MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD
HELD IN THE CUST COMMUNITY CENTRE, 9 MILL ROAD, CUST ON TUESDAY 13
NOVEMBER 2018 AT 7PM.

PRESENT
J Gerard QSO (Chair), D Lundy (Deputy Chair), K Barnett, R Brine, M Clarke, K Galloway, 
D Gordon, J Hoult, S Lewis, G Miller, C Prickett and P Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE
J Millward (Manager Finance and Business Support), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager), S 
Allen (Water Environment Advisor), L Hurley (Technical Administrator), C Brown 
(Community and Recreation Manager), M Harris (Customer Services Manager), D Ayers 
(Mayor), S Hart (Business and Centres Manager), D Cameron (Green Space Community 
Engagement Officer), V Thompson (Business and Centres Advisor), J McBride (Roading 
and Transport Manager), E Cordwell (Governance Team Leader) and E Stubbs (Minute 
Secretary).

There were three members of the public in attendance.

1 APOLOGIES
An apology was received and sustained from R Brine for early departure at 9pm.

2 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
There were no conflicts of interest.

3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES
3.1 Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 10 October 2018
Moved S Lewis seconded P Williams
THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:
(a) Confirms the circulated minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community 
Board meeting, held on 10 October 2018, as a true and accurate record.
CARRIED

4 MATTERS ARISING
There were no matters arising.

5 DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS
5.1 Kirstyn Barnett (Cust Community Network (CCN) Chair) and Yolande 
Lawrence (CCN Secretary) Spoke to the Board about the Cust Community 
Centre (CCC).
K Barnett advised that the CCN wished to see a footpath to the CCC. The hill 
to the CCC was slippery and dangerous particularly for the elderly and 
children. It was especially highlighted at the ANZAC Day Service. It was a 
60km/hr urban road and they believed there should be a footpath. K Barnett 
the comment that footpaths in Cust were in general poorly maintained and 
narrow and asked what level of service was appropriate. The path adjacent 
to the war memorial was slanted and was a trip hazard.
K Barnett noted that the CCN had wished to raise Earlys Road however were aware that was in the Oxford Ohoka Community Board ward.

K Barnett referred to matters raised in the CCN submission to the Long Term Plan, in particular regarding consideration of a Reserve Management Plan for the CCC. The plan should look at the inside and outside of the CCC including the tennis courts, river and picnic area. K Barnett noted that Council had advised the reserve was not a priority area.

K Barnett was delighted to see Item 7.1 Cust Community Centre Advisory Group on the agenda. The Advisory Group had not met for many years. By restarting the group it could provide Council a good steer on essential items such as issues with the dishwasher.

J Lawrence referred to the need for footpaths. The CCC hosted Plunket once a week to which a number of parents preferred to walk, however at the moment it was not feasible to walk down the road with young children and prams as the visibility was poor and the alternative was to walk in the grass. In addition there was potential for elderly to slip attending the ANZAC parade.

G Miller asked if the road was blocked for the ANZAC parade. K Barnett replied that a portion was. However due to the numbers some people walked on the grass.

G Miller referred to the Domain and asked if the management program would extend to that also. K Barnett advised the answer had been that it was not a priority area for development. The CCN would like to see it developed further.

G Miller commented that the roads in the area were in poor shape. K Barnett noted that Cust had a number of groups but needed a collective voice to raise concerns. As an Incorporated Society the CCN was happy to help groups with fundraising.

6 ADJOURNED BUSINESS

There was no adjourned business.

7 REPORTS

7.1 Cust Community Centre Advisory Group— Chris Brown (Manager Community and Recreation)

J Gerard congratulated C Brown on his appointment to Manager Community and Recreation.

C Brown advised that the purpose of the report was to approve updated Terms of Reference for the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group (CCCAG), appoint a Board Representative and allow Staff to seek expressions of interest for the CCCAG. The CCCAG had been formed a long time ago and members were no longer active in the group. It was important that there was an active Advisory Group as the CCC was due for refurbishment. Following the earthquakes the CCC had strengthening work carried out, but did not receive a cosmetic upgrade, as it had been important to reopen the Centre quickly to allow community use.

The CCCAG would work with staff in order to set priorities for Centre management and cosmetic upgrades with which they could go back to Council to seek funding.

C Brown advised that the Board could appoint more than one member to the CCCAG.

D Gordon asked if the CCN would be represented on the Advisory Group, C Brown advised yes. Interest would be sought from the wider community including regular Centre users.
D Gordon asked if there was Council involvement in the CCCAG and C Brown advised that the Rangiora Ashley Community Board had delegation. The Terms of Reference could be amended by the Board to include more than one representative of the Board.

D Gordon expressed interest in being a representative.

C Prickett asked if repairing the dishwasher came under cosmetic upgrade. C Brown advised that the scope for improvements had not yet been determined and Staff would work with the new Advisory Group on that. The asset structure for buildings would be used so that assets inside and outside of the building would be assessed on a condition rating. Once that was completed it would be a good starting point for the group to prioritise work. The dishwasher was an operational issue and could be fixed straightaway.

K Barnett asked if the CCC also included the reserve and grounds, for example the driveway and signage. C Brown replied yes and no, the carpark serviced the building. With regard to the Jack Graham Reserve, Green Space did not consider it a priority. There were other reserves in the district that needed upgrades more that the Jack Graham Reserve. If the community was empowered to carry out additional fundraising then the Council was happy to work alongside. Staff did not envisage Jack Graham Reserve or the Domain to be included in the CCC scope, however the shrub beds alongside the tennis court could be looked at. C Brown noted the tennis courts had been resurfaced as part of a district wide programme.

D Gordon asked if there was a service request put in for the dishwasher and C Brown replied yes.

D Gordon commented that the curtains in the centre were disintegrating. C Brown advised that they could use a replacement budget, however he suggested waiting so they could be looked at as part of the whole upgrade.

J Gerard suggested requesting a report on the reserve to consider as a Board. C Brown advised he could take information out of the Play Strategy to show where hierarchies developed with regard to people’s ability to undertake play within those spaces. Looking at a comprehensive concept plan would require another project to be taken off list.

D Gordon asked if a report on domain status update could be an interim measure. C Brown commented that there had recently been a workshop on the General Landscaping budget and staff had asked to report back on a number of items.

R Brine referred to the 10year plan noting it was renegotiated every three years. At that time a case could be made to reprioritise. C Brown commented that playgrounds were an emotional topic and the expected lifespan in terms of functionality and safety were different to whether it was suitable. Expectations were always changing.

C Prickett referred to the wording of the Terms of Reference as he wanted to ensure community group representatives could be included. It was noted that K Barnett was likely to be on the CCCAG as Chair of the CCN.

Moved D Gordon seconded J Hoult

THAT the Rangiora Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181105129353.

(b) **Approves** the Terms of Reference for the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group (Trim 181105129572) with the proviso for two appointed board representatives.

(c) **Approves** appointing D Gordon and D Lundy as two representatives of the Board Members to the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group.
(d) **Approves** Staff seeking expressions of interest from the Cust Community for membership of the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group.

(e) **Notes** that a report will be prepared for the Rangiora - Ashley Community Board to appoint up to 7 members of the Cust Community to the Cust Community Centre Advisory Group.

**CARRIED**

D Gordon acknowledged the members of CCN present. He commented that he had served nine years on the CCC as Council representative and it was a pleasure to serve with local individuals. In those days they were looking at ways to save. There had been a priority around the tennis courts as there had been a risk they would be removed. Money was spent on the courts rather than the centre. Another issue had been subsidence and drainage. The time had come to spend money on the CCC. It was good that the Group had been re-established to move forward.

J Hoult supported reestablishment principally so that the Community Board could be more aware of the district as a whole. It was a way the Board could engage and support growth and development and help with the ongoing needs of the community. The appointed members would report to the Board.

K Barnett as a local Councillor welcomed the initiative and focus on the area. The group had lapsed through circumstance and timing however there were still valuable members with knowledge to take the group forward with a partnership between the community and Council.

J Gerard commented he would like the CCC and Domain to work together as a wider partnership rather than compete. He noted that the CCC was a classic example of community fundraising, the community had carted hay for weeks to raise money for the hall.

**7.2 Service Request Information – Maree Harris (Customer Services Manager)**

M Harris spoke to the report advising that management were keen to see the Boards receiving information on service request activity. The report compared requests for each quarter against the previous year and also requests completed within the required timeframe compared to other boards, and the district as a whole. She advised she would like feedback from the Board as to whether they found the information useful, and if there was any other information they would like to receive.

K Barnett asked if it was possible to get a breakdown between rural and urban. M Harris advised currently only the Ward was recorded. She noted the idea had been raised by other boards also for a more comprehensive breakdown between communities and she would try to improve the geographic breakdown.

C Prickett commented it would be interesting to know why requests were not completed in a certain timeframe and to compare departments. D Gordon commented some of that information was presented to the Audit Committee. M Harris advised that there was a definite effort by groups to lift the service request timeframe completion. The reasons why timeframes were not achieved varied. For example a noise request could be kept open so that it allowed the system to continue to monitor and keep issues to the forefront.

K Galloway asked if the information included snap/send/solve requests. M Harris replied yes. Requests also came via email which was monitored and requests logged into system. It was publicised that urgent after hours requests should be called through to the centre.
K Galloway asked whether he should receive a reply when he used snap/send/solve. M Harris noted a call back option could be requested. She would look to see if that option was available in snap/send/solve.

C Prickett expressed concern that snap/send/solve was not monitored after hours as those sending may be unaware it was not monitored. He asked if that information was clear to those sending in a request. He asked if the app was generic or in house and if it could be changed if required. M Harris replied it was generic and could not be changed easily.

P Williams appreciated getting the information. He asked was it possible if a service request was not followed up there be a note given to the person putting in the request to say why it wasn’t followed up or happening immediately. M Harris replied she would think that would be happening in longer cases.

D Lundy asked if there was a policy regarding a number of requests in a certain time, for example for a pothole. He gave the example of three requests over a year for a pothole, there had been delays and the service requests had not been replied to. M Harris replied she could not guarantee that all people making requests received a reply.

D Gordon commented that he was impressed with the snap/send/solve and service request system and he had had good feedback. He was surprised that service requests were not being monitored on weekends. If Council was directing people to use the system in this day and age then there should be the ability to triage urgent requests and action. He asked if the terms of contract for after-hours could be reconsidered.

J Hoult commented that her experience of the system had been very good and she had been kept informed. It was worthwhile getting the reports and she asked if they were available to the community as well so they could see the Council was working for them. M Harris commented she could make them available to the Communications team.

K Barnett commented it was a good report, she asked about the status of the linkage to My Council. M Harris replied that it could be entered on My Council now. Staff were using the activity around bins to test the efficiency of the system. K Barnett asked if that eservice was monitored 7days and M Harris replied no. J Millward commented that a priority project was eservices, looking at how to improve systems 24/7. That project had just started and improvements should be seen in 5-6 months. There was some website development to be done.

C Prickett asked if it was possible to filter requests if 100 had been sent on an issue already. M Harris replied that in the group category panel similar requests would be displayed underneath, the service request had not been committed to be send at that point. From a customer point of view if each customer wanted a follow-up it was important to link to names.

K Galloway asked what My Council was. M Harris replied it was an eservices package in Tech1. It was a line of business portal that required a better connection to the website.

Moved P Williams seconded K Galloway

**THAT** the Rangiora Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** report No: 181026126020.

(b) **Notes** that all Boards will receive quarterly reports.

(c) **Notes** the importance to ensuring service requests are lodged in the Technology One computer system to enable effective response management and monitoring.

**CARRIED**
7.3 ‘Koura Creek’ – North Brook Springhead Safety Enhancements – Sophie Allen (Water Environment Advisor)

S Allen spoke to the report regarding enhancements at the Koura Creek Springhead. There had been recent urbanisation around the springhead including a new playground. Concerns around the springhead had been raised by community members. There was currently riparian planting around the springhead, however there were gaps. The proposed enhancements were a good location to celebrate the ecological and cultural significance of springheads.

The cost of fencing installation was $5,800 which would be covered under existing drainage budgets. Since the report had been written the idea of a concrete border had been raised, it would not add significant cost. The proposed extension to the native planting would fill in gaps and deter children getting through. S Allen noted an interpretation panel could be installed at a later date, it was believed safety should be addressed before the signage.

G Miller asked how long the playground had been operational and S Allen advised it was one year old. K Simpson advised the planting around the springhead was 2-3 years, prior to that there had been a hawthorn hedge.

D Gordon raised concerns that there not be a blanket approach to the budget. K Simpson advised that the budget in the recommendation was $6,800 the concrete would add around $500. He said that if it was higher they could come back to the Board however the total cost would definitely not exceed $10,000.

G Miller asked if an ‘ugly’ fence was really necessary as it would detract from the natural beauty of the area. The playground was a new addition. He asked if there had been incidents of children getting into the springhead. Water was also flowing at a distance away where children could enter. K Simpson commented it was a good point across the district there were similar stormwater management areas. K Simpson believed there was a need long term for the fence, even once the vegetation had established, due to the installation of the sign. The sign was encouraging people to go close to the springhead therefore there needed to be appropriate health and safety provisions. He understood what G Miller was saying and advised that staff were currently looking at stormwater management and WorkSafe guidance documents to develop a strategy.

Moved D Gordon seconded C Prickett

THAT the Rangiora Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 180925111216.

(b) Approves fencing installation ($5,800 excl. GST), and native plantings at an estimated total cost of $1,000 (excl. GST) from existing Drainage budgets.

(c) Notes that an interpretation panel about spring water, ecological and cultural values could be installed at a future date.

CARRIED

G Miller against

D Gordon supported staff and was satisfied on the balance of ecological and cultural values and regeneration work.

C Prickett agreed with G Miller’s comments however on balance he noted the presence of the playground and educational promotion. There was a need to address safety.

S Lewis agreed the fence was ugly and hoped the planting would be disguised. An option would be to fence the playground.
K Barnett commented on the effect of rural environment changing to urban. The level of service would not allow a fenced playground. She believed the measures were appropriate to keep children safe.

G Miller would vote against the motion, he did not believe the fence was necessary as there were open drains everywhere and the situation was no different. The planting of the springhead assisted with safety.

7.4 Proposed Closure of Stockwater Race R3Q-5 – Owen Davies (Drainage Asset Manager) and Libica Hurley (Technical Administrator)

L Hurley spoke to the report which was an application for closure of stock water race R3Q-5. L Hurley referred to the location map noting the race ran along Dalziels Road and private property. All residents affected had provided written support. The race was already dry and the recommendation to the Utilities and Roading Committee formalised a diversion already in place.

L Hurley advised the reason for the application was debris blocking the race and causing nuisance flooding. The rating impact was a loss of $381.

C Prickett asked about maintenance of the empty drain going forward. O Davies advised that maintenance was outlined in the stock water race bylaw, landowners were responsible to maintain an empty drain.

P Williams asked if lack of maintenance the reason the race was not used properly? L Hurley replied that the stock water race ran through farm and intercepted rural residential/lifestyle property. Those did no use the stock water. The farms losing access to R3Q-5 had access to other parts of the scheme.

P Williams asked if the race was close could it be resurrected. L Hurley replied that if the lifestyle blocks were sold and their use was changed they would not have access to that water.

P Williams asked about the usefulness of the race to alleviate flooding. O Davies replied that staff had walked the potential overland flood path. It was believed the closure would not impact. K Simpson advised that the culverts under the road would remain.

P Williams asked if the closure would impact on the trees. L Hurley replied the large gum on the edge of the race was large and healthy and the race had been diverted for some time. The flow during flood events would continue.

K Galloway asked about flow between Mertons and Lehmans Roads and K Simpson advised this was the flood path that was walked, they were not concerned about the closure in relation to that flood path.

Moved R Brine seconded C Prickett

THAT the Rangiora–Ashley Community Board recommends:

THAT the Utilities and Roading Committee:

(a) Receives report no. 180926111437

(b) Approves the closure of Stockwater Race R3Q-5.

(c) Notes that, following the closure of R3Q-5, Council staff will discuss maintenance arrangements and possible filling in of sections of the race with the affected property owners.

(d) Notes that, following approval to close R3Q-5, the Council may be required to apply for an Archaeological Authority as per requirements of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Toanga Act 2014, in order to authorise earthworks associated with possible filling in of sections.

CARRIED
R Brine commented it was a comprehensive report and the questions had been asked and answered to his satisfaction.

C Prickett agreed with the comments of R Brine.

P Williams would oppose the motion. Water races did a lot of good and once they were gone they were gone forever. The water race had been put in for a reason. The future use of the property was uncertain. There was not a cost to retaining the race. He was concerned about flood events.

K Galloway supported the comments of P Williams.

J Gerard supported the motion, in the history of the district as land changes were made races were turned off. Races were difficult to maintain by cleaning and mowing. There were alternative arrangements such as piped schemes.

K Barnett referred to race areas attracting feral pests such as rats unless they were maintained. She was pleased the landowners were on board.

R Brine concurred with a number of the comments. He referred to Item 4.5 which addressed flooding.

7.5 Proposed Construction of Cricket Nets with Artificial Turf in Dudley Park – Ed Sard (Green Space Community Assets Officer)

C Brown spoke briefly to the report regarding approval for replacement cricket nets. Rangiora Cricket Club (RCC) had sought funding from a number of different organisations and would be fulling funding the project. Council would be assisting with Health and Safety. The nets would be open to the general public and were a good asset for Dudley Park.

K Galloway asked about Council maintenance costs. C Brown advised that RCC would be responsible for maintenance. If there was a requirement for Council to take over responsibility they were a useful asset. There were no capital or operating costs associated.

K Barnett asked if the noise from the nets would be greater than the hockey turf. C Brown commented he would suggest there would be less due to the ball. Also the hockey turf was used extensively.

K Barnett asked if there was a comprehensive development plan for Dudley Park and C Brown replied there was no recent concept plan for Dudley Park. In the future they were looking to install lights to take pressure off other fields. The nets would not be taking space used for much else and they would be close to the cricket activity.

Moved C Prickett seconded D Gordon

THAT the Rangiora Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report no. 181024124395
(b) Notes that the construction of new cricket nets with artificial turf aligns with the Waimakariri District Council Sports Facilities Plan 2017 – 2027 and Community Facilities and Greenspace Activity Management Plan 2018.
(c) Approves the Rangiora Cricket Club constructing replacement cricket nets with artificial turf wickets in Dudley Park at the location identified on the plan included as Attachment (i).
(d) Notes that the cost of the cricket nets will be fully funded by the Rangiora Cricket Club
(e) **Notes** that the cricket nets will be fully available for public use.

**CARRIED**

C Prickett commented the recommendation was a no brainer and he was glad to see the nets available for public use.

D Gordon agreed with C Prickett.

### 7.6 Applications to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board’s Discretionary Grant Fund 2018/2019 – Edwina Cordwell (Governance Team Leader)

E Cordwell advised she would take the report as read.

**Community Wellbeing North Canterbury Trust**

K Barnett asked how the Family Care Whanau Care workshop knew they would have 100% Rangiora-Ashley ward attendees. E Cordwell noted the event had been chosen to run in Rangiora and was likely to be targeting those residents in particular with transport issues. K Galloway explained they knew who would be attending the course.

Moved K Galloway seconded K Barnett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** report No. 181031127495.

(b) **Approves** a grant of $480 to Community Wellbeing North Canterbury Trust towards the cost of booklet guides for participants of the Our Family Whanau Care parenting course.

**CARRIED**

**Cust Tennis Club**

R Brine asked if they had funded balls for clubs in the past and E Cordwell advised that requests for sports equipment was included in the criteria.

P Williams asked how many balls $500 would be purchased. E Cordwell advised that the club had used the previous year as a comparison. She could request that information as part of the accountability report if the Board was supportive.

Moved K Galloway

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Declines** the application from Cust Tennis Club.

**LAPSED**

Moved D Gordon seconded K Barnett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Approves** a grant of $500 to Cust Tennis Club towards the costs of tennis balls.

**CARRIED**

K Galloway against

K Barnett noted that this was the first application from Cust Tennis Club.

G Miller expressed concerned that the grant maybe spent prior to March and suggested allocating not the full amount to ensure the grant could be spread more evenly.

R Brine commented that the Board should be aware other clubs would follow this precedent.
D Gordon commented a group could put in a discretionary grant application and it was up to the board to make decision. The Board had not granted a lot in this area.

**Rangiora Croquet Club**
Moved G Miller seconded D Lundy

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:
(a) Approves a grant of $400 to Rangiora Croquet Club towards the cost of installation of an electricity cable and purchase of an air compressor for the mower shed.

CARRIED

G Miller commented it would be of good benefit to the club.
D Lundy commented the club had shown initiative over the years. The recommendation for $400 reflected the trend of the debate.

**Rangiora High School Nursery School**
Moved G Miller seconded C Prickett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:
(a) Approves a grant of $500 to Rangiora High School Nursery School towards the cost of specialised sensory equipment.

CARRIED

G Miller supported the application which benefited children now and for the future.
C Prickett agreed with G Miller, spending money on younger children was worth double in later life.

**Rangiora Toy Library**
Moved D Gordon seconded R Brine

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:
(a) Approves a grant of $500 to Rangiora Toy Library towards the cost of a new bike and trike.

CARRIED

D Gordon commented he had visited the group on a number of occasions and they were a group of well organised volunteers. The toys went into the community to be reused.

**7.7 Meeting Dates from February 2019 to October 2019 – Edwina Cordwell (Governance Team Leader)**

E Cordwell spoke briefly to the report noting that September would be the last month for meetings for all Boards in 2019 before the elections.
D Gordon commented that the recommendation did not include Cust or Loburn which was something the Board wanted to have. Was there a reason why staff did not recommend those venues?
E Cordwell replied that it was the up to the Board’s consideration, holding meetings in the same venue ensured consistency – same day, same time, and same place. The agenda tonight had a local flavour however that did not
always occur. The meeting venue had been chosen purely for consistency however she understood the desire to be in local venues.

Moved D Gordon seconded J Hoult

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 181030127297.

(b) Resolves to hold Board meetings at the Council Chambers, Rangiora Service Centre, 215 High Street, Rangiora, commencing at 7.00pm, on the following dates:

- Wednesday 13 February 2019
- Wednesday 13 March 2019
- Wednesday 10 April 2019
- Wednesday 15 May 2019
- Wednesday 12 June 2019
- Wednesday 10 July 2019
- Wednesday 14 August 2019
- Wednesday 11 September 2019.

(c) Resolves to hold one meeting in Loburn and one meeting in Cust, at dates to be determined depending on availability.

CARRIED

D Gordon commented there had been a good turnout of people for the local flavour agenda. The Communications team could highlight meetings in different areas. He was happy to leave the timing of those meetings to staff.

J Hoult supported the recommendation as it was important to get out into the community which could be achieved by going to other venues.

C Prickett was sceptical about holding meetings at different venues. If the public had an interest in a topic they would travel.

K Barnett referred to the change of date required to hold a meeting at Cust and noted Cust School had offered their facilities as a possible venue. She was supportive of the motion as the Board needed to respond to the makeup of its area. It was important to see the facilities in person rather than just in a report.

P Williams’ supported different venues. Personally it was his first time to the CCC and it was a great venue. He suggested venues could be selected around the agendas.

7.8 Submissions on the Draft Zone Implementation Programme Addendum and Greater Christchurch Element of the Draft Regional Public Transport Strategy – Edwina Cordwell (Governance Team Leader)

Moved D Gordon seconded R Brine

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 181030127313.

(b) Notes the Board’s submissions to the Draft Zone Implementation Programme Addendum and Greater Christchurch Element of the Draft Regional Public Transport Strategy.

CARRIED

8 CORRESPONDENCE

There was no correspondence.
9 CHAIRPERSON’S REPORT

9.1 Chair’s Diary for October 2018

J Gerard advised that the Youth Development Grant had been awarded to exceptional young students from Kaiapoi High School who were wanting to set up a Student Army and hoped to spread the group to other schools in the district.

Moved J Gerard    seconded K Barnett

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 181106130293.

CARRIED

10 MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

10.1 Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting minutes – 3 October 2018
(Trim No. 180926111501).

10.2 Woodend-Sefton Community Board meeting minutes – 8 October 2018
(Trim No. 181003114663).

10.3 Kaiapoi Tuahiwi Community Board meeting minutes – 15 October 2018
(Trim No.181010118122).

10.4 District Road Network – Term Service Contract 2015-18 – Extension of Service Period to 2019 – report to Council 2 October 2018
(Trim No 180822095061).

10.5 Review of Water Supply Bylaw 2012 – report to Utilities and Roading Committee 16 October 2018
(Trim No 180910103408).

10.6 Annual Compliance Report for Waimakariri District Council owned Drinking-Water Supplies with the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand – report to Utilities and Roading Committee 16 October 2018
(Trim No 181002113999).

Moved D Lundy    seconded S Lewis

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board receives the information in items 10.1-10.6.

CARRIED

R Brine left at 9pm during Item 11.

11 MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE

11.1 S Lewis

- Attended Soroptomist Annual fundraising Fair.
- Attended te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust annual open day. Noted potential for artist trail on Tuahiwi Road.

11.2 D Gordon

- Congratulated C Brown on his new role as Manager Community and Recreation.
- Attended Soroptomist Annual Craft Fair.
- Attended re-opening of Rangiora RSA. Fantastic facility with foresight to look at wider needs of community and future of the club. Noted 28 community groups were housed at the RSA as regular users of facility.
- Attended Rangiora Tennis Club meeting who were looking to relocate to the multisport facility.
• Noted the opening of Ivory Bar.
• Attended Armistice Day commemorations.
• Attended Rangiora Racecourse meeting.
• Attended Fonterra Open Gates event at Claxby Farm, impressive operation.
• Met with croquet club, they were looking to extend their greens. A lot of growth in the club.

11.3 **K Barnett**
• Attended a number of events already mentioned.
• Was a judge at Centre Stage and noted the talent was to a very high standard.
• Noted upcoming hearing for new bus shelter.
• Noted PGA event to be held at Pegasus Golf Club.

11.4 **K Galloway**
• Complimented Chief Executive on the Christmas lights.
• Attended Soroptimist Fair.
• Attended Rangiora A&P show.
• Noted historical video developed by the Rangiora Museum showcasing amazing history.
• Commented the Blake Street market required promotion.

11.5 **G Miller**
• Attended community service provider’s regular network meeting.
• Attended ECan and WDC tour of source of the Cam River looking at potential enhancements. Noted fish used to be present in the past.
• Keep Rangiora Beautiful – noted upcoming planting along Milton Avenue.

11.6 **J Hoult**
• Attended Halloween Light Party supporting North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support Gets Ready promotion.
• Timebank – at the stage of signing up members. COGs funding for coordinator for first six month project, project was now underway.
• Continuing te Reo lessons.
• Provided an update on the Road Naming Committee meeting. The Townsend Road developers had a strong art connection. The road names approved for the subdivision were the surnames of famous New Zealand artists.

11.7 **M Clarke**
• Attended Rangiora A&P show.
• Helping with organisation of Community Watch 20 year Celebration.

11.8 **P Williams**
• Attended Community Service Awards – a well organised night with worthy recipients.
• Laid wreath for Armistice Day commemorations at Cenotaph.
• Attended Rangiora A&P show in Councillors’ tent, was disappointed that he was the only member present to answer questions from the public. There was good feedback from the public and a good initiative with community watering trees outside their homes with free buckets. D Gordon noted he was in China at the time.
• Dog Park – required water on the main side.
• Had a number of complaints regarding roading, including drainage and potholes on Milton Avenue following developments.
11.9 **D Lundy**

- Busy with the Rangiora A&P Show.
- Noted discussion at Road Naming Committee regarding use of first and surname for road names.
- Attended Loburn Pet Show as judge.
- Loburn Domain – the lighting issues were being resolved. Path forward with memorial and construction would begin in February.
- Noted pressure for a further rugby field at the grounds. Highlighted there were less younger members coming through to lead the club to provide the same support to the Domain in the future.
- The Garrymere Working Party would be starting soon.
- Congratulated C Brown on his new role as Manager Community and Recreation.

11.10 **C Prickett**

- Noted flooding at Milton Avenue and commented he had been impressed with the response of the roading manager.

12 **CONSULTATION PROJECTS**

**Our Space 2018-2048 Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Update**

Consultation closes Friday 30 November 2018.

http://www.greaterchristchurch.org.nz/ourspace


E Cordwell noted that there had been an application for a new off license that she wished to bring to the Board’s attention. She asked if the Board wished to make a submission. As part of the DLC, P Williams and J Gerard would not be involved.

It was agreed a submission would be made. E Cordwell and D Lundy would coordinate.

13 **BOARD FUNDING UPDATE**

13.1 **Board Discretionary Grant**

Balance as at 2 October September 2018: $7,803.36 plus estimated carry forward of $1451.

13.2 **General Landscaping Fund**

Balance as at 2 October 2018: $26,160 (including carry forward).

14 **MEDIA ITEMS**

15 **QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

16 **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**
NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board is scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 12 December 2018 in the Council Chambers at the Rangiora Service Centre.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 9.20pm.

CONFIRMED

________________
Chairperson

________________
Date

Workshop

• Bill Rice (Senior Roading Engineer) – Cycleways and Walkways.
  Members discussed various proposals for a District wide integrated cycle and walkway network.

• Simon Hart (Business and Centres Manager) – Rangiora Town Centre Plan
  Staff provide an update on the proposed review and outline timetable for this major project.

• Members Forum