

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA ON WEDNESDAY, 9 JULY 2025 AT 7PM.

PRESENT

J Gerard QSO (Chairperson), K Barnett, R Brine, I Campbell, M Fleming, J Goldsworthy, B McLaren, S Wilkinson and P Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE

S Hart (General Manager Strategy Engagement and Economic Development), S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer), T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader) and A Connor (Governance Support Officer).

Six members of the public were present.

1. APOLOGIES

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: M Flemings

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives and sustains** apologies for absence from M Clarke, L McClure and J Ward and an apology for lateness from J Goldsworthy, who arrived at 8.03pm.

CARRIED

2. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no conflicts declared.

3. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1. Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 11 June 2025

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: P Williams

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Confirms**, as a true and accurate record, the circulated Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting held on 11 June 2025.

CARRIED

3.2. Matters Arising (From Minutes)

T Kunkel provided the following updates:

- Protranz International Limited's Resource Consent application for quarrying activities and the construction and operation of a Landfill at 150, 154, 174 and 176 Quarry Road, Loburn was still on hold.
- At this stage, Environment Canterbury (ECan) had decided not to proceed with establishing an Air Quality Monitoring Station at either 151 Northbrook Road or Koura Reserve. ECan would be investigating alternative locations and other options going forward. The Board would be advised once more information became available.
- A report on the tree immediately outside 9 Elm Street, Rangiora, discussed at the Board's June 2025 meeting was expected to be submitted to the Board for consideration at the August 2025 meeting.

3.3. Workshop notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 11 June 2025

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: J Gerard

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives**, the circulated Notes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board workshop, held on 11 June 2025.

CARRIED

4. DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

4.1. Darin Young – Potential Cust Sawmill (Trim: 250711126644)

D Young highlighted his extended family's connection to the Waimakariri District, noting his family had lived in Cust for four and a half years. He raised concerns regarding the proposed relocation of Bennett's Sawmill to the corner of Paterson's and Terrace Road, Cust, in order to upscale operations. He noted that the area was a floodplain with a fluid-changing environment that regularly resulted in the closure and damage of roads, while flooding neighbouring properties downstream from the proposed site. Flood water from the hill north of the site ran through the paddock at a large volume after one day of rain.

D Young advised that the area was agricultural and used for grazing, with no other heavy industry businesses in the riverbed area. He and other local residents were concerned by the non-notification of the resource consent application, which removed their right to make submissions on the roading, safety, air and water pollution, and extensive noise issues. The water flowing through the site picked up contaminants, which would then flow through other properties into stock feeding pastures and food-growing areas. He believed that the flood map included in the resource consent application was inaccurate, as the Council's flood data showed the area flooding to depths of 30 to 50cm where the proposed site was located.

D Young noted that there were 56 family dwellings within a two-kilometre radius of the site. After visiting over 30 of them, he collected over 50 signatures on a petition detailing the various concerns with the proposed sawmill. Many residents, who worked from home or were retired, would be affected by the 12-hour, 6-day-a-week industry noise generated by the proposed sawmill. Additionally, the six properties directly to the east of the site were all on bore water with no Council water supply. It was anticipated that windblown sawdust would contaminate water supplies, grazing areas, and food-growing areas. It was known that other sawmills in the district had contaminated the local water supply and were unable to meet their noise level requirements.

D Young then observed the low-maintenance level condition of the gravel roads leading to the site. The extra toll of the proposed 48 logging trucks per week would increase the risk to horse riders, bike riders, walkers and school buses. He requested the Board seek further information on the proposed sawmill and consider objecting to the resource consent and, if possible, insist that the Council make this a public notification issue.

P. Williams questioned whether the surrounding properties obtained their water from shallow wells, and D. Young advised that most wells were around 30 meters deep.

P Williams further sought information on the streams and rivers in the area. D Young observed that the Cust River and Cust Drain flowed past 235 Terrace Road, Cust.

J Gerard asked if the proposed sawmill would be treating the timber on-site. D Young noted that, to his knowledge, the sawmill would not treat the timber on site at this stage; however, they did want to upscale for commercial clients as opposed to retail, and treatment of timber would be economical for them.

4.2. Victoria Cross – Potential Cust Sawmill (Trim:250711126667)

V Cross and her family chose to move to a lifestyle block in Cust in 2021 because it was a quiet rural community, and due to noise sensitivities, they appreciated the quiet, safe, and healthy environment in which to raise their family. By chance, the community became aware of the proposed sawmill and collated a petition with over 50 signatures within a two-kilometre radius of the site. Their concerns ranged from pollution, flooding risks, inadequate roading, increased traffic, safety concerns, and disregard for the amenities and characteristics of the rural zone. The rural zone was generally quiet with some significant intermittent and/or seasonal noise from farming activity. Sawmilling was not a farming activity, but a heavy industrial activity, accompanied by the noisy unloading of forestry trucks, which did not align with the character of the area.

V Cross noted that with 100-year rain events occurring almost every year, floodwaters from the proposed site flowed over the road and into her property and those of her neighbours. This was a floodplain with a variable and unstable environment, making it risky to have heavy industrial activity on the site. She highlighted that no water treatment of the water run-off from the site was mentioned in the resource consent application, only a swale and discharge to the surrounding water, which would be insufficient during flooding. This would result in contaminants and wood stored on site flowing into the Cust River during flood events. This would also affect ecosystems and groundwater, which residents used as drinking water.

Additionally, Patersons Road and other surrounding roads were regularly closed to all traffic during flood events resulting in times when the proposed site would be inaccessible. She observed that Patersons and Terrace Roads were used by children cycling to school, runners, cyclists, horse riders, the school bus route, as well as stock moving. Not only were deep potholes uncovered by rain, but several vehicles had also rolled off the curves of Terrace Road, highlighting the unsuitability of these roads for large logging trucks. V Cross felt that the Council would be inundated with noise complaints from this activity. If the sawmill were to proceed, the anticipated costs to the community and the Council would be enormous, including mitigation remedies, noise complaints, and deterioration of the surrounding road due to logging trucks. The sawmill would jeopardise the tranquillity of the homes, the safety, and the health of children and the community.

P Williams asked if there were any large forestry blocks near the proposed sawmill site. V Cross responded that there was no forestry in the area and all logs would therefore have to be transported from other locations.

B McLaren questioned whether she had been in contact with any of the environmental groups in the area, including the Waimakariri Biodiversity Trust and the Sefton Saltwater Creek Catchment Group, regarding her concerns. V Cross noted that she had not contacted any environmental groups; however, D Young noted he had been in contact with Environment Canterbury, which operated reactively rather than before an activity was occurring.

K Barnett inquired whether the resource consent required the sawmill to maintain the road that they would be using to access the site. V Cross stated she was not aware of any conditions requiring road maintenance.

4.3. Pilot Community Shopping Proposal – Sam Fisher

S Fisher was not in attendance, and therefore, the deputation was not heard.

5. ADJOURNED BUSINESS

Nil.

6. REPORTS

6.1. **Request approval of No-Stopping Restrictions in Highfield Lane – J McBride (Roding and Transportation Manager) and S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer)**

S Binder took the report as read and welcomed any questions.

M Fleming asked if residents not present during the door-knocking consultation had also been communicated with. S Binder stated staff had not reached out to these residents; however, if the Board wished, they could.

J Gerard questioned whether the proposed no-stopping restriction could be shortened to allow some parking to remain. S Binder commented that there was no golden rule for where to install the no-stopping restrictions. However, in this instance, the turning head in Highfield Lane was undersized, resulting in limited movement for larger trucks and rubbish collection vehicles. Whilst there was not a large number of all-weather on-street parking on Highfield Lane, the issue of turning space had been galvanised due to recent land use changes. Several annual plan submissions also prompted this request.

J Gerard then wondered if it was possible to ascertain when rubbish collection occurred and limit the no-stopping to those times. S Binder stated that it could be a possibility, although it would not account for other heavy vehicles, and there would also be increased compliance costs associated with time restrictions.

Following a question from B. McLaren, S. Binder confirmed that staff had not consulted the Council's waste management contractor, as residents had driven the request for the no-stopping restrictions.

P Williams asked if all residents of Highfield Lane were aware of the proposed no-stopping restrictions. S Binder noted that it was possible some residents were not aware, as the Council was unable to consult all residents; however, the majority had indicated that they were in favour of the proposal.

P Williams then enquired whether staff had considered installing a footpath along Highfield Lane. S Binder confirmed that staff would be giving a presentation to the Utilities and Roding Committee at their next meeting on possible options for Highfield Lane, ranging from small-scale to large-scale interventions.

K Barnett raised concerns about where residents and visitors would park if the proposed no-stopping restrictions were implemented. In response, S Binder noted that Highfield Lane consisted of larger lots, which generally provided sufficient off-street parking. Historically, parking demand along the Lane had been low; however, the recent establishment of a home-based business had increased the demand for on-street parking. There was also acceptable on-street parking further down Highfield Lane.

K Barnett questioned if forcing vehicles to park further down Highfield Lane would not create a greater safety risk, as the carriageway was very narrow. S Binder noted that drivers would have to be mindful of where they parked in wet weather conditions; however, staff did not believe it would cause any safety concerns.

I, Campbell, asked whether the green space area could be used to provide parking. S Binder agreed it was a possibility; however, there was no budget set aside for improvements on Highfield Lane at present.

M Fleming questioned if the business owner had been approached regarding creating a parking space on site for its customers. S Binder confirmed staff had provided feedback to the business owner; however, they were not aware of any steps taken.

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: B McLaren

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Lays** the report on the table until further information was available.

CARRIED

6.2. **Request approval of No Stopping Restrictions – Golding Avenue, Cust Road, and Papawai Drive – S Binder (Senior Transportation Engineer) and N Puthupparambil (Transportation Engineer)**

S Binder took the report as read, confirming that all affected neighbours had been consulted.

P Williams questioned whether the accident count for Golding Avenue, Rangiora, was known and whether the establishment of No Stopping restrictions could result in more accidents due to the increased speed. S Binder doubted there would be an accident record for Golding Avenue. He noted that removing the parking could result in an increase in people cutting corners; however, the current situation caused those manoeuvring into the middle of the street with poor visibility of the oncoming traffic.

K Barnett asked if all residents were in favour of the no-stopping restrictions proposed. S Binder confirmed Cust Road residents understood the need for the restriction and were not opposed. The Golding Avenue residents were contacted by a letter, and no feedback was received. Positive feedback was received from the majority of the Papawai Drive residents who were consulted, there was however some who did not provide feedback either way.

K Barnett further queried if no-stopping restrictions would force vehicles onto the grass verge on Cust Road. S Binder noted that was a possible risk and if that occurred staff would work through mitigating options.

Following a question from S Wilkinson, S Binder stated over the past two years approximately six complaints were received across the three sites.

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: M Fleming

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250227032830.

CARRIED

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: M Fleming

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board recommends:

THAT the Utilities and Roading Committee:

- (b) **Approves** installation of the following no-stopping restrictions:
- i. 11m north of the driveway to 22 Golding Avenue, Rangiora.
 - ii. From a point 10m south of the driveway to 19-31 Golding Avenue, Rangiora, for 11m south.

LOST

A division was called:

For 4: K Barnett, R Brine, M Fleming and B McLaren.

Against 4: J Gerard, I Campbell, S Wilkinson and P Williams.

K Barnett acknowledged that the No Stopping restrictions on Golding Avenue may result in increased vehicle speeds and other safety concerns may arise that would need to be dealt with, but she was in favour of the No Stopping restrictions being installed.

J Gerard concurred with K Barnett's comments regarding Golding Avenue, noting that speeding vehicles mostly accessed Golding Avenue from Ashley Street. There were also issues with vehicles cutting the corner onto Golding Avenue which if resolved he believed would alleviate problems occurring on Golding Avenue.

P Williams was concerned that the proposed No Stopping restrictions on Golding Avenue would result in more accidents due to the increased speed.

In her right of reply, K Barnett agreed there were other safety concerns occurring on Golding Avenue.

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: M Fleming

- (c) **Approves** installation of the following no-stopping restrictions:
- i. 24m east of Earlys Road, Cust on the north side of Cust Road.
 - ii. 5m north of the access to Koura Reserve on Papawai Drive, Rangiora.

CARRIED

K Barnett noted she was always cautious of installing No Stopping restrictions; however, she was familiar with the three locations. Traffic was increasing on Cust Road, and she believed that installing the No Stopping restrictions would increase safety at the Earlys Road intersection.

6.3. **Rangiora-Ashley Community Board's 2025/26 Discretionary Grant Fund and 2025/26 General Landscaping Budget – T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader)**

T Kunkel stated this was an annual report to the Board updating them on the General Landscaping and Discretionary Grant Fund budgets for the 2025/26 financial year. She requested one amendment be made to the Discretionary Grant Application Form to state *"The application should clearly state the purpose for which the funds will be used. It should be noted that the board will not fund ongoing or annual operating expenditure associated with the administration or running of the applicant's club organisation or club."* She noted that the Discretionary Grant Criteria would be reviewed with the incoming Board after the Local Government elections in October 2025.

Moved: P Williams

Seconded: J Gerard

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** Report No. 250616108452.
- (b) **Notes** that the Board's General Landscaping Budget allocated by the Council for the 2025/26 financial year was \$29,290, with any carry forward from the 2024/25 financial year to be reported back in August 2025.
- (c) **Notes** that the Board's Discretionary Grant Funding allocated by the Council for the 2025/26 financial year is \$14,510 with a carry forward from the 2024/25 financial year of \$3,049 for a total of \$17,559 for the 2025/26 financial year.
- (d) **Approves** the Board's 2025/26 Discretionary Grant Fund Application Criteria and Application Form (Trim No. 210603089866).
- (e) **Approves** the Board's 2025/26 Discretionary Grant Accountability Form, which is unchanged (Trim No. 210603089980).

- (f) **Approves** that Discretionary Grant Fund applications be considered at each meeting during the 2025/26 financial year (July 2025 to June 2026).

CARRIED

6.4. **Application to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board's 2025/26 Discretionary Grant Fund – T Kunkel (Governance Team Leader)**

T Kunkel noted the North Canterbury Classics Leisure Marchers Incorporated provided seniors an opportunity to participate in a sport which helped keep members both physically and mentally agile. The application complied with the Board's Discretionary Grant Application Criteria, as the Group was an incorporated society and most of its members reside in the Rangiora-Ashley Ward. However, this was the third time they had applied for the same activity which the Board should take into consideration as the Discretionary Grant Fund Criteria stated that the Board would not fund ongoing or annual operating expenditure

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: S Wilkinson

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 250616108267.
- (b) **Declines** a grant to the North Canterbury Classics Leisure Marchers Incorporated.

CARRIED

K Barnett Against

T Kunkel reported Community Colleges New Zealand (Comcol) were requesting \$1,000 towards a solar kit for their recently installed compostable toilet. She noted this was the first time Comcol had applied to the Board's Discretionary Grant.

P Williams questioned whether Comcol's compostable toilet complied with the Council's Bylaws and regulations. T Kunkel could not comment on compliance; however, she noted that the grant could be subject to ensuring compliance.

K Barnett asked whether Comcol was funded by the Ministry of Education. T Kunkel stated to her knowledge they were a charitable organisation not funded by the Education Department.

Moved: B McLaren

Seconded: K Barnett

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (c) **Approves** a grant of \$1,000 to Community Colleges New Zealand – Comcol North Canterbury towards the purchase of a solar panel, connectors, and a battery for a compostable toilet, provided that the compostable toilet complied with the Council's Bylaws and regulations

CARRIED

M Fleming noted Comcol invited the Board to visit their site and asked if that could be organised.

T Kunkel advised that the North Canterbury Floral Art Group were holding a two day Floral Art Demonstration and Workshop and were seeking funding to assist with the event. The application complied with the Board's Discretionary Grant Application Criteria, as the Group was a non-profit community organisation and the requested grant of \$1,000 did not exceed the Board's general limit of \$1,000 per financial year. However, it was unclear how many of the beneficiaries resided within the Rangiora-Ashley Ward and although 150 people were expected for the demonstration, only 20 were expected to attend the workshop.

J Gerard sought clarity as part of the application was asking for travel expenses for the tutor and the Board's Discretionary Grant Application Criteria stated it would not fund payment for volunteers including arrangements in kind, e.g. petrol vouchers. T Kunkel explained her understanding it applied to volunteer of the group applying for funding. As this was a private tutor, they would not be considered a volunteer.

Moved: K Barnett

Seconded: B McLaren

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (d) **Approves** a grant of \$500 to the North Canterbury Floral Art Group towards hosting a Floral Art Demonstration and Workshop in November 2025.

CARRIED

K Barnett stated this was a worthwhile group who contributed to the community. She did however feel this application would benefit more than just the Rangiora-Ashley community and therefore felt \$500 was appropriate.

B McLaren highlighted the overall cost of the project was \$3,218 and therefore the Board's contribution may not be going towards only travel expenses.

T Kunkel highlighted that the North Canterbury Musical Society was based at Northbrook Studios in Rangiora and was requesting assistance with purchasing a heat pump for its office. She took the application has read.

P Williams questioned who paid for the power within the Northbrook Studios area. S Hart and K Barnett confirmed that tenants in the Northbrook Studios paid for their own utilities.

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: B McLaren

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (e) **Approves** a grant of \$957 to the North Canterbury Musical Society Inc. towards the purchase of a heat pump for the administration office.

CARRIED

7. CORRESPONDENCE

Nil.

8. CHAIRPERSON'S REPORT

8.1. Chair's Diary for June 2025

Moved: J Gerard

Seconded: K Barnett

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** report No. 250630118280.

CARRIED

9. MATTERS FOR INFORMATION

- 9.1. Oxford-Ohoka Community Board Meeting Minutes 4 June 2025.
- 9.2. Woodend-Sefton Community Board Meeting Minutes 9 June 2025.
- 9.3. Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board Meeting Minutes 16 June 2025.
- 9.4. Parking Management Plans for Rangiora and Kaiapoi Town Centres – Final Plans for Adoption – Report to Council Meeting 3 June 2025 – Circulates to Rangiora-Ashley and Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Boards
- 9.5. Bylaw Programme Update June 2025 – Report to Council Meeting 3 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards
- 9.6. Stock Movement Bylaw 2020 Review – Report to Council Meeting 3 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards
- 9.7. Section 155 Report for Review of Signage Bylaw 2019 – Report to Council Meeting 3 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.8. Health, Safety and Wellbeing Report April 2025 to Current – Report to Council Meeting 3 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.9. 190 High Street Bin Storage Issues and Options – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 17 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.10. Infrastructure Resilience Fun Proposed Projects for 2025/26 and Work Plan Following the May 2025 Flood Event – Report to Utilities and Roading Committee Meeting 17 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.
- 9.11. Adoption of the Annual Plan 2025/2026 – Report to Council Meeting 17 June 2025 – Circulates to all Boards.

Public Excluded

- 9.12. 259 Boys Road, Rangiora – Easement and Compensation – Report to Council Meeting 3 June 2025 – Circulates to Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.

Moved: R Brine

Seconded: S Wilkinson

THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

- (a) **Receives** the information in Items.9.1 to 9.11.
- (b) **Receives** the separately circulated public excluded information in item 9.12.

CARRIED

10. MEMBERS' INFORMATION EXCHANGE

R Brine:

- The Alcohol Control Bylaw 2025 went out for public consultation several months ago, and seven submissions were received; however, none of the submitters wished to be heard so no hearing was held. The Bylaw was largely unchanged and was approved by the Council at its July 2025 meeting. The Bylaw had proved to be a helpful tool as it gave the New Zealand Police an option other than detention and potential arrest.

J Goldsworthy:

- Attended a meeting with staff and the Mayor regarding the proposed Cust sawmill, which was a permitted activity under the current Operative District Plan and therefore notification of the application was not required, and no resource consent was required. The current resource consent was only for earthworks on the site.
- He had been consumed by attempting to understand the Proposed District Plan before it being approved by the Council in June 2025.

P Williams:

- Attended several drainage meetings.
- Received many complaints for residents regarding the condition of gravel roads in the Waimakariri District.

M Fleming:

- Attended the Waimakariri Access Group meeting – The Inclusive Sports Day was held on 4 July 2025. The Waimakariri Libraries were working towards gaining Hapai Access Cards.
- Attended a Keep Rangiora Beautiful meeting – Matawai Park was overgrown with weeds, so a group had been created who would clear Matawai Park on Friday mornings.

K Barnett:

- Attended the Council Workshop on the South of High Master Plan.
- Had been busy with the Rangiora Players production of Look at the View.
- Attended the Rangiora Promotions Association's Volunteers and Sponsors Evening.
- Attended the All Boards session on the Proposed District Plan.
- The Kaiapoi Art Expo would be held on 12 and 13 July 2025.
- The Church Street Tennis Club site was looking unsightly, she requested staff could report to the Board on the maintenance of the site.

B McLaren

- Attended Rangiora Players "Look at the View".
- Attended South of High Master Plan Council Workshop.
- Attended the All Boards Session on the Proposed District Plan - Well done to all those involved.
- Attended the Waimakariri Stronger Communities Conference 2025.
- Attended the Rangiora Promotions Sponsors and Volunteers Appreciation Evening and Annual General Meeting.
- Attended the Hartley School of Performing Arts production of Rapunzel - It was a fabulous performance. D Hartley had done so much over the past 20 years to support and encourage tamariki to grow in confidence and excel.
- Attended the North Canterbury Inclusive Sports Festival.
- Attended the St John Church Fair.
- Met Jill and Pete Southen on Elm Drive regarding the elm tree causing them concerns.
- Met Highfield Lane residents regarding the proposed yellow no-parking lines. They were concerned at the lack of consultation, especially as there were only 12 properties in the cul-de-sac.
- Rangiora Community Patrol:
 - Volunteered as a nighttime camera operator and did foot patrol around the Rangiora Town Centre.
 - Assisted with a fundraising barbeque where many people gave a donation without taking a sausage.
 - Attended the monthly meeting as the Health and Safety Officer.
- Assisted several clients as a Justice of the Peace.

I Campbell:

- Attended a meeting with the Whiterock Quarry organiser.
- Had been asked by a member of the community to look at the condition of the Okuku Pass.

S Wilkinson:

- Would be attended the Whiterock Hall fundraising event.

J Ward:

- Attended the Council meeting for the adoption of the 2025/26 Annual Plan.
- Attended the Rangiora drop-in session on the Proposed District Plan.
- Attended the Sports Canterbury meeting - They were shaping the future of spaces and places for play at the Woodend Community Centre.
- Attended the All Boards Session about the Proposed District Plan.
- Attended the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust meeting.

11. CONSULTATION PROJECTS

Nil.

12. BOARD FUNDING UPDATE

12.1. Board Discretionary Grant

Balance as at 1 July 2025: \$17,559.

12.2. General Landscaping Fund

Balance as at 1 July 2025: \$29,290, carry forward to be calculated.

The Board noted that the funding update

13. MEDIA ITEMS

Nil

14. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil

15. URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS

Nil

NEXT MEETING

The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board was scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 13 August 2025.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING CONCLUDED AT 8.21PM.

CONFIRMED



Chairperson

13 August 2025

Date