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BUSINESS

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts of interest (if any) to be reported for minuting.

3. HEARING OF SUBMISSIONS

3.1. Hearing Schedule (current as at time of agenda production)

Copy of submissions from those submitters who are speaking

DELIBERATIONS – (to be held on Monday 4 September 2017)

4. STAFF REPORT

4.1. Draft Waste Management & Minimisation Plan Consultation - Kitty Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the Hearing Panel

(a) Receives report No. 170807083752.

(b) Notes that a full set of all relevant submissions will be provided to the Hearings Panel by Wednesday 23 August, for their consideration prior to deliberations on 4 September 2017.
(c) **Recommends to the Council that they:**

1. **Approve** the following inclusions and amendments in the 2017 Waste Management & Minimisation Plan
   
   1) Insert Option ... as the preferred kerbside collection service in Section 5.4
   
   2) Insert the below targets in Section 2.2
      
      a. Reduce annual per capita waste to landfill from 294kg per capita in 2015/16 to **272kg per capita OR 236kg per capita** by 2029
      
      b. Increase the annual per capita quantity of materials diverted from 170kg per capita in 2015/16 to **192kg per capita OR 228kg per capita** by 2029

3) ... 

2. **Note** that the final version of the 2017 Waste Management & Minimisation Plan will be brought to the Council for approval in December 2017

3. **Note** that the Long Term Plan solid waste budgets, Solid Waste Activity Management Plan and solid waste section in the Infrastructure Strategy will be prepared using the kerbside collection methodology as approved in 2(c)i.1.

5. **CONSIDERATION OF ALL SUBMISSIONS**

5.1. **An electronic copy of all submissions received has been circulated separately to members of the Hearing Panel.**

5.2. **Report of comments from submitters against each option in the consultation document**

   94 - 95  
   
   Option A - One standard Service, the current WDC Service  
   
   96 - 124  
   
   Option B – Two service choices, offer of a bin for rubbish  
   
   125 - 136  
   
   Option C – Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics  
   
   137 - 200
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Last name</th>
<th>First Name</th>
<th>Submitter Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>Stevens</td>
<td>Gary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:40 PM</td>
<td>Watkins</td>
<td>Janice</td>
<td>Rangiora Lodge Motel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lillybrook Motel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:50 PM</td>
<td>Bateup</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>Wayside Motel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pegasus Gateway Motel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>Holton-Lowe</td>
<td>Janelle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:10 PM</td>
<td>Young</td>
<td>Natasha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:20 PM</td>
<td>Milne</td>
<td>Jo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 PM</td>
<td>Crowe</td>
<td>John</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:40 PM</td>
<td>Cobb</td>
<td>Katrina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:50 PM</td>
<td>Whyte</td>
<td>Naomi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 PM</td>
<td>Giller</td>
<td>Gillian</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:10 PM</td>
<td>Stewart</td>
<td>Linda</td>
<td>Grey Power (North Canterbury)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:20 PM</td>
<td>Kenyon</td>
<td>Valerie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 – 7:00 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DINNER BREAK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>Wilson</td>
<td>Richard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:10 PM</td>
<td>Powell</td>
<td>Shona</td>
<td>Woodend/Sefton Community Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:20 PM</td>
<td>Gerard</td>
<td>Jim</td>
<td>Rangiora/Ashley Community Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:40 PM</td>
<td>Lees</td>
<td>Ritsuko</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:50 PM</td>
<td>Watson</td>
<td>Jackie</td>
<td>Kaiapoi/Tuahiwi Community Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Last Name</td>
<td>First Name</td>
<td>Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 PM</td>
<td>Perham</td>
<td>Lynda</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:40 PM</td>
<td>Dobbs</td>
<td>Jessica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:50 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 PM</td>
<td>Bai</td>
<td>Yu</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:10 PM</td>
<td>Belis</td>
<td>Bruce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:20 PM</td>
<td>Nicholl</td>
<td>Doug</td>
<td>Oxford/Ohoka Community Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 PM</td>
<td>Hounsell</td>
<td>Emma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:40 PM</td>
<td>van Wyk</td>
<td>Liza</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:50 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:10 PM</td>
<td>Hoddinott</td>
<td>Mark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:20 PM</td>
<td>Hayes</td>
<td>Phil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:30 – 7:00 PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dinner Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:00 PM</td>
<td>Burt</td>
<td>Maxine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:10 PM</td>
<td>Wilkins</td>
<td>Hayley</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:20 PM</td>
<td>Giles</td>
<td>Andrew</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 PM</td>
<td>Ramsay</td>
<td>John &quot;Wayne&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:40 PM</td>
<td>Blackler</td>
<td>Larry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:50 PM</td>
<td>Barnett</td>
<td>Kirstyn</td>
<td>Cust Community Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 PM</td>
<td>Hemmingson</td>
<td>Wayne</td>
<td>Friendly Binz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Waste Management and Minimisation Plan

### Hearing of Submissions

**MONDAY 28 AUGUST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Gary Stevens</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Janice Watkins</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora lodge motel, Lillybrook motel, Wayside motel, Pegasus Gateway motel</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Janelle Holton-Lowe</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Natasha Young</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Jo Milne</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr John Crowe</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Katrina Cobb</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Naomi Whyte</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Gillian Giller</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey Power (North Canterbury)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Valerie Kenyon</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Richard George Wilson</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Hugh and Mrs Samantha Mould</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Ritsuko Lees</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora-Ashley Community Board</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford-Ohoka Community Board</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodend-Sefton Community Board</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THURSDAY 31 AUGUST**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Lynda Perham</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Jessica Dobbs</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr John Archer</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yu Bai</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bruce Belis</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Emma Hounsell</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Liza van Wyk</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Mark Hoddinott</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Phil Hayes</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Hayley Wilkins</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Andrew Giles</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr John &quot;Wayne&quot; Ramsay</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Larry Blackler</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cust Community Network</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendly Binz</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: Gary
Last Name: Stevens

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)

19/Jul/2017
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

I want Option C WITHOUT paying even more than the $3000+ in rates that I am paying now. For $3000, I consider that I am getting nowhere near value for money. I pay a lot & get very little service in return. Very poor. The council should at least be giving 26 rubbish bags per annum to rate payers for free. What about an option for people who want the current service along with an organic bin only?
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mrs
First Name: Janice
Last Name: Watkins

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I will not be attending the hearing in person.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

I am the chair of Body Corporate 366454 which runs from 11. My unit is one of 13. There are many similar units either side of Bainswood Hospital, Victoria St. My 12 neighbours and I are all elderly, many have walking sticks or walkers. Most units have no room for more than the recycling bins, the only options are our footpath or driveways. Having bins at our front doors is not an option.
Tascha Lawry

From: Kitty Waghorn  
Sent: Tuesday, 18 July 2017 12:58 PM  
To: Records Staff  
Cc: Paul Williams  
Subject: FW: Motel Recycle Bins

Hi, Records

Can you please enter this into TRIM as a submission to the Waste Management & Minimisation Plan consultation.

Many thanks
Kitty

Kitty Waghorn | Solid Waste Asset Manager
Solid Waste
kitty.waghorn@wmk.govt.nz
Customer Service: 0800 965 468 (0800WMKGOV)
Phone: 03 311 8902 | Ext: 8661
Mobile: 021876167

From: Paul Williams  
Sent: Tuesday, 18 July 2017 12:51 PM  
To: Kitty Waghorn <kitty.waghorn@wmk.govt.nz>  
Subject: Fwd: Motel Recycle Bins

Can this be submitted as a submission please.  Thanks

Paul Williams
Councillor Waimakariri District Council
Ph 021 0222 1364

Begin forwarded message:

From: Rangiora Lodge Motel <rangioralodgemotel@hotmail.com>  
Date: 18 July 2017 at 11:10:58 AM NZST  
To: "paul.williams@wmk.govt.nz" <paul.williams@wmk.govt.nz>,  
"info@pegasusgatewaymotels.co.nz" <info@pegasusgatewaymotels.co.nz>,  
"wayside@xtra.co.nz" <wayside@xtra.co.nz>, "bookings@rangioramotels.co.nz"  
Subject: Motel Recycle Bins

Hi Paul.

I am writing to you on Behalf of Rangiora Lodge Motel, Lillybrook Motels, Pegasus Gateway Motels and Wayside Motels regarding Rates and Supply of Recycle Bins from the Waimakariri Council.
We feel we are unfairly Rated for the above bins, as we are charged a bin per year, per room.

Example: from Rangiora Lodge Motel.

We have and pay for 8 bins but only use 2 per fortnight.

2 bins rated at $86 each = $172 per year but pay Council $688 per year. OVER PAID $516 to Council.

Now we are looking at Councils new choices for Kerbside Rubbish/Recycling/Organics Collections.

Going to Councils formula, it could cost Rangiora lodge Motel

Option B : 8 x $281 = $1,768 per year approx
Overpay $1,200

Option C : 8 x $371 = $2,968

" " "$2,000

We average 5 rubbish bins per month and don't need (8 x 4) = 32 empties per month.

I have also asked if we could have instead of 8 large recycle bins, 8 smaller ones, but to no satisfaction.

Christchurch City Council have a fairer rate to Motels by rating motels per Title with the option of paying for extra bins.

As Moteliers, we provide a great service to our community accommodating Travellers, Workers and Tourists, we also act as an I-site which Rangiora needs.

Does Wairarapa Council also charge Campgrounds, B&B’s and Hotels this unfair rate?

Thanks for your time Mr Williams and please note this is not aimed at you.

Regards - Max Bateup
Rangiora Lodge Motel,
Rangiora, 7400
North Canterbury
New Zealand
Telephone: 03 313 8796. Freephone 0800 65 65 60.
Email: rangioralodgemotel@hotmail.com
Website: rangioralodgemotel.co.nz
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Janelle
Last Name: Holton-Lowe

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

trim
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Natasha
Last Name: Young

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

trim
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Jo
Last Name: Milne

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service. 
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish. 
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

trim: let's all pay a little bit more to reduce waste and have the convenience of having our read green waste collected which will benefit our aging population and will benefit our time poor younger generation too
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: john
Last Name: crowe

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

I would like to discuss my concerns at the public hearing please. Few comments are monopoly, loss of jobs, local companies will suffer, will firm use locals when has contract, I have few more things would like to have heard
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Katrina
Last Name: Cobb

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I will not be attending the hearing in person.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?

Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Trim; Could you offer the smaller organic basket also, for those who will dispose of food waste responsibly but don't require huge bins suitable for garden waste. And could you offer one monthly pickup of red lid bin, or even three weekly at comparable charge? I presume bins will be barcoded and monitored so data would be accurately collected at pickup and charged accordingly. Can you also implement this asap. It is infuriating buying plastic bags for single use, for rubbish, knowing what a huge impact plastic bags have on our environment. Having said that, at our house, we use a bag for a minimum 2 week period, but often longer, so using a larger vessel, more frequently is also a little nonsensical. And if you are serious about the environment and options - please really think about the compost baskets like used in offices and university - for those (not us) who don't need the big organic bin - they could be a weekly or fortnightly collection which makes as much impact as losing plastic bags and they have lids. Thanks. Good luck.
Should we offer more choices for our kerbside waste collection?

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

Please tick your preference

☐ A. One Standard Service: the current WDC service
   Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags. Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.

☐ B. Two Service Choices: offer of a bin for rubbish
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Weekly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $36 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice**.

☐ C. Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices**.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Would prefer recycling 4 Green Bin only. As don't generate much rubbish, not even 1 bag per month due to recycling, compost and only 2 people in our house hold. If we had all 3 bins, we would just give up on recycling for environment & waste reduction throw everything in real bin - (more land waste).

Rates already $3500 per year. Really don't want to pay for a service that we don't use.

Please complete your name and address details. Anonymous feedback will be considered at Council's discretion. Your submission will be considered by a Hearing Panel of the Council in an open meeting.

First Name: Naomi
Last Name: Whyte
Phone number: 
Email: 
Address*: 
Post Code: 

* Forecast 2017/18 charges.
** There are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Public hearings start at 4.30pm and will be held as follows:

☑ Monday 28 August
   Rangiora Council Chambers

☐ Thursday 30 August
   Rangiora Council Chambers

☐ Monday 4 September
   Rangiora Town Hall – Funct on Room (upstairs)

☐ Wednesday 6 September
   Either Rangiora Town Hall or Ruatanihia Kalapoi Civic Centre

☐ Thursday 7 September
   Rangiora Town Hall

We will confirm the time of your hearing in writing, by email or telephone call.

Consultation is open from 9 June to 11 August 2017

Submissions can be made:

☑ Online at waimakariri.govt.nz/rubbish

☐ Use this form to tell us what you think and Freepost back to us

☐ By email to talkrubbish@wmr.govt.nz – you can complete, scan and send this submission form to us here, or email us with your preference and comments.

RECEIVED: 21 JUL 2017
To:
Submission on Review of Kerbside Waste Collection Options in the Waimakariri District

The information contained in the consultation document on kerbside waste collection options indicates the Council “want to get serious about reducing the amount of waste being sent to landfill”.

Prevention and minimisation sit at the top of the waste hierarchy pyramid. It is essential that Council continues to provide ongoing education programmes and services which promote and reinforce the importance of waste prevention and minimisation.

Waste collection service charges should recognise the valuable contribution that residents, who minimise their waste, make to the District.

Option C maintains some flexibility to cater for the different requirements of residents.

Inevitably there will be some residents who will place waste in the inappropriate bin either because they are unaware or they don’t care. Hopefully further education combined with a degree of monitoring by the collectors will minimise the incidence of this behaviour.

The introduction of an organics bin will require an education programme on how to best use these bins.

I support option C.

I wish to present my submission in person. I would prefer the 28th August or the 4th September.

Gillian Giller

11th August 2017
10 August 2017

Waimakariri District Council
PO Box 1005
Rangiora, 7400
office@wmk.govt.nz

SUBMISSION:

LET'S TALK RUBBISH

We would like to speak in support of our submission.

Introduction:

The Grey Power North Canterbury Association (Inc.) is a non-party advocacy organisation with the aims to advance, promote and protect the welfare and well-being of older people in its region which extends from the Waimakariri River to the Conway River.

Our current membership at 31 July 2017 in the Waimakariri is over 700 members.

We understand a large number of our members live on fixed incomes and find the expense of rates a big imposition therefore feel they need to voice their concerns based on the probable financial outlay of this proposal.

Although it is hard for some of our elderly folk to understand post-earthquake growth, the reality is that population increase inevitably needs realistic strategies to meet increasing population.

Kitty Waghorn | Solid Waste Asset Manager addressed our members prior to our meeting in June, before the pamphlet 'Let's talk rubbish' which was distributed with the July 2017 rates notice. As there were further questions to be answered from the pamphlet, Kitty again addressed these at our 1st of August meeting. These questions (in red) and answers are below
(1) For the operational assessment behind the cost estimates to ratepayers, was there a public tender process?
No there was not.

(2) If not, how many Refuse Management companies were approached to provide their costings?
Staff calculated the likely costs based on current collection costs, estimated waste tonnages and disposal costs; we also had the consultant carry out an estimate based on their knowledge of the industry and they worked from ‘first principals’; and we approached the current contractor to get a reality check on our cost estimates.

(3) How many staff work will be working in the council’s refuse management department if this proposal is passed?
We have a team of 1.5 in the solid waste department, which includes me as the ‘1’ – by 2019 I may have a team of 2, with one full time staff member but they would deal with a lot more than the collection contract which would free me up to do more strategic work. If Option B or C were adopted, our customer services department would have to have one or two extra people or part time staff working longer hours, to deal with the correspondence and calls leading up to and after a bin rollout; but once that initial phase was past then we would expect that demand would fall off.

(4) And how many staff will be employed at management level?
No more.

(5) This proposal does not cover the rural sectors - do their rates increase due to this proposal if passed?
The properties outside the collection areas are not rated for collection, so their rates would not change because of any new services within the collection area.

(6) Would the council consider engaging the existing private refuse businesses to service the rural sector?
Servicing the rural properties would only be looked at if there is a demand from those areas, and the Council hasn’t really seen a demand from them. We would consider how to provide services if there was a demand, for example a couple of areas want a recycling drop-off provided for their areas and we’re looking at how that can be provided.

(7) If so shouldn’t this have an impact on the costing of the urban proposed charges to ratepayers?
Any expansion of services outside current areas shouldn’t increase the costs for existing rate payers – if a rural area wanted the service and it cost more per property because of distances travelled, the Council and those ratepayers would have to make the call on whether the likely rate charges were reasonable before it was expanded out there.
(8) Will the existing Yellow recycle bin be replaced or added to if the 2 or 3 bin option be adopted?
We don’t intend to change the recycling service at this stage. At the meeting, I didn’t mention a separate glass collection service because that isn’t on the horizon, but could be one thing we may consider as it would increase the payload in the mixed recycling truck and improve the quality of the paper and glass by separating glass out. But that would possibly come at a greater cost (one more truck and one more bin).

(9) Will the 2 or 3 bin options be a once only cost?
They will be charged annually in the rates at the depreciated cost (i.e. purchase/supply cost divided by lifespan). The rates cover collection, disposal, bin delivery and ongoing bin maintenance – replacing damaged lids, wheels, bins – as well as Council costs to administer the contract, ensure bags are available at supermarkets, advertise about what can & can’t be recycled, customer services support, etc.

(10) If not, would the council consider offering the option of a one off cost for bins and an ongoing cost of collection added into rate demands?
No, we don’t think this would be a sensible thing to do: bins cost around $70-90 (dependent on size, and landed in Christchurch), we would depreciate them over their expected life of 15 years which means the bins themselves only cost around $5-$6 per year in the rates – it’s the ongoing collection & disposal costs that make up the bulk of the rates. In addition issues would arise with customers wanting some of the upfront cost back if they moved, and dealing with that would create an unnecessary additional expense.

(11) Thinking of the elderly, could the last Question be done by deferred instalments?
As covered above, the cost of bin supply is not very great, therefore there wouldn’t be an advantage to charge this up-front – and the Council would be unlikely to approve a deferred payment for a portion of an annual service. We are conscious that ratepayers are concerned about additional costs in administering the new scheme. One way we can ensure administration costs are kept low is to minimise the variations to the adopted scheme.

Other individual comments from members are as follows:

- A cost option for smaller bins has not been made clear?
- If the option of a green waste bin becomes operable, where and how will this be processed into compost?
- If the bins cost “X” why not make that the initial cost (as in Timaru and Christchurch) not an annual cost as it looks like we are paying for those bins forever?
COMMENTS:

We wish to thank Kitty Waghorn for her time presenting the proposal information to our members.

The result is that the proposal document has proved to be confusing and uncertain on outcomes and certainly very expensive. The outcome is that the consultation process raises many questions that are not included in the submission form information. This has evolved into confused views of what is actually being offered.

Based on the consultation information our organisation has gleaned we do not support this proposal. We feel more investigation to meet required waste minimisation need to be undertaken, including investigation of other districts that have converted to the 3 bin system.

Grey Power (North Canterbury Inc.) thanks the council and staff for their investigation to date and hope that anomalies can be improved and clarified on in the future.

Submission is written on behalf of Grey Power (North Canterbury) by committee member Linda Stewart. Please address further communications to Linda.

Yours faithfully

M. R. Jackson.
President,
Grey Power (North Cant)

Linda Stewart
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Valerie
Last Name: Kenyon
Email:
Primary phone:
Address
Rangiora
New Zealand 7400

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I will not be attending the hearing in person.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at
differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)

- Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
- Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
- Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Trim. Please give us service C with the 3 bins.
Should we offer more choices for our kerbside waste collection?

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

Please tick your preference

A. [ ] One Standard Service: the current WDC service
   Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags. Current costs:
   $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.

B. [ ] Two Service Choices: offer of a bin for rubbish
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Weekly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice**.

C. [ ] Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices**.

* Forecast 2017/18 charges.
** There are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Public hearings start at 4.30pm and will be held as follows:

- Monday 28 August
  Rangiora Council Chambers

- Thursday 30 August
  Rangiora Council Chambers

- Monday 4 September
  Rangiora Town Hall – Function Room (upstairs)

- Wednesday 6 September
  Either Rangiora Town Hall or Ruatanwha Kalapoi Civic Centre

- Thursday 7 September
  Rangiora Town Hall

We will confirm the time of your hearing in writing, by email or by telephone call.

Consultation is open from 9 June to 11 August 2017

Submissions can be made:

- Online at waimakariri.govt.nz/rubbish
- Use this form to tell us what you think and Freepost back to us
- By email to talkrubbish@wmk.govt.nz – you can complete, scan and send this submission form to us here, or email us with your preference and comments.

Please complete your name and address details. Anonymous feedback will be considered at Council’s discretion. Your submission will be considered by a Hearing Panel of the Council in an open meeting:

First Name: Richard
Last Name: George
Phone number: [ ]
Email: [ ]
Address*: [ ] Post Code: [ ]

*Submissions will be publically available. Please tick the box if you wish your address details to be confidential.

Please tick if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person.
Should we offer more choices for our kerbside waste collection?

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

Please tick your preference

☐ A. One Standard Service: the current WDC service
   Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags. Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.

☐ B. Two Service Choices: offer of a bin for rubbish
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Weekly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice**.

☐ C. Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices**.

* Forecast 2017/18 charges.
** There are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

As a family of 3, we put out a 30 litre rubbish bag approx. 30 times a year costing approx $90 — user pays.

We should single or couple our subscription to reduce waste [3] times.

Please complete your name and address details. Anonymous feedback will be considered at Council’s discretion. Your submission will be considered by a Hearing Panel of the Council in an open meeting.

First Name: Samantha Mould
Last Name: Mould
Phone number:
Email:
Address*:
Post Code: 7400

*Submissions will be publically available. Please tick the box if you wish your address details to be confidential.

Public hearings start at 4.30pm and will be held as follows:

☐ Monday 28 August
   Rangiora Council Chambers

☐ Thursday 30 August
   Rangiora Council Chambers

☐ Monday 4 September
   Rangiora Town Hall – Function Room (upstairs)

☐ Wednesday 6 September
   Either Rangiora Town Hall or Ruatanui Kalapo Civic Centre

☐ Thursday 7 September
   Rangiora Town Hall

We will confirm the time of your hearing in writing, by email or by telephone call.

Consultation is open from 9 June to 11 August 2017

Submissions can be made:

☐ Online at waimakariri.govt.nz/rubbish

☐ Use this form to tell us what you think and Freepost back to us

☐ By email to talkrubbish@wmk.govt.nz – you can complete, scan and send this submission form to us here, or email us with your preference and comments.
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mrs
First Name: Ritsuko
Last Name: Lees

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 4 September in Rangiora.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Definitely we need a bin for organics as most of our rubbish are organics.
To: The Waimakariri District Council
Submission: Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2017
From: The Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board
Contact: Edwina Cordwell - Governance Advisor
Email: Edwina.cordwell@wmk.govt.nz
Phone: 03 311 8900

The Chairperson would wish to be heard

The Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board (the Board) thanks the Council for the opportunity to make a submission on the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2017.

The Board wishes to affirm its commitment to the environment and to improving sustainability for the future.

The Board recognises the strategic importance of any Council decision on this plan and supports the extended consultation period. The Board also commends the efforts of elected members and staff to provide so many opportunities for engagement and explanation of what is proposed together with comprehensive and easily readable material to encourage understanding and feedback from as many residents as possible.

At a submission workshop on 3 July 2017 members discussed the options at length and concluded that there was a clear need for change and that Option A: Current Service was therefore not supported by the Board.

Members also concluded that the Council’s decision on whether to adopt Option B (two service choices) or Option C (four service choices) should be primarily based on individual resident’s feedback given the impact of the proposed changes at a household level; both for the services offered and the consequential increase in rates or other charges.

The Board wishes to affirm that it is in favour of progressing waste collection to a Bin System (either Option B or Option C) for the urban areas and in time supportive of the Council investigating progression into the rural areas of the District.

The Board would ask the Council to consider a review of the actual scheme adopted, perhaps in 2 to 3 years’ time, to identify any further improvements once the chosen service level has ‘bedded down’ and the possibility of extension into the wider District.

Thank you,

Jackie Watson
Chairperson
Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board
To: The Waimakariri District Council
Submission: Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2017
From: The Rangiora-Ashley Community Board
Contact: Edwina Cordwell - Governance Advisor
Email: Edwina.cordwell@wmk.govt.nz
Phone: 03 311 8900

The Chairperson would wish to be heard with regard to this submission.

The Rangiora-Ashley Community Board (the Board) thanks the Council for the opportunity to make a submission on the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2017.

The Board wishes to affirm its total commitment to the environment and to improving sustainability for the future. This has been uppermost in the Board's consideration of the matter and in its submission but it is not convinced that the current proposals will materially alter behaviour and achieve the reduction in waste to landfill that all aspire to achieve.

The Board recognises the strategic importance of any Council decision on this plan and supports the extended consultation period.

At a submission workshop on 19 July 2017 members received information from staff and discussed the options at length.

A key issue that provoked considerable discussion was the 'Opt Out' proposal for each of the Service Choices B and C. The Board was extremely concerned that any level of 'opt out' was extremely difficult to predict and variable with implications for the proposed Bin service on an ongoing basis.

The Board also felt that the Opt Out option was not clearly visible in the consultation material and that the community feedback may not necessarily have taken this aspect into account.

The Board wishes to express its concern at the generality of the content of the consultative literature and that there is little context to inform the community of the consequences of any proposed change other than to the level of rates levied by the Council for the service.

The Board offer the following comments:

**Council not the Sole provider**

The Council is not the sole waste collection service for the District. There is a mix of black bag collection and recycling by the Council and significant varied waste collection services undertaken by a range of local operators, particularly in the rural areas.

This is not mentioned in the consultation material which implies that the only waste service in operation in the District is that provided by the Council.
Indeed many submitters who live in the main townships may be totally unaware of the actual waste collection service across the District and assume it is primarily Council delivered as in neighbouring Christchurch and Selwyn.

It is unclear as to the impact of the proposed introduction of a Council bin system on these current private operators and whether they would be put out of business in the short to medium term.

Should this be the case it is again unclear what the consequences would be for the households affected by the loss of these private operators and in turn the potential implications (including costs) for the Council in having to consider developing additional waste collection services to compensate.

**Opt Out**

The Community Board would wish to understand why an Opt Out is being suggested and advise that this adds an unknown and unquantifiable dimension to the proposal. The consultation material does not make the opt out provision clear.

The material does not mention whether households are in the system from the start and then make a firm decision to 'opt out' or the reverse and in either case how this is administered, at what cost and to whom does this cost fall?

Cost estimates for the service have been provided to the Board by staff and range from $2.1 to $4.9 million predicated on varying formulae for opt out. The Board suggests that such a large range should be re-assessed and that further detailed work needs to be undertaken to provide more coherent and focussed estimates to enable effective decision making and tendering or for the Opt Out option to be removed.

Other practical matters come to mind including the administration costs of customers opting in and out and how these are to be managed by the contractor or Council in an effective and efficient manner. It is also unclear as to the frequency with which households can opt in and out.

The Board is also concerned at the potential level of opt out and the implications for those remaining with the service and the requirement for rates to rise to compensate for the loss of the opt out households for the contractor.

Opting out may make the system more palatable in order to gain approval but does nothing to improve re-cycling. If the private operators go, then any gains may be minimalised.

**Published Choices and Costs**

The Board is concerned that the published material offers detailed costs for each of the options and the respective charges that would be levied to households. The Board is concerned that members of the public may have noted these costs and based their submissions on these whereas these costs are indicative only and predicated on an opt out rate of between 30% and 60 %. The actual costs of the service may vary greatly from those used in the consultation material.
Comparators

Christchurch and Timaru have been cited as comparators for the proposed service costs but the Board is of the understanding that neither of these authorities offer an opt out and that the number of private operators is much lower and for more specific services.

Health and safety of workers

The literature states that a bin service is 9 times safer for workers than a bag service, indicating that there are serious health and safety risks for the current bag collection service.

However staff advised of only 2 serious accidents in the last 5 years and that other statistics were nationally based and did not pertain specifically to the District. Again the Board has concerns regarding the efficacy of this statement and how this may have influenced other submitters. Further Choices B and C continue to include bag options which seems to negate the position espoused.

Achieving Waste reduction

Given the above the Board was unable to determine how the desired reductions in waste to landfill would be achieved and/or guaranteed.

The Board urges the Council to consider identifying a specific target for reduction to landfill and to develop a mechanism that applies this target across all waste collection/disposal services operating in the District in a stringent and coherent manner.

Summary

- All board members supported the aspirations to reduce waste to landfill and to increase recycling and sustainability.

- The Board was however unable to reconcile these aspirations with the proposed service choices and staff information provided to it.

- The Board noted with concern that the consultation focus was defined as being the Waste Minimisation and Management Strategy which contains important and far reaching proposals but that the only element that had been publicised extensively to the community was the proposal for the Council to introduce a revised Bin System.

The Board would suggest that this is extremely misleading and that the majority of submissions received by the Council are consequently unlikely to focus on anything other than the Rubbish/Bin proposal and that decisions on the Strategy as a whole may be fundamentally compromised.

- The Board was extremely disappointed with the lack of detail and paucity of content of the material provided to the public and to elected members. The leaflets provide only a fraction of the information required to comment effectively on the scope of the Waste Minimisation and Management Strategy and indeed the Council’s own web site does not make it easy to find out about the over-arching Strategy and enable effective feedback.
• No mention was made in any aspect of the material or information provided that a mixed delivery model already exists within the District and that the Council is not the sole provider. The material implies that the council is the sole provider. In reality the Bin proposals are an additional Council service option and place the Council in competition with existing private operators, with the inherent risks that arise from such a venture for all parties and ultimately the rate payers.

• The Board was also extremely concerned at the lack of detail and costings in the background analysis underpinning the proposed Bin options. Specific costs for the services were identified but it is clear that these can only be indicative and are again extremely misleading for submitters who may take the published costs as a definitive statement and base their feedback accordingly. Again the Board is concerned about the robustness of the overall decision process.

• No mention is made of the mechanism by which households transition from the current service to any new Council delivered service, especially for those households currently using a private provider.

• Control of future costs is not explored or detailed and the Board is concerned that in an opt out situation, where household choices cannot be controlled, the implications for the contract that has been specified and the cost of required variations could be extremely high and a further ‘hit’ on the rates.

• The Board was concerned about the impact of the proposals on the current service providers and the potential for the Council’s actions to put them out of business with consequent job losses and a monopoly situation for the ‘Council provider contractor’ coupled with the risk that the Council might have to pick up services that could no longer be delivered by the private providers with all the cost and other implications of doing this.

• The Board also noted that there was no mention of there being any community or householder concerns with the current ‘mixed service delivery’ model and notes too that the private providers offer extensive recycling and organic options. This leads the Board to query the reasons for any change to status quo as regards rubbish collection services.

• The Board identified many ways in which more stringent sustainability and waste reduction targets could be achieved and imposed within the current ‘shared’ service delivery regime, without the requirement to introduce an additional/alternative council service with the risks that currently present themselves.

• The Board supports a mixed model and is NOT supportive of any incursion by the Council into this operational service delivery activity. Council should concentrate on strategic oversight and enforcement of strict standards for waste reduction.
The Board determined that it would have no option other than to support retention of status quo given its concerns regarding the opt out, uncertain cost envelope, impact on local businesses, unquantified potential for compensatory rate rises and that there was no certainty that sustainability and waste reduction/sustainability targets could be met through the current proposals.

It appears that all that is really being offered is the status quo, but that the collections undertaken by the private operators will be taken over by the Council, with no guarantees of further waste reduction.

The Board would be pleased to work further with staff and the Council on developing a coherent and targeted strategy to achieve significant waste reduction and sustainability in partnership with private operators maximising the benefits of the current ‘mixed’ service delivery approach whilst minimising the risks to the Council.

Thank you,

Jim Gerard QSO
Chairperson: Rangiora-Ashley Community Board
To: The Waimakariri District Council
Submission: Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2017
From: The Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
Contact: Edwina Cordwell - Governance Advisor
Email: Edwina.cordwell@wmk.govt.nz
Phone: 03 311 8900

The Chairperson would wish to be heard

The Oxford-Ohoka Community Board (the Board) thanks the Council for the opportunity to make a submission on the Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2017.

The Board wishes to affirm its commitment to the environment and to improving sustainability for the future.

The Board recognises the strategic importance of any Council decision on this plan and supports the extended consultation period. The Board also commends the efforts of elected members and staff to provide so many opportunities for engagement and explanation of what is proposed together with comprehensive and easily readable material to encourage understanding and feedback from as many residents as possible.

At a submission workshop on 6 July 2017 members discussed the options at length and concluded that there was a clear need for change.

Members also believe that there is a need to make fundamental alterations to the way in which we individually and collectively; reduce waste, increase recycling and improve our environment for both current and future generations.

Board members overwhelmingly endorse and support Option C.

Members also recognised that the current proposals do not cover the entire District and identified other ways in which rural areas might benefit and in turn contribute to reducing waste. These included the potential for provision of Rural Recycling points for example at Cust and West Eyreton.

Members also observed that moving to a bin system will reduce the amount of scattered waste and other material currently caused by dogs and other animals opening and mauling the rubbish bags which in turn creates health hazards and visual pollution in rural areas.

The Board sincerely hopes that there could eventually be a withdrawal of the rubbish bag and a move to a bin only system.

Thank you,

Doug Nicholl
Chairperson
Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
To: The Waimakariri District Council
Submission: Draft Waste Management Minimisation Plan 2017
From: The Woodend-Sefton Community Board
Contact: Edwina Cordwell - Governance Advisor
Email: Edwina.cordwell@wmk.govt.nz
Phone: 03 311 8900

The Chairperson would wish to be heard with regard to this submission.

The Woodend-Sefton Community Board (the Board) thanks the Council for the opportunity to make a submission on the Draft Waste Management Minimisation Plan 2017.

The Board wishes to affirm its commitment to the environment and to improving sustainability for the future. The Board recognises the strategic importance of any Council decision on this plan and supports the extended consultation period.

At a submission workshop on 24 July 2017, members discussed the options at length and concluded that there was a clear need and desire for change.

The Board unanimously supports Option C – the 3 Bin option specifically to aid the reduction of organic material being present in the incorrect waste stream.

The Board noted a number of positive aspects of Option C:

- The Board believes that ensuring an effective Waste Collection service is regarded as a core aspect of the Council’s function and that the Council has a responsibility, not only to the present but also to the future, in regards to the environment and should demonstrate civic leadership.
- With analysis showing that 60% of the content of rubbish bags is organic material, a reduction in this material going to landfill will assist with the goal of waste minimisation.
- If the proposed 3-bin system results in a reduction in the amount of waste going to landfill then the operational life of Kate Valley will be extended.
- The Board believes that bins are cleaner, safer, more environmentally friendly long term and convenient to users.
- Cats, dogs and other animals will be unable to scavenge and scatter waste material around.
- Disposal of broken glass and other materials will be safer for both householders and contractors.
The Board also had some concerns:

- There was no mention, nor awareness of, the overarching Waste Management Minimisation Plan document in any of the published literature. Most elected members and the community at large have no knowledge of this Plan nor its implications.

- Concerns over a range of factors, yet to be clarified, that will affect the cost envelope/bottom line, i.e.
  - % of people that opt in or out
  - who owns the bins (contractors or council)?
  - resources needed to administer and collect material, with a dual system of bags and bins
  - how accurate the $ are that are currently being communicated to residents and how much that may change at LTP time?
  - impact on accurate information for the tender documents to then get the most beneficial tender agreement.

- Those on fixed incomes that may only use a bag every 4-5 weeks. The option to retain bags for such households needs to be considered. However, this is tempered by the knowledge that the higher the number that decide to continue with bags, the fixed costs involved in implementing and collecting bins will be spread over a number of users.

- Uncertainty over the respective roles of landlords and tenants with regard to the choices being offered, the submissions from such individuals and how the ‘level’ of rental properties/Body Corporate types of home ‘ownership’/rental are being factored in to the analysis, planning and cost calculations. The Board noted that staff had not yet undertaken analysis of this aspect in any detail.

- Staff advised of the use of technology with RFID tagging leading to a ‘User Pays’ environment. However, the Board understands that this technology is not yet available and may not be until July 2019. And as positive as this technology may be in terms of in establishing a ‘users pays’ model with bins they urge caution in any calculations that may be predicated on its use, availability and effectiveness.

- The Board is also concerned that a ‘user pays’ environment may have unforeseen consequences for the costing models currently being adopted that could lead to problems in specifying an accurate and definitive tender specification.

- A ‘user pays’ ability could also potentially lead to a reduction in service take up/usage as compared with current predictions with consequential increases in costs to those remaining on the original style contract or for the contractor/Council.

- The Board also queries why the proposed services are not being made available to the more high density rural/semi-rural areas as this could lead to further cost reductions and reduced waste.

- The Board is also cognisant of the impact on the current contractors should any new bin service be introduced. However, it is also recognised that some residents would continue with private bin collections due to their flexibility and there is also the possibility to expand their services into rural areas to compensate for any resultant service losses.

**Waste Minimisation**

- The Board would support any steps that the Council might take to reduce plastic in the district, be it plastic packaging or bags.
- The Board is aware of the high volume of construction waste going to landfill and with the projected population increase over the coming years this will be an ongoing issue and one that affects many areas in New Zealand. The Board would support any steps the Council might take to reduce this.

- The Board acknowledged the positive impact that the introduction of soft plastic recycling bins has had on reducing the amount of plastic going to landfill and would support any other such initiatives that are introduced.

Thank you,

Shona Powell

Chairperson: Woodend-Sefton Community Board
The following feedback has been received:

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

- Please tick your preference:
  - Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
  - Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
  - Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*. Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices**.

Additional comments:

Why on earth would the council want to offer the heavy duty non biodegradable rubbish bag at all when the world is trying to rid itself of plastic waste. I would have hoped that the Waimakariri Council would do all in their power to eliminate the plastic bag. Here you are offering to continue to use the bag and pollute the land fill. Is this good practice. No NO It is not. Even in the local News paper it is mentioned that the use of plastic bags is hazardous to the collectors when sharp objects are put in them. Come on Waimak Council wake up and do the sensible thing. No more bags. Gosh just work it out, if everyone of your residence put out a bag even fortnightly how many bags would that be going into the land fill per year. OMG more than I would like to think about.

Please complete your name and address details. Anonymous feedback will be considered at Council’s discretion. Your submission will be considered by a Hearing Panel of the Council in an open meeting.

- First Name: Lynda
- Last Name: Perham
- Phone Number:
- Email:
- Address:
- Post Code:

- Stay engaged: Submissions will be publicly available. Please tick the box if you wish your address details to be confidential, Please tick if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person.
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Jessica
Last Name: Dobbs

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I will not be attending the hearing in person.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)

11/Jul/2017
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

We definitely need the bin system. It would make life easier with bins instead of bags, we can go through two bags a week sometimes which is very expensive for a young family. I would hope they will come into council asap. Also the bins would make it cheaper for everyone involved instead of doing trips to the dump to dump any greenwaste eg lawn clippings as lots of the sections in the Waimak district do have big yards (one of the reasons we love living out here as we have a good big section which is what we wanted for our growing family)
Should we offer more choices for our kerbside waste collection?

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

Please tick your preference

- [ ] **A.** One Standard Service: the current WDC service
  Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags. Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.

- [x] **B.** Two Service Choices: offer of a bin for rubbish
  Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Weekly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin.
  Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
  Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice**.

- [ ] **C.** Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
  Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
  Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $85 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
  Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $271 depending on bin type and size choices**.

* Forecast 2017/18 charges.
** There are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Whilst not suited to our needs best due to our ability to use green waste for composting or in our worm farm, I feel that those households who don’t have the facility to dispose of their green waste thus, then they should have an organics bin. Perhaps a monitored USE could be instigated so cost is related to no. of collections made. Micro chip recording ???

Please complete your name and address details. Anonymous feedback will be considered at Council’s discretion. Your submission will be considered by a Hearing Panel of the Council in an open meeting.

First Name: **JOHN**
Last Name: **ARCHER**
Phone number: ________________
Email: __________________________
Address: __________________________ Post Code

*Submissions will be publically available. Please tick the box if you wish your address details to be confidential.
Please tick if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person.

Public hearings start at 4.30pm and will be held as follows:

- [x] Thursday 30 August
  Rangiora Council Chambers

- [ ] Monday 28 August
  Rangiora Council Chambers

- [ ] Monday 4 September
  Rangiora Town Hall – Function Room (upstairs)

- [ ] Wednesday 6 September
  Either Rangiora Town Hall or Ruatanuiha Kalapoi Civic Centre

- [ ] Thursday 7 September
  Rangiora Town Hall

We will confirm the time of your hearing in writing, by email or by telephone call.

Consultation is open from 9 June to 11 August 2017

Submissions can be made:

- [ ] Online at waimakariri.govt.nz/rubbish
- [ ] Use this form to tell us what you think and Freepost back to us
- [ ] By email to talkrubbish@wmk.govt.nz – you can complete, scan and send this submission form to us here, or email us with your preference and comments.
Should we offer more choices for our kerbside waste collection?

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

Please tick your preference

☐ A. One Standard Service: the current WDC service
   Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags. Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.  

☐ B. Two Service Choices: offer of a bin for rubbish
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Weekly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice**.

☐ C. Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
   Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
   Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
   Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $271 depending on bin type and size choices**.

* Forecast 2017/18 charges.
** There are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Public hearings start at 4.30pm and will be held as follows:

☐ Monday 28 August
   Rangiora Council Chambers

☐ Thursday 30 August
   Rangiora Council Chambers

☐ Monday 4 September
   Rangiora Town Hall – Funct on Room (upstairs)

☐ Wednesday 6 September
   Either Rangiora Town Hall or Ruatanwaha Kaiapoi Civic Centre

☐ Thursday 7 September
   Rangiora Town Hall

We will confirm the time of your hearing in writing, by email or by telephone call.

Consultation is open from 9 June to 11 August 2017

Submissions can be made:

 vigorously online at waimakariri.govt.nz/rubbish

☐ Use this form to tell us what you think and Freepost back to us

☐ By email to talkrubbish@wmk.govt.nz – you can complete, scan and send this submission form to us here, or email us with your preference and comments.

☐ *Submissions will be publically available. Please tick the box if you wish your address details to be confidential.

☐ Please tick if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person.
Should we offer more choices for our kerbside waste collection?

What kind of kerbside waste collection service should the Council include in their Long Term Plan consultation in early 2018?

Please tick your preference

A. One Standard Service: the current WDC service
Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags. Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.

B. Two Service Choices: offer of a bin for rubbish
Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Weekly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin.
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice**.

C. Four Service Choices: offer of bins for rubbish and organics
Fortnightly Recycling Bin PLUS Fortnightly WDC rubbish bags OR Rubbish (red) bin, AND/OR optional Organics (green) bin
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $85 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags*.
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices**.

* Forecast 2017/18 charges.

** There are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Public hearings start at 4.30pm and will be held as follows:

- Monday 28 August
  Rangiora Council Chambers

- Thursday 30 August
  Rangiora Council Chambers

- Monday 4 September
  Rangiora Town Hall – Function Room (upstairs)

- Wednesday 6 September
  Either Rangiora Town Hall or Ruatanuwha Kalapoi Civic Centre

- Thursday 7 September
  Rangiora Town Hall

We will confirm the time of your hearing in writing, by email or by telephone call.

Consultation is open from 9 June to 11 August 2017

Submissions can be made:

- Online at waimakariri.govt.nz/rubbish

- Use this form to tell us what you think and Freepost back to us

- By email to talkrubbish@wmk.govt.nz – you can complete, scan and send this submission form to us here, or email us with your preference and comments.

First Name: Bruce
Last Name: Bellis
Phone number: 
Email: 
Address:
Post Code:

*Submissions will be publically available. Please tick the box if you wish your address details to be confidential.
Please tick if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person.
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Emma
Last Name: Hounsell

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4:30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).
Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
- Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
- Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
- Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

trim: Also optional bin size for all bins.
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Liza
Last Name: van Wyk

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4:30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?

Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
- Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
- Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
- Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

trim
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: mark
Last Name: Hoddinott

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

bin for recycling
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: Phil
Last Name: Hayes

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?

Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan: 

Trim;
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Ms
First Name: Hayley
Last Name: Wilkins

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?

Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Trim; Moved out to Kaiapoi last September from Christchurch. Miss the red bins and green as so handy when gardening. Don't like driving to the dump and paying to get rid of my green waste. Petrol cost and dump fee are a pain.
Online Submission

WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: Andrew
Last Name: Giles

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4:30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Monday 28 August in Rangiora

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?
Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?
Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)
Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

There is a cost both to the environment and economical, to both leave things as they are and change, From what Council is putting forward it seems to only show what it wishes to promote. Like wise with its stance on optout, it should be optin by those that want it, not a win by default with people not knowing to optout, contamination is high with organics collections and as part of my submission I will have support from New Zealand's leading Organic certification organisation backing me on why this is a dangerous path to take. As the Council's current provider I have not been consulted on what methods could or should be looked at or what markets are available for this proposed product, if you take something from some where it still needs to go somewhere else. what you save from landfill could well end up back in land fill. look at envirocomp, its likely 70% of what they saved from landfill went to land fill at a cost far higher likely several hundred percent, to the economy and the environment. As your current service provider I offer my ongoing services and myself in consultancy capacity for what you are looking to achieve,
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WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: John "Wayne"
Last Name: Ramsay
Email: ...
Primary phone: ...
Address
Kaiapoi
New Zealand 7630

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi.

Submission Details

_Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service._

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?

Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

_Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish._

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

_Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics._

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at
differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)

- Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
- Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
- Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan::

Trim
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WM&M 2017: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Submitter
Title: Mr
First Name: Larry
Last Name: Blackler
Email:
Primary phone:
Address
Kaiapoi
New Zealand 7630

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi.

Submission Details

Do you support option: A. One standard service; the current WDC service.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags?

Current costs: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Do you support option: B. Two service choices: offer of a bin for rubbish.

Fortnightly recycling bin plus weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin & rubbish bin: annual rate varies from $221 to $281 depending on bin size choice (there are different bin sizes available at differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017.

Do you support option: C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Fortnightly recycling bin and weekly WDC rubbish bags OR rubbish (red) bin AND/OR optional organics (green) bin?

Annual costs for recycling bin & bags: $86 annual rate + $3 rubbish bags (forecast 2017/18 charges).

Annual costs for recycling bin, rubbish bin and/or optional organics bin: annual rate varies from $211 to $371 depending on bin type and size choices (there are different bin sizes available at
differential rates. Costs shown are estimates made in 2017).

Please select your preferred option (either A or B or C)

☐ Option A: One standard service; the current WDC service.
☐ Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.
☐ Option C: Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Please add any additional comments you have about the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan:

Trim. It is a no brainer. Ban the bag. Bring on 3 bins.
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Submitter
Company Name: Cust Community Network
Title: Mrs
First Name: Kirstyn
Last Name: Barnett
Email:
Primary phone:
Address
Rangiora
New Zealand 7471

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend.
I would like to attend the hearing on Thursday 31 August in Rangiora.

The body of this submission have been uploaded from a file and the content of that file is in the following page(s)
Cust Community Network  
10 August 2017
Submission to Waimakariri District Council Waste Minimisation Plan

The Cust Community Network is an incorporated society born out of the Cust Vision Cafes run by council. Our main aim is to develop, support and improve amenities in the Cust area, working with local volunteers and the council to help promote a sense of community in the village and wider area. We run a Facebook page (with over 500 Likes), and a website www.custvillage.co.nz to let people know what is happening in our area. Our latest initiative was to assist a local woman set up the Cust Book Exchange in the Cust Hotel car park, which is already proving popular.

We applaud council’s efforts to reduce waste in our district, and to encourage composting, reuse or recycling wherever possible. With this in mind, CCN would like the council to run a trial of a recycling drop off facility in the Cust area, similar to the mixed recycling bin used in Oxford. This idea has been raised before, however with the growing population in the Cust area especially in rural lifestyle blocks, we believe it is time to revisit this option.

In May 2017 CCN ran an informal online survey for local residents asking if they were interested in having a Cust recycling facility. This was promoted through the Northern Outlook through a Neighbourly Poll, on the NO Facebook page and also on the CCN Facebook page. Of the 100 responses, 98 supported the concept, with a comment also being made that it would encourage them to recycle more than they are currently. While not all residents supplied addresses, those who did were predominantly from the Cust area, with some responses from West Eyreton.

Around 20 residents also attended the CCN AGM in June with Kitty Waghorn as our guest speaker. There was strong support for having a local recycling facility, with some also raising the question of a rubbish drop off point. At this stage CCN would like to pursue the recycling drop off only, as a rubbish drop off creates additional resource consent issues and a higher possibility of dumping, however Ms Waghorn did mention there are some user pays options available, so future proofing this option may be advisable.

With the strong level of support indicated in the community, CCN recommends that investigation on a potential site for the trial commence, and a report come to council in conjunction with this consultation on waste minimisation. CCN also gives a commitment that we as a group will monitor the site regularly and report any issues to Kitty Waghorn.

We would like to see this trial commence as soon as is practical and look forward to your response. Thank you for considering our submission.

Kirstyn Barnett  
Chairperson – Cust Community Network

Ph:
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Submitter
Company Name: Friendly Binz
Title: Mr
First Name: Wayne
Last Name: Hemmingson

Please let us know if you would like to present your submission to the Hearing Panel in person. All the public hearings start at 4.30 pm. Please indicate below which date you would like to attend. I would like to attend the hearing on Wednesday 6 September in Rangiora or Kaiapoi.

The body of this submission have been uploaded from a file and the content of that file is in the following page(s)
Lets talk rubbish proposal – Waimakariri council

Feedback from Friendly Binz
11 August 2017

Currently the Waimakariri Council district have a number of local and national providers of residential waste services operating in this area, these include Rangiora Rubbish, 4trash, Laffey Bins, Waimak Bins, Friendly Binz, and Waste Management, of these there are 4 that would be considered small owner/operator type size, whose business operate over a large geographic area within the council district of Waimakariri( and parts of Hurunui), these include large rural populations in addition to the concentration of populations in Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Woodend, Oxford.

Of these operators we, Friendly Binz are the only provider, whom as a point of difference, only charges our clients on actual collection made (i.e. if there is no collection of rubbish, this means that no charge is made to our customer), in addition to this we have a very competitive price of $15 for a fortnightly wheelie bin service (Current market level is between $14.50 - 16 per fortnight for a 240lt wheelie bin). Essentially the combination of low price and the key differential of pay for use, means that we operate on the lowest margin of the local operators. Using your consultation website comparison estimates on your website suggest the current level of charge for a fortnightly 240l refuse collection would drop from $15 to between $12.80 and $8.20 depending on the individual variables, as described below.

The current estimates provided on your website in terms of new service offering suggest that for Option B a 140lt Weekly bin cost is $3.75 (therefore a 240lt fortnightly equivalent is $12.84) and for Option C a 140lt fortnightly service is $4.80 (equivalent 240lt fortnightly is $8.22). Prices at this level (assuming these charges are fully passed to waste operators with no administration margin paid to the council), when compared to the current market rate of $15 would necessitate a reduction in margin on the same current waste load of between 14.3% (Option B) to 45% (option C), which is clearly unsustainable for most small owner/operator type organisations, and would represent a significant detrimental impact to profitability for the largest of the local operators.

As you can imagine, Friendly Binz estimates that we would be seriously affected by this change - our small owner operator business is currently barely profitable, however we have been operating in growth focus, and have increased our customer base by over 25% over the last 12 months as we see that a constantly increasing customer base enhancing our sustainability. Our user pays philosophy (only pay when you use) has assisted to attract these new customers, we see a particular increase in
customers on fixed incomes and elderly as this is often advantageous to them financially, however it also means that we don't have the same inherent margin as some of the other operators, it is this lower operating margin that would make it unsustainable to continue to operate if the market price was to drop to the levels as outlined on your website.

The recent proliferation of properties in semi-rural areas e.g. Mandeville, Millfield, Pegasus, Silverstream, Braeburn estate and the likes have meant that current prices have been able to be maintained at lower levels for a sustained period of time, despite fuel and wage increases. It is unclear from the information available in the consultation, if the intention would be to make a kerbside service available to all areas within the region (including all rural properties), however it is assumed that this is not the case, and that this proposal is only to cover the more populated areas such as those mentioned above – if that assumption is correct and these more populated areas are proposed to be serviced via a council run scheme then others (including Eyrewell, Cust, Loburn etc) prices will have to increase substantially to compensate for the significantly higher cost to service (as the higher density areas currently cross subsidise the more remote and rural locations)

Even for those operators that have higher margins who may be able to sustain some of this decreased customer base, this initiative has the potential to push prices up significantly to those rural properties if they are not to be covered under scheme- this will create a significant distortion amongst ratepayers in the region where those that are being covered by a kerbside service are paying an equivalent of $8-12 per fortnight, whilst those not covered will be potentially facing changes in excess of $19-21 per fortnight for the same volume of rubbish – this seems to create a significant inequity amongst the ratepayer base of the region.

A suggested alternative that may wish to be considered would be a simple increase of tip fees to discourage landfill waste volume - this is a simple and fair allocation of costs which will act as a deterrent to rubbish volumes, and an incentive to ratepayers to recycle and use green waste options. This solution would impact ALL operators equally and if necessary these additional costs will be borne by those that create the rubbish.

Such a solution, whilst encouraging the reduced waste to landfill as desired by the council, also eliminates the council having to administer the currently proposed scheme. Ongoing administration anticipated would include the collection of levy included in the rates and the distribution back to a waste collection operator/s, the procurement process for the setup and selection of said waste collection firm/s, and the ongoing overhead of contract management of waste operators who participate in this scheme – all of these administration overheads will cost the council and yet could be avoided.

In summary we firmly believe that the proposal as outlined, including the estimated new service costs are unsustainable for us as a small operator to compete and it will mean that it alone will drive us out of our business.
I am happy to discuss any aspect of this submission, to do so please feel free to contact me on [contact information].

Regards

Wayne Hemmingson
1. SUMMARY

1.1. The purpose of this report is to provide information on the consultation process for the draft Waste Management & Minimisation Plan, to provide comment on submissions received, and to report on the trends of the submissions.

1.2. This report does not provide any statistical analysis of the submissions as this is covered in a separate report included in the hearings pack.

1.3. A total of 3,148 submissions have been received, and 2,604 have been analysed. A total of 544 submissions have not been processed or included in the statistical analyses because they were anonymous, duplicate, ‘silent’ (i.e. no option choice or comments were made) or late submissions.

1.4. In all, 35 submitters wish to be heard as at the time this report was prepared. They will make their presentations to the Hearings Panel over a three day period, on 28 August and 31 August 2017. The information pack provided to the Hearings Panel will contain copies of submissions from only those submitters who wish to be heard, and an analysis of the trends from all submissions. Copies of the remaining submissions and comments will also be provided prior to the Hearing Panel’s deliberations.

1.5. The Hearings Panel will consider all of the submissions during their deliberations on 4 September, and will make recommendations to the Council on a number of waste management issues such as:

1.5.1. Preferred range of kerbside collection servicing choices

1.5.2. Waste diversion targets and timeframes

1.5.3. Preferred way forward for a range of other services and waste minimisation projects, for example:
1.5.3.1. Upgrades at Southbrook resource recovery park: refuse pit, recycling area, and ReSale store
1.5.3.2. Provision of solid waste services for unserviced (rural) areas, particularly recycling services
1.5.3.3. Waste minimisation education and information
1.5.3.4. Assistance for households, schools and businesses to minimise waste

Attachments:
1. Hearings Pack
2. Summary of “Other Comments” not related to kerbside option choices (170821090004)

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

THAT the Hearings Panel:

(a) Receives report No. 170807083752.

(b) Notes that a full set of all relevant submissions will be provided to the Hearings Panel by Wednesday 23 August, for their consideration prior to deliberations on 4 September 2017.

(c) Recommends that the Council:

   i. Approve the following inclusions and amendments in the 2017 Waste Management & Minimisation Plan
      1. Insert Option … as the preferred kerbside collection service in Section 5.4
      2. Insert the below targets in Section 2.2
         a. Reduce annual per capita waste to landfill from 294kg per capita in 2015/16 to **272kg per capita OR 236kg per capita** by 2029
         b. Increase the annual per capita quantity of materials diverted from 170kg per capita in 2015/16 to **192kg per capita OR 228kg per capita** by 2029

   ii. Notes that the final version of the 2017 Waste Management & Minimisation Plan will be brought to the Council for approval in December 2017.

   iii. Notes that the Long Term Plan solid waste budgets, Solid Waste Activity Management Plan and solid waste section in the Infrastructure Strategy will be prepared using the kerbside collection methodology as approved in 2(c)i.1.

3. **ISSUES AND OPTIONS**

Background

3.1. The review of the Council’s 2012 Waste Management & Minimisation Plan (WMMP) in 2017 has been necessitated by a number of key drivers:

   3.1.1. The per-capita waste to landfill has not changed significantly since 2011 when the wheelie bin service for recycling was implemented and the resource recovery park at Southbrook was opened. The quantity of waste being sent to landfill from Waimakariri District is also continuing to rise with population growth.

   3.1.2. The Waste Minimisation Act 2008 requires Councils to adopt Waste Management and Minimisation Plans and to review their WMMP on a 6-yearly cycle, with the current review deadline being no later than 1 July 2018.

   3.1.3. In May 2015, Council requested that that staff report back to Council on cost-effective ways/methods to further advance waste minimisation.

   3.1.4. The review of the 2012 WMMP has been undertaken one year early to bring the review timing into line with the Council’s LTP timelines. Significant changes to
levels of service that could arise from the WMMP Special Consultative Procedure (SCP), would also have implications for the Council’s Long term Plan.

3.1.5. Both the kerbside collection contract and the resource recovery park & transfer station operations & maintenance contracts are due for renewal. Once the LTP has been adopted and the Council’s kerbside collection methodology is confirmed, the Council will be in a position to put these service contracts out to tender.

3.2. Under the guidance of the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party, and with assistance from waste consultants Morrison Lowe, staff have considered a number of options for kerbside waste services, and selected two options that provide an additional range of service choices to the current service, for consultation with the community. The waste diversion options included for consideration in the WA were workshoped with the Solid & Hazardous Waste Working Party in order to make that selection. The options consulted on were:

3.2.1. **Option A.** One standard service: rates-funded recycling bin, collected fortnightly; user-pays WDC rubbish bag, collected weekly.

3.2.2. **Option B.** Two service choices: rates-funded recycling bin, collected fortnightly; user-pays WDC rubbish bag OR a rate-funded rubbish bin, collected weekly.

3.2.3. **Option C.** Four service choices: rates-funded recycling bin, collected fortnightly; user-pays WDC rubbish bag OR rates-funded rubbish bin, collected fortnightly; AND/OR rates-funded mixed organics bin, collected weekly.

3.3. Kerbside collection services were encompassed within the wider context of a Waste Assessment, which looks at the waste situation within the Waimakariri District, and considers how improvements can be made to the way in which solid waste is handled. The outcomes from the Waste Assessment (WA) are summarised in the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan.

3.4. Different options were considered for site upgrades at Southbrook resource recovery park (RRP), with the currently proposed refuse pit upgrade and recycling and recovery facility extension considered as an Enhanced option, and the construction of a purpose built automated commercial waste sorting facility as the Advanced Option. The Enhanced upgrade option at Southbrook RRP was included in the draft WMMP.

3.5. The draft WMMP includes targets for reduction of waste to landfill and increase in waste diversion targets combining the Enhanced upgrades at Southbrook RRP and the different kerbside collection options. Option C is the advanced kerbside collection servicing option.

3.6. The enhanced option targets are:

3.6.1. Reduce annual per capita waste to landfill from 294kg per capita in 2015/16 to 272kg per capita over a 10 year period

3.6.2. Increase the annual per capita quantity of materials diverted from 170kg per capita in 2015/16 to 192kg per capita over a 10 year period

3.7. The advanced option targets are:

3.7.1. Reduce annual per capita waste to landfill from 294kg per capita in 2015/16 to 236kg per capita over a 10 year period over a 10 year period

3.7.2. Increase the annual per capita quantity of materials diverted from 170kg per capita in 2015/16 to 228kg per capita over a 10 year period

3.8. The draft Waste Plan also proposed that the Council would continue providing education initiatives that provide practical information to residents, schools and businesses. Waste
minimisation and management education includes how to recycle correctly, how to reduce and reuse materials and otherwise divert waste from landfill, and correctly dispose of hazardous waste. It also proposes to continue collaboration with other Councils to promote waste minimisation regionally and nationally, and to lobby for improved national product stewardship.

3.9. The Council approved the draft WMMP for consultation at their meeting on 6 June 2017. Consultation was launched on 9 June through local newspapers and social media, and copies of the draft WMMP and WA were available for viewing at all council service centres. Information about the kerbside collection service choices and an on-line submission form went live on the Council's website on 16 June. Information brochures and submission forms were posted out to ratepayers on 14 July. Regular posts and advertisements made throughout June, July and August to keep awareness high, and a series of weekend drop-in events were held during July and early August for people to come and talk to staff and elected members. The consultation closed at 5pm on 11 August 2017.

Number of Submissions

3.10. A total of 3,148 submissions have been received, and 2,604 have been analysed. One hundred and thirty submissions were received after the closing date for submissions, 370 did not include the name and contact details of the submitter, a further 9 included the submitter’s names & details but did not include an option choice or comments, and there were 35 duplicate submissions received. The 544 anonymous, duplicate, ‘silent’ and late submissions have not been processed or included in the statistical analyses.

3.11. Staff estimate that 30% of the submissions were made on-line, and 70% were ‘written’ e.g. submission forms returned to the Council, and letters or emails sent through to the Council. In total, 35 individuals or organisations wish to present their submissions verbally.

3.12. Facebook comments have not been captured as submissions, however a total of 227 comments were made on the nine “Let’s Talk Rubbish” posts on the Council’s Facebook page, plus another 85 on the initial ‘teaser video’ post on 8 June. The general tenor of comments were similar to those noted in the submissions.

Submission Analysis

3.13. People were asked how many kerbside collection service choices the Council should be offering in the upcoming Long Term Plan:

3.13.1. Option A. One standard service: rates-funded recycling bin, collected fortnightly; user-pays WDC rubbish bag, collected weekly.

3.13.2. Option B. Two service choices: rates-funded recycling bin, collected fortnightly; user-pays WDC rubbish bag OR a rate-funded rubbish bin, collected weekly.

3.13.3. Option C. Four service choices: rates-funded recycling bin, collected fortnightly; user-pays WDC rubbish bag OR rates-funded rubbish bin, collected fortnightly; AND/OR rates-funded mixed organics bin, collected weekly.

3.14. Most submitters appeared to indicate their personal preference for a service, however some commented that while they selected a waste bin option they would still intend to use bags or their current services. The statistics for the three options are shown on the following page:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Number of Submissions</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
<th>Percentage of Total With Option Choice Selected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option A</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>21.5%</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option B</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>14.6%</td>
<td>14.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option C</td>
<td>1,606</td>
<td>61.7%</td>
<td>63.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Option selected</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,604</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Themes from Submissions**

3.15. Comments were also invited, and many submitters chose to add comments to their forms. The option choices and comments have been entered into the Council’s consultation database (Submission Accomplished). A range of themes have been identified and these are summarised in the below table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key themes &amp; sub-themes</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a Kerbside Collection Options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments supporting option choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option A</strong></td>
<td>I/we don’t throw away much, compost at home, prefer private collector; bins encourage waste; using injury to the operators as a reason to get rid of bags… is just a smoke screen; bins are unwieldy, too big, are costly; 140L rubbish too small; too many bins to store; environmental cost to produce bin and are urban eyesore &amp; clutter roads; means more huge Council collection trucks on streets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comments supporting option choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option B &amp; C</strong></td>
<td>Support Council offering people a choice of bags or bins; a user pays model like this is good; works well in Christchurch/Timaru; bins are better for environment/more sustainable, better for nappies/food, easier to move than bags; make people more mindful of separating waste; better than bags/cleaner; bags are a nuisance/get ripped open by animals/attract vermin; bags are too high a risk for collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of kerbside collection options &amp; rates</td>
<td>Fixed incomes/elderly can’t afford a rate increase; Will push rents up; the option(s) is/are cheaper than what I pay now; make it more user pays (bar codes/ID tags); why should we pay more for this, the rates are high enough; like idea of lower costs for smaller bins; Costs for larger bins should be higher; no control over price/rate increases with 1 supplier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple occupancy properties/motels</td>
<td>Rate Motels per land title not per unit like in City; Consider how to service and rate body corporate properties &amp; retirement villages; 3 bins per unit too many (96 units = 288 bins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private collectors</td>
<td>They offer better service; don’t offer organic service to areas like Pines Beach; too many different trucks on streets; better charges/no control over charges; cheaper/more expensive than WDC option; this will put them out of business; tell people what other collectors are out there and let people make their choice on who to use; no mention of value to local economy of private collectors &amp; what job losses would be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>under Options B &amp; C; risk that rural collection costs will increase if private collectors lose revenue from urban areas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Kerbside collection service suggested</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Different frequencies (e.g. weekly rubbish &amp; fortnightly organics with Option C); Option D (3x80L bins) for retired people; get rid of the bags; just provide a uniform bin service like in City; decrease bag cost and increase rates; put bag prices up to encourage ‘recycling’ and make ‘recycling’ cheaper; provide smaller bags at lower cost; provide paper rubbish bags</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Collection service in rural/semi-rural areas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Want / don’t want Council bin service in rural area; Council should provide to whole Districkt not just built up areas; should not force organic bins on rural/large block owners; organics bin in semi-rural area will mean fewer people composting at home</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risky for Council to predict uptake from Opt Out proposal; Opt Out option is not clear in the documentation; why can’t it be Opt In? How much extra will it be when you buy the bins? Council should use local business for contract; need a liner in the bins to keep clean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Repeat of consultation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is long overdue; just do it; why are we talking about this again? Stop procrastinating; cost of consulting on this again?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### **Council Facilities**

| **Resource recovery park / transfer station** |
| Keep Oxford transfer station open at least 1 day a week / open more days during spring to get rid of grass clippings; have a community garden by the ‘dump’ to help educate people |
| **Composting facility** |
| Council should compost organics & sell it |
| **Individuals driving to transfer station** |
| Is inefficient; prefer to drive there than have Council collection in my rural area; no tow-bar so can’t take green waste to the tip |

### **Waste Minimisation & Sustainability**

| **Environment & Sustainability** |
| Reducing waste to landfill is important; |
| **Extend or expand services** |
| Extend recycling/waste bins into rural areas; want more recycling options for rural people; Recycling drop-off in Cust, in West Eyreton; locate recycling drop-offs (including for batteries) at supermarkets; Council should provide an inorganic collection at resident's gates |
| **Special Wastes** |
| E-waste/tyre/battery disposal needs to be cheaper/easier; disposable nappies processed by new plant |
| **Education in schools or of residents** |
| Support WDC programme in schools; teach householders about reducing waste; run courses on composting; tell us more about what we can recycle |
| **Other disposal methods** |
| Ban in-sink waste disposal units |
| **Reduce packaging, plastics; recycle more materials** |
| Container deposit to encourage recycling; ban plastic bags; advertise soft plastics recycling points; |
3.16. The above table contains only a snapshot of the comments, and there are a number of conflicting statements and views. A full list of comments will be with the Hearings Pack.

3.17. The Management Team has reviewed this report and supports the recommendations.

4. COMMUNITY VIEWS

4.1. The community’s views have been sought through a special consultative procedure, and the submissions have been received as a result of that process. We have had a 9 week long consultation period (a SCP requires a month-long period), and are required to follow certain procedures as to handling and processing the submissions.

4.2. Consultation was soft-launched on 9 June, with information made available at Council service centres and on the website. Submissions could initially be made on-line and by e-mail, with a cost-calculator available on the Council’s “Let’s Talk Rubbish” web page on 16 June. Publicity around the “Let’s Talk Rubbish” campaign included posts on social media and regular advertisements in local papers.

4.3. On 14 July around 17,500 information brochures and submission forms were posted out with the rates to all ratepayers that owned properties inside the refuse and recycling kerbside collection areas – this included those rural areas that have been identified in the rating maps as potential new or extended service areas. The submission forms were printed with a Freepost Council address on the reverse to remove one of the barriers people may have faced to making a submission.

4.4. For properties within the boundary of the extended Ohoka recycling collection area, a letter was sent to all ratepayers explaining what their options were, given that their Status Quo did not include a refuse bag collection service, and what the rating impacts may be on their properties if levels of service were to change.

4.5. Staff provided stakeholders and members of the public with opportunities to ask questions and discuss the options in person. These opportunities included:
4.5.1. A meeting with private waste collection companies on 6 July;
4.5.2. Weekend public drop-in sessions in Pegasus, Oxford, Kaiapoi, Rangiora and Mandeville during July and August;
4.5.3. Staff presentations to the Community Boards in June and July;
4.5.4. Staff presentations to Grey Power, University of the Third Age (U3A) and the Rangiora Combined Friendship Club in July and August.

4.6. A total of 3,148 submissions have been received, and 2,604 have been analysed. One hundred and thirty submissions were received after the closing date for submissions, 370 did not include the name and contact details of the submitter, a further 9 included the submitter’s names & details but did not include an option choice or comments, and there were 35 duplicate submissions received. The 544 anonymous, duplicate, ‘silent’ and late submissions have not been processed or included in the statistical analyses.

4.7. Staff estimate that 30% of the submissions were made on-line, and 70% were ‘written’ e.g. submission forms returned to the Council, and letters or emails sent through to the Council. The written submission returns show a 10.6% response rate based on eligible properties within the current and potential kerbside collection areas, which is a similar return to the response rate in 2008. This response rate could be skewed by residents from rental properties in the serviced areas and ratepayers & residents in unserviced areas collecting submission forms from the Council in order to make their views known.

4.8. In total, 35 individuals or organisations wish to present their submissions in person at one of the hearing days.

4.9. Facebook comments have not been captured as submissions, however a total of 227 comments were made on the nine “Let’s Talk Rubbish” posts on the Council’s Facebook page, plus another 85 on the initial ‘teaser video’ post on 8 June. The general tenor of comments were similar to those noted in the submissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Post</th>
<th>No. People Reached</th>
<th>No. Comments made</th>
<th>WDC responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 June Teaser video</td>
<td>69,567</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6,500 views</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 June Website Launch</td>
<td>15,777</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 July Service Choices</td>
<td>3,660</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 July Have you Talked Rubbish?</td>
<td>13,516</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 July Pegasus Talking Rubbish</td>
<td>5,695</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 July Talk Rubbish in person</td>
<td>3,216</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 July Talk Rubbish in person</td>
<td>2,408</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 July Talk Rubbish in person</td>
<td>2,963</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 July Talk Rubbish in person</td>
<td>3,808</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 August Closing Soon</td>
<td>4,061</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.10. Consultation closed at 5pm on 11 August 2017.
5. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

5.1. Under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 Territorial Local Authorities (TLA’s) are required to adopt Waste Management and Minimisation Plans, the latest review must be undertaken not later than 1 July 2018, and at not more than 6-year intervals. TLA’s may only receive and spend any landfill levy moneys on matters to promote or achieve waste minimisation, and in accordance with its WMMP; and levy moneys may be withheld if a TLA has not adopted or reviewed its WMMP within the stated deadlines.

5.2. The kerbside collection cost estimates were prepared based on calculations by our own engineers in house as well as Morrison Lowe who were the consultants engaged prepare our Waste Minimisation Plan. Morrison Lowe have very detailed methodologies that they use nationally to carry out this sort of assessment and have used local market rates in their calculations.

5.3. Some uncertainty does arise from the estimates having to include an assumption about the market share that Council would have, however the assumptions have been conservative (generally based on a 60% of market share for a Council bin service), and the estimated rates fall within the range charged by other Canterbury Councils providing similar collection services.

5.4. If the Council decides, following consultation, to proceed with option B or C, the true test would come at the time of tendering. It should always be expected that the cost estimate will not be exactly correct. The best we could expect is to be within plus or minus 10%. As noted in the 6 June report, if the Council estimate of the likely market share is incorrect, the Council will be exposed to financial risk.

5.5. Once the final Waste Management Plan has been prepared, and the preferred solid waste management options confirmed by the Council at its November meeting, the costs of implementing the action plans will be included in the Long Term Plan (LTP) budgets for public consultation.

5.6. A change to collection services would impact on the quantity and type of waste flowing through the Council’s transfer stations, which would in turn impact on operations and site capacities and potentially operational costs. Budgets to undertake upgrades of the refuse pit and resource recovery park have been included in the LTP, however the timing of these upgrades has been delayed until the outcomes of this WMMP consultation process have been finalised.

5.7. The Waste Assessment, waste audit, and Waste Management & Minimisation Plan review cost $62,589, these costs lie in the 16/17 year and were covered by the three Solid Waste Accounts. A total of $8,830 has been spent to date on the development and printing of the consultation documentation, on advertising and the additional staff resourcing necessary to process the submissions. Approximately 50% of these costs were incurred in 16/17 and the remainder in 17/18.

5.8. Final advertising and processing costs have yet to be received or processed. Final changes to the Waste Management Plan have yet to be made, but this can be done in-house at no additional cost to the Council. We do not propose to make bound hardcopies of the documents available, however electronic copies will be available online and these can be printed off as and when requested.

5.9. The following issues are not relevant to the current consultation process and deliberations, but have been included for information.

5.9.1. Both the kerbside collection contract and the resource recovery park & transfer station operations & maintenance contracts are due for renewal. Once the LTP
has been adopted and the Council’s kerbside collection methodology is
confirmed, the Council will be in a position to put these service contracts out to
tender. The final targeted rates would depend on contract prices.

5.9.2. Should a two or three bin service be the approved collection service, prior to
going out to tender the Council would have to decide:

5.9.2.1. Whether the bins would be owned and maintained by the Council or the
Contractor;

5.9.2.2. Where mixed organic waste would be sent for composting;

5.9.2.3. If ratepayers do not respond to the given choice about bags or bins,
whether the default collection service would be status quo (opt in) or a
standard bin service (opt out). This decision could impact on Council’s
market share, overall costs and potential rates: a “status quo default”
might result in a far lower take-up of bins than a “bin service default”
would.

5.9.3. Council would also need to canvass ratepayers to confirm whether they want to
continue with the status quo or get bin(s) and if the latter, what type and size of
bin they would want. This will be necessary to confirm final bin details before the
tendering process has been completed so that bins can be ordered with
sufficient lead-time for manufacture and delivery of bins prior to contract
commencement.

5.9.4. A legal review of the proposed services has been sought in response to a
suggestion that the service options are in some way anti-competitive. The advice
is that the provisions of the Commerce Act relating to contracts, arrangements
and understandings with third parties (including competitors) will not apply with
the service options that have been consulted on.

6. CONTEXT

6.1. Policy

This matter is a matter of significance in terms of the Council’s Significance Policy.

6.2. Legislation


6.3. Community Outcomes

The Waste Management Plan links to the following community outcomes:

_core utility services are provided in a timely, economic, sustainable and affordable
manner (solid waste is minimised and residues do not cause harm to the environment)_

6.4. Ta matou mauri

The Waste Management Plan and consultation around alternative collection service
choices links to the Council’s vision of being a respectful, progressive team delivering
value for our customers, and the following Council values:

_working with you and each other; doing better every day; and keeping you informed_

Kitty Waghorn
Solid Waste Asset Manager
Appendix ii: Other Comments

Section: Kerbside Waste Collection Service

WM&M 2017.165.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.165 by Mr Michael Harvey

Summary: There is no mention if this is covering the urban areas, or rural areas or the complete district?

Relief Sought: Would all options be available to all rate payers?

WM&M 2017.168.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.168 by Ms Lisa Rowe

Relief Sought: Perhaps consideration to tenants purchasing their own bin could be made as I am sure there are many who would wish to choose that option.

WM&M 2017.234.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.234 by Mr Noel Tatterson

Relief Sought: There will be a lot of people out of work if the bin system comes into effect and there is NOTHING in your flyer regarding this side of the system.

WM&M 2017.1263.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1263 by Ms Louisa Narbey

Relief Sought: I would like Option D. Fortnightly recycle bin - 240L; fortnightly rubbish bin - 80L; No bags at kerb only refuse station. Money saved from not doing weekly collection will subsidise cost of red bin 80L size for every property. If larger bin required, at ratepayer or tenants own cost. 240L bin and pay annually.

WM&M 2017.1711.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1711 by Friendly Binz

Summary: A simple and fair allocation of costs which will act as a deterrent to rubbish volumes and an incentive to ratepayers to recycle and use green waste options would be to increase the tip fees. This solution would impact all operators equally and if necessary these additional costs will be borne by those that create the rubbish. Such a solution, whilst encouraging the reduced waste to landfill as desired by the Council, also eliminates the council having to administer the currently proposed scheme.

Relief Sought: Suggest an increase of tip fees to discourage landfill waste volume.

WM&M 2017.1791.1 in Submission WM&M 2017.1791 by Kaiapoi/Tuahiwi Community Board

Summary:

The Board wishes to affirm its commitment to the environment and to improving Sustainability for the future. The Board recognises the strategic importance of any Council decision on this plan and supports the extended consultation period. The Board also commends the efforts of elected members and staff to provide so many opportunities for engagement and explanation of what is proposed together with comprehensive and easily readable material to encourage understanding and feedback from as many residents as possible.

At a submission workshop on 3 July 2017 members discussed the options at length and concluded that there was a clear need for change and that Option A: Current Service was therefore not supported by the Board.

Members also concluded that the Council's decision on whether to adopt Option B (two service choices) or Option C (four service choices) should be primarily based on individual resident's feedback given the impact of the proposed changes at a household level; both for the services offered and the consequential increase in rates or other charges.

Relief Sought: The Board wishes to affirm that it is in favour of progressing waste collection to a Bin System (either Option B or Option C) for the urban areas and in time supportive of the Council
investigating progression into the rural areas of the District. The Board would ask the Council to consider a review of the actual scheme adopted

**WM&M 2017.1802.1 in Submission WM&M 2017.1802 by Rangiora/Ashley Community Board**

**Summary:** The Board wishes to affirm its total commitment to the environment and to improving sustainability for the future. This has been uppermost in the Board's consideration of the matter and in its submission but it is not convinced that the current proposals will materially alter behaviour and achieve the reduction in waste to landfill that all aspire to achieve.

A key issue that provoked considerable discussion was the 'Opt Out' proposal for each of the Service Choices B and C. The Board was extremely concerned that any level of 'opt out' was extremely difficult to predict and variable with implications for the proposed Bin service on an ongoing basis.

The Board also felt that the Opt Out option was not clearly visible in the consultation material and that the community feedback may not necessarily have taken this aspect into account.

The Board wishes to express its concern at the generality of the content of the consultative literature and that there is little context to inform the community of the consequences of any proposed change other than to the level of rates levied by the Council for the service.

**Relief Sought:**

The Board determined that it would have no option other than to support retention of status quo given its concerns regarding the opt out, uncertain cost envelope, impact on local businesses, unquantified potential for compensatory rate rises and that there was no certainty that sustainability and waste reduction/sustainability targets could be met through the current proposals.

**WM&M 2017.1839.1 in Submission WM&M 2017.1839 by Oxford/Ohoka Community Board**

**Summary:**

Members also believe that there is a need to make fundamental alterations to the way in which we individually and collectively; reduce waste, increase recycling and improve our environment for both current and future generations.

**Relief Sought:** Board members overwhelmingly endorse and support Option C.

**WM&M 2017.1843.1 in Submission WM&M 2017.1843 by Woodend/Sefton Community Board**

**Summary:**

The Board believes that ensuring an effective Waste Collection service is regarded as a core aspect of the Council's function and that the Council has a responsibility, not only to the present but also to the future, in regards to the environment and should demonstrate civic leadership.

With analysis showing that 60% of the content of rubbish bags is organic material, a reduction in this material going to landfill will assist with the goal of waste minimisation.

If the proposed 3–bin system results in a reduction in the amount of waste going to landfill then the operational life of Kate Valley will be extended.

The Board believes that bins are cleaner, safer, more environmentally friendly long term and convenient to users.
Cats, dogs and other animals will be unable to scavenge and scatter waste material around.

Disposal of broken glass and other materials will be safer for both householders and contractors.

**Relief Sought:** The Board unanimously supports Option C— the 3 Bin option specifically to aid the reduction of organic material being present in the incorrect waste stream.


**Summary:** We wish to thank Kitty Waghorn for her time presenting the proposal information to our members. The result is that the proposal document has proved to be confusing and uncertain on outcomes and certainly very expensive. The consultation process raises many questions that are not included in the submission form information. This has evolved into confused views of what is actually being offered.

**Relief Sought:** Based on the information we have gleaned, we do not support this proposal. We feel more investigation to meet required waste minimisation needs to be undertaken, including investigation of other districts that have conferred to the 3 bin system.

**WM&M 2017.2263.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2263 by Mr Paul and Jocelyn and Mrs Scorton**

**Summary:** Our standard service option isn’t stated (recycling only). Ours is recycling and please keep as easier to manage our own rubbish due to long driveway.

**Relief Sought:** Want to keep our recycling option please.

**WM&M 2017.2304.1 in Submission WM&M 2017.2304 by Mr Robert Ottaway**

**Relief Sought:** Option C
Section: Extension of kerbside collection services

WM&M 2017.228.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.228 by Mrs Jill Brightwell

Summary: Reasoning behind this is that when purchasing a property you do not investigate what size the bones are, this tends to be noticed once you are in the property and actually using the service.

Relief Sought: I would like to suggest that when a person purchases a property that a free change of size of bin be offered within 3 months of notification of change of owner.

WM&M 2017.363.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.363 by Mr Raul Elias-Drago

Relief Sought: We live on Ashley Gorge Road, outside the town zone, small section with no rubbish service. Would be great to have rubbish service, even if basic, up Ashley Gorge for a few kilometers (say until German Road area).

WM&M 2017.1350.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1350 by Mrs Tara King

Summary: We don't even get rubbish collections, even though we are only 6 mins from Rangiora township and just off Oxford Road. Out with the black plastic bags all together and plastic bins instead.

Relief Sought: I'd like to see the collection areas extended and a greater choice in services.

WM&M 2017.1704.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1704 by Mrs Catherine Powell

Summary: With the strong level of support in the local community I would like the council to run a trial of a recycling drop-off facility in the CUST area, similar to the mixed recycling bin used in Oxford. This idea has been raised before, however with the growing population in the Cust area especially in rural lifestyle blocks I believe it is time to revisit this option. Thank you for your consideration.

Relief Sought: I would like the Council to run a trial of a recycling drop-off in the Cust area.

WM&M 2017.1706.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1706 by Mr Mark Powell

Summary: I would like the council to run a trial of a recycling drop-off facility in the CUST area, similar to the mixed recycling bin used in Oxford. The idea has been raised before, however with the growing population in the Cust area especially in rural lifestyle blocks I believe it is time to revisit this option. Thank you for your consideration to this submission.

Relief Sought: I would like the council to run a trial of a recycling drop-off facility in the CUST area, similar to the mixed recycling bin used in Oxford.

WM&M 2017.1741.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1741 by Ms Trudie Hanson

Relief Sought: Also, any thoughts of a six monthly kerbside collection for larger items, eg couches, mattresses?

WM&M 2017.1935.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1935 by Mr Ken James

Summary: We at present only get 2 weekly recycling but would like to have a rubbish service extended to our area - Ohoka.

Relief Sought: Would like to have a rubbish service extended to our area - Ohoka.


Relief Sought: Please include as much of the rural area as possible - Upper Sefton Road.

WM&M 2017.2328.1 in Submission WM&M 2017.2328 by Mr Trevor Walmsley
Summary: Yellow bin, red bin collection for Swannanoa.

Relief Sought: Yellow bin, red bin collection for Swannanoa.
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Section: Waste Management and Minimisation

WM&M 2017.1690.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1690 by Mr Murray Keast

**Summary:** Have not selected an option as our current service does not include rubbish bags. Not sure if this address was to receive this consultation request. Our current service is a fortnightly recycling bin only. Preference is for our current service. We do not dispose of compostable waste off site, ie all composted on site. We use Waimak Bins for general waste. Their business would struggle if we used a red bin. Our house is >500m from Two Chain Road making it difficult to transport bins to the roadside. WM and MP to be supported but does not suit all.

WM&M 2017.1727.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1727 by Ms Jenny Pitama

**Summary:** Nothing wrong with the plan, but, our rates cannot continue to increase - affordability in the Waimak District is essential to support growth.

**Relief Sought:** Our rates cannot continue to increase - affordability in the Waimak District is essential to support growth.

WM&M 2017.2608.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2608 by Mr Allan Pethig

**Summary:** Compostable waste should be free to dispose of at the Transfer Station.

**Relief Sought:** Free disposal of compostable material.

WM&M 2017.2608.3 in Submission WM&M 2017.2608 by Mr Allan Pethig

**Summary:** More bins should be available at the transfer station for recyclables especially plastics.

**Relief Sought:** More bins at Transfer Station for recycling, especially plastics.

Section: Rural Recycling drop-off

WM&M 2017.1649.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1649 by Cust Community Network

**Summary:** We applaud Council's efforts to reduce waste in our district and to encourage composting, reuse or recycling wherever possible.

**Relief Sought:** CNN would like the Council to run a trial of a recycling drop off facility in the Cust area similar to the mixed recycling bin used in Oxford.

Section: Education

WM&M 2017.826.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.826 by Mr Sam Keetley

**Summary:** It would be great if the community was more involved with where their landfill was actually going and how to minimise contributions to the landfill to make them into biofuels/compost etc even a community garden in Rangiora with organic site by the dump to encourage people to have their own footprint and to be educated about the benefits of each one and how they can do it in their own home ie workshops & getting the community evolved on the sustainability of Waimakariri for the generations to come, starting with different bins . Love the idea

**Relief Sought:** More education for the community about where their landfill was going and how to minimise contributions to this.

WM&M 2017.2242.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2242 by Ms Chris Chaplin

**Summary:** Also are we assured of efficient recycling?

**Relief Sought:** Information regarding recycling would be appreciated and commitment from WDC to recycling.
WM&M 2017.2400.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2400 by Mr Graham Williams

**Summary:** Could you please inform the ratepayers on how much of the recycled material is actually recycled and how much is dumped as rubbish. And would the end use of the organics/garden waste be?

**Relief Sought:** Advise how much of the recycled material is recycled and how much is dumped. What is the use of the organics/garden waste?

WM&M 2017.2561.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2561 by Ms Sandra Fleet

**Summary:** Great that people will have options as to how much they choose/need in regards to services and therefore cost. I could not find any Q & A except for nappies as to what constitutes 'organic' and 'green waste' e.g. I have heard that lawn clippings are excluded.

**Relief Sought:** Please provide more information before we choose what to select.

WM&M 2017.2591.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2591 by Mr John Foster

**Summary:** Can non-glossy A4 printer paper go into organic bin? If B is the popular choice, can individual properties opt to receive C service?
Section: Producer responsibility (plastic bag levy, container deposits, tyres, e-waste etc)

WM&M 2017.45.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.45 by Mr John Denny

Summary: The holistic view of waste minimisation needs to be the reduction of the amount of packaging sold & supplied like plastic bags and encouraging the use of reusable bags and stop the needless packaging that come with most items sold. One other option is to make retailers, suppliers or Manufacturers supply recyclable packaging (like Milk & beer bottles once did) or allow packaging to be deposited at the point of sale at the retailers, suppliers or Manufacturers expense. Add a 0.05 Cent levy to all packaging like South Australia does to encourage recycling.

Relief Sought: Encourage producer responsibility.

WM&M 2017.349.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.349 by Mrs Ellen Bell

Relief Sought: I can't believe the options of continual use of plastic bags are still be offered , surely we should be keeping these out of landfill , Is the Waimak Council not taking a serious stand on this issue .

WM&M 2017.398.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.398 by Mrs Amanda Thorogood

Summary: Good on you for trying to help the environment!

Relief Sought: Are you also looking to expand the range of recyclable materials from the current range?

WM&M 2017.2124.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2124 by Mr Stu Scott

Summary: Waste minimisation should include district restrictions on single use plastic bags and polystyrene packaging.
Section: Other waste minimisation

WM&M 2017.45.3 in Submission WM&M 2017.45 by Mr John Denny

Summary: Encourage & promote companies like North Canterbury's Envirocomp's waste minimisation who put a landfill product infant nappies and turn it into compost. (Envirocomp has recently transferred to Eneform and is increasing waste Minimisation to include new technology will process sanitary hygiene waste along with used tyres and plastic materials in 2018).

Relief Sought: Encourage more waste minimisation.

WM&M 2017.178.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.178 by Mr Hamish Scown

Relief Sought: More information on where the organic waste will eventually end up and what purpose would be much appreciated

WM&M 2017.209.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.209 by Mrs Dawn Riddell

Relief Sought: Imperative that people who live on land blocks are not forced to adapt organic waste collection. Land owners must have the option to compost all their organic waste.

WM&M 2017.243.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.243 by Mr Hans Gelton

Relief Sought: Why can't the council investigate how Christchurch council reduce cost of providing an organics collection service by turning all this into compost and selling this compost back to the public?

WM&M 2017.572.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.572 by Ms Tess Jolly

Summary: Why are WDC rubbish bags not currently supplied to those who pay rates per neighbouring councils? And why are many of us paying for your current service in rates when we are not consuming it - and will have to continue to pay when not using if rubbish remained per current state? For your consideration, it is currently cheaper and more efficient to pay a private business who will do additional collections (or skip collection if away) and it will continue to be this way when looking at the proposed council fees. Please can you provide a response to these queries - I have included my details below for this purpose thank you.

Relief Sought: Response to queries.

WM&M 2017.575.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.575 by Mr Neil Baker

Summary: I am not sure if the Council is planning on providing a rubbish pick-up in the Swannanoa/Mandeville area but as we are happy to cater for our own rubbish needs we are not wanting this service in our area. We support the composting and recycling of rubbish to reduce the impact on the dump site and we are able to do this ourselves.

Relief Sought: Additional costs for rubbish collection is not supported by us.

WM&M 2017.1299.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1299 by Ms Johanne Robinson

Summary: Sorry but I have my house on the market to go to Dunedin so my input is not needed. But I do wonder about the wisdom of spending so much on this beautiful brochure.

WM&M 2017.1937.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.1937 by Mrs Jan Campbell

Summary: I have ticked 'A' but I would prefer a system that puts more responsibility on residents to reduce waste output. Fortnightly recycling bin, weekly WDC rubbish bag. All food waste to be composted. All soft packaging to be recycled in bins provided. This could continue in this way or collected from homes.

Relief Sought: I would prefer a system that puts more responsibility on residents to reduce waste output.
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Summary: The body corporate has 7 flats with residents aged 60 plus. They don’t want unnecessary amounts of rubbish going to the landfill but have some concerns about present and proposed arrangements. At the moment they all have a recycling bin and most still use a black bag. All but one resident is likely to still use a rubbish bag with one favouring a 140L bin. All favour having an organic bin. If all eventually went to a 3 bin system there would be 21 bins on site which would create problems. This seems an extreme measure and cost when there are other options that could be explored.

Relief Sought: That organisations like the body corporate be able to have a 3 bin system but with a lessor number of bins that are shared to achieve waste minimisation but not cause storage problems on site.

WM&M 2017.2294.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2294 by Mr Wayne and Lynette and Mrs Clark

Summary: The cost of B or C would have a significant impact on every household.

A multi-bin system is unsightly and creates a health and safety risk for pedestrians on rubbish day.

On smaller sections or with multi-dwelling sites there is insufficient space to store the extra bins.

Keep reminding the public that all broken glass, china etc must be wrapped in layers of newspaper to avoid refuse collectors being injured.

Elderly people may struggle with maneuvering bins, especially the larger ones.

Organic waste bins not required.

Southbrook recovery park will not be able to cope long term as the District expands. Council will need to plan for an additional site elsewhere in the District.

WM&M 2017.2344.2 in Submission WM&M 2017.2344 by Mr John Murray

Summary: The options do not include 'opt out'. Our recycling is taken by trailer to the Council recycling center approximately once a month. Kitchen/perishable waste is fed to our hens or pigs. Garden waste if large enough (logs) are dried and burnt in the log burner. Smaller (branches) are chipped and composted. Other organic waste is composted, if diseased, it is burnt. I appreciate the devastating impact that waste disposal has on the environment, but being retired allows me the time to dispose of our own waste. This is the option that works well for us.
Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

**Kerbside Options**

Number of submitters indicating their preference for each option:

No preference indicated: 57

Option A: 561
Option B: 380
Option C: 1,606

The following report sets out the comments provided by submitters against each of the options from the consultation documents.
Project: Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options

Report: Global comments per answer
Option A: One Standard Service; the current WDC Service

Submission WM&M 2017.1006 by Ms M Joy Hurring

Trim; I have my own compost bin and have to get my lawns mown so don't have any green waste - and only put out a rubbish bag every 4-6 weeks, and the recycling bin only every two collection days. I do not want it to cost me more than it does now.

Submission WM&M 2017.1011 by Ms Deb Williams

Trim. We manage to only put 1-2 bags out per month. If there were red bins I think people would be more careless with general rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.1013 by Mr Peter Cooper

Trim; I'd like to tick Option B and/or C but my concern is that the WDC will discontinue Option A bag collection if B and/or C proceed. Can the WDC guarantee bag collection will continue. If so, I'd support B and C. I use a bag about 1x month as I recycle, compost and reuse. The use and cost of bins (to me) is excessive.

Submission WM&M 2017.1022 by Mr Brent and Lynda and Mrs Thorpe

Trim; Although nobody can understand your options we would like to continue with one large yellow bin fortnightly at $86 annually. It seems to be perfect for us. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.1030 by Ms Vicki Payne

Trim; I actively use my own compost bin for food waste and lawn clippings. I have a yellow recycle. Also use one rubbish bag every 2 or 3 weeks. Why are residents not encouraged to have own compost bins. Our soil could do with reconditioning.

Submission WM&M 2017.104 by Ms Lorraine Richardson

At present I use a private bin company to pick up my waste. I am lucky enough to be of the mind to compost for my garden so kitchen waste goes there. My concern apart from the cost of an overload of bins to ratepayers is the instant dismissal of private business collectors who are doing a great service to the Waimakariri District already. Instead of the council organising a new system why not point out what is already available and making the ratepayers who want spoon feeding to make their own arrangements instead of burdening council with unnecessary work Thank You

Submission WM&M 2017.1040 by Mr Eric Pollock

Trim; I do not want any additional costs added to my rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.1064 by Mr Jim and Helen and Mrs Cook

Trim. Chickens are fed our food waste and as we live in a townhouse we have very little green waste so option A is an option.
Submission WM&M 2017.1090 by Ms Glenis Loughnan

Trim; I am retired and live on a tight budget. I compost as much green waste as I can, the rest I happily take to the dump. I have the small recycling bin which is rarely full. I use one black rubbish bag approximately every 6 weeks. I do not want anymore unsightly bins. I have no room for them. I do not wish to pay for others waste collection in increased rates. I believe it should be user pays.

Submission WM&M 2017.1092 by Mr David and Jenny and Mrs Shurville

Trim; We think the current waste management plan is acceptable. We compost our own food waste to use in our garden as do many other people. We do not want increased rates as we feel we are paying a lot of rates already. Also, we do not want to put any garden or tree removal workers out of business that are currently providing good services. If you have to add extra bins could we please have choices to add these if we are already composting and recycling.

Submission WM&M 2017.1112 by Mr John Creamer

Trim; I don't use the Council rubbish collection service. I have a private rubbish company collect my rubbish, I can customise it to my needs and they will take everything I put out. The multi bin options will add even more to the annual rates bill which is far too high in this ward now. $3,000 per year is outrageous for private dwelling in Waimakariri.

Submission WM&M 2017.1138 by Ms Vicky Bell

Trim; This would be wonderful to offer rubbish bag collection in addition to recycling collection for Ohoka residents. Fortnightly would be fine. I see Option A is weekly rubbish bag collection but even fortnightly would be good too.

Submission WM&M 2017.114 by Mr John Regan

I might use 4 rubbish bags per year ($12) and make 475 trips to the dump a year with green waste ($50 approx) and 172 runs with rubbish for the pit. So unless any rates increase for a different level of service can come in under $100 I am not interested. And quite frankly $86 for recycling pick up is too expensive when it can be dropped for free at the dump when passing. But there is no option to withdraw from that. Using injury to the operators as a reason to up the rates is just a smoke screen. Don't engage operators who don't wear suitable gloves.

Submission WM&M 2017.1163 by Mr & Mrs Hewitt

Trim; WDC should offer subsidised composter bins and water butts to encourage composting and water conservation (otherwise, our rates are extortionate enough)

Submission WM&M 2017.1172 by Ms Trish Hawkins

Trim; We live in Mandeville North/Ohoka and don't want bags on Tram Road (and its animals). We go to Rangiora a lot and take our plastic bags to the Refuse Station. We compost or feed the chooks with any organic waste. Just leave as is.

Submission WM&M 2017.118 by J Mitchell
Consultation has already been carried out on this issue last year and ratepayers voted against all the extra bins at extra cost. I can’t understand why consultation is being done again at more expense and the most gain expected is a saving of 14% waste to landfill and at the expense of a number of private contractors in the district.

Submission WM&M 2017.1190 by Ms Sharyn Francis

Trim; Please could you arrange to have our current yellow bin replaced. The collectors smashed the lid the first time of collection. We phoned, advised - no action to date. Twice we have phoned. Many thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.120 by Mr Wallace Smith

I am for keeping the system as we have now. As a home alone pensioner I use two rubbish bags a month, use my recycle bin and take my green waste to the refuse station in a wool pack as I require for $2, approx. every 6 weeks. Why would I want to pay an extra $100 or $200 a month for something I don’t need when I rely solo on my pension as an income. Everybody has different needs and I understand a family of four would be better suit with one of the other options so why can’t we choose and option that suits our requirements and that is what we pay for instead of being forced into a dearer option that is not required. Hope you listen to what the ratepayer is telling you and don’t force us all into adopting a more expensive system that a lot of people do not require.

Submission WM&M 2017.1203 by Ms Susan Wallis

Trim; I am sure that many older people living alone find the present system quite satisfactory. With compost bins for vegie and fruit scraps and storing meat scraps in the freezer until rubbish bag is ready to be collected I am able to use only 1 rubbish bag every 3 - 5 weeks. Garden waste I take to be shredded at the transfer station though many I guess would make other arrangements for collection - still much less than the extra charges of B or C.

Submission WM&M 2017.1204 by Mr John Crowe

I would like to discuss my concerns at the public hearing please. I few comments are monopoly, loss of jobs, local companies will suffer, will firm use locals when has contract, I have few more things would like to have heard.

Submission WM&M 2017.1220 by Viv Milne

Trim; We are very happy with the present collection service.

Submission WM&M 2017.1224 by Mr Diarmuid Toman

Trim; I recycle or compost about 95% of my household waste. That means one WDC bag about every 2 months. Adding more bins will penalise me for years of minimal landfill waste (I will be charged for bin services that I do not want or need - organic/landfill bins). There are bin companies already collecting organic waste. Let them do it.

Submission WM&M 2017.123 by Ms Mary Hill

More education on recycling could provide a higher level placed in the yellow bin. Use of local free papers as the media tool could demonstrate visual image, e.g. Meat trays, plastic that are okay but
do not have a visible recycling triangle (waffle cones).

Submission WM&M 2017.1242 by Ms Allanah Shedd

Trim; I would prefer fortnightly rubbish pick up. However we would be happy with Option A (as ticked).

Submission WM&M 2017.1254 by Mr Owen Stuart Minchington

Trim; We would like to have a rubbish and organics bin, but the cost is prohibitive. Our rates are much higher here than in Christchurch (pre quake), so with petrol costs, our cost of living has increased dramatically.

Subscription WM&M 2017.1262 by Mr Colin and Sandra and Mrs Cracknell

1. this is third time we have been consulted, do you plan to repeat the process until you get the answer you want? 2. How much do these consultations cost? Surely precious finances could be better spent? 3. We barely make a carrier bag full of rubbish a week, we do not need a bin. You are encouraging people to throw anything and everything in the bins. We are sure that much more will go to Kate Valley. 4. On windy days bins blow over and block paths making it dangerous for partially sighted, wheel chairs and elderly.

Subscription WM&M 2017.1271 by Mr Ken and Lois and Mrs Peters

Trim; For those of us who have to use a walker it is much easier to put a rubbish bag on walker seat than it is to pull a bin while pushing a walking frame.

Subscription WM&M 2017.1272 by Mr James Koh

Trim; You should offer a fortnightly rubbish bin collection without the organic/garden waste collection.

Subscription WM&M 2017.1283 by Ms Lyn Wilkinson

Trim; We are low fixed income and the cost of anything other than status quo is too expensive for a ratepayer on an invalid's benefit. I would love a green bin but we pay $1.65 currently and so $371 is far too expensive. I spend $4/year green waste at the tip. If the cost was around the same as currently for all bins I would say yes, but $371 - $1.65 = $206.00 per year more, so NO thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.13 by Mr Tom McLaren

We have 2 large compost bins and a mulcher and dispose of all our green waste. We use Rangiora Rubbish Removals bin for general rubbish and only require a pick-up approximately 3 monthly. We seldom put out a rubbish bag but do use the option. As superannuitants we do not support the possible increase in rates for the other services. The fortnightly recycling bin service is excellent.

Submission WM&M 2017.1319 by Mr Gerrit Kappelle

Trim; The increasing cost of rates and bins for pensioners is getting a big burden. Pensions seem
to increase by about $250 - $300 a year. Thus increases in power and groceries make our lives increasingly harder.

Submission WM&M 2017.1325 by Ms Liz Adcock-White
Trim; Only Option A encourages households to reduce rubbish - and makes a direct link b/w cost / usage. Some households have no capacity to increase household costs.

Submission WM&M 2017.1327 by Mr Hudson Deane
Trim; We do our own composting and as pensioners favour the most economic option. We put out a rubbish bag only when necessary.

Submission WM&M 2017.1330 by Mr Bernard Hack
Trim; Would prefer the current service of a fortnightly recycling bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1337 by Mr Paul Starling
Disappointed to see the council, having failed to convince the ratepayers to change to multiple bins on many previous occasions, continue to waste ratepayers resources on an ideological mission to convince ratepayers to select the 'green' option council staff wish us to select. If the public continues to opt for the status quo - no change - I hope this will be the last occasion we are asked to debate this matter. After all the council (and staff) exists to do the will of the ratepayers and not to push their own agendas.

Submission WM&M 2017.1342 by Ms Helen Brown
Trim; I am happy with the current bin service. Perhaps those that want more bins could pay higher rates for their collection and extra bins, as we only put our bin out once a month. We wouldn’t need extra bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1347 by Mr Michael and Carol and Mrs Ruscoe
Trim; We are happy with a private green waste pickup service.

Submission WM&M 2017.1349 by Mrs Belinda Marson
As a family of six, we recycle very efficiently including a compost bin and worm farm at home. We also recycle soft plastics taking them to the supermarket each shopping trip. So currently we use only 1 rubbish bag ever 3/4 weeks. The further rubbish bins would add to our monthly bills and not be cost effective for our family.

Submission WM&M 2017.1378 by Ms Christine Houia
Trim; The incredible increase of annual rates cost is the reason I have remained with the status quo.

Submission WM&M 2017.1379 by Ms Trish Hammersley
Trim: We live in a complex and do not have any room for added bins. The cost of the rubbish bags is up to how much rubbish one makes so that can be less than quoted. There are little cost to the Council. 96 villas and it is all put out at the main entrance by the caretaker. One stop. Our rates are huge, $2,721.77 for a small villa. Our son has 6 acres and pays the same!!

Submission WM&M 2017.1388 by Mr Derek Daniell

Trim: Recyclable at 9% - 2017. Council needs to push for at least 75-80% recyclable per year. Compostables could be a lot higher around 80% in Kaiapoi. An area needs to be set aside for disposal of the likes of polystyrene.

Submission WM&M 2017.1393 by Ms Pamela Bailey

Trim: The purchase of a monthly supply of WDC rubbish bags from Council would be a cheaper option than buying from supermarkets.

Submission WM&M 2017.1405 by Ms Joy Anderson

Trim. We compost our own green waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.1441 by Waikura Trust

Trim. The standard service should be available to all. We are on 108 Butchers Road, Kaiapoi, and get no service at all. However Silverstream subdivision to the east, and Ohoka, to the west, get collection. Why can't we?

Submission WM&M 2017.1459 by Mr Jos Galavazi

Trim. Dwelling is only used as a holiday house. Therefore the extra bins would be of no advantage. Most times take rubbish home for recycling. Very rarely put out a plastic bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.1463 by Ms Paula MacDonald

Trim. We have a large property so the organic / garden bin would be of no use to us. Only two people in house so Red bin no use - happy to keep the rubbish bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.1474 by Ms Fay Binnie

Trim. Option A suits as retired and rubbish bag only every fortnight. Also don't use plastic bags. But we must consider young families coming to live in the area first. "A" will not be enough.

Submission WM&M 2017.1496 by Mr Jeffrey Patrick

Trim. One bag every fortnight and recycling bin. We have no organics waste as we compost and it takes us a month to fill a rubbish bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.1504 by Mr Lester and Angela and Mrs Tidball
Trim. Promote and encourage people to compost green waste at home to help reduce the truck and trailer loads going to landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.1512 by Mr Ido & Vrouwkje and Mrs Bongers

trim: I make my own compost, if too big I take it to the refuse station free of charge.

Submission WM&M 2017.1529 by Mr M J Coles

trim: The rates are already high even compared with Auckland. I put out one rubbish bag a month. With a new system I would be paying for a service I use only monthly.

Submission WM&M 2017.1531 by Mr Greg Linton

trim: more than happy with yellow recycling bin and pay per use of rubbish bags. Opposed to any rates increases for services we would not use.

Submission WM&M 2017.1544 by Ms Raeleen Lucas

Trim. As an older person already struggling with rates, please don't give us any more increases. Also I would not use any extra bins which are a smelly eyesore and if not used a dam nuisance to place somewhere out of the way.

Submission WM&M 2017.1547 by Ms Bonnie Myatt

Trim. Pensioners cannot afford to pay for exorbitant rates for rubbish. User pays I say.

Submission WM&M 2017.1556 by Mr Alistair McCulloch

We compost and consequently only put out one rubbish bag every 2 - 3 weeks. We feel if people need larger quantities put out, they should use commercial alternatives and not be subsidised by lesser and more diligent users of the council service.

Submission WM&M 2017.1588 by Ms Glenys Ralph

Trim. Please add a green bin to first option for organic waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.1602 by Mr Max Green

Trim. I live on my own so I have very little rubbish. I compost all kitchen and garden waste. I put out my recycling bin (middle size every six weeks) and one rubbish bag every month. So you see I don't want any change in system. I believe in use pays.

Submission WM&M 2017.161 by Mr Colin Bush

I am a great believer in user pay. If anyone wants the extra bins they pay through there rates on an individual basis and not across the board as not everyone wants or can afford to pay the extra.
Submission WM&M 2017.1627 by Ms Lisa Patrick

Trim. One bag every fortnight and recycling bin. We have no organics waste because we compost and have chickens for scraps. And very little rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.1630 by Mr Bernard Rose

Trim. All Northbrook Villas should only be charged for a solitary bin ($80). We only use 33 bins for 96 units.

Submission WM&M 2017.1640 by Mrs Janice Watkins

I am the chair of Body Corporate 366454 which runs from ... my unit is one of 13. There are many similar units either side of Bainswood Hospital, Victoria St. My 12 neighbours and I are all elderly, many have walking sticks or walkers. Most units have no room for more than the recycling bins, the only options are our footpath or driveways. Having bins at our front doors is not an option.

Submission WM&M 2017.1651 by Mr James George

The footpaths around the town are a disgrace on rubbish day now. Imagine what they would look like with double or triple the amount of bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1657 by Mr Gerard Phillips

That other options (B & C) impact on rates too much. There are only two people in this house and we compost as much as possible. Therefore we have no need for the other options. The bag options allows for collection when it is full, which is every two to three weeks. We would be paying for a service that we would not use with the other options (B & C)

Submission WM&M 2017.1663 by Ms Naomi Whyte

Trim. Would prefer recycling and green bin only. As don't generate much rubbish. Not even one bag per month due to recycling. Compost and only 2 people in our household. If we had all 3 bins we would just give up on caring for environment and waste reduction and throw everything in red bin - more land waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.1667 by Mr Andrew Giles

There is a cost both to the environment and economical, to both leave things as they are and change, From what Council is putting forward it seems to only show what it wishes to promote. Like wise with its stance on opt out, it should be opt in by those that want it, not a win by default with people not knowing to opt out, contamination is high with organics collection and as part of my submission I will have support from New Zealand's leading Organic certification organisation backing me on why this is a dangerous path to take. As the Council's current provider I have not been consulted on what methods could or should be looked at or what markets are available for this proposed product, if you take something from somewhere it still needs to go somewhere else. what you save from landfill could well end up back in land fill, look at enviropack, its likely 70% of what they saved from landfill went to land fill at a cost far higher likely several hundred percent, to the economy and the environment. As your current service provider I offer my ongoing services and myself in consultancy capacity for what you are looking to achieve,
Submission WM&M 2017.168 by Ms Lisa Rowe

As rural residents we do not have access to kerbside collection but have a rental within the township. As we do not wish to pay extra for a service we will not have access to we are selecting option A. Perhaps consideration to tenants purchasing their own bin could be made as I am sure there are many who would wish to choose that option.

Submission WM&M 2017.1692 by Mr William Titulaer (PLD)

Trim. A $3 charge per bag encourages waste minimisation. Bags must be biodegradable. We have a compost bin and see no need for organic collection. Only put out the recycling bin every 6-8 weeks.

Submission WM&M 2017.1696 by Mr Russell and Linda and Mrs de Malmanche

Trim. As pensioners a bag once a fortnight for rubbish is sufficient so don’t need the extra cost of a bin. We do have a green bin which is collected as required, at most fortnightly.

Submission WM&M 2017.17 by Mr Terry Griffiths

Keep the system as it is in mandeville ie fortnightly recycling no rubbish collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.1704 by Mrs Catherine Powell

With the strong level of support in the local community i would like the council to run a trial of a recycling drop-off facility in the CUST area, similar to the mixed recycling bin used in Oxford. This idea has been raised before, however with the growing population in the Cust area especially in rural lifestyle blocks I believe it is time to revisit this option. Thank you for your consideration.

Submission WM&M 2017.1705 by Mr Paul Edmund Fitzgerald

Trim. I am strongly in favour of keeping the present collection service. Being a superannuitant am finding ever increasing rates a burden. Changing the present system will also put contractors who do a good job for those who use them, out of business.

Submission WM&M 2017.1707 by Mr Daniel Jones

Trim. Happy and willing to reduce waste but price increase is just too much. Reduce the price and you will reduce the waste. Finally, if its 9x safer for your employees, why hasn’t it already been introduced.

Submission WM&M 2017.1712 by Mr Ross and Mary and Mrs Wills

Trim. With living in a town house do not have room for more bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1725 by Mr Irwin William Graham

Trim. I would like the rubbish collection to stay as it is now. My main concern is if the Council keep charging extra for rates on rubbish and the other large projects they have in the pipeline. I am concerned if extra costs keep happening to me on a fixed income I wont be able to exist. I would like
them (Council staff) to ask themselves would they spend their own money like they spend ours. PS
This rubbish bin scheme was proposed not many years ago and was voted against. Another
waste of money. I have not got any pleasure from writing this submission. I hope someone in
power takes the time to read this.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1734 by Mr Ron Fryer**

Trim. With the rates on my property $3,000 pa I fail to see how you can charge more. $60 a week
to live in my freehold property is a joke.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1738 by Mr Bob Galbraith**

Trim. Please make the WDC rubbish bags wider so they fit the radius of a standard round dustbin.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1752 by Mr Ian Sissons**

I do like the idea of the organic bin but object to the council charging for its use particularly in winter
when not much grows for at least 3 months. All my kitchen organics go into my compost bin. I may
only mow my lawn during this period once and leave the garden as the ground is to wet, but will
have to pay for a service that will not be used then. The easiest and fairest system for organics is to
chip those bins, ie Ch ch council in process. Remember those on fix incomes namely pensioners that
do not have the extra funds to pay for a service like this.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1759 by Mr Chris Lambie**

Great idea, but I can hardly afford the rates now, let alone another dam hike. I retire in 12 months
time, will I get a pensioner discount.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1761 by Ms Ida Pamela**

I already compost my green waste. Any garden waste too big for my compost bin, goes into a bin
supplied by a contractor. I do not think contractors should have their livelihood taken from them.
Why should I pay much more for a service I do not need.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1780 by Mr Janet and John and Mrs Collier**

We put out our rubbish bag every six weeks and a recycling bin 1/2 - 3/4 full ever 2 weeks. We also
recycle soft plastics at the supermarket. Many people now live in smaller properties and have
limited outside space for all these bins. Also more people are employing others to mow lawns and
prune shrubs etc and then this rubbish is removed from their properties. There is also the problem
of cleaning the bins - rubbish & compost will need cleaning or they will stink in the summer and
possibly become a health hazard. The elderly cannot possibly be expected to do this. The added
expense would also be a hardship for many. Finally what about the private companies whose
businesses will be very adversely affected.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1787 by Mr Wallace Smith**

Different household's have different need's, so why can't we choose the one that suits us instead of
being told the majority wants a certain one and we all get lumbered with the same one. B & C is
excessive for most pensioners, as in my case.
Submission WM&M 2017.1789 by Mr Russell Ransley

We use one plastic rubbish bag every two-three weeks. We fit the rubbish bag into a steel drum with a lid to protect against cats etc and to keep smell under control. We need to put out our recycling (yellow) bin every four to six weeks. We compost our green rubbish etc in a concrete block bin, the contents are used as garden fertiliser.

Submission WM&M 2017.1790 by Ms Wendy Wills

I only put out a bag once in three weeks. I have a small yellow lid bin - this is plenty for my requirement.

Submission WM&M 2017.1798 by Mr Jim Barwell

I wish to vote for (A). I have a private bin for green waste. Our rates are to high now without adding more. We have already voted on this but you didn't get the answer you wanted? More wasted time.

Submission WM&M 2017.1808 by Mr Carl Lance Baker

If the bags are only $78 say fortnightly why are the red and green not also fortnightly on alternate weeks or like I previously had in Christchurch the yellow and green were alternate weeks. Would like to keep as close to what currently paying is there not a smaller yellow bin?

Submission WM&M 2017.1833 by Mr Geoff Sperry

Our household is very conscious about waste we compost all green waste and food scraps. We use a private rubbish company with great well priced service. If you need more bins have them pay. If you don't need - don't have - don't pay

Submission WM&M 2017.1838 by Mr Derek & Vivienne and Mrs Wilson

We prefer to continue with our current private rubbish service providers for red and green bins

Submission WM&M 2017.1841 by Ms Elizabeth Magendans

Service B and C is too expensive. In the winter I use only one bag a fortnight. In the summer I take the green waste to the tip. I do not like paying for something what is no use to me. Thank you,

Submission WM&M 2017.1844 by Mr Russell Wood

A three bin system would be ideal but only if the additional cost was absorbed by the Council. this should be easily achieved as currently this council has the highest votes in the Canterbury Area. Time to think outside the box and to decrease not increase rates yearly.

Submission WM&M 2017.1847 by Mr Murray Duggan

How many times do you have to be told we want what we have now. Your rates are so costly now, pensioners and older people are getting to stage they are unaffordable.

Submission WM&M 2017.1899 by Mr Peter & Mona and Mrs Knox
Strong preference for Option A. We currently manage the collection of the Rubbish Bag fortnightly. We also operate a 3 bin composting system to manage household vege/green waste to benefit the gardening & soil conditioning.

Submission WM&M 2017.1905 by Ms Mary Jo Murphy

I am happy with the status quo, it suits my needs well - and being a person on her own, I use the recycling bin currently no more than once a month (usually every 3 pick ups) and the rubbish bag much the same. With occasional own visit to green waste outlet...

Submission WM&M 2017.1906 by Mr James McKenzie

Have voted for Option A, as neither B or C offer large rubbish/green waste bin options, so will stick with my third party service.

Submission WM&M 2017.1913 by Ms Patricia Roberts

I am a pensioner living alone on a small section living on the pension. I only have a small amount of rubbish and cannot afford to pay more on my rates to get rid of other peoples rubbish. The cost of living is increasing too much every year.

Submission WM&M 2017.1915 by Ms Catherine Lutterman

We choose option A because we only use a rubbish bag every 2-3 weeks and are able to compost all out organics and green-waste on the property.

Submission WM&M 2017.1924 by Mr John Pickett

There should be an option of recycle bin only. A lot of people do not use a rubbish bag including myself. I should not have to pay for a service that I don't use.

Submission WM&M 2017.1932 by Mr Hugh and Samantha and Mrs Mould

A or secondly C. As a family of three we put out a rubbish bag approximately 30 times a year costing approximately $90 - user pays reduces waste (?), fairer. Why should singles or couples subsidise larger users?

Submission WM&M 2017.1935 by Mr Ken James

We at present only get 2 weekly recycling but would like to have a rubbish service extended to our area.

Submission WM&M 2017.1937 by Mrs Jan Campbell

I have ticked 'A' but I would prefer a system that puts more responsibility on residents to reduce waste output. Fortnightly recycling bin, weekly WDC rubbish bag. All food waste to be composted. All soft packaging to be recycled in bins provided. This could continue in this way or collected from homes.

Submission WM&M 2017.1963 by Mr Malcolm Stalker
Please try and avoid dumped rubbish on roads by reducing dump fees. I am continually picking up rubbish on Beach Road. Full load of branches the other day and KFC and McDonalds crap. Even a deep freeze one week. Try to encourage people to use your facility. Thanks so much.

Submission WM&M 2017.1982 by Mrs Janice Clark

I would like the rubbish collection left as it is. A green bin for garden rubbish etc would be handy but I find I can take green rubbish to the dump for a pretty minimal fee. It is hard enough to make the pension go around, and my rates take a good part of this already.

Submission WM&M 2017.1984 by Mr John Stapley

Current recycling fortnightly suits me. I compost most of my rubbish, so have no need of a weekly rubbish collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.1994 by Mr Robert Arter-Williamson

I fail to see how wheelie bins cost so much especially when they reduce the animal break-ins that has to be cleaned up by a separate work crew. I'd like to say we should have choice, but it could become confusing and we'll end up with this Council's expensive three bin system. A green bin would be nice, but not at $90 especially when the Council sells the compost.

Submission WM&M 2017.2000 by Ms Joy Mehlhopf

Our rates are already very high. An increase would be a large increase for pensioners and young families. We manage really well with the current system.

Submission WM&M 2017.2001 by Mr John Kissack

Present system is quite satisfactory.

Submission WM&M 2017.2002 by Mr W.D Poysden

Northbrook villas is a body corporate complex of 96 residential units with residents of 55 years or older. The amount of weekly rubbish would be less than that produced by an average household at approximately 35 rubbish bags per week and 32 recycling bins per fortnight. Organic waste is taken to the Southbrook Recovery Park and is paid for by the Villa management.

Submission WM&M 2017.2015 by Ms Claire Dawson

The rates cost enough now without adding extra rubbish collection charges.

Submission WM&M 2017.2019 by Ms MaraLyn Hardy

Leave it as it is, have you ever tried to walk down the footpath on recycling day. Its a dam nightmare. You always seem to be very eager to spend ratepayers money unnecessarily.

Submission WM&M 2017.2040 by Mrs Jeanette Helen Roberts
No rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2042 by Ms Karen Kinley
Living alone, only put a bag out every three weeks or so.

Submission WM&M 2017.2043 by Mrs Shirley Jackson
Please have a heart. There is an aging population in Waimakariri. The rates just keep going up.

Submission WM&M 2017.2047 by Mr Art Vernon
We favour option A because we use 1 rubbish bag every month and 1 vegetation bin every 2 months so don't want to subsidise over-users. A weekly bin does not minimise waste production as much as a bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.2061 by Mr Graham Taylor
There should be an option where we could get a recycling bin and an organics bin and carry on getting rubbish picked up by private contractors or use bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2076 by Mr George Murray
The property is a holiday bach and we take our rubbish back to Christchurch so we are quite happy with the current arrangement.

Submission WM&M 2017.2077 by Mr Derek Monaghan
While Option B would be more economical for our requirements, fortnightly collection is too long to wait for disposal. Smelly rubbish (red bin) particularly in summer.

Submission WM&M 2017.2082 by Mr Allan Dale
Quite happy with A.

Submission WM&M 2017.2094 by Ms Glenys Hosking
For those who want a rubbish bin system there are local private operators at the moment which support the local economy. A bin system doesn't encourage people to reduce the waste or make compost. As long as we have a choice and can opt out of the bin system and each house is billed per bin collection, I'm okay with it. I'm still filling the third bag this year and I object to subsidising those who make a lot of rubbish with a user pays philosophy we shouldn't have to subsidise other people's laziness.

Submission WM&M 2017.2102 by Mrs Nona Stapley
I do my own composting and have minimal other rubbish, so have no need for a rubbish collection.
Submission WM&M 2017.2109 by Ms Jan Walker

Does each household get their choice of bins or does the selection getting the highest vote become the norm for everyone - regardless of their personal choice - thereby disadvantaging those who wish to keep one standard service and not having rates increased by up to $300.

Submission WM&M 2017.2115 by Ms Maureen Wells

I definitely do not want more bins etc, these all cost more on which is added to my rates and each year the price is increasing.

Submission WM&M 2017.2127 by Mr Anne Greenup

I compost all my kitchen green waste and recycle as much as possible. I live alone, am a pensioner and prefer my rates stay at $86 and occasional rubbish bag. Other options totally unnecessary for me and too costly.

Submission WM&M 2017.2138 by Mr Paul Gill

My family makes good use of the Recovery Station in Rangiora for extra recycling. We have our own compost bin for most household and garden waste. We really appreciate all the efforts that the Waimakariri Waste Management does to recycle and limit landfill dumping.

Submission WM&M 2017.2144 by Mr Grahame Lochhead

Would like a smaller yellow bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.2147 by Mr Nicholas White

As a household we generate only a small amount of rubbish and don't put a bag out every week, so paying for a red bin to be emptied every week is not attractive. The ability to recycle more products would benefit everyone and reduce the amount of waste going into landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.2152 by Ms Beverley Leopold

Only put my recycling bin out 1 x month. and 1 rubbish bag a month. Couldn't afford another 200-300 a year, and no room for anymore bins on my property. The system I have now is perfectly adequate.

Submission WM&M 2017.2201 by Ms Annette Preen

The rates are very expensive in WDC. I can't afford more for bins I won't need or ever use. I would rather spend my $$ on medical insurance. I put out a black bag only about every 6-8 weeks, yellow bin goes out also about 6 weekly - never full - I compost all green waste why should I pay for a service I wont use.

Submission WM&M 2017.221 by Mr Graham Beaumont

I have no objection to other services being provided with one proviso. In order to minimise waste all costs should be borne by the waster.
Submission WM&M 2017.2214 by Mr Malcolm Clemence

We don't currently get any service.

Submission WM&M 2017.2218 by Mr Robbert and Tonny and Mrs Wiersma

Don't start at the household side of rubbish. Lobby manufacturers, retailers, ban plastic bags in the district. (Look for a change outside the box) A lot of people in the district are households of 1 or 2 people, they don't make the rubbish, why should they pay for somebody else's? We - 2 person household - 1 rubbish bag a month - same with recycling bin. We compost, others around us use private collectors and thus pay as they use. Let every household in the district pick their choice of collection and rate them for that in the rates bill. Fairer to the non rubbish makers and the real rubbish makers paying their way. Why should we all have to pay the same, even if you don't use the service. We thought it was all users pay nowadays. How about the Council hops on board. PS - Please feel free to ring us for further explanation, happy to oblige. (sorry writing on my knee - not very neat)

Submission WM&M 2017.2226 by Ms Sharon T Worrall

Would not have enough organic/garden waste to be collected weekly (Option C), and would not want rubbish to be collected fortnightly because of smell especially in the hot summer months (Option C). Being on a fixed income it is not always easy to come up with extra money for the nice to haves in an ideal world. With my rates costing $55.70 a week now it is getting prohibitive.

Submission WM&M 2017.2237 by Mr Nita and Geoff and Mrs Sheasby

We are pensioners and do not want our rates increased. The status quo suits us best.

Submission WM&M 2017.2242 by Ms Chris Chaplin

Please consider needs of all residents including rural and urban dwellers. Also cost is too high for option C. Also are we assured of efficient recycling? Information regarding recycling would be appreciated and commitment from WDC to recycling.

Submission WM&M 2017.2258 by Mr John Murchison

We recycle and compost everything possible and so a bag only goes out every 6-8 weeks. Expensive for us to change.

Submission WM&M 2017.2259 by Mr M J Stephens

Please consider lifestyle villages and retirement homes who have their own rubbish management and have no use for bins but pay the same refuse rate as all other rate payers.

Submission WM&M 2017.2260 by Ms Judith Hill

Have compost bin. Black bag more hygienic.

Submission WM&M 2017.2266 by Mr Henk and Johanna and Mrs Lettink

Current system works well for us and we never have a full bin for recycling. For plastic bags at a cost of $3 each makes people aware of how much rubbish we produce. Encouraging less waste
will save money and is better for the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.2287 by Mr Kenneth McKeown
Very happy the way it is.

Submission WM&M 2017.2294 by Mr Wayne and Lynette and Mrs Clark
Our answer is one, A, continue the current system - use of WDC bags and a fortnightly recycling bin. In our opinion the alternative would create an administrative nightmare. Private enterprise is always going to be cheaper than a Council organic/garden waste operation.

Submission WM&M 2017.2297 by Mr Ian Shand
As one person living alone on a fixed income, min. cost is of paramount importance, especially in one of the most expensive rating councils in NZ.

Submission WM&M 2017.23 by Mr Richard Sandom
I am a pensioner living alone, and making my own compost, so have no need for a greater service. Options B and C concern me, because there is no incentive for people to minimise the amount of rubbish they put out. I would change my mind if there was a system which charged each dump rather than having a flat fee (something like a chip on the bin and a detector on the truck), I know there is a provision to use the bag as per now under options B and C, but I am very cynical as to how long that will be offered. Comment - is it intended that this will apply to Oxford. If yes, why was there no hearing available here?

Submission WM&M 2017.2307 by Ms Anna Steyn
I am happy with the present service. I only use my small yellow bin every 2 or 4 weeks and a WDC rubbish bag a fortnight. I compost what I can and bring the bigger stuff (garden) to the tip at a cost of $2.00 a boot load, which is pretty good, about half a dozen times a year. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.2315 by Ms Christine Burberry
Why are rubbish bags so expensive?

Submission WM&M 2017.2321 by Mr Wayne Paulin
Are you trying to force the elderly out of Rangiora? Current rates are virtually unaffordable for them. Many elderly cannot afford this let alone power for warmth. Suggest you get a royal commission going on this.

Submission WM&M 2017.233 by Mr Norman and Elizabeth and Mrs West
We are a couple who have very little rubbish as we compost all our green waste and take excess with 2 or 3 visits as green waste to the rubbish tip. So A serves us well... We hope any changes will give us the right to stay with our present system.

Submission WM&M 2017.2332 by Mr N and L and Mrs Mora
We do not use rubbish bags as we pay for our rubbish to be taken away. Use recycle bin once a month.

Submission WM&M 2017.2336 by Mr Evelyn and James and Mrs Tritschler

Very happy with current collection as we use a private collection supplier for rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.234 by Mr Noel Tatterson

Would it not be more sensible and cost effective to have a OPT IN to a bin system option rather than the current format. This would be far simpler and easier to follow. There will be a lot of people out of work if the bin system comes into effect and there is NOTHING in your flyer regarding this side of the system.

Submission WM&M 2017.2340 by Mr Trevor and Faye and Mrs Tavendale

For us, maintain status quo. We do our own composting (3 heaps in contained boxes). If majority decides on a greens/organic waste bin and everyone ends up with an (Option C) why should we be penalised on our rates and having to pay ... this would not be fair. Also $3 a WDC bag is overpriced. [Probably a few cents to produce, some $'s to pickup. Add supermarket profit. Get WDC to provide at Council rooms at a better price.

Submission WM&M 2017.2348 by Mrs Mavis Anne Philbrick

We have a private collector for our rubbish. We are very happy with their service. We are elderly and our collector takes the bin out and returns it to its spot and line it with a plastic bag. Will the Council collector do this? We are not keen on having to move 2 or 3 extra bins. If options 2 or 3 are brought in you will be affecting local collection businesses.

Submission WM&M 2017.2354 by Ms Sandra Joy

Please stop trying to put more burdens on pensioners. The cost of rates are already too much.

Submission WM&M 2017.2374 by Ms Paulette Van Herp

My choice only. No Submission

Submission WM&M 2017.2393 by Mr Ronald Dixon

Stop causing additional charges to ratepayers.

Submission WM&M 2017.2409 by Mr John Laffey

We have a compost bin and are happy to put a rubbish bag out every three weeks.

Submission WM&M 2017.2423 by Mr John Hoffmann

We recycle all our waste and have no need for compost bins. We put a rubbish bag our every three weeks so option B and C are no use for us and we don't want any more unsightly bins at our
house. Our rates are $400 more than the same value house in Kaiapoi, why?

Submission WM&M 2017.2424 by Mr Derek Brown

I have no wish to further increase my rates or see the streets of oxford festooned with multiple bins on collection day, a sight I am surprised by in Christchurch.

Submission WM&M 2017.2427 by Mrs Gillian Rayner

I have no problem with the current setup - recycle bin and bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.2437 by Ms Hazel Parmenter

I have ticked A if we have to change. I am happy with the waste collection service as it is now. I wait until my recycle bin is full which is about two to three weeks and by then my black rubbish bag is full and I put it on top of the recycling bin. The manager takes away garden rubbish in the small white plastic bags for me, there is not much though as I try to use most of it for compost in the garden. It is not the recylce bin that stands just the flat ones.

Submission WM&M 2017.246 by Ms Jill Beauvais

I only use the recycling service, and only use this maybe once a month, as I use a private contractor for my general rubbish, much easier than having rubbish bags and also if I don't need my bin emptied I don't have to pay for it, also if I forget to put it out they will return the next day and empty it, I don't think the new system will give me this option and you will pay for the service regardless whether you use it or not which I am not happy about. Also do you intend to issue the household with 52 bags @ $3 each ?????? or 52 bags for a total of $3 ?????

Submission WM&M 2017.2465 by Ms Kim Allen

Option A - I would be interested if the Council ran a class to teach people how to make a compost at home so the C option could be bypassed.

Submission WM&M 2017.2478 by . Belcher

Do own composting. No need for greenwaste. Have private service for waste collection on monthly basis so no need for red bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.2487 by Mrs Rosalind Kempe

Please, please leave the service as it is. Kindly consider the pensioners in the district. No way would my pension stretch to cover the huge increase in costs for either B or C options. also, i am disabled, & have enough of a struggle to get the recycling bin out to the street from my unit, please don't inflict another bin on me to contend with. We don't need a green waste service as we pay our gardener to take out garden waste. Please don't force a service on us which we don't want and can't afford.

Submission WM&M 2017.2505 by Mr Melvyn Crighton

We find the current system most suitable for us (my wife and myself). I am quite conscious of ensuring that items recyclable do go into the yellow bin.
Submission WM&M 2017.2507 by Ms Julia Robinson

Leave as is do not not lumber us with any more rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.2511 by Mr Gordon Hancock

Please retain the present collection. I do not think I can afford any further rate increases. I have only the pension to live on, and now you want to take more rates off me for something I do not need. My gardener takes away all my garden waste together with the lawn clippings.

Submission WM&M 2017.2514 by Mr Paul C Lindsay

Don't need rubbish bags. if half the junk mail was stopped it would be a small way of easing rubbish

Submission WM&M 2017.2517 by Mr Grahame Elson

I think the present rubbish and recycling collections suit the purpose adequately. Private contractors are providing a perfectly good extra collection on a user pays basis.

Submission WM&M 2017.2526 by Mr Clem Inwood

I feel the present system is the best for minimising the amount of rubbish going to landfill. If we have a bin the temptation will be to fill it up even with items that could go to the re-use store or be disposed of in other ways.

Submission WM&M 2017.2535 by Ms Lainie Roddis

I feel that your claim about the environmental benefits is flawed. Option A is user pays, encouraging people to recycle in order to reduce landfill waste and reduce the money they spend, providing a 140L bin encourage more waste in the landfill because people can fill it to the top very week. I would rather see WDC encouraging composting and recycling than making it easier to fill our rubbish dumps.

Submission WM&M 2017.254 by Mr Wendy and Mrs Graham

Quite happy with the current situation. Don't want to store any more bins and have the added cost of more.

Submission WM&M 2017.2542 by Mr Peter McAulay

We only put out one rubbish bag every two weeks so you are receiving money from us for nothing plus one bin per month. Don't be greedy.

Submission WM&M 2017.2544 by Mr Anthony Connolly

Remember pensioners have small incomes.

Submission WM&M 2017.2546 by Ms Yana Rozier
We don't want to pay any more for waste collection as we have many other bills to pay.

Submission WM&M 2017.2556 by Ms Sheila Stancombe
We grow many fruits and vegetables and compost the peel etc & in winter use the bakoshi method. We use reusable bags at the supermarket. Recycle everything possible, put out 1 rubbish bag a month. We do not want to pay any more.

Submission WM&M 2017.2557 by Ms Amanda Riley
I do not want organic bin as I compost and use on my garden. I only put a WDC rubbish bag out once every six weeks so I don't want red bin. I only put my yellow recycling bin out every 2 months. I want the current service to remain and cannot afford a rise in my rate bill.

Submission WM&M 2017.2568 by Mr Peter Brown
Happy as it is.

Submission WM&M 2017.2580 by Rangiora Tennis Club
Rangiora Tennis Club, 229a King Street, Rangiora - no mail box

Submission WM&M 2017.2600 by Mr Daniel Chesmar
As we compost or recycle all green waste ourselves Option A is best.

Submission WM&M 2017.2607 by Ms Rewi thompson
I am not in favour of additional bins. If required there are several contractors available to collect and service bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.2626 by Mr John and Sandra and Mrs Lovell
The increased cost for Option B is just too much for older people and imposes a totally unrealistic rate increase.

Submission WM&M 2017.264 by Mr Bruce Hogarth
Our rates are extreme. My very small property pays the same rates as MY daughters luxury large house in Ilam. The councils core business includes rubbish, as cheap as possible. I am sick of our Council SPENDING on things that are NOT core business!!

Submission WM&M 2017.276 by Mr Don Palmer
Fair system; User pays.

Submission WM&M 2017.278 by Mrs Beryl Palmer
We receive excellent service from our Private rubbish drum Contractor. User pays!
Submission WM&M 2017.280 by Mr Michael Neason

In my opinion providing a green waste collection in a semi rural area will encourage people not to compost at home thereby increasing the council costs and workload.

Submission WM&M 2017.301 by Mr Barry Browning

We currently recycle all our compostable waste, only fill up 1 plastic bag of unrecyclable rubbish, every 2 - 3 weeks. More information on individual household recycling of compostable waste would be an advantage. Keep the rubbish bins small or less services, i.e. every 2nd week rubbish collection to save money. The bigger the bins the more people will put out!

Submission WM&M 2017.334 by Mr Alan Thrower

We do not need either Option B or C. We compost all our organics, and use about 1 rubbish bag every three to four weeks.

Submission WM&M 2017.368 by Mr Geoff Gillman

As pensioners we cannot afford the high costs of the alternative options. Currently we would only use 16-18 bags per year and six bins of recyclables. (Approx 9 drums of green waste p.a. by local contractor). We do believe that, by going to the higher value options, our low level of usage would actually be subsidizing those with a much higher usage, which is grossly unfair. Everyone should pay according to the amount of rubbish they create.

Submission WM&M 2017.389 by Mr Murray Petrie

Too expensive. As an over 65 year old household on a fixed income the current arrangement is fine. Then it is user pays which is much better.

Submission WM&M 2017.410 by Mr David Kiln

I am opposed to both options B and C. There is a huge environmental impact in manufacturing and distributing so many (tens of thousands) additional plastic rubbish bins. Also, our streets and homes were not designed to accommodate all these bins. They give rise to "urban clutter" and are a permanent street eyesore, creating a safety hazard to both pavement walkers and road vehicles on the days they are put out. I am firmly in favour of keeping the present system. It encourages recycling (this is free, as an ongoing expense) and positively discourages landfill waste because of the financial disincentive for each bag of waste. The per-bag charge provides not only a recurring mental reminder (Options B and C do this just once a year, at best), but a charge that is directly proportionate to the volume of landfill that each user generates. Options B and C (even noting the bin size choice) unfairly penalise those households that generate only minimal landfill waste, and neither hit the profligate hard enough. If B or C are adopted, the small bin charge should be made much smaller, and the large bin charge much bigger. Please think carefully as a Council before littering our neighbourhood with thousands and thousands more bins. It is not as eco-friendly as most people believe. There are real eco costs as well. Rangiora should stay as it is; and not follow this less-than-fully-thought-through bin fashion. Be courageous Council. Avoid the simplistic decision. Creating choice is not always wise. Continue with a policy that proportionately penalises those who generate the most landfill, and rewards those who do not.

Submission WM&M 2017.479 by Mr Michael Clegg

trim: You must be joking if you think providing bins for rubbish will cut down inappropriate waste
going to the landfill -- it will increase landfill rubbish because the people who cannot be bothered to make an effort now will make less of an effort with a bin to fill. I would rather keep the status quo as I have a private local company, who you are looking to put out of business, collecting my green waste 4 weekly with an ability to put collections on hold over the winter months. The proposals will see huge trucks travelling the whole district street by street every collection day which is a huge waste of resources as well as adding unnecessarily to greenhouse gasses. If it aint broke don’t fix it and if safety of the collectors is a consideration issue them with chaps. There seems to be no use in appearing in support of my submission as it looks like you will keep on pushing the agenda until you get a win.

Submission WM&M 2017.516 by Ms Lyndsey Eder

If we choose to keep the status quo - in Mandeville, where there is not a rubbish bag collection, but the decision goes to have an extra bin, do our rates go up anyway? And do we get the bin anyway?

Submission WM&M 2017.537 by Mr Laurie Nugent

trim: all your information ignores the two major problems that multiple bins create-lack of storage space on small properties for more than one bin and footpath clutter on collection days. Even the current system creates havoc on footpaths especially in the commercial areas of Rangiora

Submission WM&M 2017.572 by Ms Tess Jolly

trim: Why are WDC rubbish bags not currently supplied to those who pay rates per neighbouring councils? And why are many of us paying for your current service in rates when we are not consuming it - and will have to continue to pay when not using if rubbish remained per current state? For your consideration, it is currently cheaper and more efficient to pay a private business who will do additional collections (or skip collection if away) and it will continue to be this way when looking at the proposed council fees. Please can you provide a response to these queries - I have included my details below for this purpose thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.579 by Mr Cheryl and Mrs Nicol

We have a minimal amount of household rubbish which we take to the tip every six weeks in one council rubbish bag. Everything compostable is composted here on our property and we utilise the recycling bin fully. We consider the kerbside collection of a rubbish bag on 100 kph Two Chain Road dangerous for the collection workers. The annual cost of a bin would be excessive for our tiny amount of household rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.580 by Mr Ian Hall

I support option A as I only put out a rubbish bag once a month. However I do see a need for option C so that organics can be dealt with correctly, although I do not need to use it. Also the charges for the large rubbish bins seems wrong and too cheap, it should be double the cost of the small bin so as to continue the user pay aspect. There should be NO discount for large lots of rubbish which the price structure for the large bin is advocating. Also this is counterproductive to minimising landfill waste and unfair to other ratepayers.

Submission WM&M 2017.582 by Mrs Susan Dale-Bates

Managing household waste is very important and I fully support any effort to manage waste effectively. However, I am unsure that asking households for their best method of waste disposal is going to be reflective on societies needs as this will only reflect individual household needs. For example I live in a two person household with a composer so options (A) would be our ideal
method of waste disposal however I do not agree with disposing plastic bags on the landfill, therefore option (B) would be good at removing the plastic bag issue plastic. At the end of the day cost will dictate individual needs. Good luck.........

Submission WM&M 2017.596 by Ms Hazel Parmenter

Trim; I have ticked A if we have to change, I am happy with the waste collection service as it is now. I wait until my recycle bin is full which is about two to three weeks and by then my black rubbish bag is full and I put if on top of my recycle bin. The manager takes away the garden rubbish in the small white plastic bags for me, There is not much though as I try to use most of it for compost in the garden. It is not a recycle bin that stands just the flat flat ones.

Submission WM&M 2017.626 by Mr Youngman Wayne William

Trim; I picked the cheapest option mainly because I am concerned at the very high cost for rates we are paying for a sub standard service. This District needs to review the rates cost on its citizens and compare/align with other councils. We pay somewhere near 30% higher rates than our neighbours. Why? Check the dump fees - outrageous.

Submission WM&M 2017.632 by Ms Dorothy Ratcliff

Trim; I already separate out rubbish to the 2 areas and have only 1 rubbish bag every 2 months plus I compost all organic material. If more bins were provided I know that I would be less consistent in my efforts. - bottom line is I would use the bins more. This would be a negative result for the council. Maintain status quo and encourage people to be more eco-conscious.

Submission WM&M 2017.653 by Ms Jan Morison

Trim; I mulch 90% of my garden waste and put on garden. I have a rubbish bin company who collect my rubbish and remaining green waste and it costs me considerably less than you are proposing.

Submission WM&M 2017.655 by Mr Arnoldus Johannes Verheul

Trim; No need for extra bins. All green waste and organics are composted. Therefore we put out rubbish bags once every two or three weeks.

Submission WM&M 2017.672 by Mr Anne Gourlay

This vote is UNFAIR!! You must be well aware that there area large section of elderly people - like myself - who do NOT have the technology available as you require for this vote. We are the ones who can least afford any more rate rises, the present ones are bad enough. Not all of the above are well enough. Not all of the above come into Council for the application. Shame on you WDC.

Submission WM&M 2017.714 by Mr Donald & Catherine and Mrs Shepherd

We are happy with the current WDC service

Submission WM&M 2017.722 by Mr Alastair Ward
Trim; Changing the current system to one like Christchurch would put people out of business (local contractors). One supplier means the price goes up and up at their will. (No competition). Look at how the price has gone for the service in Timaru (through the roof).

Submission WM&M 2017.733 by Ms Sharon Simons

Trim; As a single low income resident I think the other options are too expensive.

Submission WM&M 2017.74 by Mr James Alexander Ryan

I support Option A, because it meets my needs as a sole occupier who also pays for a private organic bin collection. I appreciate the WDC giving citizens a choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.743 by Mr Glen Allin

Trim; Keep it the way it is. The costs you have quoted will make our rates too much. You haven't even bought the bins if we change so how many millions is that going to cost us. You can't keep upping our rates like this.

Submission WM&M 2017.772 by Mr Max Luisetti

Trim; We only need to put the black rubbish bag out every two or three weeks as we have compost bins which get rid of a lot of garden waste and kitchen waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.776 by Mr Kath and John and Mrs Correia

Trim; 1. Going by past discussions, we feel changes will be made no matter what people say. 2. Households within the towns will want bins for everything, we do things differently on lifestyle blocks, eg our own composting. 3. One ‘size’ does not fit all.

Submission WM&M 2017.786 by Ms Violet Dieckermann

Trim; A good move by Council. You did not get your way last time, so ... offer to keep the bags. Everybody agrees then you withdraw the bags in 2 years time. Very sneaky.

Submission WM&M 2017.787 by Mr Stephen Walker

Trim; We don't have a rubbish collection at all so none of these options apply. Though we have started buying rubbish bags. We compost everything.

Submission WM&M 2017.797 by Mr John Carter

I am passionate about reducing our rubbish mountain. I try to make one rubbish bag last 12 weeks. All garden/kitchen organics is composted. While I prefer the rubbish bag option, I do have concerns for staff manually picking up bags and getting RSI. I believe the Councils priority should be to reduce the organic rubbish and perhaps sneaking in the green bin costs with an extra minimal rise in rates so rate payers have no say in the issue. Savings at the Transwaste end, would be huge.
Submission WM&M 2017.804 by Mr Rex Graham

If you want 3 bins - move to Christchurch!! Just means extra Rates & job losses. We're not pay any more in rates for excessive wasters. Learn to compost ! Council flog this horse every year it seems !

Submission WM&M 2017.805 by Mr Paul Gill

Trim; Current one Standard Service is sufficient.

Submission WM&M 2017.809 by Ms Michelle Breach

Trim: I chose current option unless something else is cheaper. I would love more choice about where stuff goes but can barely afford the rates as it is.

Submission WM&M 2017.812 by Mrs Isabel Lowe

Trim; Happy as we are. More affordable and cleaner. I am 86 and cant wheel the bins out. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.828 by Ms Sandra Webster

Trim; For someone living alone "A" is the best option.

Submission WM&M 2017.832 by Ms Gloria Boyd

Trim; Life Style villages do not have the space to store the bins on their individual sections, 96 units, 3 bins to each unit.

Submission WM&M 2017.84 by Ms Annette Fredrickson

Toooooo expensive, will push rents up.
no address given, no address given

Submission WM&M 2017.849 by Ms Lynda Gorrie

Trim; If the increase in bins go ahead, many people, particularly superannuitants, just will not be able to afford the increased cost. If it goes ahead these people (of which there are many in the Oxford area) need to be able to opt out.

Submission WM&M 2017.865 by Miss coral henderson

I think this is targeting the wrong people. People that want to recycle already are - ie. have worm or compost bins, recycle soft plastics etc. People that cant be bothered recycling just chuck their rubbish into black bags. Telling them it will cost them more to recycle is NOT going to make them do it!! I think the price of black bags needs to drastically increase so that it hurts people where it counts. Make them $10 each. They may not want to recycle, but they wont want to pay the cost of dumping everything in the bag. Either that, or make it CHEAPER to recycle not more expensive. Really you are targeting the people that dont want to do it. You need to make it easier/cost effective enough for them to change their minds.
Submission WM&M 2017.867 by Mr Alan James Winter

Trim; I would like to continue the present system as I compost all green waste on property to return to gardens. I have lived at this address for almost three years and I only use one plastic bag for rubbish every month. If I have other rubbish I will take it to the transfer station. Like to see recycling used as much as possible. Do not see a need for a rubbish bin as in B section. Signed: Happy Ratepayer.

Submission WM&M 2017.872 by Mr Morris

Trim; Recycling bin only.

Submission WM&M 2017.885 by Mr Ian Hicks

Trim; I am a one person household and put my recycling bin out when its full (approx 1 x month), and rubbish bag fortnightly. (I also have a "Crew Cut" green waste bag).

Submission WM&M 2017.890 by Mr John Brotheridge

Trim; Reduce costs at recycling plant for large items; furniture, tyres, etc. Consider ‘free’ periods to reduce fly tipping. Costs are becoming excessive, even for organic ‘garden’ waste. This just encourages fly tipping (in my garden).

Submission WM&M 2017.897 by Mr Russell and Mavis and Mrs Gee

Trim; As we are on a fixed income we cant afford the rates to be any higher.

Submission WM&M 2017.905 by Ms Maureen Landreth

Trim; In a one person household the current service is perfectly adequate.

Submission WM&M 2017.918 by Mr Karl and Noriko and Mrs Botting

Trim; Maybe could reduce the collection day even, not every week, especially red bin. Try to make a compost bin or dig a hole in the garden and bury the greens to grow worms. Each house could choose own choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.919 by Mr Alister Fisher

Trim; Don’t you reckon you “fleece” the ratepayers enough with your rubbish bag costs? Options B and C - the ratepayers do all the work keeping rubbish separate and you still “charge like wounded bulls”. There needs to be more accountability in the Council.

Submission WM&M 2017.920 by Ms Janice de Joux

Trim; I live on my own. I work and have a mortgage. I fortunately get a rebate each year, there is no way I can afford an increase in my rates without me having to consider selling my precious house. I realise the options above are all recycle/rubbish friendly etc, but I need to keep having my existing
one or two weekly collection of the black rubbish bags, 2 weekly collection of the recycle bins to be able to just get by and paying my rates. $670 x 4 times a year is a massive outlay on $30K per annum, which is all I earn. I can afford more on the rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.924 by Mr Patrick Mason

Trim; I don’t use the rubbish collection at all. I deliver to the Oxford Transfer Station.

Submission WM&M 2017.929 by Mr Mervyn Ivory

Trim; We are happy with what we have now.

Submission WM&M 2017.931 by Mr Ian McKay

Trim; I have lived at this property for 39 years and have composted all my organic waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.932 by Mr Brian Pirie

Trim; Would prefer organics bin but not at the expense of weekly rubbish collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.955 by Mr Alan William Rhodes

Trim; We only use about 20 rubbish bags a year, so the current system is by far the cheapest option. The driver of the rubbish truck must be the fittest truck driver in the district. We compost all kitchen and garden waste so an organics bin is not required.

Submission WM&M 2017.959 by Ms Valerie Sandra Paget

Trim; It is not so long ago we went through these options before. What a waste of money going through this again.

Submission WM&M 2017.964 by Mr Bruce Benbow

Trim; It takes four months or more to fill my recycle bin. So gets emptied three times a year. It takes me about the same amount of time, four months or more, to fill a Council rubbish bag which I drop off at the WDC bin at the transfer station on my way past.

Submission WM&M 2017.967 by Ms Justine White

I currently contract an external provider for rubbish services - I live rurally so have NO WDC supplied kerbside services provided by my current rates - it is unclear from your consultation papers if my rates will go up accordingly and a service established for rural dwellers like me or not. Presently I only pay my private provider for rubbish collection as and when it is needed. The changes that you suggest, if they are offered to me, I do not believe will be cost efficient as they will be charged annually regardless of how often I actually use them - it will be a cost up for no additional benefit. I already recycle and compost so have no use for the extra service that you will be charging me for under option B or C. This will particularly be an issue for those on fixed incomes whom also pay only as they use. The other potential impact that this may inadvertently cause, if only some rural properties (such as Mandeville, or Millfield) (or none) are included in this new
scheme - there is a real risk that my costs will increase significantly, if small rubbish owner/operators are forced to recoup lost revenue from the more centrally located clients in order to maintain sustainability, the charges that they will need to apply to residents such as myself will need to increase substantially. I do not believe that this scheme will result in a fair and equitable cost distribution, a better outcome would be served by simply increasing landfill costs (hence operators will end up pushing additional charges to those that have more rubbish, an equitably distributed disincentive to non-recycled rubbish). You could amalgamate this with a user pays recycling scheme at a lower cost than standard rubbish collection if so desired - these would serve as an incentive to lower the actual waste volume and increase recycling (and a heck of a lot simpler!).

Submission WM&M 2017.984 by Ms Kathryn Robertson

Trim; As someone who already composes green waste and recycles carefully, I strongly oppose Option C. I also fear that Option B will discourage minimisation of waste, having watched ChCh people show less concern for recycling once they have acquired the red-lidded bin. Option A with its pay per bag mandate motivates people to reduce waste, so I endorse the status quo. To minimise risk to those collecting bags and to safeguard bags from dogs, would it be possible for people to put their bags in a bin of their own. This is how it is done in my father's town.

Submission WM&M 2017.987 by Mr Henk and Jill and Mrs Binsbergen

Trim; As a retired couple we cannot afford any additional cost, thanks. We also feel strongly by not having additional waste generated. Do not give people more options to create more waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.996 by Ms Eva Leggett

Trim; As I pay yearly for private collection of organic/garden waste, don't even put out black bags, just the recycling bin every 2 weeks.
Option B: Two service choices; offer of a bin for rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.1029 by Mr Richard Kleinschafer
Trim; I think it is important to have rubbish collected weekly. I also prefer rubbish bins as rubbish bags are messy and can be torn apart by animals.

Submission WM&M 2017.1097 by Ms Fiona Buick
Trim; B Option. But want recycling bin and rubbish bin. Could also be fortnightly, but didn’t choose C because do not want organics.

Submission WM&M 2017.1106 by Mr Rangi Faith
Trim; Is there any way people could be encouraged to compost organic waste. It would mean less of this kind of material going to Kate Valley. We compost all our kitchen waste and add it to the garden. We only put out recycling and rubbish for kerbside collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.1115 by Ms Teressa Latten
Trim; Not interested in black rubbish bags. Too many cats in the area.

Submission WM&M 2017.1127 by Ms Maxine Burt
Trim; Bags too heavy to take to gate from long driveways. Bags vulnerable to cats or possums ripping them. Bags not environmentally friendly - bin is. Rubbish bin easier to manage by householders. We recycle our own organics as we live in the country.

Submission WM&M 2017.1128 by Ms Karen Whalley
Trim; Bins are much better than rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1136 by Mr Kevin Burt
Trim; Too many choices. No bags should be offered. Red and yellow bins, optional green bin. Alternate pickup fortnightly, for red and yellow bins. Bins are easier to pickup and empty with mechanical truck.

Submission WM&M 2017.1139 by Mr Kane Claassen
Trim; Rather pay more in rates and have 3 bins like the city.

Submission WM&M 2017.1151 by Mr Doug Lomax
trim; Would prefer a smaller size rubbish bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1165 by Mr Peter and Wendy and Mrs Gallagher
Trim; Current system fine but future of less rubbish to landfill no brainer. Keep up the good work.

Submission WM&M 2017.1177 by Ms Dianne Robertson

Trim; Why are our rubbish bags so dear. Someone making big $$$ Its not fair when we can buy plain bags for extra nothing. Get them made overseas. We don't need that writing on them. That's what costs us money.

Submission WM&M 2017.1194 by Mr Philip and Debra and Mrs Ambler

Trim; I don't want 3 x bins. They take up too much space.

Submission WM&M 2017.1201 by Ms Carol Brian

Trim; We don't send any green/organics to landfill hence Option B is most suitable for us.

Submission WM&M 2017.124 by Ms Barbara Harbison

When residents live as a single occupant they should have a choice from the current Standard service which is ideal or either of the other options. Having a choice is important. I agree with the options WDC have offered.

Submission WM&M 2017.1266 by Mr Wayne Reeves

Can the Council consider setting up a green waste site to compost and resell as garden compost. This used to be done at the Christchurch estuary recycling and rubbish centre. Green waste was taken there at no cost and recycled.

Submission WM&M 2017.1340 by Mr Ivan Lange

Trim; I trust the current contractors will be given a chance to be collectors of the additional bins as any changes to existing will ruin their business. ie RR Rubbish, Waimak Rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.1372 by Mrs Claire Maloney

We should encourage less rubbish and I would prefer monthly Red Bin collections.

Submission WM&M 2017.1376 by Ms Cheryl York

Trim; It is environmentally irresponsible to continue using plastic rubbish bags. The resources used to produce these bags should be taken into account when you are discussing the environmental benefit for the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan. I would not use plastic rubbish bags at all. We compost as do most rural households.

Submission WM&M 2017.141 by Mr BILL MC DOUGALL
WOULD BE BEST IF PLASTIC RUBBISH BAGS WERE NOT AVAILABLE AT ALL AS THEY ARE
KNOWN TO BE A HAZARD TO COLLECTORS AND I IMAGINE WOULD ASSIST IN THE
COLLECTION PROCESS WITH ONLY THE RED BIN BEING USED

Submission WM&M 2017.1437 by Mr Bud and Jo and Mrs Caldwell
Trim. We are rural delivery and have to pay for our own rubbish collection even though we pay
plenty in rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.1486 by Mrs Niki (Veronika) Mealings
As a rural residential household, we do not have any need for a green waste service, as we feed
our food scraps and garden waste to our animals and/or compost them. In addition to the WDC
fortnightly recycling service, we currently pay a private waste contractor to empty a 240ltr rubbish
bin (seldom full) for us fortnightly. Option B offers us good value in that it is a more efficient and
cost effective alternative to our current services without compromising our level of service. My
questions of the possible proposed new services are twofold: Are any materials barred from being
disposed of in the bins and/or is there a weight limit?

Submission WM&M 2017.1494 by Mr John Cranston
Trim. Plastic bags are terrible. They rip and animals can get into them. Cant wait to get decent
rubbish bins. Either B or C but would prefer weekly rubbish collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.1499 by Ms Julie Tapp
Trim. A rubbish service in the Ohoka/Mandeville North area would be fantastic. If this were provided,
we would much prefer to use a bin than bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1532 by Ms Tara Blais-Davison
Trim. We currently purchase the WDC rubbish bags (which apparently include the cost of collection)
however our area does not have kerbside collection so effectively, we are being overcharged or
supplementing rubbish collection for other areas of the WD. If there is not enough interest to
implement the bin service in Ohoka, I really think we should at least have our rubbish bags
collected. Please keep us informed whatever the outcome. It would be a good option to have
rubbish collected fortnightly for a reduced cost.

Submission WM&M 2017.1534 by Mr Wayne Blackler
Trim. 80L bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1538 by Mr Ross Vesey
Trim. We are not in the area you currently collect rubbish in which means we don't manage our
rubbish in the way Council would desire. Extending this service to our street would help us to be
better citizens.
Submission WM&M 2017.1595 by Mrs Pru Wood

I think charging for the black rubbish bags is appalling considering how high the rates are to start with. A red bin would be much more suitable however there should be an option of once a fortnight collection so the additional cost to your rates could be reduced. Thanks

Submission WM&M 2017.165 by Mr Michael Harvey

There is no mention if this is covering the urban areas, or rural areas or the complete district? Would all options be available to all rate payers?

Submission WM&M 2017.1656 by Mrs Mandi Haynes

Much easier! YES PLEASE.

Submission WM&M 2017.1662 by Mr Ian Lennie

I do not want to see the Council involved in competition with private operators providing bin services.

Submission WM&M 2017.1674 by Mr James David Wilson

Living in a small retirement complex there is no space for extra bins. We also support private contractor for green waste, who gives good service.

Submission WM&M 2017.1679 by Ms Penelope Christison

Trim. For amount of rates we paid we should get all bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1719 by Ms Trace Methven

Trim. Option B - two service choice. Can it be yellow and green bins instead of red.

Submission WM&M 2017.1770 by Mr Richard and Karen and Mrs Jackson

Recycling yellow bin. Fortnightly as usual. Red bin weekly 140L. No black bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1783 by Ms Christine Jackson

With a small bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1816 by Ms Julie McFadden

On my lifestyle block of 3 1/2 acres I am able to compost or burn my green waste so I and probably my neighbors do not require a green waste bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1837 by Mr Les Coxon
I would like to support Plan B. The current recycling bin is suffice, a smaller rubbish bin would be helpful, with the option of purchasing extra rubbish bags.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1853 by Ms Ann O'Grady**

Organics bin should never be an option. Organic matter is like gold when returned to the soil to feed the micro-organisms creating healthy systems.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1867 by Mrs Ngaire Borlase**

Not keen to increase plastic bag use by opting for bin bags. Would prefer a red bin.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1893 by Ms Trish Purtle**

Thanks for consulting the users and general public.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1902 by Mr Adam Proudfoot**

get rid of the plastic bags, just a red bin and yellow bin

**Submission WM&M 2017.1927 by Mr Stephen Hough**

Hope option 2 goes ahead. keen for recycling & smaller rubbish bin weekly collection (red bin) @ $221/year. No need for green waste.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1929 by Mr Brian Moody**

We have a coloured chart pinned on the wall of garage, showing what is permitted in the recycle bin. You should re-issue it. Brian

**Submission WM&M 2017.1947 by Ms Viv Hardie**

Weekly is too often for the rubbish bin and makes it expensive. Private supplier charges are more though. Suggest following CCC regime of alternate bins fortnightly. Please include as much of the rural area as possible - Upper Sefton Road.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1970 by Mr Tom and Noeline and Mrs Simpson**

Yellow and Red bin fortnightly. No bags.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2034 by Ms Natalie Bayard**

No plastic bags should be used - bins only is my preferred option.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2041 by Mr Allan Wilkinson**

Two bins.
Submission WM&M 2017.2048 by Mr Brian Gallagher

Larger organic/garden bin for section B.

Submission WM&M 2017.2068 by Ms Jodie Blyth

A larger recycling bin for larger families would be a great option.

Submission WM&M 2017.2080 by Mr John Archer

B suits our needs best as we compost our waste and have a worm farm. Those households who don't have the facility to dispose of their green waste should have an organics bin. This could be monitored (micro chip?) to enable cost to be related to number of collections made.

Submission WM&M 2017.209 by Mrs Dawn Riddell

Imperative that people who live on land blocks are not forced to adapt organic waste collection. Land owners must have the option to compost all their organic waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.2098 by Mr Darryl Bayard

Definitely think bins are the right choice. Everyone's goal is to reduce quantity of plastic bags going into landfills.

Submission WM&M 2017.21 by Mr Basil Sandford

Rubbish bags are a bygone era and is dangerous if broken glass or sharp objects are placed in a bag. Its a middle of the road option given many households are on a fixed income.

Submission WM&M 2017.212 by Mr Peter Dench

I agree changes are necessary ,however being part of a fixed income household ,I think payment of services should be on a user pay basis eg bar coders on the bins & bar code readers on the collection trucks, why pay for a service not used frequently.

Submission WM&M 2017.2121 by Mr Kevin Huckett

Prefer 80L red bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.2132 by Mrs Diana Huckett

Would go for a smaller 80L red bin -only three people in the household.

Submission WM&M 2017.2134 by Mr N R and M E and Mrs Cook

At present we normally only put out a rubbish bag fortnightly. Bag option is cheaper in long run for us, however the red bin is a good option too - small one.

Submission WM&M 2017.2154 by Mr J & A and Mrs Stuart

WM&M 2017  21/Aug/2017
My husband leaves for work at 5 am and he puts the rubbish bags out then. There has been a couple of times where animals have got into the bags and ripped them open and rubbish has gone everywhere. Very frustrating. Having a rubbish bin would be amazing.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2162 by Ms Tracey Ashley**

I think Option C is quite disgusting, waiting for 2 weeks for household rubbish to be collected. Think of the stink in the heat of summer. Can't understand why you would even add as an option.

**Submission WM&M 2017.218 by Mr Alan McDougall**

Option C would be OK, though I wound not chose organics.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2193 by Mr Roger and Marianne and Mrs Whinray**

Smaller rubbish bins of 80 litres please.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2292 by Mr Leonard Church**

I compost as much as possible for vegie garden so I don't need a green bin, also a small rubbish bin picked up fortnightly would be sufficient for me as I use a bag for 2 weeks and recycle as much as possible. We need to cut down on the 44 gallon drum collection bins as no recycling is done at all. Lazy b**ss**s.

**Submission WM&M 2017.235 by Ms Penny Wright**

I generate so little rubbish (have a worm farm & compost) that I only put out 1 rubbish bag per month & the recycling bin about every 6 weeks. Would like bins electronically tagged so as my low use would be recorded & reflected in my rates.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2370 by Ms Pauline Blackburn**

I share a rubbish bag with my sister which only goes out once every 3-4 weeks. My neighbours have a green waste bin which we share, and is collected monthly.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2405 by Mrs Linda Thompson**

Seems ridiculous to have recycling when there is no rubbish collection. They are both important. chose option B with a small rubbish bin.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2415 by Mr Hugh Lindo**

All food scraps and organics are composted at our property.

**Submission WM&M 2017.2420 by Mrs Toni Lindo**

We compost all food scraps and organics at our property.
Submission WM&M 2017.243 by Mr Hans Gelton

Why can't the council investigate how Christchurch council reduce cost of providing an organics collection service by turning all this into compost and selling this compost back to the public?

Submission WM&M 2017.2439 by Ms Barbara Kivi

In this rural area a need to compost bin would seldom be used. We do not wish to have any plastic rubbish bags but would prefer a red lid bin. The present recycle bin system works well fortnightly. Own composting should be encouraged e.g. education.

Submission WM&M 2017.2497 by Mr Juan Van Eyssen

Big red bin (weekly); big yellow (fortnightly).

Submission WM&M 2017.2539 by Ms Noni Fuller

Option B chosen - smaller rubbish bin, 80L

Submission WM&M 2017.2548 by Mr Adrian Bliss

Would prefer option 2 with a two weekly pickup for a 140L bin. We have lived with a private contractor for 25 years on 2 weekly pickup.

Submission WM&M 2017.28 by Mrs Sarah Evans

It comes down to cost, we simply cannot afford for our rates to go up any further. I understand that the rubbish bins are safer for the collection people and easier to manage so think option B, where you have the choice is a good option. I would love a garden bin but the cost is too high, we will be sticking with the plastic bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.282 by Mrs Sophia Slater

Rubbish bin will be very handy, as we sometimes forget to purchase rubbish bags. Buying a bunch of rubbish bags can take a big tow of our groceries bill. we prefer rubbish bin and the charge can build in our rates, which we are paying weekly.

Submission WM&M 2017.313 by Mr Matthew McCallum-clark

For rural and lifestyle areas, there is little benefit in an organics collection, as most people have a compost bin/chickens or some means of on-site disposal. We currently do not get rubbish collection. I'd really like to get that, by bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.359 by Mr Martin Wood

As a rural resident on oxford road i would like to see an option 2 collection available to our address which wouldn't be hard as the collection service already does Cust then travels passed us to collect in Oxford

Submission WM&M 2017.364 by Mr Brendon Townsend

To reduce cost why not have a scan system for the rubbish /red bin so it becomes a user pays
much like plastic rubbish bag. New rubbish /red bin would be a safer option for handlers plus not prone to tearing and ripping as the current bag does. Don't penalise those that recycle/compost their green waste by charging for a green bin. Put his on a user pays/scan system as well for those that need it.

Submission WM&M 2017.43 by Mrs Donald Brown

Do residents realise that we are already paying the collection fees which are built into the black bags we buy from the supermarket?

Submission WM&M 2017.430 by Mr James Scott

I strongly believe that the WDC need to move with the times and get rid of the rubbish bags. We live in 2017 not 1987. I can think of only 1 council that still uses bags and that is Dunedin because it is hilly. I'm from invercargill and they have wheelie bins for rubbish for a number of years now.

Submission WM&M 2017.459 by Mrs Carolyn van Vuuren

Bin for rubbish weekly. Not bothered what size is chosen. If fortnightly is preferred by most people then the larger bin would be my choice. But definitely a bin NO bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.464 by Ms Jillian Irvine

The current rubbish bag collection is a very outdated, has many health and safety issues and is such a in convenience not being able to put the rubbish out on the curb the night before. Having lived in the Selwyn area & moved to Rangiora in April it was absolute disbelief that a council in this day and age still has a system that was current when I was a child 50 years ago.

Submission WM&M 2017.468 by Mr ed cook

Red bins good against dog interference, tidier for household waste collection, minimises plastic bag use, easier to transport rubbish to roadside. Needs to be weekly, with bag backup for overflow situations. Red bin needs to be of large size, i.e. equivalent to yellow bin size. Current Yellow bin service excellent. Keep. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.476 by Ms Diane Lockie

trim: a solid bin will stop bags ripping, animals getting at them, sharps injuries, be able to weigh more, any chance of an electronic counting of times used to pay only for times used rather than a fixed amount?

Submission WM&M 2017.485 by Mr Roly Blakeway

trim: for the amount of rates we are paying we should have had the most efficient rubbish system years ago. Shame as in Wamakariri council for filling the ground with rubbish and not doing their jobs yet again. On top of that we should be encouraging people to compost their green waste, I don't see an option applicable to that here.

Submission WM&M 2017.488 by Ms Clair Williamson

trim: Provide more options for those who live rural not just in the township. We don't have any
rubbish collection & would like to contribute towards reducing waste, but council doesn't help as places to drop recycling are limited

Submission WM&M 2017.50 by Mrs Jennifer Todd

Would like to see recycling bin and rubbish bin combination as I already use own compost.

Submission WM&M 2017.504 by Mr Bruce Bellis

trim: A yellow bin and red bin service would be excellent. People in the country don't need a green bin as many compost their grass clippings and food waste, and the absence of a green bin will keep the cost down.

Submission WM&M 2017.563 by Mr Antony Oosthuysen

trim: Get with the 21st Century and get wheelie bins in!

Submission WM&M 2017.57 by Mrs Rebekah Hennessey

I like the idea of option B. It balances some of the issues with waste management, along with cost. While I don’t mind rubbish being collected fortnightly, we are only a family of four and I feel that 140L a fortnight would not be enough for us, meaning we’d need to regularly buy additional rubbish bags (which is something I like as being an option), making the costs higher than the private collection we are currently using. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.587 by Mr James Wycliff

Consideration of user pays with a standard rate for having a bin. User pays either by uplifting unit entering address collected manually with a large number placed on top of the lid for easier identification or an RFID tag attached to the bin and billed through the rates system or halve the year usage rate charged and remainder of usage at end of rate period. Credit issued at end of rate period for less usage. Possible usage becoming less due to money accumulating at end of year and house holds try to save money. No paying house holds sanctioned to monthly uplifts until full amount paid. A problem that may occur is that house hold 1 has a red bin but house hold 2 chooses current system and places their rubbish into house hold 1’s bin on the street undetected, therefore not equal arrangement. therefore everyone gets the red bin and user pays possibly best option.

Submission WM&M 2017.589 by Mr Paul Rout

We live in the Millfield subdivision. Most people hire a bin for rubbish which is not sorted for organics. We would strongly support the option of having a red bin for rubbish as this would be a much cheaper and regular option than hiring a private one. We compost our organics but many do not so while option B is best for us option c would be a better waste management system for the subdivision overall. It is also time to get rid of rubbish bags as they add waste to the landfill unnecessarily. Other councils manage effectively without them by using bins only.

Submission WM&M 2017.597 by Mr Anthony Richard Cottrell

we would be happy with the small rubbish bin. Don't need the rubbish bags - dogs & cats can tear them open!
Submission WM&M 2017.621 by Mr Gail and Roger and Mrs Keith

Trim; Keen to have rubbish bin rather than bag. Would have small size as only two of us in household. Used bins in town, familiar with use of three bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.639 by Mr John Lewis

Trim; There should be no more plastic bags being used for rubbish. It is destroying our environment. Only bins to be used. Not right to pay additional money on rates for bins. Pay way too much rates now. More that the City Council. There is a lot of people live out here now.

Submission WM&M 2017.643 by Mr George and Lorraine and Mrs Skevington

Trim; Have chosen B. Will carry on with black WDC bag if present pick up time remains. If that changes we would go for small red bin. At present we use one WDC bag per month, plus yellow bin each fortnight. Option C would work just as well for us; small red bin, plus yellow, have no use for green bin (we compost. Please retain the choices they are good. WDC bags will probably phase out over time anyway.

Submission WM&M 2017.71 by Mrs Rianna Oosthuysen

option: B. Two service choices

Submission WM&M 2017.720 by Ms Maureen Chinn

Trim; I would rather cost of rubbish bags be reduced and pay more rates for them if necessary. no address given, no address given

Submission WM&M 2017.727 by Mr Brian E Schofield

Trim; Micro chip the bins, that way user pays. Rates are dear enough.

Submission WM&M 2017.742 by Mr Robert James Crooks

Trim; Rubbish bin required to prevent cats damaging bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.756 by Mr Corey Cross

Trim; We have gone from a four people home down to a two person, so although "A" works for us as a status quo I do believe the price of WDC bags is extreme. Surely a bin arrangement is far more environmentally sensible and safer long term. And is a weekly collection of a bin necessary?

Submission WM&M 2017.807 by Mr David Jones

Trim; Option B selected subject to: 1. Grass clippings able to be placed in red bin; 2. Red bin is fitted with liner - like Rangiora Rubbish Removals (RRR) use; 3. Please, no contract with Waste Management regardless of "incentives" to councillors and staff. RRR is much better and council
should support local businesses regardless of those "incentives".

Submission WM&M 2017.836 by Mr Michael Jongens
Trim; Bins are preferable to bags, especially if service extended to non-residential areas such as Ohoka.

Submission WM&M 2017.844 by Ms Lisa Metzger
Trim; We would’ve chosen "C" but prefer "B" because of weekly rubbish collection instead of fortnightly.

Submission WM&M 2017.883 by Mr Robert Pullan
Trim; Great to reduce the amount of rubbish bags (plastic) going to landfill. Maybe reduce dumping charges for greenwaste.

Submission WM&M 2017.90 by Mr Howie Walsh
Having recycling bins is a must for Rangiora. It will prevent animals interfering with the plastic bags. If the older generation want to use bags then they can.

Submission WM&M 2017.943 by Ms Lesley Smith
Trim; As our household composts we would not require an organics bin - but we would be happy with a fortnightly rubbish collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.944 by Mr Simon Fitt
Trim; Option C is my best, but we cannot afford it. Its too expensive but needed.

Submission WM&M 2017.962 by Ms Janine Gray
Trim; I do not like the rubbish bags, not practical, only the cats love them. I pay $450 a year for a bin from Waste Management. I recently moved into Silverstream, love the area and think bins would be great.

Submission WM&M 2017.970 by Mr Franciscus and Maria and Mrs Admiraal
Trim; Recycling waste not described. What about paper, ie newspaper, advertising material, magazines, etc.
Option C. Four service choices, offer of bins for rubbish and organics.

Submission WM&M 2017.100 by Ms Bronwyn Smith
All of the options are cheaper than what I currently pay so would like to see the full option of 3 bins available.

Submission WM&M 2017.1002 by Mr Patrick Shepherd
trim: Not at all interested in bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1007 by Mr Gary Morris
Trim. Would like this implemented asap.

Submission WM&M 2017.1008 by Ms Stehanie Eldred
Trim. If we found one of the bins too big or small for our use would we be able to change?

Submission WM&M 2017.1009 by Mr Adrian Andrews
Trim; We live in the Mandeville area and have enjoyed the recycling facility. We are keen on the rubbish/organics/recycling option with 3 bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.101 by Mr Gregory Hooper
These prices make the service a lot cheaper than the current selection of private waste collectors (of which I currently use one). With the payments going through with my rates it is also very convenient to budget (and pay) for.

Submission WM&M 2017.1010 by Mr Bruce Wayman
Trim; The 3 bins service has been working successfully in Christchurch for a number of years.

Submission WM&M 2017.1014 by Mr Kim Regan
A smaller rubbish bin collected weekly would be ideal instead of fortnightly

Submission WM&M 2017.1020 by Mr Norman and Patricia and Mrs Ching
Trim; I like the idea of being able to put a bin out at night. Being elderly I do not like to have to be up early in the morning especially in the winter.

Submission WM&M 2017.1024 by Mrs Frances Bowron
trim: Must retain option for rubbish bags. Would like to see "user pays" system ASAP for rubbish collection. Option to opt out of having any collection - if person can manage disposal of all waste themselves. Thus pay no rate for this collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.1026 by Mr Heidi and Mike and Mrs Quinn

trim: We are very keen for an organics bin to be included in the kerbside collection. We try to minimise organic waste by using kitchen scraps in a worm farm and composting garden clippings, but there is still a lot of organic waste that is not suitable for the worm farm. It feels like such a waste to have to put that in the general rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.1027 by Mr Rod Smith

trim: Really, really good. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.1032 by Mr Colin Hurley

Trim; I would prefer a large recycle and organics bins and a small rubbish bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1033 by Ms Sylvia Walls

Trim; About time. Three bins is a winner all round.

Submission WM&M 2017.1034 by Ms Annette Paterson

Trim; The smaller bins most necessary but must be of manageable size for the elderly - both for storage and moving to and from the collection point.

Submission WM&M 2017.1037 by Mr Warren Day

Trim. The organic collection is the most important one for me.

Submission WM&M 2017.1041 by Ms Marguerite Thomson

Trim; I would love to have a green bin for my garden waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.1045 by Mr Peter and Jackie and Mrs Woods

Trim. If we wish to take waste minimisation seriously we do need to adopt a system that reflects this going forward. The "black" rubbish bags should be phased out and recycling encouraged.

Submission WM&M 2017.1059 by Ms Joy King

Trim; I like C as a choice, but I don't think with 3 bin options there is need for the plastic rubbish bags as well.
Submission WM&M 2017.106 by Ms Monica Johnstone

It would be great to see less rubbish and plastic rubbish bags going into landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.1065 by Mr Mark Hoddinott

bin for recycling

Submission WM&M 2017.1066 by Ms Janet Pearson

Trim. We need to reduce plastic use therefore a bin for rubbish is essential - including stopping animals attacking bags and safety for collectors. We need a green bin to reduce organic waste going to landfill. So why wasn't a green bin offered in choice B and no bags in choice B and C. It is now 2017 and we are still in the dark ages, we need to reduce waste at source.

Submission WM&M 2017.1068 by Mr John W and Rayleen P and Mrs Turner

Trim. We need to get our act together for our future generations. Go forward. Do it. C is our option.

Submission WM&M 2017.1069 by Mr David Anthony

My wife and I are over 70's on the OAP, we currently fund an organic and rubbish bin ourselves at a higher cost than the councils figures outlined. Bins are easier for us to manage than bags, and the organic bin helps us reduce what we send to landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.1073 by Mrs Dalice Stewart

Have lived in town and HATE being without the green and red bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1077 by Mrs Kate Clapperton Rees

Not to move to bins and reduce waste would be moving backwards. We had bins when we lived in Auckland and they worked great!

Submission WM&M 2017.1078 by Mr Anthony Lewington

I believe that option C is a lot better for the enviroment and in my case would work out cheaper than the current system.

Submission WM&M 2017.1079 by Miss Melissa Bamford

I am a single person household, and provided the price estimates are as accurate as possible I believe this to be the best way forward.

Submission WM&M 2017.108 by Ms Karen Matthews

Please change to the three bin service ASAP, the ChCh three bins were fantastic! I feel the amount of rubbish going to landfill would reduce if everyone had an organics bin (we currently pay for one separately) as they probably put food scraps in the plastic bag. More education regarding recycling & organics at the same time would be helpful to some people. I also hope there would be less dumping on the side of the road if people had more capacity to organise their rubbish etc at home.
Submission WM&M 2017.1085 by Mrs Cornelia Joling

Offering choice between the different services is the fairest option: it allows everybody to choose the option that suits them best looking at the amount of rubbish they produce and/or what they consider affordable or desirable.

Submission WM&M 2017.1087 by Mr Peter and Carol and Mrs Lowrey

Trim. Yellow and green bin and WDC rubbish bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.1091 by Mr J A & P J and Mrs Chapman

Trim; Our household would prefer no rubbish bags. All refuse to be in bins only. Our personal requirements would be: Recycle bin to remain same; red top rubbish bin (140L); large green waste bin (240L).

Submission WM&M 2017.11 by Mrs Brittany Adams

Option C is a viable option for everyone. We voted in favour of kerbside bins on this subject last time and do not understand why this is even up for consideration. This should be a standard service just like Christchurch. It reduces waste and plastic bag wastage. Removing green waste in Rangiora is difficult unless you have a trailer and the time to do so. A green bin would be a fantastic option to have. We have a recycling bin and that works great. This service will be a great step forward for Rangiora in terms of waste reduction, and it should be available to those who would take advantage of it.

Submission WM&M 2017.1102 by Mr Davie Stevenson

Trim; Would prefer a weekly rubbish collection for "C". Green waste collection could vary depending on the time of year.

Submission WM&M 2017.1107 by Mr Melvyn Pearson

Trim; I would liked to have seen a green bin in Service B. Dispense with bags in Service C. 80 Darfield Dr, Banks Peninsula 7110.

Submission WM&M 2017.1110 by Mrs Catherine Thomas

Fully support Option C. In this era of concern over the environment, I don't think this should even be an option - it should be an obligation to try and minimise our impact by these measures, and I feel the bins option makes it easy for householders to comply. Also, it seems cost effective when you think how much it costs to buy to black bags for general rubbish, or the price of petrol to take green waste to the recycling centres (so should work out pretty cost neutral for most customers). Thanks..

Submission WM&M 2017.1111 by Ms Laila Cooper

Trim; Need to make options available to have small and larger bins. Bin storage can be problematic on small sections and collection problematic on narrow roads.
Submission WM&M 2017.1114 by Mr Doug de Wit

Trim: Remove bag option. The Christchurch City system with 3 bins is excellent. We need the same. I am a landlord so this will cost me extra but its the best system.

Submission WM&M 2017.1120 by Mr Graeme Fyall

Trim: As a council you were elected to make management decisions which best benefit the community and area. Please introduce Choice 3 which offers these benefits.

Submission WM&M 2017.1131 by Ms Judith Robinson

Trim: The more we can minimise rubbish the better, this should be mandatory.

Submission WM&M 2017.1140 by Ms Jennifer Newland

Trim: Provided no increase in rates. (Cut down on expensive fleet cars. Staff should find their own way to work.) Sequenced collection - 3 bins at roadside affect parking and are unsightly.

Submission WM&M 2017.1144 by Mr James and Julie and Mrs Blacklock

Trim: We would prefer the three bin collection for recycling rubbish and organics, and eliminate the rubbish bags completely - re plastic bags taking ??? number of years to breakdown.

Submission WM&M 2017.1146 by Miss Gabriella Barbara

Hi, I support this option under a fortnightly pick up service for all. Yellow bins one fortnight and green/ red the opposing fortnight. I would only support this option if there were no additional costs. Waimak rates are expensive enough.

Submission WM&M 2017.1153 by Mr John Fox

trim: It is time to change to bin only, rubbish and recycling. The time for plastic rubbish bags is over. There is far too much plastic in the environment. No matter the extra cost we have to embrace a better and cleaner way of processing waste, other than dumping it.

Submission WM&M 2017.1155 by Ms Eleanor Radics

trim: Option C would be very helpful - it would enable organic rubbish to be regularly cleared away.

Submission WM&M 2017.1161 by Mr Bruce McLaren

Trim; Encourage home composting or worm farms. Advertise soft plastic recycling collection points (Countdown, PaknSave ..). Audit/survey landfill rubbish and remove recyclables.

Submission WM&M 2017.1166 by Ms Lois Wilce
Trim; Bins are cleaner. Bags - cats and dogs ruin bags and rubbish left on streets. Absolutely a mess and health risk.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1169 by Kelly McFarlane**

Trim; C Option is better for the environment for starters. Plastic rubbish bags would be minimised. It would be fantastic to have a green bin. Its a pain always going to the dump for tiny amounts.

**Submission WM&M 2017.117 by Ms Karina Lobb**

Ditch the bags! They are old school.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1178 by Mr Noel Cox**

trim: We have had the 3 bin system before and it works really well and a lot easier for older folk to put out bins etc. Rubbish bags are costly and usually need more than one, especially in summer.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1185 by Ms Suzanne Reiser**

trim: When I moved to Rangiora from Christchurch 3 1/2 years ago, I was deeply shocked by the 'primitive' rubbish system of no division of waste into at least organic/green compostable and other (red) waste and that you still use black rubbish plastic sacks. It beggars belief in the 21st century. It is a no-brainer to have at least a 3-bin system to (1) prevent a lot of organic waste going to landfill and to (2) reduce use of plastic going to landfill. I have a disability and no towbar on my car and live alone - under the current system it's nigh on impossible for me to take my green waste to the tip! And I can't afford the exorbitant cost of private rubbish collection. The 3 bin system is a no-brainer, particularly going forward with a growing population. It would make my life so much more convenient. Please 3 bins, green bin needs to be weekly for obvious reasons.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1189 by Mr Mark and Sherryn and Mrs Edwardson**

Trim; We should be trying to cut down on the amount of plastic being dumped. We want Option C but NO plastic bag (x 2 myself and my husband). This is what we've had in Christchurch City. Worked absolutely fine and the annual charge could be less if not plastic bags allowed. We pay enough.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1191 by Ms Judi Montgomery**

Trim; Options of small bins for small households and large for others is a good idea.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1192 by Mr Peter and Iris and Mrs Kenyon**

Trim; Option C without rubbish bag.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1197 by Mr Dion and Sarah and Mrs Taylor**

Trim; Great idea. All for yellow, red, green bins. Bring it on.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1198 by Ms Amanda Smith**

Trim; D = Green bin and recycle bin fortnightly. Keep smaller businesses going and reduce rubbish. D2 = + either bag - some people may only use 1 bag a fortnight with green bin introduced ?? win
Submission WM&M 2017.12 by Miss Kimberley Seaward

Option C does appease everyone, I'm not sure why we even need to vote on available options as providing everyone with the status quo or more options that reduces waste seems like something that should just happen. The only people opposing it will be the businesses that currently provide rubbish removal facilities, which I am sad for but waste reduction and improving facilities for a whole region of people should take precedence.

Submission WM&M 2017.1205 by Mr Ashley Macfarlane

A rubbish bin would be good to save adding more plastic bags to the landfill, and it would cure the problem we have of dogs/cats getting into the bags out on the street. We compost our green waste (and that should be encouraged & to be done properly) but we have a lot of trouble dealing with grass clippings at the height of the growth season, they fester if we put them on the compost but the Oxford Refuse Centre is only open Fri & Sun (and can't take them as green waste, that needs to be fixed) and if it is raining you can't cut the lawns that day and you need to get rid of them the day you cut or they fester. I hear of people dumping them in the riverbed, some neighbours stack them up and they produce flies. The alternative is to drive to the Rangiora Waste Centre which is a waste of time & fuel. Isn't there a better option for dealing with grass clippings? Couldn't there be a 7 day (or at least another day or 2 that the dump is open) dumping point in Oxford in the interests of public health (flies) for those spring months at least? If there isn't a better way to deal with grass clippings I would use the green bin but we would only need it for the growth season.

Submission WM&M 2017.121 by Mr Bruce Huntley

The sooner we go to a bin system for rubbish the better. Every Tuesday morning here in Oxford on my early morning walk I see many current rubbish bags torn open by animals and the contents scattered all over the place.

Submission WM&M 2017.1210 by Mrs Elaine Suddens

It is for the benefit of the community and NZ that rubbish and recycling is dealt with responsibility and carefully for the good of all of us. The cost immediately is only in the short term and should be considered over a longer time. We need to protect NZ for the future.

Submission WM&M 2017.1213 by Ms Katrina Cobb

Trim; Could you offer the smaller organic basket also, for those who will dispose of food waste responsibly but don't require huge bins suitable for garden waste. And could you offer one monthly pickup of red lid bin, or even three weekly at comparable charge? I presume bins will be barcoded and monitored so data would be accurately collected at pickup and charged accordingly. Can you also implement this asap. It is infuriating buying plastic bags for single use, for rubbish, knowing what a huge impact plastic bags have on our environment. Having said that, at our house, we use a bag for a minimum 2 week period, but often longer, so using a larger vessel, more frequently is also a little nonsensical. And if you are serious about the environment and options - please really think about the compost baskets like used in offices and university - for those (not us) who don't need the big organic bin - they could be a weekly or fortnightly collection which makes as much impact as losing plastic bags and they have lids. Thanks. Good luck.

Submission WM&M 2017.1214 by Mrs Linda Inwood
This is very successful for the Christchurch City Council - having been a CCC ratepayer previously. We are very disappointed that the WDC do not provide any rubbish collection, water or sewage services for rural ratepayers in the Clarkville area - though further out in Ohoka area they do. What are we paying full rates for in the Clarkville area???

**Submission WM&M 2017.1215 by Ms Vanessa Flannigan**

Trim; Option C makes the most sense in promoting public awareness for the need to dispose of recycling/rubbish and green waste appropriately. Let's stop mucking around and move forward. We currently pay more than the proposed cost just to dispose of our rubbish. Option C is the only way to go. Just do it.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1216 by Ms Angela Hutt**

Trim; Please give us the 3 bins.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1218 by Mr Alastair McDougall**

Trim; I would only need 80 litre bins for all three services. (Prefer to swap the present 240 litre recycle bin for an 80L). I currently do composting.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1219 by Ms Valerie Hockley**

Trim; Plastic bags just add more plastic to the landfill. They are also not proof against animals who will rip them if left over night. At 76 years I find it challenging to carry a full bag down a longish drive before 7am especially in winter.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1221 by Ms Gail Palmer**

Trim; I think the 3 bin system would be a fantastic idea.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1237 by Ms Natalie Price**

Can compostable items of packaging be included in the organics green bin also or as general rubbish?

**Submission WM&M 2017.1238 by Ms Michelle Welch**

Trim; We need 3 bins. Very effectively in Christchurch. Would be great to reduce rubbish by 14% and benefit the environment.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1249 by Mr Gary Nilsson**

Trim; Option C. But not bags - too problematic.

**Submission WM&M 2017.1250 by Ms Gail Younger**

Trim; Think this is a great thing for the environment and cannot wait for this to happen.
Submission WM&M 2017.1255 by Mrs Lesley Hurley

Trim; Very important to reduce our rubbish, I like the idea of the bin rather than black bags and fortnightly. We would not need an organics bin as we compose all our organics. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.1269 by Mr Doug Nicholl

trim: Rubbish bags should be fazed out promptly in the interests of the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.1275 by Mr David Nieuwenhuize

Trim; We need three bins; big one yellow recycling; red for rubbish; green for larger organics. Rubbish bags are no good. Dogs, cats, etc will get at the bag - will get ripped open.

Submission WM&M 2017.128 by Ms Nicola Pinion

Yes to bins. So much easier. Sick of seeing animals getting into the bags leaving rubbish everywhere.

Submission WM&M 2017.1292 by Mr Gary Forster

Trim; Because I only use 1 rubbish bag each fortnight it would not be economical to use a red bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1294 by Mr Derek de Vries

Trim; I've been waiting years for a green bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1297 by Mr David Neal

Trim; Preference is 3 bin service. Get rid of bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.130 by Mr Thomas Hobson

Would you accept rubbish from bins that are in non Waimak Council rubbish bags?

Submission WM&M 2017.1301 by Mr Daniel Bessyman

Trim; Fortnightly and monthly collection options for the organic waste bin in Option C would be good.

Submission WM&M 2017.1304 by Mr Jon Craig

Trim; Rubbish bags are bad for the environment and when you run out it is really inconvenient.
Submission WM&M 2017.1305 by Mr Colin Clarke

I believe that the 4 service is best for our community and environment. The current waste bags can easily tear and are unsafe for collectors. Also not having a green waste collection is very inconvenient and requires a third party to collect or to take your own waste to the dump. I have lived in Christchurch with the 3 bin system and believe this suits our needs best.

Submission WM&M 2017.131 by Ms Sarah Hobson

I have a two year old who is still in nappies so we can through a lot of nappies every week. Bin bags are really not ideal for this as they get really smelly in the house (have to leave them in the garage until bin day as there are a lot of cats in the area). Green bin also handy as in summer it is ideal when I have been in the garden and have grass cuttings, etc. Also very good for food scraps, e.g. Food peelings, seeds/pips, etc.

Submission WM&M 2017.1316 by Mr Michael Johnson and Ms Ruth Judkins

Trim; We have lived in Rangiora for 10 years and have never used the rubbish bags. It would be great for the Council to provide a bin for each, i.e. recycling, rubbish, and green waste. Bags are a thing of the past and should be removed as an option.

Submission WM&M 2017.1318 by Mr Stanley and Ailsa and Mrs Gallagher

Trim; We lived at Brooklands and had to shift after the earthquakes. We had a yellow, red and green bin each. Was absolutely wonderful. Not so much smell and flies. Bags are messy, and tear, smelly too. An addition of a large green and red would be lovely thanks. We are disabled but still want bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.132 by Mr Michael Miles

I will save nearly 50% on what I am currently paying for collection. I believe the pricing is affordable for most home owners

Submission WM&M 2017.133 by Mr Mark O'Connell

I like the idea of everyone being able to choose from the maximum number of options and paying for what they want. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.1331 by Ms Caroline Trevella

Trim; We put out a bag of rubbish once a month. The expensive bags encourage people to compost and recycle. Green bin and recycle bin are the best option in my opinion.

Submission WM&M 2017.1333 by Mr David Sanderson

Still much more cost effective than our current private collection. Would prefer rubbish to be weekly as well but as this is not an option I would likely keep my private service in addition to the council offering.
Submission WM&M 2017.1336 by Ms Olwen Hesson
Trim; Why are rubbish bags included, they are not required. It needs to be the same as Christchurch. It is fine there.

Submission WM&M 2017.1345 by Mr William (Arch) Murray
Trim; Suggest get rid of all plastic bags. They should not be an option at all.

Submission WM&M 2017.1346 by Ms Elizabeth Samuel
I think Option C would work for those on lower/ fixed incomes as the cost of rubbish removal would then be included as part of overall rates payment rather than the need to engage a private company to do so, and would then (I assume) be included as part of cost that would count towards accommodation costs for an Accommodation Supplement with WINZ. I currently pay $247 for a rubbish bin with a private company for 13 x 4 weekly collections each year, this is mostly green waste. I recycle and compost so general household waste is minimal (maybe 1 bag per month) but a red rubbish bin would still be preferable to a rubbish bag because animals couldn't get into it. I think options for households to chose which bins suit them best are the way to go. The only problem I can foresee is our street (a cul-de-sac has very little footpath space and it could be a squash if everyone wanted to put out all three bins at once, but I'm sure we could work it out!

Submission WM&M 2017.135 by Miss Sarah Waldron
Since moving out here from Christchurch I have found the current bag system old fashion, cumbersome, heavy, unreliable (animals attack the bags or they rip) and annoying. I would pay more to have the three bin system and do away with the bags. It would encourage me to composite and recycle more.

Submission WM&M 2017.1350 by Mrs Tara King
We don't even get rubbish collections, even though we are only 6 mins from Rangiora township and just off Oxford Road. I'd like to see the collection areas extended and a greater choice in services. Out with the black plastic bags all together and plastic bins instead.

Submission WM&M 2017.1354 by Mrs Karen Mills
I have 2 properties in kaiapoi and would like this service and like the options of different size bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1363 by Mr Craig McNamara
Please bring the 3 bin system in. Long overdue. Am fed up of ripped rubbish bags on side of road and of carrying heavy bags to the end of our driveway. Can't be good for the bin men either with heavy bags, broken glass, etc. I don't see why Option 3 would not be brought in as you give people the choice, they can keep with the bags and not have a green bin or they can pick and choose. We have worked out it will cost us about $3 more a week to get the red and green bin but is worth the time and effort of going to the dump for small items or small green waste trips.

Submission WM&M 2017.1366 by Ms Leanne Bemelman
Trim; I really do hope we get the 3 bins. When I lived in Christchurch I actually had less rubbish as all
of our food waste etc could go in the organics bin. Bins are easier to put on the kerb than rubbish bags. I believe a reduction in waste going to landfill will be very good for the future.

Submission WM&M 2017.137 by Miss Codie Andrew
It works well in town and I think it would work very well in north Canterbury.

Submission WM&M 2017.1374 by Ms Anne Bagrie
Trim; Brilliant idea. Fully support it.

Submission WM&M 2017.1377 by Mr Anton Nikoloff
Trim; We agree with the policy of reducing waste and wonder if enough thought has been given to green waste collection and disposal, ie I wonder if larger bins similar in size to recycling bin are required. My thoughts are that total waste collection may well increase, mainly green waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.1380 by Ms Karen Clarke
Trim; I am surprised you are still offering a plastic bag option because we need to get away from plastic bags altogether. We also don't want plastic bags in our landfill. They have gone from the CCC and they should be gone from the WDC.

Submission WM&M 2017.1381 by Ms Suzanne Nilsson
Trim; We have voted for Option C, but feel strongly that our preferred option is a rubbish bin not bags. Bags are more difficult to carry, prone to breakages, potential litter problems. If bags were chosen it would effectively change our vote.

Submission WM&M 2017.1382 by Mr Nicholas Thomas
Trim; Yes to bins. I think it will slow the mouse population down and less injuries to the rubbish collectors picking the bags up to throw in to the truck.

Submission WM&M 2017.1383 by Ms Susan Wilce
Trim; We voted against this last time but as there are more people out this way now it is a great idea. We do our own composting anyway but I agree with anything to help keep our landfill down.

Submission WM&M 2017.1387 by Ms Hayley Wilkins
Trim; Moved out to Kaiapoi last September from Christchurch. Miss the red bins and green as so handy when gardening. Don't like driving to the dump and paying to get rid of my green waste. Petrol cost and dump fee are a pain.

Submission WM&M 2017.139 by Mr Rob Van groen
About time we came inline with Christchurch and Selwyn districts as falling way behind in this area of public service.
Submission WM&M 2017.1391 by Mr Gordon Timms

Trim; Option C with large green waste bin. To be honest I thought we had been through this exercise once before.

Submission WM&M 2017.1392 by Ms Chloe Borland

Trim; People should be able to choose what suits them based on Option C. They can choose the option and pay as per household as everyone has different needs.

Submission WM&M 2017.1396 by Mr Hamish and Rebecca and Mrs Parker

Trim. We currently pay for a bin, so would only be interested in changing if it was for Option C.

Submission WM&M 2017.1398 by Ms Rebecca Lester

Trim. Ban single use plastic.

Submission WM&M 2017.1401 by Mr Raymond Paulger

Trim. The introduction of a bin collection should do away with the use of rubbish bags or why are the changes proposed.

Submission WM&M 2017.1409 by Mr W J and J A and Mrs McCartney

Trim. 80L recycling bin - fortnightly; 80L rubbish bin - fortnightly; 140L organic/garden waste - weekly. Can charges be made to suit individual requirements.

Submission WM&M 2017.1412 by Ms Rebecca Kelly

Trim. The environmental consequences of not offering a green bin aren't consistent with our values. We would like to see an option of organic management in the new plan.

Submission WM&M 2017.1414 by Mr Johnny Knight

Trim. About time this district got out of the 1900's and moved to the 2000 years. This council charges more than Christchurch rates and they supply all three bins. We don't need bags, just three bins. Do the right thing. Three bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1418 by Mr Glen Fausett and Ms Amanda Tritschler

Trim. Does Waimak Council offer service for elderly to take out/bring in bins? If choose organic bin option could include monthly bin clean for them.

Submission WM&M 2017.142 by Miss Rachel McClung
Option C provides choice and responds to community expectations. I would like to have the choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.1426 by Mr David and Judith and Mrs Madeley
Trim. Will this service be extended to Island Road south of Ohoka Road and Giles Road.

Submission WM&M 2017.143 by Mrs Vanessa Burns
When we moved out from town it felt very wasteful to put 'green waste' in the rubbish bag, not the green bin, so having that option again would be fantastic. Once we own a house I would be happy to pay added rates for the red bin but while we’re still renting I think we’ll stick with buying our own bags. I like that bin or bag will be an option for those who can’t afford the extra rates, but I would hope that those who can will use bins - anything to keep the workers safer!!

Submission WM&M 2017.1431 by Mr Tony and Helen and Mrs McGregor

Submission WM&M 2017.144 by Miss Jay Horne
People who say a "low waste household is disadvantaged" haven’t done the mathematics. 2 person house - we use a rubbish bag a week (x52). Its, what, $20 more a year to have organic waste going to the right place not to landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.1444 by Ms Annette Hamlin (Greening)
Trim. We, the ratepayers, would like a detailed account of why our rates are so high. In Christchurch they are much cheaper and they don’t have to pay for their bags or bins. Would also like it explained in layman terms.

Submission WM&M 2017.1449 by Ms Joanne Reid
Trim. Not sure why you are still offering bags in all of the options. Why not adopt the same system as Christchurch.

Submission WM&M 2017.145 by Mr gary and Mrs hurrell
in our case, bins are easier, as we have to at present c - arry / or “car ” - our rubbish bag approx - 0.2 k’s - from the end of our lane, out to - southbelt road, as the collection vehicles do not come down the lane.

Submission WM&M 2017.1452 by Mr Geoff and Jo and Mrs Wilson
Trim. Only one choice we need to improve the environmental benefit and Option C is a start in the right direction.

Submission WM&M 2017.1455 by Ms Denise Ross
Trim. Yellow, green and red bin should cover all. Do not need for rubbish bag on top of 3 bins. We lived in Timaru when this system started and it was the best system ever.

Submission WM&M 2017.146 by Mrs Ellen Kitto

I followed the submissions last time this issue came to the table with interest and felt disappointed at the decisions made. As an older person in the Waimakariri District Council area I personally find putting out a bag on a regular basis outdated and not sanitary if this is torn open by cats, dogs or a sharp object. My main concern is that to lift a bag which can be reasonable heavy for an older person is not an easy task. Particularly heavy when this is not put to the gate weekly due to cost of the bags which have recently risen in costs. I enjoy the garden and have a significant amount of green waste. Again this comes to weight and lifting so I use a green waste bin at a considerable cost to me. To overcome the difficulties older persons have with lifting I recommend bins as wheeling is by far the easiest option. They are sanitary and by using a smaller size take up almost exactly the same area as a bin with a plastic bag inserted, the recycle bin and a compost bin or green waste bin. With more people living in the Waimakariri area this should keep the costs of bins at a lower level as they are manufactured and supplied in a larger amount. Collection will be more automated with less chance of the men who collect the rubbish bag by hand being injured. A considerable danger cost of time for recovery. Although the rise in rates in some cases will be difficult for some people the rates rebate makes a significant difference to the overall WDC Rates. I would like the council to consider the areas I have covered and to make a decision. I feel this has been on the table for some length of time.

Submission WM&M 2017.1468 by Ms Valerie Kenyon

Trim. Please give us service C with the 3 bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1470 by Mr James McRobb

Trim. I would prefer to have no plastic rubbish bags. Just bins. Plastic bags are bad for the environment. Some countries tax or ban supermarket bags. Rubbish bags are bigger!

Submission WM&M 2017.1478 by Mr Brent Taylor

Trim. Coming from the Christchurch district the Waimakariri is a lot more expensive.

Submission WM&M 2017.1484 by Mr Andrew Garth

We are disappointed the 3 bin system is not already in place. We moved from town 3 years ago after building a house here. The system works very well in town. Often while out walking you see the rubbish bags ripped and damaged by cats or because they are not strong enough to carry the weight that gets put into them. Not to mention how unsafe bags are for collection people. While alot of people utilise compost bins it is disappointing there is no other green waste option unless you go to the dump.

Submission WM&M 2017.149 by Mr Andrew Van Slooten

I support any of these options as long as the additional bins remain optional, and organics can be put in rubbish bins as there is no issues with organics going to landfill.
Submission WM&M 2017.1495 by Mrs Linda Stent

Definitely need rubbish bins. The bags are unhygienic, a pain to store, carry, and animals getting into them. We have lived in cities over the last few years where bins were the only option and they are convenient and great. Kaiapoi needs to move with the times. Also good to have a bin to put garden waste into. Bins .... please!

Submission WM&M 2017.1501 by Ms Gaylene Macey

Trim. I like the idea of having 3 bins, especially one for garden waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.1502 by Ms Kelly Gamble

Trim. We would love to see green (organics) waste collection available.

Submission WM&M 2017.1503 by Ms Ruth Zahner

Trim. I like the options offered in "C" as for people like me who have no green waste (compost or mulch everything) it allows me to continue using a recycling bin and occasional rubbish bag. It also allows for households where composting etc does not occur an opportunity to reduce pressure on landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.1507 by Ms Fiona Westeneng

I would like the options to be available to the wider rural area as well as urban areas.

Submission WM&M 2017.151 by Mrs Liz McClure

Recycling, Waste and Organics would be a great choice to have

Submission WM&M 2017.1519 by Mr Chris and Trudy and Mrs Brakey

Trim. Get rid of rubbish bags. Our street is always a mess because of animals getting in neighbours’ rubbish bags (we have a waste management bin). Green bin will hopefully stop people dumping their green rubbish along the river.

Submission WM&M 2017.1524 by Mr Colin Braid

Trim. Preference is bins only. Plastic bags should not be an option. Less plastic in the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.1533 by Mrs Ritsuko Lees

Definitely we need a bin for organics as most of our rubbish are organics.

Submission WM&M 2017.1536 by Mr Chris Storm

Trim. Last time the 3 bin system was proposed there was talk about using RFID tags on the bins so if someone didn’t put their bin out they wouldn’t get charged for the collection. Is this still going to apply?
Submission WM&M 2017.1540 by Ms Donna Goddard

Trim. We live in such a beautiful town and part of this is the changes that have been made. We have a wonderful balance here and to introduce these bins is a wonderful option. I for one would love to have the bins and the price you mentioned is very reasonable. We need to recycle more. Good move.

*)

Submission WM&M 2017.1548 by Mrs Eileen Keys

Trim. 3 bins and no bags. Fortnightly red and yellow bin. Green bin - weekly for 9 months and fortnightly in May, June and July. Less garden and lawn mowing in those 3 months of the year.

Submission WM&M 2017.155 by Miss Cassandra Mays

I find it very frustrating that the WDC does not currently offer an organics waste removal service. While having a compost heap does help to minimise some kitchen and garden waste I think that having an organic bin would greatly reduce waste that goes into the rubbish bin. It would also help minimise flies & maggots during the summer time. I have spent the majority of my life living in the waimak but have also lived in chch city and find that the 3 bins system in chch is far superior to using bags and Waste Management does an excellent job of providing this service.

Submission WM&M 2017.1567 by Ms Joanne Churcher

Great idea. I'm in favour of anything that will reduce my carbon footprint. Will be nice to have a local source of hot-mix compost - well, I hope that's the plan for the green waste collected.

Submission WM&M 2017.1570 by Mr Bill Wilson

trim: 3 bins, no bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.1578 by Mr Alan Holbrook

Trim: Preference C makes good sense. Smelly food scraps and garden waste collected weekly. Less rubbish collected fortnightly.

Submission WM&M 2017.1582 by Ms Carolina Kol

Trim. Option C allows everyone to choose how they want their rubbish to be collected and hopefully results in reduced rubbish for the landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.1583 by Ms Linda Fuller

Trim. Option C, but no rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1586 by Mr Digby and Annemarie and Mrs Scorgie

Trim. We are at 40 Wetherfield Lane, Mandeville North (not our postal address). So we have to use a private contractor for our rubbish, but they do not deal with organic and garden waste in our area. We would therefore appreciate a Council greenwaste service. We are confused by the options for C. We understand: Rubbish bag OR bin, AND organics bin; but not: Rubbish bag OR bin OR organics bin, rubbish or organics.
Submission WM&M 2017.1589 by Ms Karla Kilner
Trim. Address given as: postal address to s detailed above.

Submission WM&M 2017.1593 by Mr Larry Blackler
Trim. It is a no brainer. Ban the bag. Bring on 3 bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.160 by Mr Alex Taylor
As someone who moved from Christchurch with the three bin scheme, who then moved to Wellington with the same waste disposal system as the Waimakariri, I can safely say that option C would give ratepayers better value for value if they switch to bins but also allow those who prefer the low up-front cost of bags to stay on that system. I am moving back to the Waimakariri District at the end of July and it is time the District moves towards a 21st Century method of collection that reduces the environmental impact of our waste footprint. Option C benefits the Waimakariri District most positively!

Submission WM&M 2017.1612 by Mrs Christine Martin
trim: The form is complicated. If we are trying to promote environmental benefit, the four service choice should have been placed as "A" on the list. People tend to choose what they see first as that is easiest.

Submission WM&M 2017.1614 by Ms Patricia Margaret James
Trim. Just waiting for the black bags to get rid of so as to stop the cats and dogs opening the bags. Bring on the 3 bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1616 by Ms Kate Cain
trim: Option C reduces waste, is cleaner for workers and gives choice to people who do or don't want to change. Also, gives wheelie bin options to rural residents who are out of zone of private business rubbish services. We hire waste management bins and they are great but they do not provide a service in Cust where we are moving in about 12 months time. Council and private companies will need to have competitive rates for urban customers.

Submission WM&M 2017.1619 by Mr Phillip and Maria and Mrs Mason
trim: Great service to have bins. Can't wait.

Submission WM&M 2017.1624 by Ms Barbara James
trim: The 3 bin system works perfectly well in Christchurch. There is no reason why it shouldn't do the same out here.

Submission WM&M 2017.163 by Mrs Melanie Oliver
Yay finally we might get bins! Great that we get the options, really well thought out plan thanks. Bins are so much tidier and easier than bags! Please make this a reality.

Submission WM&M 2017.1633 by Ms Sue Mardon

Trim. We need to have the 3 bin system - it works in Christchurch city. Why not here. People will get used to the increase.

Submission WM&M 2017.1639 by Mrs Annekke Bell

Please make sure that you provide a 'green' bin like they do in Chch city. Thank you

Submission WM&M 2017.164 by Mr Avqui and Mrs Bath

We actively try to reduce our rubbish production by composting at home, using minimal packaging, cloth nappies rather than all disposables etc. so for us, our cheapest option would be to remain status quo. However, I think if recyclable and green waste bins were both provided at a fixed cost, and then people could choose how much rubbish service they wanted to pay for, this would be the best way to actively reduce waste production. My ideal choice would be a red 80L bin fortnightly. B

Submission WM&M 2017.1642 by Ms Linda Collier

Also offer a choice of size for recycling bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1647 by Mrs Hanna-Jane Watson

I would like to have all three rubbish bins, no rubbish bags

Submission WM&M 2017.1652 by Mr Ondrej Najman

Would soft plastic go to yellow bins or just special collection points at the stage of rolling out these bin options 2 years ahead?

Submission WM&M 2017.1655 by Mrs Thysje Arthur

My husband and I would also like to see a choice of recycling bin sizes. I'm sure there are people like ourselves who do not buy a lot of items in plastics if we can help it being conscious of waste. We would prefer a smaller bin than the current one as we usually put it out once every two months or so. We thank you for the different choices you are proposing, and we support the bin services as we find this easier to deal with and are certainly happy to pay some extra in the rates accordingly. Plus we feel that splitting off the organic waste from the general rubbish is a must and we agree that this is the best from the council's perspective also. Thank you for the opportunity to submit our choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.1680 by Mr Charles Cook

Trim. Hurry up and get it done. I am sick of the Council without a backbone.

Submission WM&M 2017.1685 by Deborah and Arline Grimshaw

Trim. We will have no more cats and dogs ripping the bags open out over night. Make the street
look much tidier.

Submission WM&M 2017.1686 by Ms Gina Anson
Trim. Would be so good to have a green waste bin. People can choose to reduce the amount of landfill rubbish they have, but there will always be some, so I like the bags as you can put them out as frequently/infrequently as you like. It would take me a while to fill a red bin, but maybe they could be small.

Submission WM&M 2017.1693 by Mr R and A and Mrs Cornelius
Trim. Start educating rate payers that with a full bin option you do not need weekly collection. 3 x bins with fortnightly empty on each. We have been on this system for 6 years and it works.

Submission WM&M 2017.1702 by Ms Margaret Sandford
Trim. I came from Christchurch where we had three bins. They are much better than bags. Bags rip. Organic bins I would like. You can keep place clean. We have to pay to get them dumped. Organic bins, yes. If you put out a bag a week that works out $3 a week for that 12 months.

Submission WM&M 2017.1709 by Lisa Zampese
We need to move with the times and use bins. There is no need for rubbish bags in this day and age. Bins are much easier to use, safer and animal-proof.

Submission WM&M 2017.171 by Mrs Jillian McKenzie
I currently have option A and I use a private company to collect the rest of the rubbish. Option C would be cheaper for me.

Submission WM&M 2017.1710 by Mr Craig Petrie
Bins are the way forward. Bins are the best solution. Bags are expensive and prone to ripping (especially by animals when awaiting pickup).

Submission WM&M 2017.1717 by Mr Anthony and Irene and Mrs Barrett
Trim. Bring on the bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.1718 by Mr Ross Reither
Trim. Three bins. No rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1723 by Mr Barry Nelson
We are an elderly couple and at present we are quite satisfied with a weekly bag collection and a fortnightly resource bin. We make regular use of the green recycling facility at Stockburn. We are concerned about the generation of waste and support the ways this can be managed and recycled.
Consequently we support option C as the best alternative for the future way of handling rubbish and supporting us personally as we become a little less able to manage the way we operate at present.

Submission WM&M 2017.1727 by Ms Jenny Pitama

Trim. Nothing wrong with the plan, but, our rates cannot continue to increase - affordability in the Waimak District is essential to support growth.

Submission WM&M 2017.1730 by Mr Brian Pritchard

Trim. (Street address:)

Submission WM&M 2017.1741 by Ms Trudie Hanson

Trim. Hopefully providing more choice of bins will mean less "dumping" in parks and along river banks. Also, any thoughts of a six monthly kerbside collection for larger items, eg couches, mattresses?

Submission WM&M 2017.1743 by Ms Janice Goodgame

Trim. To encourage people to stop using plastic bags etc and to improve the environment I believe the bins should be at a power price. We are long overdue in Rangiora for bins. For the rates we pay I believe we should be in line with Christchurch.

Submission WM&M 2017.1747 by Ms Janet Walsh

Trim. I definitely want to stop 120 trucks going to landfill every year. Rubbish bins are safer for all. I definitely want to avoid cats and dogs tearing bags apart. Much better to turn organic waste into compost. Most other councils have a three bin system. Waimakariri needs to conform. Better for all, better for environment, cheaper in the long run.

Submission WM&M 2017.1748 by Mr Robert Trowland

Trim. "Curbside" is a euphemism. WDC should look at providing authorised sites for bins to be collected from. Blocked footpaths are a problem for many people.

Submission WM&M 2017.1758 by Mr Mitch Petheram

Please add a recycling and rubbish disposal facility to Cust. It would be a huge timer to those of us who are outside of the current bin system. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.176 by Mrs Nicola Broekhuizen

About time WDC got out of the dark ages with rubbish bags and started using bins

Submission WM&M 2017.1764 by Mr Alan Malpass

If as a community we are serious about reducing rubbish with some benefit to the environment,
Option C must be our choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.1769 by Ms Gillian Giller

The information contained in the consultation document on kerbside waste collection options indicates the Council "want to get serious about reducing the amount of waste being sent to landfill". Prevention and minimisation sit at the top of the waste hierarchy pyramid. It is essential that Council continues to provide ongoing education programmes and services which promote and reinforce the importance of waste prevention and minimisation. Waste collection service charges should recognise the valuable contribution that residents, who minimise their waste, make to the District. Option C maintains some flexibility to cater for the different requirements of residents. Inevitably there will be some residents who will place waste in the inappropriate bin either because they are unaware or they don't care. Hopefully further education combined with a degree of monitoring by the collectors will minimise the incidence of this behaviour. The introduction of an organics bin will require an education programme on how to best use these bins. I support option C.

Submission WM&M 2017.178 by Mr Hamish Scown

More information on where the organic waste will eventually end up and what purpose would be much appreciated

Submission WM&M 2017.1793 by Mr Malcolm Woods

I live in Mandeville, not east, west, north or south. Please sort it out. Can you put some organics in the red bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.1799 by Ms Miriana Grennell

We need to reduce plastic bags and unnecessary wastage going to land fill. Having the green organics bin and red bin for household wastage will reduce this - the black plastic bags need to go.

Submission WM&M 2017.18 by Mr Brian Presland

Health and Safety and Ergonomics make a 3 Bin Collection the best option. Most other Councils around the country use this very simple system. Rangiora should move with the times. Drivers don't have to get out of trucks in all conditions and struggle with plastic bags. WHICH ARE NOT VERY GOOD FOR THE ENVIRONMENT WHEN BURIED ON OUR LANDFILL. LET'S BE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY. REGARDS BRIAN PRESLAND

Submission WM&M 2017.1801 by Ms Joanna Pohio

I compost my food waste and put out a rubbish bag once a month. My plastic bags & wrappers etc go to the supermarket bins. My garden waste is collected from a private company & is cheaper then your food & garden waste bin. So I would only continue with recycling bin & rubbish bag (every 1 month) but for those that don't want i do option C will be good for them.

Submission WM&M 2017.1807 by Ms Christine Kirkeby

Why on earth not provide weekly rubbish, Red/Green & following week Yellow/Green as in the city? Crazy notion that Waimak area don't need rubbish collection WE DO!! When we moved here we thought you were all behind in your thinking.
Submission WM&M 2017.1810 by Mr Andrew Jamieson

We have to take our bin over the ditch/berm to the roadside, could collections be done on back lanes of many residents in Pegasus, much easier for all.

Submission WM&M 2017.1811 by Mr Iain McDonald

Rubbish bags are not really required if you have a red bin. Plastic bags are more vulnerable to damage by weather and cats & dogs. Do away with them as soon as possible.

Submission WM&M 2017.1814 by Ms Charmaine Cooper

Stop being so backwards and get with the bins ALL. Fortnightly recycle yellow, red fortnightly, weekly green.

Submission WM&M 2017.184 by Mrs Correna Briggs

Just do it! Please

Submission WM&M 2017.1840 by Ms Vanessa Callaghan

Cannot wait for the 3 bins to be implemented. We moved out from the city 4 years ago and its the one thing we miss the most.

Submission WM&M 2017.1845 by Ms Sarah Hill

3 bins and no bags. Green bin as large as a yellow as lots of green waste out here; or, option to opt out if prices more expensive than waste management.

Submission WM&M 2017.1850 by Ms Andrea Aitken

I would like to get rid of plastic rubbish bags. Not good for the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.1856 by Mrs Maureen Adams

Great system.

Submission WM&M 2017.1857 by Mr Troy and Janey and Mrs Troy

We think the four service choices would be great. Moving to Kaiapoi a year ago from Christchurch city we were a little bewildered as to why the Waimak Council didn't offer red and green bins. We went on to hire a bin from a private company, so it would be a huge saving for us if the Council were to provide them and add the cost into our rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.1859 by Mr Ron Adams

Great plan

Submission WM&M 2017.186 by Mr Gary Stevens
I want Option C WITHOUT paying even more than the $3000+ in rates that I am paying now. For $3000, I consider that I am getting nowhere near value for money. I pay a lot & get very little service in return. Very poor. The council should at least be giving 26 rubbish bags per annum to rate payers for free. What about an option for people who want the current service along with an organic bin only?

Submission WM&M 2017.1862 by Ms Kirsten Roberts

We like that we can customise how our rubbish is collected, that we have plenty of options to choose and still have freedom to use bags if we want to.

Submission WM&M 2017.1864 by Mr Tony Cartland

In my circumstances option A is the cheapest as I only put out every 3-4 weeks and the majority of my compostibles. I compost myself in bins and 'worm farm'. However I have opted for option C as the best for the environment and options available for households.

Submission WM&M 2017.1870 by Mr Ken and Jean and Mrs Grimwood


Submission WM&M 2017.1874 by Mr Alan Rawlings

Being on a main road between Rangiura and Tuahwi we on Northbrook Road have never been offered a collection of any sort, yet properties on Tuahwi Road have collections, also the rubbish bags are a thin of the past and it should be bins only making pick up faster and cleaner.

Submission WM&M 2017.1882 by Mr Russell & Janet and Mrs Bayliss

Bins only no bags

Submission WM&M 2017.1883 by Mr Brendon and Viv and Mrs Hannah

We currently do not have a rubbish collection. If the service became available we opt for Option C.

Submission WM&M 2017.1885 by Ms Jane Hinz

I like C if what you don't need is optional. I understand that not everyone can compost but I can and don't want to be forced into paying for a green bin if same cost as rubbish bags as that would be safer for pick up.

Submission WM&M 2017.1889 by Ms Lynne Sloper

OPTIONS B & C ticked. Why can't we have the same as CHCH city. 3 bins end of conversation.

Submission WM&M 2017.1890 by Mr Dayle Richards

We moved from Christchurch after the quakes. 3 bins is better than bags. Bags make no sense and its like going back in time. PS Our rates didn't go up by this much though when they were introduced.
Submission WM&M 2017.1894 by Mr Ronnie Harris
We would like 3 bins 1 red, 1 yellow & 1 green

Submission WM&M 2017.19 by Ms Sam Gurney
I live in Christchurch where we have rubbish, recycling and organics bins and it so easy to use them and make a difference. How could you consider anything else?

Submission WM&M 2017.1903 by Mr G and J and Mrs Martin
Plastic bags need to go sooner rather later to reduce waste. Therefore, Option C is the best option especially as smaller bins at less cost will be available.

Submission WM&M 2017.191 by Miss Anita Doctolero
I hope I can vote for this as a tenant and that not only home owners have a say in this.

Submission WM&M 2017.1910 by Mrs Sandra Henderson
although I have chosen Option C, I do not wish to include rubbish bags. I feel rubbish bags are not necessary with the 3 bins. I have lived in CCC using the 3 bin system and it worked exceedingly well, hence the comment about no rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.1912 by Mr Micheal Mcilhonne
Happy to pay a bit extra for Option C, having an organic option is great

Submission WM&M 2017.1918 by Mr L Ewans
Coming from Christchurch City Council area and their rubbish recovery system we were surprised to see not 'red' rubbish bin nor 'green' organics bin in the Kaiapoi rubbish recovery. We look forward to the future.

Submission WM&M 2017.193 by Mr John DeLury
This is just common sence to me.

Submission WM&M 2017.1931 by Mr Mike Henry
The advantages of wheelie bins as opposed to plastic bags are well summarised under 'other things to consider' section of this brochure. We currently use waste management household rubbish/organic collection using wheelie bins and fully support council adopting this service with the benefits well outweighing projected increase in rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.1939 by Mr Marilyn and David and Mrs Taylor
Three bins and bags should have been implemented when Christchurch City got theirs. If this is not approved soon, we will end up with a bigger problem in the future. Sure, it costs more but its only going to get more expensive if we leave it till future years. Cost should not dictate the solution.
Submission WM&M 2017.1942 by Mr Brent Rollo
I already use plan C through Waste Management (private company). If the Council took up this option it would save me money.

Submission WM&M 2017.1948 by Ms Catharina Jongeneel
Please no rubbish bags anymore with Plan C.

Submission WM&M 2017.195 by Mr Ray Hayes
Bags only result in animals raiding the bags with, ultimately, more rubbish on the streets

Submission WM&M 2017.1952 by Ms Jess Kerr
Note - Both Options B and C selected.

Submission WM&M 2017.1961 by Ms Julie Lintott
As only Option C actually reduces rubbish, this is the logical way to go.

Submission WM&M 2017.1966 by Ms Sandra Koppen
What is the size of the current recycling bin?

Submission WM&M 2017.1967 by Ms Karen Wilson
Extend the kerbside waste collection to properties neighbouring the existing subdivisions collection area.

Submission WM&M 2017.1969 by Mr Ray Brocker
It is important that the Council goes to a three bin system for Health and Safety reasons alone.

Submission WM&M 2017.1974 by Mr Malcolm Yorke
We should get up to date the same as Christchurch did years ago.

Submission WM&M 2017.1978 by Mr Wen Panda Estates Limited
The savings from landfill management, collection and transportation. Shouldn't this be passed onto the residents?

Submission WM&M 2017.1985 by Mr Russell and Trish and Mrs Falconer
Given 61% of kerbside rubbish is compostable, it is crucial that the Council implements the option of providing an organics bin.
Submission WM&M 2017.1992 by Mr Bruce and Sarah and Mrs Milsom

The use of bins is a proven way of effectively dealing with waste and recycling. We have used this system in another district and found it to be extremely successful. We have completed a similar survey to this for WDC within the past two years and the result was in favour of changing to bins - but was over-ridden by the wants of a minority group. Please go with the majority decision this time.

Submission WM&M 2017.1996 by Ms Lyn de Groot

I wish to support any initiative to reduce landfill mass and would like a green waste option.

Submission WM&M 2017.20 by Mr Ileanne and Mrs Thomson

Current fortnightly recycling bin Fortnightly collection for red and organic bin Rubbish bags for those who want it

Submission WM&M 2017.2016 by Mr Pat and Joan and Mrs Wray

Agree with fortnightly collection of recycling and rubbish and would only require a small organics waste bin as we have a small garden.

Submission WM&M 2017.2017 by Mr Sally and Richard and Mrs Patterson

This really needs to happen. We often see residents dumping green waste around empty sections in and around Gladstone Park.

Submission WM&M 2017.2020 by Ms Anne Powney

This information is all very "user friendly". Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.2021 by Mr Johnathan Mould

You do a good job even if it is hard. Wheelee bins look like fun. Rubbish trucks are loud and fun. Rubbish is stinky and bad, please help reduce it.

Submission WM&M 2017.2021 by Mr Wayne Spicer

Rubbish bags should be free given the cost of the rates which are too high for what we get in return. I support 3 plus bins but at an affordable cost.

Submission WM&M 2017.2028 by Ms Wendy Dixon

Would be good to get rid of rubbish bags and have bins like the Christchurch City Council do.

Submission WM&M 2017.203 by Mrs Sandra McCord

The three bins will work so well for all recycling I am willing to pay for the service even though I have a waste disposal and a compost bin or two.
Submission WM&M 2017.2030 by Mr Tim Hawke

I found the 3 bins great when I lived in Christchurch. When we were looking at moving to Rangiora we saw the current waste management as a negative to moving out here. We would be super pleased if option 'C' came into effect. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.2035 by Ms Chels Saunders

The best package would be recycling (fortnightly), rubbish (weekly), and organic (fortnightly). I'm currently using another service for organic but it would be good to do it through my Council.

Submission WM&M 2017.2037 by Ms Lynette Wright

Get rid of the bags, they are unnecessary if there are bins available. They are less hygienic than bins and can be destroyed by humans, cats and dogs. Composting should be actively encouraged by the Council, i.e. run promotion campaigns. Most (not all) people could compost organics. Like the option of varying bin sizes.

Submission WM&M 2017.204 by Mrs Nicola Green

As a country we need to be doing something about the amount of rubbish we produce. If having to pay a little extra each year means my household is helping to reduce what is going to landfill then I am very welcome to doing that.

Submission WM&M 2017.2050 by Ms Margaret Darnborough

3 small bins

Submission WM&M 2017.2057 by Mr Yinan Yang

Having the organics bin will help reduce the normal rubbish.

Submission WM&M 2017.2060 by Ms Shirley-Anne Pearce

While it has been great using the recycling bin, I have found it very frustrating not to be able to separate my organic waste from my rubbish. Three bins would be a great help to reduce landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.2066 by Mrs Shirley Haig

I have option C in Christchurch and it is convenient and I don't feel I need any more emptying of the rubbish bin than once every two weeks.

Submission WM&M 2017.2070 by Ms Gillian Robson

3 bins only, organic weekly same as Christchurch CC. Works well.

Submission WM&M 2017.2073 by Ms Lyndell Lewis

I would love to know where you get $3.00 rubbish bags from. Christchurch City has 3 bins and their
rates are cheaper than yours. The least you could do would be free rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.208 by Mr Colin Morriss

there are about 5 different company trucks that service our street every week by using one service has got to be a better option

Submission WM&M 2017.2086 by Ms Fay Johnson

Small homes are built in Pegasus but not enough green waste to have a bin from a firm. It's a crying shame to put green waste into black bags. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.2099 by Mr Wi and Dianne and Mrs Karena

We have come from Belfast area which used the 3 bin system. I feel it is a very great system. And miss it with moving here. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.2104 by Mr L Herrera

Prefer large rubbish, large green and small recycle (bins).

Submission WM&M 2017.2107 by Mr Jason and Megan and Mrs Lovelock

Definitely don't think bags need to be offered if red bin already offered.

Submission WM&M 2017.2108 by Ms Jacqui Wilson

Great for Waimak district to take a greater interest in household waste management.

Submission WM&M 2017.2112 by Ms Janice Eskett

I dislike rubbish bags and would never use them from choice and would choose the smaller bin option for all three if available.

Submission WM&M 2017.2116 by Mr Andrew Thompson

I support WDC strongly pursuing the 2 waste management and minimisation goals. This is a desirable stance to take on behalf of the community to encourage stronger action on our creation and management of waste that we create.

Submission WM&M 2017.2120 by Mr Ron and Hope and Mrs Rogers

Rubbish bags are costly and add to the landfill. It is time to move to bins for all waste and recycling.

Submission WM&M 2017.2124 by Mr Stu Scott

Waste minimisation should include district restrictions on single use plastic bags and polystyrene packaging.
Submission WM&M 2017.2131 by Mr Gary and Lorraine and Mrs Cattermole

We own a block of five flats in Kaiapoi (on one section). We have older tenants, most in their 70's and 80's. Some of these flats are only 50m² and are only one bedroom. Because bin collection is charged per household they will be charged as much as a larger home. Our rates and insurance have doubled in the last few years. This has had a great impact on rents and is placing a real burden on our tenants. We agree that Option C would help reduce rubbish but it would create financial difficulties for some. We would have 15 bins on the property. As discussed with your staff, if this option was adopted, could there be some flexibility by way of our tenants sharing bins therefore reducing the costs to them?

Submission WM&M 2017.2136 by Ms Annette Sincock

Having lived in Christchurch for years and having the 3 bin system I feel this is the way to go. Plastic bags have to go. Bins all the way.

Submission WM&M 2017.214 by Mr Carl Donovan

A organic green bin is a must. We should not be throwing out our green waste with the rubbish & sending to Landfill [green gases]...this is not a solution! Huge quantities of food waste currently go to Landfill stations We should be using the green bin to compost our food scraps & garden waste. This waste can be turned back into natural soil processes The Council needs to be involved [Compost facility]

Submission WM&M 2017.2159 by Mr Barry and Tania and Mrs Bowen

Would prefer 3 large bins. No rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2161 by Ms Belinda Andrews

thank you for considering the options and consultation.

Submission WM&M 2017.2170 by Ms Wendy Stokes

The organics option needs to be affordable for everyone and the benefits spelled out more. What happens to the organic material from the collection? Where does it go? How do we benefit? This is all good information to know and encourage people to support it.

Submission WM&M 2017.2173 by Ms Erin Harvey

The 3 bin system encourages more recycling and minimisation of waste. After living in the CCC area, I would always vote for it.

Submission WM&M 2017.2197 by Ms Shirley Wyatt-Shaw

Cannot see if you have 3 bins - why do you need a rubbish bag? Need to get rid of them.

Submission WM&M 2017.22 by Mrs Sue McFarlane

For our household (2 adults) a recycling bin & a WDC rubbish bag adequately covers our needs and is economically manageable being that we are on a fixed income - recycle bin generally put out monthly & a rubbish bag fortnightly, with green waste composted wherever possible plus maybe 1
or 2 visits to the dump per year. However, I do believe that households should be given the option
to choose the option that best suits them to cover the amount of rubbish and organics generated
by the household together with the best economical decision for them.

Submission WM&M 2017.220 by Mr David Oliver

Less waste going to landfill through more options with organics. Streets will be tidier without bags
that "break and animals rip them open. This will be a very positive step forward for North Canterbury

Submission WM&M 2017.2205 by Ms Joan Keller

I would choose C as I live alone and have minimal waste. Therefore this option would probably be
the most cost effective for me. I strongly favour keeping the rubbish bags in your plan.

Submission WM&M 2017.2207 by Ms Denise Olley

I am already paying for rubbish bin hire. To have it on the same day and in my rates is a lot easier
than paying 2 x bills and remembering what day to put different bins out.

Submission WM&M 2017.2208 by Mrs Viv Morgan

We currently pay another provider to collect our green waste and rubbish (prefer the convenience of
a wheelie bin). It would be great to cancel this and have it all provided by WDC.

Submission WM&M 2017.222 by Miss Emma Churchill

I like that this option enables those of us who want the added convenience of all bins (at a cost) to
pay for these, but allows those who may not want the bins or added cost to keep the option of a
bag collection service at the same cost it would otherwise be. Thanks for the opportunity to provide
feedback.

Submission WM&M 2017.2231 by Ms Joy McKenzie

I like the idea of the smaller bins being available.

Submission WM&M 2017.224 by Mr Dean Farrow

We previously lived in Christchurch City where they have a three bin system. It worked well for us
and would like the same in Rangiora.

Submission WM&M 2017.2241 by Ms Phillipa Watkins

We would go for C as it gives us the choice on what we want to do. There are several things we
are not happy about, price of plastic bags , I have worked in my own business for many years and
so know the price of plastic bags, your price is absolutely over the top. You should be supplying at
least one a week to each household for free as now the rates are excessive. If we need more the
price should be at least an eighth of what they are now. Some people just cannot afford this
amount. This is why people dump down the riverbed, surely you know this. Another is the dumping
of "Green Waste". Seeing that this is able to be composting the dumping fees of this should come
down as you get a revenue from the compost or you use it for the gardens around the town "for
Free". If according to your pamphlet that 61% kerbside Rubbish is compostable , then why don't
you educate people how to do it or make them understand what is compostable. Once again Price
Price. When we go to the dump it is ridiculous what we pay. Recyclable bins at the dump? they need to be better organized and labelled more clearly. Obviously Rubbish is an ongoing problem, you need to Educate Educate, not just put the rates up.

Submission WM&M 2017.2244 by Mr Eric Hammond

Use of a red bin over bags is cheaper overall and better for the environment. People using only 1 bag per week have an annual cost of $156.00. A smaller red bin cost of $95.00 is a saving of $61.00. Don't just show $3.00 per bag. Should show annual cost at 1, 2 or 3 bags per week in your costings.

Submission WM&M 2017.2247 by Mr Shane Harkess

We had choice C when we were living in Christchurch five years ago and can't believe it hasn't been implemented in the Waimakariri District yet. It is a very good choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.2248 by Mr John Turley

We currently pay Waste Management $394 pa for option 3, as well as the $86 pa for recycling to the WDC. you are offering twice as much organic collection for less. It's a no-brainer. Since the Chinese bought Waste Management their profits go offshore. The local players could be offered a role in the collections of your bins and thus return the profits to the economy.

Submission WM&M 2017.2250 by Mr Graeme Pierson

I am in favour of #3 however, I do not see one would need a plastic rubbish bag as well. 3 bins is sufficient and more predictable.

Submission WM&M 2017.2253 by Ms Liana Crofts

Plastic rubbish bags don't break down and aren't environmentally friendly. Bins are environmentally better - would be excellent if the bins were made from recycled materials also.

Submission WM&M 2017.2254 by Ms Michaela Smith

Having the option is the best way to go.

Submission WM&M 2017.2255 by Ms Pamela Dwyer

I currently compost all my kitchen waste and have a private collector take garden waste approximately 3x a year. I only put out WDC rubbish bags about 5 times a year so I have a very low contribution to land-fill. I am voting for Option C in the hope that more people will separate out compostables and reduce landfill. I would expect my rates for option C would be less than someone who is getting a red bin as well as a green bin? I believe that Option B would result in more "red" waste as people with a bin to fill have more volume than a rubbish bag. They will use what they are paying for therefore Option B would be 'bad'.

Submission WM&M 2017.2256 by Mr Sheena and Gareth and Mrs Lyne

Bins for rubbish are much better than rubbish bags. And it's great to see that you are offering more choice in bin sizes. We are having a house built in Pegasus, so this does concern us.
Submission WM&M 2017.2264 by Ms Karen Matthews

Less chance of rubbish being scattered over the footpath if in a bin (rubbish bags attacked by dogs). Less landfill if you have bin for organics. Rubbish bags never break down in landfill - bin will reduce this. Move with modern times to have bins - back to what we had in chch. Cheaper overall for us as we pay extra for a green waste bin anyway.

Submission WM&M 2017.2275 by Mr Andrew Lovell

Option C

Submission WM&M 2017.2279 by Mrs Frances Button

Choice C the best. It's good to have organics bin as for many living in the towns - makes it easier to dispose of garden waste. I also like the opportunity to have bigger/smaller bins works well in chch

Submission WM&M 2017.228 by Mrs Jill Brightwell

I would like to suggest that when a person purchases a property that a free change of size of bin be offered within 3 months of notification of change of owner. Reasoning behind this is that when purchasing a property you do not investigate what size the bones are, this tends to be noticed once you are in the property and actually using the service.

Submission WM&M 2017.2284 by Mr Peter and Elaine and Mrs Stuart

Great system, loved it when we lived in Christchurch. So much easier. Please bring to Kaiapoi.

Submission WM&M 2017.2286 by Mrs Margaret Parkinson

Option B is a necessity, but Option C is ideal.

Submission WM&M 2017.229 by Mr Lawrence Waterhouse

Since the rates we pay here are similar to Christchurch, why shouldn't I get the same great full bin service we once had if paying for it already as this council ratepayer number would be far less.

Submission WM&M 2017.2290 by Ms Ismailia Lister Crofts

I think it makes more sense to use bins instead of making more plastic bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2291 by Ms Morgan Bell

The plan is great, especially how it minimises waste to landfill. We currently have a bin emptied twice a month and green waste once a month at a cost of around $50 per month so this will save us lots.

Submission WM&M 2017.2293 by Mrs Gloria Edwards

3 small bins, no bags. Bags can be ripped by animals. The large yellow bin is only ever 1/2 full (except at Christmas), so paying for wasted space. Yellow and red to be collected fortnightly on alternate weeks and green weekly.
Submission WM&M 2017.2299 by Mr Gary and Margaret and Mrs Saunders

As can be seen we have gone for the Option C. From our perspective this would prove a financially beneficial position to our current. We currently engage Waste Management at a cost of $45.10 per calendar month = $542 pa. We like the idea of separating rubbish from green waste. Good luck.

Submission WM&M 2017.230 by Mr Dave Price

Hi, We currently find the WDC system substandard. We do have and use the WDC recycling bin BUT we also have Waste Management bin deal that has 2 x 240 litre bin (1 for rubbish and 1 for green-waste, collected alternate fortights), and although I find this quite satisfactory (at approx $450 PA) I would prefer to keep it local. Most place I have lived I have had a 3 bin system. Its a no brainer really. 240 litre bins for those who want them and 120 litre bins for those who want a smaller option ant a reduced rate. Cheers Dave

Submission WM&M 2017.2302 by Ms Sarah Wood

We would love a red bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.2305 by Mr Richard and Marie and Mrs Aldous

My preference would be a red bin collected weekly and a green bin fortnightly.

Submission WM&M 2017.2308 by Mr Phil Richards

Have you looked at the 3 bin system that is operated in Christchurch City (no bags). In my opinion it works very well. Cats and dogs and vermin can't get into the bins. Without bags you eliminate any problem of them splitting and spilling the rubbish on roadsides etc. Please not, although my address is in Christchurch I own a property in Cust and will become a resident there next year.

Submission WM&M 2017.2310 by Mr John Mock

Service improvement of 3 bin system is well worth extra cost.

Submission WM&M 2017.2318 by Mr Paul Russell

Surely it is a no brainer. The benefit for the environment alone with Option C makes this so important and worthwhile.

Submission WM&M 2017.232 by Mr Wayne Bond

This option suits us well. It may not suit others so well. If option C is adopted I would like to think that people could still put out bags on a weekly basis.

Submission WM&M 2017.2327 by Ms Marianne Whyte

If you are collecting organic waste weekly, during winter that should be extended to fortnightly as less clippings etc at that time.
Submission WM&M 2017.2339 by Mr Brian and Jean and Mrs Townshend

Support C because all types are made way for: 1. rubbish; 2. recycle; 3. organic waste. Very good.

Submission WM&M 2017.2344 by Mr John Murray

The options do not include 'opt out'. Our recycling is taken by trailer to the Council recycling center approximately once a month. Kitchen/perishable waste is fed to our hens or pigs. Garden waste if large enough (logs) are dried and burnt in the log burner. Smaller (branches) are chipped and composted. Other organic waste is composted, if diseased, it is burnt. I appreciate the devastating impact that waste disposal has on the environment, but being retired allows me the time to dispose of our own waste. This is the option that works well for us.

Submission WM&M 2017.2351 by Mr Bob Devlin

3 bins. No plastic bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.2352 by Ms Bernadette Brooklands

Well done WDC about time you got up with the play. Bring in the red and green bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.2356 by Mr Manfred Buerger

Recycling of all boxes/ juice containers and plastics with the recycling symbol. No more plastic shopping bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2358 by Mr Ben Dore

Please bring bins into the Waimak!!! It's so overdue. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.2359 by Ms Helen van Toor

It would be great to have the option on the size bins, then each family can work out what is most cost efficient for them. Based on 2017 estimates for the service I would like a yellow bin, red standard, green small. I have calculated we would save money on rubbish bags. I loved the three bin system when we lived in Christchurch.

Submission WM&M 2017.236 by Mr Oliver Ryan

A no brainer. Reduce waste. Easier to manage. Choice offered so no complaints

Submission WM&M 2017.237 by Mr Graeme Royfee

Please remove rubbish bags completely. They are a health issue Bins are so much more efficient. Come on Waimak get with the rest of the country

Submission WM&M 2017.2378 by Mr Robert Parratt

Fully Support 3 bin system if possible could council work with local collectors as well for pick ups?
Submission WM&M 2017.2379 by Mr Rick and Lisa and Mrs van der Heiden

It would be great to see the system in place with options for all people.

Submission WM&M 2017.2384 by Ms Jacqueline Sawyer

I would prefer small size bins, my neighbours fill the space up in the yellow lid bin, when I put it out for collection. Also small red lid bin. One black bag lasts me two weeks, & by the picture bins look bigger than a black bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.2387 by Mr John and Sandra and Mrs Bithell

It is encouraging to see the Waimakariri Council considering updating their waste management service. We came to Waimakariri from Timaru where they have had a full waste management system for many years. It was very successful system for us. We urge the Waimakariri Council to seriously consider the implications of not implementing a full waste management system.

Submission WM&M 2017.2392 by Ms Janet Ryder

I support the 3 bin choice. i.e. Not rubbish bags

Submission WM&M 2017.2396 by Mr David & Annette and Mrs Ford

We are a family with one working adult. We want the bin system but while we can afford it, elderly on a pension and those on benefits couldn't. Rent would go up too so landlords can cover the increased costs.

Submission WM&M 2017.24 by Mr Martin Morrissey

I would hazard a guess that most household organics are either placed in the rubbish collection or equally as bad passed through a sink based grinder and added to the grey water system, and garden waste, the bulk of which would be grass clipping and plant prunings, is added to the rubbish collection and will end up in the landfill. Neither of these are good for our environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.2406 by Ms Katrina Paterson

I think this option is long overdue

Submission WM&M 2017.2407 by Ms Marcia Scotson

Rubbish bags should be stopped for obvious environmental reasons.

Submission WM&M 2017.241 by Mr Peter Dutton

We would prefer the 3 bin option, option C gives better choices.

Submission WM&M 2017.2413 by Ms Vicki Parker

We moved out to Kairaki from Christchurch and rubbish collection was one thing we didn't consider. Really miss the red and green bins. The bags are hopeless considering we also use them for green waste now, and they cost a fortune to buy. Every week in Christchurch our bins were correctly filled. Just don't have time to keep green stuff aside to take to tip.
Submission WM&M 2017.2414 by Mr Colin McCall

About time we got rid of the rubbish bag as they can be very messy when attacked by animals. They are a thing of the past.

Submission WM&M 2017.242 by Mr Graeme Cantlon

All collectables in solid bins All compostables need to be collected and composted... This would help alleviated dumping as well in eg. empty sections, river sides etc. 48 Fuller Street, Kalapoi 7630

Submission WM&M 2017.2428 by Ms Rosemary Whall

Rubbish bags are inconvenient especially when neighbours put them out too early and they are broken open. We welcome the bin system than bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2429 by Mrs Lana Howell

As an ex Rolleston person, I really miss having a bin for organics & greens

Submission WM&M 2017.2431 by Mr David Oliver

Bins make sense. The current system is messy, cats get into bags, they break and a lot of green waste goes to landfill. Bins are so much easier, cleaner and look tidier.

Submission WM&M 2017.2434 by Mr Paula Beenie-Steel

I believe the reduced H & S risks associated with red bins are a significant factor. I frequently see torn bags with their contents spread over footpaths - Red bins also must be safer for everybody to handle. I do not want my children coming across the contents of rubbish bags whilst walking to buses/school or when taking an evening walk/run. Also the black bags appear to attract a significant amount of roaming dogs in my area - They then tear the bags open. neither dogs nor rubbish are safe for my children.

Submission WM&M 2017.2458 by Mr Ray and Susan and Mrs Rockhouse

About time lol. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.2459 by Ms Faye Davenport

Would be very happy with the 3 bin system as I pay for private collecting $19.50 a month now. Think CCC works well. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.2459 by Ms Holly Cochrane

I would love to have the three bins it would make life so much easier and more environmentally friendly.

Submission WM&M 2017.2466 by Ms Jacky Young
Had option C when I lived in chch. Worked well. However, I didn't have to pay for this option. What will the charges for this service be used for? Would also be interested in knowing what will happen to the contents of each bin. How will they be processed / disposed of?

Submission WM&M 2017.247 by Mrs Kerryn Clark

Hi we have moved out to Rangiora from Christchurch and the one thing we miss the most about the city is our council rubbish bins!! We really hope it is a service you can add to our community!! Only recommendation we would have it make the organically bins a descent size. If you are looking at doing these bins this bin in particular needs to be the right size! Christchurch standard red bin size would be our recommendation.

Submission WM&M 2017.2472 by Mr Owen Simonsen

Too many options - make it 3 bins as in Christchurch. Works well there.

Submission WM&M 2017.2477 by Ms Barbara Hurley

This is a rental property - would tenants have a say?

Submission WM&M 2017.2479 by Ms Vicki Tavendale

I really think we need to go to bins for rubbish. Unfortunately, every week I see bags that have been torn open by animals and litter.

Submission WM&M 2017.2481 by Mr John Winsloe

Option C. One collection agency more will be recycled. Currently we pay $216.00 a year for a wheelie bin to be collected.

Submission WM&M 2017.2488 by Mr Alan and Susan and Mrs Laughton

Is there a 140L recycle bin? Am I correct - prove for option C - 80L rubbish (fortnightly) and a 140L greenwaste (weekly) and recycling = $291?

Submission WM&M 2017.25 by Mr Chris Dahlberg

I am interested in Option C utilizing a red bin (not bags). And I would hope you are going to extend the coverage area so we can get bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.250 by Miss Nicolle Wall

I have a large section so it would be great to have green bin. Plus option C gives all rate payers the option to tailor there collection options to suit their situation. This means that the people opposed to the bin system in the last vote don't have to use a green and red bin, they can carry on as normal. Those who wish to use the bin system can and it as at their cost as they see fit.

Submission WM&M 2017.2500 by Mr Terry and Rosalie and Mrs Smith

Large yellow bin and small green bin
Submission WM&M 2017.2504 by Ms Glynis Matehaere

I would very much appreciate Option C. It is important for me to have green waste bin. The change in road configuration in my street means that I have alot more grass verge to mow and this results in more clippings which I have to pay to get rid of.

Submission WM&M 2017.2509 by Ms Amy Wilhelm

Do away with rubbish bags and just have the three bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.2515 by Mr Paul and Shelley and Mrs Hastie

Don't need rubbish bags. if half the junk mail was stopped it would be a small way of easing rubbish

Submission WM&M 2017.2516 by Ms Lyndsay Lamb

Could the bins be bar coded and only pay for when they are emptied or a reduced rate. To have 3 bins would be great. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.252 by Mr Ross & Ellenor and Mrs Williamson

The introduction of the three bin system is a must for Waimakiriri, and the option C still gives people choices on what they want to do. Previously there was a one option for all and many people objected to the costs to them, not considering our organics going to landfills. With our experience of the three bin system in Christchurch we are keen to see it start, most will not need a larger rubbish or organics bin with it being a weekly service. Hopefully with the introduction of the three bins the yellow bins will not be used as organic and rubbish bins as some people currently do. There will need to be education of the public in the system, as not all are educated in recycling.

Submission WM&M 2017.2520 by Ms Barbara Cornwall

Currently we pay private "green" rubbish pickup at $12.50 per month. Often we need the service more frequently so a fortnightly pickup by council would be ideal. Rubbish (red) would also be more convenient for our household size.

Submission WM&M 2017.2529 by Mr Kathryn and Vaughan Penny

Why are WDC still promoting plastic bags to land fill? Come on WDC. Do your bit. Follow CCC change to bins. WDC have the dearest rates in New Zealand. Economise somewhere else to change to bins without lumbering the rate payer yet again.

Submission WM&M 2017.2531 by Mr James Brian Hulton

This will give me a recycling bin once a fortnight and a green bin (80L) once a week.

Submission WM&M 2017.2533 by Ms Jasmine Lines

Hopefully you are very much considering the option with the only extra environmental benefit. Having all options gives people choice.
Submission WM&M 2017.2534 by Mr Barry and Jenny and Mrs Clarke

I would prefer 3 bins, smaller ones for general and organics. Perhaps have the organics collected once a fortnight.

Submission WM&M 2017.2550 by Mr U Baumann

Rubbish bags are constantly attacked by cats and stray dogs. So bins will not only reduce landfill but also stop the mess on the kerbside.

Submission WM&M 2017.2553 by Mr Jason Bates

There is clear indication of costs illustrated, however, no indicative numbers presented in terms of revenue for the sale of recycled materials to the likes of OJI fibers etc. That would offset costs shown. Where is the transparency?

Submission WM&M 2017.2573 by Mr Kathryn and Vaughan and Mrs Penney

Why are WDC still promoting plastic bags to land fill. Come on WDC do your bit follow CCC. Change to bins. WDC have the dearest rates in New Zealand. Economise somewhere else to change to bins without lumbering the rate payer yet again.
9 Littles Lane, Woodend 7610

Submission WM&M 2017.2574 by Mr David Murray Fenton

Small red + small green. No rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.2575 by Ms Sara Morrin

It would be great to only be charged for the actual bin options you want / will use. But it is great to be offered a choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.2585 by Ms Anne Franks

Bins are much easier to handle than bags (which tempt cats & dogs if left out over night!) I like your choices. Thank you for giving us the chance to participate.

Submission WM&M 2017.2588 by Mr Keith Nelson

Road side pickup of rubbish and recycling would be good. Many councils provide rural collection. Auckland and Hurunui are just two examples.

Submission WM&M 2017.2595 by Mr Ciaran Hartley

We are 100% in favor of a 3 bin system. Lets reduce landfill deposits and keep our streets cleaner as ripped rubbish bags are messy and unhygienic. Its time to move forward and keep our district cleaner, healthier and to stay up with the times.

Submission WM&M 2017.2609 by Ms Kay McCarlin

Options B and C ticked. Maybe a garden bin to pick up all your leaves.
Submission WM&M 2017.2613 by Ms Judith Chapman

What happens when you have an outside contractor picking up green bin every fortnight. How do you get charged for red and yellow only.

Submission WM&M 2017.262 by Mr Brent Hassall

The current system is very adequate however option C will allow choices for ratepayers who want an organic collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.2630 by Mr Barry Crooks

I would like to have the current recycling bin, a 140L rubbish bin and a 80L organics bin. the organics bin to be collected weekly and the other two to be collected on alternative weeks.

Submission WM&M 2017.268 by Mr Barry Whelan

I used to live in the Christchurch City Council area and their three bin option works exceptionally well.

Submission WM&M 2017.269 by Ms Natasha Hammond

It would be great to catch up with the rest of Christchurch and get all bins. Will be a lot easier to use and save time having to drop off green waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.27 by Ms April Lander

My current use: Recycling bin & 1 bag/week ($242/annually) I prefer Option C if the 80L red rubbish bin is collected weekly. While I have a compost bin, I do like the option to have organics collected and know this is a good option for the community. When I lived in Christchurch, I used the 3 bin collection system and found it worked very well. Please note that Option C on the online calculator does not allow weekly rubbish bin collection (only fortnightly) and the Letâ€™s Talk About Rubbishâ€ service description indicates that rubbish will be collected fortnightly. However, based on the wording on this survey that says rubbish bins can be collected weekly I will assume weekly collection is correct. If Option C doesnâ€™t have an 80L weekly collection then I would have to choose the fortnightly service and would need a 140L rubbish bin which would cost me less ($135 for an annual cost of $211 including recycling). In this case I would prefer Option B and to pay an extra $10/yr ($125 for an annual cost of $221 including recycling) to have a weekly 80L rubbish bin pickup. I suggest rereading the information provided to the public to clarify rubbish bin size options and collection frequency. Perhaps a line could be added to â€œLetâ€™s Talk Rubbishâ€ Option B that reads something like: â€œif you currently use one bag a week ($242 annual cost including recycling) and choose a rubbish bin that is 80L that is collected weekly this service will cost you less ($221 annually including your recycling) and give you 20L more than your 60L rubbish bag. The bin will also protect your rubbish from cats and dogs.â€ Also, the 140L rubbish bin option should be edited as it sounds like it will cost $195 rather than $39 more than putting out 1 bag of rubbish per week annually. It could read something like: â€œif you choose a rubbish bin that is the standard size of 140L and rubbish is collected weekly this level of service will cost ($195 rubbish + $86 recycling = $281 annually). This is $39 per year more ($281-$242 = $39) than if you currently use one bag per week. By reducing your collection to fortnightly you can reduce the annual cost to $211.â€ Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback. I hope my comments will help the Council to receive more accurate responses from the public.
Submission WM&M 2017.271 by Mrs Mary van der Weert

I support the option of additional bins for rubbish and green/organic waste. Too many people use the rubbish bag service for their green/garden waste which is fully compostable. We need to protect our environment for our generations and future generations. The current system does not encourage people to dispose of their green waste in an environmentally friendly way. We should also not be using plastic rubbish bags which will not compost. The Christchurch City system is a great example of how efficiently this system works.

Submission WM&M 2017.272 by Mr Rod Robson

As, like ourselves there are a lot of retired/couple who also don’t need 240l recycle bin as it takes a month to fill so an option D would be 3 80l bins for these people. So bottom line how much this option? Having lived in Christchurch with the 3 bin system it was very hard going back to another era of bags and drums, lets hope Waimak residents vote this in.

Submission WM&M 2017.273 by Mrs Elizabeth Gilbert

I have always been a strong advocate of the three bin system. I avoid walking on Wednesdays as the black bags need to be stepped around and at times are disgusting. (Cats and dogs have ripped them open). The three bin system is safer, cleaner and would reduce the amount of rubbish going to our landfill. I am Grey Power age. I believe this group strongly opposed the three bins at the last submissions. We need to look to the future of our community. Please let the younger voices be heard this time.

Submission WM&M 2017.274 by Mrs Pauline Barr

I would strongly suggest that plastic rubbish bags be eliminated completely and question why we would need them if we had a red bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.295 by Mr John Batistic

In principle I am in favour of option C, but the costings are misleading in that the focus is on reducing landfill quantities to the exclusion of other factors which warrant more information: What is the approximate value to the local economy of current rubbish contractors, and how many people would become unemployed with the implementation of option C? What would be the actual cost of processing the increased organic waste for what payback? If there was a profit on the organic operation, how would that profit be applied? What would be the increased cost of operation to Kate Valley landfill by the loss of organic waste which currently provides the generation of sufficient electricity to run the plant and export an increasing surplus?

Submission WM&M 2017.3 by Mr Jeremy Parker

No organics service required in rural areas - more composting going on already.

Submission WM&M 2017.307 by Ms Anna Lambie

Four service choices is a great idea - well done Waimakariri council for asking this question!

Submission WM&M 2017.31 by Mr David Prosser

Our household currently has no effective means of separately disposing of our household food
waste so it ends up in the landfill (rubbish) waste stream. The organics bin option C proposal provide environmentally-friendly food waste disposal, and we would be more than happy to use it that way. We strongly support the council being the primary provider of waste disposal in the district, as the council's goals are more strongly ecological and environmental than is the case with private providers - also the council can operate on a cost-recovery rather than profit-based model, so all the benefit remains in the community. We would like to see e-waste disposal being made easier, and free of charge. There is heavy metal pollution and waste of precious and scarce (recoverable) resources because it is difficult (and for some items costly) to recycle e-waste. We would also like to see increased waste diversion initiatives - e.g. battery collection bins at supermarkets as is being trialed in South Canterbury, and the soft plastics recycling at supermarkets which we regularly use. Private providers will continue to have a place for those who wish to have a different level of service, and for disposal of commercial quantities of waste. The fact that they have not actively co-operated with data-gathering suggests that waste minimisation is not their primary motivation.

Submission WM&M 2017.310 by Mrs Rowan Walton

I would like to submit my support for option C as I consider it important that we all do our bit to lesson our negative environmental impact. We currently reside in Christchurch where we have the option of organics collection. I suggest that you also remove the option of black plastic bags they are unnecessary, don't biodegrade, are hazardous and are a green light to hungry animals.

Submission WM&M 2017.317 by Ms Cheryl Meadows

I don't agree with the red bin as it will make it too easy for people to forget the cost of throwing away instead of recycling. As a single person who only puts out one bag every 4 to 5 weeks even a smaller bin would be more expensive (3x12=36). Also if I miss the rubbish I can put the bag in the garage for the next week, can't do that with a bin. The organic bin is an excellent idea, way overdue for our area and I fully support it. The information on what can be recycled in the organic bin needs to be comprehensive and very clear.

Submission WM&M 2017.319 by Mrs Jean Street

I was not clear on whether the organic collection is for all garden and food (cooked and uncooked) or just garden. I am hoping it is for all of the waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.320 by Miss Kristy Nicholson

If we are going to go for change, then lets do it properly, in a way that will make the most impact to the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.321 by Mr Paul Clarke

I already use wheelie bins from a private rubbish service provider for green waste and rubbish. The WDC service "Option C" would provide a similar service at less cost.

Submission WM&M 2017.322 by Mr Michael Sage

Hello, we think this is a great idea. We have lived in other areas that have council provided waste & recycling and it was nice and easy. Thank you. Option B would be our second choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.325 by Ms Vilma Castellanos
Red rubbish bin, yellow recycling and big green organic bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.326 by Mr Robin Whitmore

The calculator is very useful, but would be assisted by making it clear what the approximate litre capacities are for the existing yellow recycling bin and the existing black plastic WDC rubbish bags. Thank you. My organics are currently private and fortnightly, but I don’t see a fortnightly organic option, which would make it all more consistent in option C, i.e. put it all out on the same day every fortnight.

Submission WM&M 2017.327 by Mr Ian Stephenson

We have a wormery and mulch most of our small cuttings off trees & shrubs. Therefore we would be interested in the two 80L bins. We would encourage more households to have a wormery! All our kitchen wastes disappears into it.

Submission WM&M 2017.330 by Mr David Stothers

Great system that we had in Ch-Ch with the 3 bin system. I take it that, the Waimak system with this option will be:- Green Bin weekly with one other bin (either red or yellow) on alternative weeks there after? If Grey Power North Canterbury make a submission treat this with due care. Last time the bin system was Raised for submissions, the word majority of members was used in the report to the Waimak Council in NOT supporting the then proposals. In fact GP had approx. 160 members then and only 17 members replied to the Grey Power in house rushed email questionnaire, forming the basis of the Grey Power submissions to Council in (2015?) . No supporting figures were given in the 2015 report that I saw.

Submission WM&M 2017.339 by Mr Mark Christison

Please give us the wheelie bin service in Ohoka, I have been after this for four five years. It is an excellent service and having used it in Christchurch it is very successful in reducing collector safety incidents as well as improving waste diversion. Stop stallling and implement.

Submission WM&M 2017.34 by Mr James Girvan

This is long overdue.

Submission WM&M 2017.342 by Mr Alexander Logan Bielski

option c please

Submission WM&M 2017.346 by Mr Steve Ramsay

It would be great to have optional rubbish & green rubbish collection, we were surprised you do not offer this already when we moved to the region!

Submission WM&M 2017.347 by Mrs Lynette Money

Discontinue rubbish bags. Offer three bins the same way ChCh City does.

Submission WM&M 2017.349 by Mrs Ellen Bell
I can't believe the options of continual use of plastic bags are still be offered, surely we should be keeping these out of landfill, is the Waimak Council not taking a serious stand on this issue.

Submission WM&M 2017.351 by Mr John Eva and Mrs Olin

As we know this works well in other centers, we see no hiccups happening in Rangiora.

Submission WM&M 2017.354 by Mr Steven Mustor

While option C is better than the other two options, it doesn't go far enough. I would have preferred an option without the rubbish bags (just a 3 bin system). Option C still allows people to not reduce their waste, and instead relies on people to compost and effective recycle at home. Relying on people to pay for this through a variety of rates options provides too many opportunities to not see a positive environmental change. My experience suggests that if you provide a green bin, people use it.

Submission WM&M 2017.360 by Mr Struthers Girdler

This is the best option for the environment. The cost should not be the deciding factor.

Submission WM&M 2017.361 by Mr Paul Reynolds

Do what the Christchurch council did and get rid of the bags and just have the 3 bins

Submission WM&M 2017.362 by Mr Brian Townshend

As we use WasteManagement Bins now this option will actually save us money. Thanks, the only way to go!

Submission WM&M 2017.363 by Mr Raul Elias-Drago

We live on Ashley Gorge Road, outside the town zone, small section with no rubbish service. Would be great to have rubbish service, even if basic, up Ashley Gorge for a few kilometers (say until German Road area).

Submission WM&M 2017.37 by Mr Peter Langbein

Fully support this option to divert organics to reusable compost stream. Works very well in Christchurch and will return greater value out of the Kate Valley landfill. Great to have the different bin size options also.

Submission WM&M 2017.371 by Mr David Sanderson

You have made it impossible to find the oft-referred to "one question survey", so this is the closest I could come to communicating my chosen option.

Submission WM&M 2017.372 by Mrs Rachel Bakker

We will not need an organics bin as we compost everything, and with a family of 3 children, having a large red bin instead of 3 rubbish bags a week, makes things a lot cheaper for us
Submission WM&M 2017.38 by Mr Mark Townshend

Go for it about time

Submission WM&M 2017.385 by Mrs Helen Montgomery

I support Option C as far as using 3 bins however I do not think that there is a requirement for rubbish bags as well. It would be disappointing to only get the red and yellow bins. If the council does not do a organic/gardenwaste bin people will simply put that waste in the red bin which defeats the purpose. I think that rubbish bags should go completely. They are not conducive with caring for the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.394 by Mrs Monique Princen

Rubbish bags are a Health & Safety Risk for the collectors and are easily damaged resulting in a fair amount of waste on the roads. In my area cats in particular are a problem. However the cost is high when you are environmentally conscious and avoid waste. Besides that, rubbish bins make it psychologically very easy to just fill them up (cost are the same) and experience from other districts has shown that when recycling or green bin is full, it gets dumped into the rubbish bin. The best option is one not offered and would be bins with a chip inside that allows them to be automatically weighed and the charge for the bins is based on the amount and sort of waste collected. That system in combination with spot checks to verify whether the bins contain the correct materials (i.e. no rubbish in green bin, no recyclables in waste bin, etc) will give the maximum reduction of rubbish for landfill, the best separation as charges will vary depending on what you have dumped, and it gives financial payback for those who actively try to reduce rubbish as it is a “user pays” model. This is a very common approach in Europe and has shown to work very well.

Submission WM&M 2017.398 by Mrs Amanda Thorogood

Good on you for trying to help the environment! Are you also looking to expand the range of recyclable materials from the current range?

Submission WM&M 2017.399 by Mr Cam Stuart

Support for fortnightly recycling bin + fortnightly rubbish bin. No organic bin required. (Note option C on website form indicates that rubbish bin would be weekly however flyer received in post indicates fortnightly (preferred))

Submission WM&M 2017.40 by Mr LaValley Jacob

I strongly support the “four service choices” Option C. The increases in service choices with “Option C” would provide the greatest level of services to the widest spectrum of the community. With the reduction in waste and expanded service options, this plan seems the best choice to both reduce waste and give rate payers the most value for money. In fact, my household would see significant cost savings under this proposed level of service change. Overall, I’m glad to see the WDC finally stepping up to address this important issue. Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.407 by Mr Garth Forsberg

I put out 1 bag every fortnight or less, compost so I don’t need an organic bin, and don’t produce much recycling. But I think more choice for people is better. I’ll be choosing the small red bin and a small yellow bin of it’s available. It would be cheaper for me to stick with bags but fully understand the health and safety risk for the pick up guys.
Submission WM&M 2017.41 by Mr Graeme and Lois and Mrs Wilce

Three bin collection being Yellow, [large] Red [Medium] Green [ Small ]

Submission WM&M 2017.42 by Mr Graeme Martin

I think it is important to offer the organics option. Residents in Pegasus have difficulty in disposing of grass clippings and I regularly see folk emptying grass clippings on vacant sections.

Submission WM&M 2017.422 by Mr James King

Widest range of options is best for needs of different kinds of households, and better for the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.428 by Mr Brett Harker

Kaiapoi would one of very few places not using the bin system, we should not be lifting & using bags with all the health & safety regs/rules that we are "supposed" to be practising. Bins are able to put out the previous night without roaming animals being able to tear them open.

Submission WM&M 2017.429 by Ms Kathryn Prusass

We definitely need to go to a minimum of a 3 bin system which is safer and has proven to work well in. Any other areas in NZ. It is more user friendly and reduces the most waste!

Submission WM&M 2017.432 by Miss Caroline Davidson

You really need to look at what Timaru District Council do - I used to live in Timaru and it worked very well and then came to Chch and experienced that. Get rid of the black rubbish bags all together. You then eliminate issues with dogs and time that rubbish can be put kurb side. I actually don't want to choose any of the three options - see above my option.

Submission WM&M 2017.436 by Mrs Stacey Kennedy

I think this will allow many homes to reduce waste especially with the organic bin and will clean the streets up from dogs/cats getting into bags of rubbish

Submission WM&M 2017.44 by Ms Nicky Meikle

This allows all customers to choose the size that they require so it can be tailored to amount of waste plus affordable cost. I do not like the though of all the plastic in the bags them selves let alone the other items that go to land fill. I myself put out a bag each week for the basic items that can not be recycled but would like to reduce this in anyway possible. I used this system in Christchurch and loved the concept. it will only cost me approximately another $43 a year. To help out our precious world that we live in for the future generations. It's a resound yea's from this household.

Submission WM&M 2017.440 by Mr Allister Cassidy

Make the bin system the same as Christchurch City. The three bin system works very well and needs to be standard in North Canterbury. Reduce the amount of plastics being put in landfill.
Submission WM&M 2017.443 by Mr Michael Russell

We shold have done this already instead of waiting until now. Many thanks

Submission WM&M 2017.45 by Mr John Denny

For the sake of waste minimisation and sensible waste management option 4 is the best. Please ensure this is done for the whole Waimakariri District not just the built up areas like Rangiora and Kaiapoi. The holistic view of waste minimisation needs to be the reduction of the amount of packaging sold & supplied like plastic bags and encouraging the use of reusable bags and stop the needless packaging that come with most items sold. One other option is to make retailers, suppliers or Manufacturers supply recyclable packaging (like Milk & beer bottles once did) or allow packaging to be deposited at the point of sale at the retailers, suppliers or Manufacturers expense. Add a 0.05 Cent levy to all packaging like South Australia does to encourage recycling. Encourage & promote companies like North Canterbury’s Envirocomp’s waste minimisation who put a landfill product infant nappys and turn it into compost. (Envirocomp has recently transferred to Enforme and is increasing waste Minimisation to include new technology will process sanitary hygiene waste along with used tyres and plastic materials in 2018).

Submission WM&M 2017.450 by Mr Neil Ferguson

I support the option C as this involves more sorting and is more likely to lead to an actual reduction in waste. The cost is likely to be offset for many ratepayers who are already using private operators for some of these services.

Submission WM&M 2017.451 by Mr Paul Mace

Preference is the three bin system with no rubbish bags

Submission WM&M 2017.46 by Miss Taylor Cooper

I currently live in Christchurch Wainoni where we have always had the Yellow, red and green bin system. This system is simple, cheap and easy to use which encourages people to recycle and recycle food waste, I will be moving to Silverstream Kaiapoi in December 2017 when our new house is built. The bag system isn’t good for the environment as we are purchasing more plastic where as if we use a bin we use the same bin multiple times.

Submission WM&M 2017.473 by Mr Daniel Burgess

trim The way you advertise the rates change is wrong. How about you add up the total number of bags people use per week (on average) and show that instead. Those that have a bin won’t use bags. So it’s +$3 per week (on average) or $281 per year. When you do the maths it works out to only $130 more per year to have the convenience of a Red Bin. You know you are advertising it wrong and making it sound like the bins are a much worse option than they are, and if you don’t realise you are doing that then there are bigger issues at the council!

Submission WM&M 2017.474 by Ms Tania Cook

trim: Will be even better when they can use RFID tags and possibly do pre pay or something. Really glad to see you have given different options for bin sizes especially the green one, as the 80L in ChCh is on small. Great that you are leaving rubbish bags for people who don’t want change as "Grey Power" won’t be able to stop us getting bins this time.
Submission WM&M 2017.475 by Mr Greg Jackman

trim: x 2

Submission WM&M 2017.480 by Ms Mary Langdon

trim: My parents in Parklands have the "bin system" and seems a lot cleaner and easier as the bins can go out the night before as they are not early rises. I would like the same for Kaiapoi as residents put out their WDC rubbish bags the night before and animals get into them and strew rubbish everywhere (yes I know they are not supposed to put out the night before but they do) The bags can be heavy to lift for elderly residents in Kaiapoi. Bins much easier to take out as they are on wheels. Thanks

Submission WM&M 2017.486 by Ms Debbie Johnstone

trim: Just get on and do it.

Submission WM&M 2017.489 by Ms Amber Barker

trim: 3 bins! Stop using rubbish bags they are extra landfill that is unnecessary! Also most weeks our bags get ripped open by cats or dogs that roam so it cost us extra & makes a mess that some of it blows away a lot of people have this issue in Oxford.

Submission WM&M 2017.501 by Mrs Lilian Boiten

Why not drop the bags completely, they attract cats and mice. Three bins should suffice.

Submission WM&M 2017.503 by Ms Emma Hounsell

trim: Also optional bin size for all bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.508 by Ms Jo Milne

trim: let's all pay a little bit more to reduce waste and have the convenience of having our read green waste collected which will benefit our aging population and will benefit our time poor younger generation too

Submission WM&M 2017.51 by Mr Gordon Kneale

This option appears to be the same as CHch system and by experience is more than adequate with a compost bin, and easier to manage for elderly folk than carrying rubbish bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.513 by Mr Ian Munnings

trim: Would be awesome to have a 3 bin system. But good information needed on what can and can't go in the organics bin. Would also be great if the organic so is used to make compost that we can buy from south book rrs

Submission WM&M 2017.514 by Mr Kevin Walker

trim: Should get rid of the bags and go for bins only, just like CCC.
Submission WM&M 2017.518 by Ms Karen Hoare

trim: How about changing option 2 to include an organics bin rather than a red bin since that is what seems to be the biggest contributor to landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.519 by Mr Nathan Punton

trim: Really think having bins are really important and are a suitable investment for the future. Including the organic bins are part of the essential services needed. With the number of smaller sections occurring there is limited opportunity to self compost. Future resident moving to 10 candy crescent once house is built.

Submission WM&M 2017.522 by Mr Roy Ford

trim: For what we already pay versus the services available in Christchurch, we should have the same services available

Submission WM&M 2017.524 by Ms Glenda Duffell

trim: We were Christchurch residents when the bins were introduced there, quickly got used to them and couldn't believe Waipā didn't offer an organic a pick up. The 3 bin system greatly reduced the amount of rubbish. Pick up should be fortnightly recycling/rubbish alternate weeks and weekly organica.

Submission WM&M 2017.526 by Mrs Gail Cattermole

It's time the council got rid of rubbish bags they are an eye sore over our streets once the cats and dogs have ripped them open. They are also a hazard to rubbish removal people who are doing a great job. It's time the council joined the 21st century.

Submission WM&M 2017.529 by Mr lan Shephard

trim: The amount it costs in bags and then dumping of things like grass clippings when you take into account hiring of stuff like trailers to transport it, its a no-brainer wanting separate bins so we can dispose of them all properly. Its been that way in Christchurch for a while now and that worked really well while I was living there.

Submission WM&M 2017.531 by Mrs Anita Ward

trim: Can you advertise, especially for the elderly, that green bins mean they can put grass clippings/garden waste in to the green bin to save them loading it in their car and taking it to the dump!

Submission WM&M 2017.532 by Ms Lisa Clark

trim: Rubbish bags should be abolished - more plastic in the landfill and a real with dogs and cats ripping them open. We don't use bags but have our own wheelie bin we take to the transfer station periodically. No mess no smell - easy.

Submission WM&M 2017.54 by Mrs Ruth Allan
Having lived in the Christchurch City Council I am used to the 3 bins system. With this I hardly ever had to go to the dump. I do not agree with rubbish bags as they generally just end up as dog food and a mess all over the footpath and I believe they are not bio degradable. At present I have a private bin collected fortnightly, but as I cannot afford 2 bins, one for rubbish and one for green waste all our waste is going in the landfill. I do not like this at all but it is all I can afford.

Submission WM&M 2017.540 by Mr Max Newman
trim: WDC stop procrastinating over refuse collection and move us into this century with wheelie bins for all refuse including green waste.

Submission WM&M 2017.543 by Mr Pete Johnson
trim: Fantastic that you are working towards a more sustainable service! Thank you. Costs seem very reasonable. Organic waste needs to be the priority as we already have recycling available. Please continue to help residents see the environmental benefits of this. Maybe also offer a free service to teach people how to compost and worm farm at home, and ban waste disposal units :)

Submission WM&M 2017.546 by Ms Jennifer Ryan
trim: no address or email

Submission WM&M 2017.548 by Ms Jenny Hollobon
trim: I currently pay to have green and red bin and it works well.

Submission WM&M 2017.549 by Ms Marj Allan
trim: This would be great we do use a green bin now but would change

Submission WM&M 2017.55 by Mr Gary Knight
Just get the bins asap the bins wil be the way to go,

Submission WM&M 2017.550 by Mr Glen Foster
trim: We definitely need the 3 bin system in the Waimakariri!

Submission WM&M 2017.556 by Ms Natascha Nooji
Option C

Submission WM&M 2017.56 by Mrs Jenna Shand
The rubbish bags are a joke for young families who have a lot of rubbish from normal family life. Many families I know have to pay for private bin collections. The bags are also unsightly and easily damaged by animals. I have to pay to dump all green waste as my yard creates so much that even compost can't keep up. I lived in Christchurch with the bin service and it was great. One of the only negatives about moving to Kaiapoi was the downgrade in rubbish services.
Submission WM&M 2017.560 by Ms Liz Bowen

trim: I've hurt my back lugging garbage bags kerb side, we really need the three bin service. Especially for all the young families that make up a big proportion of residents here.

Submission WM&M 2017.561 by Mr Chris & Helen and Mrs Stenton

We are happy to pay for the extra that it would cost to have both a rubbish bin as well as a greens bin. I am sick of having to find other ways to dispose of my greens which is both timely and annoying. We have a family of 5 and find that the rubbish bags are not enough and have hired a private bin which is costly but also necessary, wouldn't the council be better off supplying the rubbish bins and gaining income that is otherwise going to a private enterprise? Your call!!

Submission WM&M 2017.562 by Mr Rhys Barber

trim: I think having the option of having a green bin is essential. I think rubbish bags should not be used at all. The amount of unnecessary plastic being thrown away is horrible. I would hate to think how many bags are used every year. By having a red bin that would cancel all rubbish bags and stop cats etc getting to food scraps (as you have rightly stayed). Also having less injury/risk of injury for your staff has to be taken into account. Any unnecessary hazard should be remedied especially with the new H&S rules etc. Having bins is a lot tidier for households and as well as on the street. Rubbish bags rip easily and leak scraps. It costs nearly $100 for one rubbish bag a week annually. A family that uses 3-4+ get hit hard with these costs. A good size bin would save a lot of money. I always hate having to buy rubbish bags. A pack of 5 is hugely noticeable in the grocery bill. Having the 3 bins is the best option by miles.

Submission WM&M 2017.571 by Ms Annabelle Parish

trim: It’s a no brainer, we need more choice. If other districts and Councils can do it, Waimak can. We also need to look after the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.574 by Mr Noel and Mrs McLaughlan

While we applaud your introduction of the use of the green waste bins, we do not consider the green waste needs to go out weekly. Self-composting should be encouraged as recycling is. We consider the green waste bins should go out fortnightly - using opposing weeks to the recycling collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.576 by Ms April Smith

Having the option to choose smaller or larger bin depending on each household usage would be my preference

Submission WM&M 2017.581 by Ms Frances Thyer

My daughter Amanda Thyer who is a joint ratepayer at this address also wants Option C. Please include her choice.

Submission WM&M 2017.584 by Mrs Lynette Crosson

I look at the way that Christchurch City Council run their rubbish options and am quite envious of the choice that they have. I find having to remove my garden waste to Southbrook timely and expensive and this would resolve that problem. I also think that the council rubbish bags are expensive and
you are very limited to what you can put in them. With a bin, you would not have the issue of bags ripping as soon as anything goes in with anything like a sharp edge. It is a tidier way to deal with your rubbish. Also it would alleviate the problem of animals getting into the bags, should you have to put it out the night before collection.

Submission WM&M 2017.585 by Mr Duncan Lees
Awesome opportunity to improve the handling of household rubbish

Submission WM&M 2017.590 by Mr Alun Hassall
I support Option C as it gives individual ratepayers the most option for configuring their rubbish disposal to best fit their family’s needs. It is critical that if Option C is adopted by the council that ratepayers are given the choice to select rubbish bags or a bin and the choice to select a green waste bin or not. Some ratepayers are large families on large sections and will want a rubbish bin and a green waste bin. Some ratepayers are singles or couple living in small apartments and have absolutely no need for a rubbish bin or a green waste bin. I support Option C as it allows individual ratepayers to select the best rubbish disposal options for them.

Submission WM&M 2017.591 by Mr Bill Cassidy
We should be moving quickly to Option C as a way to reduce the number of black plastic rubbish bags going to landfill at present. The three bin system is in place in many other centres and works well. Once introduced, work should be done immediately to start eliminating the black rubbish bags completely. At the very least a small Red bin should be at all households in the district regardless of their perceived minimal rubbish output. Those with minimal rubbish will only have to put out fortnightly, the choice is theirs.

Submission WM&M 2017.593 by Mr John Skipper
This is what we had in Christchurch for many years and it worked just fine. Clean and tidy. Rubbish bags are so old fashioned, have ongoing use of plastic and are subject to damage and the scattering of rubbish, not to mention dog attacks.

Submission WM&M 2017.599 by Mr Ray Bennie
Trim; I posted the completed survey form complete with comments and a suggested proposal for change but omitted to tick the choice box - Option C

Submission WM&M 2017.600 by Ms Tina Corbett
Trim; Any move to reduce landfill is a step in the right direction. Keep it up.

Submission WM&M 2017.601 by Ms Lara Henwood
Trim; Great idea. Anything that helps our environment is beneficial. Keep it up. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.602 by Mr Rae Davenport
Trim; It would be great if you could extend this service to outlying areas. Pockets of 10 acre blocks for example. Or a drop off area for bins.
Submission WM&M 2017.605 by Mr Gary Lang

Trim; I believe the use of bins is the way to go forward. Having a choice of sizes to suit a householders requirements is the correct way. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.607 by Ms Jane McKay

Trim; Organic waste collection would be fantastic. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.609 by Ms Donna Jarvis

Trim; Please, please, please have choice C. It is really needed. Thank you so much for considering this.

Submission WM&M 2017.61 by Mr phil bringans

we just moved out here from ccc and the bins were so much better than bags, animals can't get in an they are safer for the workers to handle

Submission WM&M 2017.618 by Mr Tim Acton

Trim; Great idea.

Submission WM&M 2017.62 by Mr Andrew Mooney

Please do option 3 we need to think of the future we can't keep filling up holes in the ground with rubbish when we could be making much better use of it. If people don't see that at least this option will allow for it.

Submission WM&M 2017.629 by Ms Rae Bushby

Trim; No bags

Submission WM&M 2017.637 by Mr Jason James

At present I pay waste management to remove my household and garden waste. I think it would be good for the council to incorporate the collection of waste in bins into my rates.

Submission WM&M 2017.650 by Mr Paul and |Leanne and Mrs Harvey

Trim; We used three bins when we lived in town and it worked really well. We pay for a rubbish bin now as well as bags so the cost will be no higher.

Submission WM&M 2017.659 by Ms Roberta Soper

Trim; We have just moved from Christchurch and we loved the bin system there. It made us recycle and be more mindful of what was happening with our waste/rubbish.
Submission WM&M 2017.662 by Ms Lyn Sherlock-Jarden

Trim: The reason I have chosen Option 3 is because we already have to pay for bags and a bin hire for our green waste so there is no extra cost. But will be more convenient for us.

Submission WM&M 2017.668 by Mr Simon Robinson

Trim: Ideally rubbish weekly or have the option for a larger bin. Having all 3 bins available to us will be amazing.

Submission WM&M 2017.676 by Mr B & M de Jong

Trim: We had this in Christchurch and liked it!!!

Submission WM&M 2017.68 by Mr Matt Fahey

The rubbish bin should be 240L, the other sizes are far to small for a family.

Submission WM&M 2017.683 by Mr D and J and Mrs Todd

Trim: We love three bins. We had them in Christchurch. We want rid of the rubbish bags please.

Submission WM&M 2017.684 by Ms Kiri Kirkwood

Trim: I believe that we need to think collectively and as a community, with this in mind the only option is the ‘four service’ option. It will cost us more however the benefits outweigh the cost, and I say this as a family who do not have that much disposable income.

Submission WM&M 2017.686 by Prof Lin Healee

Trim: As long as dump is not closed down in Oxford and open at least one day a week. no address given, no address given

Submission WM&M 2017.688 by Ms Michelle Carston

Trim: I currently pay $32.50 a month for rubbish collection, having green bin will definitely make increase in rates worth it. Moved out here a year ago from town and totally miss the green bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.694 by Ms Andrea Jarvis

Trim: We have recycling collection only where we are (Ohoka) and would love to see 3 bins here.

Submission WM&M 2017.695 by Ms Nikki Ashton

Trim: Organics needs to happen.

Submission WM&M 2017.696 by Ms Jetje Bullion

Trim: I have put option 4 because the rubbish bag is a pain to store. We actually drop ALL of our
bags off at the refuse station because of cats and dogs. However a large organics bin would be very useful. I understand that this is feedback only at this stage.

Submission WM&M 2017.698 by Ms Helen Foster

trim: Would love to have 3 bins and not have to use bags anymore.

Submission WM&M 2017.70 by Mr James Flett

After moving house from Christchurch to Waimakariri, the lack of council bins is highly inconvenient and far more costly than having bins provided according to the online calculator. The inability to place garden waste in a council bin, especially lawn clippings and tree branches etc, requires additional space in my small property in Silverstream, as well as giving off unpleasant odours and filling our small lawn with unsightly waste. As the district grows and more homes are built in subdivisions, it is my view that the waste scheme needs to evolve to accommodate these changes. Although we compost all food waste and fertilise our garden with the bi products, our other waste often is torn up by cats and mice, creating a spread of waste. A solid bin would avoid this scenario and reduce the amount of neighbours waste blowing into our property throughout the week. We would continue to compost regardless of what council waste scheme is provided. It is my view that bins must be brought in if this region is to continue its growth and maintain a clean environment, higher compost rate, less waste to landfill and less time, effort and fossil fuels used to transport waste to the refuse station.

Submission WM&M 2017.709 by Ms Susan Busch

trim: We are the only council not to provide a bin service. CHCH city council and selwyn council have bins, but not us. it will keep the streets cleaner as the cats and dogs wont get into the bins unlike bags.

Submission WM&M 2017.710 by Ms Penny Clay

trim: Moving out to North Canterbury from Christchurch, it was a real shock to the system going back to rubbish bags. Kind of feels like you’re going backwards. Definitely time to move to 3 bins, it is long overdue!

Submission WM&M 2017.711 by Ms Sarah Kennewell

trim: After moving to Kaipor in October, we have really missed the services we had in Christchurch, This would be great to be able to have the same serices out here

Submission WM&M 2017.712 by Miss Sherrianne Nation

Remove the option of having a rubbish bin or bags and just have the bin. Three bins or nothing.

Submission WM&M 2017.715 by Mr Tom Coulishaw

Currently paying $500+ for rubbish plus recycling with greenwaste going in rubbish. Go op 'C' and do it now it will only get more expensive.

Submission WM&M 2017.716 by Mr Graham Watkins

I support option C, but please consider doing away with the plastic rubbish bags as they are
cluttering up landfill areas and they do not decompose. I am all in favour of having a green bin for organics waste, a red bin for rubbish and a yellow bin for recyclables.

Submission WM&M 2017.718 by Mr kevin nankivell

recycling, large rubbish bin fortnightly, small green waste weekly.

Submission WM&M 2017.728 by Ms Talah Bentley

Trim; Wednesday 26 July I put a rubbish bag outside night before and in the morning the rubbish was everywhere due to a dog or cat getting into it. I am not putting it into another Waimak bag as they are not cheap. Having the rubbish bin system that would stop the mess on the side of the road.

Submission WM&M 2017.729 by Dr Paul Wanty

Trim; I am zoned Rural/Residential and do not need an organic bin, but recognise it should be an option other ratepayers can choose. My rubbish bag was regularly attacked by a neighbour's pet so a bin would be a tidier, safer option.

Submission WM&M 2017.73 by Dr Georgina Brooks

For many us this will probably be the cheapest option. But the reason I support this option is because of its flexibility. People can use the option that suits them best, including sticking to what they currently have. It will also result in reducing waste which is good.

Submission WM&M 2017.740 by Mr Michael Sheffield

Trim; We are in favour of the scheme. We would opt for Option C. At $371/ year it is significantly cheaper than what we pay alone for general household and green waste disposal. Thanks.

Submission WM&M 2017.741 by Mr Clive William Don

Trim: Maybe people would like one recycling bin, one green waste and a bag.

Submission WM&M 2017.744 by Ms Pauline Riddell

Trim; Anything we can do to save the use of plastic bags would be a good move.

Submission WM&M 2017.745 by Mr James Elder

Option C is my first choice, but only if the waste bin is emptied weekly. Otherwise leave it at Option A.

Submission WM&M 2017.75 by Mr Paul Croucher

Bins are a great idea. I live next to a park and see people putting domestic rubbish in the park bin every day. At one bag a week bins are a cheaper option for us.
56 Fuller Street, Kaiapoi 7630

Submission WM&M 2017.759 by Mr Stuart Jones
Trim; 1. Remove bags or option completely. 2. Offer consistent service to all Waimak residents, ie Ashley.

Submission WM&M 2017.764 by Mr H & R and Mrs Dickie
Trim; Choice C - Bin as in now (yellow); Bin green (compost); rubbish bag. Elderly residents in small flats with not much ground do not have the need for compost. So more peelings etc get sent to the recovery park. Organic collected fortnightly.

Submission WM&M 2017.768 by Ms Meadow Claridge
Trim; Great idea! Love the options especially green waste bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.769 by Mr Christopher Pocock
Trim; I think it is important that the option of an organics bin is available for those people who do not have the space or inclination to compost their green waste, food stuffs, etc. Q = would the green bin take non synthetic textiles - wool, cotton, linen?

Submission WM&M 2017.771 by Mr Phillip and Jillian and Mrs Hennessey
Trim; Do away with the rubbish bags altogether in Option B and C.

Submission WM&M 2017.773 by Mrs Pamela McKee
Trim; Getter value from your "C" choice than from the contractors and the waste is separated at source. Christchurch's 3 bin system works very well.

Submission WM&M 2017.78 by Ms Lynda Perham
Why on earth would the council want to offer the heavy duty non biodegradable rubbish bag at all when the world is trying to rid itself of plastic waste. I would have hoped that the Waimakariri Council would do all in their power to eliminate the plastic bag. Here you are offering Anything to continue to use the bag and pollute the land fill. Is this good else you practice. No NO No it is not. Even in the local News paper it is would like mentioned that the use of plastic bags is hazardous to the collectors to share?: when sharp objects are put in them. Come on Waimak Council wake up and do the sensible thing. No more bags. Gosh just work it out, if everyone of your residents put out a bag even fortnightly how many bags would that be going into the land fill per year. OMG more than I would like to think about.

Submission WM&M 2017.791 by Ms Philippa Jarman
Trim; We are moving from CCC to Kaiapoi, so are used to already sorting rubbish. Excellent system with the three bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.799 by Ms Lorraine Foster
trim: We need to get a Red Rubbish & Green Waste bin. Hate plastic bags cant put them out the night before as cats and dogs get into them. Also lets stop putting all that plastic in the Tip. Having come from Christchurch this system just works so well, lets get with the times.

Submission WM&M 2017.80 by Mr Ian Shephard

The amount it costs in bags and then dumping of things like grass clippings when you take into account hiring of stuff like trailers to transport it, its a no?brainer wanting separate bins so we can dispose of them all properly. Its been that way in Christchurch for a while now and that worked really well while I was living there.

Submission WM&M 2017.803 by Mr Martin McGregor

trim: We have moved from Christchurch and really miss the three bin system. We pay for a "red" bin anyway through a private provider.

Submission WM&M 2017.808 by Mr Shai Patel

trim: Don't mind paying extra rather than send to landfill.

Submission WM&M 2017.811 by Ms Jodie Corbett

trim: alot of my family rubbish is organic this would drastically reduce my bags and cat getting into the rubbish

Submission WM&M 2017.820 by Ms Lynn Saunders

trim: Definitely need this the sooner the better.

Submission WM&M 2017.822 by Mr Peter Drake

trim: Small rubbish bin and current recycling bin both fortnightly suits us. Costs slightly more but saves getting bags. What happens if a bin needs replacing? Will the ongoing costs drop after bins are paid for?

Submission WM&M 2017.824 by Mr Hamish Wright

trim: Should also offer a smaller rubbish bags. Currently we are not able to fill a full bag per week. This means we sit 3 weeks with our rubbish and during the summer heat it's not very pleasant. The rubbish bags offered by the council should not be plastic. Plastics may take up to 1,000 years to degrade and they break down into tiny particles that contaminate our soil and water. If FoodStuff can get rid of microbeads, Waimakariri can reduce the amount of plastic that is generated by eliminating the plastic bag rubbish. I think just for that we should go with a obligatory rubbish bin for everyone. It's none sens that we allow our landfill to be fill by one time use plastic. And how about showing NZ that a small rural township can make a cut in the shopping plastic bags and ban it across the district? It's ridiculous the number of plastic bags that are used currently and they are all going in our landfill. Lets be leaders and take care of our land now!

Submission WM&M 2017.826 by Mr Sam Keetley

trim: It would be great if the community was more involved with where their landfill was actually
going and how to minimise contributions to the landfill to make them into biofuels/compost etc even a community garden in Rangiora with organic site by the dump to encourage people to have their own footprint and to be educated about the benefits of each one and how they can do it in their own home ie workshops & getting the community evolved on the sustainability of Waimakariri for the generations to come, starting with different bins. Love the idea

**Submission WM&M 2017.833 by Ms Claire Fisher**

trim: Please bring the bins!

**Submission WM&M 2017.835 by Ms Megan Wright**

trim: The "three bin system" shouldn't be optional. It needs to be introduced by Waimak Council soon as possible. Yes, there is the additional cost to homeowners, and this could be difficult for fixed income households, but the ongoing damage our waste is doing to our environment is far greater in the long term. Not to mention how easy to the bins are to use, and tidy. How did Chch city council and Timaru district council get around the issue of fixed income households? Waimak district needs to modernise it's waste system. I'll be very disappointed if the three bin system is delayed again.

**Submission WM&M 2017.846 by Ms Patricia Berney**

Trim; I would like to see the service of three bins put into practice NOT put on hold like last survey. I am also very annoyed that when I built in Silverstream four years ago and duly ordered my yellow bin I was not offered a choice in size and thought that as I was delivered a large yellow bin that there was no choice. I live alone and it is far too big for my requirements. I enquired about a smaller bin once I realised they were available but told the cost was $70. Should I not have been offered a smaller bin to start with.

**Submission WM&M 2017.852 by Ms Jocelyn Key**

Trim; Better to have four service choices. People can save on plastic bags going to the dump. The dogs cannot get into the bins whereas they rip the plastic bags up.

**Submission WM&M 2017.853 by Ms Joanne Gibson**

I have no interest in using rubbish bags.

**Submission WM&M 2017.855 by Mr Stephen Boot**

Trim; Prefer red bin to plastic bag.

**Submission WM&M 2017.87 by Jaime Snelling**

I think there shouldn't be the bag and bin size options and it should just be the one uniform option for everyone like what ChCh did but the green bin needs to be bigger than cCCh also is the price is quite high.

**Submission WM&M 2017.871 by Ms Judy Buchanan**
Trim; Not everybody has the land to warrant composting. On my section we don't have gardens big enough to make it possible. We have too much organic waste from grass than garden to use it. Currently our rubbish bin and compost bin cost over $400 per year on a fortnightly emptying cycle. Council waste management is by far cheaper.

Submission WM&M 2017.88 by Ms Karen Betony

I would like to see fortnightly yellow bins together with fortnightly red bin, rather than bags. I would not be interested in an organics bin, most of our organics are either composted or put through our waste disposal unit. I am happy to manage my garden waste with trips to the refuse station as required

Submission WM&M 2017.880 by Mr Alphons Cornelis Sanders

Trim; We like the recycling bin and rubbish bags. It works out cheaper for us. We use only one bag in three weeks. So keep it like it is. Option C also good, can make your own choices.

Submission WM&M 2017.882 by Ms Cecilia Ashby

Trim; I lived in Redwood before moving back home to Kaiapoi and found all the bins helpful and surprised that they aren't here in Kaiapoi yet.

Submission WM&M 2017.884 by Mr Caleb Ward

Trim; I've just moved to Kaiapoi from Christchurch and I cant believe you don't use bins here. Its the 21st Century people.

Submission WM&M 2017.89 by Ms Emma Powell

Options to recycle especially organic waste can only be a good thing for our environment and its sustainability.

Submission WM&M 2017.894 by Ms Gillian Koster

Trim; I was very reluctant when the 3 bin system was brought into Christchurch but within 3 months I was converted. I cannot believe that Waimak with its larger sections does not have green bins at the very least, along with the yellow bin.

Submission WM&M 2017.900 by Ms Amanda Marshall

Trim; We don't worry about the bags, so would be happy for 3 bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.903 by Mr Ron and Lois and Mrs Archer

Trim; We would like to see the continued use of local contractors and Waste Management who have done an excellent job over the years.

Submission WM&M 2017.91 by Ms Elaine Powell

This is well overdue and if you do the organic one like they do in Kaikoura the Council could make
money from the compost.

Submission WM&M 2017.912 by Mr Paul Francis
Trim; Considering Waste Management will make money recycling the cardboard offshore I am sure this cost could be reduced if this profit was shared by the Council. Also organics are recycled into compost and again sold. I don't believe these costs are a true reflection of the cost. It is greatly exaggerated the drive and outcome of not supplying bins and making sure we are stuck with bags, no address given, no address given

Submission WM&M 2017.917 by Mr Jenny and Keith and Mrs Sheppard
Trim; I currently pay $403/year for 1x rubbish bin plus the recycle bin deducted from my rates. I would be more than happy to have Option C, yellow, red and green bin. Much better than what a lot of people do now.

Submission WM&M 2017.926 by Ms Christine Warren
Trim; Thank you.

Submission WM&M 2017.928 by Mr Rodney Potts
Trim; Given the levels of rates in Rangiora all houses should receive bins. As the total houses/lifestyle blocks has increased over the past four years there is no need to keep asking ratepayers for more. Do the job once right and start thinking smarter.

Submission WM&M 2017.937 by Ms Sandy Toa
Trim; I would like there to be a lining (eg bag) inside the bins to prevent smells and leaking. Particularly the green and red bins.

Submission WM&M 2017.939 by Mr Bertram Henry and Heather Ruth and Mrs Rush
Trim; We don't fill the yellow recycling bin so could choose a smaller one if possible to reduce the cost per year.

Submission WM&M 2017.94 by Ms Jessica Dobbs
We definitely need the bin system. It would make life easier with bins instead of bags, we can go through two bags a week sometimes which is very expensive for a young family. I would hope they will come into council asap. Also the bins would make it cheaper for everyone involved instead of doing trips to the dump to dump any greenwaste eg lawn clippings as lots of the sections in the Waimak district do have big yards (one of the reasons we love living out here as we have a good big section which is what we wanted for our growing family)

Submission WM&M 2017.940 by Ms Deborah Gibson
Trim; Organic waste could be fortnightly instead of weekly.
Submission WM&M 2017.941 by Mr Paul Gread
Trim; The Option C has been working well in Christchurch City for many years and its introduction in the Waimakariri District is well overdue.

Submission WM&M 2017.946 by Ms Leigh Coleman
Trim; A 3 bin service is what our future needs so why wait any longer to implement it. The cost structure doesn’t look too bad although I’d like to see it create a bit more incentive to throw out less. For example, the small rubbish bin could be disproportionally cheaper and the large green waste bin could be the same price as the small one.

Submission WM&M 2017.95 by Mr Steven Sutherland
For our household we pay for the rubbish bin and organic bin through waste disposal so we would prefer the 3 bin option.

Submission WM&M 2017.951 by Ms Betty Simmonds
Trim; We need to reduce the rubbish that people dump in the streets etc. Make it compulsory or the lazy ones will not do the right thing.

Submission WM&M 2017.957 by Ms Debbie Woolsey
Trim; Let people have the options instead of going for majority rules.

Submission WM&M 2017.961 by Ms Lisa Jackson
Trim. About time. Waste Management is a rip off.

Submission WM&M 2017.968 by Mr Rob Ecclestone
It will be good to have an organics option to help reduce unnecessary organic waste going to landfill

Submission WM&M 2017.969 by Ms Anne Jackson
Trim; I favour the 3 bin system. Why are you even offering to continue the rubbish bags when they have such a negative impact on the environment.

Submission WM&M 2017.972 by Mr Warwick Burton
Trim; We found the three bin system worked well when we lived in Parklands. A big green bin option for keen gardeners would be appreciated. No bags, just bins easier for older fold to manage - no lifting.

Submission WM&M 2017.976 by Mr Stuart and Carolyn and Mrs Gapes
Trim; Fingers crossed for Option C. Makes huge financial sense and environmental sense.
Submission WM&M 2017.978 by Mrs Robyn Osmond

Trim. Option 3 - should be available without extra costs to the ratepayers. We need to encourage recycling and this would be an extra expense to some families and elderly that are on a fixed income. Also Christchurch City Council have done this without much extra expense. As a newcomer to the area our rates are higher than most.

Submission WM&M 2017.983 by Ms Joane Hulatt

Trim; It would be good to have a general waste bin to stop odours and animals ripping the bags. Plus an organics bin would cut general waste down tremendously.

Submission WM&M 2017.986 by Mr Rob and Anne and Mrs Bonner

Trim; Prior to moving to Rangiora we lived in Christchurch with the 3 bin collection system which we found efficient and effective.
5a Taunton Place, Rangiora 7400

Submission WM&M 2017.990 by Ms Karen Redbath

Trim; I live at Pines Beach and would love to have a weekly organic pick up. Even private rubbish companies will not service Pines Beach for organic collection. Please add this service and don't forget little places like us. Many thanks.
105 Dunns Avenue, Pines Beach 7630

Submission WM&M 2017.991 by Ms Victoria Atkinson

Trim; A lot of our waste is food waste (vegetable peelings etc) which in the UK was used for compost or energy generation. Maybe an idea for NZ to come into the 21st century. Also, this scheme worked fantastically in Christchurch. Three bins please.

Submission WM&M 2017.992 by Ms Sharyn MacDonald

Bin preferred to bags which would not work well for us on a rural property. We would have to put the bag in a bin to ward off animals and due to wind.

Submission WM&M 2017.993 by Ms Rebecca Goile