mr Alistair Gray
DAP19-20.5.3 in Submission DAP19-20.5 by Mr Miles Dalton
DAP19-20.9.3 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.12.3 in Submission DAP19-20.12 by Mr Jackson and Sienna and Mrs Davey
DAP19-20.16.3 in Submission DAP19-20.16 by Mr Robert and Margaret and Mrs Devlin
DAP19-20.18.3 in Submission DAP19-20.18 by Ms Linda Pocock
DAP19-20.19.4 in Submission DAP19-20.19 by Mr Eddy van Til
DAP19-20.26.3 in Submission DAP19-20.26 by Ms Reta Read
DAP19-20.31.4 in Submission DAP19-20.31 by Ms Christine Levett
DAP19-20.35.4 in Submission DAP19-20.35 by Mr Brian Heron
DAP19-20.36.4 in Submission DAP19-20.36 by Dr Jennifer Dunn
DAP19-20.42.3 in Submission DAP19-20.42 by Mr Dave Martin
DAP19-20.45.2 in Submission DAP19-20.45 by Ms Hayley Fletcher
DAP19-20.47.4 in Submission DAP19-20.47 by Mr Lindsay Hills
DAP19-20.48.4 in Submission DAP19-20.48 by Mr Steve Gregory
DAP19-20.51.4 in Submission DAP19-20.51 by Mrs Sarah Davidson
DAP19-20.53.4 in Submission DAP19-20.53 by Mr Andrew Blackwell
DAP19-20.54.4 in Submission DAP19-20.54 by Canterbury District Health Board
DAP19-20.56.1 in Submission DAP19-20.56 by St Albans Residents Association
DAP19-20.58.4 in Submission DAP19-20.58 by Mr Tony Srhoy
DAP19-20.65.4 in Submission DAP19-20.65 by Mr Rich Ainley
DAP19-20.69.4 in Submission DAP19-20.69 by Mr Desray Lithgow
DAP19-20.71.2 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre
DAP19-20.72.4 in Submission DAP19-20.72 by Mrs Beccy Creswick
DAP19-20.81.4 in Submission DAP19-20.81 by Mr Jim Martin
DAP19-20.82.4 in Submission DAP19-20.82 by Mr Daniel Huisman
DAP19-20.86.4 in Submission DAP19-20.86 by Mr Martin Pinkham
DAP19-20.87.4 in Submission DAP19-20.87 by Mr Chris Thompson
DAP19-20.88.4 in Submission DAP19-20.88 by Mrs Fran van Til
THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges that Option A is the preferred option.

(c) Notes that Park and Ride is required to support car sharing and public transport.

(d) Notes that investigation is required to determine suitable locations and potential future staging of facilities which will allow for more effective planning.

CARRIED

Regional Public Transport Plan - I prefer option B

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that Park and Ride is required to support car sharing and public transport which aligns with the objectives of the Greater Christchurch Partnership.

(c) Notes that investigation is required to determine suitable locations and potential future staging of facilities, allowing for future planning.

CARRIED
Rail Corridor

DAP19-20.60.6 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the Government has signaled a desire to move towards improved public transport and the Greater Christchurch Joint PT Committee is working on a PT Futures Programme Business Case, which will help determine the future of public transport in greater Christchurch and whether it features rail, trams of other forms of mass transit as an option.

CARRIED

Infrastructure Damage

DAP19-20.106.3 in Submission DAP19-20.106 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the earthquake recovery programme has been underway since 2010 and is now in its final year (2018/19).
(c) Notes that the Red Zone Regeneration plan was developed and adopted in May 2018 and this outlines the future for the Red Zone area. Implementation of this plan has commenced.

CARRIED

Pegasus to Rangiora Bus Service

DAP19-20.13.9 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
DAP19-20.9.10 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.71.4 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre
DAP19-20.107.3 in Submission DAP19-20.107 by Woodend Community Association

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that Public transport (PT) in the Greater Christchurch area is managed by a Joint PT Committee and the Council is a member of that committee and as such is part of the decision making process.
(c) Notes the Committee adopted the Regional Public Transport Plan in December 2018 following consultation with the public. The Committee is also working on a PT Futures Business Case. Both of these pieces of work will provide direction on the future of PT in the Greater Christchurch area.
(d) Notes a review of services within the district is currently underway and will provide an opportunity for public to provide feedback as part of the consultation process. Consultation is open from 29 April to 26 May 2019.
(e) Notes a new link between Pegasus and Silverstream is proposed as part of the current network service review. This service would require a bus change in Woodend. Should this service go ahead then it will be important that scheduling of services be coordinated to ensure good connectivity and that adequate facilities be provided at interchange points.

CARRIED
Bus Stops - Rangiora

DAP19-20.29.4 in Submission DAP19-20.29 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that Council alone cannot change the bus routes in the district and that this is consulted upon by ECan as part of the network service review.

(c) Notes the review of services in the district is being undertaken from 29th April to 26th May 2019 and this will provide the opportunity for the community to have input into the services and routes in the district.

CARRIED

Tuahiwi Traffic Management

DAP19-20.55.9 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that the issue of speed within Maori Reserve MR873 has been raised by residents in Tuahiwi. A timeline has been developed to review the speed limits and consult with the community on the issue of speed limits in the area. This information has been forwarded to the Board.

CARRIED

Kaiapoi Traffic Management

DAP19-20.55.10 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that a proposal to install cycleways along Ohoka Road from Robert Coup Drive to Williams Street has been approved by the Utilities & Roading Committee.

(c) Notes Consultation with residents has been carried out and the installation of road markings is to follow.

CARRIED

Street Intersections

DAP19-20.61.4 in Submission DAP19-20.61 by Oxford Visually Impaired Group

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes the deficiencies noted will be investigated and vegetation trimming undertaken as required.

(c) Notes the checking of sight lines at intersections is undertaken by Council staff and the maintenance contractor.

(d) Advises that specific concerns can be lodged as a service request, which will ensure concerns are captured and staff can provide specific feedback on areas of concern.

CARRIED
Ashley Gorge Road
DAP19-20.104.10 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the installation of guardrail on Ashley Gorge Road at the S Bend has been completed.

CARRIED

Rumble Strips in Pegasus
DAP19-20.71.5 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre
DAP19-20.114.5 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents' Group Inc.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the removal of the rumble strips in Pegasus is currently being managed within existing budgets and it is prioritised against other road maintenance work. Communication is ongoing with the Pegasus Residents Group on this programme.
(c) Notes this approach is considered the most cost effective way of managing this issue as it is managed within the NZTA subsidised programme.
(d) Notes that if the Council wants to speed up the programme then additional funding would be required and this would not receive NZTA funding as the NZTA programme for the next three years has already been submitted and can't be changed. Speeding up the programme is not recommended.

CARRIED

Rangiora Traffic Management
DAP19-20.103.6 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes investigation and design for improvements along the Townsend Rd / Fernside Rd / Flaxton Rd / Skewbridge Road route is about to begin with physical works to follow. This project has been included in the current NZTA three year funding cycle (2018-21).

CARRIED

Cycleways & Walkways
DAP19-20.55.7 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that staff have been working to develop a network plan for cycling in the wider district as well as working with NZTA to assess options and confirm a preferred route for extending the cycleway from the Motorway Bridge, north to Kaiapoi.
CARRIED

Harewood Road walkway

DAP19-20.64.1 in Submission DAP19-20.64 by Mr Trevor Ealam

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes a new footpath between Park Avenue and High Street will be considered as part of the Walking & Cycling Strategy.

(c) Advises that a new footpath is planned on Harewood Road (Burnt Hill to Park Avenue) as part of the new footpaths in urban areas programme, however this sits beyond the current 3 year programme.

CARRIED

Councillor comments

Councillor Felstead clarified that the footpath referred to by Mr Ealam is not an urban footpath, the submission would be for a non-sealed walkway on the opposite side of the road to Transport and other contractors. This is a popular walking route for those going around this big block, currently with just a grass verge, and there is a lot of truck and contractor traffic using this road. Currently if the grass is wet, pedestrians tend to move out onto the roadway. J McBride said this could be prioritised as part of the minor works programme. G Cleary confirmed that the response will be modified and have this included in the minor works programme and taken to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board in due course.

Following question from Councillor Barnett, J McBride will follow up having the Minor Works Programme information available on the website so the public can see the current state of projects.

Ohoka Cycleways & Walkways

DAP19-20.130.1 in Submission DAP19-20.130 by Ohoka Residents Association
DAP19-20.104.9 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that the construction of a new gritted footpath has been carried out on Mill Road between Whites Rd and Bradleys Road as a minor improvements project this year and is nearing completion.

CARRIED

Kaiapoi Cycleways & Walkways

DAP19-20.132.2 in Submission DAP19-20.132 by Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc.

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Notes** that staff have been working to develop a network plan for cycling in the wider district as well as working with NZTA to assess options and confirm a preferred route for extending the cycleway from the Motorway Bridge, north to Kaiapoi.

(c) **Notes** that public consultation will be carried out with the wider community and feedback will be welcomed through this process.

**CARRIED**

Woodend Cycleways & Walkways

DAP19-20.107.2 in Submission DAP19-20.107 by Woodend Community Association

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that a project looking at cycling connections across the wider district is currently underway and that the Kaiapoi to Woodend link is part of this assessment.

**CARRIED**

Rangiora Cycleways & Walkways

DAP19-20.103.7 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Advise**s that staff will work with the Board and workshop priorities before going out to wider public consultation.

(c) **Notes** that a portion of this funding is a carryover from 2018/19 as there has been a significant amount of work required to develop an overall network plan, prioritise and gain approvals prior to undertaking any physical works.

**CARRIED**

**NOTE:** Second para in Staff Recommendation read 2018/18, and needs correcting.

Cust Speed Limits

Councillor Barnett noted a conflict of interest with this submission, as she is a member of the Cust Community Network.

DAP19-20.78.1 in Submission DAP19-20.78 by Cust Community Network

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** that the speed limit in Cust Township should be considered.

(c) **Notes** that a speed limit change alone may not result in a reduction in speed through the area, and that supporting traffic calming measures may need to be considered and added into a future works programme.

**CARRIED**

Oxford Speed Limits

DAP19-20.61.1 in Submission DAP19-20.61 by Oxford Visually Impaired Group
THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.
(b) **Notes** that a review of the speed limit on Main Street in Oxford is not planned at this time.
(c) **Advises** that speed surveys show the existing mean speed of traffic through Oxford is lower than 50km/h and changing the speed limit is unlikely to change driver behaviour.

CARRIED

Councillor comments
Councillor Gordon noted the recommendation, but also suggests that Roading staff members could meet with members of the Oxford Visually Impaired Group on what other options could be considered to support the group, as this is a regular matter that is raised. Councillor Gordon noted the concerns of the Group and the difficulties for pedestrians crossing the busy main street. He would like wording acknowledging this to be added to the response and some follow up by staff members. G Cleary confirmed this would be included.

Oxford Footpaths

DAP19-20.104.8 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.
(b) **Notes** that the new footpath programme has been based on the comprehensive rating assessment which was reported to the Utilities & Roading Committee in 2015.
(c) **Notes** that Weka Street is programmed for 2019/20, Tui Street for 2021/22 and Rimu Place and Harewood Road (Burnt Hill to Park Avenue) sit beyond the 3 year programme.

CARRIED

Other Footpaths

DAP19-20.61.3 in Submission DAP19-20.61 by Oxford Visually Impaired Group

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.
(b) **Notes** that regular inspections of footpaths and vegetation are carried out in all town centres. Vegetation trimming at pedestrian crossings / kerb build outs and splitter islands has previously been identified by staff and Greenspaces have a programme of work to address these issues.

CARRIED

Oxford Hospital

DAP19-20.61.2 in Submission DAP19-20.61 by Oxford Visually Impaired Group

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.
(b) **Notes** that footpath deficiencies are investigated, prioritised and repairs programmed across the wider network as required.

CARRIED
Cust Footpaths

DAP19-20.78.2 in Submission DAP19-20.78 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that footpath maintenance and weed spraying is carried out as part of the maintenance contract and is monitored by staff. An audit of spraying in Cust will be undertaken and followed up with the maintenance contractor.

(c) Advises that options will be investigated for a footpath link between the Cust Community Centre and the War Memorial and that this would then need to be prioritised and programmed.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments

Councillor Gordon asked that the matter of a footpath between the Community Centre and the War Memorial in Cust be followed up, as the submission had noted an undertaking had been made by Council last year for this footpath to be provided. J McBride noted that this was not in the Roading work programme, but would follow up with the Manager Community and Recreation.

Kaiapoi Footpaths

DAP19-20.55.18 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that the kerb and channel programme is being developed at the present time and it is based on the comprehensive condition rating that was carried out two years ago and this programme will be presented to the Board shortly.

(c) Notes that Williams Street between Beach road and Sewell Street currently sits outside of the three year programme.

CARRIED

Kaiapoi Shuttle Bus

DAP19-20.55.8 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that public transport (PT) in the Greater Christchurch area is managed by a Joint PT Committee and the Council is a member of that committee and as such is part of the decision making process.

(c) Notes the Committee adopted the Regional Public Transport Plan in December 2018 following consultation with the public. The Committee is also working on a PT Futures Business Case. Both of these pieces of work will provide direction on the future of public transport in the Greater Christchurch area.

(d) Notes a review of services within the district is currently underway and will provide an opportunity for public to provide feedback as part of the consultation process. Consultation is open from 29 April to 26 May 2019. This review includes a proposal to reroute bus services in north Kaiapoi to provide an increased level of service.

CARRIED
Councillor Comments
Councillor Stewart would like to include the provision of seats at bus shelters in the recommendation. J McBride will ask the Community Board which Bus Stops they would like seats placed at and will also ask ECan for information on the numbers of bus patrons using different stops. It was noted that there is $50,000 per year which can go towards bus shelters, bus “pull in” areas.

Public Railway to Christchurch
DAP19-20.29.5 in Submission DAP19-20.29 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Advises that the Government has signaled a desire to move towards improved public transport and the Greater Christchurch Joint PT Committee is working on a PT Futures Programme Business Case, which will help determine the future of public transport in greater Christchurch and whether it features rail or alternative mass transit as an option.

CARRIED

Rural Roads
DAP19-20.106.5 in Submission DAP19-20.106 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the reduction in the forecast rates spend for Roading is due to the timing of delivery of capital projects and is not due to a reduction in maintenance or levels of service of the road network.

CARRIED

Kaiapoi River Bridge
DAP19-20.55.20 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that the painting of the balustrade on the Williams Street Bridge has previously been raised by the Board and an allowance for painting has therefore been noted from within the Town Centre Improvements budget.
(c) Notes that in the longer term options for balustrade replacement would need to be investigated, structural requirements checked and budget requested as part of a Long Term Plan process.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments
Councillor Atkinson provided clarification on the intent of the Community Boards submission, the Board does want the balustrade painted but that the Council investigate if the balustrade could be replaced and what the cost would be. G Cleary confirmed that once this information on costs has been sourced, it will be provided to the Community Board.

Councillor Gordon confirmed his understanding of the intent of the Board’s submission.
Carparking in Tiritiri Moana Drive

DAP19-20.116.8 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Approves a budget of $35,000 towards the formation of a gravel car park to service the Tutapatu Trail entrance on Tiri Moana Drive, Pegasus.

CARRIED

Road Reserves

DAP19-20.55.14 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that funding will be made available for a shared user path along the unformed road reserve between Beach Road and the Kaiapoi North School in conjunction with the adjacent Beach Grove development.
(c) Notes that a review of the maintenance of the paper road was carried out earlier this year and additional maintenance is now being carried out.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments
Councillor Atkinson noted that there had been no further development at Beach Grove and currently this piece of land is a mosquito infested mud pool. Suggested that there be some further investigation undertaken now. Staff member Kelly LaValley provided an update that there has been conversations recently with Beach Grove developers who are looking to progress Stage 3 of the development but wish to change the roading layout. There are further discussions to be had regarding this and improvements to the paper road.

Woodend Corridor

DAP19-20.116.12 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that staff have been working with NZTA Woodend Safety Improvements team and the NZTA Safer Journeys team to ensure that a holistic view is taken around Woodend to achieve the best outcome for the community.
(c) Notes that walking and cycling are being carefully considered to ensure these provide safe links to existing facilities including Jill Creamer Trail to the south as well as Pegasus and Ravenswood to the north.

CARRIED

Motorway Bridge on Ohoka Road

DAP19-20.55.22 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that the over bridges along the northern motorway are owned and maintained by NZTA and as such maintenance is from within NZTA budgets.

(c) **Notes** that staff regularly liaison meetings with NZTA on network issues and this issue will raise this in that forum.

**CARRIED**

**Councillor Comments**

Councillor Gordon suggested that the Council take a more pro-active approach and write to NZTA to formally request that they undertake some maintenance work on the Ohoka Bridge. Councillor Gordon acknowledged it could be discussed at the regularly liaison meetings, but also believes Council should write and this was agreed by Council.

**Funding of Ultra-violet Treatment of Water Supplies**

DAP19-20.117.1 in Submission DAP19-20.117 by Mr David Blackwell

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** the concerns raised in relation to the necessity to treat the pure water from deep aquifers.

(c) **Notes** that Council will not be committing to any treatment upgrades until the new Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand are released.

**CARRIED**

**UV - I prefer option A**

DAP19-20.1.1 in Submission DAP19-20.1 by Mr Vernon Hadaway
DAP19-20.4.1 in Submission DAP19-20.4 by Ms Tonya Bristow
DAP19-20.3.1 in Submission DAP19-20.3 by Mr Nathan Punton
DAP19-20.5.1 in Submission DAP19-20.5 by Mr Miles Dalton
DAP19-20.9.1 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.13.1 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
DAP19-20.16.1 in Submission DAP19-20.16 by Mr Robert and Margaret and Mrs Devlin
DAP19-20.19.1 in Submission DAP19-20.19 by Mr Eddy van Til
DAP19-20.21.1 in Submission DAP19-20.21 by Ms Kathryn Taylor
DAP19-20.26.1 in Submission DAP19-20.26 by Ms Reta Read
DAP19-20.31.1 in Submission DAP19-20.31 by Ms Christine Levett
DAP19-20.35.1 in Submission DAP19-20.35 by Mr Brian Heron
DAP19-20.36.1 in Submission DAP19-20.36 by Dr Jennifer Dunn
DAP19-20.47.1 in Submission DAP19-20.47 by Mr Lindsay Hills
DAP19-20.48.1 in Submission DAP19-20.48 by Mr Steve Gregory
DAP19-20.51.1 in Submission DAP19-20.51 by Mrs Sarah Davidson
DAP19-20.52.1 in Submission DAP19-20.52 by Mr Ben Wijngaart
DAP19-20.54.1 in Submission DAP19-20.54 by Canterbury District Health Board
DAP19-20.58.1 in Submission DAP19-20.58 by Mr Tony Srhoy
DAP19-20.65.1 in Submission DAP19-20.65 by Mr Rich Ainley
DAP19-20.66.1 in Submission DAP19-20.66 by Mrs Lisa Ainley
DAP19-20.67.1 in Submission DAP19-20.67 by Ms Megan Hosking
DAP19-20.68.1 in Submission DAP19-20.68 by Ms Kay Rabe
DAP19-20.69.1 in Submission DAP19-20.69 by Mr Desray Lithgow
DAP19-20.71.1 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre
DAP19-20.72.1 in Submission DAP19-20.72 by Mrs Beccy Creswick
DAP19-20.81.1 in Submission DAP19-20.81 by Mr Jim Martin
DAP19-20.87.1 in Submission DAP19-20.87 by Mr Chris Thompson
DAP19-20.88.1 in Submission DAP19-20.88 by Mrs Fran van Til
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in support of the sharing of the costs of UV treatment across the Council's public water supplies.

CARRIED

UV - I prefer option B

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in support of the sharing of the costs of UV treatment across the Council's public water supplies. In making its final decision Council needs to weigh up the merits of a purely user pays approach, versus the need to provide all residents on a Council run scheme with safe and affordable water.

CARRIED

UV Surcharge

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in support of the sharing of the costs of UV treatment across the Council's public water supplies. The proposal
however does not address the point you make regarding contamination of water. Any discharges to ground that may impact on a water supply fall under the responsibility of Environment Canterbury, however Council does work closely with Environment Canterbury on these matters, and protecting water sources is a priority for Council.

CARRIED

**UV Transfer Household/Stockwater**

DAP19-20.57.1 in Submission DAP19-20.57 by Mrs Carol Weaver

**THAT** the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in support of the sharing of the costs of UV treatment across the Council's public water supplies. It is noted that Council's responsibility is to provide safe and compliant water to the point of supply at each property boundary on public water supplies, and therefore cannot rely on individual private treatment systems to ensure the safety of water supplies.

CARRIED

**UV Advance of Government Decision**

DAP19-20.104.1 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board  
DAP19-20.103.1 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in support of the sharing of the costs of UV treatment across the Council's public water supplies. It is acknowledged while signals have been strong that all water will require treatment, the legislation has not yet come into effect to require this. Council will monitor progress as the situation develops and ultimately will make a decision after receiving advice from staff on timing for the projects. It is expected that this decision will be made early in 2020, with construction budgets for upgrades requiring completion by June 2021 for schemes currently without any treatment. It is noted that construction budgets for the proposed works have been adjusted through a staff submission to the Draft Annual Plan such that construction works are now planned for the 2020/21 year rather than construction being spread over the 2019/20 and 2020/21 years. This will allow time for review of draft Drinking-water Standards which are to be released in 2019, and any amendments to designs following this.

CARRIED

**UV Inequality 1**

DAP19-20.8.1 in Submission DAP19-20.8 by Mr Kevin Wilder

**THAT** the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in your submission favouring a user pays approach to the funding of UV treatment of Council's public water supplies.
It is acknowledged that you have highlighted concerns about equity in charging where some properties use more or less water than others. In the case of UV treatment, the majority of the costs would not change if more or less water is used as most costs are fixed capital costs to construct the treatment infrastructure, rather than variable operating costs that may go up or down depending on actual usage.

CARRIED

UV Hurunui Water Scheme

DAP19-20.25.1 in Submission DAP19-20.25 by Mr Paul Morrin

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Clarifies that the proposed UV rate is only to be applied to ratepayers currently on Waimakariri District Council public water supplies. Therefore, residents on the Ashley Rural Water scheme which is managed by the Hurunui District Council would not be required to pay the proposed rate.

CARRIED

UV Nitrate Issues

DAP19-20.82.1 in Submission DAP19-20.82 by Mr Daniel Huisman

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in your submission favouring a user pays approach to the funding of UV treatment of Council's public water supplies. In making its final decision Council needs to weigh up the merits of a user pays approach, versus the need to provide all residents on a public scheme with safe and affordable water.
(c) Notes that while signals have been strong that all water will require treatment, the legislation has not yet come into effect to require this. Council will monitor progress as the situation develops and ultimately will make a decision after receiving advice from staff as to whether to implement the upgrades immediately, or whether to continue to wait for legislative requirements. It is expected that this decision will be made early in 2020, with construction budgets for upgrades requiring completion by June 2021 for schemes currently without any treatment.

CARRIED

UV Uniform Annual Charge

DAP19-20.118.1 in Submission DAP19-20.118 by Ms Andrea Clinick

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in your submission favouring a user pays approach to the funding of UV treatment of Council's public water supplies. In making its final decision Council needs to weigh up the merits of a user pays approach, versus the need to provide all residents on a public scheme with safe and affordable water.

CARRIED
In terms of the cost difference, the figures to compare when assessing the impact of the proposed uniform UV charge is to look at the rate under 'Option A' versus the rate under 'Option B' for a given year. In the case of Kaiapoi, the difference between the Option A and Option B columns is $4 in the first year, $5 in the second year, and $7 in the third year. It is acknowledged that there are increases in the Kaiapoi water rate forecast over the coming three years, however these are occurring for reasons independent of the UV rating proposal.

UV Inequality 2

**DAP19-20.55.1 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board**

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.  
(b) Acknowledges the points you have raised in your submission favouring a user pays approach to the funding of UV treatment of Council's public water supplies. In making its final decision Council needs to weigh up the merits of a user pays approach, versus the need to provide all residents on a public scheme with safe and affordable water.

CARRIED

In response to submission point about the UV rating proposal being a pre-cursor to wider uniform charging, it is noted that this proposal is independent of any other potential future proposals and does not commit Council to any more than its stated purpose and scope. The wider review of three waters rating is due to be consulted on in 2022 which is a separate process to this.

**Ultra-violet Treatment of Water Supplies**

**DAP19-20.55.2 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board**

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.  
(b) **Acknowledges** the concerns raised by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board in relation to the timing of water supply treatment upgrades.  
(c) **Notes** that Council will not be committing to any treatment upgrades until the draft Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand are released.

CARRIED

**Potable Water**

**DAP19-20.60.3 in Submission DAP19-20.60 by Angus Robertson Mechanical**

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.  
(b) **Acknowledges** the concerns raised in relation to sustainability and protection of water quality sources.  
(c) **Notes** that existing properties can apply to connect to a Council water supply by filling out an application form available online from our website or from one of our service centres.

CARRIED
Garrymere Water Supply

DAP19-20.84.1 in Submission DAP19-20.84 by Mr Gene Carter

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the concerns raised in relation to the costs of upgrading the Garrymere water supply to comply with the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand.
(c) Notes that the proposed approach of funding the UV treatment of water supplies based on a uniform charge to all water supply ratepayers, provides a reduction in water supply rates for the Garrymere water scheme compared to what was previously shown in the Long Term Plan.

CARRIED

Mandeville Stormwater

DAP19-20.112.1 in Submission DAP19-20.112 by Ohoka Drainage Advisory Group
DAP19-20.105.1 in Submission DAP19-20.105 by Mandeville Residents’ Association
DAP19-20.104.11 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the work undertaken by the Mandeville Residents Association, Ohoka Drainage Advisory Group and Oxford-Ohoka Community Board related to highlighting drainage issues and working with staff to determine approach solutions.
(c) Accepts the request to bring forward the Mandeville Resurgence Channel Diversion / Upgrade project.
(d) Notes that other maintenance and advice on private issues will be dealt with by staff from existing operational budgets.

CARRIED

Development Contributions

DAP19-20.37.1 in Submission DAP19-20.37 by Morgan Group Ltd

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Requests staff to consider policy provisions in the Development Contributions Policy related to Infill Housing and report to Council as part of the 2020/21 Annual Plan process

CARRIED

Community Services

DAP19-20.117.6 in Submission DAP19-20.117 by Mr David Blackwell

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

CARRIED

The Council thanks the submitter and notes that careful consideration of the needs of the District
are taken into account before deciding on the location of any new facilities. Several sites were analysed before deciding on Coldstream Road for the location of the new Multi Sports Centre. Similarly Kaiapoi was decided on as the best location for two new softball diamonds to serve the District.

Tennis Court Facility

DAP19-20.9.2 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley  
DAP19-20.128.3 in Submission DAP19-20.128 by Mr Garry Cattermole  
DAP19-20.106.2 in Submission DAP19-20.106 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes a separate report has been prepared for Council’s consideration regarding the development of a tennis centre in Rangiora.

CARRIED

Tennis Court - I prefer option A

DAP19-20.4.3 in Submission DAP19-20.4 by Ms Tonya Bristow  
DAP19-20.2.3 in Submission DAP19-20.2 by Mr Alistair Gray  
DAP19-20.14.1 in Submission DAP19-20.14 by Mrs Marianne Benson  
DAP19-20.16.2 in Submission DAP19-20.16 by Mr Robert and Margaret and Mrs Devlin  
DAP19-20.17.1 in Submission DAP19-20.17 by Ms Kelly Smart  
DAP19-20.19.3 in Submission DAP19-20.19 by Mr Eddy van Til  
DAP19-20.20.1 in Submission DAP19-20.20 by Mrs Lisa Scott  
DAP19-20.21.2 in Submission DAP19-20.21 by Ms Kathryn Taylor  
DAP19-20.22.1 in Submission DAP19-20.22 by Ms Lauren Whittaker  
DAP19-20.23.1 in Submission DAP19-20.23 by Mr Scott Taylor  
DAP19-20.24.1 in Submission DAP19-20.24 by Mr Martin Searle  
DAP19-20.26.2 in Submission DAP19-20.26 by Ms Reta Read  
DAP19-20.30.1 in Submission DAP19-20.30 by Canterbury County Tennis  
DAP19-20.31.3 in Submission DAP19-20.31 by Ms Christine Levett  
DAP19-20.34.1 in Submission DAP19-20.34 by Mrs Dianne Payne  
DAP19-20.35.3 in Submission DAP19-20.35 by Mr Brian Heron  
DAP19-20.36.3 in Submission DAP19-20.36 by Dr Jennifer Dunn  
DAP19-20.38.1 in Submission DAP19-20.38 by Mrs Jenny Ormsby  
DAP19-20.39.1 in Submission DAP19-20.39 by Mrs Penny Lovell  
DAP19-20.40.1 in Submission DAP19-20.40 by Mr Michael and Mrs Lawler  
DAP19-20.42.2 in Submission DAP19-20.42 by Mr Dave Martin  
DAP19-20.43.1 in Submission DAP19-20.43 by Ashley Tennis Club  
DAP19-20.44.1 in Submission DAP19-20.44 by Mr Logan Benson  
DAP19-20.45.1 in Submission DAP19-20.45 by Ms Hayley Fletcher  
DAP19-20.46.1 in Submission DAP19-20.46 by Mr Graeme Wellington  
DAP19-20.47.3 in Submission DAP19-20.47 by Mr Lindsay Hills  
DAP19-20.48.3 in Submission DAP19-20.48 by Mr Steve Gregory  
DAP19-20.49.3 in Submission DAP19-20.49 by Mr Cameron Scott  
DAP19-20.50.1 in Submission DAP19-20.50 by Mr Michael Benson  
DAP19-20.51.3 in Submission DAP19-20.51 by Mrs Sarah Davidson  
DAP19-20.54.2 in Submission DAP19-20.54 by Canterbury District Health Board  
DAP19-20.58.3 in Submission DAP19-20.58 by Mr Tony Srhoy  
DAP19-20.63.1 in Submission DAP19-20.63 by Rangiora and Southbrook Tennis Club  
DAP19-20.65.3 in Submission DAP19-20.65 by Mr Rich Ainley  
DAP19-20.69.3 in Submission DAP19-20.69 by Mr Desray Lithgow  
DAP19-20.72.3 in Submission DAP19-20.72 by Mrs Beccy Creswick  
DAP19-20.73.1 in Submission DAP19-20.73 by Amberley Tennis Club Inc.  
DAP19-20.75.1 in Submission DAP19-20.75 by North Canterbury Tennis Association
DAP19-20.77.2 in Submission DAP19-20.77 by Mr Mark Frahm
DAP19-20.81.3 in Submission DAP19-20.81 by Mr Jim Martin
DAP19-20.87.3 in Submission DAP19-20.87 by Mr Chris Thompson
DAP19-20.88.3 in Submission DAP19-20.88 by Mrs Fran van Til
DAP19-20.89.3 in Submission DAP19-20.89 by Mrs Judith Roper-Lindsay
DAP19-20.92.1 in Submission DAP19-20.92 by Waimakariri Youth Council
DAP19-20.93.1 in Submission DAP19-20.93 by Mr Dave Howard
DAP19-20.94.1 in Submission DAP19-20.94 by Ms Poppy Silcock
DAP19-20.95.1 in Submission DAP19-20.95 by Ms Jenni MacGillivray
DAP19-20.96.1 in Submission DAP19-20.96 by Ms Paula Howard
DAP19-20.97.1 in Submission DAP19-20.97 by Mr Steve Marsh
DAP19-20.98.1 in Submission DAP19-20.98 by Ms Julie Bohnen
DAP19-20.99.1 in Submission DAP19-20.99 by Ms Annabel Wilson
DAP19-20.100.1 in Submission DAP19-20.100 by Mr Simon and Sam and Mrs Wilson
DAP19-20.101.1 in Submission DAP19-20.101 by Mr Andrew Silcock
DAP19-20.108.1 in Submission DAP19-20.108 by Oxford Tennis Club
DAP19-20.110.1 in Submission DAP19-20.110 by Ms Barbara Thompson
DAP19-20.119.3 in Submission DAP19-20.119 by Mr Jim Ryan
DAP19-20.104.2 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
DAP19-20.103.3 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.  

CARRIED

Please refer to separate report regarding Tennis which has been prepared for Councils consideration.

Tennis Court - I prefer option B

DAP19-20.3.3 in Submission DAP19-20.3 by Mr Nathan Punton
DAP19-20.8.3 in Submission DAP19-20.8 by Mr Kevin Wilder
DAP19-20.10.3 in Submission DAP19-20.10 by Mr Mike Grove-Merritt
DAP19-20.12.2 in Submission DAP19-20.12 by Mr Jackson and Sienna and Mrs Davey
DAP19-20.13.6 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
DAP19-20.15.3 in Submission DAP19-20.15 by Mr Kit Peverill
DAP19-20.18.2 in Submission DAP19-20.18 by Ms Linda Pocock
DAP19-20.25.3 in Submission DAP19-20.25 by Mr Paul Morrin
DAP19-20.27.3 in Submission DAP19-20.27 by Mrs Eileen Worsfold
DAP19-20.28.3 in Submission DAP19-20.28 by Mr Robert Worsfold
DAP19-20.29.1 in Submission DAP19-20.29 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi-Patterson
DAP19-20.32.3 in Submission DAP19-20.32 by Mr David & Renee and Mrs Nelson
DAP19-20.53.3 in Submission DAP19-20.53 by Mr Andrew Blackwell
DAP19-20.55.3 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaipoi-Tuahiwi Community Board
DAP19-20.68.3 in Submission DAP19-20.68 by Ms Kay Rabe
DAP19-20.71.3 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre
DAP19-20.82.3 in Submission DAP19-20.82 by Mr Daniel Huismann
DAP19-20.86.3 in Submission DAP19-20.86 by Mr Martin Pinkham
DAP19-20.90.3 in Submission DAP19-20.90 by Ms Amanda Black
DAP19-20.109.3 in Submission DAP19-20.109 by Ms Judith Anderson
DAP19-20.114.2 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents' Group Inc.
DAP19-20.116.3 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
DAP19-20.117.3 in Submission DAP19-20.117 by Mr David Blackwell
DAP19-20.118.2 in Submission DAP19-20.118 by Ms Andrea Clinick
DAP19-20.129.3 in Submission DAP19-20.129 by Mr Andy Childs
DAP19-20.135.3 in Submission DAP19-20.135 by Mr Peter and Shirley and Mrs Jenkins
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

CARRIED

Please refer to separate report regarding Tennis which has been prepared for Council decision.

Ohoka Domain

DAP19-20.7.1 in Submission DAP19-20.7 by Ohoka Domain Advisory Group

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Approves a one off $5,000 grant for the Ohoka Domain Advisory Group for the purpose of restoration of the Ohoka Gatekeepers Lodge.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments
Councillor Doody commented on the possibility of revenue coming in from the Farmers Market being used to help fund the Gatekeeper’s Lodge. J Palmer advised that this change would be required to go through the Community and Recreation Committee and Council.

Moved Councillor Barnett seconded Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(c) Removes the one off $5,000 grant for the Ohoka Domain Advisory Group for the purpose of restoration of the Ohoka Gatekeeper’s Lodge the submission.

LOST

A Division was called by Councillor Barnett
For: Councillors Barnett, Blackie and Williams
Against: Councillors Felstead, Atkinson, Doody, Brine, Gordon, Meyer, Stewart, and Mayor Ayers

3: 8

Councillor Atkinson commented he did not want to see revenue from a facility returned individually. It should go into a pot to be divvied up. Groups could submit to the plan for extra funding. Councillor Atkinson was reluctant to see targeted income and noted problems that had been caused in the past.

Councillor Felstead agreed with Councillor Atkinson. He would support the $5,000 already in the budget noting it was an ambitious project. The Gatekeepers Lodge was in position and looked great and he did not want to see a historic house in the reserve deteriorate.

Councillor Gordon commented on the amount of funding raised by the group. It was a one off grant and was already in the budget. He noted the difficulty of currently getting funding.

Councillor Williams did not see the funding as a one off. They had put to the Council in the past that they would not need more funding. He believed it was setting a precedent and he did not support approving $5,000.

Councillor Blackie stated he opposed the $5,000 funding for similar reasons to Councillor Williams. He did not debate the volunteer work and enthusiasm for the project, however they had said they would fund themselves.

Councillor Barnett also believed it would set a precedent. They had provided funding and the group were asking for more, every group could do that. There was already facilities funding for
parks and sportsgrounds and asked what funding was available to look after the community. She referred to the 16% of young people and lack of funding for youth. She believed a lot of funding was going to a certain demographic. Grants were being approved without a policy for grants and it was inconsistent and open to challenge she believed. If the group could not find funding now, how would they in the future?

Councillor Brine noted the group were entitled to come back annually. Due consideration was given to requests and a policy was not needed. He did not see the demographic of 16% being put aside.

Mayor Ayers commented that there was no precedent setting, it had been done countless times. The lodge was community owned. It was a one off amount and if the group came back the Council could decide.

Councillor Atkinson did not concur with the demographic argument commenting on the number of school children he had taken around the Kaiapoi Railway Station. It was possible for the group to return every year.

Councillor Barnett commented every single grant had merit, however they had been told repeatedly how much rates were increasing. They needed to look at every grant with a fine tooth comb. They did not have financial information from the group and she questioned that process going forward and believed there needed to be a conversation about process. Councillor Barnett stated she did not have enough information to convince her to support the $5,000 grant.

2020 NZ PGA Championship


THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines the Request from Pegasus Golf and Sports Club for $50,000.
(c) Notes the potential benefits to the Waimakariri District of the Golf Tournament being held at Pegasus Golf Club.
(d) Recommends that the Pegasus Golf and Sports Club liaise with Enterprise North Canterbury in regards to funding assistance.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments

Initial discussion held on Tuesday 28 May

Councillor Barnett asked if the Council could consider making a more modest contribution towards this event, and would declining the application have any adverse impact on any developments in this area? Mr Palmer comments that there is a resource consent in place for a 50 bed hotel to be built beside the golf clubrooms at Pegasus.

Previously Council has made contributions of $8,000 requested by Canterbury Hockey, for the hosting of the international hockey games played on the new hockey turf on Coldstream Road.

S Markham is to ask Enterprise North Canterbury what funding would be available through Event Funding.

Wednesday 29 May

Councillor Gordon advised that Pegasus Golf Club had put forward an application to the maximum $5,000 as part of the contestable fund.

Moved Councillor Felstead seconded Councillor Barnett
THAT the Council:

(b) Receives the submission.

(e) Approves the Request from Pegasus Golf and Sports Club for $50,000.

LOST

Councillor Doody - For

Councillor Felstead commented he had moved the motion for debate only, he had listened to the argument and would vote against it. Hopefully they would receive funding from ENC he remarked.

Councillor Barnett agreed with Councillor Felstead that she wanted the motion discussed, particularly the opportunity for employment and economic development and encouragement of large scale events. She was pleased to hear they would be applying for a resource consent for a hotel. Councillor Barnett understood the commercial nature was sensitive in terms of ratepayers.

Councillor Atkinson suggested ENC should have ability to encourage large scale events. He was not sure the Council was in the business of supporting commercial events. There was an argument that while it was a commercial business it was also a New Zealand event. He suggested the portfolio holder look at ways to create a fund for major events.

Councillor Gordon supported the comments made, as portfolio holder he was happy to take the idea up. The previous year event funds has been merged, it was not to say it could not be revisited. It was a great event and he did want to see it supported. By voting against, it was not that he did not want to see the event happen rather that the Council was not the appropriate body to deal with that Councillor Gordon stated.

Councillor Doody suggested that the bigger picture needed to be looked at and that the event would attract people to the area to spend in local retail.

Mayor Ayers commented that a number of events were sub-regional for example Muscle Car Madness and Swannanoa School Fair. While some were straight commercial, others were community run. Mayor Ayers believed the Council should think they could support these events, for example by traffic management and advertising on the Council website, so they could support events not directly through grants. He fully agreed the events helped local retail although he noted some events provided food.

Community Wellbeing North Canterbury Trust

DAP19-20.70.1 in Submission DAP19-20.70 by Community Wellbeing North Canterbury Trust

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges the important role that Well Being North Canterbury has in the provision of social support services to the District and the Council continues to support the organisation with an Annual Grant. For the 2019/20 financial year this will be $84,830 (excl gst).

(c) Notes the Council does not wish to consider a further contribution to the Trust until it has a greater understanding of the budget pressures facing the Trust and the potential for cost reductions in service delivery and the impact that that would have on the Community. Staff would be happy to work with the Trust on this and report to Council, if Wellbeing North Canterbury is interested in pursuing this.

CARRIED
Councillor comments
Councillor Stewart suggested that the Trust talks to Enterprise North Canterbury about some mentoring advice on handling budgets. This could be a benefit and assist in budget operations.

Southbrook Community Sports Club
DAP19-20.85.1 in Submission DAP19-20.85 by Southbrook Community Sports Club

Councillor Comments – 28 May
On Tuesday 28 May, Councillor Barnett noted that she had previously requested a copy of the full financial audited accounts of the Club. This information was subsequently provided to all Councillors prior to the meeting reconvening on 29 May.

Councillor recommendation – 29 May
Moved Councillor Brine seconded Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Approves a grant of $15,000 to Southbrook Sports Club.

CARRIED

Councillor Brine referred to the struggles of Southbrook Sports Club in the recent past. At the start of the process he had assisted with advising on the format of the submission. During that time he gained the strong feeling that the Club Chair and Committee were making significant improvements including identifying their shortfall. He referred to the $385,000 required in the budget for the Cust Community Centre due to its having been ‘let go’. He noted that if the Club walked away from the building it became the responsibility of Council. It was not possible to sell and the other option was for it to be closed down. It was important for the Council to help out the Club.

Councillor Atkinson concurred with Councillor Brine. It was an investment of $15,000 so that there would not be a bill of the size required for Cust Community Centre in the future. It was clear the presenters were sincere and had plans to move forward. He was supportive of sports field clubhouses for their creation of a sense of community.

Councillor Gordon fully supported the motion. The situation was not different to that of museums and art galleries that the Council supported. He had been impressed with the professionalism of presenters and noted the large liability involved if the clubhouse was handed over to the Council.

Councillor Stewart commented that while she was not particularly in favour of the grant, she was able to be persuaded on argument. She suggested the business arm of the Council, being ENC, be available to the Club to provide the wide range of mentoring support and expertise it had available. It was timely to ask ENC to extend support to community organisations. If funding did go to the club Councillor Stewart she would like to see ENC business advice to go with that.

Councillor Doody agreed with Councillor Stewart that advice from ENC would be beneficial. She suggested that the club also contact Mandeville Sports Club (MSC) to see how their model worked.

Councillor Barnett queried the loan of $131,270. J Palmer advised that had been written off. Councillor Barnett noted the differences to MSC and asked where the Southbrook Club received funding. She was advised it was through affiliate fees from the sports clubs that used the grounds. Councillor Barnett expressed concern that funding could be to a ‘black hole’ and noted the loan write off. She had requested to see the club’s financials. She noted that she did appreciate the business case presented and reluctantly agreed to support the $15,000 in this instance.
Councillor Brine, with regard to ENC, noted the financial credentials of the presenters. The club had thought the loan had been written off a number of years ago and the inclusion was a correction of a mistake.

Rangiora Croquet Club

DAP19-20.126.1 in Submission DAP19-20.126 by Rangiora Croquet Club Inc.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

CARRIED

The submission from the Rangiora Croquet Club is covered in the Community and Recreation Staff Submission report.

Hope Community Trust

DAP19-20.124.1 in Submission DAP19-20.124 by the Hope Community Trust

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the work that the Hope Trust is doing in the community. The Council considers that funding for this service, with its focus on secondary school students, should come from central Government.

CARRIED

Councillor comment
Following discussion on the core business of the Council, it was confirmed that wellbeing is core business of the Council, including that for youth wellbeing. Councillors agreed with the recommendation but asked for the response to include a comment on the Council's role in wellbeing of the community, but that it was unable to make a financial contribution at this time.

Eyreton Pony Club

DAP19-20.127.1 in Submission DAP19-20.127 by Eyreton Pony Club

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Approves in principle a Community Loan of $20,000, interest free for two years, being provided to the Eyreton Pony Club with the final loan terms to be agreed by the Community and Recreation Committee.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments
Councillor Gordon asked why the interest free period was set at two years. C Brown said this had been the time period set for interest free loans to other groups. Previously the Club has been successful in securing outside funding.

Councillor Doody noted that the Eyreton Pony Club only need these funds for a short term and does not believe they will have any issues paying it back.
THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Declines** the request from the Mandeville Sports Club for funding towards internal club health and safety procedures and documentation.

(c) **Approves** an additional $300 of operational grant funding towards ongoing compliance signage.

(d) **Approves** an additional $2,925 of operation grant funding for the 2019/20 year only to allow the Mandeville Sports Club to contract the mowing of the area around the outside of the playground.

(e) **Approves** an additional $1,040 of operational grant funding for the purpose of toilet cleaning for the new toilet noting that this will be required on an ongoing basis.

(f) **Approves** an additional $2,000 per annum for maintenance of the North Eyre Road driveway access into Mandeville Sports Grounds.

(g) **Notes** that the above total increase in operational grant funding would be $6,265.

(h) **Declines** the request from the Mandeville Sports Club for funding towards security monitoring. Declines the request from the Mandeville Sports Club for funding of annual financial audits

(i) **Notes** that staff will work with the Mandeville Sports Club over the next financial year to determine the requirement for any operational funding for shelter belt and tree maintenance.

CARRIED

Councillor Comments

Councilor Barnett asked does the Council have a Policy on how it supports Sports Clubs. C Brown said there is no overarching Policy. The Mandeville Sports Club is a unique facility in the district, with the grant to the Club to cover costs of maintaining the grounds (this is based on what it would cost the Council if they were maintaining the grounds). The arrangements that the Council has with the Mandeville Sports Club and Southbrook Combined Sports Club are quite different models.

C Brown responded to a question from Councillor Williams on the size of the area around the playground that is mowed.

Councilor Gordon asked about the query from the Club on having to have an audit undertaken, and is this a requirement of the Council. It was determined that it is in the constitution of the Club that an audit is required, and this would be the Club’s responsibility to amend their constitution.

J Palmer said the Council will want to see the financial statements of the Club. The financial accounts are produced by an accountant and these then go to an Auditor.
Pegasus Places and Spaces

DAP19-20.55.13 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that currently bike racks are installed in town centre areas, major community facilities and high use destination parks.
(c) Notes that where new parks are developed or old parks are renewed staff will consider the installation of cycle parking stations in consultation with the community. This will be discussed with the Community Board.

CARRIED

Pegasus Community Centre

DAP19-20.9.8 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.71.7 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre
DAP19-20.79.1 in Submission DAP19-20.79 by Mrs Rochelle Faimalo
DAP19-20.116.6 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
DAP19-20.114.9 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents’ Group Inc.

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Advises that Council has recently entered into a lease of building space adjacent to the current Pegasus Community Centre which will more than double the available community space.
(c) Notes that there is funding identified in 2027/28 financial year for a community facility in the Pegasus North Woodend and that as the population in this area grows staff will report back to Council with further recommendations about where the facility should go and what it should look like.
(d) Notes that while funding for a facility currently sits in the 2027/28 financial year this could be changed during a Long Term Plan process and will be guided by population growth and areas of development.
(e) Notes that staff are currently working with the Pegasus Residents Group on the fit out of the new space with allocated Council funding.
(f) Notes that sites for future facilities in Pegasus and North Woodend will be reported back to a future Council.

CARRIED

Councillor comments:

Following question from Councillor Gordon, C Brown advised that there is still the need to identify a suitable location for a facility. There is land that Todds Property Ltd have agreed to be vested to the Council on the lakefront, but this vesting process is still to be undertaken.

Councillor Williams questioned the 2027/28 financial year noted in the recommendation and believes this could be sooner.

Councillor Gordon noted the interim solution of the current community facility in Pegasus, but the Council needs to be planning ahead for some land to locate a new community facility on.

Councillor Atkinson noted concern that there is being mention made of having community centres in Woodend, Pegasus and Ravenswood. He does support that land will need to be secured for the future, but the Council also needs to be looking at the bigger picture of communities.
throughout the district. Councillor Atkinson fully supports the investigation of a site for a community centre. The temporary facility at Pegasus is warranted and needed. J Palmer noted that the Council is forming a view that North Woodend will be a significant key activity area for that broader community of Woodend and Pegasus. It was agreed that every subdivision needs to be considered in the services provided in each town. Another consideration which will need to be taken into account is the separation of Woodend and Pegasus.

Councillor Barnett noted that the current temporary community facility is serving the community but believes it is now over booked. She is supportive of bringing forward the construction of a purpose built community centre for Pegasus, noting there is a shortage of community facilities in the eastern areas. She noted the going matters associated to costs with the Pegasus Lake. Councillor Barnett suggested that the Woodend-Pegasus Development Strategy needs to be re-initiated to look at issues.

Mayor Ayers remarked it will be a difficult decision for the future Council in the provision of community facilities in Ravenswood and Pegasus.

**Pegasus Parks and Reserves**

DAP19-20.71.6 in Submission DAP19-20.71 by Pegasus Medical Centre

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that staff will work with the Woodend Sefton Community Board to determine any additional park furniture required around Pegasus Lake and report this back to the Board to seek funding from the Boards General landscape budget.

(c) **Notes** that 3 additional shade trees have been planted around the upgraded Gladstone Park Playground. These trees will take approximately 5 years to start providing significant shade.

(d) **Notes** that staff will look for any additional opportunities to provide more trees around the playground and if suitable utilize existing tree budgets to plant in the spring season.

(e) **Notes** that staff will work with the project development unit to investigate the possibility of lighting along the path.

**CARRIED**

**Fees and Charges**

DAP19-20.116.7 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that a working group of staff and elected members has been set up to look into the process regarding groups and individual's seeking relief from fees and charges for community facilities

(c) **Notes** that the increase for the 2019/20 financial year is the second consecutive increase and was approved during the last Long Term Plan process.

(d) **Declines** the request from the Woodend - Sefton Community Board to have the planned fees increase for community facilities implemented over a number of years.

**CARRIED**
Pegasus Lake
DAP19-20.114.6 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents’ Group Inc.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that the land surrounding Pegasus Lake which has been identified as having potential for a future community facility is owned by Todd Properties and if Todd Properties choose to vest this land with Council it is not likely to occur until lake is also vested.

(c) **Notes** that staff will work with the Woodend Sefton Community Board to look at the possibility utilizing the Boards general landscape funding for additional furniture around the lake edge.

**CARRIED**

Millton Dog Park
DAP19-20.76.1 in Submission DAP19-20.76 by Friends of the Rangiora Dog Park

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that staff will work with the Friends of Rangiora Dog Park and the Rangiora Ashley Community Board on the development of a detailed design for Millton Memorial Reserve in anticipation for funding to become available in the 2020/21 financial year.

**CARRIED**

Councillor comment
Councillor Blackie said the recommendation implies an increased level of service at Millton Dog Park with this. Councillors noted there is good dog agility area at both Mandeville Sports Ground and at Cust.

C Brown noted that there is funds in the Long Term Plan for development of the whole of Millton Memorial Reserve, not just the dog park. Council staff will work with the users of the Reserve, including the Friends of the Millton Dog Park, on how the money will be spent, which might include the additional fencing, but is likely to include planting and a walkway in the remainder of the reserve as well. This budget is already identified. Generally with the dog agility areas, the Council will provide the space, and the Friends of the Dog Park will put in the equipment.

Councillor Barnett believes this matter needs to go back to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board as the budget has been consulted on for the whole Reserve and the figures quoted are not related to the dog park.

Bush Street Reserve
DAP19-20.11.1 in Submission DAP19-20.11 by Mr Malcolm and Kayleen and Mrs Blazey

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Decline** the request or formed pathway to be constructed within Bush Street Reserve noting that the pathway would limit to opportunity for the reserve to be used for other active recreation activities.

**CARRIED**
Skate Park
DAP19-20.92.4 in Submission DAP19-20.92 by Waimakariri Youth Council

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Approves the Waimakariri Youth Council request for $20,000 as a contribution towards the landscape enhancements of the Dudley Park Skate Park.

CARRIED

It was noted the Youth Council whole project was around $50,000. Other fundraising options were being investigated.

Councillor Comment
There was discussion on the submission from the Youth Council and the amount that they were requesting.

CUST Places and Spaces
DAP19-20.78.3 in Submission DAP19-20.78 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that Council staff are currently addressing the maintenance issues at Cust Domain as noted in the submission.
(c) Notes Staff will work during the year with the Cust Community Network and the Cust School on a development plan for the Domain.

CARRIED

JC Carpark Oxford
DAP19-20.74.1 in Submission DAP19-20.74 by Oxford Historical Records Society Inc

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Approves staff undertaking public consultation regarding the removal of the tree and mound in front of the Oxford Museum in order to inform a report to be prepared for the consideration of the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.

CARRIED

Pearson Park
DAP19-20.102.1 in Submission DAP19-20.102 by Pearson Park Advisory Group
DAP19-20.104.6 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
DAP19-20.104.7 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
DAP19-20.104.12 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Notes** that staff will work with the Pearson Park Advisory Group to identify priorities for the spending of their capital budget.

(c) **Notes** that the skate park in Oxford currently meets Councils level of service however staff would be happy to work with the Pearson Park Advisory Group on a design should they identify this as a priority for their capital funding.

CARRIED

Moved Councillor Felstead       seconded Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

(d) **Approves** $50,000 for sealing the between Oxford Butcher Shop and pine tree.

CARRIED

Councillor comments
Councillor Felstead suggested there could be an amended recommendation and believes the Council should be looking at this more positively. Wording could be that the Council could “work with the Advisory Group”, as there could be some funding towards this sealing project from other groups. It is not realistic for the Pearson Park Advisory Group to use all their Council grant to pay for the sealing of this area, as this would use all their funds. They could make a contribution towards the project, as could the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board through their discretionary grant fund. Staff could work with these two groups to see what could be funded. Councillor Felstead believes it is time for the Council to look at this a little more positively, rather than just decline the request.

Councillor Barnett suggested that may need to be a report, with possible other options for improving this area. There could be a drainage issue to be considered. C Brown noted that there has been different options looked at for this area.

Councillor Williams suggested another option that could be looked at which is a much less cost. This is similar to Otta seal which the Council has used at times in the past and this could be used at this site.

Several Councillors noted that this is right in the middle of the Oxford commercial area, with many visitors and to the farmers market on the weekends.

Councillors Gordon and Doody both added comments that the sealing of this car park needs to be included in the budget.

Councillor Atkinson also noted that this matter has been brought to the attention of the Council several times in the past.

J Palmer advised that there was a 0.005% rate effect for the sealing as it was a capex project.

**General Landscaping Fund**

DAP19-20.104.15 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** that the Board does cover a wide geographic area and the method of calculating the provision of general landscaping funds will be reviewed by the Council prior to the preparation of the next Long Term Plan.

CARRIED
Sovereign Palms Park

DAP19-20.131.1 in Submission DAP19-20.131 by Mrs Lorene Armstrong

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Supports staff preparing a report for the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board requesting consideration of funding towards the installation of multiuse goal posts in the reserve on the corner of Sovereign Boulevard.

   CARRIED

Fuller Street Riverbank Land

DAP19-20.55.12 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Supports staff investigating the potential to lease land on the North side of Fuller Street and to the East side of Otaki Street and prepare a landscape plan for the consideration of the Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board.

   CARRIED

Kaiapoi Domain

DAP19-20.55.15 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes that staff will ensure that the new tree contractor employed by Council is undertaking additional epicormics control within Kaiapoi Domain on a regular basis.
   (c) Notes that staff will work with roading unit to determine what can be done to improve the surface at the front of Kaiapoi Domain along Ranfurly Street and report back to the Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board
   (d) Notes that staff will schedule maintenance of the pillars at the Ranfurly entrance to Kaiapoi Domain.

   CARRIED

Bayliss Drive Reserve

DAP19-20.55.17 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes that Staff currently mow a track from Bayliss drive reserve. Could consider funding through the Long Term Plan.

   CARRIED

Cam and Kaiapoi River bank landscaping

DAP19-20.55.16 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board
THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Supports staff continuing to provide a higher level service for mowing in the Kaiapoi Town Centre area riverbanks compared to other areas including the Cam River riverbanks.

(c) Notes that staff will continue to work with Ecan on the enhancement of the Kaiapoi River under the direction of the Kaiapoi River Rehabilitation Working Group.

CARRIED

Woodend Community Centre

DAP19-20.116.9 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Declines the request from the Woodend Sefton Community Board for additional storage space and a stage for the Woodend Community Centre noting at should users require a stage there are many hire options available.

CARRIED

Gladstone Park

DAP19-20.9.9 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.13.4 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan
DAP19-20.116.10 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
DAP19-20.116.11 in Submission DAP19-20.116 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
DAP19-20.114.7 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents' Group Inc.

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Advises that Council has recently completed a $900,000 development of Gladstone Park which included the formation of a dog park. Council acknowledges that there is the potential for further enhancement of the dog park but thinks that this would be best undertaken by the local community. Staff will discuss with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board the potential for forming a Friends of the Park group similar to that which is operating very successfully at the Millton Park Dog Park in Rangiora. That group is currently sourcing agility equipment for dogs to utilise.

(c) Notes it is not proposed to install lighting on the path as the path and the upgrade to the Park was designed in accordance with Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) principles. Lighting on paths through parks creates CPTED issues but Staff would be happy to investigate if there is an opportunity to overcome these issues and install an appropriate level of lighting and report back to the Woodend Sefton Community Board.

CARRIED

Fees and Charges

DAP19-20.114.8 in Submission DAP19-20.114 by Pegasus Residents' Group Inc.

THAT the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that a working group of staff and elected members has been set up to look into the process regarding groups and individual's seeking relief from fees and charges for community facilities.

(c) **Notes** that the increase for the 2019/20 financial year is the second consecutive increase and was approved during the last Long Term Plan process.

(d) **Declines** the request from the Pegasus Residents Group to have the planned fees increase for community facilities implemented over a number of years.

---

**Woodend Beach Domain**

DAP19-20.107.1 in Submission DAP19-20.107 by Woodend Community Association

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Advises** that the Greenspace Team have been working with the Woodend Community Association over the last year on the development of a concept plan for the redevelopment of the Woodend Domain.

---

**Woodend Public Toilets**

DAP19-20.107.4 in Submission DAP19-20.107 by Woodend Community Association

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Advises** that Staff will investigate whether there are any further improvements that could be undertaken at the Toilet Block in the interim to improve the level of service. Staff will report to the Board on the outcome but the date of 2029 was established after surveying all of the Council owned toilets in the District and there are several that do need upgrading.

---

**Swannanoa Domain**

DAP19-20.104.13 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that there is a Swannanoa Domain Advisory Board which has been inactive since around 2013.

(c) **Notes** Staff will work with the key users of the Domain and contact former members of the Advisory Group to see if there is interest in reactivating the Advisory Group and report to the Oxford Ohoka Community Board.

---

**West Eyreton Well**

DAP19-20.104.14 in Submission DAP19-20.104 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Advises that Staff will work with the Board to develop a concept plan for the area for consideration by Council as part of the next LTP

CARRIED

Dudley Pool
DAP19-20.4.5 in Submission DAP19-20.4 by Ms Tonya Bristow

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Supports further investigation by the Aquatic Facilities Manager into development opportunities for consideration and inclusion in the Councils Long Term Plan.

CARRIED

Pegasus Library
DAP19-20.9.7 in Submission DAP19-20.9 by Ms Amanda Riley
DAP19-20.13.3 in Submission DAP19-20.13 by Ms Dianna McGlashan

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that additional community facility space has been leased by Council in Pegasus adjacent to the current Pegasus Community Centre.
(c) Notes that there is not currently land in Council ownership in central Pegasus that could be used for a library.
(d) Notes that there is funding in 2027/28 for community facility provision in the Pegasus North Woodend area and that Council staff are continuing to look into the nest locations for this future facility
(e) Notes that there is currently funding for an extension to the Rangiora Library 2022 - 2024 financial year which would see this facility increased by a further 1000m2.

CARRIED

Rangiora Museum
DAP19-20.113.1 in Submission DAP19-20.113 by Rangiora Museum

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that staff have been working with the Rangiora Museum for a number of years to provide storage options.
(c) Notes that staff would be happy to meet with the Community Facilities Portfolio Holder and the Rangiora Museum to discuss potential future long term options for Museum storage.

CARRIED
Councillor comments
Agrees with the recommendation but would like a report to come to the Community and Recreation Committee on an investigation of the storage issues with all the Museums in the District.

Mayor Ayers declared a conflict of interest, as being a member of the Rangiora Historical Society.

Agrees that the Council needs to look at storage for the museums; there are four museums in Council buildings in the district, and the Kowhai Archives Group from the Balcairn/Sefton area, plus storage issues with the Waimakariri Art Collection Trust. Museums are very careful about what they accept, but their collections are always growing, they are an important source of history for the district.

Civil Defence & Emergency Management
DAP19-20.55.6 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the Council has conformed to a Canterbury-wide agreement that is consistent with the Ministry of Civil Defence Emergency Management Director's Guideline 08/16 Tsunami Evacuation Zones and the Ministry's Technical Standard 01/08 Tsunami Signage. This information is posted in areas of high traffic within the tsunami risk areas of the district for all to see; the same information is provided in the council's public website; regularly we publish printed copies of the information in simple one-page flyers to every home in the tsunami risk areas and occasionally we offer public meetings to share the same information. Members of the public were invited to participate in the production of the tsunami community response plans.
(c) Appreciates and acknowledges the Community Board’s support.

CARRIED

Accessibility Charter
DAP19-20.54.5 in Submission DAP19-20.54 by Canterbury District Health Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that in line with the Accessibility Strategy 2017 the Waimakariri District Council are committed to ensuring that the Multi Use Sports Facility is able to be used and enjoys by all.

CARRIED

Rangiora Youth Community Trust
DAP19-20.80.1 in Submission DAP19-20.80 by Rangiora Youth Community Trust

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the Trust for the work that it is doing in Rangiora. The Council funds a Youth Development Facilitator who works closely with the Youth Council and Wai Youth on developments and projects across the District. The Council considers that youth workers working with secondary schools should be funded by Central Government and prefers to maintain its current funding
model of supporting and facilitating youth development across the District through funding a Youth Development Facilitator and supporting the work of the Waimakariri District Youth Council.

CARRIED

Councillors Comments
Councillors agreed with the recommendation but asked for the response to include a comment on the Council’s role in wellbeing of the community, but that it was unable to make a financial contribution at this time

Request for Funding ERR


THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines the request from the Kaiapoi Promotions Association Inc for $50,000 towards leveling of the Mixed Use Business Land adjacent to the Ruataniwha Kaiapoi Civic Centre.
(c) Notes that staff will ensure that any surplus soil identified as part of other civil works will be considered for the Mixed Used Business area.

CARRIED

Councillor comments
Councillor Gordon suggested the response could be framed more positively acknowledging the portfolio holder and local Councillors would work with KPA on options - effectively what they needed was conversations around the best options.

Mayor Ayers commented that the mixed business use areas and Kaiapoi town centre were needed to be kept useable but not turned into parks and it would be something for the Council to consider. Mayor Ayers noted that in twelve months there could be an application for building which created a dilemma for the Council.

Councillor Stewart acknowledged the issues with facilities that had been experienced in KPA events. She welcomed the use of fill to level sites.

J Palmer noted the Kaiapoi Town Centre budget had been topped up by $1million to help deal with town centre regeneration ‘transition issues’.

Askeaton Boat Ramp

DAP19-20.55.19 in Submission DAP19-20.55 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that an upgrade to the Askeaton Reserve which will include consideration of parking and signage will be undertaken in the 2019/20 financial year.
(c) Notes that alternative ingress and egress for the Coastguard boat ramp which is currently not budgeted for will be considered as part of the design for the boat trailer parking associated with the Coastguard ramp. The design work will be undertaken in the 2019/20 year with the Boards involvement. Physical works would be undertaken in the following 2020/21 financial year. If a design is
developed which requires additional funding this can be applied for as part of the 2020/21 Annual Plan process.

CARRIED

Councillor comments
Councillor Blackie suggested the broader title for this section should be ‘Kaiapoi River Boat Ramps’.

Rates and Fees

DAP19-20.103.8 in Submission DAP19-20.103 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that in principle the Council agrees with the submission points made in relation the maintaining the Rates as published. However it also notes a few of the follow matters that need to be taken into consideration, that may require adjustment to the rate signaled in the draft Annual Plan.

CARRIED

The Council, as the Community Board would expect needs to consider the submissions made during the Special Consultation Period, particularly on the topics that are provided and the Council has requested feedback from the community. Although the Council states its preferred option, there may be compelling information presented to the Council that may require changes to the plan and possibly rating.

Given the timing of the Annual Plan timetable, and to provide the Community sufficient time to submit of the draft plan, the draft Annual Plan that goes out for consultation is formed on the best information known in late January. In relation to staff submissions there is a need to review projects that are underway. This can affect the rating provided in the draft Annual Plan primarily two ways:

1. Where a capital project is slightly behind or unable to be completed during the current year, it may require reforecasting into the following year or beyond. If not considered this will mean Council may be rating its community too much and therefore an adjustment is placed through the accounts and;

2. Additional urgent works may arise which require inclusion into the plan and to correctly account and budget for current and draft plan.

These are two example where the Council will need to consider the impacts and balance the projects.

It should be noted that the Council has generally adopted a rate movement as consulted or very close to what was consulted within the draft Annual Plan in recent years. Staff will inform the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board that the rate for the 2019/20 financial year is 4.8% district average, whereas it was signaled in the draft Annual Plan of an average district rate of 4.5%.

Fees and Charges

Councillor Comments
J Palmer advised that in terms of the impact of community facilities fees and charges, J Millward and P Christenson advised there was essentially no change. Income may increase from $4,000 to $4,500 and in a budget sense it should not influence the decision.

Councillor Brine referred to the $16,546 in exemptions that had already been approved. J Palmer
noted that the budgets prepared for revenue reflected historical budgets (except for the Rangiora Town Hall which had a different arrangement). There was some discussion on when the $16,000 exemptions would take effect as they had already been provided. The role of the working group was also discussed.

Moved K Barnett seconded Mayor Ayers

THAT the Council:

(a) Notes that the Council during the 2018 / 2028 Long Term Plan approved a two stage increase in community facility fees and charges to be implemented in the 2018 /19 and 2019 / 20 financial years.

(b) Approves a delay on the increases to the 2019 / 20 community facilities fees and charges for a maximum 12 months to 1 July 2020.

(c) Approves the groups already provided exemptions in the 2018/19 year to continue at the same rebated rates until 1 July 2020.

(d) Notes that the Community Facilities Fees and Charges Working Party are currently reviewing all arrangements with groups and will provide recommendations to the Community and Recreation Committee on future fees and charges and an updated Community Facilities Fees and Charges policy in September 2019.

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett commented that the recommendation made it easier for Community and Recreation staff as the process went through the working party. Hopefully there would be a recommendation before the end of term. It was an administrative catchup.

Councillor Brine supported the motion however he wanted to draw attention to the cost of the exemptions of $16,546 that had been approved. The proposal to raise fees had gone through the Long Term Plan process however the door had been opened to exemptions.

Councillor Barnett noted that issues had arisen since the Long Term Plan that were taking a lot of staff resource. The recommendation provided a clearer position going forward.

Rating Approach

Councillor Comments

Councillor Gordon suggested the rating should be smoothed over three years at 4.7%, 4.6% and 4.5%.

Councillor Meyer expressed admiration for the finance team and the Council Chief Executive. He believed the district was under pressure unless growth increased. The team would be under pressure for the next three years.

Moved Councillor Gordon seconded Councillor Barnett

THAT the Council:

(a) Approves the rate increase be smoothed to 4.6% over the next three years.

LOST

Councillor Gordon believed the impact of the rate increase should be smoothed over the next three years. In future years, growth may increase, he was not in favour of 4.8% increase and preferred it closer to 4.5%. The Council had gone through to see where it could be reduced, however in reality they had added items. He thanked staff for their advice.

Councillor Barnett commented it was not setting a precedent as smoothing had been done before especially following the earthquakes. Much of the work was still regeneration. She had no
problem advocating for something which smoothed the burden. Council consulted on 4.5% and she believed they needed to stick close to that. It was the second lowest rate increase of all Canterbury Councils.

Councillor Atkinson believed they should go out on 4.8% otherwise there two predicted rate rises for 20/21 and 21/22 from 4.3%. While he was not comfortable with 4.8% it was where they were at. A 4.6% smoothed rating increase was misleading he believed.

Councillor Williams agreed with Councillor Atkinson as the rate increase was concealed for the years to come. He was not in favour of 4.8% increase or any increase but the Council needed to be honest, state what it was going to be rather than stretch it he stated.

Councillor Stewart agreed with Councillor Williams that they had failed to cut back on submissions from 4.8% to 4.5%. The spend fell into the 19/20 year and therefore needed to be levied in that year. Smoothing the earthquake spend was a different scenario as that was over 25 years she stated.

Councillor Brine concurred that smoothing the earthquake spend was different. Council had been through a robust and vigorous process but they had not achieved a reduction from 4.8%. People would want less, but in reality it was a tough job and it was about responsible leadership.

Mayor Ayers also concurred that the smoothing suggested in this situation differed to smoothing following the earthquakes. That was related to the loans required to deal with the cost impact of one of New Zealand’s major disasters. With projections they did not know what was going to happen in the future. He noted that a 0.3% increase was $10 over a year.

Councillor Gordon believed smoothing had been worth testing. He believed the Council had done a good job as colleagues to review the budget round. It was always the smallest amount that received the most attention. He would like the rate increase to remain at nil, however in reality it was 4.8%.

**Final Resolution**

Moved: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

The staff recommendations in reports on submission be confirmed except where otherwise amended.

**CARRIED**

A Division was called by Councillor Gordon


Against: Nil

11 : 0

**CARRIED**

Mayor Ayers thanked J Palmer, J Millward and all staff involved in the Annual Plan process. He thanked Councillors for the spirit in which they underwent their task. He noted quick decisions often followed the long work beforehand.

Mayor Ayers noted it was his last Annual Plan and reflected on those previous. The most challenging was in 1990 following amalgamation, in which there had been large changes in rates and which required two Annual Plans.
8. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

9. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

10. **NEXT MEETING**

The next ordinary Council meeting is scheduled for 1pm Tuesday 4 June 2019. The Council will meet at 3.15pm on Tuesday 18 June 2019 to adopt the Annual Plan.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 12.37pm, Wednesday 29 May 2019.

CONFIRMED

___________________________
Chairperson

___________________________
Date