

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA, ON WEDNESDAY, 13 MARCH 2024, AT 7PM.**

**PRESENT**

J Gerard (Chairperson), K Barnett (Deputy Chairperson), R Brine, I Campbell, M Clarke, M Fleming, J Goldsworthy, L McClure, B McLaren, J Ward, S Wilkinson, and P Williams.

**IN ATTENDANCE**

S Hart (General Manager Strategy, Engagement and Economic Development), J McBride (Roading and Transportation Leader), K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader), G Stephens (Greenspace Design and Planning Team Leader), and E Stubbs (Governance Support Officer).

There were three members of the public present.

**1. APOLOGIES**

There were no apologies.

**2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

There were no conflicts of interest declared.

**3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

**3.1. Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 14 February 2024**

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: B McLaren

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Confirms**, as a true and accurate record, the circulated Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting, held on 14 February 2024.

**CARRIED**

**3.2. Matters Arising (From Minutes)**

Nil.

**3.3. Notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Workshop – 14 February 2024**

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: L McClure

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the circulated Notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Workshop, held on 14 February 2024.

**CARRIED**

**4. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS**

Nil.

## 5. ADJOURNED BUSINESS

### 5.1. Approval to Install No Stopping Restrictions associated with Pedestrian Refuge Islands – K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader) and J McBride (Roading and Transportation Manager)

S Hart apologised that staff responses to the queries regarding this report had not been circulated prior to the meeting. However, members have now been provided with the information.

K Straw noted that the report had been presented to the Board at a previous meeting, where concern had been raised regarding public consultation on the proposed pedestrian refuge island. The report had been laid on the table to enable further consultation with affected residents. Following that meeting, staff liaised with the property owners and refined the proposed plan. Staff believed that the options presented went some way in addressing all concerns raised. Staff had also sought independent safety advice from WSP, and their technical note was included as an attachment to the report. K Straw further advised that the proposed design changes would add a further \$5,000 to \$8,000 to the project cost.

J McBride reiterated that a significant amount of work had gone into identifying the proposed locations of the pedestrian islands to ensure minimal impact on residents and businesses while increasing accessibility by allowing people to cross busy roads. Staff was aware of the high demand for vehicles accessing the produce market, and that issue already existed. The answers provided by staff to questions showed tracking curves and space for vehicles to manoeuvre and stack.

S Wilkinson questioned the necessity of the refuge island on Ivory Street, and J McBride advised that it was to facilitate pedestrian movement across Ivory Street. Staff were aware there was another crossing further up; however, there was also demand in the design location.

S Wilkinson further enquired why three crossings were necessary when they were only 100 meters apart. J McBride noted that, as stated in the report, Ivory Street had a much higher number of pedestrians and vehicles, which required more frequent pedestrian crossing locations to allow for safe crossing. There was also a high elderly population in that location.

In response to a question from S Wilkinson, J McBride advised that no pedestrian count had been undertaken along Ivory Street.

S Wilkinson understood there had been a pedestrian refuge further down Ivory Street, which had subsequently been removed. J McBride advised that the pedestrian refuge had impacted one business in that location. She stressed that it was extremely difficult to identify suitable locations for a pedestrian refuge.

M Fleming enquired about the neighbouring property owners on West Belt's reaction to the proposed pedestrian islands. K Straw believed that the new design had tried to address both neighbours' concerns; however, it was a balance between retaining carparks and improving sightlines.

J Ward asked about a pedestrian island further toward Northbrook, and J McBride noted that an intersection may need to be considered in the future. However, the Ivory Street crossing presented today was a step toward improving pedestrian safety in that corridor.

P Williams enquired if a pedestrian count had been undertaken for the West Belt crossing and raised doubt about its necessity. J McBride commented that accident history was just one factor that was taken into consideration. It was a wide road with many users, including school children. It was important for people to be able to walk safely around their neighbourhood.

P Williams commented on the Ivory Street crossing and pedestrians crossing how and where it suited them. J McBride acknowledged that accidents occurred for a number of reasons. Staff did not believe that two crossings were sufficient for the entire stretch of Ivory Street, as it was about providing options for pedestrians.

I Campbell asked if the speed would be reduced to 40km/hr as raised in the report. J McBride commented that there was currently no plan to change the speed limit, and speed limit changes had been halted until the Central Government provided a clear mandate. The 40km/hr referred to in the report was the safe and appropriate speed as per Waka Kotahi NZ Transport Agency.

I Campbell enquired about similar crossings around the district, and J McBride advised that a number had been installed, such as in Main Street, Oxford to help improve pedestrian accessibility.

K Barnett commented that while she understood the motivation for crossings, she was concerned about constraints for the Ivory Street crossing. She asked if the Ivory Street crossing was discouraging car use in favour of pedestrians. J McBride confirmed that there was no prioritisation of pedestrians over vehicles. The constraints were already present in terms of exiting and entering the Produce Market carpark, and she did not believe the island would make the situation worse.

K Barnett noted that the entrance to the Produce Market was too narrow for two vehicles and questioned whether there was concern about vehicles damaging the pedestrian refuge. J McBride referred to the aerial photographs, noting the gap for turning vehicles. The crossing would assist in controlling the path that a vehicle would take while turning. With larger vehicles, the driver sits higher and could look further ahead.

K Barnett asked if a single entry/exit-only option had been considered. K Straw commented that there were multiple stakeholders for that location, and the option had not been discussed. However, both business owners were very supportive of the refuge islands and noted that their staff would use the crossing.

M Clarke questioned if pedestrian refuges were damaged by vehicles, and J McBride noted that the signs and rails were generally damaged. The one by McDonald's was often damaged.

K Barnett enquired which other stakeholders had been consulted. J McBride explained that consultation had been no different from consultation for other pedestrian refuges in the district. Regarding the Trucking Association, the rail and signs were removable if required. There had been a consultation with the New Zealand Automobile Association.

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: J Ward

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 231124188939.
- (b) **Endorses** the installation of the proposed pedestrian refuge islands at West Belt and Ivory Street.

**CARRIED**

7/5

A division was called:

**For 7:** R Brine, M Fleming, J Gerard, J Goldsworthy, B McLaren, L McClure and J Ward

**Against 5:** K Barnett, I Campbell, M Clarke, S Wilkinson, and P Williams

AND

**THAT** the Rangiora Ashley Community Board recommends the Utilities and Roding Committee:

- (c) **Approves** the associated no-stopping restrictions at each site.
- (d) **Approves** the installation of no-stopping restrictions at the following locations to install a pedestrian refuge on West Belt, mid-block between Milesbrook Close and Harrod Place:
  - i. Outside No. 55 West Belt (approximately 30m long).
- (e) **Approves** the installation of a 10m kerb build-out in front of No. 48 West Belt.

**CARRIED**

- (f) **Approves** the installation of no-stopping restrictions at the following locations to install a pedestrian refuge on Ivory Street immediately north of Thorne Place:
  - i. Outside No. 34 Ivory Street (approximately 30m long)
  - ii. Outside No. 29 and 35 Ivory Street (approximately 40m long)

**CARRIED**  
9/3

A division was called:

**For 9:** K Barnett, R Brine, Clarke, M Fleming, J Gerard, J Goldsworthy, B McLaren, L McClure and J Ward.

**Against 3:** I Campbell, S Wilkinson, and P Williams

- (g) **Notes** that to retain two on-street parking spaces outside No. 48 West Belt, the proposed refuge island has been offset to the west, and a kerb build-out is proposed on the eastern side of West Belt.
- (h) **Notes** that a pedestrian refuge island is proposed for Ivory Street, immediately north of the Doggett Place intersection. However, there is already a no-stopping restriction through this length, and therefore, no changes to the parking are required at this location.

**CARRIED**

B McLaren commended the diligence and effort staff had put in by liaising with residents on a number of occasions. In his experience with traffic management (STMS L3), he understood the balance between traffic and pedestrians and that the interface could be dangerous. The refuge island would also have the psychological effect of slowing down traffic.

J Ward believed the traffic island would assist the elderly on Ivory St when they tried to cross the road.

R Brine endorsed the motion and noted his past observations when discussing cycleways on the importance of pedestrian refuges to provide those not in vehicles safe crossing options. Members should consider the demographics of Rangiora, which had an ageing population. While there had been discussion around the crossing to the supermarket, other destinations, such as the warehouse complex, were also important to the elderly.

K Barnett appreciated the work of staff in a difficult area. She endorsed the West Belt crossing and that further down Ivory St; however, she did have concerns regarding the crossing near Doggett Place on Ivory Street as she believed it would create more challenges. There was already congestion in that location, and motorist frustration led to risky behaviour. She believed a safer option would be to stop traffic and for the Produce Market to have an entry/exit-only layout.

## Amendment

Moved: S Wilkinson

Seconded: I Campbell

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 231124188939.
- (b) **Endorse** Option Three, which was to retain the Status Quo and not install the refuges.

*AND*

**THAT** the Rangiora Ashley Community Board recommends the Utilities and Roading Committee:

- (c) **Declines** the associated no stopping restrictions at each site.
- (d) **Declines** the installation of no-stopping restrictions at the following locations to install a pedestrian refuge on West Belt, mid-block between Milesbrook Close and Harrod Place:
  - ii. Outside No. 55 West Belt (approximately 30m long).
- (e) **Declines** the installation of a 10m kerb build-out in front of No. 48 West Belt.
- (f) **Declines** the installation of no-stopping restrictions at the following locations to install a pedestrian refuge on Ivory Street immediately north of Thorne Place:
  - iii. Outside No. 34 Ivory Street (approximately 30m long)
  - iv. Outside No. 29 and 35 Ivory Street (approximately 40m long)
- (g) **Recommend** Council staff develop a policy framework for reviewing the installation of future pedestrian refuge islands.

**LOST**  
3/9

A division was called:

**For 3:** I Campbell, S Wilkinson, and P Williams.

**Against 9:** K Barnett, R Brine, M Clarke, M Fleming, J Gerard, J Goldsworthy, B McLaren, L McClure and Ward.

## Debate on the Amendment

S Wilkinson was concerned that approving the pedestrian refuge islands would set a precedent without having a set criteria, for example, around traffic flows. He was also not convinced of the value to the community in installing the refuge islands in this tough economic climate when there was already a refuge by the retirement village. The pedestrian refuge islands would cost the combined rates of all the elected members.

P Williams supported the amendment and agreed with S Wilkinson, noting the cost of \$45,000 plus \$5,000 to \$8,000 for the revised design, which was likely to be higher. In the current economic climate, people were struggling, and he was not 100% confident that people would want the pedestrian refuge islands. Also, he was concerned that there was no policy which guided the installation of refuge islands and no pedestrian counts, which meant the Council was not aware of the actual demand.

R Brine did not support the amendment and, as a 41-year police veteran, he was concerned about the discussion weighing costs against public safety. He noted that the general vehicle speed in this busy area, including traffic lights, was around 20 to 30km/hr rather than 50km/hr. He believed the best option was to install the refuge islands on Ivory St to allow the elderly, a safe and convenient place to cross.

J Ward agreed with R Brine and also did not support the amendment. She believed it was important to make provision for a safe crossing for the elderly and for others who wanted to cross.

She noted that it was not just about providing a place to cross for the supermarket, as there were many other routes and destinations the crossing would assist with.

K Barnett agreed there was merit in a policy framework; however, she could not support the amendment as she saw value in the safety that the pedestrian refuge islands would provide. While it was a tough economic climate, the Waimakariri was a growth district, and not spending created risks.

M Clarke advised that he had attended a recent Greypower meeting where members had supported the pedestrian refuge islands, as crossing the road could be terrifying for slower walkers who require walking frames.

M Fleming did not support the amendment. Regarding pedestrian numbers, she believed that providing the pedestrian refuge islands would increase the number of pedestrians; therefore, counts before installation would not provide a true reflection of user numbers.

J Goldsworthy also did not support the amendment, although he did see merits to a policy. He commented it was easy for motorists, such as themselves, to make incredibly vehicle-focused decisions. He noted that the Accessibility Group Workshop had been eye-opening, and he had observed how difficult it could be to get around the streets of Rangiora. He suggested that members also consider schoolchildren. He believed that more options for safe crossings should be a priority.

It was agreed that the substantive motion would be moved in parts.

## **6. REPORTS**

### **6.1. Rangiora-Ashley Community Board General Landscaping Budget – G Stephens (Greenspace Design and Planning Team Leader)**

G Stephens introduced the report on the General landscaping budget, noting it contained an update on previously approved projects. He highlighted the following:

- The Loburn Domain War Memorial had been in progress for some time, and the Advisory Group was now considering the sixth design. The Group was ready to proceed with this design; however, it would require approval from the Board. The design had been presented to the Rangiora RSA and then the RSA Canterbury Executive who had both been very excited about the unique memorial. They had indicated they would like to provide some assistance, and this could progress if the board approved the design.
- The newly planted trees at the Millton Reserve were thriving, with staff having taken on advice regarding watering management. The funding which could have been spent on irrigation was instead being used for pathways and implementing the master plan.
- The Board had approved the installation of a park bench and new playground features at the Canterbury St Reserve in Ashley. However, it had been noted that the current playground bark was not deep enough, and significant funds would need to be used to either dig a deeper footprint or raise the edging. G Stephens noted that the Greenspace Team would commence work on a wider reserve development in the near future and suggested that the funding instead be used as part of the wider redesign.

G Stephens recommended that the Kippenberger Town Entrance and the continued support of the youth space at Dudley Park be considered for this year's allocation. He noted that the community expressed concerns regarding the concrete wall along Kippenberger Avenue. However, summer was not a good time for planting to help soften the wall's facade.

P Williams noted the \$4,000 for the picnic table for Dudley Park and asked if the Youth Council could approach local businesses such as the sawmill to contribute, or could the Council consider milling timber from tree felling. G Stephens advised that milling had not been considered; however, it could be considered for future projects. He was not aware of the Youth Council approaching any local businesses for sponsorships. However, he would make the suggestion to the Youth Council. G Stephens noted that while the project could eventually be delivered at a lower cost, there was a risk that if the Board did not approve the \$4,000 the project may not occur if the Youth Council was unsuccessful in securing other funding.

M Fleming asked about the design feature for Kippenberger Ave and asked if it could be the same person who had designed the military feature now at Lineside Road. G Stephens noted that staff would like to tap into the local skill base.

S Wilkinson sought clarification of the costs, and G Stephens explained that the funding was for implementation, not design and providing a clear outline of the budget upfront ensured the design stayed within the funding provision.

K Barnett commented on concerns raised regarding the concrete wall; while she understood the planting delay, she asked if there was a way to communicate this to the community. G Stephens advised that social media could be utilised through the Council's Communications Team around the timing for planting.

I Campbell enquired what contribution the developers would make to the Kippenberger Avenue beautification. G Stephens advised that the Council worked closely with developers to meet service levels. MainPower had also contributed \$2 million to undergrounding the powerlines.

S Wilkinson asked if staff were comfortable that no other projects would need to be brought to the Board in the next six months, and G Stephens confirmed that staff were.

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: I Campbell

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 240223028222.
- (b) **Notes** that the previous design for the Loburn War Memorial was not attainable within the current budget available and staff have been working with the Loburn Domain Advisory Group to create a new concept which is within budget.
- (c) **Notes** this design has been shown to the Canterbury RSA Executive Team who gave unanimous support for the proposed new design (Attachment i: Trim 240223028201).
- (d) **Approves** the Loburn Domain War Memorial – Proposed Concept Design 2024 for implementation.
- (e) **Notes** that there is existing budget of \$55,000 made up of previous allocations from the Board, Council, Veterans Affairs, Rata and the Loburn Domain Development Fund towards the Loburn War Memorial. Staff estimate the cost of the proposed concept to be just below \$55,000 which is within the budget available and includes a 10% contingency.
- (f) **Notes** that staff are working to complete works this year at Millton Memorial Reserve including pathways, signage, seating, fencing and tree planting.
- (g) **Notes** that the trees planted are flourishing under the current method of hand watering. This is expected to continue for the first four years after planting.

- (h) **Notes** the trees selected are specifically chosen to be drought resistant and once established should survive without further watering. A permanent irrigation system is not required and therefore not included within the proposed works going forward.
- (i) **Notes** the addition of a swing and slide as an interim upgrade of Canterbury Street Reserve is no longer feasible due to unforeseen costs relating to the play equipment however the picnic table has been installed on site.
- (j) **Approves** the retraction of previously allocated \$9,500 towards Canterbury Reserve Interim Upgrade with the budget being returned to the General Landscaping Budget for further allocation. Noting that engagement and design will begin in the 24/25 financial year for the play space renewal.
- (k) **Approves** the allocation of \$20,000 towards the Kippenberger Town Entrance noting this will be used for tree planting and street garden planting.
- (l) **Approves** the allocation of \$4,000 towards a picnic table at Dudley Park as part of the Waimakariri Youth Council project.
- (m) **Approves** the allocation of the remaining budget of \$17,191 towards a town entrance feature commemorating Howard Kippenberger at the Kippenberger Town Entrance. This will enable staff to begin design and development of this project with a report being brought back to the Board in the new financial year with options and associated costs.
- (n) **Notes** that this would allocate all existing budget and leave no remaining budget for allocation within this financial year.
- (o) **Suggests** to the Youth Council that they seek outside support for the picnic table project.

**CARRIED**

P Williams suggested it would be beneficial for the Youth Council to approach businesses regarding support and practise negotiating skills.

I Campbell congratulated staff for helping progress the Loburn Domain memorial; it would be good to see that finalised.

K Barnett commented on the usefulness of the Dudley Park picnic table for families, not just teenagers. She asked that the Board be kept informed regarding the Kippenberger Avenue design and planting.

6.2. **Application to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board's 2023/24 Discretionary Grant Fund – T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader)**

S Hart briefly introduced the report advising he would take it as read.

P Williams asked, with regard to the Waimakariri Public Arts Trust application, if it were appropriate for the Board to be funding artwork in the current economic climate, particularly when the Council already provided funding to the Trust.

J Gerard commented that the Council provided the Board with a grant budget amount to allocate as they saw fit. Any reduction would come from the Council allocation to the Board.

K Barnett noted that if all applications were approved this evening, the Board would have \$5,000 remaining in the discretionary grant budget until July.



Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: B McLaren

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 240214021428.
- (b) **Approves** a grant of \$1,000 to the Okuku Pony Club to cover costs associated with its annual event in June 2024.

**CARRIED**

K Barnett commented there was a significant horse-riding population in the district.

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: L McClure

- (c) **Approves** a grant of \$180 to the Hope Community Trust to purchase handheld two-way radios.

**CARRIED**

P Williams believed the Hope Community Trust was a good organisation and J Gerard suggested the Board attend a Hope Community Trust dinner to provide support.

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: J Ward

- (d) **Approves** a grant of \$1,000 to the Waimakariri Public Arts Trust towards the cost of installing a public sculpture at MainPower Stadium.

**CARRIED**

## **7. CORRESPONDENCE**

Nil.

## **8. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT**

### **8.1. Chair's Diary for February 2024**

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: K Barnett

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 240305033881.

**CARRIED**

## **9. MATTERS FOR INFORMATION**

- 9.1. **Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 8 February 2024.**
- 9.2. **Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 13 February 2024.**
- 9.3. **Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Meeting Minutes 11 December 2023.**
- 9.4. **Chlorine Exemption Revised Strategy – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.**
- 9.5. **Adoption of Greater Christchurch Partnership Housing Action Plan – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.**
- 9.6. **Fernside Road/Todds Road Intersection – Purchase of land over current designations held over No.7 Todds Road and No.245 Fernside Road – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.**

- 9.7. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Chairpersons Report for the period October 2022 to December 2023 – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.8. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Chairpersons Report for the period October 2022 to December 2023 – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.9. Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Chairpersons Report for the period October 2022 to December 2023 – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.10. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report January 2024 – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.11. July 2023 Flood Recovery Progress Update – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee 20 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.12. Libraries Update to 29 January 2024 – Report to Community and Recreation Committee 20 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.13. Aquatics February Report – Report to Community and Recreation Committee 20 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.

#### **Public Excluded**

- 9.14. Kaiapoi regeneration Area – Wai Huka o Waitaha Trust (WHoW) Proposal Update – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.15. Proposed Partial Sale of 136 Percival Street, Rangiora – Report to Council Meeting 7 February 2024 – Circulates to all Boards.

Moved: L McClure

Seconded: J Ward

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) Receives the information in Items.9.1 to 9.13.
- (b) Receives the separately circulated public excluded information in Items 9.14 and 9.15.

**CARRIED**

## **10. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

### **R Brine**

- Advised there had been another fire at the Southbrook Transfer Station. People throwing batteries into their waste was believed to be the problem and there was no easy solution to that.
- Commented on the inclusion of funding for the Southbrook Sports Club in the Draft Long-Term Plan. The current clubrooms were not fit for purpose, and a rough estimate for a new facility was \$3.2 million. There was a precedent for the community to raise funding for this amount.
- Noted a Council workshop with the Roading Team regarding the Townsend Road culvert and Rangiora water supply pipeline. The culvert required widening, and the pipe moved further to the east. There were a number of options around traffic control during construction including closing completely. This would reduce the time of construction and save money but put pressure on other roads. It was a significant decision. Another option was traffic lights. Staff had advised it was better to have a staged approach, moving the pipe first and then widening the culvert.
- Noted the Environment Canterbury (ECan) projected rate rise of 24%.

### **J Ward**

- Attended Utilities and Roading and Community and Recreation Committee meetings.
- The Draft 2024-34 Long Term Plan (LTP) had been approved for consultation and would be out to the public for a month.
- Attended Council CEO Review.
- Attended Southbrook Sports Club meeting.
- Attended Accessibility Group session.
- Commented she was proud of staff working on the challenges of Southbrook Road; there were major road safety projects coming up.
- Advised the Promotions Associations Review was underway.
- Attended an Audit and Risk Committee meeting where Enterprise North Canterbury, Te Kōhaka o Tūhaitara Trust and Bancorp Treasury presented.

### **P Williams**

- Noted he had attended many of the meetings already advised.
- Noted discussion on proceeding with cycleways following the Central Government announcement.
- Commented on ECan stopbank control work on the Cam River.
- Commented on Ian McIntosh's Climate Change presentation: It was good for the Council to receive an alternative perspective on what was happening. It was noted that an application to present to All Boards had been refused. However, the presentation had been circulated to the Board. Suggested that the Central Government was shifting away from making provisions for climate change.
- Attended Rangiora Airfield meeting.
- Attended Drainage Group meetings.

### **I Campbell**

- Agreed it was important to get a balanced view of climate change.
- Had spoken to concerned locals regarding the Whiterock Quarry, the opposition was well organised.

It was noted that a resource consent application was received the Board would consider its position then.

### **M Clarke**

- Attended the Greypower Committee meeting.
- Attended Bowling Club meeting, they were considering whether the club should move.
- Attended a meeting with residents of Southbelt concerned about pumps running and dust and had raised concerns with the contractor.
- Attended Central Drainage meeting and was surprised at the budget.

### **K Barnett**

- Some discussion in Cust community regarding use of converted church for events.
- Commented on Rangiora street closures and necessity to widen letter drop for affected residents.

### **B McLaren**

- Attended North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support meeting; they were looking at their Strategic Plan.
- Attended Rangiora and Districts Early Records Society, where the future of the museum had been discussed. They had been directed to make a submission to the LTP.
- Provided some background on the Christchurch City Council Go Legit Programme Chorus Cabinets art initiative. It was providing positive results for the community.

### **L McLure**

- Attended Access Group workshop and commented on difficulties and dangerous access issues faced by some residents.
- Attended the Volunteer Expo.
- Noted there would be an update on Rangiora Food Forest.

### **S Wilkinson**

- Attended Southbrook Sports Club meeting.
- Attended Drainage Advisory Board meeting; it was 50% above budget, indicating funding did not reflect reality.
- Attended the Climate Change presentation by Ian McIntosh.
- Advised he would be attending the upcoming North Canterbury Ratepayers meeting.

### **J Goldsworthy**

- Noted complaints regarding parking on King Street and options on how to mitigate.
- Advised that there had been an increase in levels of service, with Food and Health Safety now being undertaken in-house and improvement in advice to applicants.
- Both North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support and Promotions Associations were undergoing Strategic Plan reviews.
- Attended a day trip to view repairs at Lees Valley.

### **M Fleming**

- Attended a meeting regarding the promotion and encouragement of the intellectually disabled to pursue self-advocacy.
- Suggestion that the Council consider the uptake of a program that enables public documents to be made easier to read.
- Queried whether the practice of providing a hardcopy or construction notices for affected residents was to be stopped.

## **11. CONSULTATION PROJECTS**

### **11.1. E-Scooter Survey 2024**

Consultation closed on Sunday, 17 March 2024.

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/waimakariri-district-e-scooter-survey-2024>

### **11.2. Community Development**

Consultation closed on Friday, 22 March 2024.

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/community-development>

11.3. **Community Development**

Consultation closed on Friday, 29 March 2024.

<https://letstalk.waimakariri.govt.nz/west-eyreton-domain-pavilion>

11.4. **Council Long Term Plan**

Consultation from Friday, 15 March, to Monday, 15 April 2024.

The Board noted the various consultation projects.

12. **BOARD FUNDING UPDATE**

12.1. **Board Discretionary Grant**

Balance as at 29 February 2024: \$7,790.

12.2. **General Landscaping Fund**

Balance as at 29 February 2024: \$27,370.

The Board noted the Board funding updates.

13. **MEDIA ITEMS**

Nil.

14. **QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil.

15. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil.

**NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board was scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 10 April 2024.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS THE MEETING CLOSED AT 9.10pm.

**CONFIRMED**



11 April 2024