

WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL
MEMO

FILE NO AND TRIM NO: DDS-14-05-10 / 240305033875

DATE: 5 March 2024

MEMO TO: Waimakariri District Council PDP and IHP Hearings Panel

FROM: Matthew Bacon, Development Planning Manager

SUBJECT: Consolidation of requests for time extensions to evidence submittal date – 5 March 2024.

1. The purpose of this memo is to assist the Panel in considering time extensions from submitters in relation to the provision of expert evidence in relation to Hearing Stream 12.
2. To date, the Council understand that the following submitters have sought extensions to evidence exchange timeframes:
 - a) Submitters McAllister [8], Survus [150] and Woodwater Limited [215] seek a one-week extension to the evidence of Ivan Thompson (until 12 March 2024).
 - b) Submitter Daniel Smith [10] originally sought a six-day extension to the filing of evidence from Mr Dean Chrystal (until 11 March 2024). However, a follow-up request seeks this be amended to a one-week extension (until 12 March 2024).
 - c) Submitter Ravenswood Developments Ltd [347] seek a three-week extension to the filing of evidence (until 26 March 2024).
 - d) Submitter Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [408] seek an eight-week extension to the filing of evidence (by 30 April 2024).
3. To assist the Panel, the Council has the following comments:
 - a) The requests in relation to the evidence of Ivan Thompson (relating to submissions [8], [150] and [215]) and Dean Chrystal (submission [10]) are considered reasonable in the circumstances and recommend that the dates are aligned until 12 March 2024.
 - b) With respect to the request from Ravenswood Developments Ltd [347], the Council considers that the request is reasonable given that the Council understands that the technical evidential assessment of the rezoning request will not differ from that prepared under PC30.
 - c) With respect to the request from Bellgrove Rangiora Ltd [408], the Council considers that the request is reasonable given the circumstances described by the submitter. However, it notes that inevitably the later that evidence is received, the less likely it is that the opinions of experts can be addressed within the s42A hearing report.