MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA, ON TUESDAY 7 AUGUST 2018, COMMENCING AT 1PM.

PRESENT:

IN ATTENDANCE:
J Palmer (Chief Executive), G Cleary (Manager Utilities & Roading), C Sargison (Manager Community & Recreation), G Wilson (Building Inspector), L Beckingsale (Policy Analyst), B Rice (Senior Transport Engineer), K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager), C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager), and S Nichols (Governance Manager).

The meeting adjourned at 2.58pm and recommenced at 3.16pm.

1. APOLOGIES
Nil.

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Nil.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
Nil.

4. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

4.1. Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 29 – 31 May 2018

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the circulated minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 29 – 31 May 2018.

CARRIED

4.2. Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 3 July 2018

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the circulated minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 3 July 2018.

CARRIED

4.3. Minutes of the public excluded portion of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on 3 July 2018

Matters were dealt with in the public excluded section of the meeting.

MATTERS ARISING
Nil.
5. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

Nil.

6. ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

7. REGENERATION REPORTS

7.1. Earthquake Infrastructure Recovery Programme – August 2018 Update – G Boot (Senior Engineering Advisor)

K Simpson spoke to the report, providing a summary for the key aspects of the projects. He outlined the outstanding projects related to three waters and timeframes for completion, highlighting the Charles Street pump station.

It was clarified that the demolition referred to in recommendation (f) would not necessarily cost $100,000 however that was the overall remaining budget.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180723081893
(b) Notes the recovery works construction programme as presented in Attachment 1 of this report.
(c) Notes that 48 of the 52 projects on the Earthquake Infrastructure Recovery Programme have been completed, one will be completed in August 2018 and another in September 2018. The remainder are integral with the Kaiapoi East Regeneration Area.
(d) Notes that the current estimate for the capital component of the recovery works is $38,219,000, which is approximately $400,000 less than is budgeted.
(e) Notes that a proposal is being considered to convert the redundant Charles Street wastewater pump station into a public viewing platform adjacent to the Kaiapoi River, rather than demolish the structure.
(f) Authorises staff to utilise the remaining budget of $100,000 allocated for demolishing the Charles Street wastewater pump station for investigating the feasibility of establishing the public viewing platform, and report back to the Council.
(g) Forwards this report to the Utilities and Roading Committee and Regeneration Steering Group for their information.

CARRIED

Councillor Blackie commented on the connection with the Kaiapoi Regeneration development projects timeframes, with any issues coming back to the Council.

Councillor Stewart congratulated staff on six years of project management that is under budget and very close to the original timeframes. She stated that this has been a huge project and well done.

Mayor Ayers endorsed comments made, acknowledging the projects underway and the few remaining projects are some of the largest projects overall.
L Beckingsale spoke to the report, outlining the reasoning behind the legislation, the requirements going forward and the effects on community safety.

Councillor Blackie commented on building owners dealing with councils, building regulations and deterrents for improving building safety, enquiring if this was the case in Waimakariri. G Wilson commented on MBIE guidance and Building Act requirements. He explained discussions already held with building owners and the balanced approach being taken. The Waimakariri does not have many remaining buildings that are affected by this legislation, compared to some North Island authorities. Staff have identified those buildings and are working with the owners on compliance.

Staff confirmed the hearing timetable could be amended, pending the confirmation of the panel members and their availability.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  
Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No: 180710076763.
(c) Notes that the period of public consultation will be from 20 August to 20 September 2018, following which a hearing is proposed for later in October.
(d) Notes consultation with affected property owners is being undertaken.
(e) Appoints Councillors Doody, Blackie and Gordon to the Hearing Panel.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon thanked G Wilson for the work he has done to date, acknowledging there are only a few dozen buildings to continue working through matters with property owners. Councillor Gordon appreciated the consultation and discussions with affected building owners, acknowledging the difficulties of absentee landlords and insurance companies.

Councillor Felstead stated this was a sensible approach that was being taken, and he thought the consultation document was easy to understand.

Mayor Ayers reflected on a Council submission against this legislation because it believed the time frames were too long and the rules were not tough enough. Mayor Ayers believed this legislation was about saving lives and this Council understands the economic implications for property and business owners post-earthquake. He spoke of the fortitude of business owners and operators, working from temporary premises and the disruption experienced. Mayor Ayers believed many other Councils do not understand the economic impacts until they have experienced such an event like us, remarking on the importance of town centres and the impacts. Mayor Ayers reflected on the recent LGNZ conference and a remit proposing a softening of the regulations. This Council was seen as opposed to the remit. Mayor Ayers reflected on various buildings in the town centres of Rangiora, Kaiapoi and Oxford.

8. REPORTS

8.1. Rangiora-Woodend Road, Gressons Road, Boys Road and Northbrook Road Speed Limit Review– B Rice (Senior Transport Engineer)
B Rice reflected on the reasoning for the report and informal feedback received from the Community Boards and the public. The information received was not as conclusive as anticipated. Feedback indicated those that live on a road are supportive of speed reductions, whilst those that drive the roads would prefer current speed limits to remain.

Evidence related to the number of vehicle crashes on Gressons Road support a speed reduction. B Rice commented on the urban section of Rangiora Woodend Road from the proposed Ravenswood roundabout to Chinnerys Road.

Councillor Gordon referred to para 1.2, enquiring why the Community Board views were not sought first. Staff advised it was related to timing, however Board feedback could occur during the consultation period. In a supplementary question Councillor Gordon enquired what the driver was related to the timeframes. Staff commented that it related to the construction timetable of the Rangiora Woodend Road and cycleway and development in the North Woodend (Ravenswood) area.

Councillor Gordon enquired if it would be critical to the programme if consultation was delayed a month. Staff advised any decisions would be moved back to November as a result and potentially impact construction in Ravenswood, however the additional consultation could occur and staff could come to each of the community boards for discussion and feedback.

Councillor Brine reflected on crashes on the roads outlined in the report. Staff advised there had been a non-fatal crash this morning.

Councillor Barnett reflected on the Long Term Plan discussions which spoke of a comprehensive speed review of whole district, enquiring what the reasoning was for this consultation being singled out. B Rice commented on the cycleway, Ravenswood and adjacent roads to the Rangiora Woodend Road being inconsistent with speed limits. It was advised that the comprehensive review is programmed to be undertaken over a period of time and proposes to look at a number of areas, particularly in eastern wards. Staff would prefer to stage the review area by area rather than the district as a whole at a single time as it would take significant resourcing and time to complete. Staff were also concerned that by undertaking a whole of district review individual communities could get lost in the wider area.

Councillor Barnett questioned the Survey Monkey data, as to how many Rangiora residents responded. Staff were unable to advise as that data was not collected.

Councillor Barnett queried the targeted consultation, particularly as anyone in the District uses these roads. Staff explained consultation was targeted to persons living adjacent to the roads being consulted on. In addition consultation was available for everyone in the District and the mechanisms used to inform included social media, the Council website and newspaper advertisements.

Councillor Barnett referred to accidents and fatalities, enquiring if staff take into account the reasons behind the accident ie where the road is not at fault. B Rice commented that such consequences are often dependent on speed at the time.

Councillor Barnett queried the timing of data collection in May, which was a time period when speed restrictions were in place during the construction of the cycleway. Staff recollection was that the data capture was undertaken outside of the cycleway construction works. Data capture was August the previous year for the Rangiora Woodend Road. The May data capture was for other roads with no speed restrictions impairment.

Councillor Barnett queried that by having a separated cycle lane it makes the road safer. Staff agreed but a cycleway also encourages more cyclists ie intersections and connecting areas.

J Palmer commented on the district speed review, acknowledging LTP discussions. It was noted under the GPS that the government has identified road safety, within speed limits as something they will focus on. It was advised a report will come to the Council outlining a proposed plan, timeframes, the scope of the programme, and priorities. The
work will require being broken into more manageable portions of work phases as intense conversations occur, citing several approaches that could be considered to be taken.

Councillor Doody queried mailboxes facing inwards along the Rangiora Woodend Road cycleway and impacts for the postal service delivery, particularly in relation to safety. Staff advised the matter had been considered and discussed however currently a solution has yet to be found. Feedback from property owners is that they are not comfortable at putting mailboxes on the other side of road, as this would involve owners crossing a busy road. The postal delivery service has high awareness of the issues.

Councillor Meyers sought staff thoughts, based on the feedback received to date, indications were that for the Ravenswood to Woodend School area, the consultation should go out at 50kph. B Rice advised compliance would be low at 50kph without other complimentary engineering works and changes to the layout of the road. It is not appropriate to do the engineering works first before we understand what else may need to happen, particularly on the State Highway, as that potentially changes the best way/place to access the State Highway and cycleways. When staff consider all the factors, they believe 60kph is appropriate for the consultation.

Councillor Atkinson queried the consultation and its targeted nature, as everyone in the district is a potential user of the roads. In acknowledging district wide consultation for a topic such as speed limits which is a large project of work, Councillor Atkinson asked if full district consultation could be discussed further. J Palmer indicated a further discussion with Councillors would occur prior to a report outlining the issues, scope and various approaches to be undertaken.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification on rules for not implementing 70kph speed limits. Staff commented on NZTA guidelines and the special dispensation required for 70kph which can usually be used as a temporary measure. NZTA guidelines are 60kph or 80kph.

In a supplementary question Councillor Barnett sought clarity if that means all current 70kph roads will need to be changed. Staff advised that there is no requirement to change the existing 70kph limits until the review is undertaken.

Councillor Meyer asked if public meetings had occurred in Woodend, and if community board members attended. Staff confirmed public meetings had been held and that community board members had attended, hearing local feedback.

Councillor Blackie reflected on Woodend School who have been lobbying for a 50kph speed limit and low compliance factors. Staff commented on anecdotal information on other similar areas in the district.

Moved: Councillor Barnett  Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180706075194.

(b) Refers this report to all Community Boards to seek feedback, and report back to the Council, prior to consultation on proposed speed limits.

(c) Staff to prepare a briefing to the Council on the subject of a district wide review of speed limits.

CARRIED

Division called by Councillor Barnett
For: Councillors Felstead, Atkinson, Barnett, Brine, Gordon and Williams.
Against: Mayor Ayers, Councillors Blackie, Doody, Meyer and Stewart.
Carried  6:5
Councillor Barnett reflected on past consultation experiences related to the Rangiora Woodend Road to Chinnerys Road area, the high response rate and speed limit decisions at that time. Councillor Barnett agreed that this area needs a review again as it is becoming an increasingly important aerial road for the district, however she would like to see evidence of what treatment is proposed first. Councillor Barnett does not believe the data is conclusive enough to form a clear preference. She commented on arterial roads, the importance of consulting with everyone and there not being a need to go outside normal practice of seeking community board views from a local knowledge perspective. Councillor Barnett stated she was not convinced that an area by area approach to speed limits is the most appropriate approach, remarking on inconsistency and a desire to see a communication plan prior to such consultation.

Councillor Williams concurred with Councillor Barnett's comments.

Councillor Brine supported the motion but cautioned on the need to be reasonable on how the consultation is undertaken with the community then work through the information. He stated this motion makes it more specific on seeking community board views, although the staff recommendation would have enabled such an opportunity to occur.

Councillor Felstead remarked he understood community board frustrations on decisions before their input is received, hence he would support the motion, although cautioned that he did not wish to see unnecessary delay of a speed limit review for some areas of the district.

Mayor Ayers appreciate talking to the community boards, however they may lack the big picture as this is not just speed limits. The speed limit information coming from the government is due to be released in late September and he feels it is appropriate to wait until that information becomes available. Mayor Ayers expressed concern about the consultation with all four community boards, as the specific area covers three ward areas and they would have the opportunity to submit through normal processes. Mayor Ayers remarked that the Council should be careful about turning community boards into district councils as their role is consult on individual community areas. Mayor Ayers spoke of views on process may change once the government report is released, remarked on the logistics of reviewing the whole district at once, believing that an area by area approach made sense, and discussions with planners was also needed. Mayor Ayers spoke of the speed management guidelines already in place. Mayor Ayers also spoke of speed and the different elements for reasoning/contributors to a collision.

Councillor Atkinson disagreed with Mayoral comments on a district wide review, believing a comprehensive review was necessary for all users. Councillor Atkinson stated that staff already undertake large consultation projects such as the LTP so it can be done. Councillor Atkinson held some reservation with statistics reflecting how several interpretations can come from data.

Councillor Gordon stated his principal concern is consultation with the community boards and that a short extension of time for improved feedback is important to the overall outcome.

Councillor Meyer acknowledged it is a challenging task however the community boards had already been involved, so now was the time to move onto the next phase.

In her right of reply Councillor Barnett believed it was evident from the debate that the Council needs a bigger conversation first and by involving the community boards that local knowledge input will assist with future decision making. Everyone in the district is a potential user of the roads, and lowering speed limits is not the only answer, hence the call for further discussions which in turn will assist with consistency across the district.

8.2. Request for Budget for Completion of Oxford Rural No. 1 Source Upgrade Project – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)
C Roxburgh spoke to the report, highlighting key aspects of the proposal.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification on the complications that occurred during the consenting process. Staff explained two aspects, being the ability to demonstrate impacts on other wells within a two kilometre radius and the community protection zone required for drinking water standards. Initial discussions with Ecan indicated some impact on other wells but as the purpose was a public drinking water well then the criteria alters and further discussions indicated matters were not clear cut.

Councillor Felstead understood the additional cost of $120,000, for resource consent for the well and enquired what other additional costs due to objections and appeal process could be involved. Staff advised the majority of costs were associated with the objection process and would be influenced by the Ecan interpretation of information.

Councillor Doody enquired if the consumers are being kept up to date with progress. Staff advised some feedback was being received and staff were preparing a letter to send to consumers in the near future.

Moved:  Councillor Doody  Seconded:  Councillor Brine

**THAT** the Council:

(a)  **Receives** report No. 180724082339.

(b)  **Notes** that the consent to take water from the new well has been granted by Environment Canterbury and that there were no appeals against this decision.

(c)  **Approves** an additional capital budget of $200,000 for the Oxford Rural No.1 Source Upgrade budget for the 2018/19 financial year ($130,000 level of service budget, $70,000 renewal budget) to make a total combined capital budget of $2.6M.

(d)  **Notes** that this budget will compensate for additional expenditure required to gain the resource consent to take water from the new well, as well as to allow sufficient contingency for the completion of the project.

(e)  **Notes** that this additional budget will increase the Oxford Rural No.1 water rate by approximately $11 per unit per year, which is a 2% increase over next year’s projected water rate for the scheme.

(f)  **Circulates** this report to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for their information.

(g)  **Circulates** the report to consumers with a letter updating the situation.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Doody remarked that this had been a long process with public meetings and discussions with the neighbouring property owner. She was pleased to see this project underway as it was important for the community.

8.3.  **Southwest Rangiora Supply Main – Request for Additional Budget – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)**

C Roxburgh spoke to the report highlighting reasons for the proposal.

There were no questions from the members.

Moved:  Councillor Brine  Seconded:  Councillor Gordon

**THAT** the Council:

(a)  **Receives** report No. 180726083675.
(b) **Approves** an additional capital budget of $40,000 to the Southwest Rangiora Supply Main – Stage 1 project for the 2018/19 financial year to give a total budget figure of $314,000.

(c) **Approves** staff to engage the Council’s Water Unit to complete these works for the quoted price of 147,776.36 without seeking alternative prices.

(d) **Notes** that the reason for not publicly tendering the work is because the Water Unit have the capability and capacity to complete the work at a competitive price.

(e) **Notes** that the costs associated with this project will be recovered through the West Rangiora Water development contribution, and that the increase in budget will increase this development contribution by $49 per new connection from the 2019/20 financial year onwards.

CARRIED

Councillor Brine stated this was a common sense approach to a project that has to be undertaken.

**Rangiora Airfield Plan Change and Designation – C Sargison (Manager Community and Recreation)**

C Sargison spoke briefly to the report, outlining the next steps in the process. Staff continue to monitor all take off and landings at the airfield.

There were no questions from members.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No.180730084697.

(b) **Approves** staff lodging the Proposed plan change and notice of requirement for Rangiora Airfield (Trim 180730084763 and 180730084766).

(c) **Circulates** this report to the Community Boards for information.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon fully supported the report, direction and the process, having attended the public meetings as he believed it was a robust process. Feedback from the airfield committee had been positive. Councillor Gordon commented that the communications plan will be worked through enabling people to feedback. He advised that the airfield would be having an open day in the near future which will assist with public knowledge of the varied airfield operations.

Councillor Williams concurred with comments of colleagues.

Mayor Ayers stated this is another important step forward, reflecting on some feedback about the airfield; some related to delegation and some general feedback about having an airfield in the district.

8.4. **Councillor Appointments to Rangiora Airfield Advisory Group – S Nichols (Governance Manager)**

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:
(a) **Receives** report No. 180725083462.

(b) **Retrospectively ratifies** Councillor Gordon to replace Councillor Atkinson as the Council representative on the Rangiora Airfield Advisory Group.

(c) **Notes** that Councillor Williams will continue to also be the Council representative on the Rangiora Airfield Advisory Group.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson explained the meetings unfortunately clashed with his responsibilities to the Te Kohoka o Tuhaitura Trust and was supportive of Councillor Gordon’s appointment.

9. **HEALTH AND SAFETY**


Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180723081898.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson stated it was a good report which was self-explanatory.

10. **Matters Referred from Committees and Community Boards**

10.1. **Parking Restrictions at Oxford Road/ Charles Upham Drive/Acacia Avenue Intersection and on Charles Upham Drive – B Rice (Senior Transport Engineer)**

(referred to report no. 180628071686 from the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting of 11 July 2018)

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180628071686.

(b) **Adopts** the attached Amended Second Schedule – Parking Restrictions to the Parking Bylaw 2007 (TRIM No 180629072243).

(c) **Notes** that other safety concerns at the Oxford Road, Acacia Avenue, Charles Upham Drive intersection are to be addressed in the Oxford Road urbanisation project.

(d) **Notes** that further parking restrictions may be required on Oxford Road to accommodate right turn bays at the intersection.

(e) **Notes** that further parking restrictions may be required on the roading network north of the Charles Upham village vehicle entrance. These will be added to the parking bylaw second schedule when this section of road is vested in Council.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon reflected on a residents’ meeting on site and feedback in which he believed staff were flexible and an easy solution was found. He noted the residents were an active group.

Councillor Dooody reflected on anecdotal feedback being that parking was hard for visitors and queried if the Charles Upham Retirement Village was going to provide more parking in the future.
Councillor Gordon stated this report enabled a tidying of a particular situation and was not removing any parking.

10.2. **Final Waste Management and Minimisation Plan 2018 – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)**

(referred to report no. 180710076344 from the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party meeting of 19 July 2018)

S Collin spoke briefly to the report reflecting on the process to date, and was seeking final adoption of the plan.

Councillor Barnett referred to 300 tonnes of waste from bins transported to Kate Valley rather than kerbside recycling, enquiring if there was any further update on the investigation. S Collin advised the matter was not being investigated further at this stage, but that aspect of the project is still proposed. J Palmer clarified several aspects related to the 300 tonnes of waste.

Moved: Councillor Barnett  Seconded: Councillor Brine

**THAT**

(a) **Receives** report No. 180710076344.

(b) **Adopts** the final Waste Management & Minimisation Plan 2018 (180710076343).

(c) **Circulates** a copy of this report to all Community Boards for their information.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Barnett stated that good work had occurred to date. In explaining her reasoning for questioning Councillor Barnett stated the aim of the plan is to stop the waste in the first instance, commenting on packaging at the beginning of the process.

Councillor Brine acknowledged it had been a long process to this stage and thanked staff for their work.

Councillor Williams stated he was supportive of the motion, but cautioned on the importance of getting the weight/size proportions right alongside balancing costs.

11. **COMMITTEE/WORKING PARTY/JOINT COMMITTEE MINUTES FOR INFORMATION**

11.1. **Minutes of a meeting of the District Planning and Regulation Committee held on 19 June 2018**

**Minutes of a meeting of the Utilities and Roading Committee held on 19 June 2018**

11.2. **Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri Youth Council held on 26 June 2018**

11.3. **Minutes of a meeting of the Regeneration Steering Group held on 2 July 2018**

11.4. **Minutes of a meeting of the Solid and Hazardous Waste Working Party held on 19 July 2018**

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Doody

**THAT** the information in items 11.1-11.4 be received.

**CARRIED**

12. **COMMUNITY BOARD MINUTES FOR INFORMATION**

12.1. **Minutes of a meeting of the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board held on 5 July 2018**
12.2. Minutes of a meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board held on 9 July 2018

12.3. Minutes of a meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board held on 11 July 2018

12.4. Minutes of a meeting of the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board held on 16 July 2018

Moved: Councillor Meyer    Seconded: Councillor Atkinson
THAT the information in items 12.1 to 12.4 be received.
CARRIED

Councillor Felstead updated the Council on the Canterbury Landscape Supplies situation, including what stage consents were currently at, and reflected on residents attending the last meeting.

13. CORRESPONDENCE
Nil.

14. MAYOR’S DIARY

14.1. Mayor’s Diary 25 June to 30 July 2018

Moved: Councillor Felstead    Seconded: Councillor Atkinson
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives report no. 180720081108.
CARRIED

15. COUNCIL PORTFOLIO UPDATES

15.1. Iwi Relationships
Mayor Ayers acknowledged recent Runanga meeting and reflected on a speech to the LGNZ Conference from Te Maire Tau. Mayor Ayers commented on recent discussions with leaders and views of the future direction of the Tuahiwi hapu.

15.2. Canterbury Water Management Strategy
Councillor Stewart reflected on processes and feedback from recent community meetings. Both Ecan and Water Zone Committee had enquired what nitrate level would the Council be comfortable with via a policy. However the Council does not have a policy and further discussion will occur on 18 August.

Councillor Stewart outlined the current work programme of the Water Zone Committee and spoke of upcoming Zone stakeholder discussions.

It was advised that in early September a further update on the ZI PA would be discussed with the Council, prior to public consultation.

15.3. International Relationships
Mayor Ayers provided a presentation to the Council on the recent Waimakariri delegation to China.

15.4. Regeneration (Kaiapoi)
Councillor Blackie mentioned the upcoming opening of Feldwick Drive, which was another important step forward for Kaiapoi.
16. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

17. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

18. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED WITH THE PUBLIC EXCLUDED**

*Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987*

Moved: Councillor Felstead   Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter and the specific grounds under section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Minutes/Report of:</th>
<th>General subject of each matter to be considered</th>
<th>Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter</th>
<th>Ground(s) under section 48(1) for the passing of this resolution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of Council meeting of 3 July 2018</td>
<td>Confirmation of minutes.</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Minutes of the public excluded portion of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting of 11 July 2018</td>
<td>Minutes to be received for information.</td>
<td>Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7</td>
<td>Section 48(1)(a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MATTER REFERRED FROM THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD 11 JULY 2018**

| 18.3    | Report of Raymond Qu (Property Assets Advisor) and Rob Hawthorne (Property Manager) | Proposed Sale process for 70 and 74 High Street, Rangiora. | Good reason to withhold exists under Section 7 | Section 48(1)(a) |

**CARRIED**

This resolution is made in reliance on section 48(1)(a) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987, and the particular interest or interests protected by section 6 or section 7 of that Act which would be prejudiced by the holding of the whole or relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting in public as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Reason for protection of interests</th>
<th>Ref NZS 9202:2003 Appendix A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18.1 – 18.3</td>
<td>Protection of privacy of natural persons  To carry out commercial activities without prejudice</td>
<td>A2(a) A2(b)ii</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Closed meeting**

**Resolution to resume in Open Meeting**

Moved: Mayor Ayers    Seconded: Councillor Gordon

**THAT** open meeting resumes and that the resolution(s) made with the public excluded be made public on report 19, however any discussion remains public excluded.

**CARRIED**

**19 MATTER REferred FROM THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD MEETING OF 11 JULY 2018**

**Proposed Sale Process for 70 and 74 High Street, Rangiora – R Qu (Property Assets Advisor) and R Hawthorne (Property Manager)**

Moved: Councillor Stewart    Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180601061463.

(b) **Supports** the proposed sale process;

(c) **Notes** the importance of this land and future development of it with regard to its location and connection to the Rangiora town centre, adjoining developments and the current Rangiora Town Centre Strategy;

(d) **Notes** that investigations are underway into the original acquisition of the various sites to ensure no impediment exists to the sale of the land as proposed;

(e) **Notes** the tender process has two stages, being an EOI and tender price offer as mentioned in 1.2. After reviewing the Selected Tender submissions, the preferred designs will be presented to the Council and the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for comments;

(f) **Notes** the staff propose that Council nominates the following people to be part of the tender selection panel.

i. Mayor David Ayers, (ex officio), and Councillors Dan Gordon and Kevin Felstead as portfolio holders

ii. Rob Hawthorne, the Property Manager and Raymond Qu, the Property Assets Advisor, and Simon Hart, the Business & Centres Manager.

**CARRIED**

The public excluded section of the meeting occurred from 3.47pm to 4.15pm.
Open meeting

19. NEXT MEETING
The next scheduled meeting of the Council is on Tuesday 4 September 2018 commencing at 1pm.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 4.17PM.

CONFIRMED

__________________________
Chairperson

__________________________
Date