MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF THE OXFORD-OHOKA COMMUNITY BOARD
HELD IN THE MANDEVILLE SPORTS CLUB, 431 MANDEVILLE ROAD, SWANNANOA
ON WEDNESDAY 3 OCTOBER 2018 AT 7.00PM.

PRESENT
D Nicholl (Chair), W Doody, J Ensor, S Farrell, K Felstead, J Lynn and T Robson.

IN ATTENDANCE
S Markham (Manager, Strategy and Engagement), C Brown (Green Space Manager), J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager), Owen Davies (Drainage Asset Manager), Libica Hurley (Technical Administrator), D Ayers (Mayor), E Cordwell (Governance Adviser) and E Stubbs (Minute Secretary).

Sixteen members of the public were present in the gallery for Item 5.1.

1 APOLOGIES
Moved W Doody seconded J Lynn
An apology was received and sustained from M Brown for absence.
CARRIED

2 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Item 7.4 – K Felstead as a member of the Oxford Community Men’s Shed Trust.
Item 5.2 - J Ensor as Chair of the Mandeville Residents’ Association.

3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1 Minutes of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board – 6 September 2018

RECOMMENDATION
THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:
(a) Amends the circulated minutes of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting, held 6 September 2018, Page 13, Paragraph 3 should read ‘staff should not be asked to park on the roadside at night.’
(b) Confirms the circulated minutes of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting, held 6 September 2018, as a true and accurate record.
CARRIED

4 MATTERS ARISING
J Lynn advised that the Ohoka Gatekeeper’s Lodge Committee would be putting in a request for landscaping funding. They had been granted $3,000 for fencing and had only utilised $1,222 with $1,778 remaining which they would like to spend on landscaping around the lodge. C Brown advised there would be a report to the following meeting regarding the landscaping fund, where that request would be noted.
5 DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

5.1 Tracy Doe (Co-ordinator) and Cat Hannah (Secretary/treasurer) presented an overview of the work of North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support Inc. (NCNS). T Doe spoke to a handout (Trim 181011118531) advising that Neighbourhood Support (NS) was a network of organisations that assists neighbourhoods and communities to be safer and to reduce crime, be prepared for emergencies, increase community connectedness and enhance well-being. She advised that there are 64 affiliated NS networks operating throughout New Zealand. Between them they provided information, resources and support to over 15,000 neighbourhood groups, who in turn connected with over 170,000 households nationwide. NS worked closely with NZ Police and Civil Defence, as well as many other community organisations. They had Memorandum of Understandings with NZ Police, Civil Defence and Community Patrol.

T Doe advised that NCNS could help local government by promoting a community-led approach so that local communities were able to develop a NS model that works best for their circumstances and location. NCNS maintained a comprehensive membership database with email and text ability and were able to provide helpful and critical information to communities when required. This was done via the platform of North Canterbury ‘GetsReady’, which was developed in response to the Christchurch earthquakes and was based on the NS network model. ‘GetsReady’ enabled localised, up-to-date and relevant information to be sent to households, including in the event of an emergency. It could, for example, pinpoint households that may be vulnerable in an emergency situation (e.g. a person who requires an electric ventilator) and match them with other households nearby who have the skills and resources to assist (e.g. emergency generator.) T Doe advised that with ‘GetsReady’ geographical areas could be targeted with information so the right people were getting the right information. Any person in a household irrespective of ownership of that address could sign up to ‘GetsReady’ to receive the information. T Doe highlighted that NS only disseminated credible information given direct from their working partners and councils. In addition ‘GetsReady’ could work collaboratively with the whole of Canterbury Region, show maps interactively of where members were and be accessed anywhere at any time as it was cloud based.

T Doe commented that they had recently completed an exercise with Civil Defence to showcase how NS would work in an emergency and see how they would fit. It was shown in the exercise that NS would sit across the whole programme – community, intelligence and welfare. T Doe advised that NS did not currently receive funding from Waimakariri District Council. An example in the Waimakariri District where there had been collaboration with Police was following of spate of trailer thefts in Kaiapoi.

T Doe provided statistics on the membership which is spread across the region. Membership was growing, in 2016 there were 98 streets engaged, there were now 560. T Doe highlighted the benefits of connected communities including that they were happier and healthier, could work together to find solutions to local issues and were prepared for emergencies.

T Doe provided an overview of how local government was involved with NS in NZ commenting that City and District Councils were an important partner for NS. 39% of the local Councils in NZ financially support NS groups. These statistics were a conservative estimate as not all groups specified where their grant income came from in their Charities Services’ returns, and the statistics do not take into account the remaining 27% of NSNZ affiliated groups who were not registered charities. T Doe advised that Council support enabled NS organisations to provide sustainable services in local communities. It was used for a variety of purposes, such as operational costs, running events and setting up and supporting the ‘GetsReady’ software.
T Doe advised that Selwyn District Council had adopted NS within their welfare team and had incorporated it into its Civil Defence organisation and response processes. In the Port Hills fires ‘GetsReady’ had been able to work across Selwyn and Christchurch and assisted with movement of stock.

Questions

S Farrell asked what 1,322 member households represented as a percentage of households in the Waimakariri. T Doe advised she could get that information. C Hannah noted they were getting 5-6 signups per day over the previous two weeks through organic growth.

S Farrell noted that WDC did not fund NCNS and asked if the Council had been approached. T Doe replied they had applied through the LTP and Annual Plan and been declined.

J Lynn asked how people could connect with GetsReady and T Doe replied through the website www.northcanterbury.getsready.net.

D Nicholl asked if the statistics referred to total households or total people and T Doe replied it was total people as people were not always together in the same household during an emergency.

D Nicholl asked if NS was aware they could apply for a Community Board Discretionary fund to a maximum of $500.

J Robson referred to the social isolation in rural areas especially the elderly and asked if NS was a platform that could help. T Doe commented that some street groups organised a barbeque once a year which was a good opportunity to create social connections. It was certainly possible in rural areas. C Hannah commented that Community Connector was a program in the Hurunui helping with social isolation in the rural community and NSNC was working closely with that group.

W Doody referred to the Port Hills fires and asked how quickly ‘GetsReady’ was able to get information out to people. T Doe replied that within 15 minutes those in Selwyn, Banks Peninsula and Christchurch registered on ‘GetsReady’ all knew where they could go and what was happening.

5.2 Louise Douglas (Mandeville Residents’ Association, MRA) introduced a number of speakers who wished to raise concerns regarding local issues.

MRA member (Mike Tyree) (Trim 181017121608)

M spoke to a number of photographs regarding his concerns around flooding and drainage. He advised that since 2014 flooding had been a regular occurrence at San Dona and other locations in the area. He had been at that location for 7 years. He referred to a BECA report regarding flooding remediation for Siena Place. He believed there were issues with routing the water to Bradleys Road as planned, as it was not possible to make water run up hill. There was two feet of water sitting in the drain that was stagnant and attracting mosquitos. He believed the Council’s service was poor and asked for the short term measures to be fixed properly.

O Davies commented that there was a meeting to discuss these and other complex issues with the MRA at the Council Offices on Monday 8 October.

Ian Shrimpton (Trim 181011118534 and 181017121608)

I Shrimpton advised that he lived in Wetherfield Lane, Mandeville. He highlighted key observations and outcomes alongside photo records taken during the 2014 rain event.

- The water sheeted from an overflow across 50 metres of No 10 Rd.
- During the preceding 6 years, there had never been a puddle at No. 26.
• The flooding went from nothing to inundation in 90 minutes and stopped 45mm short of entering the house.
• The access lane was wrecked with the repair quote from Isaacs, commissioned by the Insurers, at $33,000
• The stock water race on two sides of the property did not breach.

I Shrimpton advised that post flooding the WDC engineers ordered waste water holding tanks to have their lid mount to be extended as they had flooded. He believed staff had randomly selected properties and randomly decided who would have to bear the cost. His flooding issues had been identified as owner responsibility. In addition he had been advised that the titles of all flood affected properties would have their titles amended noting the tanks as being too low. I Shrimpton advised that his property had been ticked as code compliant in 2001 and noted that after much protest the decision to amend the titles was reversed. After the photos were viewed by the WDC engineers they had decided to cover the cost at his property.

I Shrimpton commented that it had now been assessed that the cause of the flooding was caused by mis-management of stormwater by the WDC which he believed included inept approval of new developments and zero policing of owner modifications to existing water races.

I Shrimpton asked if the Board could guarantee residents would see remedial work within 12 months and would they bring funding forward to allow this to happen.

Rosina Rouse and Tom McBrearty (Trim 181011118533)

R Rouse advised that they were speaking on behalf of the Eyre District Environmental Association Incorporated (EDEAI) and the MRA and apologised for Noel Fraser, Chairperson of the EDEAI not being able to attend the meeting.

R Rouse expressed concern about the high level of Nitrate in their water (private well). When tested it was 11.2mg/L, the maximum allowable value (MAV) was 11.3. As levels fluctuated, the MAV was likely to have been breached, making the water unsafe to drink. R Rouse advised they had been very concerned for their safety, and had researched options. Personally they had installed a reverse-osmosis filtration system for their drinking water and had been pleased with the results.

R Rouse advised that they were aware of elevated nitrate levels in their neighbours’ private wells. They did not know how widespread the problems with high nitrates were within the Waimakariri but they did know that a major problem existed and that it appeared to be up to individuals to do their own research and provide their own solutions. She commented that there appeared to be no plan in place for action to take when nitrate levels were high or when the MAV was breached (in private wells), although maintenance of high-quality drinking water was a First Order Priority in the Canterbury Water Management Strategy. They were concerned that in the Draft ZIPA the recommended nitrate limit for private water supply wells was 5.65mg/L and asked how that was to be achieved and what was the timeframe.

They had two questions;

• In the Waimakariri District, how are wells with high Nitrate levels, adversely affecting the supply of safe drinking water, identified, what education is provided about the dangers of high nitrate levels, and what plans have been, are, or will be, put in place to address compromised water supplies, and ensure supply of safe quality drinking water?
• At an earlier Community Board meeting EDEAI and MRA requested a Staff Report into the feasibility, timeframe required, and cost of
providing a safe supply of potable water to the potentially affected residents of the district. Has this been completed and provided?

T McBrearty spoke of the decision by WDC to grant the Canterbury Landscape Supplies revised Land Use Resource Consent on 21 September 2018. He noted that the MRA, the EDEAI and possibly the Community Board were not consulted. Those groups had understood that a WDC decision would be made once ECAn had made their decision. They also understood that the Commissioners appointed by ECAn for the Hearing, were appointed by WDC to make a decision on WDC's behalf.

T McBrearty noted the members of the EDEAI and MRA had put in a huge amount of time and energy because they were deeply concerned about CLS's operation and its impact on the environment and their lives. It had come at personal cost and expense.

Residents were stunned at when, and how, the decision to grant the Resource Consent has been made and signed off. They believed some of the assumptions were incorrect including:

- that 'mature compost is safe and will not produce an offensive odour’ - a very large amount of this 'mature compost' went anaerobic and had to be moved off the site following Mediation.
- the area was sparsely populated - had been proven to be incorrect at the Hearing.

T McBrearty commented that the way the Consent had been granted had undermined the confidence of many residents in WDC and was certain to impact on their willingness to engage with the WDC in the future. He noted that the groups had followed all requirements and procedures and asked how else the voice of the people could be heard. T McBrearty suggested the next step may be to formally advise media.

They had two questions;

- Would WDC please explain to the members of the (EDEAI), the (MRA) and the Community Board, how this decision was made?
- Could a special meeting be requested to follow up on their concerns?

Graeme Chisnall and Mike Tyree

G Chisnall spoke regarding car parking issues at Mandeville. He noted that the new commercial complex had been very successful which had contributed to parking issues. The parking on Mandeville Road obstructed visibility at the entrance. Trimming trees on Mandeville Road could help alleviate that issue. In addition there was potential for car parking for staff in an area from the end of the Childcare centre to Mandeville Road. There was good lighting in this location.

Another concern was the narrowness of the parking spaces themselves and some cars were getting damaged. A truck had also hit the fence.

Also of concern was people not adhering to the 80km/hr speed limit on Tram Road outside the shopping area. Enforcement was required.

G Chisnall noted that the Council had tidied up the reserve well, however it was already being damaged by tyre marks.

Questions

D Nicholl advised that Council staff were aware of parking issues and that the Board was expecting feedback shortly on this. A carpark behind the preschool for staff was something to consider. E Cordwell noted that the issue of car
parking had been raised the previous month by J Ensor and that it was a live issue being followed up by staff.

S Farrell referred to the discharge to air CLS consent and asked if ECan had made a decision. E Cordwell reminded members that this was subject to an appeal to the Environment Court. S Markham commented that the matters raised this evening were with regard to a new application to WDC and that staff were obliged to process this as a wholly new application within statutory timeframes. The application was related to storage of materials rather than composting.

S Farrell spoke to the gallery and asked if the Mandeville residents felt short changed by not being consulted and T McBrearty replied categorically yes. S Farrell asked if the community was involved in the decision and T McBrearty replied no.

J Ensor commented that there was no guarantee of what was in the material going on site and noted that there were a number of issues including swales that had not been addressed before approval was given.

S Farrell commented that the Resource Management Act was not a well written document and asked if the community felt that if the RMA was different they could have been more involved in consultation. D Nicholls countered that this was not a decision the Board could make. S Farrell asked if the Council could look at those short comings and make a submission to central government to change the legislation. S Markham explained that there was ongoing dialogue between the Council and central government regarding the RMA. It was hoped that the proposed amendments to the RMA being presented to Parliament shortly might reduce or remove some of the restrictions on public consultation.

J Ensor asked if the Board could request a staff report on how the decision was made and why the community was not consulted.

6 ADJOURNED BUSINESS

There was no adjourned business.

7 REPORTS

7.1 Safety Barrier for Meyer Place Footpath – Joanne McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

J McBride spoke to the report noting that it was to seek further guidance from the Board regarding installation of a safety barrier alongside the footpath in Meyer Place. Previously the Board had agreed to approve the installation of a stainless steel rail, since then the adjacent business use had changed and a set of steps had been installed. If the handrail was installed along the full length, the steps would require removal. Another option would be to incorporate the steps into the design at a cost of $2,000 which did not include a kick rail. There were two options for a kick rail; stainless steel or timber.

K Felsstead asked about a further possible modification which J McBride commented would potentially cause issues as it was not a continuous handrail. The current steps were rusted and staff had allowed for a replacement in the costing to bring the steps up to the required standard.

J Lynn asked who had installed the steps and J McBride stated that it was the property owner. J Lynn asked whether the removal of the steps would affect the business and it was advised that the property owner believed the steps were required in order to ensure customers could easily access the business.
W Doody requested that there be assurance that merchandise would not be on the footpath blocking access, in particular at delivery times. J McBride advised it was a policy issue and there could be a discussion with the business owner regarding expectations around that.

W Doody asked if the kick rail was compliant with needs from the Access Group and J McBride replied yes.

T Robson asked about the financial implications and the possibility of requesting the business owner paying the extra cost of accommodating the steps. J McBride advised that when the footpath was put in there were no stairs, this had changed last year with the new business.

T Robson asked how steps affected parking and why had that changed. J McBride referred to the photo, highlighting that there was a clear, marked area for pedestrians to walk and the stairs would not cause any problems.

K Felstead asked if the recommendation was approved how long the installation would take as it had been three years already. J McBride replied she could speak to contractors the following day to request the work to be undertaken.

J Lynn asked if the owner had been asked if they were prepared to contribute and J McBride replied yes and it had not been well received.

There was some discussion over the type of kick rail.

Moved S Farrell seconded J Ensor

THAT the Oxford - Ohoka Community Board:

(a) Receives report No 180921109526;

(b) Approves the installation of a barrier/fence along the raised footpath on the west side of Meyer Place including steps, associated handrail and stainless steel kick rail with an additional cost to be advised.

(c) Circulates this report to the Utilities and Roading Committee.

CARRIED

S Farrell commented that she entirely supported the recommendation, a barrier was required and the kick board would assist mobility scooters.

J Ensor was pleased to see the recommendation proceed.

K Felstead supported the recommendation commenting it had taken way too long, he wished to see it completed quickly following the meeting. He understood the business owner not wanting to contribute as it was currently compliant with the Building Code and there was no legal requirement.

7.2 Oxford Football Club temporary Storage Container at Pearson Park – Tori Stableford (Greenspace Landscape Architect)

C Brown spoke to the report noting that the purpose was to seek a decision in relation to the Oxford Football Club (OFC) requesting a storage facility. Currently they were using the old bowling green storage. The Club felt the storage was too far from the playing fields, and in addition it could not act as a central meeting point. In the future they were looking for a club room type facility and the container was a short term solution.

C Brown advised that the Club had raised $10,000 themselves to install the container and footpath construction. This would cover all costs aside from the provision of some plants for landscaping. There had been discussions around the visual treatment of the container and the club would be required to paint it and clad it in wood. While the container was temporary in nature there was a risk it could be permanent. However the club was looking at two longer term
options for a club room, firstly moving a building from Oxford Area School to the site (which would require consultation with the Board) and a further idea that was currently being investigated by the Oxford Rugby Club was a sporting hub with the OFC being part of the development.

C Brown advised that the suggested licence to occupy was for three years only. The Sports and Recreation Reserve Management Plan allowed the licence as an activity for sport and recreation. C Brown noted that the OFC would like service connections however that was believed to be cost prohibitive due to the location of sewers.

C Brown advised that the request had already been presented to the Pearson Park Advisory Group who had approved it as a temporary situation.

S Farrell asked if the container required a building permit. C Brown replied no it did not require a building consent. S Farrell asked if the structure would be 10m from the drain and C Brown replied it would and that staff would be in contact with other departments within Council to ensure compliance.

S Farrell asked about the foundations due to wet ground conditions and C Brown replied that it was the responsibility of the club to provide a suitable solution.

W Doody asked if the three year licence to occupy was inflexible. C Brown replied under the Sports and Recreation Reserve Management Plan the Board could issue an extension based of the progression of other projects.

Moved K Felstead seconded T Robson

THAT the Oxford - Ohoka Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 180920109138.

(b) Approves a licence to occupy 15m2 for the Oxford Football Club for the purpose of installing a 20 Ft container to be used for temporary storage and an after game meeting area as identified on the attached plan (Trim 180920109181).

(c) Approves the licence to occupy having standard conditions as well as the following:

- A cost of one dollar per year, if requested,
- A three year licence term,
- No additional structures associated with the temporary container are to be constructed without prior approval.
- No service connections made unless prior approval from Council.
- Container to be sufficiently painted, clad and landscaped in order to mitigate visual effects
- All maintenance of the container and associated landscaping will be the responsibility of the Oxford Football club
- Security will be the responsibility of the Oxford Football Club

(d) Notes that the Pearson Park Advisory Group support the placement of a container within Pearson Park by the Oxford Football club.

CARRIED

K Felstead supported the recommendation. He was aware OFC had been looking for storage and club rooms for some time and he was pleased something was underway. He would also like to see a Sport Hub.
7.3 Proposed Closure of Stockwater Race R8-1 – Owen Davies (Drainage Asset Manager) and Libica Hurley (Technical Administrator)

Owen Davies advised that the reason to request closure of the race was that the stock water was no longer required. The closure was not significant in terms of the overall scheme and represented 0.4% of the total 800km length. Closing the race had no financial implications as all properties involved had access to another part of the scheme and therefore the closure would not impact rates.

D Nicholl asked if the water race also functioned as a stormwater drain and whether any filling in of the race after closure would cause problems in this regard. O Davies replied that it may do but generally when a race was closed, in order to fill it in the person would need to speak to the Council and be advised by drainage staff. The report and recommendations had assumed part of the race would be filled in. S Farrell suggested it was important to ascertain whether it was a natural watercourse or not and agreed that the process of filling in needed to be managed carefully.

J Ensor referred to old drains that had been converted to irrigation races in the past and built to a certain depth to alleviate rough drainage. He suggested there needed to be an investigation before filling in and referred to the issues in Mandeville. He asked if there was a report on the likely effects of filling in. O Davies commented that staff took the requests to fill in races seriously and did not believe there was an issue. There was a secondary process farmers needed to go through before filling in a race by coming back to Council and at that point staff could examine the request to fill in on its merits. He did not support the need for a further report at this point. He confirmed that he understood the concern but reassured the Board there were checks and balances in place.

J Ensor noted high ground water pressure when the Eyre River was flooding and asked how this would affect the race. O Davies did not believe there would be an effect, currently there was high groundwater in the district however the race was dry. J Ensor commented there was no water in the Eyre River at the moment. O Davies advised the race was part of another system and it was only cutting off a bypass of the race system.

T Robson asked if the advice from ECan, that they preferred no closure of stockwater races due to the benefits of nitrate dilution by the scheme, was relevant. O Davies commented that the overall stockwater race system was vast and 'leaky' providing a recharging effect. ECan took the view that augmenting groundwater diluted nitrate loads and the stockwater system helped that effect. Council staff viewed the stockwater as a utility and had a resource consent to take water from the Waimakaniri River only for stockwater or irrigation, it did not include the use for groundwater recharge. If a group of farmers had no more need for the stockwater system, but it was retained and rates were continued to be charged, there would be an understandable backlash. In addition maintenance was required to be carried out by the property the race went through.

S Farrell asked if the race could be closed for a number of years to see what happened with natural flow before farmers were allowed to fill in. O Davies replied that this could be considered. The view at the moment was that if it was shown not be creating any flooding issue staff would allow the race to be filled in.
K Felstead asked about consultation with the other property owners affected and asked if they were aware it could affect their Farm Environment Plan. L Hurley replied that although affected property owners had given consent with the application they were also provided with further opportunities to provide feedback.

W Doody noted that the piece of land had been used for stockwater for a long time and asked if Heritage New Zealand were happy with the closure. L Hurley noted the archaeologist advised that there was very little heritage left in that area as all the structures were modified including the first part of the race close to Warren Road. It was recommended it be recorded before it was filled in.

Moved S Farrell seconded W Doody

THAT the Oxford - Ohoka Community Board recommends:

THAT the Utilities and Roading Committee:

(a) Receives report no. 180919108570.

(b) Approves the closure of Stockwater Race R8-1.

(c) Notes that, following the closure of R8-1, Council staff will discuss maintenance arrangements and possible filling in of sections of the race with the affected property owners.

(d) Notes that, following approval to close R8-1, the Council may be required to apply for an Archaeological Authority as per requirements of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Toanga Act 2014, in order to authorise earthworks associated with possible filling in of sections.

CARRIED

K Felstead supported the recommendation and was comfortable as it was a short piece of race. He shared the concerns regarding flooding after filling in the race however stated that was a secondary issue as the race would be filled in under staff control.

J Ensor referred to the issue of fencing off and was confident in the drainage team and that there would be a good outcome.

W Doody noted the due diligence of the farmer as he had the race fenced.

7.4 Applications to the Oxford – Ohoka Community Board’s Discretionary Grant Fund 2018/2019 – Edwina Cordwell (Governance Adviser)

E Cordwell spoke briefly to the report.

S Farrell asked if the Board should consider a grant of $700 to the Waimakariri Gorge Golf Club. E Cordwell advised that the Board’s criteria stated a maximum grant of $500 although the groups could apply twice in a year. S Markham commented that the Board could find themselves setting a difficult precedence if they did not stick to the maximum, as over time the amount asked for by groups could increase.

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 180919108409.

(b) Approves a grant of $500 to Oxford Playcentre towards the cost of a vacuum cleaner.

(c) Approves a grant of $500 to Oxford Community Men’s Shed Trust towards the cost of the Spring into Oxford event.

(d) Approves a grant of $500 to Coastguard North Canterbury towards the cost of a replacement Coastguard Rescue vessel.
(e) Approves a grant of $343 to Oxford Community Garden (Oxford Men’s Shed Trust) towards the cost of fertiliser and other gardening items for the community garden.

(f) Approves a grant of $500 to Waimakariri Gorge Golf Club towards the cost of a UV water purifying system.

CARRIED

K Felstead sat back from the table and took no part in the discussion or decision. J Lynn believed all the applications were warranted and worthwhile. He did not agree with going over the $500 guideline as keeping it at $500 would allow more groups to receive a portion. S Farrell concurred with J Lynn.

8 CORRESPONDENCE

There was no correspondence.

9 CHAIRPERSON’S REPORT

9.1 Chairperson’s Report for September 2018

Moved T Robson seconded W Doody

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board:

(a) Receives report No 180925110894.

CARRIED

10 MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

10.1 Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting minutes – 12 September 2018 (Trim No. 18905101297).

10.2 Woodend-Sefton Community Board meeting minutes – 13 August 2018 (Trim No. 180905101421).

10.3 Youth Council meeting minutes – 31 July 2018.

10.4 Community Facilities – user applications for exemption from fees – report to Community and Recreation Committee 18 September 2018 (Trim No 180907102652).

10.5 Capital Projects Report for the period ended 30 June 2018 – report to Audit & Risk Committee 18 September 2018 (Trim No 180906101922).

10.6 Library Update to 31 August 2018 – report to Community and Recreation Committee 18 September 2018 (Trim No 180906102191).

Moved J Ensor seconded J Lynn

THAT the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board receives the information in items 10.1-10.6

CARRIED

11 MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE

11.1 J Ensor

- Attended Draft Zone Implementation Programme Addendum (ZIPA) meeting – concerned with issues involved. The upcoming workshop regarding a Board submission to the ZIPA was noted.
11.2 **J Lynn**

- Attended North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support (NCNS) Meeting, commented there was good engagement with the Hurunui and Kaikoura District Councils compared to reluctance from the Waimakariri District Council and suggested the Council should rethink that.
- Attended All Boards briefing.
- Commented the Ohoka School 150th celebrations had gone extremely well.

11.3 **S Farrell**

- Believed the Council should fund NCNS and noted the deputation from NCNS to all Boards to prompt that debate. It was noted that there had been concerns regarding the previous application for Council funding as to the confidence in the organisation. It was clear that this had greatly improved and that any future application would be very credible well received. The concerns around social isolation in general were noted.
- Attended Oxford Museum meeting where the potential for installing security cameras at the entrance had been mentioned.
- Noted personal inconvenience arising from a communications failure as regards her attendance at a Council Briefing to which Oxford-Ohoka Board members had also been invited. Staff apologised on behalf of the organisation.
- Attended ZIPA meeting and found the information useful.
- Attended Pearson Park Advisory Group meeting. The half court construction had started. Believed the Council's tender process was poor with contractors using further subcontractors.

11.4 **T Robson**

- Attended All Boards’ Briefing.
- Attended Pearson Park Advisory Group meeting.
- Attended OPAC meeting. Concerns raised by some members of the community around education and safety at pedestrian crossings.

11.5 **K Felstead**

Provided an update of the Council meeting from earlier in the week

- Delay to refresh of Waimakariri Zone Committee members.
- Rangiora-Woodend Road, Gressons Road, Boys Road and Northbrook Road Speed Limit Review
- Review of the Local Alcohol Policy 2018
- District Road network extension of contract with SICON.

11.6 **W Doody**

Tabled Councillor’s report Trim 181011118532, noted

- Oxford Rural No1 to be completed end of November.
- Thanked staff for continuing to advertise in the Bulletin and the Northern Outlook the upcoming JP signings.

S Markham asked how the Bulletin was running under the ownership. W Doody replied it was looking good and included community information.

J Ensor asked about the new well for the water scheme and K Felstead advised that the consent had been issued by ECan.
12 CONSULTATION PROJECTS

Draft Reserves Master Plan - Regeneration
Consultation closes Friday 5 October 2018.
https://www.waimakariri.govt.nz/have-a-say/lets-talk/consultations/draft-reserves-master-plan-regeneration

Canterbury Regional Public Transport Plan
Consultation closes Sunday 14 October 2018.
https://haveyoursay.ecan.govt.nz/connect-canterbury

Waimakariri Water Zone Committee Draft Zone Implementation Programme (ZIPA)
Consultation closes Friday 12 October 2018.
https://haveyoursay.ecan.govt.nz/waimakariri-water-zone-committee-draft-zipa

13 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

13.1 Board Discretionary Grant
Balance as at 25 September 2018: $5,520.

13.2 General Landscaping Fund
Balance as at 25 September 2018 – $9,508.

14 MEDIA ITEMS

15 QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

16 URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

NEXT MEETING
The next meeting of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board is scheduled for Thursday 8 November 2018 commencing at 7.00pm, in the Ohoka Hall.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 9.15pm.

CONFIRMED

________________________
Chairperson

________________________
Date
Workshop

- Members Forum - 9.15pm to 10.30pm

Discussion of a range of matters including RMA processes.