MINUTES OF THE MEETING FROM THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA, ON TUESDAY 1 MAY 2018, COMMENCING AT 1PM.

PRESENT:

IN ATTENDANCE:
J Palmer (Chief Executive), G Cleary (Manager, Roading and Utilities), S Markham (Manager Strategy & Engagement), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager), J McBride (Roading Manager), O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager), J Fraser (Utilities Planner), K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager), S Collin (Infrastructure Strategy Manager), M O’Connell (Senior Policy Analyst) and S Nichols (Governance Manager).

The meeting adjourned at 2.53pm until 3.10pm.

1. APOLOGIES
Nil.

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Nil.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Nil.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

4.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Tuesday 3 April 2018

Move: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Meyer

THAT the Council:
(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the circulated minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Tuesday 3 April 2018.

CARRIED

4.2. Minutes of the public excluded portion of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 3 April 2018

This matter was dealt with in the Public Excluded portion of the meeting.

MATTERS ARISING
Nil.

5. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Mr Robert Johnston of Ashley Gorge expressed views in relation to his LTP submission. Nicholas Johnston attended in support.

A painting of the Ashley Gorge in the 1930’s, was circulated with R Johnston commenting on changes, from then to now, in the Ashley River flow, gorse, broom and other vegetation growth.
A package of information was circulated (Trim 180527058154) which included R Johnston’s submission, legal information and Environment Canterbury’s response to last year’s submission. R Johnston encouraged the Council to take a more active role in assisting in getting all the players for his problems on the same page to enable progress.

K Felstead referred to the legal material that R Johnston supplied, referring to catchment boards and queried whether R Johnston believed the Council had a catchment board. R Johnston had discussed the matter with his legal adviser and acknowledged that there was a catchment board at the time.

Mayor Ayers enquired if there are other braided rivers in Canterbury in a similar condition in the mid-river. R Johnston knew of no other river which had issues as bad as the Ashley River with regard to the vegetation issues and build-up that this particular river has in its mid-to upper levels.

Mayor Ayers thanked R Johnston for his submission and information, which would be considered by the Council at the deliberation meeting scheduled at the end of May.

6. ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

7. REGENERATION REPORT


G Cleary provided a summary of the background work to date and the work of the Cam River Rehabilitation Group. The aim is to improve the navigation channel from the Coastguard facility to the river mouth. The second aspect is the pontoon area, with this second consent being more complex due to the issues involving intrusion of salt water up the Kaiapoi River. G Cleary stated saline issues are complex and affect the tributaries; sea level rise issues adds to the complexity. However, it is not recommended to wait until the saline issues are resolved. Staff wish to submit the consents. G Cleary spoke of the cost involved establishing the equipment required for the project. Staff propose to return in June with a report with budgets which enables work to occur in winter (i.e. June/July). Funding would be sourced from the current and following financial years.

Councillor Doody enquired that with recent heavy rains, and the current river flow, have samples been taken and has the saline effect changed? G Cleary advised that with large flows in both the Waimakariri and Kaiapoi Rivers it is not such an issue, however during the dryer months and lower flows the saline issue is more prevalent.

Councillor Barnett spoke of community views and queried if a full communication plan was done at the time, as feedback to her directly was that people were aware of the salinity issue but not the dredging matter. G Cleary commented that dredging is only one of the issues. He spoke of liaising with key stakeholders and users of the river. Councillor Barnett queried if Facebook and other channels of communication, along with a full communication plan was undertaken at the time. G Cleary confirmed staff did use various avenues such as newspapers and social media, however very little feedback was received from the wider community. It was advised that staff have continuously worked with key stakeholders, many of whom have been involved with the working party, and feedback is that they understand the issues and this proposal was developed in conjunction with those key stakeholders.

In a supplementary question Councillor Barnett asked if staff thought people connected increased salinity and the dredging, from the consultation. G Cleary confirmed alternative means of communication were used and reconfirmed that the key stakeholders do understand the issues however he was unsure on how
well the wider community appreciates the issue. There are a number of factors at play, as outlined in the report. This is just one potential issue and if dredging occurs it may exacerbate the salinity, which is an issue in itself.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification on staff recommendations (b) and (d) and if the Council should be doing more. G Cleary commented that the Council is doing more reflecting on the work being undertaken and the continuous work in partnership with Environment Canterbury.

Councillor Stewart commented on a report by Dr Tim Davy and sought an update on the report. Staff advised they had been following up on matters but were yet to receive a response.

Move: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No.180315027535.
(b) Authorises staff to proceed with design, consenting and work programming of dredging for a minimum 1.5m depth (low tide) navigation channel in the Kaiapoi River, from the Williams Street Bridge to Waimakaniri Confluence.
(c) Notes an associated budget proposal for the work will be provided to Council for approval in a separate report or via staff submission to the Long Term Plan, which would include an option to “bring forward” river rehabilitation project funding to the 2018/19 year and commence dredging (subject to resolving timing, design and planning constraints) from June 2018, if practicable.
(d) Notes this report recommends improving Kaiapoi River navigability whilst noting Council could also consider future complementary measures to seek to manage effects of saline intrusion through mitigations in the Kaiapoi River tributaries, in coordination with Environment Canterbury.
(e) Notes that the resource consent for the dredging required for the floating pontoons is being applied for separately to ensure that any potential delays due to the salt water issue do not delay the pontoon consent.
(f) Notes that dredging needs to be limited to mid-winter or December to avoid spawning season.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson stated that there are a number of unknown factors and acknowledged plantings and vegetation may change over time, along with the river flow. He believed this dredging proposal was ‘taking the bumps off’ as there was a need to do something for navigation and safety. He was aware of other vessels that want to come up the river, but currently cannot do so until the navigation channel is improved. Councillor Atkinson spoke of the Council’s responsibility towards safety.

Councillor Blackie endorsed comments made.

Councillor Barnett explained her reasons for the line of questioning. She remarked that safety issues from the Coastguard resonated and it is sensible to undertake the work. Councillor Barnett spoke of consultation and the use of the wording ‘stakeholders’ as she believed that with such an asset as the river, there was a need to talk to the wider community. Councillor Barnett remarked on climate change, river levels and the importance of communicating with the public.
Councillor Atkinson reflected on three Council videos for which he had been the presenter, informing viewers of the work being undertaken in the river, together with sessions in the community.

8. REPORTS

8.1. **Ashley River Secondary Stopbank Gap Filling – G Cleary (Manager, Utilities & Roading) and J McBride (Development Manager)**

J McBride spoke to the report, outlining a key aspect of the proposed work being a gentle vertical curve. The Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and the Utilities and Roading Committee had recently received a presentation from Environment Canterbury (ECan) outlining the proposed work, which would be funded by ECan.

Councillor Gordon referred to public communications, enquiring if the Council’s Communications team would be involved in the process, acknowledging that it was an ECan led project. Staff confirmed that Council staff would liaise and work with ECan regarding communications.

Councillor Gordon asked if the communication plan could be advised to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for input/comment, particularly given their feedback to ECan during the presentation. G Cleary advised that if time permitted that could occur.

Councillor Barnett enquired if staff envisaged extra maintenance because of high truck use on the affected roads. Staff advised they did not believe additional road maintenance would be required due to the design being a long curve.

Councillor Barnett enquired if drainage can be managed. Staff confirmed it could be maintained.

Move: Councillor Gordon    Seconded: Councillor Williams

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180415040538;

(b) **Supports** the ECan Secondary Stopbank Gap Filling project and proposed raising of Cones Road and Millton Avenue.

(c) **Approves** work being undertaken within the Road Reserve by ECan and their nominated contractor.

(d) **Notes** that the project will be funded by Environment Canterbury.

(e) **Notes** that staff will continue to work with Environment Canterbury to ensure that a high level of communication is undertaken, the Community is well informed and a suitable traffic management plan is implemented.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Gordon accepted the disruption and appreciated the timing being changed so as not to affect the A&P Show in late October. He also appreciated the Community Board being informed and aware of issues to ensure all aspects were captured. Councillor Gordon remarked that it was important for the community to be well aware of the intended road works.

Councillor Williams stated it was a good project and acknowledged that ECan would be taking responsibility for signage.

Mayor Ayers stated that drivers currently have a clear view of the road ahead, but believed this project would reduce that visibility so he envisaged double yellow lines. Staff noted the road safety concern.
8.2. **Adoption of Stormwater Drainage and Watercourse Protection Bylaw 2018**

- **Stormwater Drainage and Watercourse Protection Bylaw 2018 Review**

Hearing Panel

K Simpson presented the report on behalf of the Hearing Panel explaining the extensive process, discussions held and changes made as a result of submissions. It is proposed that the Bylaw comes into force from 14 May 2018.

Councillor Barnett referred to pg 57, querying the Community Board submission response and sought clarification on the communication to the public. Staff confirmed website and social media information will occur alongside physical information such as newspaper and flyers.

Councillor Barnett queried the name of the bylaw, referencing ECan feedback in regard to the watercourse protection definition. K Simpson advised one clause in the bylaw pertains to the protection of watercourses and commented on land owners’ responsibilities under the Bylaw, drawing attention to the explanatory notes. The Bylaw is not intended to supersede any resource consent or RMA requirements that ECan are responsible for.

In a supplementary question Councillor Barnett asked if the bylaw name was misleading. K Simpson provided other examples such as Urban and Rural Drainage Schemes which cover approximately 10% of the district rating area and how this particular Bylaw enables the Council to protect those other areas outside of previously mentioned rating areas. The Bylaw provides a mechanism to take action against non-compliance. This work would also be undertaken collaboratively with the Regional Council (ECan).

Councillor Barnett enquired what the differences were between a land drainage system and a watercourse. K Simpson explained definitions, and the three levels of the Bylaw.

G Cleary provided historical information regarding the approach to stormwater and drainage and more current thinking of water quality and impacts of discharge. He acknowledged that the bylaw name does draw people’s attention to an important aspect of the Bylaw as it aims to improve quality of water discharge and reduce detrimental effects.

Move: Councillor Stewart    Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180329034013.

(b) **Adopts** the Waimakariri District Council Stormwater Drainage and Watercourse Protection Bylaw 2018.

(c) **Notes** the bylaw is proposed to come into force on Monday 14 May 2018, which allows time to publish a public notice confirming Council adoption of the bylaw prior to its enactment.

(d) **Notes** staff will respond to each submitter using the staff recommendations and associated discussion included in the Appendix, as a basis for response to each point of submission.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Stewart spoke of the significant expansion of scope from the previous bylaw. She commented on the watercourse protection aspect, particularly in relation to Water Zone matters. Councillor Stewart stated that currently ECan does not have powers to exclude stock from all waterways particularly the North Waimakariri contributories in the district, however this new bylaw provides this Council with the powers to protect the integrity of both private and public waterways from stock and vegetation spraying. This bylaw will be the only
effective tool until other mechanisms are changed Councillor Stewart stated. Matters were well debated, and a number of long discussions were held with ECan on the Bylaw, Councillor Stewart remarked. It was advised that this Bylaw will be reviewed in five years’ time which is two years before the new Council consent process comes into force, which will enable further consideration and changes.

Councillor Blackie endorsed Councillor Stewart’s comments. He stated the wording of watercourse was deliberately put into the title. Councillor Blackie remarked that this is the first time that the Council has informed private land owners clearly what their responsibilities are for protecting the waterways on and adjoining their land and that he believed this Bylaw is a major advancement for protecting water.

Councillor Barnett remarked that this bylaw shows leadership of the Council and is far reaching. Councillor Barnett stated she understood this bylaw is a tool for staff, but held some concerns from a budgetary aspect. Councillor Barnett reflected on enforcement for non-compliance requiring court action and the related expense for the Council. Councillor Barnett remarked positively on the bylaw enabling fines to be issued to non-compliant landowners, which at a recent LGNZ Sector meeting issuing of fines was discussed and seen as a major area for the sector to lobby to enable Councils to enforce their bylaws. Councillor Barnett encouraged staff to work heavily in this lobby area and applauded the hearing panel for taking the journey this far. Councillor Barnett remarked that she would like to see the costs borne by property owners, however to bear in mind when swales are incorporated into a new subdivision, to work on a mechanism to make it easier for maintenance, particularly for the elderly. Councillor Barnett believed the overall strategy was in line with what the community are demanding of the Council to ensure the water is safe, clean and we are not further polluting our waterways.

Councillor Atkinson sought clarification on the Bylaw, in respect of exhausting all mediation or discussion prior to any court action proceeding. Staff confirmed any complaint would be investigated and considered on its merits, with the Council looking for resolution prior to any court action being undertaken.

In her right of reply, Councillor Stewart commented briefly on enforcement. This Bylaw is precedent setting in the Canterbury area, being the first Council to review the existing stormwater bylaw and it will likely be used as a template for other Councils. Councillor Stewart also acknowledged comments made relating to budgets and staff resourcing to enable this Bylaw to be fully enforced.

8.3. Siena/Sillano Drainage Works – O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager)

O Davies reflected on drainage issues and the high water table in the Mandeville area. This issue is not about overland flow and high rainfall but about ground water resurgence coming up to the surface over a long period of time. A further staff submission will occur during the upcoming LTP deliberation meetings. O Davies briefly mentioned residents’ feedback and mosquitos.

K Simpson noted a change to recommendation (b) in relation to the financial year from the agenda.

Councillor Atkinson queried precedent setting and cited other areas of the district that have similar issues that are not being scheduled to be improved. K Simpson acknowledged other areas which staff continue to monitor and manage. Some issues were identified in Mandeville during the June 2014 flood event which resulted in damage to infrastructure. This report recommends remedial work. As other issues occur, staff will prioritise projects and bring information to the Council if additional budgets are required for identified projects, however most other areas are currently managed within the existing budget.
Councillor Williams asked if the Council chose to do nothing, what costs would be involved for road maintenance. G Cleary advised he was unable to provide an appropriate answer as the weather could go into a dry period. However, ongoing roading is $10,000’s over several years and based on the current rainfall patterns the ground levels are unlikely to drop until approximately mid-December and the road would likely require resealing again.

Councillor Barnett enquired what the possibility of downstream effects were likely to be. O Davies stated any effects would be negligible. The proposed project would even out the drains and keep water flow going, minimising any significant downstream affects.

Move: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180419042535
(b) Notes that staff will request a new capital works budget of $94,000 under the Ohoka Rural Drainage account in the 2018/19 financial year, for the proposed Siena/Sillano drainage works through the LTP deliberations.
(c) Notes that $56,000 of the drainage works will be separately funded through existing approved Roading budgets.
(d) Notes that groundwater is high in this area and this is likely to persist in winter and spring causing damage to the road if the upgrade is delayed.
(e) Circulates this report to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson believed this was a sensible approach to take, and remarked that other situations will be dealt with on a case by case basis in other areas of the district.

Councillor Gordon thanked staff for the full report and recent briefing.

8.4. Delegation to Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party Authority to Approve Engagement Methodology and Materials for Solid Waste Services – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager) and S Collin (Infrastructure Strategy Manager)

K Waghorn spoke to the report briefly.

Move: Councillor Brine Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180403034992.
(b) Delegates to the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party the authority to approve the engagement processes for kerbside collection services options.
(c) Notes that the engagement referred to in (b) will only be implemented if Council includes additional rubbish and/or organics bin collection services in its 2018-28 LTP.
Notes that the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party will consider the issues of bin ownership and fees/charges for changing service options and make recommendations to Council at the appropriate times.

Circulates this report to the Community Boards for their information.

CARRIED

Submission on Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2018 – M O’Connell (Senior Policy Analyst)

M O’Connell confirmed the submission would be sent to the Ministry of Transport and not the NZ Transport Authority. An information session was held last week, noting this is the first stage of a process, with the second phase expected mid-2019. M O’Connell spoke briefly on the government’s approach and mentioned that a number of local meetings are currently occurring with NZTA, particularly in regard to the Woodend Bypass.

Staff sought clarification if the Council wish to be heard with regard to this submission. Mayor Ayers confirmed the Council would wish to speak at the parliamentary hearing.

Councillor Barnett sought advice of the Mayor on her previously circulated email relating to aspects she felt were missing from the submission and the process to discuss those matters. Mayor Ayers advised it was either through the debate process or questions to staff.

Councillor Felstead enquired if staff had discussed the submission with the Greater Christchurch partners or Joint Passenger Transport Committee, as there had been a suggestion of a joint submission. Staff advised they had not been approached to contribute on the matter.

Councillor Barnett enquired why the submission did not include comment on pedestrians, including the quality of footpaths, mobility scooters and funding for it under the access section. Staff advised a more robust comment could be incorporated in the submission if that was the instruction of the Council.

Councillor Barnett enquired why there was no mention of the Woodend to Kaiapoi cycle path as being a priority for this district. Staff advised the matter could be included if deemed appropriate.

Councillor Barnett enquired about public transport linking to major towns not being mentioned. Staff advised that was seen as an Environment Canterbury matter, particularly in relation to funding.

Move: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180410038161.

(b) Approves the draft Council submission on the Government Policy Statement on Land Transport 2018/19 to 2027/28 (TRIM No. 180410038725).

(c) Delegates to the Mayor and Chief Executive responsibility for approving any alterations, additions or deletions that may be required before the submission is due to be lodged prior to the closing date of 2 May 2018.

(d) Circulates copies of this submission to the Community Boards.

CARRIED
Mayor Ayers remarked that he had recently attended a Government Policy Statement (GPS) related summit in Wellington. The GPS is a high level document that influences government regional plans and has an impact on funding. He advised that a road safety plan is also being proposed to set the government’s road safety direction over the next few years. Mayor Ayers remarked that road safety is the number one priority in the GPS. Mayor Ayers stated it is appropriate to discuss the Woodend Bypass in the submission and discuss it from the aspect of community safety and as a separation matter for Woodend. Mayor Ayers was supportive of the suggestion from Councillor Barnett about cycleway and footpaths as there is a suggestion of financial assistance from NZTA as part of the wider aspect of encouraging active transport which requires good footpaths, so any mention in a submission assists that process.

Mayor Ayers commented that the Woodend Bypass is currently in the draft Regional Transport Plan but that it will need to be reviewed as it was in the previous government policy statement. This is the first time the Woodend Bypass has been included in a Regional Transport Plan, despite all the local level work over many years, including the designation into our District Plan. However, a new government with a change in priorities might put the project in jeopardy so it is appropriate that the Council address that matter specifically. Mayor Ayers remarked that the other issue that will arise over the next few years is the question of public transport as there is low level of awareness in the general community on how public transport is funded and it is partly seen as a Council responsibility as well as the government to inform the community so they can help decide on how much they are prepared to pay and contribute as tax and rate payers to public transport, and the benefits they see as communities and road users.

Councillor Gordon agreed with the Mayoral comments and remarked that he was comfortable with the first two points raised by Councillor Barnett, therefore was supportive of the topics being incorporated into the submission. Councillor Gordon referred to the safety section of the submission and was concerned at the enforcement of 80kph areas, believing the submission to be diplomatically worded, reflecting on past experience as he anticipated public pushback on that particular matter.

Councillor Barnett explained her reasoning for earlier questions and expressed her concern for leaving aspects out of the submission as Councillor Barnett believed that there could be the potential to miss out on funding and opportunities if matters are not raised in the submission as she believed this was about strategic direction and future funding. Councillor Barnett remarked on her concerns of not having enough time to discuss matters with the Council informally to reflect the views of the community, although staff have done an excellent job of capturing many aspects of the submission. It was acknowledged that there was a high interest in the community on transport matters and some issues in the submission had been raised by the community with Councillor Barnett such as balancing safe speeds with economic cost and rail affordability. Councillor Barnett spoke of the importance of being heard from our community to central government and sought to reinforce some of the points included in the submission such as connectivity between major towns, safety and quality of footpaths and seeking funding subsidy at this strategic level.

Councillor Atkinson reflected on recent readings on road safety in Australia, with faster and safer cars but slower speed limits and a climbing road death toll. Speed is not the issue but it is the drivers Councillor Atkinson stated, who was supportive of the submission, although he endorsed Councillor Gordon’s comments in relation to stronger wording on 80kph speed limits. Councillor Atkinson also welcomed further discussion among Councillors on road safety matters going forward.

Councillor Brine reflected on his recent experience observing a youth on a restricted licence speeding late in the evening at 145kpm, which resulted in an instant loss of licence for 28 days and his personal observations that young
persons, were often in breach of licence conditions. Councillor Brine commented that the Kaiapoi to Woodend cycleway is of merit. However, the Woodend Bypass will likely define where that cycleway will go, therefore he believed it was important to continue with the high level approach currently being adopted.

In his right of reply, Mayor Ayers remarked that the best opportunity for the Woodend to Kaiapoi cycleway is the Woodend Bypass, with plans in place for a clip-on lane of the Waimakariri River Bridge on the Northern Motorway and therefore it is important to continue to work on the matter at the strategic level. However if the bypass is delayed for an unreasonable length of time then we can relook at the situation.

Mayor Ayers spoke about the 80kph speed aspect which had resulted from 2017 speed guidelines from NZTA with most rural roads in Canterbury assessed as safe at 80kph. Mayor Ayers reflected on changes to reduce speed around Rangiora and Pineacres in recent times and from his observations he believed that most drivers comply with the speed limits.

Mayor Ayers commented on the increasing Australian road death rate, and in the western world. He remarked on how the road toll in Sweden once was similar to New Zealand but now Sweden is much lower, as New Zealand continues to climb. Mayor Ayers also remarked on speed reduction for fuel economy reasons during the 1970’s fuel crisis, and that the changes were directly attributed to a reduction in road deaths. Mayor Ayers reflected on the safe systems approach revolving around key aspects; safe speed, safe driving, and safe road overall creating a safe environment.

8.6. **Register of Interests Policy – S Nichols (Governance Manager)**

S Nichols spoke briefly to the report, reflecting on previous discussions with the Council. It was proposed to capture information at the high level with attention drawn to the proposed register style. By law it was not compulsory for an elected member to declare a pecuniary interest, however it was recommended best practice to have such a register.

Following a question from Councillor Barnett it was clarified that the Conflicts of Interests section of each Council, Committee and Community Board agenda would continue to enable members to declare interests against a particular report being considered at that particular meeting.

Move: Mayor Ayers  Seconded: Councillor Gordon

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180419042965.
(b) **Adopts** the Register of Interests Policy 2018 (Trim 180419043038).
(c) **Notes** a Register of Interests will be listed in the Council agenda of June 2018, and on the Council website. The Register will be republished in subsequent agendas and web page when an amendment has been notified and recorded. The Register can be subsequently reviewed each December as a matter of best practice.
(d) **Notes** the initial style of the Register will be as per template Trim 180419043077.
(e) **Circulates** a copy of this report to the Community Boards.

**CARRIED**

Against Councillor Blackie

Mayor Ayers stated that the information from members is voluntary, reflecting that it could be tailored to the decision body the members were on, providing the Canterbury Museum as an example, in his case.
Councillor Gordon stated he was comfortable with the Register of Interests.

Councillor Blackie, stated he was opposed to the Register on principal, as members take an oath at the beginning of the term and each meeting agenda has a Conflict of Interest section. Councillor Blackie believed such a register was intrusive, although he stated he had nothing to hide.

9. MATTERS REFERRED FROM COMMITTEES AND COMMUNITY BOARDS

9.1. **Bramleys Road Well Viability Assessment – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager) and K Simpson (3 Waters Manager)**

(referred to report no. 180323031876 to the Woodend-Sefton Community Board meeting of 9 April 2018 and Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board meeting of 16 April 2018 and extract of minutes from these meetings)

K Simpson spoke to the report and outlined key aspects including water flow and treatment being required. It was advised the Havelock North Stage 2 report recommended all supplies being treated, and based on that information staff no longer recommend Bramleys Road as a water supply. It was noted through consultation that most feedback related to cultural aspects. Staff will be writing to the Runanga and discussing matters further at an upcoming meeting to address the cultural concerns raised. Staff advised there would be ongoing engagement with the Runanga.

K Simpson referred to recommendation (f), budgets and feedback from the Community Boards. It was acknowledged some additional funding may be needed in the future however there is another Long Term Plan budget before any works are proposed.

Move: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180323031876.

(b) **Notes** that previously it was expected that water of a suitable quality and quantity could be abstracted from the Bramleys Road well to be distributed to the Woodend Pegasus water supply scheme, via Tuahiwi, without any treatment.

(c) **Notes** that the Bramleys Road well is no longer considered to be a viable future source for the scheme, as the water source would likely require significant treatment and gaining consent to abstract water from the well would be very difficult.

(d) **Notes** that some Tuahiwi residents opposed the joining of the scheme with Pegasus for cultural reasons, and that the future connection of the Bramleys Road well would have potentially mitigated these concerns.

(e) **Notes** that staff will write to the local Runanga and discuss this matter at the next Runanga meeting on the 17 May 2018, to advise them of the reasons that this well is no longer considered to be a viable future source.

(f) **Approves** the replacement of the $2.2M capital works budget in 2022/23 and 2023/24 to connect the Bramleys Road well, with a $0.5M capital works budget in 2023/24 to connect the EQ4 well to provide additional capacity on the Woodend Pegasus water supply scheme.

CARRIED

(referred to report no. 180321030331 to the Regeneration Steering Group meeting of 9 April 2018)

C Sargison noted a key aspect is negotiating a variation to the contract with Daniel Smith Industries, while they are working on site as it will reduce the overall costs. The project would still require a resource consent with ECan and this has been lodged.

Move: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180321030331
(b) Approves the level of service for the Boat Ramp and Riverview Pontoons as detailed in Section 4.1
(c) Approves the proposed design configuration and general arrangement of pontoons.
(d) Approves staff proceeding to procurement stage for the pontoon package via open tender on a price-quality method.
(e) Approves staff negotiating a contract variation with Daniel Smith Industries under current contract 17/42 (Kaiapoi River Wall Upgrade) for undertaking the piling for the pontoon developments; up to a total variation cost of $200,000 for both the Riverview pontoon piling and the Boat-ramp pontoon piling.

CARRIED

Councillor Blackie spoke of the background work and discussions which considered many options and he believes this is the best way forward. It will cost substantially more to drive piles from the river rather than the bank at a later time.

Councillor Atkinson stated this work is becoming urgent as the wharf is full with boats. He reminded members that the Council receives a small return from the boats and reflected on his awareness of visitors wishing to use the river for recreation including river tours, and also of houseboats, currently being manufactured, that owners wish to moor in the Kaiapoi River in the future.

9.3. Combining of Woodend and Pegasus Water Supplies - New Water Supply Main Concept Design – A Klos (Project Engineer) and C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)

(referred to report no. 1802322031093 to the Woodend-Sefton Community Board (9 April), and Utilities and Roading Committee meetings (17 April)

K Simpson spoke to the report, advising of the consultation involvement. He outlined the project, including commenting on the alignment along Gladstone Road, and explaining why it would require additional budget.
Move: Councillor Gordon    Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) Include an additional $231,000 in the capital works budget in the 2018/19 financial year, split 30% to growth and 70% to level of service, to give a revised total budget of $811,000 for the Gladstone Road to Pegasus WTP raw water main.

CARRIED

10. HEALTH AND SAFETY


J Palmer reflected on a recent on-site accident involving a subcontractor which unfortunately resulted in lost fingers.

Move: Councillor Meyer    Seconded: Councillor Williams

(a) Receives report No. 180420043230.

CARRIED

11. COMMITTEE/WORKING PARTY/JOINT COMMITTEE MINUTES FOR INFORMATION

11.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Utilities and Roading Committee held on 20 March 2018

11.2. Minutes of the Annual Hui of Ngāi Tūāhuriri Rūnanga and Waimakariri District Council held on 22 March 2018

11.3. Minutes of a meeting of the Community and Recreation Committee held on 27 March 2018

11.4. Minutes of a meeting of the Regeneration Steering Group held on 9 April 2018

Move: Councillor Brine    Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the information in items 11.1-11.4 be received.

CARRIED

12. COMMUNITY BOARD MINUTES FOR INFORMATION

12.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board held on 12 March 2018

12.2. Minutes of a meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board held on 14 March 2018

12.3. Minutes of a meeting of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board held on 19 March 2018

12.4. Minutes of a meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Road and Reserves Naming Committee held on 4 April 2018

12.5. Minutes of a meeting of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board held on 5 April 2018

12.6. Minutes of a meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board held on 9 April 2018

12.7. Minutes of a meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board held on 11 April 2018
THAT the information in items 12.1 to 12.7 be received.

CARRIED

Councillor Felstead commented that the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board had been focusing on allocating their Discretionary Funding to community projects.

13. CORRESPONDENCE
Nil.

14. MAYOR’S DIARY
14.1. Mayor’s Diary 27 March - 23 April 2018
Move: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Doody
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives report no. 180418042246.

CARRIED

15. COUNCIL PORTFOLIO UPDATES
15.1. Iwi Relationships
Mayor Ayers reflected on the ANZAC Service at Tuahiwi and wonderful hosts.
Mayor Ayers advised three meetings had recently occurred with TM Tau and the Acting Chair of the Runanga in relation to various matters, particularly the proposed Runanga restructure and RMA regulations. The conversations had been held in good spirits and were forward looking.

15.2. Canterbury Water Management Strategy
Councillor Stewart had attended a number of rural drainage groups in recent weeks. Also ECan had held a ‘Water Shed’ Conference on 9 April which she had attended with the Chief Executive.
Councillor Stewart reflected on information provided at recent Waimakariri Water Zone workshops, including nitrate understandings and impacts on both local farming and community water supplies.
Councillor Stewart commented briefly on upcoming meetings including a combined water zone meeting with the West Melton Water Zone Committee regarding underground water matters.

15.3. International Relationships
Councillor Felstead advised that the Passchendaele Trust were working through matters involving being a Trust or an Advisory Group.
Councillor Felstead advised the itinerary for the upcoming Enshi, China trip was looking very busy.

15.4. Regeneration (Kaiapoi)
Councillor Blackie advised that the old Pines Beach Hall had been demolished and road naming matters in the vicinity of the Butchers Road/new arterial road would be considered by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board in the near future.
16. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

17. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

18. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED**

*Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987*

Move: Mayor Ayers  Secon ded: Councillor Felstead

**THAT** the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Minutes/Report of:</th>
<th>General subject of each matter to be considered</th>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of Council meeting of 3 April 2018</td>
<td>Confirmation of minutes</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of the Utilities and Roading Committee meeting of 20 March 2018</td>
<td>Receipt of Minutes</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of the Community and Recreation Committee meeting of 27 March 2018</td>
<td>Receipt of Minutes</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board meeting of 19 March 2018</td>
<td>Receipt of Minutes</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>Report of Daniel Thompson (Special Projects Manager) and Rob Hawthorne (Property Manager)</td>
<td>Delegated Authority to Accept Tender for Contract 17/08 Logging Contract 17/19</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public are as follows:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item №</th>
<th>Reason for protection of interests</th>
<th>Ref NZS 9202:2003 Appendix A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.1 – 18.5</td>
<td>Protection of privacy of natural persons To carry out commercial activities without prejudice</td>
<td>A2(a) A2(b)ii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

The public excluded portion of the meeting occurred from 3.47pm to 3.56pm.

19. **NEXT MEETING**

The next scheduled meeting of the Council is on Tuesday 5 June 2018 commencing at 1pm.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 3.57PM.

CONFIRMED

__________________________
Chairperson

__________________________
Date