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BUSINESS

1. APOLOGIES

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Conflicts of interest (if any) to be reported for minuting.

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1 Minutes of a meeting of the District Planning and Regulation Committee held on 19 June 2018

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the District Planning and Regulation Committee:

(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the minutes of a meeting of the District Planning and Regulation Committee held on 19 June 2018.

4. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES

5. DEPUTATION
6. REPORTS

6.1 Annual Report to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority 2018 – Malcolm Johnston (Environmental Services Manager)

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the District Planning and Regulation Committee

(a) Receives report No. 180731085418.
(b) Approves the attached 2017 2018 Report to ARLA.
(c) Circulates a copy of this report to the Boards.

6.2 Annual Report: Dog Control 2017/2018 – Malcolm Johnston (Environmental Services Manager)

RECOMMENDATION

THAT the District Planning and Regulation Committee recommends:

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180704074535.
(b) Approves the attached 2017/2018 Annual Report on Dog Control to the Department of Internal Affairs.
(c) Circulates a copy of this report to the Boards.

7. PORTFOLIO UPDATES

7.1 District Planning Development – Councillor Neville Atkinson
7.2 Regulation and Civil Defence – Councillor John Meyer
7.3 Business, Promotion and Town Centres – Councillor Dan Gordon

8. QUESTIONS

9. URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS

Briefing

- At the conclusion of the meeting there will be a briefing to the Committee. A separate agenda has been circulated for this.
WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL

MINUTES OF THE DISTRICT PLANNING AND REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA ON TUESDAY 19
JUNE 2018 1.00PM.

PRESENT
Councillor D Gordon (Chair), Mayor D Ayers, Councillors N Atkinson, W Doody, J Meyer and
S Stewart.

IN ATTENDANCE
Councillors P Williams, K Barnett and K Felstead.
J Palmer (Chief Executive), N Harrison (Manager Regulation), M Bacon (Team Leader
Resource Consents) and E Stubbs (Minute Secretary).

1. APOLOGIES
There were no apologies

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
There were no conflicts of interest.

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES
3.1 Minutes of a meeting of the District Planning and Regulation Committee held on 17 April 2018

Moved N Atkinson seconded W Doody
THAT the District Planning and Regulation Committee:
(a) Confirms as a true and correct record the minutes of a meeting of
the District Planning and Regulation Committee held on 17 April
2018.
CARRIED

4. MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES
There were no matters arising.

5. DEPUTATION
There was no deputation.
6. REPORTS

6.1 Background to Notification Process – P Mulligan, 19 Jacksons Road, Ohoka – Matthew Bacon, (Planning Manager)

M Bacon spoke to the report first providing a brief background summary. The applicant owned an approximately 4 hectare site in Jacksons Road, Ohoka, split in the middle by a right of way. The original application for subdivision and land use consents was to split the land into two parcels, retain the existing dwelling and erect a new dwelling. M Bacon noted the attached legal opinions. Following the legal opinions, the applicant withdrew the request for subdivision consent and asked that processing of the required land use consent for the new dwelling and retention of the existing dwelling continue.

M Bacon explained that the parcel was part of a long right of way servicing 2-3 other lots. Some landowners were aware of the proposed development however did not feature in the notification assessment.

The recommendation from Stephanie Styles (Boffa Miskell) was full notification under s95A of the Resource Management Act 1991 based on effects on character and amenity. The recommendation had been reviewed by staff and was supported. There was the ability for future decision makers to review objectives and policies in context of the application.

Questions

W Doody asked if the house was for dwelling purposes or another business. M Bacon confirmed it was for a dwelling.

Moved W Doody seconded N Atkinson

THAT the District Planning and Regulation Committee

(a) Receives report No. 180529058960

(b) Notes the recommendation in the s95 assessment of Stephanie Styles as set out in report No. (180529059149)

(c) Determines that full notification of RC165110 (P Mulligan, 19 Jacksons Road, Ohoka) occurs under s95A of the Resource Management Act 1991.

CARRIED

Mayor Ayers commented that he agreed with the recommendation regarding impacts on other houses in the area. He noted that the composting resource consent application consent was not too far away, and in that part of the community there may be residents asking ‘what is going on here?’

D Gordon asked if there would be financial costs to the applicant and M Bacon advised there would be processing costs involved. N Harrison commented that the time the process had taken was unusual. In regard to the hearing path, the recommendation would be to hold the costs in house. It was a relatively straightforward consent matter.
7. **PORTFOLIO UPDATES**

7.1 **District Planning Development** - Councillor Neville Atkinson

N Atkinson noted with the Greater Christchurch Land Settlement Package there was $1 billion for transport on the table. The division was $600,000 for a public transport package. There was not a lot of detail at this stage, and there was a lot to work through.

7.2 **Regulation and Civil Defence** – Councillor John Meyer

No current updates.

7.3 **Business, Promotion and Town Centres** – Councillor Dan Gordon

D Gordon continued to meet regularly for updates with S Hart (Business and Centres Manager).

D Gordon advised that Service Level Agreements were being agreed with the Town Promotions Associations. Kaiapoi Promotions Association was traveling better and starting to make good progress. There was an upcoming ‘mix and mingle’. He attended the Rangiora Promotions Association AGM and noted that the Association was strongly performing and now organising seven major events. Oxford Promotions Association was travelling well and D Gordon noted the upcoming Oxford winter lights festival. The Rangiora Winter Festival was now known as the Waimakariri Winter Festival and would be a two week event.

8. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

9. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

There being no further business, the meeting closed at 1.11pm.

CONFIRMED

_________________________ Chairperson

_________________________ Date
1. **SUMMARY**

   1.1 This report informs Council of the proposed 2017 2018 annual report to the Alcohol Regulatory Licensing Authority (ARLA) about the activity of the District Licensing Committee (DLC). This report is referred to the District Planning and Regulation Committee as this committee has jurisdiction for alcohol licencing matters. Section 199 of the *Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2014* states that within 3 months after the end of every financial year, every territorial authority must prepare and send to ARLA an annual report outlining the territorial authorities licensing proceedings and operations for that financial year.

   1.2 The Committee has the option of adopting the recommendation as fulfilling the requirement of Section 199. If the Committee adopts the recommendation the annual report will be sent to ARLA and a copy placed on the Council website.

   1.3 The annual report covers trends in the DLC’s workload over the last year, any new DLC initiatives undertaken, what effect the Local Alcohol Policy is having within the District, and whether we believe the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act is achieving the object of the Act for the Waimakariri District.

   **Attachments:**

   i. 2017 2018 Report to ARLA Trim No: 180809089995.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

   **THAT** the District Planning and Regulation Committee:

   (a) **Receives** report No. 180731085418

   (b) **Approves** the attached 2017 2018 Report to ARLA

   (c) **Circulates** a copy of this report to the Boards.
3. BACKGROUND

3.1 Alcohol Licensing in New Zealand is overseen and monitored by the Alcohol Regulatory Licensing Authority.

3.2 The annual report is for information purposes for ARLA and itemises the types and numbers of alcohol licence applications dealt with by the Waimakariri District Licensing Committee. It is also an opportunity for the District Licensing Committee to provide feedback directly to ARLA.

4. ISSUES AND OPTIONS

4.1 The annual reports includes feedback from DLC’s that covers trends in the DLC’s workload, any new DLC initiatives undertaken for the year, what effect the Local Alcohol Policy is having in the District, and whether we believe the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act is achieving the object of the Act.

4.2 The Waimakariri DLC met on Friday 10 August 2018 to discuss this feedback. Their feedback has been incorporated into the annual report.

4.3 The Management Team have reviewed this report and support the recommendations.

5. COMMUNITY VIEWS

5.1 Groups and Organisations: The annual report is a statutory requirement of the Waimakariri District Licensing Committee to inform the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority of a summary of the alcohol licence applications dealt with by the Committee over the preceding year. The information is collected across Waimakariri, district-wide and is not broken down into wards

5.2 Wider Community: A copy of the report will be placed on Council’s website for a period of 5 years.

6. IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

6.1 Financial Implications: Alcohol licencing work is funded by a mix of alcohol licencing fees and from the general rate. Council currently employs one licensing inspector and approximately a .75FTE administration component (Secretary and Admin).

6.2 Community Implications: Nil

6.3 Risk Management: No policy development or alcohol licence operational work is dependent on this report and it has no risk considerations.

6.4 Health and Safety: Nil

7. CONTEXT

7.1 Policy

This is not a matter of significance in terms of the Council’s Significance and Engagement Policy.
7.2. **Legislation: Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2014, Section 199 –**

3 months after the end of every financial year, every territorial authority must prepare and send to the licensing authority a report of the proceedings and operations of its licensing committees during the year.

The licensing authority may specify the form of the annual report and the matters to be included in the report.

The licensing authority or the licensing committee must, on payment of any reasonable fee it may prescribe, provide a copy of each report to any person who asks for one.

Every annual report required to be prepared under this section is a public record for the purposes of this Act.

A copy of every annual report must be made available by the territorial authority for inspection free of charge and be made available on an Internet site maintained by or on behalf of the territorial authority for a period of not less than 5 years:

7.3. **Community Outcomes**

There is a safe environment for all – *Harm to people from natural and man-made hazards is minimised.*

7.4. **Delegations**

Delegation S-DM 1026:

The District Planning and Regulation Committee shall enjoy all the powers granted to a standing committee under this Manual and shall be responsible for determining policy within the following general jurisdiction:

- Alcohol Licensing
2017 2018 Waimakariri District Council Annual Report to the Alcohol Regulatory and Licensing Authority

1. Please provide the name of your District Licensing Committee – Waimakariri District Licensing Committee.

2. Please provide the name, email, and contact phone number of your Committee’s Secretary – Malcolm Johnston, malcolm.johnston@wmk.govt.nz phone 021 480082.

3. Please name each of your licensing inspectors and provide their email and contact phone number. Raj Deo, raj.deo@wmk.govt.nz phone 021 480834.

4. The following questions relate to the number of licences and managers’ certificates your Committee issued and refused in the 2016-17 and 2017-18 financial years.

   Note: the 2016-17 financial year runs from 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017 and the 2017-18 financial year runs from 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018.

   **Licences 2016-2017**
   In the 2016-17 year, how many ‘on licences’ did your Committee issue? (14)
   In the 2016-17 year, how many applications for ‘on licences’ did your Committee refuse? (0)
   In the 2016-17 year, how many ‘off licences’ did your Committee issue? (7)
   In the 2016-17 year, how many applications for ‘off licences’ did your Committee refuse? (0)
   In the 2016-17 year, how many club licences did your Committee issue? (0)
   In the 2016-17 year, how many applications for club licences did your Committee refuse? (0)

   **Licences 2017-2018**
   In the 2017-18 year, how many ‘on licences’ did your Committee issue? (15)
   In the 2017-18 year, how many applications for ‘on licences’ did your Committee refuse? (0)
   In the 2017-18 year, how many ‘off licences’ did your Committee issue? (#6)
   In the 2017-18 year, how many applications for ‘off licences’ did your Committee refuse? (1)
   In the 2017-18 year, how many club licences did your Committee issue? (0)
   In the 2017-18 year, how many applications for club licences did your Committee refuse? (0)
Manager’s certificates 2016-2017
In the 2016-17 year, how many managers’ certificates did your Committee issue? (169)
In the 2016-17 year, how many applications for managers’ certificates did your Committee refuse? (0)
In the 2016-17 year, how many applications for managers’ certificates were withdrawn? (3)

Manager’s certificates 2017-2018
In the 2017-18 year, how many managers’ certificates did your Committee issue? (166)
In the 2017-18 year, how many applications for managers’ certificates did your Committee refuse? (1)
In the 2017-18 year, how many applications for managers’ certificates were withdrawn? (7)

Licence renewals 2016 – 2017
In the 2016-17 year, how many licence renewals did your Committee issue? (28)
In the 2016-17 year, how many licence renewals did your Committee refuse? (0)

Licence renewals 2017 - 2018
In the 2017-18 year, how many licence renewals did your Committee issue? (30)
In the 2017-18 year, how many licence renewals did your Committee refuse? (0)

5. Please comment on any changes or trends in the Committee’s workload in 2017-18.

There is a steady increase in the number of hearings as community confidence and acceptance in both
the LAP and in the functions of the DLC increases.
One matter concerning the DLC is the ease in which an objector can make an objection, perhaps with the
intention of frustrating the process, thereby necessitating the requirement to hold a hearing. The costs for
both Council and the applicant are not insignificant. A lone objector, with potentially a hidden agenda,
could hold up a licence for a considerable period of time and put both the applicant and the Council to
significant cost. This DLC queries whether some sort of cost recovery method should be enacted where
an objection is later found to be frivolous and/or vexatious.

6. Please comment on any new initiatives the Committee has developed/adopted in 2017-18.

Having the DLC attend an ARLA hearing in person to gain better understanding of the workings of ARLA.
Utilising ‘chairperson’s procedure notes’ and providing those to applicants and objectors, which spell out
step by step, the entire procedure including explaining examination in chief, cross examination, re-
examination and closing submissions. It is hoped this will assist both applicants and objectors in
understanding the various roles and how the hearing is structured and operates.
Joint training with our partner Districts continued during the year. This is an excellent opportunity for
networking and discussing recent issues and decisions around the country. An agreement exists with
Huronui District Council to ‘share’ DLC members should the need arise.
Waimakariri put their DLC members through a Licence Controller Qualification (LCQ) course and a Serve-wise course. This was well received by the members.

Waimakariri also organised a Canterbury wide seminar held in November ’17 at Lincoln where lawyer Alastair Sherriff presented for the day. DLC members from every District in Canterbury attended the seminar, with very favourable feedback from attendees.

7. **Has your Committee developed a Local Alcohol Policy?**
   
   Yes

   **7A. If the answer is yes, what stage is your Local Alcohol Policy at?**
   
   Adopted

8. **If the answer to 7 is Yes, what effect do you consider your Local Alcohol Policy is having?**

   The Local Alcohol Policy came into force in the Waimakariri District on 16 February 2015. It is currently in the process of being reviewed. The DLC, Police, Health, Community and the Council are all impressed with the way the Policy is working. The Policy was recently challenged in relation to an application for a stand-alone off licence at a shopping centre in a **Business Zone 4** area at Silverstream. The Waimakariri LAP stipulates that new stand-alone off licences should be located only in Business Zone 1 & 2. The applicant contested the LAP should be set aside in this instant and the application granted. The LAP was robustly discussed. The resulting decision saw the application fail with the guidelines in the LAP, prevailing. The decision was not appealed.

   Since the adoption of the LAP, the knowledge and competency of licenced premises staff has increased a lot. We are observing improved compliance across the whole industry with the only exception being certain restaurants failing CPO’s. Public feedback has been received indicating an increased perception of a ‘safer environment’ within licenced premises.

   Now that the Act has become embedded, the Community are realising that the local alcohol policy is designed by the people for the people. The community feel they have more control over ‘their’ community as it relates to alcohol licensing and are now more willing to make submissions or objections and a belief the LAP will prevail. They see the LAP as a founding document when it comes to alcohol licensing.

   Feedback from Police has been incredibly supportive with a lot of supporting anecdotal comments from local Police about the earlier closing hours introduced as a result of the LAP, and the resulting improvement in amenity and good order across the Waimakariri District.

   Feedback from the Medical Officer of Health has also been supportive, confirming an improvement in amenity and good order around the CBD of Rangiora and Kaiapoi compared to pre LAP days.

9. **Please comment on the ways in which you believe the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 is achieving its object. Note: the object of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 is that:**

   a) *the sale, supply, and consumption of alcohol should be undertaken safely and responsibly;* and

   b) *the harm caused by the excessive or inappropriate consumption of alcohol should be minimised.*

   **Section 25 Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act** - there has been considerable discussion between Districts, key partners and the community, in relation to the application of Section 25 during Special Licence events. Events that are assessed as very low risk, still require a demarcation or roping off between other licenced premises in order to comply with the Act. This in our view prevents the ‘mums and dads’ sitting down and having a drink in a communal area together – where dad has purchased a craft beer from one licenced staff and mum a wine from a different licenced stall. Feedback from the community is very critical of the impact of Section 25 of the Act and feel the law should be reviewed and amended to cater for venues and events which are assessed as low risk.
**Inter-Agency Co-operation** - The Act, in conjunction with the LAP, provides the DLC with a greater mandate in giving effect to the object of the Act. Local DLC’s are more acutely aware of local issues hence are more likely to make better decisions when it comes to alcohol licensing decisions affecting the community. The Act has resulted in far better communication and liaison between Police, MOH and Licensing Inspectors with the alcohol licensing decision makers.

**Central database for Managers Certificates** - One area where we believe the object of the Act would be better achieved, revolves around the certification process for manager’s certificates. The DLC has dealt with some applicants where it was learnt they have breached the Act, whilst in their role as a manager, whilst working in a number of different Districts across the country. The DLC recommends ARLA looking at whether there is a need for a central registry or national database where information on managers can be entered so that when renewal applications are later made, that ALL information is put before the DLC. Police do not have access to all information concerning breaches by managers. There is no central database or agency that has the required relevant information needed by DLC’s.

Malcolm Johnston  
Secretary  
Waimakariri District Licensing Committee
1. SUMMARY

1.1. This report informs the Council of the 2017/2018 annual dog control report that the Council is required to submit to Department of Internal Affairs (DIA). Pursuant to Section 10A Dog Control Act 1996 (The Act), reports from territorial authorities must be submitted to the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) on an annual basis. These inform the DIA of the number of dogs registered, the number declared dangerous or menacing, and the number and nature of dog complaints received for each fiscal year. In summary for year ending 30 June 2018:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016/17</th>
<th>2017/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The number of registered dogs</td>
<td>12030</td>
<td>12214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of registered owners</td>
<td>7762</td>
<td>8010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dangerous dogs</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menacing dogs</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaints</td>
<td>2309</td>
<td>2077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infringements issued</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2. If the annual report is accepted by the Council, a copy will be submitted to the DIA. The statistics contained within the report will also be publicly notified.

Attachments:
(i) 2017–2018 Annual Report on Dog Control to DIA – Trim: 180704074143

2. RECOMMENDATION

THAT the District Planning and Regulation Committee recommends:

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180704074535.

(b) Approves the attached 2017/2018 Annual Report on Dog Control to the Department of Internal Affairs.

(c) Circulates a copy of this report to the Boards.
3. **BACKGROUND**

3.1 The DIA collates reports from Territorial Authorities on an annual basis. This enables the Department to maintain nationwide records and statistics in relation to dogs, dog numbers, the numbers of menacing and dangerous dogs, along with the number and type of complaints received by Councils in relation to dogs.

4. **ISSUES AND OPTIONS**

4.1. This report is a statutory requirement under *Section 10A Dog Control Act 1996*. The report informs the community of a summary of dog statistics for the District. Section 4.2 of this report outlines the information required. The Committee has the option of approving the proposed annual report as fulfilling the requirement of the Act. If the Committee approves the annual report, the report will be forwarded to DIA and the information contained therein, will be publicly notified. No other action is required.

4.2. Section 10A of the Act is prescriptive and lists the matters on which the Council is to report. The following is an explanation of the requirements with previous year numbers in (brackets).

**Registered Dogs:** 12214 (12030)
This is the number of dogs registered with Waimakariri District Council for the 2017-2018 year. 8135 of the dogs are desexed and of the 12214 registered dogs there are 1076 working dogs.

**Registered Owners:** 8010 (7762)

**Probationary Owners:** 0 (0)
This classification enables the Council to place restrictions on an owner, such as barring ownership of a dog for a set period and to undergo suitable training. The probationary classification enables the Council to monitor compliance and dog control.

**Dangerous Dogs:** 10 (14)
These are animals deemed by the Council to be dangerous. They are classified because they have behaved aggressively to people and/or animals. A key criterion for this classification is that witnesses have made formal statements concerning the behaviour observed. Dangerous dogs are required to be de-sexed, as well as restrained and muzzled when in public. An owner can appeal a classification and the matter would be considered by the Council’s Hearing Committee.

**Menacing Dogs:** 60 (61)
This classification has less restriction on the owner than the dangerous dog classification. Dogs can be classified as menacing if the Council considers they are a threat to people and/or stock or they are registered as a particular breed that Parliament has deemed to be menacing. These breeds include the American Pit Bull terrier, Brazilian Fila, Dogo Argentino and Japanese Tosa. Menacing dogs are also required to be desexed, restrained and muzzled in public.

**Complaints:** 2077 (2309)
These are grouped into complaint type and are managed by Council Animal Management staff during the week or through our after-hours contractors for complaints made after-hours.
Infringement Notices: 115 (86)

Infringements are issued for offences related to nuisances such as a dog not being under control or for having an unregistered dog. Seeking compliance is the primary focus followed by warnings. Infringements occur when owners continually fail to heed warnings.

Prosecutions: 1 (0).

One person was prosecuted, charged with an offence against Section 57 Dog Control Act 1996, in relation to a dog attack in the Good Street Reserve (Rangiora) in November 2017 where a 6 year old girl was bitten by the man's dog. The child required surgery as a result of the attack. The defendant plead guilty and the Court decided that rather than fine the person, he was ordered to pay $500 to the victim.

4.3. The Management Team have reviewed this report and support the recommendations.

5. COMMUNITY VIEWS

5.1. Groups and Organisations: This report is a statutory requirement of the Council intended by the Act to provide a summary of dog control statistics to the public and the Department of Internal Affairs. The information is collected district-wide and is not broken down into wards. No comment or action is required.

5.2. Wider Community: As above.

6. IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

6.1. Financial Implications: Dog Control is funded entirely by dog registration and licensing fees.

6.2. Community Implications: The Council employs three fulltime Animal Control Officers and a .3FTE animal shelter attendant/administration officer. This enables the Animal Control Unit to maintain levels of service in line with the district's growing population. It has also increased registration compliance through providing adequate resources to follow up on unregistered dogs.

6.3. Risk Management: No policy development or animal control operational work is dependent on this report.

6.4. Health and Safety: This report has no impact on health and safety.

7. CONTEXT

7.1. Policy

This matter is not a matter of significance in terms of the Council's Significance and Engagement Policy.

7.2. Legislation

Section 10A Dog Control Act 1996, sets out the criteria that must be included in the report:

10A Territorial authority must report on dog control policy and practices—
(1) A territorial authority must, in respect of each financial year, report on the administration of
(a) its dog control policy adopted under section 10; and
(b) its dog control practices.
(2) The report must include, in respect of each financial year, information relating to—
(a) the number of registered dogs in the territorial authority district:
(b) the number of probationary owners and disqualified owners in the territorial authority district:
(c) the number of dogs in the territorial authority district classified as dangerous under section 31 and the relevant provision under which the classification is made:
(d) the number of dogs in the territorial authority district classified as menacing under section 33A or section 33C and the relevant provision under which the classification is made:
(e) the number of infringement notices issued by the territorial authority:
(f) the number of dog related complaints received by the territorial authority in the previous year and the nature of those complaints:
(g) the number of prosecutions taken by the territorial authority under this Act.

(3) The territorial authority must give public notice of the report—
(a) by means of a notice published in—
   (i) 1 or more daily newspapers circulating in the territorial authority district; or
   (ii) 1 or more other newspapers that have at least an equivalent circulation in that district to the daily newspapers circulating in that district; and
(b) by any means that the territorial authority thinks desirable in the circumstances.

(4) The territorial authority must also, within 1 month after adopting the report, send a copy of the report to the Secretary for Local Government.

7.3. Community Outcomes

There is a safe environment for all
Harm to people from natural and man-made hazards is minimised.

7.4. Delegations

Delegation S-DM 1026:
The District Planning and Regulation Committee shall enjoy all the powers granted to a standing committee under this Manual and shall be responsible for determining policy within the following general jurisdiction:
- Dog registration and control
Our Reference: ANC-06 / 180704074143

25 August 2018

The Secretary for Local Government
Department of Internal Affairs
PO Box 805
WELLINGTON 6140

Dear Sir/Madam

WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL ANNUAL REPORT ON DOG CONTROL: 2017/2018

In accordance with the Dog Control Act 1996, Section 10A, the following is a copy of the annual report for Waimakariri District Council’s dog control policy and practices.

Dog Control Policy
Waimakariri District Council (The Council) adopted a Dog Control Policy in 2009. The objectives of the policy include:
- To encourage responsible dog ownership
- To provide for Dog Access to Public areas
- To enforce dog owner obligations

Education
Animal Control delivers education to schools in the District encouraging safe practices around dogs including bite prevention.

Dog Parks
The Council currently provide three dog parks.

Southbrook Dog Park - This 3-hectare dog park was established in Southbrook Park, Rangiora, in 2010. Access to the park is either from Coronation Street or the Southbrook Park car park. The park features a pleasant walking circuit leading to a large fenced area where dogs can run and exercise. Information signs and seating are provided.

Millton Memorial Park Dog Park - This dog park, at the corner of Millton Avenue and River Road, Rangiora, covers 2.6 hectares and was opened in 2015. The park features a 'large dog area' and 'small dog area'.

Gladstone Road Dog Park – This park was opened in early 2018 and covers approximately 2 hectares.

A further dog park is planned for Kaiapoi shortly. The dog parks have a Facebook community page www.facebook.com/RangioraDogParks/.

Dog Control on parks and reserves
To protect public safety and enjoyment, the Dog Control Bylaw 2009 classifies the district’s parks and reserves into three categories: Dog Prohibited Areas, Leash Control Areas and Under Control Areas. The First Schedule of the bylaw lists all the district’s reserves and their classifications. You can exercise your dog off the leash in Under Control Areas as long as you keep the dog under continuous supervision and control.
Table 1: Annual Report for 20017/18 (Dog Control Act 1996 s10A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reporting Requirement</th>
<th>17/18</th>
<th>16/17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The number of registered dogs</td>
<td>12214</td>
<td>12030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of registered owners</td>
<td>8010</td>
<td>7762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of probationary owners and disqualified owners</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of dogs classified as dangerous (section 31). Note these dogs were all classified under s31(1)(b)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Any dog which the territorial authority has, on the basis of sworn evidence attesting to aggressive behaviour by the dog on one or more occasions, reasonable grounds to believe constitutes a threat to the safety of any person, stock, poultry, domestic animal, or protected wildlife;”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of dogs classified as menacing (section 33). Note some of these dogs are required to be classified pursuant to Section 33E where the Act deems this type of dog (American Pit Bull Terrier) to be menacing.</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The total number of complaints received for each Category:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Attacks on People</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Rushing People</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Attacks on Stock</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Attacks on Dogs</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barking Dogs</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roaming Dogs</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog Welfare Complaints</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unregistered Dogs resulting in infringements</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost / Found</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callers requesting advice</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>2077</td>
<td>2309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of prosecutions undertaken.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of Infringement Notices issued.</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yours faithfully

Malcolm Johnston  
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES MANAGER