MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE WOODEND-SEFTON COMMUNITY BOARD
HELD IN THE PEGASUS COMMUNITY CENTRE, MAIN STREET, PEGASUS ON
MONDAY 9 APRIL 2018 AT 7.00PM.

PRESENT
S Powell (Chairperson), A Thompson (Deputy Chair), A Allen, J Archer and J Meyer.

IN ATTENDANCE
C Sargison (Community and Recreation Manager), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager),
K Straw (Civil Projects Team Leader), A Klos (Project Engineer), Mayor D Ayers and
E Stubbs (Minutes Secretary).

The meeting adjourned for a workshop from 8.01pm to 8.03pm.

1 APOLOGIES
Moved S Powell seconded A Allen
Apologies were received and sustained from R Mather and A Blackie.
CARRIED

2 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Nil.

3 CONFIRMATION MINUTES
3.1 Minutes of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board – 12 March 2018
Moved J Archer seconded A Thompson
THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:
(a) Confirms the circulated minutes of the Woodend-Sefton Community
Board meeting, held 12 March 2018, as a true and accurate record.
CARRIED

4 MATTERS ARISING
Nil.

5 DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS FROM THE COMMUNITY
5.1 Carville Stewart, Sefton resident, spoke to the Board about concerns regarding the Pembertons Road, Railway Street and Vaughan Street intersection in Sefton. Of major concern was the lack of speed control at the intersection. C Stewart commented that many children and dog walkers crossed through the intersection to go to the domain. Sightlines were obscured due to the hill and a hedge. C Stewart suggested a rumble strip across the road so drivers realised they needed to slow down and moving the speed limit sign further from the town. He had asked the police to look at the intersection however they had viewed it at a time when there was light traffic. He concluded by saying he wished to bring the intersection to the attention of the board in order there be some sort of change to make it safer.
S Powell asked if Railway Street and Vaughan Street were marked as 50km/hr
and if so what type of marking. C Stewart advised that there were marked
with the ordinary 50km/hr circle signage.
J Meyer introduced himself as Chair of the Road Safety Coordinating
Committee and asked if C Stewart knew of any speed counts in the area.
C Stewart advised he was not aware. J Meyer asked when was the worst time? C Stewart replied that it was late afternoon traffic going up the hill. During school holidays and weekends any traffic was also of concern.

J Meyer asked if there was a footpath on Vaughan or Railway Streets and C Stewart advised no footpath, and there were grass verges on Pembertons Road.

S Powell asked if there were any trees and bushes on Pembertons Road that might limit visibility and C Stewart confirmed there were.

J Archer asked if C Stewart would like the 50km/hr sign moved and C Stewart replied yes.

S Powell noted it was useful to have the Chair of the Road Safety Coordinating Committee on the board and J Meyer advised he would raise the matter at the next meeting. S Powell suggested there could a report on the matter requested and C Sargison commented that a quick solution was to also paint a sign on the road.

6 ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

7 REPORTS

7.1 Gladstone Road Cycleway – K Straw (Civil Project Team Leader)

K Straw introduced the report regarding the Gladstone Road shared footpath and cycleway. He advised that NZTA had designation over most of the site. There was an option for a reduced level of service path.

J Archer raised the option of lobbying NZTA for a motorway flyover to keep local landscape and character intact.

S Powell referred to Option 2 which was a narrower, unsealed path and asked if it would be the same standard as what was between Waikuku and Pegasus. K Straw advised it would be closer to the service currently between Kaiapoi and Pines Beach.

S Powell asked if there was the option of deferring the decision until the Government announced its intention on the Woodend Bypass. K Straw commented that was an option, when he had spoken to NZTA there had been no timeline as when there would be an announcement.

S Powell asked if the Board did nothing, was it possible to look at speed limits instead such as to go from 70km/hr to 50km/hr. K Straw said there would be a speed limit review at some stage anyway. J Meyer asked if that speed limit review could be brought forward. Staff noted the suggestion.

C Sargison reminded the Board of the background. The issue arose many years ago with several residents raising concerns regarding accessing Gladstone Park safely and had approached the Council several times on the matter.

A Thompson asked if staff perceived the narrower unsealed path a significant impediment. K Straw commented it would be significantly more difficult for a pram or wheelchair so some members of the community would find more difficulty.

A Thompson asked in terms of a priority path, where did it rate compared to the two paths mentioned between townships. C Sargison replied that Gladstone Park was a major sports field that many children went to.

A Allen acknowledged the use of the potential path and asked if was unlikely the bypass would be constructed within 10 years. K Straw advised of a
meeting with NZTA and roading staff several weeks ago regarding land designation over 145 Gladstone Road and a new fence. They had not given a timeframe, but it was not in the 10 year plan. National had indicated they would bring it forward but the new government had not committed to that. J Meyer commented that he had not been advised of that officially.

S Powell asked some of the history of 145 Gladstone Road referring to the Poplar trees on the Road Reserve. K Straw commented that the poplars were historical and the fence was very old. New poplars would be planted inside the property boundary.

A Thompson expressed concern at the perception of new asphalt eventually getting ripped up. He believed it was not a good signal to send unless it was considered necessary.

S Powell asked if there would be any community consultation. C Sargison responded.

J Archer commented that as a long term Woodend Resident he had played at Gladstone Park and believed the access to be dangerous.

Moved J Archer

**THAT** the Woodend-Sefton Community Board recommends:

**THAT** the Utilities and Roading Committee:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180308023458.

(b) **Approves** Option One, the high level of service path, 2.3m wide surfaced with asphalt.

(c) **Notes** that 750m of the 950m length of the path will become redundant at the time the future Woodend Bypass is constructed.

(d) **Notes** that the Bypass is unlikely to be constructed for at least ten years.

LOST

Moved: J Meyer    Seconded: A Allen

**THAT** the Woodend-Sefton Community Board recommends:

**THAT** the Utilities and Roading Committee:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180308023458.

(b) **Approves** Option Two, the reduced level of service path, 2.0m wide unsealed path.

(c) **Notes** that 750m of the 950m length of the path will become redundant at the time the future Woodend Bypass is constructed.

(d) **Notes** that the Bypass is unlikely to be constructed for at least ten years.

CARRIED

J Meyer noted from the comments round the table safety was a key issue. He believed if the crusher dust option was undertaken it was sending the right message to the community. They would continue to try and hurry the bypass and retain a temporary pathway in the meantime which was a win / win.

A Allen reaffirmed the comments of J Meyer.

S Powell commented on having received mixed feedback from residents. The path was required for safety, however there was a perception it would be a waste of money with the upcoming bypass. S Powell commented that the motion was a good compromise.
A Thompson commented Option 2 was still a properly formed path to the same safety standard as Option 1. He endorsed there being a pathway.

7.2 Bramleys Road Well Viability Assessment – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager) and K Simpson (3 Waters Manager)

K Simpson spoke to the report and provided an update on investigations into the feasibility of connecting the Bramleys Road well to the Woodend - Pegasus water supply scheme.

Based on 2008/2009 testing it was originally assumed that the well had a 20-30 l/s yield. More recent testing showed that, to draw that volume of water it would affect surrounding wells. It had been previously thought that the water would not require treatment however further testing had shown elevated levels of Iron and Manganese, which would require treatment. Following the Havelock North incident UV disinfection would also be required.

Based on these findings K Simpson advised that the Bramleys Road well was no longer a viable source for the Pegasus water supply. When initially the Council had consulted with the community there was feedback regarding cultural concerns and the potential future connection of the Bramleys Road well was seen as mitigating this issue. K Simpson advised that the result of the viability assessment would be raised with Te Ngai Tuahuriri Rūnanga.

A Allen asked who would go to the Rūnanga. K Simpson advised that in May there was a meeting with the Rūnanga, which the CE and Mayor attended. Staff would also write formally to the chair of the Rūnanga prior to the meeting and Mahaanui Kurataiao Limited would submit any questions in writing. C Sargison advised that at the May meeting key staff including K Simpson would attend to answer any questions.

S Powell asked if there had been any testing on the Equestrian 4 (EQ4) well. K Simpson advised that the well had been drilled but not connected to the supply. He would expect the water quality to be similar to those others within the Pegasus well field. Four wells had been drilled by the developer within the field with EQ4 not initially brought online.

A Thompson asked about the name Equestrian and C Sargison advised that within the original plan for the whole area that location had been earmarked for an equestrian facility. J Archer asked where the well was and C Sargison noted he could advise.

J Archer asked if EQ4 had iron and manganese content and K Simpson replied yes, which was the reason there was a filter at the Pegasus Water Treatment plant.

S Powell asked if EQ4 had the required capacity and K Simpson confirmed that information.

A Thompson asked where the Ravenswood water supply would fit in, and whether it would have its own supply or be treated at Woodend. K Simpson said that effectively it would connect into the Woodend – Pegasus supply and had been included in calculations. By joining the water supplies it was more resilient for the future.

A Thompson asked if the cultural issues came into play at Ravenswood. K Simpson advised that it was related to the perception associated with the Pegasus Development. Effectively the water either side of Gladstone Road was the same source.

Moved A Thompson seconded A Allen

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board recommends:
THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180323031876.

(b) **Notes** that previously it was expected that water of a suitable quality and quantity could be abstracted from the Bramleys Road well to be distributed to the Woodend Pegasus water supply scheme, via Tuahiwi, without any treatment.

(c) **Notes** that the Bramleys Road well is no longer considered to be a viable future source for the scheme, as the water source would likely require significant treatment and gaining consent to abstract water from the well would be very difficult.

(d) **Notes** that some Tuahiwi residents opposed the joining of the scheme with Pegasus for cultural reasons, and that the future connection of the Bramleys Road well would have potentially mitigated these concerns.

(e) **Notes** that staff will write to the local Runanga and discuss this matter at the next Runanga meeting on the 17 May 2018, to advise them of the reasons that this well is no longer considered to be a viable future source.

(f) **Approves** the replacement of the $2.2M capital works budget in 2022/23 and 2023/24 to connect the Bramleys Road well, with a $0.5M capital works budget in 2023/24 to connect the EQ4 well to provide additional capacity on the Woodend Pegasus water supply scheme.

**CARRIED**

7.3 **Combining of Woodend and Pegasus Water Supplies – New Water Supply Main Concept Design – A Klos (Project Engineer) and C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)**

A Klos spoke to the report noting that its purpose was to seeks the Board’s endorsement of the recommended alignment of the new supply pipe from Gladstone Road to the Pegasus water treatment plant.

A Klos advised that following assessment there were two viable options for the Gladstone Park section:

Option 1 – alignment through the trees (within the road reserve).

Option 2 – alignment through Gladstone Park (within greenspace reserve).

A Klos noted the key points for Option 1. Long term it was generally preferred to construct pipe within road reserve. The option required the removal of large trees. As all roots were interlocked when the large trees were removed it would weaken those adjacent requiring the removal of all tress except the small macrocarpas. The estimated cost was $61,000 more than Option 2.

Key points for Option 2 – it would require an easement through the park. Greenspace had taken the two options to the Gladstone Park Advisory Group (GPAG) to ask their preferred option. They would prefer Option 1 and Council staff supported that view.

C Sargison advised that there had been discussion previously regarding the removal of the large trees which was the reason the small macrocarpas had been planted; to replace those likely to be removed. Growth rates would be improved once the large pines had been removed and there would be some maintenance on the small macrocarpas.

S Powell noted that part of the small macrocarpa area had not grown and asked if it was part of the budget to have replaced. C Sargison confirmed it was another Greenspace project, separate to this one. The line would be reinstated.
J Archer asked how the trees would be felled. A Klos advised that the resident on the western side did not want the trees felled onto their property. After consideration the plan was to section down those first felled and eventually a gap would be created to fell those remaining.

S Powell asked if all options had been considered. K Simpson replied that they had looked at drilling and that option would double the cost of the project. It was considered too risky to put the pipe between water and sewer.

Moved J Archer seconded A Thompson

**THAT** the Woodend-Sefton Community Board recommends:

**THAT** the Utilities and Roading Committee:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180322031093.

(b) **Notes** that this project to install a new raw water pipe is part of the wider project to join the Woodend and Pegasus water schemes.

(c) **Notes** that design work is scheduled to be undertaken this financial year, 2017/18, and construction is scheduled to be completed next financial year, 2018/19.

(d) **Endorses** that the section of pipe immediately north of Gladstone Road be installed in the road reserve land, rather than through Gladstone Park.

(e) **Approves** the removal of the trees along the preferred route, in road reserve land.

(f) **Notes** that the recommended route is supported by the Gladstone Park Advisory Group.

(g) **Recommends** to Council that an additional capital works budget of $231,000 is included in the 2018/19 financial year, split 30% to growth and 70% to level of service, to give a revised total budget of $811,000 for the Gladstone Road to Pegasus WTP raw water main.

**CARRIED**

J Archer commented that the trees to be removed had lost viability, had a limited life and would be a hazard soon.

A Thompson commented that it was a comprehensive report.

A Allen noted that communication was important. The budget was increasing and it was important that ratepayers understood in plain speak what that increase was for.

S Powell referred to Item 1.6 regarding the section of land most likely to be converted into a connector road, noting that had not yet been decided. Prior to that decision there needed to be community consultation. S Powell advised she had spoken to G Stephens (Greenspace) regarding a white cross on one of the large trees to be removed. She wanted to bring it to staff attention to ensure the family be notified and treated with empathy prior to the felling.

**7.4 ANZAC Day Services 2018 – E Cordwell (Governance Adviser)**

C Sargison spoke briefly to the report. He noted option in the recommendation allowed the board to decide whether or not they wanted to lay a wreath at the new Woodend Community Centre service in conjunction with the Council representative or lay a separate wreath.

S Powell advised that Mayor Ayers and Councillors D Gordon, J Meyer, P Williams were laying a wreath on behalf of the Council at the Sefton Domain Service and A Blackie and S Stewart at the Woodend service.
The meeting adjourned for a workshop from 8.01pm to 8.03pm.

Moved S Powell  seconded J Archer

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 18032603222.

(b) Appoints Board members S Powell, A Allen and R Mather to lay a wreath on behalf of the Board at the Sefton Cenotaph at the ANZAC Day service on Tuesday 24 April 2018 in the Sefton Domain.

(c) Appoints Board member J Archer to attend the ANZAC Day service on Tuesday 24 April 2018 at the Woodend Community Centre, in conjunction with the Council representative.

CARRIED

8 CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

9 CHAIRPERSON’S REPORT

9.1 Chairperson’s Report for March-2018

S Powell advised she had been in contact with those involved in the Safer Roads Project. A reply regarding questions around timeframe had been drafted and was waiting approval. She noted that the Government Policy Statement had safety as a high priority.

Moved S Powell  seconded J Meyer

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 18032903471.

CARRIED

10 MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

10.1 Oxford-Ohoka Community Board meeting minutes – 8 March 2018  (Trim No. 180301021620).

10.2 Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting minutes – 14 March 2018  (Trim No. 180307023947).

10.3 Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board meeting minutes – 19 February 2018  (Trim No. 180214015060).

10.4 Youth Council meeting minutes – 27 February 2018

10.5 Lees Road and Barkers, Kaiapoi Road Speed Limit Report to Council 6 March 2018 (Trim No. 180115002738).

10.6 Update on the Management of Council Wastewater Treatment Plants Report to Council 20 March 2018 (Trim No. 180301021693)

10.7 Library Update Report to Community and Recreation Committee 27 March 2018 (Trim No. 180316028214).

10.8 ANZAC Day Services 2018 Report to Council 3 April 2018 (Trim No. 180321030283).

Moved J Archer  seconded A Allen

THAT the Woodend-Sefton Community Board receives the information in items 10.1-10.8.

CARRIED
11 **MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

11.1 **March Diary for R Mather** *(Trim No. 180404035365)*

S Powell noted R Mather’s report, including that she attended an event at Pegasus Bay School and coffee morning for LTP consultation. It was pleasing that Councillor Williams had attended the Easter extravaganza in the Board’s area.

11.2 **A Allen**
- Neighbourhood Support – interviewing new administration support position.
- Noted algae signs knocked over by the lake, C Sargison advised that a service request could be put into the Council for those maintenance matters. Although in this instance, Toddy Properties are responsible for the lake.

11.3 **A Thompson**
- Taranaki Stream; meeting with Mike Kwant (Green Space Community Engagement Officer).
- Attended Waikuku Pot Luck dinner organised by the Council Community Development Advisor.
- Drafted a Facebook post regarding logging. Commented it was important for local people to get information out soon. S Powell advised that she had been promised an update from Council staff later in the week. There had been a delay around tendering. She agreed that updates were needed for the community.

11.4 **J Meyer**
- Attended Waimakariri Access Group meeting and was pleased to see new Community Board members in the group.
- Commented that the LTP consultation worked well and there were many submissions coming in.
- There was progress with roading including the roundabout at Smith Street and Williams Street.

11.5 **J Archer**
- Attend Woodend Community Association meeting.
- Attended Annual Hui which he found very interesting.
- Attended LTP consultation.
- Lions Dinner Meeting; good contact with other parts of the community.

12 **CONSULTATION PROJECTS**

**Draft 2018-28 Long Term Plan** –

Consultation Friday 9 March to Monday 9 April 2018.


It was noted that the LTP consultation closed today.

It was noted that the government Policy Statement of Land Transport closed 2 May 2018. It was suggested that there be a community Board submission around the Woodend Bypass. The Strategic priorities around safety, access, environment and value for money had been set. S Powell would make a start and circulate by email.

13 **FOSTERING COMMUNITIES**

Nil.
14 **REGENERATION PROJECTS**

14.1 **Town Centres, Woodend-Pegasus**

Updates on the Woodend-Pegasus area projects are emailed regularly to Board members. These updates can be located using the link below:


S Powell advised that she would like to revisit the Woodend Pegasus Area Strategy at the next meeting.

15 **BOARD FUNDING UPDATE**

15.1 **Board Discretionary Grant**

Balance as at 9 April 2018: $1,635.97.

15.2 **General Landscaping Fund**

Balance as at 9 April 2018 $10,533.

16 **MEDIA ITEMS**

Cycle path and update on project to join Woodend and Pegasus water supplies.

A Allen raised concerns regarding unconstructive comments on the Pegasus Facebook page.

17 **QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil.

18 **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS**

Nil.

**NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Woodend-Sefton Community Board is scheduled for 7pm, Monday 14 May 2018 at the Woodend Community Centre.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 8.21pm

CONFIRMED

__________________________________________
Chairperson

__________________________________________
Date

**Workshop held from – 8.21pm – 8.54pm.**

1. **Feedback on the Public Spaces Policy (Business Zones) Advisory Group – Andrea Allen**