MINUTES OF THE MEETING FROM THE WAIMAKARIRI DISTRICT COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA, ON TUESDAY 29 MAY TO THURSDAY 31 MAY 2018, COMMENCING AT 9AM EACH DAY.

PRESENT:
Mayor D Ayers (Chair), Deputy Mayor K Felstead, Councillors N Atkinson, K Barnett, R Brine (departed 10.43am after item 5.2), A Blackie, W Doody, D Gordon, J Meyer, S Stewart and P Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE:
J Palmer (Chief Executive), J Millward (Manager, Finance & Business Support), C Sargison (Manager, Community & Recreation), G Cleary (Manager, Roading and Utilities), S Markham (Manager Strategy & Engagement), C Brown (Community & Green Space Manager), K Simpson (3Waters Manager), J McBride (Roading & Transport Manager), Y Warnaar (Asset Planning Engineer Roading), O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager), K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager), C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager), C Parton (Wastewater Asset Manager), M Harris (Customer Services Manager), S Hart (Business and Centres Manager), M Edgar (Corporate Planner) and S Nichols (Governance Manager).

Tuesday 29 May 2018 (Day One)
The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 10.43am and reconvened at 11.01am. The meeting adjourned at 12.02pm to enable Councillors to familiarise themselves with the Community Facilities Provision report and reconvened at 12.09pm. The meeting adjourned at 12.48pm for refreshments and reconvened at 1.23pm. The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 3.14pm and reconvened at 3.25pm. The meeting adjourned at 5.24pm to reconvene on Wednesday 30 May 2018 at 9.05am.

Wednesday 30 May 2018 (Day Two)
The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 10.17am and reconvened at 10.35am. The meeting adjourned at 11.53am for a public excluded briefing on roading and utilities related matters and reconvened at 12.06pm. The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 12.34pm and reconvened at 1.04pm. The meeting adjourned for refreshments at 3.19pm and reconvened at 3.35pm. The meeting adjourned at 5.38pm and reconvened on Thursday 31 May 2018 at 9am.

Thursday 31 May (Day Three)
The meeting reconvened at 9am and concluded at 10.45am.

The Mayor welcomed everyone to the meeting, acknowledging the full public gallery.

1. APOLOGIES

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie
An apology for early departure was received and sustained from Councillor R Brine on 29 May 2018 from 11am.
An apology for early departure was received and sustained from Councillor Meyer on 29 May 2018 from 3.05pm.
An apology for absence was received and sustained from Councillor R Brine for 30 and 31 May 2018.

CARRIED
2. **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Mayor Ayers declared a conflict of interest with submission 296 (Compass FM), being a Trustee.

Councillor Doody declared a conflict of interest with submission 272 (North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support), being the current Chairperson (noting it was a Council appointment to the Group).

3. **CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

3.1 Minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Tuesday 8 May and Wednesday 9 May 2018 to hear submissions to the Draft Long Term Plan 2018-2028

Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) **Confirms** as a true and correct record the minutes of a meeting of the Waimakariri District Council held on Tuesday 8 May and Wednesday 9 May 2018, subject to minor amendments supplied by Councillor Barnett.

CARRIED

4. **MATTERS ARISING FROM THE MINUTES**

Nil.

**OVERVIEW**

**Day One**

J Palmer outlined the meeting process and provided background to this point regarding the Long Term Plan.

J Palmer spoke of the work that had been undertaken to contribute towards the Long Term Plan, which included the District Development Strategy, a review of the Asset Management Plans, assessments of community needs, concept designs for the indoor court facility, consultation on kerbside collection, the work undertaken by the regeneration steering group and many discussions with councillors on a number of policies and Council direction.

J Palmer reflected on these deliberation meetings being an accumulation of 18 months of work by staff and Councillors, working through the budget meetings in January and then consultation with the community on the draft LTP which sets out the budgets for the next ten years. Over 800 submissions from the community were received with more than 50 people and groups speaking during the two day hearing process. It is now the responsibility of the Council to consider that consultation feedback, in conjunction with staff information to determine the Council budget and set the rates for the upcoming financial year. It has been a very thorough process.

J Palmer commented briefly on the government indications related to public water supplies and additional UV treatment, to which this Council had taken the precautionary action of including provisional budgets. J Palmer also commented on the unexpected crash in the international recycling market, with consequences that may require greater spending than forecast. This had been signalled to the Council last week and further discussions would be held tomorrow.

**Day Two**
J Palmer provided an update from the previous day and spoke of the recycling cost increases and potential options for the Council to consider.

**ACCEPTANCE OF A LATE REPORT**

Moved: Councillor Gordon  
Seconded: Councillor Felstead

That the Council accept a late report titled Community Facilities Provision – Craig Sargison (Manager Community & Recreation), Trim reference 180514052564 to be considered during the meeting as item 5.4.

CARRIED

5. REPORTS

5.1 Draft Long Term Plan 2018-28 Special Consultative Procedure – M Edgar (Corporate Planner)

M Edgar spoke briefly to the report.

Moved: Mayor Ayers  
Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report LTC-03-13-04/TRIM Number 180517054560.

(b) Receives all 850 submissions and associated submission points raised by submitters, which are included in the ‘Deliberations Pack’ previously distributed to Councillors.

(c) Adopts all submission recommendations proforma.

CARRIED

5.2 Multi-Use Sports Facility – C Sargison (Manager Community and Recreation)

Mayor Ayers indicated his intention to move the staff recommendation.

C Sargison spoke briefly to the report, highlighting the alternative proposal received from DM and AD Smith Investments Ltd, the purchase of the Coldstream Road land and the consultation with the community which had included both the concept layout of the facility at the Coldstream Road site, and the layout of the proposed building. From the submissions received, there was general support for the design of the facility with staff acknowledging that some feedback mentioned concern at the affordability of the project. Staff mentioned the costings included in the previous Long Term Plan (2015-2025 LTP) being $108 per household, based on a $23million facility, and the current draft 2018-2028 LTP costing of $27.85million with a per household cost of $91. The decrease per household was based on the increase of population of the district.

There were no questions from Councillors.

Moved: Mayor Ayers  
Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180430046651

(b) Notes the submissions to the Draft LTP on the multi-use indoor court facility
(c) **Notes** the letter of offer from DM and AD Smith Investments Ltd for an alternative proposal at Flaxton Road.

(d) **Declines** the proposal from DM and AD Smith Investments Ltd because the long-term cost of leasing will be greater than through Council ownership by around $12.575 million.

(e) **Approves** the Master Plan for the layout of the Council owned land at Coldstream Road (Trim 170816088145).

(f) **Agrees** to proceed with the development of the Multi Use Sports Facility at Coldstream Road for a total project cost of $27.85 million with the construction of the facility being completed around September 2020.

(g) **Requests** staff to submit a report on procurement of professional services for the detailed design of the facility to the July Council meeting.

(h) **Notes** that the rate effect of the facility is an increase of $91.00 per property per annum.

(i) **Circulates** the resolution and the report to the Community Boards.

Mayor Ayers stated that this is a very important decision to make for both the Council and for the whole of the Waimakariri District. Mayor Ayers reflected back to 2011 when he was first approached and now seven years later, the Council have received many submissions over various forums and it has been clearly established there is a need for this facility in our district. It is within the context of Greater Christchurch. Mayor Ayers reflected on the Metro Sports facility in Christchurch two years ago, and the City being aware of the Waimakariri proposal. Mayor Ayers acknowledged concern from the community in relation to cost as this will be one of the biggest projects since 1989 when the Waimakariri Council was formed.

Mayor Ayers reflected on concerns raised regarding debt versus recreation and fitness, the community consultation and the strong response in favour of the project. Mayor Ayers stated that he had lived in Rangiora since 1983 and had never encountered a councillor who was not concerned with rate burdens. Mayor Ayers stated that the 2018/19 financial year was the biggest projected rate rise and yet there is minimal funding relating to the stadium for this year. The Council has debt levels but it also prepared for earthquake funding with a cushion for insurance if there is no government support or insurance should the situation arise. This Council has been responsible in dealing with debt and debt projections he stated. Mayor Ayers spoke of the valid Council discussions and debate that related to the ‘nice to have’ or ‘need’ which included other assets such as libraries. Mayor Ayers remarked that one of the options is to delay the sports facility project, but it costs more and construction costs rise quicker than inflation, so if the Council wait it will be worse for the community. Mayor Ayers spoke of who will benefit; the community, and the Council must think community wide. He acknowledged that not everyone uses all the facilities in the district, reflecting on his personal fight for the Dudley Pool, which he has only used once with his grandchildren.

Mayor Ayers commented on low income households, not just being the elderly, and he believed low income families would use this facility, along with the many others in our community who will benefit.

Mayor Ayers remarked on the Local Government Act and the four well beings included in the legislation that states the purpose of local government is to enable democratic local decision-making and action by,
and on behalf of, communities and to promote the social, economic, environmental and cultural well-being of communities, in the present and for the future. Therefore we as a Council have to provide for our diverse community he stated.

Since 2011 this Council has been bold, purchasing land, building town halls and libraries bigger and better, and rising to the challenges of a growing community. Mayor Ayers urged the Council to be bold and support the recommendation.

Councillor Gordon agreed with the comments made by the Mayor, commenting that the debate is more about affordability rather than needing a facility, as the location has already been agreed some time ago. Councillor Gordon spoke of a recent visit to the Marlborough stadium and reflected on being part of several LTP cycles where such a facility as this had been mooted. Councillor Gordon believed this was the right time, based on his feedback from the community with 87% of submitters supporting the sports facility. Councillor Gordon spoke of the affordability argument that comes with such large asset investments such as Dudley Pool and the Regeneration area yet the cost is spread over 20 years. Councillor Gordon stated he was always prepared to consider a private/public collaboration and reflected on the alternative option and the implications of a lease arrangement. Councillor Gordon spoke of the location and linkages with other sporting codes, a design by a reputable firm which enables expansion over time, the multi-use features and the proposed partnership with the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust. Councillor Gordon stated that given the public feedback and expectations of many in the community he urged colleagues to vote to proceed with the sports facility project.

Councillor Williams stated he was in favour of the indoor court facility being built as an asset but held concerns in relation to the timing of construction, believing 2022 would be better for spreading the cost. Councillor Williams asked colleagues what was more important; flood mitigation, sewage and drinking water standards or the stadium. Councillor Williams commented on the existing Trust facilities and Rangiora High School, noting that the Council had committed $1m earlier in the year towards the new Kaiapoi High School gymnasium facility. Councillor Williams queried the 87% support as organisations represented many people yet the submission was counted as one vote. He commented on the Standard and Poors credit rating and issues that they have raised in relation to Council borrowing. Councillor Williams reflected on his reasoning for standing for Council and the promise made of rates being affordable for everybody, and his belief that core work should be undertaken first. Councillor Williams believed it was prudent to budget and put some funding aside for the stadium in two to three years’ time, and he concurred with Councillor Gordon’s comments related to other asset sales potentially assisting with funding of the stadium.

Councillor Doody stated she was in favour of the stadium proposal, reflecting on being part of the concept working party and involved from the ground up ensuring a suitable outcome for the community. Councillor Doody acknowledged the work of the Sports Trust and how they give back to the community.

AMENDMENT

To staff recommendation (f)

Moved: Councillor Atkinson    Seconded: Councillor Meyer
That the Council

(f) Agrees to proceed with the development of the Multi Use Sports Facility at Coldstream Road for a total project cost of $27.85 million (inflation adjusted) with the construction of the facility to start in 2021/22.

LOST

Division called by Councillor Atkinson

For: Councillors Atkinson, Blackie, Meyer, Stewart and Williams.

Against: Mayor Ayers, Councillors Felstead, Barnett, Brine, Doody and Gordon.

Amendment Lost 6:5.

Councillor Atkinson spoke of affordability and timing, acknowledging the facility is necessary for the growing district. He agreed with the Mayor’s statement of the Council needing to be bold and taking that important step however given the capital works programme it could be argued that being bold is to pause the stadium project. The Council are uncertain what the recycling programme will cost going forward and we have programmed major upgrades for our waste water and drinking water which we have to do as a responsible Council. Councillor Atkinson spoke of unknown factors that could have an impact on the future budgets, including transport related changes, sea level rise, housing subsidies, future rates rises and the need to be prudent and fiscally responsible as the budgets were already committing $141m on capital improvements over the next 10 years. Councillor Atkinson reflected on submissions and staff advice remarking that the Council needs to live within its means, just like the community.

Councillor Meyer spoke to the amendment, reflecting on the democratic process, work undertaken to date and the pride he held for this Council. He stated he was not against the stadium project, reflecting on the earthquake and what the Council team had achieved since Canterbury changed in 2011, noting that the rebuilds have come at a great cost; both socially and economically. Councillor Meyer accepted the stadium project would go ahead but remarked that the timing is important in relation to the balance sheets and noting that whilst the current financial ratings are positive he cautioned of potential impacts that could come in the next one to two years and how he would be more confident to start the stadium in three years’ time when the Council would be in a better financial position. He mentioned implications to rating, budget and impacts on infrastructure. Councillor Meyer accepted people would be disappointed if the project was delayed by several years, however that is a short time in local government, yet it would enable the Council to get its financial ducks in a row.

Councillor Gordon sought clarification from the Chief Executive in relation to the risk of Standard and Poors (S&P) downgrading the Council rating, with drainage projects not being delayed and the capital spend over the next 10 years, based on matters raised in debate by Councillor Meyer.

J Palmer advised that the S&P rating had changed to a negative outlook (not a downgrade), which they would review again in 12 months’ time. The reasons for the change were related to the change of capital profile and if the Council delivers on the LTP it is likely that the Council rating will return to a stable outcome. The financial effect to the Council, if it was to be downgraded to an AA- grade, would mean that borrowing would change across a $200m loan programme of (.05 to .1%) which equates to approximately $20,000 per year. Management believe the funding affect
is manageable within the overall budget as the operating budget is $90m. J Palmer advised that the drainage and water programmes needed to be considered separately and he outlined the upgrades that have occurred over the last three years, and information resulting from the Havelock North Inquiry. The prospect of chlorination in the future has seen an inclusion of an additional $3m in the budget, which was consulted on however this now needs to be increased to $6m for UV treatment which has been factored into the LTP currently before the Council. It was further advised that no renewal plans are affected. It was acknowledged sewerage was a significant investment involving $20m in Woodend and Rangiora. Capital programmes had been reviewed, factoring staff resources which has resulted in a realignment of some budgets. It was advised that one of the larger projects for Council’s consideration involving $4m would occur tomorrow relating to the Kaiapoi drainage solution and associated development occurring in Silverstream. J Palmer advised that the total capital spend over the next 10 years is approximately $440m, with $120m of that figure proposed in the first two years, including $30m carry-overs. That would result in $90m remaining to be spent over the next two years and is relative to what the Council has been spending over the last few years.

Councillor Barnett expressed her delight that everyone who had spoken so far agreed to build the sports facility, reflecting on the many issues the Council has tackled since 2010 when she came onto the Council and commenting that prudent spending is generational. Councillor Barnett remarked that she did not believe pushing out the stadium project by three years will help with generational years, and the Council was aware of the self-imposed debt levels. Councillor Barnett commented on the S&P ratings, and being the only Council with such a high financial rating, reminding members it was a higher rating than the banks. Councillor Barnett commented on the excellent financial condition that the Council was in, with staff informing members well and through prudent management ensuring the Council and community could financially cope with an alpine fault event. Councillor Barnett reflected that in the 2015-2025 LTP the Council agreed to put $23m in the budget, and now it is putting an additional $4.7m in the budget. She commented on the earthquake and the resulting fast growth that followed including demands for recreation and sport space by the local schools and reflected on the submission feedback and needing a facility for the young members of the community. Councillor Barnett believed Ashburton, Selwyn and Christchurch have better facilities than currently provided for in Waimakariri, and the community cannot wait another three years. Councillor Barnett noted that there were very few submissions speaking against the $18m for regeneration related projects, believing that the community were signalling for the Council to recover and rebuild. Councillor Barnett spoke of the importance of the sports facility, being a place of community connection as well as for community health. Councillor Barnett stated this proposed facility is needed now, although she believed it may not be big enough.

Mayor Ayers spoke to the amendment, commenting that it fails to show a difference, commenting on the financial stability of the Council, the Excellence Programme in which Waimakariri and Wellington City are the only two councils to achieve an AA rating by independent assessors. He commented on flooding mitigation projects and the $20m remediation project which is on-going. Mayor Ayers acknowledged sea level rise and climate change is happening but it is a 50 to 100 year programme, not the next three years. We know the population is increasing, across all age groups, and acknowledged the higher elderly population. He commented on the spike in growth after the 2011 earthquake however the district continues to grow. Mayor Ayers stated that we have certainties and
uncertainties in the planning and knowledge, however that happens every year into the future and the Council needed to deal with it based on the best information and judgement at the time. Drinking water issues are across New Zealand and the Government is working and listening to Local Government issues. Whilst the Government is making changes that may cause some uncertainty but it is also working with us not against us.

Councillor Stewart acknowledged the sports stadium as an exciting facility but expressed concern on costs, reflecting on expenses over the next decade, district growth, projects in the LTP and the impacts on ratepayers. She remarked on the rates rebate that not all eligible persons apply for, the costs associated with clean water, recycling issues, and nitrate contamination. Councillor Stewart expressed concern that the costs of treating nitrate issues were not factored into the current budget, although more information would be furnished from ECAn later in June. Councillor Stewart remarked that by delaying the stadium facility three years whilst the construction costs may increase, the costs on the community are likely to decrease as the population continues to grow. The time delay would also enable the Council to better understand the recycling cost issues, government related implications regarding water and to complete the most ambitious works programme that has been proposed. Councillor Stewart stated she did not want to see the failure of the capital works programme, and was mindful of the S&P rating which the Council had achieved to this point by prudent financial management and the potential downgrade. Councillor Stewart stated she supported the amendment.

Councillor Blackie commented on ‘do we want to afford it?’ and referred to budget buffers for future disasters. He commented on the four community boards, which represent a portion of the community and their thoughts on the stadium proposal proceeding. Councillor Blackie remarked on the Ashburton sports facility considered by some as being too small, associated costs and the fact that Ashburton did not have the same earthquake associated costs. Councillor Blackie commented on the $40m that the Council had borrowed to undertake earthquake related works, the recovery plan, and rate increases in relation to the CPI. Councillor Blackie was concerned about the working poor, and balancing the desirability of such a community asset. Councillor Blackie was supportive of delaying the sports facility for three years.

Councillors Felstead remarked that if the facility is delayed, there will always be other community challenges, which should be dealt with as they occur. He commented on affordability and the rates projections, stating he would vote against the amendment.

Councillor Gordon stated he was against the amendment for reasons already stated. The modelling had been done on the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust being involved in the project, which is operated by respected community members from professional backgrounds such as an accountant, lawyer, pharmacist and other business people and the proposal had Councillor Gordon’s confidence of success.

Returning to the substantive motion.

Councillor Barnett clarified three out of the four community boards support the sports facility (with some conditions), and that only the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board was opposing the facility at this time.

Councillor Atkinson commented on some of the unknowns, such as regional facilities and the burden on ratepayers, believing that a three year delay would be better for the community, reminding members that it was only recently that the facility had moved forward in the budget timeframes,
as it had been in the outer years. Councillor Atkinson stated that if the stadium is not going to be delayed then the full Council need to support the facility, therefore he stated he would support the substantive motion as he wanted to see a facility for the community, however he was disappointed in his view that the Council was not being as prudent as he believed it should be.

Councillor Stewart stated she would not support the motion as previously outlined, acknowledging that all Councillors were supportive of the stadium and it was the timing and impact on the ratepayers that was in debate. Councillor Stewart commented that her emphasis was on the natural environment including the waterways which are under threat and polluted, with associated financial costs to come, and reflected on the environmental issues the district was facing in the next five to 10 years.

Councillor Felstead stated that he would support the motion believing it is a function of the Council to provide the facility. Councillor Felstead stated this Council was progressive, and could afford to be and needed to cater for the district growth, and would deal with issues as they arose.

In his right of reply, Mayor Ayers reflected on Councillor Williams’ comment on the submission of groups being counted as one submission, and their voice should be considered, remarking that he did not personally count such submissions as one vote.

Moved: Mayor Ayers Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180430046651
(b) Notes the submissions to the Draft LTP on the multi-use indoor court facility
(c) Notes the letter of offer from DM and AD Smith Investments Ltd for an alternative proposal at Flaxton Road.
(d) Declines the proposal from DM and AD Smith Investments Ltd because the long-term cost of leasing will be greater than through Council ownership by around $12.575 million.
(e) Approves the Master Plan for the layout of the Council owned land at Coldstream Road. (Trim 170816088145).
(f) Agrees to proceed with the development of the Multi Use Sports Facility at Coldstream Road for a total project cost of $27.85 million with the construction of the facility being completed around September 2020.
(g) Requests staff to submit a report on procurement of professional services for the detailed design of the facility to the July Council meeting.
(h) Notes that the rate effect of the facility is an increase of $91.00 per property per annum.
(i) Circulates the resolution and the report to the Community Boards.

CARRIED

Division called by Councillor Gordon

Against: Councillors Blackie and Stewart.

Substantive Motion Carried 9:2

Mayor Ayers thanked the Councillors for the spirit in which the debate occurred and the full public gallery for their interest.

The meeting adjourned at 10.43am and reconvened at 11.01am.

Councillor Brine departed at 10.43am.

Following a question from Councillor Barnett in relation to submission responses staff explained that not all submissions would receive a standard response and responses would be tailored to submission points raised. Some groups will have ongoing discussions with staff to explore further options.

5.3 Multi-Use Sports Facility Operating Agreement – C Sargison (Manager Community and Recreation)

C Sargison spoke to the report briefly outlining the proposed stages of the process. He confirmed key aspects of the agreement with the Trust operation including naming rights and rental fee.

J Palmer acknowledged that the maximum revenue was anticipated to build over 18 months as the Trust builds the activity programme, commenting on the initial anticipated operating shortfall.

Councillor Stewart sought assurance that the Trust has capacity to run the stadium plus its undertaking of the Flaxton Gym, whilst doing justice to both operations. J Palmer reflected on the two Councillors that are appointed to the Trust Board, and matters would be traversed over the next six months to help confirm that aspect. J Palmer spoke of the quality of the relationship with the Trust.

Councillor Barnett queried Rata Foundation funding, asking if it was envisaged that the Trust could apply for more funding, including from other organisations. C Sargison commented on previous discussions with the Rata Foundation relating to all council facilities. Lotteries funding would be to the Council and staff will investigate that now the Council has approved the sports facility proceeding.

Councillor Blackie queried the $200,000 of annual contributions and impacts if the Trust were not meeting the operating costs. J Palmer commented that to ensure transparency of the commercial operation of the Trust, and being conscious of other private gym facilities in the district, the Council should always expect the rental from the Trust. Through the programme the Trust should be able to cover operational matters and the Council would pay rates and insurance. If the Trust makes a profit, then those profits are returned through programmes to the community. J Palmer spoke of fees and charges which would be a joint discussion and the close monitoring of the operations, particularly in the first 18 months.

Councillor Williams enquired if the Trust would keep accounts separate to other operations to assist with the financial and operational monitoring. J Palmer advised that the Trust would run separate accounts for the sports facility to show appropriate accountability and transparency. The details of the fit-out details will become clearer over the next six months, pending funding from the Rata Foundation and other aspects. Briefings will occur with the Council prior to the finalisation of tender for works etc.
Councillor Atkinson queried fundraising by the community and where that contribution may go (ie running costs or to the capital cost to the Council. C Sargison advised that it was likely Rata Foundation or Lotteries funding would go to capital costs. For funding from various community groups it may be more appropriate to be a contribution for equipment for various sports. J Palmer spoke of corporate sponsors that then contributes to operating costs.

In a supplementary question Councillor Atkinson sought clarification on sports organisations/clubs contributing to the facility that may have otherwise been utilised for independent sports club facilities. J Palmer commented on the potential appetite by those individual groups to fund raise, and community passion to contribute towards costs. C Sargison referred to the report, commenting that some clubs/groups intend to contribute however that would be part of the contribution towards the $1m that the Trust are raising for fit-out related matters.

Councillor Stewart was interested in the mechanics of reporting from the Sports Trust back to the full Council to show transparency. J Palmer advised that as part of the agreement there will be the appropriate accountability provisions and spoke of a need to create a forum to exchange views and discuss further accountability and the co-ordination of the mechanisms. Over the next few months staff will bring back that information to the Council for consideration and comment.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification of the review terms and an exit agreement. J Palmer confirmed there would be appropriate clauses in the agreement relating to ceasing of the agreement and review provisions will also be included. He also confirmed that information will be discussed with the Council prior to formal adoption. Staff commented that it is a collaboration and negotiation with the Trust.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180516053790
(b) Approves that the Multi Use Sports Facility will be operated by the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust.
(c) Notes the key terms of the proposed agreement with the Trust.
(d) Approves staff negotiating a formal agreement for the operation of the Multi Use Sports Facility at Coldstream Road with the Trust for the consideration of Council.
(e) Notes that the agreement will be prepared for Council’s consideration prior to the Council awarding a contract for the construction of the Multi Use Sports Facility.

CARRIED

Councillor Stewart Abstained

It was noted that members of the Trust are Council appointees (Councillors Doody and Gordon) and therefore there was no conflict of interest in matters being discussed.

Councillor Atkinson remarked on questions from the community related to where raised funds go towards the stadium and he hoped community groups would undertake some fundraising as he believed it is a community responsibility for that operation. For the groups with capital funds Councillor Atkinson felt it was important they contribute towards the facility as they will directly benefit. Councillor Atkinson was supportive of sponsorship going towards operational matters and agreed that reporting back should be included in any agreement, be regular and to the full
Council. Councillor Atkinson remarked that the Trust have done a good job in what they have run to date.

Councillor Gordon reflected on his colleagues’ good comments, questions and matters raised for consideration. He commented that the Trust does have assets and as a Trustee he believes the project is manageable and that it is in everyone’s interests that the project succeed. Councillor Gordon reflected on the Coldstream Road hockey facility fun by the Trust, on behalf of the Council with an appropriate agreement in place. The Trust is run on a business model, with surplus funding returned to the community through various programmes. Councillor Gordon provided various examples. He reflected on the Rangiora Town Hall operation run by a commercial operator and how that agreement is working well for the Council and the community.

Councillor Stewart stated she would abstain from the vote, whilst accepting comments made and the Trust history. Councillor Stewart reflected on Enterprise North Canterbury (ENC) and Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust relationships not being of the same magnitude as the stadium project. Councillor Stewart stated that she would appreciate regular financial reporting with quarterly timeframes as a minimum. Councillor Stewart also wished to see the ratepayers respected and the facility to achieve what everyone hopes it will achieve.

Councillor Barnett supported the recommendation and explained her line of questioning. The Trust members are well known members of the community and very experienced she remarked. Councillor Barnett spoke of the Council’s capital contribution and her concern with the ongoing operational costs, however she believed this was a great model on behalf of the community.

Councillor Doody, as a Trustee, stated that she was impressed with the Trust’s current operation and has confidence they will run the stadium operation well.

Mayor Ayers reflected on what the motion means for the parties involved, with the details to follow. He acknowledged the Trust is not in the same space as ENC and Te Kohaka as those are both joint agreements with Hurunui District Council and Ngai Tahu respectively. Mayor Ayers spoke of other Trusts where the Council has appointments on the Board and the points made by Councillors, reflecting on the complexities of district requirements over time, noting that fundraising methods have changed over the years too.

Councillor Williams was supportive of the Trust running the stadium and believes they will do a good job, noting the Trustees are well qualified to run such an operation. Councillor Williams remarked that he held some concern on the details however, he looked forward to seeing more information in the coming months.

Mayor Ayers confirmed that the Trust Deed will state that, should for any reason the Sports Trust dissolve, then the assets would go to the Council.

In his right of reply Councillor Atkinson was unsure of some Councillors’ understanding of the motion, and emphasised that the final agreement will come back to the Council for approval before the contract to build the facility is let. Councillor Atkinson stated that he has faith in the Trust and wishes them well in the future endeavours and is confident in the relationship with the Council.

The meeting adjourned at 12.02pm to enable Councillors to familiarise themselves with the Community Facilities Provision report, then the meeting reconvened at 12.09pm.
5.4 **Community Facilities Provision – C Sargison (Manager Community & Recreation) Trim 180514052564 (Late Report)**

C Sargison spoke to the report highlighting aspects in the issues and options section of the report and explaining the table which was in the draft LTP. C Sargison commented on facilities at Pegasus and the need to undertake a feasibility study, which would include assessing impacts from Ravenswood. The ideal situation was likely to be a library and community centre co-joined. He commented on other facilities available in the community currently such as the rugby club rooms at Gladstone Park and explained the current lease arrangement for the temporary Pegasus Community Centre. It was explained that the Rangiora Library funding was spread over several years as there is a need for planning and design, taking into consideration population growth and another LTP. It was advised that book storage needs have been temporarily accommodated.

Councillor Barnett queried the similarities in recommendations (c) and (d). C Sargison spoke of an identified need in the north eastern area of the district for meeting spaces and the merits of a library to service Woodend and Pegasus communities.

Mayor Ayers commented on terminology of North Woodend rather than Ravenswood.

Mayor Ayers queried the extension proposal to the Rangiora Library and whether it is intended to join with the Service Centre building. C Sargison spoke of potential options such as the library downstairs and staffing upstairs, however further investigations would be required before refining the options.

Councillor Meyer enquired if staff were aware that the offices adjacent to the current Pegasus Community Centre are empty. C Sargison confirmed his awareness and explained further the relevant community needs and long term requirements for the community whilst providing best value for money.

Councillor Felstead queried that if the feasibility investigation identified that a more substantial investment in Pegasus was appropriate and are funds available for such a facility? C Sargison advised funds were not presently available and it would be an Annual Plan decision in future years.

Mayor Ayers understood property was selling quickly in Ravenswood, and enquired if staff had considered the need to move quickly to secure appropriate land. C Sargison acknowledged the information and potential of the business zone area being extended, commenting on potential options for a community facility, however the feasibility study was required to determine the next stage.

Councillor Williams stated he saw a need now for a larger community facility at Pegasus, and queried the investigation and land options. C Sargison acknowledged validity advising the feasibility study would show the best options for further Council consideration.

At the request of Councillor Williams each staff recommendation was taken individually.

Moved: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Doody

**THAT the Council:**

(a) **Receives** report No. 180514052564
(b) **Notes** the feedback received from submissions

CARRIED

(c) **Confirms** the following financial provision and timing in the LTP as follows (noting these are unchanged from the provisions in the Draft LTP):

Moved: Councillor Gordon   Seconded: Councillor Meyer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP YEAR</th>
<th>$40,000</th>
<th>INVESTIGATION INTO LOCATION OF A COMMUNITY FACILITY/LIBRARY IN WOODEND/PEGASUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**AMENDMENT**

Moved: Councillor Barnett   Seconded: Councillor Doody

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP YEAR</th>
<th>$40,000</th>
<th>INVESTIGATION INTO LOCATION OF A COMMUNITY SPACE IN WOODEND/PEGASUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

CARRIED

J Millward commented on the LTP Consultation and the risks of making substantive changes that had not necessarily been consulted on affecting where rates come from. Following a query from Councillor Gordon the Chief Executive advised that he did not see this particular motion as raising any procedural concern.

Division called by Councillor Barnett


Councillor Barnett stated she was not convinced a library was needed in every area of the District, commenting on the good Kaiapoi and Rangiora Library resources. Dilution of the facilities with staffing, resources and books would have an impact on budgeting. Councillor Barnett remarked she would rather see facilities for the future with technology scope, however she was comfortable with a kiosk to return library books. Councillor Barnett believed the focus of any new facility should be community space but accepted Oxford is a different matter and the current Service Centre and library makes sense for that particular community. Councillor Barnett remarked that community space does not necessarily require staffing and can be rented.

Councillor Doody understood the Woodend-Sefton Community Board would like a wider scope of the area to be considered.

Mayor Ayers stated he would oppose the motion and believes consideration should be given to a library provision. He remarked there was need to acknowledge that this area of the district is scheduled to grow through to Waikuku. Mayor Ayers commented that the Council could consider further information, and may take an option of a community facility with enough land that could enable a library to be built in the future.

Councillor Williams was supportive of Councillor Barnett's comments, believing a community building was needed in Pegasus now as there is an established community, whereas Ravenswood is yet to establish. Councillor Williams remarked that he did not see the need to stretch the library facility at this point in time.
Councillor Atkinson was supportive of an investigation, agreeing with the option of a community facility, but cautioned it was important to thoroughly investigate.
Councillor Gordon stated he was supportive of the amendment and was comfortable with exploring additional community spaces whilst remaining flexible to options.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Meyer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP YEAR 4</th>
<th>$50,000</th>
<th>FURTHER PLANNING FOR LIBRARY EXTENSION AT RANGIORA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

CARRIED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP YEAR 5</th>
<th>$3MILLION</th>
<th>DETAILED DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION COMMENCEMENT OF RANGIORA LIBRARY EXTENSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Car-parking development for land approved for community group buildings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Councillor Wendy queried the Rangiora Library extension and whether it was likely the building would expand vertically or horizontally. Mayor Ayers responded that any library development links with the future of the Service Centre and confirmed that $50,000 is included in the budget to assess the alignment between the two buildings.

Councillor Atkinson queried construction in years five and/or six with investigation in year four yet to be decided. J Palmer advised there was another LTP cycle to refine the timing.

AMENDMENT

Moved: Councillor Atkinson  Seconded: Councillor Gordon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP YEAR 5 AND 6</th>
<th>$3MILLION</th>
<th>DETAILED DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION COMMENCEMENT OF RANGIORA LIBRARY EXTENSION DEPENDING ON OUTCOME OF YEAR 4.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Car-parking development for land approved for community group buildings in the regeneration areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LTP YEAR 10</th>
<th>$50,000</th>
<th>LANDSCAPING/CAR-PARKING ON LAND APPROVED FOR COMMUNITY GROUP BUILDINGS IN THE REGENERATION AREAS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$3.9million</td>
<td>New community space at Ravenswood/Pegasus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett commented on the wording for the submitter responses. Councillor Barnett spoke of the need to have a placeholder in the budget with further debate during the review once the investigation has concluded.

Mayor Ayers acknowledged that a placeholder in year 10, may be too far out for the community however there were several Long Term Plans to be considered and altered if that was the desire of the Council. Mayor Ayers acknowledged capital spending, a needs analysis and investigation. Any variation could occur during the Annual Plan process.
Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Doody

(d)  **Notes** that a separate report on the provision of land for community owned buildings in the Regeneration Areas will be progressed once the Kaiapoi Town Centre Plan is finalised.  

**CARRIED**

The meeting adjourned at 12.48pm and reconvened at 1.23pm (Day One).

5.5 **Community Facilities Fees and Charges – C Sargison (Manager Community and Recreation)**

C Sargison spoke briefly to the report, drawing attention to a small change in the recommendation for combined room use at the Woodend Community Centre to $30/hr. He advised that user groups were written to prior to the LTP ensuring they were aware of the LTP proposals and ability to submit. C Sargison reflected on discussions during the draft LTP meeting with regard to the Rangiora Airfield operation. He commented on the proposed fee structure for the Rangiora Town Hall, particularly in relation to power being included in the hire fee. The draft proposal asked if fee changes should occur at one time, with C Sargison advising which facilities have charges proposed to be staggered over two financial years.

Mayor Ayers spoke of the free access to the Woodend Community Group and whether the same terms could be extended to the Ohoka Community Association. Staff confirmed that would occur. Staff explained about community associations using Council facilities. It was proposed that if community groups discuss their circumstance with staff then a report can be submitted to the Community and Recreation Committee for alternative arrangements.

Councillor Atkinson queried recommendation (e) and whether it raises a precedent. C Sargison provided an example of the Friendship Club which varies on meeting attendance as to whether one or two rooms required at the Woodend Community Centre. In a supplementary question, Councillor Atkinson sought further clarification. Staff responded.

Councillor Gordon referred to the Cust Community Centre and West Eyreton. Staff advised they were listed under the all other facilities heading.

Councillor Gordon queried the process on amending phasing of charges over three years. Staff advised they would come back to the table with an alternative recommendation later in the meeting. Staff recommended leaving the Oxford and Rangiora Town Halls unchanged from the recommendation.

Councillor Williams queried $4m² at the airfield and whether the long term leases can be increased prior to lease expiry dates. C Sargison commented that the airfield leases were on an annual rent review cycle that was staggered. Current timeframes were explained, however any change is at the Council’s discretion. Staff advised that airfield lease holders were written to in February 2018 indicating a fee change.

Councillor Stewart queried if a future report would address non-airfield users taking advantage of cheap rental/storage areas. Staff confirmed that a report would come to the Council in time.

Councillor Stewart queried room hire for Environment Canterbury related meetings such as the Water Zone Committee. Staff confirmed there was a mutual arrangement between Councils utilising meeting room facilities and advised that only staff supported advisory groups use the Chambers and Committee Room facilities.
Councillor Blackie, queried recommendation (f) querying risks with different conditions for different groups. C Sargison spoke of examples of other non-profit organisations in the performance arts area and how groups seeking a change in conditions would be considered by the Community and Recreation Committee via a staff report.

Councillor Barnett queried the danger in removing recommendation (f). Staff advised the group had submitted to the LTP and the Council needed to respond appropriately to their submission. Once the decision is made staff would write to the groups and advise accordingly.

Mayor Ayers commented on two potential amendments and advised of process.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180514052641

(b) Notes the submissions received on community facilities fees and charges.

(c) Confirms that the applicable charge for ground rental at the Rangiora Airfield is $4.00m² applicable to lease renewals occurring from 1 July 2018.

(d) Notes that staff are reviewing the basis of charging at the airfield with the intent of reducing the operating subsidy to the airfield and will present a report to Council prior to the adoption of the 2019/20 Annual Plan.

(e) Approves the Woodend Combined Friendship Club continuing to utilise the Woodend Community Centre for its monthly afternoon meetings on the basis of having the two meeting rooms available but only being invoiced for one room.

(f) Approves Staff concluding an agreement with Agape Dance Academy for the use of Pearson Park Pavilion and Oxford Town Hall as detailed in 4.3 of the report.

(g) Approves the Pegasus Residents Group and the Woodend Community Association having use of Council community facilities for their meeting at no charge.

(h) Approves the following definition of user types for charging:

1. Where the event or meeting is run by an association managed by a committee and all profits after reasonable operating expenses are returned to the community in some verifiable manner. Profits may also be held by the group and applied to the upgrading of equipment for use in future events or improving services to the members of the group and/or the community at large.

2. This category would also include an event or meeting where there is a passive or active recreational value to the community and activities where meetings or events are organised on a regular, scheduled basis but attended by members of the public.
on a casual basis and where a nominal fee is charged to cover the cost of the venue and reasonable operating costs. This would include events such as fitness or aerobic type classes, music and dance groups, gardening groups and other activities designed to provide recreation on a not for profit basis. Organisers of subscription based, or classes with a rate per term or per month will be charged the applicable tutor rate unless the use is covered by a separate Council approved agreement.

(i) Approves the following table of fees and charges for community facilities with effect from 1 July 2018 applying to all users unless otherwise agreed by the Community and Recreation Committee:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pavilion</th>
<th>Commercial per hour</th>
<th>Other users per hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed 2018/19</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed 2018/19</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cust Domain</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohoka Domain</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sefton Domain</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>View Hill</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pavilion</th>
<th>Commercial per hour (incl gst)</th>
<th>Tutor Rate per hour (incl gst)</th>
<th>All other users per hour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Woodend Community Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Hall</td>
<td>$28.75</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Room A or B</td>
<td>$28.75</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined Meeting Rooms A &amp; B</td>
<td>$57.50</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entire Complex</td>
<td>$414/hour</td>
<td>$200/day</td>
<td>$180/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruataniwha Civic Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room One</td>
<td>$28.75</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room Two</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other venues: (excl those above, Rangiora Town Hall, Oxford Town Hall)</td>
<td>$28.75</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxford Town Hall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A &amp; P Room</td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
<td>$15/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Hall</strong></td>
<td><strong>Commercial users (incl gst)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Other users (incl gst)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entire venue – per hour</strong></td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
<td>$25.00/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Entire venue – day rate (six hour or more)</strong></td>
<td>$86.25/hour</td>
<td>$40/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wedding rate (incl 3 hrs set up, full day hire and 2 hrs cleaning)</strong></td>
<td>$517.50</td>
<td>$240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auditorium projection equipment (incl technician)</strong></td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
<td>$50.00/hour</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OB&amp;I League for movies (x3 hrs, incl WDC owned projection equipment, wi-fi and electricity)</strong></td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Rangiora Town Hall</strong></th>
<th><strong>Commercial users (incl gst)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Other users (incl gst)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Auditorium – Performance Day</strong></td>
<td>$1,035.00</td>
<td>$373.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Auditorium – Pack In/Out, Rehearsal (maximum 14 days)</strong></td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>$143.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main Auditorium – Pack In/Out, Rehearsal (additional days)</strong></td>
<td>$287.50</td>
<td>$172.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Theatre – per hour (minimum 2hrs)</strong></td>
<td>$115.00/hour</td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Theatre – day rate</strong></td>
<td>$690</td>
<td>$345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Theatre – Projection Equipment (incl Tech)</strong></td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Function Room</strong></td>
<td>$57.50/hour</td>
<td>$345.00/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Studio Room</strong></td>
<td>$23.00/hour</td>
<td>$23.00/hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Room</strong></td>
<td>$23.00/hour</td>
<td>$23.00/hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(j) Circulates this report to the Boards.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson commented that he did not like singling out groups, and wondered if the Council would receive complaints from commercial operators. Councillor Atkinson believed the facility charging was not keeping pace and Councillors owed it to the ratepayers to be fair to all users.

Councillor Blackie endorsed Councillor Atkinson comments, acknowledging being behind with charges which now results in a jump to get back to parody. Councillor Blackie was supportive to spread the increases over two years.

AMENDMENT

Staff recommendation (i) being changed to read:

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded Councillor Barnett

(i) Approves the table of fees and charges for community facilities with effect from 1 July 2018 applying to all users to be phased in
over three years except the Rangiora and Oxford Town Hall, unless otherwise agreed by the Community and Recreation Committee:

**LOST**

Division called by Councillor Atkinson

For: Councillors Barnett, Felstead, Gordon and Mayor Ayers.

Against: Councillors Atkinson, Blackie, Doody, Meyer, Stewart and Williams.

Lost: 6:4

Councillor Gordon, commented on the increased fee impact has on small groups, providing an example of a bowling club who was a hall user over many decades. He accepted points made by colleagues as it seems a small amount. Councillor Gordon stated he was comfortable with the wording in recommendation (f) as it enables groups to present a case to the Community & Recreation Committee for consideration. Once the charges are implemented Councillor Gordon believed further feedback may be received which could be referred to the Committee on a case by case basis.

Councillor Barnett remarked that while it seemed that the increases were like pocket change, small community groups which are the heart of the community, especially in the rural areas do feel the impact. Councillor Barnett acknowledged that fees should have gone up a $1 a year since 2012, however she did not wish to see groups disband because facility fees had increased 50% ie $10 to $15.

Councillor Atkinson disagreed with Councillor Barnett, commenting that groups come and go by the drivers which they formed a group in the first place, and he could not support spreading the increase over three years. Councillor Atkinson agreed all groups have community benefits. From Councillor Atkinson’s observations, every year after fee increases, groups complain, however he personally is unaware of groups disbanding due to the increased facility fees; only their lifecycle.

Councillor Barnett spoke to the motion, acknowledging the discussion time, for what appears to be a small aspect in the overall the budget. Councillor Barnett stated she would support the motion but felt uncomfortable with the outcome. Councillor Barnett reflected on the various groups that appeared at the LTP hearing and submitter comments, commenting on one group being treated separately to other groups and the importance of the Community and Recreation Committee considering information on its merits. Councillor Barnett agreed fees and charges needed to increase and the motion does provide some time for groups to adjust.

Councillor Doody commented on meeting with groups as current Chair of the Community and Recreation Committee, budgets and differing circumstances. Councillor Doody was supportive of the motion.

Councillor Atkinson stated he held some sympathy for Councillor Barnett’s argument, however there is an option available to groups can seek alternative arrangements through the Community and Recreation Committee. Councillor Atkinson posed a rhetorical question in light of the new sports facility, wondering how community groups will cope with increased facility use and how many will fold.
5.6 **Regeneration Work Programme – C Sargison (Manager Community and Recreation)**

C Sargison spoke briefly to the report, highlighting key aspects of the proposal.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification that the outer year programme is likely to be achieved for the overall project. C Sargison spoke of work with the Kaiapoi Regeneration Steering Group and community engagement. Staff believe the programme is achievable subject to resource consenting processes. C Sargison commented on the close working relationship with external funding sources and support for ecological linkages.

Staff advised that the resource consent for the piling at the Kaiapoi riverbed had been signed off this morning, and that signalled another step forward in the programme.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

**THAT** the Council

(a) **Receives** report No. 180518054707.

(b) **Notes** the submissions that have been received.

(c) **Approves** the deferral of the provision of $1.4million for the development of the Memorial Garden to an outer year of the LTP (beyond Year 10).

(d) **Approves** the balance of the Regeneration Budget as per the Draft LTP and the re-spread of the funding for the implementation of Recreation and Ecological linkages to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$520,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(e) **Notes** that this re-spreading does not affect the overall budget provision for Regeneration.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Atkinson stated this is a great step forward for the District, commenting that it was important to continue pushing forward as the recovery of the District develops.

Councillor Blackie remarked on the inability to push aspects of the programme back because so much of it was integrated with another stage in the overall project. Also community groups and sports clubs were awaiting progress that impacts on their planning and timetables. Councillor Blackie stated he was comfortable with the direction of the programme.

5.7 **Oxford Surveillance Cameras – C Sargison (Manager Community and Recreation)**

C Sargison spoke briefly to the report, advising one local supermarket has contributed to the project, which would potentially enable the video footage to be viewed at the Oxford Police Station, and in time, potentially the
Christchurch Central Police Station. The new cameras will be of significant quality to enable vehicle number plate recognition.

Councillor Barnett queried the option for cameras at Woodend and Pegasus. Staff advised that nothing had been included in the budgets for any other towns, and this project had come about as a specific request from the Council. Staff explained that the Woodend Community Centre has a different level of security cameras installed. The upgrade of security cameras was approximately half way through completion as staff and police continue to work with businesses. The Rangiora camera network, would eventually include coverage of the Southbrook area.

Moved: Councillor Felstead  Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council

(a) **Receives** report No. 180517054436.

(b) **Notes** the report from Visual Networks on Oxford Street Surveillance Cameras.

(c) **Approves** an additional $31,000 in the Greenspace Budget for the installation of additional surveillance cameras and infrastructure in Oxford during 2018/19.

(d) **Circulates** this report to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Felstead stated this project is well overdue and it was important that a Council system is installed. This is common sense and he was very pleased to support the project.

Councillor Doody concurred with her colleague’s comments, remarking that it will be of great assistance to the Police.

*Councillor Atkinson was absent from the Chambers from 11.12am to 11.34am on Day 2.*

5.8 **Kaiapoi Town Centre Activation – S Markham (Manager Strategy and Engagement) and S Hart (Business and Centres Manager)**

S Hart spoke to the report and reflected on a recent Council briefing, outlining the cost allocations. Staff advised that the Kaiapoi Town Centre Plan was underway and due to be presented to the Kaiapoi Regeneration Steering Group on 11 June, before being presented to the July Council meeting. It was advised public consultation will follow from mid-July to mid-August and an outline of what is proposed was very briefly presented.

S Markham commented on the challenges on activating the Plan and that the land available for development had increased by 60%. However it would take many years to get it to a sustainable, functioning business state. Staff advised they were not currently confident the right structures and processes are in place to provide the focus and agility required to succeed, hence the need for a focused investigation. It is a great opportunity but time and planning is needed to get the best outcome. Staff commented on a recent visit to Hastings District Council who are facing rapid change in their business area and staff found the visit enlightening how the project was being handled, with their agility to respond and the focus of generating jobs in the town centre. Hastings District Council is of similar size to
Waimakariri, and was very focused on generating employment and vitality of the town centre. There are learnings from the project relating to revenues in the future against land sales and the knowledge gained would also be useful for consideration of future Rangiora Town Centre Strategies.

Councillor Williams sought clarification of the increased budget for additional staff, in conjunction with ENC directions. S Markham advised the budget in the LTP was staffing in the Business Centres Manager’s area for the Business Friendliness Programme which is how businesses liaise and engage with our regulatory process, which is complimentary to what is being proposed with this report which is about generating real estate development and business attraction to the Kaiapoi Town Centre.

In a supplementary question Councillor Williams enquired how that will occur for the $150,000 investment. S Markham commented that $150,000 would be used for the investigative work and then scope the requirements required (including resourcing), signalling another $100,000 would then be required for the next stage. Staff commented on investment, and did not rule out further investment to be funded by returns from land sales in the future.

Councillor Barnett remarked that there is a lot programmed with other work streams such as District Development and District Plan, and queried delaying this proposal one year until the river site and wharf work was completed. S Markham spoke of timeframes and being ready for implementation in 2019-2020 and the planning required to enable those arrangements to be in place occurring during the 2018-2019 year. S Markham remarked that without doing any marketing there are a number of perspective investors and business relocation opportunities, and we do not currently have the resources to work with them, in the way we believe we need to. This report is about investing in preparation of future business opportunities.

Councillor Barnett enquired how long it would be before the Council could expect a return on the investment. S Markham advised it would be over many years as eight hectares of business land takes time to fill and requires dedicated on-going attention.

Councillor Barnett queried the Kaiapoi Town Centre Strategy that is due to be rolled out, and referred to a submission querying what budget we have to implement that and sought clarification on budgetary measures to implement the Kaiapoi Town Centre Strategy and would this money be better generally allocated to the Kaiapoi Town Centre Development Strategy, rather than being specific. S Markham advised there is not currently a specific implementation budget for the plan that as it is yet to be consulted on and is not mandated at this time. It is proposed to occur during 2019-2020. It was advised the funding for this project is legitimate charging against future revenues out of the mixed use business, as that is the funding source. To merge it with a general Town Centre Strategy budget is to then put it back onto the Council’s budget directly which is controlled through rating. S Markham commented on the Crown agreement, including return of profits in a 50/50 profit share arrangement.

J Palmer commented on risk factors with the eight hectares and outlined reasoning, including reflecting on Christchurch City options available to business operators. He commented on the marketing, development and strategy approach going forward. The Council needs good advice before launching into the next phase of decision making, and the advice being sought through this stage will help shape a good strategy going forward.

Councillor Blackie, reflected on the Hastings example and enquired who was driving the project and their qualifications or experience. S Markham advised it was a balance of internal and external resourcing and commented on the strategy focus and success. This has taken time to build and sustain business relationships over a long period of time.
Councillor Blackie asked why not consider a large developer/real estate agency and enable them to market the land area. S Markham commented that was an option available to the Council, however it comes with its own risks, reflecting on who is in control of the transaction, associated costs and the need for the Council to lead and generate the transaction for maximum benefit to the overall strategy.

Councillor Gordon queried the return on investment. S Markham advised it would depend on what is offered to the market. Staff commented generally on potential options for future considerations by the Council.

Councillor Stewart referred to the Hastings model, which could be an option, asking about resources invested both financially and staff to enable such a project to succeed. S Markham spoke of the Hastings strategy project group having four staff that are allocated projects and then brings engineering, planning, property specialists together. The focus is the project management discipline and the outcome. S Markham remarked on a recent discussion with Lawrence Yule MP, (and former Mayor of Hastings) and his direction to enable 1,000 jobs. The degree of organisation focus on the delivery of that Mayoral direction.

Councillor Stewart enquired if the current Town Centres' Manager role is a rung on the ladder towards this strategic property group that Hastings have developed. S Hart responded by providing positive examples of business friendliness through the liaison of the regulatory units of the Council and the review and implementation of the Town Centre Plans that are being proposed, explaining his role and future timing.

Councillor Stewart queried Hastings District Council’s desire to create 1,000 jobs in five years, and where they were in that plan. S Markham advised more information could be shared in a future workshop, however he could advise that the success of Hastings has been built on by itself.

Moved: Councillor Blackie  Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180516053730.

(b) Allocates $150,000 over 2018/19 and 2019/20 to fund investigations into a sustainable mechanism for managing the divestment and development of the Kaiapoi Town Centre (KTC) mixed use business areas (MUBA) into the long term.

(c) Notes that cost incurred in such investigations leading to an ongoing mechanism to manage the Council’s interest in the MUBA land would be a cost against which future revenues from divestment could be applied.

(d) Notes that the extent and future timing of take-up of this funding beyond the initial report costed at up to $50,000 would be determined by Council decision-making on that report.

(e) Notes the potential for the Government’s Provincial Growth Fund among other funding sources to contribute to the costs of these investigations and any follow-on actions will be considered as part of the initial investigation.

(f) Notes the potential for the learning arising out of this investigation to be applied to the challenges faced in ensuring long term activation of the Rangiora Town Centre, as key sites there undergo comprehensive redevelopment.

CARRIED

Councillor Blackie stated matters had been well covered during the discussion and he was comfortable with the motion.
Councillor Stewart stated she welcomed this step forward as the strategic plan pulls together diverse threads and considers it an appropriate approach to take. Councillor Stewart will look forward to seeing how this proposal links with the work of Enterprise North Canterbury.

5.9 **Community Board Submission for a Waimakariri Youth Development Grant** – The Community Board Chairs – presented by E Cordwell (Governance Advisor)

E Cordwell spoke to the report briefly.

Mayor Ayers queried the proposed decision group of Community Board chairs and a representative from the Youth Council, enquiring if the grants will be awarded on a community board ward basis. E Cordwell advised grants would be on a district wide basis determined by the presentation and best project proposed by the applicant, which benefits both the young applicant and the community. Potentially there could be up to two grants in any one year.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Doody

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180423043863.

(b) **Approves** the introduction of an annual Waimakariri Youth Development Grant Fund of $4,000 to operate as described in attachment i: Draft Youth Development Grant Criteria and Allocation Process (Trim 180410038656).

(c) **Confirms** the establishment of a Youth Development Grant Committee comprising the Community Board Chairs and a representative of the Youth Council to administer the Grant Fund and that the Youth Council now be invited to make such an appointment.

(d) **Requests** the Governance Manager to make all necessary arrangements to introduce the Waimakariri Youth Development Grant for the 2018/2019 financial year.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Gordon believed the proposal fits well with youth development, with clear processes and looked forward to the active WaiYouth group assisting in its promotion.

Councillor Doody was supportive of the proposal, remarking it was important youth have an opportunity. It was also good to be including the Youth Council by having one member on the decision panel.

Mayor Ayers commended Oxford-Ohoka Board Member Thomas Robson for bringing this matter up and progressing it to this stage with staff assistance.

5.10 **Public Submissions to Long Term Plan: General** – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

K Waghorn spoke to the report and commented on submission requests, remarking on illegal dumping and a programme to increase public awareness.
Councillor Gordon reflected on past discussions regarding charity organisations and illegal dumping.

A public excluded briefing occurred from 11.50am to discuss illegal dumping matters. The public were welcomed back to the meeting at 12.08pm.

Moved: Councillor Gordon  Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180515053040.

(b) **Notes** that there was no significant demand during LTP consultation from rural residents wanting the Council to extend kerbside collection services into rural areas.

(c) **Endorses** staff continuing to work with rural residents and communities as requests arise to determine if the Council could provide appropriate and cost effective kerbside collection services to, or recycling facilities closer to, those communities.

(d) **Notes** that the draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan includes an Action to continue funding for Enviro-schools.

(e) **Notes** that the Solid Waste Budget allocates $25,000 per annum to fund Enviro-schools over the next ten years.

(f) **Notes** that any expansion of the Enviro-schools Programme would require an increase in funding above current levels.

(g) **Notes** that the Southbrook resource recovery park is an unsuitable location for composting operations for a number of reasons, including:
   i. Proximity to several composting operations results in competition for the feedstock and end markets;
   ii. The limited space available for compost maturation;
   iii. The sensitivity of the receiving environment to leachate and odour in particular;
   iv. Proximity to businesses that sell compost both in bulk and in bags.

(h) **Notes** that once the reuse and recycling areas have been expanded there may be an opportunity to sell compost produced from our residents’ green waste, but that the implications of this with regard to the Council competing with local businesses would first have to be considered by the Council.

(i) **Requests** that staff develop a campaign around correct disposal of recyclable and reusable materials and the responsible disposal of rubbish, which can be run in local media and social media.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon remarked he was satisfied with the report content.

5.11 **Public Submissions to Long Term Plan: Kerbside Collection Services**

– K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

K Waghorn spoke to the report, reflecting on submitter views.

Councillor Doody queried public communication and education going forward. Staff commented on a proposed communications plan, which would include several drop-in sessions.
Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** report No. 180515053012.

(b) **Approves** inclusion of the "your choice" kerbside collection service in the 2018-28 Long Term Plan.

(c) **Notes** that 284 (76.5%) of the 371 submissions received are in favour of the "your choice" kerbside collection service.

(d) **Notes** that the Solid Waste Activity Management Plan and the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan will be amended to include the "your choice" collection service: fortnightly rubbish bag or bin collection and/or weekly organics bin collection.

(e) **Notes** that the final version of the Waste Management and Minimisation Plan will be presented to the Council for adoption in July 2018.

(f) **Approves** increasing the level of education and community engagement around waste minimisation.

(g) **Endorses** the provision of support to, and promotion of local waste minimisation initiatives that are led by, businesses and community groups.

(h) **Notes** that there is sufficient budget in the Waste Minimisation account to fund an increase in community engagement and provide support to and promotion of local waste minimisation initiatives that are led by businesses and community groups.

(i) **Requests** staff to investigate requiring better waste and litter management by developers and building companies through consenting processes in order to reduce the impact of earthworks and windblown construction materials on the surrounding environment.

(j) **Notes** that there was no significant demand from rural residents wanting Council to extend kerbside collection services into rural areas during the LTP consultation.

(k) **Endorses** staff continuing to work with rural residents and communities as requests arise to determine if the Council could provide appropriate and cost effective kerbside collection services to, or recycling facilities closer to, those communities.

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett voiced some concerns related to administration, reflecting on many submissions received were from outside the collection area, and their general comments on awareness of waste minimisation.

Councillor Atkinson also held concerns on associated administration costs and the practicality of the operation, however he expressed excitement about it being 'your choice' for the most appropriate collection options for an individual.

Mayor Ayers remarked that it had been a long road to get to this point, with several community consultation processes and this is a big thing for the community.
Solid Waste - Utilities and Roading Staff Submission to the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan – K Waghorn (Solid Waste Asset Manager)

K Waghorn explained two key aspects of report – carry-overs and budget impacts relating to the Chinese National Policy on recycling. The Council was informed this week that the new recycling rate was $95 per tonne this week, whereas the staff budgets were based on $65 per tonne. J Palmer reflected on discussions earlier in the Council meeting whereby it was agreed by the Council to move $800,000 of funds from the plant account to absorb the increase for the next three years.

Councillor Doody queried if softening the blow was camouflaging the serious nature of the matter going forward, and the need for honesty with the community. G Cleary commented that the figure was unlikely to reduce and spoke of the shock on the worldwide market. Potentially in time, there may be other markets and countries that come into the market. Longer term the Council has the option to address matters through future Annual or Long Term Plans.

Councillor Williams commented that if the Council charge commercial operators $65 per tonne there is potential to attract businesses from outside of the district. J Palmer commented that there were good reasons to set the recycle fee at $95 per tonne for commercial operators.

Councillor Doody enquired if staff believe that with a price increase there may be an increase in illegal waste dumping, particularly builders waste. Staff clarified this type of waste is commercial waste and not recycling waste.

Councillor Gordon enquired if there were any other potential savings on the capital programme. Staff advised they were comfortable that the schedule could be managed as programmed, as some projects had already been moved out several years.

Mayor Ayers enquired what was happening with cardboard, as it is currently free to dispose of. K Waghorn advised cardboard was funded through the general rates. The question has been asked of Waste Management, who have yet to signal a change. It is the mixed paper and mixed plastics that the price has been affected.

Councillor Barnett queried incineration models. Staff were aware of a unit on the West Coast but not nationally.

It was advised of a proposal to consider matters reflected on during Audit and Risk Committee discussions regarding the $800,000 surplus from the plant account being transferred to the general account, however the Committee recommended it remain flexible, so in light of unexpected costs, it is recommended to apply that funding across three years and then adjust rates from year four. The impacts were explained and a document tabled.

Councillor Gordon enquired about growth projections and impacts. J Palmer explained the growth rates, households and rating units over ten years and how the calculations work in relation to rates. On average 495 properties are added to the rating base each year across the district.

Councillor Gordon enquired what the risks were by taking the $800,000 from the plant account. J Palmer advised the plant account was deemed adequate for the time, subject to any major worldwide impacts.

Councillor Gordon sought an update to the rates breakdown of the areas that had been supplied the previous week. Staff explained that there was very little difference and would bring an updated sheet back at the end of the day.
Councillor Gordon queried the capital works programme and sought assurance that staff could deliver on the timetable, reflecting on the forward borrowing policy. J Palmer explained that each department manager had been asked questions relating to programmed delivery expectations. Larger projects may have planning costs in the first year and construction/delivery in the second year. The reality was that it is unlikely that the full budget would be spent as some projects are developer led; the recreation area has land purchases funded by development contributions, which again impacts on section releases, so some factors are out of Council control in regard to timing issues from developers. J Palmer commented on consultation, consenting timing and explained the carry-over factors and impacts on the budgets.

Councillor Gordon queried land sales. J Palmer responded that in year five there was potential for $3m to $4m additional budget, subject to zone planning following the District Plan change.

Councillor Gordon mentioned Lehmans Road in relation to conditions of the Public Works Act, and also the BNZ and Kingsbury Avenue properties. J Palmer explained the complexities involving the Public Works Act and Council responsibilities. The income would assist the loan (debt or capital) and should not be used against operations. The BNZ corner property would be released in the future, and J Palmer provided an update on discussions. A briefing would occur in the near future to both the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and the Council explaining delays. The Kingsbury Avenue property would be retained for further water head works.

Councillor Williams commented on the Fishers Road property. J Palmer provided history of 4hectare land holdings, a past working party and circumstances in which land was released. Gravel reserves and reserve status was explained, along with long term lease arrangements, often with neighbouring property owners. A general discussion occurred.

Councillor Williams referred to the community space in Pegasus and potential funding options. J Palmer advised that once the feasibility investigation that the Council authorised the previous day is completed, then the Council will be in a better position to review the timetable and options. Community expectations will grow and J Palmer advised of various options that may become available after the investigation. General discussion occurred.

Mayor Ayers queried population projections of 2.5 people per household; pre-quake it was 2.3 people per household. J Millward explained the calculations and adjustments, which are updated every three years, with some level of conservativeness. J Palmer commented on outside factors such as the Greater Christchurch Partnership, transport and government factors, however the information is based on the best understanding today.

Councillor Barnett sought clarification on what the plant account is about. J Palmer explained how it works.

Councillor Barnett asked for a report to the Audit and Risk Committee on carry-overs for the next three years, by department. J Palmer advised a report related to carry-over matters was on the current agenda with reports provided each year, explaining the delivery mechanisms.

Councillor Stewart sought an update on property holdings of the Council. J Palmer advised the Council held approximately 800 properties with approximately 600 properties leased out. General discussion occurred. J Millward advised on work being undertaken over the coming next year, which also involved reviewing the camping grounds and pensioner housing.
Councillor Doody referred to the plant account and queried if funds should be held back. J Palmer explained the sustainability report policy, commenting on issues and impacts.

Councillor Doody queried Ravenswood as a potential ‘I-Zone’ and how much land is allocated for industrial use. J Palmer explained that a total area of approximately 15 hectares is zoned commercial. It was unlikely the Council would have an ‘I-Zone’ type development like Rolleston. General discussion occurred including supporting a high value area that generates employment rather than a truck stop.

Councillor Atkinson was interested to see where the Council was going in terms of electric, hydrogen and other alternative fuels, and where the Council should be strategically placed. J Palmer advised there would be further discussions over the coming year through the sustainability work that the Council have already requested.

Mayor Ayers commented about processes, timeframes and community pressures. He would appreciate a future briefing on Ravenswood and refresh Councillor’s understanding of where connections are to Woodend, with business and residential interface. Mayor Ayers commented on ‘I-Zone’, noting that there was as much employment in Kaiapoi as in Rolleston, with Rangiora being twice that again.

J Palmer referred to the whiteboard matters.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Felstead

That the Council

(a) Approves applying $800,000 from the Plant Renewal Account, previously advised to the Audit and Risk Committee, to offset rates as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>$277,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>$238,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020/21</td>
<td>$238,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021/22</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson remarked that it is sensible and practical to undertake this action of offsetting the rates at this time.

Councillor Felstead reflected on the Audit and Risk Committee discussions and was satisfied with the action being taken.

Councillor Barnett commented on being cautious of taking late information and people lobbying at this late stage in the process.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180509051042.

(b) Approves that the kerbside collection targeted rates be increased as follows in the 18/19 year:

   (i) Recycling and Refuse Area: $103.00 including GST
   (ii) Recycling-only Area: $93.00 including GST

(c) Notes that this is a $17.00 increase on the targeted kerbside collection rate
(d) **Approves** reducing the transfer from the Collection Account to the Disposal Account by $40,000: from $380,000 to $340,000

(e) **Approves** increasing the general rate funding to the Disposal Account by $151,498 in the 19/20 year which will increase the General Rate from $732,000 to $883,498

(f) **Notes** that the increase in the General Rate will fund the cost of processing recycled materials delivered directly to the transfer stations by members of the public

(g) **Approves** charging commercial collection companies the $95.00/tonne excluding GST ($109.24/tonne including GST) processing charge for their recyclable materials

(h) **Notes** that staff will bring a report to the Council to request approval to adjust the recyclables charge in the event that EcoCentral Ltd advises a change in the processing charge

(i) **Approves** the following capital works carry-over:

   i) Southbrook Recycling Compactor Shed: Carry over $226,300 to 18/19.

(j) **Approves** removing the following capital works from the 17/18 budget and including these capital works in the 19/20 budget:

   i) RPZ installation (backflow protection) Oxford: $43,900

   ii) RPZ installation (backflow protection) Southbrook: $50,000

(k) **Approves** removing the $1,488,000 budget for Land Purchase for Future Upgrades from the 17/18 budget and including the following capital works in the LTP budget:

   i) In 19/20: $400,000 Land Purchase for Screening

   ii) In 22/23: $1,385,000 Land Purchase for Future Upgrades

   **CARRIED**

Councillor Atkinson acknowledged these are difficult and changing times. He stated it was important to be up front and transparent with the community, clearly explaining the reasons for this decision and the relationship with the world market.

Councillor Gordon believed it was false economy if the Council did not undertake the change now and commended staff for their work on the project.

Mayor Ayers endorsed comments of colleagues, remarking on prices of recyclables and understanding changing markets. He acknowledged China has their own issues of getting on top of the waste issue, as will other countries, including New Zealand which will have to deal with its own waste.

Councillor Doody displayed supermarket carry bags from recycled t-shirts.

In his right of rely Councillor Atkinson commented on China’s actions and how, as a Council, there were opportunities to be leaders in recycling such as incineration linking with power alternatives, which he believed was worthy of investigation and he looked forward to further discussions on how the world was dealing with recycling issues.

*The meeting adjourned at 12.34pm and reconvened at 1.05pm (Day 2).*
5.13 **Earthquake Recovery - Utilities and Roading Staff Submission to the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan – G Boot (Senior Engineering Advisor), K Simpson (3 Waters Manager) and J McBride (Roading Manager)**

K Simpson spoke to the report, outlining key aspects and explaining the reasoning for the staff recommendations.

There were no questions to staff.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Meyer

**THAT the Council:**

(a) Receives report No 180518054681.

(b) Approves bringing the Earthquake Recovery budget for Jones Street road and drainage works forward from 2019/20 to 2018/19, as outlined in tables 1, 1A, 2, and 2A of this report.

(c) Approves an increase to the Drainage component of the Earthquake Recovery budget of $181,000, as outlined in tables 2, and 2A of this report, to cover additional risks associated with potentially contaminated land and high groundwater table in the area of the SMAs.

(d) Notes that the Wastewater component of the Earthquake Recovery budget is on track to be underspent by in excess of $400,000 at the end of the 2017/18 year, with all projects being complete, which will more than offset the $181,000 increase in the Drainage budget.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Blackie commented on discussion the previous day, options for Jones Street, and the importance of undertaking the work properly. This resolution makes sense, mindful of how we want traffic to use that area he stated.

Councillor Meyer appreciated the report and the proposal.

Mayor Ayers stated the resolution was practical and common sense.

5.14 **Funding and Budget Options Kaiapoi River Dredging Proposals – J Fraser (Utilities Planner) and D Roxborough (Implementation Project Manager – District Regeneration)**

G Cleary spoke to the report, reflecting on the key two aspects. One being the pontoon area and the second being the navigation channel. G Cleary provided an update that the resource consent had been received for the piling, however it was explained the navigation channel work has a narrow window of opportunity to occur in the winter and the resource consent may be required later. If the spring opportunity is missed or the consent is delayed dredging would be programmed to occur in June/July 2019. Staff commented on discussions held with both Ecan and the Kaiapoi River Working Party. It was not looking favourable that Ecan would fund their share ($125,000) of the dredge and staff were awaiting the outcome of the Ecan LTP process. There was a risk to this Council to bear the total cost, however there were more discussions to be held. There is a strong case to Ecan that dredging the River will encourage river use and recreation along the riverbanks.

Councillor Atkinson enquired about the funding timing and impact on the project, with funding being a genuine carry-over. G Cleary commented on
the budgets and being realistic in trying not to over promise and under deliver.

Councillor Barnett reflected on the regeneration projects, enquiring if it impacts on any other projects in the area by delaying the dredging to the following year. G Cleary commented on the impact on river users, including the coastguard and the pontoon area not being able to realise its full potential initially. The work cannot commence before the resource consent is granted and cannot occur during whitebait season.

Mayor Ayers enquired if any delay has an impact on other regeneration projects. Staff commented on the project involving the spoil. It was advised of impacts on Ecan and options available for the Council consideration. There was a need for further conversations at different levels with Ecan on how they support the regeneration project going forward. There was a small window of opportunity for dredging in late November, early December which would enable both projects to be undertaken together but it was subject to the resource consent timing.

Councillor Barnett enquired if there was any commercial risk to the area. G Cleary advised that it will not stop the pontoon progress. He acknowledged risks, establishment costs of the dredge and further potential discussions with the Kaiapoi Regeneration Steering Group. The preference was to install the pontoons as soon as the dredging was completed.

Councillor Gordon queried recommendation (e). G Cleary commented on expectations of the working party and funding expectations for the coming two financial years. Staff had recently received a more accurate cost of the dredge, with establishment and dumping of dredged material being a high portion of the overall cost.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson Seconded: Councillor Blackie

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180514052211.

(b) Notes an existing budget of $250,000 is available for the marina basin dredging to enable berthing at the proposed new floating pontoons.

(c) Notes a budget of $50,000 has been allocated by the Waimakariri District Council in 2018/19 as part of the river rehabilitation programme, intended to be used to contribute to the costs of dredging of the navigation channel.

(d) Notes a total estimated budget of $500,000 is likely to be required to undertake the combined marina basin and navigation channel dredging projects, based on a 50% cost share from each project.

(e) Approves staff approaching Environment Canterbury to request a 50% share ($125,000) of the navigation channel dredging project costs ($250,000).

(f) Approves an additional budget of $200,000 to fund the navigation channel budget shortfall of $200,000 from a district wide rate, which covers the immediate need and includes underwriting the suggested Environment Canterbury cost share until repaid by ECan.

(g) Notes details of the current and proposed funding are included in tables in Section 6.

(h) Notes the proposed $500,000 combined budget may need to be revised following completion of the procurement process with the selected contractor.

(i) Circulates this report to all the Community Boards, and the Regeneration Steering Group.

CARRIED
Councillor Atkinson spoke of the carryover funds and the importance of continuing the momentum of this project. Councillor Atkinson spoke of the importance of dredging the waterway and Port area and Ecan’s responsibility for maintenance. Councillor Atkinson spoke of the Coastguard operation on the Kaiapoi River, the new riverside business due to open in October 2018 and the importance of this project succeeding. Councillor Atkinson expressed his views strongly on the disappointment of the slow progress and lack of action from Environment Canterbury and their need to come to the party to make it work for Kaiapoi.

Councillor Blackie concurred with Councillor Atkinson’s comments.

Councillor Gordon stated he appreciated the passion and concern expressed by his fellow colleague. He was supportive of the Chief Executive exploring the relationship channels with Ecan to work through the issues.

Councillor Williams believed that if the river was the responsibility of the Regional Council, then they should pay the full cost associated with the dredging operation.

Councillor Stewart endorsed Councillor Atkinson’s comments, and the importance of having a safe and navigable channel for the Coastguard. Councillor Stewart remarked that her focus was on the recreation and amenity of the river and linkages to the Water Management Plans.

In this right of reply Councillor Atkinson commented on the reasoning behind the 50/50 cost share of the dredging project, otherwise the fear was that the project would not happen at all, although he strongly believes the responsibility lies with Ecan. Councillor Atkinson reflected on his experiences escorting international vessels across the bar and into the Kaiapoi River, five vessels that had been launched in Kaiapoi and sold internationally over recent years and the importance for a safe navigation channel. Councillor Atkinson mentioned a resource consent for a Ngai Tahu mussel farm situated just off the coastline that could utilise the Kaiapoi River and Port in the future.

5.15 **Staff Submission re Possible Government Policy Statement and other Funding Changes – B Rice (Senior Transport Engineer)**

J McBride spoke to the report, with an emphasis on safety and access, noting there was an opportunity to claim funding for footpaths. Staff expected the second phase of the Government Policy Statement to be released in 2019. This was likely to have a focus on linkages between Christchurch, Rangiora and Rolleston, as well as improvement projects rather than maintenance projects.

Mayor Ayers enquired if there was any indication on a greater commitment to local roading from the Government. Staff advised there were no decisions yet.

Councillor Williams enquired if the replacement of street lighting to LED’s had commenced. Staff advised the programme had begun, explaining sodium or pole replacement. A subsidy would come in to effect this coming financial year, going forward with LED and it will change over the next three years as the full subsidy from NZTA is increased. Over the next three years all lights that are replaced will be LED. Staff continue to liaise with MainPower and are discussing a more efficient maintenance programme.

Councillor Gordon raised an issue with Coldstream Road footpaths, enquiring if the programme could be brought forward to meet existing conditions, now that the stadium was approved. A footpath would also
improve safety for users of the hockey turf. Staff commented that the $500,000 budget was programmed to coincide with the sports centre development looking at roading, the carpark and the footpath all in conjunction with the development as it was important that it occurs in a co-ordinated way. Staff advised there will be opportunity to stage the work. Now the decision on the sports facility is made the concept plan and work involving consultation with users and stakeholders would require staff to bring a report to the Council to bring funds forward into 2018/19 year. The reasoning was explained.

Councillor Gordon asked if any interim steps could be done to assist safety such as signage in the sports area. Staff would look into the matter now the sports facility decision had been made.

Councillor Barnett queried information on page 285, and enquired of the process going forward in relation to the GPS and how it is funded. Staff advised work included indicative figures and would not have an impact on services, with staff maximising funding wherever practical. G Cleary outlined how matters will work for 2018/19 acknowledging that NZTA funding does not align with LTP processes.

Councillor Doody referred to footpaths and the area by MainPower Oval (Rangiora) along the hedged area. Staff advised it was being discussed at an operational level. General discussion occurred regarding the increasing sporting activity in the Coldstream Road area.

J Palmer advised a briefing related to the Woodend Bypass would occur with the Council in mid-June.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Meyer

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180426044997.
(b) Makes no changes to the draft LTP as a result of the GPS.
(c) Notes that a second GPS is expected to be released in 2019.
(d) Notes that the uncertainty regarding government funding of transport projects may require variations to the LTP in future years.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon welcomed further discussion on both the Woodend Bypass and staff information on safety deficiencies on Coldstream Road and proposed improvements.

Councillor Meyer was appreciative of the discussions and the way forward.

Mayor Ayers remarked that much is happening in the transport space, acknowledging a level of uncertainty.

5.16 New Arterial Road – Noise Concerns – G Cleary (Manager Utilities and Roading) and J McBride (Roading Manager)

J McBride spoke to the report outlining the proposal and the next LTP bid to stage the work. G Cleary commented on the section of land on the Ohoka Road side that sits higher than the road. Staff propose to undertaken improvement works on the other section of the road first.

Councillor Atkinson enquired what the distance was, past the last residence, as noise changes between the two surfaces. J McBride advised it was just slightly north of the last house at the speed change sign.
Councillor Atkinson suggested staff consider stretching that distance between the two surfaces a little further past the last residence.

Moved: Councillor Atkinson    Seconded: Councillor Meyer

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180511051676;

(b) Approves resurfacing of the northern half of the New Arterial Road from the Kaiapoi River bridge north along Butchers Road to the 60/80km speed limit change at the northern end of the development with an asphalt surface at a cost for $250,000, and;

(c) Approves the reallocation of $250,000 from the Waikuku to Pegasus Connection budget of $605,000 to allow for the funding of the asphalt surfacing.

(d) Approves the resurfacing of the southern half of the New Arterial Road (from west of Island Rd to the Kaiapoi River bridge) with an asphalt surface in the next 3 to 5 years, with staff to submit a request for funding in the 2021-24 NZTA funding bid and 2021-31 LTP.

(e) Notes that if Council does reallocate budget from the Waikuku to Pegasus Connection budget that it is not subsidised by NZTA.

(f) Notes that this is unlikely to qualify for NZTA subsidy because it does not yet meet NZTA Guidelines or Council Policy requirements, and the final NZTA 'bid' for the 2018-21 period has already been submitted and assessed.

(g) Notes that if Council decides to resurface Butchers Road adjacent the existing houses it will come under pressure to seal the remainder of the New Arterial Road in the 60km/h area when the new houses are built and occupied.

CARRIED

Councillor Atkinson explained his reasoning behind the questioning, commenting from personal experience.

Councillor Meyer believed many residents will be pleased with the outcome and was personally surprised the proposed surface was not undertaken at the time of road construction, however he saw today as a positive outcome for the affected residents.

Councillor Blackie, stated he would support the motion, reluctantly, as it did not mitigate engine noise and believes it may create a precedent across the district.

Councillor Atkinson, in his right of reply, commented that resurfacing had already occurred in other places in the district.

5.17 Request to Increase Vehicle Entrance Application Fees – M Harris (Customer Services Manager)

M Harris spoke to the report briefly and explained the process of application handling.

Councillor Barnett enquired if there were any applications mid-way through the process. Staff will consider this aspect through the implementation and keep applicants informed.

Moved: Councillor Gordon    Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:
Receives report No. 180518054893.

Approves an increase to the fees for vehicle crossing applications to take effect from 1 July 2018 as follows:

- Vehicle Crossing electronic application: $150.00
- Vehicle Crossing paper application form: $160.00
- Vehicle Crossing re-inspection fee: $80.00

Fees include GST

CARRIED

5.18 Staff Submission to recommend changes to the Roading Capital Works Budget in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan – Y Warnaar (Asset Planning Engineer Roading) and J McBride (Roading and Transport Manager)

J McBride spoke to the report briefly and advising that some projects had been moved to the outer years to enable the work programme to be prioritised.

Councillor Gordon queried the quality of road maintenance, and asked how that is being addressed with the contractors. Staff advised of the occurrence of regular meetings where matters are discussed, along with internal processes to track issues as they arise. G Cleary commented on the in-house capacity to manage service requests and responses to the public, with additional resources currently being sought. Y Warnaar commented on temporary road patches that may look undesirable until appropriate weather conditions enable suitable repairs to occur longer term.

Councillor Williams referred to a submitter’s comment and staff response. G Cleary responded, acknowledging some contractors could do better to minimise issues and improve communication with the community. Staff understood perceptions and concerns raised, noting that when benchmarked against other councils, WDC do rate well overall.

Councillor Doody suggested using the communications team more to convey messages to the community, particularly regarding temporary roading work.

Councillor Atkinson suggested further workshops and a report on levels of service would be beneficial. J Palmer reflected on a previous briefing and how 50km of road was always undergoing reseal and maintenance in the district. He commented on the balance of funding and investment with NZTA funding up to 51% of associated costs. J Palmer spoke of conversations nationally regarding Councils balancing higher levels of service against cost implications and it would also be a matter for this Council to discuss at a further meeting.

Councillor Atkinson commented generally on funding issues and lobbying the government, noting that electric vehicles pay no road tax, which will reduce the funding pot over time.

Councillor Barnett welcomed such future discussion, commenting on the importance of the Skewbridge Road upgrade. Councillor Barnett enquired if any delay to that particular project was anticipated. Staff advised that no delays were anticipated at this point in time, outlining processing was in place for the start of the project. G Cleary commented on risks such as resource consent, scope accuracy, budget and land ownership, advising that if any risks become significant then staff could ask to push the project timeline out, however staff would have a better indication at the next Annual Plan.
Councillor Stewart commented on potential designs of the Skewbridge Road area with artwork as an entrance way and how she looked forward to any potential design concepts.

Mayor Ayers commented that NZTA have prioritised road maintenance at the top of their list.

Moved: Councillor Meyer  Seconded: Councillor Barnett

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180510051603.

(b) Approves the budget changes as shown in the Table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>LTP changes</th>
<th>18/19</th>
<th>19/20</th>
<th>20/21</th>
<th>21/22</th>
<th>22/23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Kaiapoi Mill Rd / Skewbridge Rd</td>
<td>Draft LTP</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>2,044,000</td>
<td>2,611,250</td>
<td>533,750</td>
<td>546,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>2,299,500</td>
<td>2,611,250</td>
<td>533,750</td>
<td>546,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbrook Outline Development Plan (Flaxton Rd Improvements)</td>
<td>Draft LTP</td>
<td>830,417</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>415,209</td>
<td>424,343</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main North Road improvements including Belfast to Kaiapoi cycleway</td>
<td>Draft LTP</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>766,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>919,800</td>
<td>52,225</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodend Improvements in conjunction with NZTA PBC and Woodend Bypass</td>
<td>Draft LTP</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>255,500</td>
<td>130,563</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>255,500</td>
<td>208,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora Woodend Road Improvements including Boys Road</td>
<td>Draft LTP</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>306,600</td>
<td>156,675</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>153,300</td>
<td>261,125</td>
<td>160,125</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Draft LTP</th>
<th>Proposed Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Rangiora Outline Development Plan</td>
<td>$456,592</td>
<td>$228,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>280,000</td>
<td>233,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverstream Collector Rd (Adderley-Island)</td>
<td>1,901,868</td>
<td>238,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>243,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>921,709</td>
<td>442,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southbrook Road Improvements</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>255,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>130,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51,100</td>
<td>266,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>78,338</td>
<td>109,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Purchase - Designations for growth</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>106,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104,450</td>
<td>109,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106,750</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Purchase – Improved LoS</td>
<td>Proposed Changes</td>
<td>104,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) **Notes** that budget may need to be brought forward if work progresses according to the original program.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Meyer commented on the roading budgets being one of the bigger budgets across the Council. He reflected on roadworks in his neighbourhood, large truck movements and traffic calming measures. Councillor Meyer also commented on the increased volume of large vehicles travelling on roads that were not originally designed for the volume and weight, which was an ongoing issue with an increasing population.

Councillor Barnett remarked that the report highlights the workload of staff and acknowledged balancing maintenance of the roading network, community expectations and the associated complexities of government funding.

Councillor Gordon spoke of the important commitment of the Council to maintain and improve roads, providing examples around the district of continuous maintenance matters. Councillor Gordon suggested a meeting between the contractors and the Council to increase the understanding of such matters. Councillor Gordon also remarked on the design of bridges, which must be practical and cost-effective.

Councillor Atkinson agreed with many comments made, reflecting on heavy vehicles and ongoing maintenance matters with particular roads. Councillor Atkinson was supportive of a workshop to understand matters further.

Councillor Stewart addressed the subject of design, and a desire for making the built environment attractive, as well as fit for purpose, without extending budget. Reflecting on a submitters comments regarding design, Councillor Stewart encouraged staff to look at the wider environment and to be innovative with design.

Mayor Ayers commented on heavy vehicle weight ratings, and suggested that for any new bridges and significant road works that the Greenspace staff be involved in the project from a design perspective, acknowledging that ascetics is in the eye of the beholder.

Councillor Meyer acknowledged the challenges over the next three years.

**5.19 Drainage - Utilities and Roading Staff Submission to the 2018-28 Long Term Plan – O Davies (Drainage Asset Manager)**

O Davies spoke to the report and advised of changes relating to recommendations (s) and (t) that were tabled.

Councillor Gordon asked if this was a manageable capital works programme, and queried if that was what the adjustments are about. O Davies confirmed the adjustments were to aid a realistic completion of the project. K Simpson advised the amendment would push out $1m by another year and explained the work programme. Staff advised the majority of the remaining $3m work is already well underway and staff were confident to deliver the programme on time and budget. Prior to the tabled change staff had already pushed a further $1.8m of work into the outer years.
Councillor Barnett suggested ways in which the information could be presented next year to enable an easier read.

Moved: Councillor Doody Seconded: Councillor Barnett

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180514052798.

(b) Approves a new capital works budget of $80,000 in 2018/19 for drainage improvements at West Station Road (Main Street), Oxford under the Oxford urban drainage account.

(c) Notes that this has an increase on the Oxford Urban Drainage rate of $6.5% or $7.63 per property.

(d) Notes that the following works, referred to in the draft LTP Drainage Commentary (Trim No 171124127869) are programmed to be undertaken in Oxford in 2017/18 and 2018/19 using existing approved drainage budgets.
   - Matai Place Soakpit - 2017/18 minor improvement works.
   - Kowhai Ave Overland Flow - 2018/19 minor improvement works.

(e) Notes that flooding in Burnett Street will be investigated to determine the cause and extent of the problem. Drainage staff will request further budget from the Council if required.

(f) Notes that improvements at Siena Place and Sillano Place, Mandeville, will be carried out in 2018/19 under the existing Flood Response drainage account.

(g) Notes that improvements at Siena Place and Sillano Place, Mandeville will have no financial impact on the Ohoka Rural Drainage budgets.

(h) Notes that the remaining portion of the improvements at Siena Place and Sillano Place, will be funded from existing approved Roading budgets.

(i) Approves an additional annual budget of $96,000 from 2018/19 to cover Project Management fees in the Drainage Maintenance Contract.

(j) Notes that the additional annual budget of $96,000 from 2018/19 to cover Project Management fees in the Drainage Maintenance Contract will increase drainage rates within the rural drainage schemes, by $5.00 per property.

(k) Approves new budgets on the Ohoka Rural Drainage Scheme of $15,500 p.a., Central Rural Drainage Scheme of $7,500 p.a., Coastal Rural Drainage Scheme of $8,500 p.a. and Clarkville Rural Drainage Scheme of $3,500 p.a. to build up a Maintenance Works Reserve Fund over 10 years.

(l) Notes that this has an increase per property on the Ohoka, Central, Coastal, and Clarkville Rural drainage schemes rates as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarkville</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>17.31</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>14.19</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>12.49</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>10.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approves a new budget of $100,000 in 2019/20 and $100,000 in 2020/21 of the LTP under the District Drainage account (previously referred to as the Flood Response account) for Zone Implementation Plan Addendum minor capital works.

Notes that this has no overall impact on rates as existing Flood Response projects have been deferred as per recommendation (t).

Approves a new capital works renewal budget of $100,000 in 2018/19, for drainage upgrades as part of the Flaxton Road Urbanisation.

Notes that this has an increase on the Rangiora Urban Drainage rate of $0.5% or $1.27 per property per annum.

Approves an additional drainage maintenance budget of $190,000 in 2019/20 for Pond C remedial works.

Notes that this has an increase on the Rangiora Urban Drainage rate of $0.9% or $2.37 per property per annum.

Notes this budget estimate is based on the sediment being uncontaminated and able to be taken to a local landfill site. If tests show that the sediment is contaminated the budget may need to be increased to allow for disposal at Kate Valley. This will cost considerably more money. Staff may need to request more budget from the Council if the sediment is found to be contaminated.

Approves deferring the following drainage capital works budgets.

Notes that a number of capital works and developer lead projects are being moved out to allow full project plans and investigations to be completed. Staff can manage this by reprioritising existing projects to better align with resource availability and Council long term infrastructure requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Changes</th>
<th>New Budget Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>URD0012</td>
<td>Rangiora Urban</td>
<td>North Drain Ashgrove Park</td>
<td>Budget deferred for 3 years to 2021/22</td>
<td>$300,000 each year in 2026/27 and 2027/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URD0014</td>
<td>Rangiora Urban</td>
<td>Middlebrook Enhancement / Pond</td>
<td>Budget deferred for 3 years to 2021/22</td>
<td>$180,000 in 2021/22 and $200,000 in 2026/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URD0017</td>
<td>Rangiora Urban</td>
<td>Blackett St piping</td>
<td>Budget deferred for 4 years to 2022/23</td>
<td>$50,000 in 2022/23 and $323,500 in 2023/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URD0023</td>
<td>Rangiora Urban</td>
<td>Palmer / Church Pipework Upgrade</td>
<td>budget deferred for 3 years to 2020/21</td>
<td>$70,000 in 2020/21, $379,000 in 2022/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URD0031</td>
<td>Rangiora Urban</td>
<td>North Brook - Enhancement Work</td>
<td>Budget deferred for 3 year to 2021/22</td>
<td>$50,000 in 2021/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URD0037</td>
<td>Rangiora Urban</td>
<td>Todds Road SW Pond</td>
<td>Budget deferred for 2 years to 2023/24</td>
<td>$935,000 in 2023/24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### URD0043
**Coastal Urban**
Pines Kairaki Upgrade
Budget deferred for 2 years to 2021/22
$35,300 in 2021/22, $125,000 in 2022/23, $125,000 in 2023/24

### URD0044
**Coastal Urban**
East Woodend Detention Pond 2.5Ha
Budget deferred for 2 years to 2021/22
$150,000 in 2021/22

### URD0068
**Kaiapoi Urban**
Pond areas 1&2; Land purchase
Budget deferred for 1 year to 2019/20
$1.856m in 2020/21

### URD0071
**Kaiapoi Urban**
Parnhams Drain Catchment Improvements
Budget deferred for 4 year to 2022/23
$600,000 in 2022/23, $3m in 2023/24

### URD001
**Flood Response**
Flood Response Rangiora - Lehmans Road Stage 2
Budget deferred for 3 years to 2027/28
$375,000 in 2027/28

### URD002
**Ohoka Rural**
Wetherfield Lane Improvements,
Budget partially deferred for 2 years to 2020/21
$100,000 in 2021/22

### URD003
**Flood Response**
Flood Response Rural Areas - Cones Road
Budget deferred for 2 years to 2021/22
$100,000 in 2020/21

### URD0033
**Rangiora Urban**
Pentecost Road Stormwater Main
Defer construction (90% of budget) by 1 year to 2019/20
$72,000 in 2018/19 (LOS)
$18,000 in 2018/19 (G)
$648,000 in 2019/20 (LOS)
$162,000 in 2019/20 (G)

### URD0034
**Rangiora Urban**
Pentecost Road SMA
Defer construction (90% of budget) by 1 year to 19/20
$20,000 in 2018/19 (LOS)
$5,000 in 2018/19 (G)
$180,000 in 2019/20 (LOS)
$45,000 in 2019/20 (G)

**CARRIED**

Councillor Doody congratulated staff on the work to date.

Councillor Barnett stated she appreciated staff looking at the programme and encouraged more of the same.

### 5.20 Water Supply – Utilities and Roading Staff Submission to the 2018-28 Long Term Plan – C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager) and K Simpson (3 Waters Manager)

C Roxburgh spoke to the report briefly, highlighting key changes, specifically related to UV treatment budgets and an upgrade of the underground asset maintenance/renewal. To mitigate the rate effect staff have pushed projects out to enable the budget and work programme to be more consistent and achievable.

Two additional recommendations were tabled (ww) and (xx) and these were explained.

Mayor Ayers enquired if the Health Board were aware the District had well heads underground and whether the Council would be chlorinating. C Roxburgh confirmed the Health Board is aware of the locations and conditions of all Council wells, outlining the work programme and the conditions of the District wells.
Councillor Barnett enquired if the Waikuku Beach work is completed and whether it has UV treatment. Staff confirmed the water supply has UV treatment and all the well work has been completed.

Councillor Gordon queried the timing of the Oxford well work, acknowledging it was in the budget but querying if the work would commence before government announcements. C Roxburgh referred to the Council decision of January 2018, that the physical works will not start until directed by the Government and resolutions today do not override the decision of the Council in January.

Councillor Doody enquired about Oxford Rural No. 2 with UV installation and what was the reason for waiting. C Roxburgh explained the installation of the UV equipment at the Domain Road source and the significant extension to the site was an independent project which the Council resolved would not occur, whereas the UV work that has recently been undertaken for Oxford Rural No 2 is not at Domain Road.

Councillor Atkinson enquired as to the condition of the four underground wells at Kaiapoi. Staff advised all the wells were in good condition, having been recently checked and are better than Christchurch conditions. Staff are confident in their condition but cautious of the interpretation of standards and if conditions change. Further improvements will be addressed over the next few months.

Councillor Blackie enquired if a well head is underground, and visibility does not have corrosion and the sealing pressures are correct, how can it get contaminated. Staff advised that if the pipes are in good order and correctly sealed there is no contamination. It was noted that following the Havelock North investigations, the findings report recommended that no new wells be built below ground.

Councillor Barnett queried chlorination provisions. C Roxburgh explained chlorination provisions were not included in this report, which was about UV filtration treatments, however the budgets have made provision for chlorination if needed. Staff emphasised that there is no requirement for chlorination at this stage. Earlier budgets did have provision for alteration to pumping stations should chlorination be required at a later date. General discussion and clarification on the water supply budgets occurred. Following discussion on clarity of UV treatment and the provision for chlorination with alteration to well heads, two additional clauses were added to the resolution.

Councillor Atkinson queried the locations of the Kaiapoi wells. Staff explained the four sites.

Moved: Councillor Barnett Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180509050672.

UV Treatment Budgets

(b) Approves an increase to the Rangiora UV Installation capital works budget from $600,000 to $1,400,000, and increasing the timeframe by which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $100,000 in 2018/19, $500,000 in 2019/20 and $800,000 in 2020/21, noting that this will increase the Rangiora water rate by $8.20 per connection per year from 2022/22 onwards and the Rangiora water development contribution by $86 per new connection.
(c) **Approves** an increase to the Kaiapoi UV Installation capital works budget from $800,000 to $1,800,000, and increasing the timeframe by which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $100,000 in 2018/19, $700,000 in 2019/20 and $1,000,000 in 2020/21, noting that this will increase the Kaiapoi water rate by $14.80 per connection per year from 2021/22 onwards and the Kaiapoi water development contribution by $164 per new connection.

(d) **Approves** an increase to the Cust UV Installation capital works budget from $270,000 to $600,000, and increasing the timeframe by which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $50,000 in 2018/19, $100,000 in 2019/20 and $450,000 in 2020/21, noting that this will increase the Cust water rate by $173.70 per connection per year from 2020/21 onwards and the Cust water development contribution by $2,063 per new connection.

(e) **Approves** the deletion of the $120,000 Cust Water Supply headworks renewal budget in 2022/23 and approves a new headworks renewal budget of $400,000 split $100,000 in 2019/20 and $300,000 in 2020/21 noting that the renewal of the headworks is triggered by the need to upgrade the treatment plant to accommodate UV treatment which cannot be accommodated within the existing site.

(f) **Approves** an increase to the Pegasus UV and Transfer Pumps Upgrades budgets from $420,000 to $750,000 for the level of service portion and from $78,110 to $150,000 for the growth portion, and also allowing for the timing of the project to be split with $100,000 Level of Service budget and $50,000 Growth budget in 2018/19, $100,000 Level of Service budget and $100,000 Growth budget in 2019/20, and $500,000 Level of Service budget in 2020/21, noting that this will increase Woodend-Pegasus water supply rates by $8.90 per connection per year from the 2021/22 year onwards.

(g) **Approves** an increase to the Ohoka UV Installation capital works budget from $270,000 to $500,000 and increasing the timeframe over which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $10,000 in 2020/21, $90,000 in 2021/22 and $400,000 in 2022/23, noting that this will increase the Ohoka water rate by $193.50 per connection per year from 2023/24 onwards and the Ohoka water development contribution by $288 per unit.

(h) **Approves** an increase to the Oxford Urban UV Installation capital works budget from $400,000 to $560,000 and increasing the timeframe over which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $100,000 in 2018/19, $200,000 in 2019/20 and $260,000 in 2020/21, noting that this will increase the Oxford water rate by $138.30 per connection per year from 2021/22 onwards and the Oxford water development contribution by $138 per connection.

(i) **Approves** an increase to the Oxford Rural No.2 UV Installation capital works budget from $100,000 to $140,000 and deferring the completion date by one year, such that the budget will be $70,000 in 2019/20 and $70,000 in 2020/21, noting that this will increase the Oxford Rural No.2 water rate by $35.40 per unit per year from 2021/22 onwards and the Oxford Rural No.2 water development contribution by $35 per unit.
(j) Approves an increase to the West Eyreton UV Installation capital works budget from $100,000 to $170,000 and increasing the timeframe over which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $25,000 in 2020/21, $25,000 in 2021/22 and $120,000 in 2022/23, noting that this will increase the West Eyreton water rate by $22.70 per unit per year from 2022/23 onwards and the West Eyreton water development contribution by $601 per unit.

(k) Approves an increase to the Summerhill UV Installation capital works budget from $100,000 to $170,000 and increasing the timeframe over which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $25,000 in 2020/21, $25,000 in 2021/22 and $120,000 in 2022/23, noting that this will increase the Summerhill water rate by $11.20 per unit per year from 2022/23 onwards and the Summerhill water development contribution by $325 per unit.

(l) Approves an increase to the Poyntzs Road UV Installation capital works budget from $100,000 to $170,000 and increasing the timeframe over which the project will be completed by one year, such that the budget will be $25,000 in 2020/21, $25,000 in 2021/22 and $120,000 in 2022/23, noting that this will increase the Poyntzs Road water rate by $12.20 per unit per year from 2022/23 onwards and the Poyntzs Road water development contribution by $364 per unit.

(m) Notes that Poyntzs Road will only contribute to the UV treatment system if they join to the West Eyreton scheme prior to the project commencing. This strategy is proposed as a means of upgrading the Poyntzs Road scheme to achieve compliance with the Drinking-water Standards, but is subject to community consultation (as noted elsewhere in this report).

(n) Notes that allowance has not yet been made to operational budgets for the proposed UV treatment systems due to advice still being sought on this. These rating implications are expected to be modest relative to the implications of the capital cost, and will not come into effect until after the projects have been completed (2021/22 at the earliest). This will be addressed as part of a future Annual Plan.

(o) Notes that the requirement for UV treatment on supplies that are currently compliant with the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand is in response to recommendations made in the Havelock North Drinking-water Inquiry Stage 2 Report, and is based on the best understanding that staff have of what future requirements will be. Until Central Government provides clear direction there will be a degree of uncertainty regarding the requirements for these treatment systems.

(p) Approves changing the Ohoka, West Eyreton, Summerhill and Poyntzs Road UV treatment budgets from level of service budgets to a partially growth funded budgets, to reflect that the projects will be sized for future growth as well as existing demand on the scheme, and for consistency with the other UV treatment budgets where this is already the case.

(q) Notes that the reason for the recommended increases to the UV Installation budgets is due to detailed assessments being carried out at all sites, while original budgets included in the draft 2018-28
Long Term Plan were based on high level engineering judgement until more detailed assessments could be completed.

**Well Head Upgrade Budgets**

(r) **Approves** a new capital works budget for the Kaiapoi water supply scheme of $100,000 in 2018/19 to allow the existing 4 underground well heads to be better sealed to reduce the risk of contamination, noting that this will increase the Kaiapoi water rate by $1.50 per connection per year.

(s) **Approves** a new capital works budget for the Woodend-Pegasus water supply scheme of $25,000 in 2018/19 to allow one existing underground well head to be better sealed to reduce the risk of contamination, noting that this will increase the Woodend-Pegasus water rate by $0.70 per connection per year.

(t) **Notes** that the reason for better sealing the well heads is in order to maintain the secure status of the schemes, and to address the public health risk associated with the existing underground well heads, also noting that this is in particular in response to stricter application of requirements of below ground well heads by drinking water assessors which puts the Council at risk of losing its secure status.

**Kaiapoi Source Capacity Upgrade**

(u) **Approves** a new capital works budget for the Kaiapoi water supply scheme of $800,000 over the 2025/26 ($50,000), 2026/27 ($150,000) and 2027/28 ($600,000) financial years to fund a new well, noting that this is forecast to increase the Kaiapoi water development contribution by $1,345 per new connection.

(v) **Notes** that the reason for this new budget being identified is due to high demand over the 2017/18 summer causing the water modelling to be refined for the Kaiapoi scheme, which has resulted in the realisation that a new well will be required to accommodate future growth on the scheme.

**Mandeville Storage Upgrade**

(w) **Approves** an additional capital works budget for the Mandeville water supply scheme of $250,000 for the 2021/22 financial year, noting that this will increase the Mandeville water rate by $10.4 per unit per year from the 2022/23 year onwards, and the development contribution by $107 per new unit from 2018/19 onwards.

(x) **Notes** that the reason for requiring the additional storage for the scheme is in order to provide emergency storage to reduce the risk or duration of an outage on the scheme.

**Garrymere Source Upgrade**

(y) **Approves** the deferral of $140,000 capital works budget that is forecast for the 2018/19 financial year for the Garrymere water supply scheme to the 2019/20 financial year.

(z) **Approves** the deferral to the increases to the operational budgets for the Garrymere water supply scheme as noted within the
contents of this report, to reflect the proposed treatment system being constructed 12 months later than originally planned.

(aa) **Notes** that deferring this budget will allow staff to establish a Water Supply Advisory Group for the scheme in order to work through issues and options with the source upgrade project prior to committing to a solution, which is in response to feedback received when the community was consulted on this project.

**Poyntzps Road Source Upgrade Project**

(bb) **Approves** deferring $693,000 of the $793,000 capital works budget for the Poyntzps Road source upgrade budget from the 2018/19 financial year to the 2019/20 financial year.

(cc) **Notes** that deferring this budget will allow staff to establish a Water Supply Advisory Group for the scheme in order to work through issues and options with the source upgrade project prior to committing to a solution, which is in response to feedback received when the community was consulted on this project.

**Kaiapoi Water Headworks Renewals**

(dd) **Approves** increasing the Kaiapoi water supply headworks renewals capital works budget for the 2018/19 financial year from $40,000 to $250,000 to allow for renewal of pumps and a generator that has failed subsequent to the draft Long Term Plan going out for consultation.

(ee) **Notes** that staff have obtained 3 quotes for the pump replacements at the Peraki Street headworks, and the new pumps (which take 12 weeks to deliver) will be ordered upon the approval of this report, to reduce the risk of a lack of pumping capacity for the scheme during the upcoming summer months.

(ff) **Approves** the deletion of the $180,000 Kaiapoi water supply headworks renewals capital works budget for the 2020/21 financial year as this was intended for the renewal of the Darnley Square generator, which is now proposed to be renewed in the 2018/19 financial year.

**Other Rescheduling and Minor Adjustments**

(gg) **Approves** deferring 50% of the $731,000 capital works budget allocated to the Gladstone and Pegasus Well Raw water main project (split $511,700 for level of service and $219,300 for growth) from the 2018/19 financial year to the 2019/20 financial year for the Woodend-Pegasus water supply scheme.

(hh) **Notes** that this budget was recently increased by $231,000 for the reasons noted in report 180322031093[v2].

(ii) **Approves** deferring 50% of the $700,000 capital works budget allocated to the Rangiora Water Supply Smith Street 5th Bore capital works project from the 2018/19 financial year to the 2019/20 financial year.

(jj) **Notes** that deferring the above budgets will allow staff to provide a greater level of certainty that the project will be completed in accordance with the timing of the budgets, and reduce the risk of carry overs.
(kk) Approves removing the $15,000 capital works budget allocated to the Woodend-Pegasus water supply scheme for the Ravenswood Trunk Main North Upgrade 1 project for the 2018/19 financial year as this main has already been installed as part of the Ravenswood development.

(ll) Approves reducing the capital works budget for the 2018/19 financial year for the Rockford Road Trunk Main Stage 1B project on the Oxford Rural No.1 scheme budget from $150,000 to $50,000 given that the majority of the work will be able to be completed under the budget allocated to the 2017/18 financial year, noting that this will decrease the Oxford Rural No.1 development contribution by $135.10 per unit.

(mm) Approves the following changes to the Woodend water supply renewals budget, noting that overall this will reduce the Woodend-Pegasus water rate by $1.6 per connection per year:

i) In 2018/19 removing the $40,000 level of service budget and $70,000 renewal budget.

ii) In 2019/20 increasing the renewal portion of the budget from $70,000 to $80,000.

iii) In 2020/21 reducing the level of service portion from $40,000 to $20,000, and the renewal portion from $70,000 to $40,000.

(nn) Approves deferring the $110,000 Gladstone Road Wells Electrical Kiosk Control Renewal budget for the Woodend-Pegasus water supply scheme from the 2020/21 financial year to the 2021/22 financial year in order to better spread expenditure over the Long Term Plan period.

(oo) Approves deferring the $75,000 level of service and $175,000 renewal portion of the Waikuku Beach water pipeline renewals budget from the 2020/21 financial year to the 2021/22 financial year, in order to better spread expenditure over the Long Term Plan period.

(pp) Approves deferring $300,000 of the $350,000 capital works budget for the Darnley Square and Peraki Street Reservoir Strengthening and Sealing budgets from the 2018/19 financial year to the 2019/20 financial year in order to reduce the risk of a carry over on this project.

(qq) Approves deferring the $20,000 renewal and $180,000 level of service budgets for the Gammans Creek backup source project for the Oxford Urban water supply scheme from the 2020/21 financial year to the 2021/22 financial year, in order to better spread expenditure over the Long Term Plan period.

(rr) Approves reducing the Kaiapoi water renewals capital works budget for the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 financial years from $380,000 per year to $300,000 per year in order to minimise expenditure over the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan period where possible.

(ss) Approves reducing the Oxford Urban water renewals capital works budget for the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 financial years from $100,000 per year to $50,000 per year in order to minimise expenditure over the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan period where possible.
Approves reducing the Rangiora water supply renewals capital works budget from $380,000 to $330,000 in 2018/19 and from $430,000 to $330,000 in 2019/20 and 2020/21 in order to minimise expenditure over the first 3 years of the Long Term Plan period where possible.

Approves deferring $80,000 of the $100,000 budget for the Coldstream Road water main extension project for the Rangiora water supply scheme from the 2018/19 financial year to the 2019/20 financial year in order to reduce the risk of carry overs.

Notes that for all budgets proposed, and proposed changes to budget beyond 2018/19 the community will have the opportunity to provide feedback on as part of future Annual Plans or Long Term Plans.

Notes that staff will engage with the relevant communities and water supply advisory groups before construction of the UV treatment projects, and that staff will report back to the Utilities and Roading Committee with a more detailed scheme specific consultation plan for approval prior to commencing.

Notes that a budget shortfall has recently been identified for the North-East Kaiapoi Boost Main Project which is to be carried over from the 2017/18 financial year. Staff will report back to the Council with options involving increasing the budget, reducing the scope to meet the budget, or deferring the second stage to a future financial year.

Notes the 30 January 2018 Council resolution regarding chlorination.

Notes that both Waikuku and Mandeville water UV installation has been completed.

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett commented on the huge amount of work undertaken in a short time and how it gave her confidence going forward, particularly in light of possible government changes, thereby she considers this responsible action.

Councillor Gordon concurred with comments made by Councillor Barnett.

A brief general discussion occurred relating to the water supply submissions, particularly regarding individual responses which were noted by staff.

5.21 Wastewater Utilities and Roading Staff Submission to the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan – C Parton (Wastewater Asset Manager)

C Parton spoke to the report, outlining the two key aspects and commented on adjustments made which include deferring some projects to enable an achievable project plan for the 2018/19 financial year.

Councillor Barnett referred to Woodend and Kaiapoi integration works. C Parton explained the efficiency.

Councillor Williams queried the quality now at the ocean outfall in comparison to what may have to be achieved when the resource consent is due for renewal, and whether the Council should be preparing now. C Parton advised the consent renewal is 21 years away, however staff are
turning their mind to the matter now. J Palmer responded that standards are likely to rise, as are technological changes and acknowledged the cultural issues that may impact in the future. Currently the staff are unsure of an affordable solution for coastal discharge and will be looking at options, including following Christchurch City developments with interest. Staff will look at both cultural and scientific issues and consider ecological ways to enhance the environment.

Moved: Councillor Blackie Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180327032669.

(b) Approves the request for additional funding in year 1 of the draft Long Term Plan for $14,000 from the wastewater renewals account for upgrades to the Ohoka Road wastewater catchment in Kaiapoi. The cost will be recovered through Eastern District Sewer Scheme rates.

(c) Approves the request to increase the budget for a main extension in Tuahiwi Road by $33,000 in year 1 of the draft Long Term Plan to account for a contribution from the Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga.

(d) Approves the request for funding of $86,109 in year 1 of the draft Long Term Plan for a reticulation main extension in Topito Road in Tuahiwi. This cost will be recovered through development contributions.

(e) Approves the request to investigate inflow and infiltration on the Tuahiwi scheme in year 1 of the draft Long Term Plan. This cost will be managed through existing operations and maintenance budgets.

(f) Approves the request to develop a contingency plan for minimizing wastewater overflows as required by the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan. This work will be completed in year 1 of the draft Long Term Plan. The cost will be managed through existing resource consent budgets.

(g) Approves the request to analyse the configuration of the Kaiapoi wastewater treatment plant to determine if a more efficient configuration is possible. This work will be completed in year 1 of the draft Long Term Plan. This cost will be managed through existing resource consent budgets.

(h) Approves the request to mitigate hazards at wastewater facilities on the Eastern District Sewer Scheme. This work will be completed in years 1 through 9 of the draft Long Term Plan, with the bulk of the work occurring in years 1 through 5. This cost will be managed through existing maintenance and renewal budgets.

(i) Approves the request to mitigate hazards at wastewater facilities on the Oxford scheme. This work will be completed in years 1 through 5 of the draft Long Term Plan. This cost will be managed through existing maintenance and renewal budgets.

(j) Approves the request to mitigate hazards at wastewater facilities on the Loburn Lea scheme. This work will be completed in years 1 through 4 of the draft Long Term Plan. This cost will be managed through existing maintenance and renewal budgets.

(k) Approves the deferral of the projects as set out in the following table to provide an achievable capital works programme for 2018/19.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme - Project</th>
<th>Budget Type</th>
<th>Draft LTP Budget 2018-28</th>
<th>Revised Budget 2018-19</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solely Growth</td>
<td>$1,011,000 (2018/19)</td>
<td>$505,500 (2018/19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Year 2018/19</td>
<td>Year 2019/20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora Sewer - Central</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora Capacity Upgrade Stage 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$92,000</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewals</td>
<td>$807,000</td>
<td>$403,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$403,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora Sewer - WWTP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inlet Works – Septage Disposal Facility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangiora Sewer - Additional Aeration WWTP Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solely Growth</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2024/25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiapoi Sewer - Charles Street Rising Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solely Growth</td>
<td>$93,000</td>
<td>$46,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$46,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$404,171</td>
<td>$202,086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$202,086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewals</td>
<td>$1,109,029</td>
<td>$554,515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$554,515</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiapoi Sewer - Moorcroft Sewer Main</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solely Growth</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2024/25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiapoi Sewer - Cridland Street Sewer Repairs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodend Sewer - Wetland Plant Investigations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2020/21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodend Sewer - Desludging Ponds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>$53,530</td>
<td>$109,780</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$56,250</td>
<td>$21,290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2020/21)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fernside Sewer - Connect to EDSS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solely Growth</td>
<td>$219,225</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$812,025</td>
<td>$169,225</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$406,012</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$406,013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Service</td>
<td>$73,075</td>
<td>$135,338</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$270,675</td>
<td>$135,337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2021/22)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CARRIED

5.22 Carryovers from 2017-2018 to 2018-2019 – P Christensen (Finance Manager)

J Palmer commented briefly on the report.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Williams

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives report No. 180517054036.

(b) Adopts the carryovers as listed for inclusion in the 2018-28 Long Term Plan.

CARRIED
6. **MATTER REFERRED FROM RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD MEETING OF 16 MAY 2018**

6.1 **Garrymere Water Supply Upgrade - Feedback from Community Consultation**— C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager) and G Boot (Senior Engineering Advisor)
(referred to report no. 180504048871)

J Palmer commented that there was approximately a $30 rate increase from last year and this was not what was signalled in the draft LTP.

Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Williams

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Delays** the upgrade of the Garrymere Water Supply by up to 12 months while a Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group is established to consider and recommend a preferred approach to the upgrade that provides a safe and affordable water supply, and meets the Council’s legislative requirements.

(b) **Approves** membership of the Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group to comprise:

- 4-6 Volunteer representatives from the Garrymere water supply (noting that there should be an appropriate balance of representatives from the Landcare group and those that were not part of the group)
- 3 members of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – D Lundy, D Gordon and C Prickett.
- Councillor Williams as portfolio holder for water and wastewater
- Council’s Water Asset Manager and 3 Waters Manager (or Manager Utilities & Roading)
- 1 representative from the CDHB (Drinking Water Assessor), if agreeable,
- And that the Advisory Group be directed to report its recommendations to Council in by June 2019.

(c) **Approve** provision in the Long Term Plan budget for the Garrymere water supply upgrade, based on upgrade Option A, but that it be deferred to reflect the additional 12 month delay while the Advisory Group considers issues and options and reports back to Council, meaning that the budget would be required to be split over the 2018/19 and 2019/20 financial years.

(d) **Notes** that the current programme is based on the project being funded entirely from the Garrymere water supply scheme members.

**CARRIED**

Mayor Ayers commented that the general feeling from the Garrymere community was that this was a reasonable step to take at this time.

Councillor Barnett commented on there being much feedback and it was sensible to have more discussion with the community. The risk to the scheme had been ascertained as reasonably low, acknowledging it was a difficult scheme to manage, however she was hopeful future conversations will bring acceptable results.
Councillor Williams stated this is an important step and an example of action being asked from the community.

Mayor Ayers stated the outcome does not have a huge effect on the overall LTP and was supportive of the motion.

At the commencement of Day Three, Councillor Stewart provided a brief update on matters arising from Environment Canterbury LTP Deliberations. Of particular note was the Kaiapoi River dredging funding matters.

7. CONSIDERATION OF SUBMISSIONS TO THE DRAFT LONG TERM PLAN 2018-2028

Moved: Mayor Ayers Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council accepts recommendations as pro forma, noting that they will be formally adopted at the end of the meeting.

CARRIED

Cust Domain
20182028.16.1 in Submission 20182028.16 by Mr Bernard Kingsbury
20182028.617.1 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes that currently Council is committed to a large programme of reserve asset renewals and the development of a number of new reserves. This work is considered a priority and prevents any major asset improvements being undertaken within Cust Domain.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon requested staff refer the matter to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board as the Community Board may be able to consider a project with the aid of their landscape budget.

Freedom Camping Infrastructure
20182028.26.1 in Submission 20182028.26 by New Zealand Motor Caravan Association Inc.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Advises that monitoring of camping in the District has, to date, not identified need for an increased level of service of caravan/motor home waste services.

CARRIED

Following a question, staff advised where dump stations were located within the district, and near boundaries of neighbouring districts, commenting on their usage. Staff believe the facility is well covered within the district.

Freedom Camping Management
THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.
   (b) **Confirms** that ongoing monitoring of freedom camping will be undertaken via the Council's service request and complaints systems, in consultation with the Environmental Health and Greenspace Teams, Environment Canterbury, the Department of Conservation and the New Zealand Police. If the situation should change the Council will be able to reassess the issue.

CARRIED

**Freedom Camping Motorhome Friendly Scheme**

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.
   (b) **Advises** that camping in the Waimakariri is managed conservatively on an education rather than punitive basis which is working well for the District.

CARRIED

**Community Hall – Rangiora**

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.
   (b) **Thanks** the submitter for the suggestion of a further hall in Rangiora. The Council is satisfied with the current level of provision of community facilities in Rangiora. The Council provides meeting facilities at the Rangiora Town Hall which has been designed to cater for varying sized groups, Rangiora War Memorial Hall and a smaller meeting venue at Dudley Park. Those facilities are complemented by various meeting venues provided by Churches and other providers.
   (c) **Notes** that the Rangiora Town Hall does provide two spaces for larger groups with the Auditorium seating approximately 380 persons and the Small Theatre accommodating up to 150 persons.
   (d) **Notes** that the Council Customer Services team assists people to find suitable venues in the District.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon requested the response to be broadened, including comment on the 150 seat theatre at the Rangiora Town Hall.

Councillor Barnett believed the submitter was seeking a larger venue and suggested a list of facilities be sent with the response letter, as well as listed on the website. C Sargison advised that that Council website contained information on Council facilities, and commented that the Customer Services staff try to inform the public of other (non-council) venues and their contact information, where known.

**North Canterbury BMX Club - Boundary Extension**

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that provision for the BMX club to expand has already been approved. If any assets owned by the North Canterbury Equine Trust need to be moved to accommodate the expansion then these will have to be replaced by the BMX Club in consultation with the Equine Trust.

CARRIED

North Canterbury BMX Club – Resource Consent
20182028.70.1 in Submission 20182028.70 by North Canterbury BMX Club

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

Notes that staff from the Community Green Space Unit will work with the North Canterbury BMX Club in regards to resource consent for the proposed BMX track redevelopment.

CARRIED

Disability Swing
20182028.118.10 in Submission 20182028.118 by Mrs Colleen McDonald

C Sargison provided an update to the Council on other potential options and suggested staff hold a conversation with the submitter. Staff would also provide an update to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.

Councillor Atkinson queried the Darnley Club area as a potential area for a disability swing. Staff advised that due to the Deed, the Darnley Club recreation area cannot be expanded.

Councillor Blackie enquired as to the cost of a disability swing. Staff advised it was approximately upwards of $10,000, with some models having the ability to take a wheelchair, could be in excess of $50,000.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines the request for a wheelchair swing for the Kaiapoi area as requested.
(c) Notes that staff will look, in all new and replacement playgrounds, to ensure inclusive play and universal design principles are followed to ensure that the playgrounds are able to be accessed by all.
(d) Supports the provision of a wheelchair swing in the Kaiapoi area and that staff will continue to liaise with the submitter and the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board on options for location.

CARRIED

Skatepark for Pegasus
20182028.215.5 in Submission 20182028.215 by Miss Mel Stevens
20182028.536.5 in Submission 20182028.536 by Ms Jenefer Bimler
20182028.601.1 in Submission 20182028.601 by Mr Damien Bimler
20182028.738.1 in Submission 20182028.738 by Mr Matt and Nadene and Mrs Dalzell
20182028.507.5 in Submission 20182028.507 by Miss Sarah Carmody

Councillor Gordon suggested a wording change to the resolution.
Mayor Ayers indicated it was hard to undertake a skate park to service Pegasus and Ravenswood due to accessibility with the State Highway. C Brown advised staff had spoken to Ravenswood developers about the potential possibility longer term.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes that the Draft Long Term Plan has $150,000 identified for a skate park facility in the Woodend/Pegasus area in the 2020/21 financial year.
   (c) Notes that staff will work with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board on the design and location of a facility.

CARRIED

Community Pool for Pegasus
20182028.215.6 in Submission 20182028.215 by Miss Mel Stevens

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Declines the request for a community pool in Pegasus.
   (c) Notes the development of a pool in the Pegasus area is not currently included in the Recreation Strategy.

CARRIED

Lake Pegasus
20182028.283.11 in Submission 20182028.283 by Canterbury District Health Board
20182028.871.11 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (d) Notes that operational and maintenance funding of Lake Pegasus is through targeted rates and District general rates.
   (e) Notes Lake Pegasus is currently owned and operated by the developer, Todd Property Ltd and will vest to Council following resolution of ongoing compliance issues and completion of the lake subdivision and vesting process.
   (f) Notes that further work on funding to maintain water quality within Lake Pegasus will be required once it is understood what future maintenance requirements will be to maintain compliance.

CARRIED

Bike Track / Park for Oxford
20182028.354.5 in Submission 20182028.354 by Mrs Shara Kelsey-Ross

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Declines the request for additional funding but supports staff working with the submitter and the Pearson Park Advisory Board on a potential development in Pearson Park that could be eligible for a grant from the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.

CARRIED
Councillor Felstead suggested a group could apply to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for potential funding towards such a project, and should be mentioned in the response.

Sealed Pump Track for Gladstone Park
20182028.536.6 in Submission 20182028.536 by Ms Jenefer Bimler
20182028.601.2 in Submission 20182028.601 by Mr Damien Bimler

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that while there is currently no funding for a pump track for Gladstone Park staff would be happy to work with the community to look at locations and will also approach the Woodend-Sefton Community Board for consideration of funding.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon suggested the submitter work with the Woodend-Sefton Community Board as there may be potential funding within the Community Board’s discretionary grant.

Multi-Use Walking / Cycleways along Tram and Oxford Roads
20182028.554.6 in Submission 20182028.554 by Mrs Courtney Starbuck

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that included in the LTP is $500,000 per year for walking and cycling projects. To construct a walkway/cycleway linking Christchurch/Rangiora/ Woodend to Oxford along both Tram Road and Oxford Road would be hugely expensive and probably unaffordable in the short to medium term and is not recommended.
(d) Notes that sections on the route could be considered as part of the walking and cycling programme which will be presented to Council shortly. For example Fernside to Rangiora and Swannaona School to Mandeville have been suggested in submissions to the LTP.

CARRIED

Bridle ways
20182028.631.1 in Submission 20182028.631 by Ms Cathy Dee

Staff commented on education and a national interest for bridle (horse) trails. The reality was there were places in the district that are unsafe to ride horses. Brief general discussion occurred.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that there are designated horse trails in the District, with the principal trails being at Baynons Brake and Tuhaitara Coastal Park.
(c) Supports staff undertaking further investigation and opportunities for Bridle ways in the District.

CARRIED

Koura Reserve/Northbrook Connections
20182028.705.2 in Submission 20182028.705 by Keep New Zealand Beautiful
THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Supports the recommendations put forward by Keep New Zealand Beautiful for the development of the land next to Northbrook Ponds.

(c) Notes that currently $15,000 is already allocated towards this project.

(d) Notes that $15,000 will not be enough funding to implement the full development of the site however there is possible external funding opportunities which staff will explore.

(e) Notes that staff will continue to liaise with Keep NZ Beautiful and the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board on the development of the area.

CARRIED

Woodend Beach Enhancements
20182028.755.5 in Submission 20182028.755 by Woodend Community Association

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Approves staff working with the Woodend Community Association on the development of a concept plan for Woodend Beach Domain.

CARRIED

Ohoka Domain Upgrade
20182028.756.5 in Submission 20182028.756 by Ohoka Residents Association
20182028.499.5 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

Staff noted that the work on the Ohoka Domain Pavilion was expected to be completed by early June.

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Thanks the Ohoka Residents Association for their support towards the redevelopment Ohoka Domain and the earthquake strengthening of the Ohoka Domain Pavilion.

CARRIED

Woodend Community Centre – Stage
20182028.755.7 in Submission 20182028.755 by Woodend Community Association

Staff explained the issues with movability and storage of such an item at the Woodend Community Centre, and discussed health and safety matters and volume of usage.

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Acknowledges the submission but considers it is more practical to hire in a stage for a particular event and then the stage can be appropriately sized for the intended purpose and there are no consequential storage issues.

CARRIED

Woodend Community Centre – Parking
20182028.755.8 in Submission 20182028.755 by Woodend Community Association

Staff provided a brief update of the situation.

Councillor Barnett queried the carpark area and safety of people accessing the shops from the community centre, enquiring if anything could be done to improve safety. Staff suggested a pedestrian crossing point could be painted and would also note that in the submission.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes staff regularly monitor the condition of the carpark and paint markers have recently been renewed. The carpark was designed in 2011 to create a slow environment for vehicle movements and there are clear pedestrian ways highlighted but it is inevitable that there will be potential conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles particularly with a number of children using the building at times. If all parties are careful the Council is satisfied that the carpark design is fit for purpose.
(c) Requests staff to undertake the marking of a pedestrian crossing between the community centre and the across the carpark eastwards, to enable pedestrians accessing the shops safer.

CARRIED

Oxford Skate Park
20182028.499.8 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

Staff commented that the skate park does not need to be increased in size.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that applying the current levels of service for the size of skate parks in each of the five main towns determines that currently the skate park in Oxford does not require an upgrade.
(c) Notes that the Pearson Park Advisory Group will receive ongoing capital funding for the development of Pearson Park which could be used for a skate park extension.

CARRIED

Reserve Management Plan - Cust Community Centre
20182028.617.9 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that staff have undertaken a review of all play spaces in the district and developed a priority list for redevelopment. Jack Graham Reserve is in relatively good quality with good asset provision provided and therefore no money has been allocated in the Long Term Plan.
(c) Notes that staff do have budget to look at renewing assets which have reached the end of their useful lives and using this budget staff will look into what can be done to the car park at Cust Community Centre.
(d) Notes that the Cust Community Network’s request will be referred to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for consideration of funding.
(e) Notes that staff have a budget for the installation of new signs and will work with the Cust Community Network on the installation of signs where appropriate.

CARRIED

Council Reserves
20182028.496.18 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that staff will continue to install bike racks in town centres, community facilities and major recreation facilities with community or district catchments such as sport and recreation reserves.

(c) Notes that instruction signage for Baker Park is being installed utilising funding from the current 2017/18 financial year and that staff will consider the installation of signage for all new fitness stations as these are developed.

CARRIED

Councillor Barnett queried the term ‘cycle park’. C Sargison explained that a cycle park as a ‘learn to ride’ area was being considered by the Regeneration Steering Group. This submission related to a place to park and lock cycles. Staff explained that cycle racks do get used at indoor facilities such as libraries and community centres, but not at reserves or parks.

Councillor Stewart queried fitness stations as a gift from the Kaiapoi Lions before the earthquakes that was intended to be installed along the Kaiapoi Walkway. Staff advised the Kaiapoi Lions have since ceased and that the funding for the intended fitness stations had not been transferred at the time of the earthquakes. Subsequently the Lions funded the ‘mouse house’ wheel in Trousselot Park as part of the recreation precinct. This was a $30,000 contribution.

Reserves and Recreation - Woodend-Sefton
20182028.497.13 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that staff will work with the Community Board on development of reserves in Pegasus and Owen Stalker Park.

CARRIED

Toilets for Pegasus Beach
20182028.215.7 in Submission 20182028.215 by Miss Mel Stevens

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes that the new Pegasus Beach Toilets have been built in line with Councils Toilet Strategy in regards to provision of cubicles and showers. No money has at this stage been identified for an expansion to the toilet however staff will continue to monitor use as the Pegasus Beach becomes more used.

(c) Notes that a tap is currently located at the back of the toilets at the Pegasus Lake Playground within Karen Eastwood Park. This can be used for the washing off of sand.

CARRIED
Toilet for Coopers Creek - Oxford Forest Conservation Area
20182028.540.5 in Submission 20182028.540 by Department of Conservation

Staff spoke of the provision for two toilets and referenced the Toilet Strategy. Staff advised that DoC maintain, clean and stock paper in the current toilets.

Councillor Atkinson queried freedom campers and toilet use. Staff commented on Salt Water Creek, funding options and criteria (which has been applied for). Staff acknowledged there is an increased risk of freedom campers using new toilets on DoC land in Oxford and the nuisance potential.

Councillor Gordon enquired about DoC continuing to fund the toilets. Staff advised DoC could and would with the current standard which is a portaloo. If WDC funded the project a higher standard of toilet would be supplied. C Sargison spoke of the potential to go back to DoC and staff to change the response to the submitter. If DoC advise they no longer would supply the portaloo then staff would come back to the Council to seek funding which could be spread over a couple of years from current budgets.

Councillor Doody queried the location of the current DoC portaloo. Staff explained they were located at the start of the Wharfdale track.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines the funding request at this stage and requests staff to undertake further investigations with DoC on the provision of toilet facilities in the area and to report back to the Council.
(c) Notes that ongoing cleaning of the toilet would be the responsibility of the Department of Conservation.

CARRIED

Toilet for View Hill - Oxford Forest Conservation Area
20182028.870.1 in Submission 20182028.870 by Mr Chris Brown (on behalf of DoC)

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines the funding request at this stage and requests staff to undertake further investigations with DoC on the provision of toilet facilities in the area and to report back to the Council.
(c) Notes that ongoing cleaning of the toilet would be the responsibility of the Department of Conservation.

CARRIED

Public Toilet – Silverstream
20182028.496.8 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that staff are working with the developer of Silverstream Estates on the design of a toilet to be installed within Tirikatene Reserve. It is anticipated that this reserve be installed in the 2018 calendar year.

CARRIED
Kaiapoi Museum
20182028.493.1 in Submission 20182028.493 by Kaiapoi District Historical Society
20182028.495.1 in Submission 20182028.495 by Kaiapoi Maritime Heritage Trust

Councillor Gordon sought a report to the Community & Recreation Committee on more information of the situation and spoke of assess issues. C Sargison spoke of the original place, space use and design of the Museum space.

Councillor Atkinson was also interested in a report, with J Palmer acknowledging it would provide a useful summary of documenting events to this point in time.

Mayor Ayers commented on lack of storage space for museums generally.

Councillor Doody enquired where the Waimakariri Arts Trust store their non-displayed paintings. Mayor Ayers responded.

Councillor Stewart enquired if the brief for the remodelled Rangiora Service Centre could include an expanded area for storage which could be useful for archives. J Palmer explained archives are now off-site and digital records are taken whenever possible. Management was reluctant for any extension work to include a repository for other organisations.

Councillor Stewart sought a report on storage issues to assist options for consideration with future building developments. Staff advised that information could be included in the report Councillor Gordon had requested. Staff spoke of the independent assessment of the Museum requirements and digitalisation.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines the request for an extension to the Kaiapoi Museum space.
(c) Requests staff to prepare a report for the Council on the allocation of space in the Kaiapoi Museum and the potential for improving access to existing storage areas.
(d) Requests staff to prepare a report on storage of artworks in the District owned by the Waimakariri District Arts Trust.

CARRIED

Community Grants
20182028.32.1 in Submission 20182028.32 by Community Wellbeing North Canterbury Trust
20182028.71.1 in Submission 20182028.71 by Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust
20182028.497.6 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that these amounts are already included in the Draft LTP so no further adjustments are required.
(c) Thanks the submitters and looks forward to continuing to work with them over the next year.

CARRIED

Sefton Public Hall Society Grant
20182028.769.1 in Submission 20182028.769 by Sefton Public Hall Society
THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter and acknowledges the work of the Hall Committee in maintaining the Sefton Hall for the community.

CARRIED

Request for Funding – Satisfy Food Rescue
20182028.834.1 in Submission 20182028.834 by Satisfy Food Rescue

Following a waste minimisation related question from Councillor Barnett, staff explained the purpose of the group was re-purposing of food to help groups/families in the community, explaining there were a growing number of groups contributing to the supply. It was also explained how this group worked with other community-based social support groups in the community, sharing knowledge and support to better assist those in need.

Councillor Doody sought clarification on the group supplying schools with breakfast. Staff explained the group supply breakfasts to seven schools, including Belfast.

Councillor Barnett requested further information from K Waghorn on other funding sourcing. This information was supplied at the beginning of Day Three.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Acknowledges the work that Satisfy Food Rescue is doing in the community and the way it is supporting other community groups in their roles. The is mindful of the number of groups that would like to have funding for staff support and advises that it is not in a position to fund further staffing resources for community groups and organisations.

CARRIED

Request for Funding – Rangiora Smallbore Rifle Club
20182028.38.5 in Submission 20182028.38 by Mr Quintin Jane

General discussion occurred of rifle clubs in the district, Rangiora High School Skeet shooting and viability of locations.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Requests staff to work with the Rangiora Small bore Rifle Club to determine their requirements, and explore the possibility of using another facility.

CARRIED

Request for Funding - Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust -
20182028.69.5 in Submission 20182028.69 by Mr Pete Johnson
20182028.755.9 in Submission 20182028.755 by Woodend Community Association 20182028.871.16 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Thanks** the submitters and acknowledges the significant work that Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust is doing on behalf of the community and the Council has significantly increased its operational grant to the Trust commencing on 1 July 2018.

CARRIED

At the conclusion of Day One, five submissions seeking funding were placed on the whiteboard for further consideration and staff advice the next day. It was confirmed that funding the five specific groups sought was not included in the current budgets. The groups were: North Canterbury BMX Club ($20,000 one off grant); Big Brothers Big Sisters ($5,000 per annum); Silverstream Advisory Group ($5,000 one off grant); Country Cricket ($34,000), Pines Beach Hall ($6,500 per annum).

**Request for Funding - North Canterbury BMX Club**
20182028.70.3 in Submission 20182028.70 by North Canterbury BMX Club

Moved: Councillor Barnett    Seconded: Councillor Gordon

THAT the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** the work that the Club has put into its planning of the site and the Council is agreeable for the expansion of the area of land and that this has the approval of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.

(c) **Approves** the Council making a one-off grant of $20,000 to the Club, noting that this matches the fundraising that the Club has done to date and the Council will also be happy to support the Club’s application to the RATA Foundation.

CARRIED

**Request for Funding - Silverstream Volunteer Group**
20182028.248.1 in Submission 20182028.248 by Silverstream Volunteer Group

THAT the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** the success that the Silverstream Group has had in developing the Silverstream Reserve and congratulates the Group on the way that they have sourced alternative funding for plants and the time that the Community is continuing to devote to maintaining the reserve.

(c) **Acknowledges** the need to be utilising social media to keep the development momentum and accordingly grants an additional $5,000 per annum for supporting those initiatives.

CARRIED

**Request for Funding - Cure Boating Club Incorporated**
20182028.249.1 in Submission 20182028.249 by Cure Boating Club Inc.

Councillor Gordon sought clarification on the loan. Staff advised the Club sought external funding with the Council acting as guarantor rather than supplier of funds.

Councillor Atkinson commented on costs of building consents and potentially include in the response of staff/council costs already and further report back to the Council.
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Notes the work that the Cure Club has done on progressing the rebuild of its Club premises and Council would like to work with the Club to investigate options for securing an interest free loan to cover the shortfall in funding and report back to the Council.

(c) Notes that the Council will meet the costs of the necessary consents for the rebuild of the clubrooms.

CARRIED

Request for Funding - North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support
20182028.272.1 in Submission 20182028.272 by North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support

Having declared a conflict of interest, as Chair of the North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support Group, Councillor Doody withdrew from the table.

Mayor Ayers remarked he was unsure if the Council was fully aware of what the group are trying to do. This is about Civil Defence as well as building a sense of neighbourhood and communities. Mayor Ayers stated the Council has its own Civil Defence systems and networks however this group’s network could potentially be integrated and utilised. Mayor Ayers acknowledged national issues with the organisation.

J Palmer commented that the issue has been mooted for several months, reflecting on previous discussions. He reflected on the January budget meeting when funding support was removed, and noted in the commentary at the time. Management had discussed the group with acknowledgment of potential support of the group however there was concern relating to funding and that this may not be the best spend, as there are other groups potentially better situated for co-ordination.

Councillor Atkinson remarked on his understanding of Civil Defence (CD) and that the Council CD is well resourced for our size. Councillor Atkinson queried, if by supporting NCNS, the Council was effectively asking for a subcommittee to be set up with the community. J Palmer responded that following the earthquakes, the Council increased its resource to two full time CD staff. He commented on the operation of Selwyn District Council with the NCNS group. The Chief Executive acknowledged the Council were well resourced for Civil Defence matters.

Councillor Barnett remarked on her personal involvement on NCNS and CD and synergies between the two groups, however acknowledged that when there had been discussions on joining the two groups, NCNS, as a national organisation went downhill. Councillor Barnett believed the NCNS argument was not strong enough for her to have the confidence that they would provide value for funding and therefore believed it was not a good investment at this point in time.

Councillor Blackie sought clarification of the “Get Ready” project. J Palmer spoke of a database with member details and NCNS provided connections of who has what resource in the community.

Both Councillors Blackie and Barnett commented on their personal lack of contact with the group that they had personally joined over the past five years.

Mayor Ayers allowed Councillor Doody to comment from the public gallery, as a deputation. W Doody stated things have changed, explaining how she ended up with the Chair role and spoke of the co-ordinators. It was advised that NCNS have a large number of people signed up for Gets Ready project. The NCNS had
recently employed another person due to the group covering the areas of Kaikoura, Hurunui and Selwyn. W Doody spoke of the workload in Kaikoura with over 300 families joined up to the scheme. W Doody stated NCNS is not dysfunctional and are proactive. The Police are supportive of the group and are contributing funds for the Kaikoura operation to get up and running. The Get Ready scheme is for emergencies only and operates through the Police co-ordination and communication linkages.

Moved: Councillor Gordon    Seconded: Mayor Ayers

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Declines at this stage the current request for financial contribution to North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support.
(c) Requests staff to prepare a report to the District Planning & Regulation Committee on North Canterbury Neighbourhood Support, specifically the ‘Gets Ready’ programme and other provisions within the district.  

CARRIED

Mayor Ayers spoke of the merit of the group and the potential of funding through the Annual Plan (2019/20), and therefore would like more information regarding an integrated system of operation.

Request for Funding - Pines Beach Hall
20182028.281.1 in Submission 20182028.281 by Pines Beach Hall

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the outstanding work that the Pines Kairaki Association has done on building the new hall at Pines Beach and compliments the Association on the standard of the hall and the way it links with the Pines Oval and agrees to make an annual grant of $6,500 to assist with the annual insurance cost.

CARRIED

Request for Funding – Big Brothers Big Sisters
20182028.101.1 in Submission 20182028.101 by Big Brothers Big Sisters

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the work that Big Brothers Big Sisters undertakes in the community and notes that the Council does provide free office accommodation, including utilities, to the Group. The Group is, however, the only organisation operating in the District in this area. Big Brothers is an empowerment model of early intervention and prevention and it has a track record of success both locally and nationally.
(c) Approves an annual grant of $5,000.

CARRIED

Request for Funding - Compass FM
20182028.296.1 in Submission 20182028.296 by Compass FM
Day One, Mayor Ayers, having declared a conflict of interest as a Trustee, left the room at 4.41pm, returning at 4.44pm. Deputy Mayor Felstead assumed the Chair.

Councillor Gordon advised Hurunui District Council had granted $5,000 to Compass FM the previous day, during their LTP deliberations. It was suggested the group be placed on the whiteboard for further discussion and consideration the next day.

C Sargison spoke about the change to funding use requested of Hurunui District Council and the group were now seeking $10,000 from WDC as a one off payment towards an equipment upgrade.

Day Two, Mayor Ayers, having declared a conflict of interest, departed the room at 10.36am and returned at 10.42am. Deputy Mayor Felstead assumed the Chair.

Councillor Atkinson asked how many community radio stations existed in the District. Staff were unsure of the quantity.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Doody

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Approves the funding of $10,000 as a one off contribution towards new capital equipment.

CARRIED

A Division was called by Councillor Barnett

For: Councillors Felstead, Blackie, Doody, Gordon and Meyer.

Against: Councillors Atkinson, Barnett, Stewart and Williams.

CARRIED 5:4

Councillor Gordon reflected on the contribution from Hurunui District Council and the benefits of the station to the Waimakariri District.

Councillor Doody spoke of the benefits of the radio station to the community.

Councillor Williams stated he believed the station was semi commercial and should therefore stand on its own for capital expenditure.

Councillor Barnett stated she was unable to support the radio station but acknowledged they do good job, however she believed radio should not be funded by the Council. Councillor Barnett was comfortable to use the radio services by paying for the Mayor's weekly spot or advertisements. Councillor Barnett believed the station was a hobby station, remarking that the Council has not received listening statistics, and believed the station did not appeal to most persons of the district. Councillor Barnett believed this funding is excessive and ratepayers do not receive value for money.

Councillor Atkinson, agreed with Councillors Barnett and Williams and clarified that the station was a Trust. Councillor Atkinson stated that the request, could be perceived as a grant for favours coming back and he was a little uncomfortable with that. Councillor Atkinson reflected on his personal involvement with radio in his early years, commenting on radio stations legislated responsibility to broadcast information for community related events, therefore he saw no obligation on this Council to contribute funding. Councillor Atkinson stated that he did hold some sympathy for the Trust's request and personally liked the station and the people involved.

Councillor Felstead stated he would support the motion for funding capital equipment and believed the station served a need for the community.
Councillor Gordon stated he was only supporting the funding for capital equipment. He remarked on measuring statistics cannot be recorded because Compass FM is not a commercial station and official statistic would cost a significant amount, as had been explained by the station’s representatives during the hearings. Councillor Gordon commented that the station broadcast through the Kaikoura earthquake, and that this Council has not invested funds before in the station, however he was aware other groups from the community have invested, such as Rotary. Councillor Gordon stated he believed it was worth supporting Compass FM for the community benefits.

**Request for Funding - Flora and Fauna**
20182028.558.5 in Submission 20182028.558 by Mrs Gillian Giller

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for her interest in protecting flora and fauna. The Council is mindful of protecting remnants of flora and fauna in the District and works closely with developers to protect areas of interest on proposed development sites. The Council is also supporting the regeneration of the Silverstream Reserve as a native reserve and also supports the Te Kohaka o Tuhaitara Trust in the development and restoration of the Trust land. The Council does not wish to increase the general provision of $15,000 per annum but notes that there is a greater actual sum spent each year with other projects in the District.

CARRIED

**Request for Funding – Canterbury Biodiversity Strategy**
20182028.640.1 in Submission 20182028.640 by Edge Landscapes

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes that recent regional and national initiatives towards affording a greater priority to biodiversity enhancement are included in ECAN's LTP and the government's programme. This includes the Provincial Growth Fund with funding for a major programme of revegetation/afforestation. It will take some time for the shape and nature of new regional and national policy in this area to become clear. In light of this and the prospect of substantial external funding the Council does not wish to establish unspecified project funding which is unrelated to a specific programme.

CARRIED

**Request for Funding – Oxford Arts Trust**
20182028.790.1 in Submission 20182028.790 by Oxford Arts Trust

Councillor Barnett enquired if the current $4,680 grant was inflation adjusted. Staff confirmed that was the case.

The request for an additional $1,000 towards insurance costs was placed on the whiteboard, for further discussion on Day Two.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Felstead

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Notes** that the Council currently provides an operational grant to the Oxford Arts Trust of $4,680 to assist with insurance and other overheads.

(c) **Approves** an additional $1,000 for insurance costs, subject to receiving a satisfactory financial report to the Council.

(d) **Noting** the total grant to the Oxford Arts Trust would be $5,680 to assist with insurance and other overheads, subject to the supply of financial information.

(e) **Requests** staff to prepare a report for the Council on the current provision of grants to the halls in the District.

CARRIED

Councillor Gordon commented that the group had asked for other funding for a number of aspects to their operation however the main benefit would be funding to cover the increased insurance, which is an operational cost. Councillor Gordon commented on how difficult it was to seek operational funding through other grant bodies. Councillor Gordon suggested members view the Oxford Arts Trust in a similar way to museums, which also run a lean operation, primarily with volunteers, also noting the facility is located on a Council reserve.

Councillor Barnett suggested an amendment, that the Oxford Arts Trust provide the Audit and Risk Committee a set of full financial statements prior to any Council decision. This amendment was supported by Councillor Williams. Councillor Barnett believed the Trust was an asset to the district, but the submission spoke about hiring a paid administrator and issues seeking funding. Councillor Barnett reflected on when she had sought financial information, the Trust were unable to provide it. Councillor Barnett remarked that the group may need more than the $1,000 and would prefer to wait until she had an opportunity to review the financial information.

Following discussion and mutual agreement with Councillors Gordon and Felstead the amendment was absorbed into the motion.

Councillor Atkinson queried Pines Beach Hall. Mayor Ayers asked staff for a list of halls that the Council provide grants to and how much those grants were.

**Request for Funding – Canterbury Country Cricket Association**

20182028.513.5 in Submission 20182028.513 by Canterbury Country Cricket Association Inc.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** the work that the Canterbury Country Cricket puts into maintaining Mainpower Oval and recognises the additional requirements to have the grounds to a standard to host representative matches.

(c) **Recommends** that the Council make a total annual grant of $56,000.

CARRIED

Councillor Williams remarked on the upgrade to the pitch and suggested holding back; querying if the Council were going to support other sporting codes such as croquet with similar funding support.

Councillor Doody asked for an explanation of how Country Cricket works at the Oval. C Brown explained 1.5 person groundsmen, who also undertake other cricket maintenance at other parks across the district, under guidance from the NZ Cricket Association. Staff spoke of the national standards required of the grounds,
which related to player expectations and health and safety. The Council has funded turf renovation after the first class game was cancelled. A report recommends renovation should be done every three years. Staff commented on the groundsmen requirements to obtain a “WOF” from the national body, for the grounds, ensuring appropriate standards were maintained. Canterbury Country Cricket require additional funding to ensure the pitch standards are maintained. The options of not funding were outlined which include not hosting first class games or the Council employing staff to undertake the work, (which would be more expensive overall). This requested grant does not cover all costs, and the Association continue to seek additional outside funding.

Mayor Ayers enquired about professional cricket. C Brown commented on match fees (Canterbury first class) where this field receive approximately $30,000 to $40,000 per year for enabling Canterbury to use the ground, however approximately $150,000 is required per year to keep the pitch to the appropriate approved national standards.

Councillor Doody remarked on the age range of players coming through the ranks. She stated cricket comes to Rangiora because under the new rules there are only limited games able to be played in Hagley Park, so some games have to play at Lincoln or Rangiora (providing the grounds are maintained to the appropriate standard), and these visitors to our district create a benefit and vibrancy to the greater community.

Councillor Atkinson sought clarification, if the Council was effectively buying cricket matches by funding this request. Staff advised funding enables the first class games to be played in Rangiora by the ground being up to the appropriate standards required by the New Zealand body.

Councillor Atkinson enquired if other Councils undertake similar funding with their turf such as hockey. Staff confirmed that was the case. In a supplementary question, Councillor Atkinson enquired what the alternative was. Staff advised that first class cricket will not be able to be played (and watched) in this area as the group cannot fund maintenance full time. Staff also commented that if representative games are not played at the Oval then MainPower may potentially withdraw sponsorship, and this would put additional strain on funding and maintaining the grounds.

Councillor Gordon appreciated comments and concerns, and reflected on his awareness of how the cricket facility is run, primarily by volunteers, and the benefits to the district. Councillor Gordon commented on the stringent health and safety requirements imposed from the national body. Councillor Gordon spoke positively on the partnership between Canterbury Country Cricket, the clubs, the Council, MainPower and the community.

Councillor Atkinson enquired if staff were aware if the group had applied to other funding sources. Staff were unsure, but knew that every year the group do apply to other outside funding sources.

Request for Funding – Cust Bowling Club
20182028.853.1 in Submission 20182028.853 by Cust Bowling Club

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the Submitter and acknowledges the work that the Club does for the Cust community. Residents of Cust do have the option of using the Council funded heated swimming pool at Oxford, or the pools at Rangiora and Kaiapoi.
(c) Notes that in the light of increasing compliance costs the Club may wish to consider whether it is going to be affordable for the Club to repair the pool and keep it open.
for community use as the Council does not wish to provide funding for the retention of the Cust pool.

CARRIED

Request for Funding – The Ohoka Gatekeeper's Lodge
20182028.866.1 in Submission 20182028.866 by Ohoka Domain Advisory Group

Staff provided an update on the situation, with the possibility of a heritage grant.

Councillor Gordon enquired if the group can apply to other funding agencies for grants. Staff confirmed this is the case. The Lotteries Commission had supplied funding to enable a heritage assessment to occur.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for the work that they are doing on restoring the Gatekeepers Lodge.
(c) Notes that the agreement to have the lodge located at Ohoka Domain and for the Council to take ownership of the building was on the basis that the restoration would be at no cost to the Council.
(d) Notes that staff will work with the Advisory Group on exploring options for sourcing non-Council funding for the restoration of the building.

CARRIED

Oxford Pool
20182028.21.6 in Submission 20182028.21 by Mr Dannie Mabey

Staff explained the situation with View Hill School and use of Council facilities.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the frustration of View Hill School in not getting access to the Oxford Pool.
(c) Advises that staff have made changes to the WaiSwim booking system to better manage allocation of space across the various schools.
(d) Recommends staff work with View Hill School to try and achieve suitable dates and times for the 2018/19 swim season.

CARRIED

Swimming Membership Fees
20182028.543.5 in Submission 20182028.543 by Sport Canterbury

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the concern of Sport Canterbury over the proposed increases for platinum fees and notes that a platinum membership provides for unlimited access to swimming and aquarobics classes. The proposed increases reflect the increased cost in providing those services.

CARRIED
Rangiora Airfield Ground Rental for Hangars
20182028.4.1 in Submission 20182028.4 by SprintAero Limited
20182028.27.1 in Submission 20182028.27 by Mr Grant Goodland
20182028.28.1 in Submission 20182028.28 by Mr Pat Scotter
20182028.111.1 in Submission 20182028.111 by Mr Graeme Main
20182028.597.1 in Submission 20182028.597 by Mr Stephen Witte
20182028.687.1 in Submission 20182028.687 by Mr John (Buzz) Harvey

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes that there is a separate report on Community Facilities Fees and Charges and that once the Council has made a decision on that report individual responses will be written for each submitter.

CARRIED

Civil Defence
20182028.496.5 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes that Tsunami plans already exist and are in the public domain through the Council's website and provided in hard copy to every property in the affected beaches.
   (c) Notes that these plans include signage locations which follow international tsunami convention. Road marking whilst an option is not considered necessary.

CARRIED

Parking Restrictions – Kaiapoi
20182028.496.20 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Recommends that any charges be suspended for the moment until completion of the parking restrictions review in June 2018.

CARRIED

Pegasus Community Centre
20182028.278.5 in Submission 20182028.278 by Mrs Ronel Stephens
20182028.279.5 in Submission 20182028.279 by Mr Roger Rule

Councillor Barnett queried the Woodend Methodist Church and Hall availability. Mayor Ayers advised that strengthening work was currently being undertaken and due for completion in the next few months. It was anticipated that on completion of the works, the Church Hall would be then available for community use.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Acknowledges the significance of community support for people addressing emotional and mental health issues. The Council has committed to developing a library and community facility for the Ravenswood/Pegasus area but this is not scheduled for another ten years.
(c) **Suggests** in the short term that discussions are held with the Pegasus Residents Association around options for the configuration of the Pegasus community facility to make it more suitable for the interim.

(d) **Notes** the availability of other community meeting spaces in the area including Gladstone Park Rugby Clubrooms and the Churches in Woodend.

**CARRIED**

**Ravenswood - High School**

20182028.755.11 in Submission 20182028.755 by Woodend Community Association

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that the Ministry of Education has recently completed a planning exercise for the provision of schools in Waimakariri District which included examining the population projections for the District.

(c) **Notes** that the Ministry is satisfied that with the redevelopment of Kaiapoi High School along with the redevelopment of Rangiora High School combined with the recent enrolment zones that there is sufficient secondary school capacity in the District.

**CARRIED**

**Community Facility Fees & Charges**

20182028.14.5 in Submission 20182028.14 by Mrs Sarah Shore
20182028.178.5 in Submission 20182028.178 by Mrs Alexandra Foerstmann
20182028.349.5 in Submission 20182028.349 by Mrs Charmaine McGregor
20182028.355.5 in Submission 20182028.355 by PT on Panckhurst
20182028.497.9 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board
20182028.871.5 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Refers** to the separate report on fees and charges. Once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.

**CARRIED**

**Fernside Hall - Fees & Charges**

20182028.103.1 in Submission 20182028.103 by Zumba Zing NZ

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Refer** to separate report on fees and charges.

**CARRIED**

**Woodend Community Centre - Fees & Charges**

20182028.25.5 in Submission 20182028.25 by Woodend Combined Club
20182028.121.6 in Submission 20182028.121 by Woodend Combined Friendship Club
20182028.217.1 in Submission 20182028.217 by Mr A H Power
20182028.218.1 in Submission 20182028.218 by Woodend Indoor Bowling Club
20182028.241.1 in Submission 20182028.241 by Woodend Garden Club
20182028.415.5 in Submission 20182028.415 by Mr M and Barbara and Mrs Liddicoat
20182028.494.5 in Submission 20182028.494 by Mrs Elaine H B Cole
THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  
  (b) Refers to the separate report on fees and charges.
  
  (c) Notes that once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  
  (b) Refers to a separate report on fees and charges. Once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  
  (b) Refers to a separate report on fees and charges.
  
  (c) Notes once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  
  (b) Refers to a separate report on fees and charges.
  
  (c) Notes once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  
  (b) Refers to a separate report on fees and charges.
  
  (c) Notes once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  
  (b) Refers to a separate report on fees and charges.
  
  (c) Notes once the Council has made a decision individual responses will be written to the submitters.
Councillor Barnett queried information supplied with rates demands letters. J Palmer responded that when the rates first quarter account is sent, an accompanying letter sets out how the rates work. Other quarterly rate demands may have some other information on Council matters at the time. J Millward commented on staff trialling a “where rates go” programme on-line as another tool for the community to be informed. Staff will be able to update the Council in the coming weeks.

Councillor Barnett wondered if, in future, the February rates notice could share information on the Annual Plan consultation. J Palmer responded. Mayor Ayers commented that although the rates demand is one way to communicate, it does not go to all house owners ie landlords not renters or those that have automatic payments. Mayor Ayers commented on using other medium to convey where to find information on the Council, although acknowledged the rates envelope may be worth considering to advertise various Council aspects. Mayor Ayers cautioned that anything publicity that goes through the rates envelope should go through the communications team. Councillor Barnett reflected on community feedback, with a portion of the population reading newspapers and not necessarily using internet communications. Councillor Barnett believed the rate envelopes were an opportunity to share Council information.

Councillor Doody enquired if the people that pay rates by direct debit, if they still receive the first letter. Staff confirmed that was the case that all rate payers receive the first letter outlining the rates matters.

Councillor Gordon commented on the overall consultation process and documentation for this LTP, being the best he had seen. Councillor Gordon thanked the staff for including the elected members in the consultation processes and connecting with the community at numerous events during the consultation period. Councillor Gordon referenced the solid waste matters and suggested staff look at using the rates letters as a timing mechanism to keep the community informed.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  (b) Thanks the submitters for their comments.
  (c) Advises that significant efforts are made to promote the opportunity to consider Council’s proposals through consultations such as that for the Draft LTP. This was extensively promoted through the media and online and over 800 submissions have been received. We constantly look to how we can improve such promotion and the presentation and ease of use of consultation materials such as the consultation document.

CARRIED

Kaiapoi Garden Competition

C Sargison spoke on the running of the competition.

Councillor Stewart enquired what happened with the wind up of the Keep Kaiapoi Beautiful assets. C Brown advised that the primary organiser had moved house, however more seating was installed in the walkway before the club dissolved. The trailer was also stolen so there was very little asset left. It was understood the shed had been given away to another community group ie food forest.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Acknowledges** that You Me We Us are willing to continue with the running of the annual Kaiapoi Garden Competition and will provide an annual grant of $500 to assist with expenses associated with the competition.

CARRIED

Councillor Felstead stated he had no objection to the proposal.

**Landscaping for Kaiapoi**

20182028.496.17 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

C Brown provided an update on various aspects of Kaiapoi landscaping and commented on the current level of service provided.

Councillor Stewart enquired on the progress of the linkage. C Sargison referred to a recent Community and Recreation Committee briefing, with further discussion to occur with the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board. Staff briefly mentioned the amenity area, drainage and continuing work with Ecan.

Councillor Blackie enquired about the Fuller Street land. C Sargison advised the concept is if the Council take ownership it is not in exchange for dollars, but perhaps a long term lease. The land has very limited appeal, however securing it enables access for maintenance operations.

Councillor Doody queried land further along the railway line and beautification. C Brown advised staff continue to negotiate with Kiwi Rail.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that Green Space staff are currently investigating options for the ownership and / or maintenance of the land and will report back to the Board.

(c) **Declines** the request for landscape planting to be installed to soften the fence around Silverstream Estates due to insufficient land being available now that the new arterial road and swale has been installed.

(d) **Notes** that staff are working closely with Environment Canterbury to look at options of creating a concept plan for the Kaiapoi River with a focus on the town centre area.

(e) **Notes** that a new levels of service for tree maintenance has been proposed to Council that would significantly increase the amount of epicormic growth removal undertaken at Kaiapoi Domain from once every three years to annually.

CARRIED

**Sefton Community Facilities**

20182028.497.11 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

Staff advised that the group were currently satisfied with their annual Council grant.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the Board and notes that there has been a submission from the Sefton Public Hall Society which confirms they are happy with their current grant amount.

CARRIED
**Waikuku Hall**  
20182028.497.10 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

**THAT** the Council:
  
  (a) **Receives** the submission.
  
  (b) **Thanks** the Board for their support for acoustic panelling for the Waikuku Beach Hall.

  **CARRIED**

**Youth Facilities – Pegasus**  
20182028.497.12 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

**THAT** the Council:
  
  (a) **Receives** the submission.
  
  (b) **Supports** the submission from the Woodend-Sefton Community Board relating to older youth facilities.
  
  (c) **Notes** that $150,000 is identified in the Long Term Plan for the 2020/2021 for a skate facility in the Woodend/Pegasus area and that the Board will be involved in investigating the appropriate location for this facility.

  **CARRIED**

**Youth Centre**  
20182028.274.5 in Submission 20182028.274 by Waimakariri Youth Council

C Sargison advised the group had applied to the Lotteries Commission for funding.

Mayor Ayers commented on the Youth Council in general.

**THAT** the Council:
  
  (a) **Receives** the submission.
  
  (b) **Notes** the suggestion around a more coordinated support base for providing services to the community and this is currently being further researched by the Community House Steering Group. The Group is currently sourcing funding for a formal feasibility study. The Youth Council is identified as a key stakeholder in the research.

  **CARRIED**

**Corporate Accommodation**  
20182028.275.5 in Submission 20182028.275 by Mr Steve Chandler  
20182028.498.5 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board  
20182028.497.5 in Submission 20182028.497 by The Woodend-Sefton Community Board  
20182028.871.12 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

Following a question from Councillor Gordon, staff advised that further information and discussion would occur in six to eight weeks regarding future development of the Rangiora Service Centre. J Palmer commented generally on government views and accommodation matters.

Councillor Stewart commented on fit for purpose, conveying her disappointment at the recent renovation of downstairs kitchen, with no oven included. J Palmer explained the renovation was due to the fire alternations and replacement with the same arrangement, acknowledging the kitchen was not fit for purpose for ground floor catering for events, but a kitchenette. Compared to similar Councils it is considered poorly setup however the
Council has other priorities. J Palmer advised that when consultation occurs over next six months on accommodation matters, a workshop will be held with councillors to ascertain their views. Mayor Ayers commented for councillors to think about the Chambers layout, new furniture, screens, etc in readiness of that workshop.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes careful analysis has also been given to reasonably foreseeable staffing requirements consistent with a growing district. There are no current or foreseeable prospects for amalgamation that would in itself eliminate the need to provide for future growth. Instead of leasing additional space consideration has been given to better utilisation of the existing space within the building and the proposed project enables this to happen within the existing building footprint.
(c) Acknowledges the support of the Woodend-Sefton and Rangiora-Ashley Community Boards and Pegasus Resident's Group.

CARRIED

Establishing a CCO - Waimakariri Public Art Advisory Trust
20182028.39.7 in Submission 20182028.39 by Ms Barb Warren
20182028.498.7 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their support of the Public Art Advisory Trust and looks forward to establishing the Trust with the support of the Community.

CARRIED

Public Art Policy - Implementation in Oxford Township
20182028.790.2 in Submission 20182028.790 by Oxford Arts Trust

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges the support of the Oxford Arts Trust for the formation of the Public Arts Trust.
(c) Notes that one of the roles of the Trust will be to seek funding for public art works in the District.

CARRIED

Enterprise North Canterbury
20182028.497.16 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges that ENC held a briefing with the Community Board on their business attraction activities during May.

CARRIED

Rates Affordability
20182028.52.5 in Submission 20182028.52 by Mr Ian Sissons
20182028.72.5 in Submission 20182028.72 by Mrs Linda Marion McKitterick
It was suggested to add a comment to the responses that Audit NZ independently audit each year and also mention Standard and Poors reviewing the Council financial position. The response to include commented on the Council responsibility to provide services for the community.

Councillor Atkinson queried Anonymous submission. J Palmer responded, that whilst no name had been supplied, an address had, hence the response would be sent.

Councillor Stewart commented on level of service and the cost of providing service divided by the number of ratepayers. She remarked that it does effect the overall level of service, believing people need to better understand that. Mayor Ayers responded by citing a comparison with Christchurch City. J Palmer commented on differential ratings on reserves and cautioned against a comparison on Council against Council on rates. The basis of rates is what level of service do the Council supply and what do the community want to pay for that level of service. The Council try to make the best decision for the community as a whole.

Councillor Williams commented on comparisons with other Councils. J Palmer responded on how matters are dealt with, citing an example of swimming pool charges. The Council and staff cannot ignore what happens in neighbouring councils, however ultimately the decision lies with the governance of this Council. Councillor Williams remarked on how good Waimakariri facilities are compared to many councils. General discussion on level of service, facilities and comparisons with other districts occurred.

Councillor Williams queried why this Council does not promote itself more. J Palmer spoke of demonstrating great value for money and the issues grappling with the overall issues.

Councillor Barnett raised an issue on the Retirement Village Rate Rebate Act, and sought a report on the impact, which was believed to be enacted from 1 July. J Palmer advised a memo from M Harris would provide an update.

Councillor Stewart sought an update on the Rivertown model. Staff advised it was the same model as Northbrook and provided a response.

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Provides the following response for each submitter:

20182028.52 by Mr Ian Sissons: The Council aims to minimize rate increase when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury and understood mid-range for New Zealand. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect was is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable.
The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs. Growth is not always beneficial and does come with its challenges as well, providing for new and relocated homes within our district. We were fortunate that we had planned and had much of the infrastructure in place and be in a good position of being able to cater for ongoing growth.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating "Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases" The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding.

The Council has no hidden agendas and provides open and honest discussions with its community. It is not proposing to charge for water through water metering. A review undertaken some years ago did not provide compelling benefits of going to the cost of installing water meters as a basis to charge. If this was to be reviewed either through Central Government and/or sustainability being the catalyst, it would be consulted with the community effected.

20182028.72 by Mrs Linda Marion McKitterick: The Council when setting service levels and rating always takes into consideration the ratepayer’s interests.

20182028.122 by Mr Jeremy Richards: The Council rates are increasing at an average rate of just over 4% over the next 4 years before returning to Local Government cost index inflation. 1%per annum of rate movement is attributed to progressively funding the Earthquake and Regeneration costs. The rating forecast values do include inflation that is provided by Business and Economic Research Limited. The Council finances are audited by Audit NZ every year. (BERL). This makes up about 30% of the increase. If your property is located in an area that requires substantial upgrades for services such as water, the cost will be much higher and these factors could be attributing to the rates increase over the ten years. The Council has set up an advisory group this year to look the Rural Water supply costs, which could also be attributing to the outer year rates increases to your property that you are experiencing.

For your information the Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating "Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases" The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding.

20182028.213 by Ms Cheryl York: The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury and understood mid-range for New Zealand. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect was is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating "Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases" The Productivity
Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.247 by Mr Jeff Rogers: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect was is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.283 by Canterbury District Health Board: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect was is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. As noted, the Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.383 by Mr Lawrence Roberts: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council
is also however conscious that averages do not reflect what is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding.

The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.528 by Mr Garry Leech: Rates are one of the components that make up the CPI figure. Local Governments inputs for like of materials and engineering contractors are much higher than CPI and therefore Local Government use the Council uses rating forecast values for inflation that is provided by Business and Economic Research Limited (BERL). Overall the Long Term Plan this adds about 30% to the cost on rates.

Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect what is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable.

The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding.

The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.582 by Body Corporate Northbrook Villas: DIA has discovered a retirement village ownership structure that was not envisioned when the Act was being drafted and is not taken into account in the wording of the new section 7A(1) of the Rates Rebates Act 1973. The ownership structure in question is separate rating units (unit titles) that are owned by a retirement village operator and provided to residents under a licence to occupy contract.

From 1 July 2018, it is hoped the Government provides relief to Retirement villages through the Rates Rebates (Retirement Village Residents) Amendment Act 2018. This scheme allows those people who own and reside to be eligible to Rates rebates to a maximum of $630. The DIA is exploring options for amending the Rates Rebate Act 1973 to ensure that residents with this ownership structure will be eligible for the scheme, with the aim of getting any necessary amendment passed so that eligible residents are still able to apply for a rebate for the 2018/19 rating year.

With reference to the services reductions referred to, many of these circumstances are consistent with a number of other properties in the district. These services are
charged for under the Revenue and Financing policy. Some services are charged based on a user approach and others are reflected by a charge in the dollar based on the capital value of the property.

20182028.602 by Mr K J Claxton: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect was is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs. Growth is not always beneficial and does come with its challenges as well, providing for new and relocated homes within our district. We were fortunate that we had planned and had much of the infrastructure in place and be in a good position of being able to cater for ongoing growth.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.683 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect was is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs. Growth is not always beneficial and does come with its challenges as well, providing for new and relocated homes within our district. We were fortunate that we had planned and had much of the infrastructure in place and be in a good position of being able to cater for ongoing growth.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.784 by Penrith Trust: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the
affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect what is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs. Growth is not always beneficial and does come with its challenges as well, providing for new and relocated homes within our district. We were fortunate that we had planned and had much of the infrastructure in place and be in a good position of being able to cater for ongoing growth.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating “Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases” The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding.

The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

20182028.844 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous: Rates affordability is always a serious consideration assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District’s rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect what is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

Growth is not always beneficial and does come with its challenges as well, providing for new and relocated homes within our district. We were fortunate that
we had planned and had much of the infrastructure in place and be in a good position of being able to cater for ongoing growth.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating "Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases". The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

**20182028.46 by Mr Neil Wilkinson:** Rates affordability is always a serious consideration that is assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District's rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect what is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

Growth is not always beneficial and does come with its challenges as well, providing for new and relocated homes within our district. We were fortunate that we had planned and had much of the infrastructure in place and be in a good position of being able to cater for ongoing growth.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating "Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases". The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.

**20182028.511 by Mr Mark Kenny:** Rates affordability is always a serious consideration that is assessed by the Council. The Council aims to minimize rate increases when proposing its rates and charges. The overall rate percentage increase being proposed is understood to be one of the lowest in Canterbury region and understood mid-range for New Zealand. Trying to objectively assess the affordability of rates is difficult. As a District, Waimakariri has a high decile rating and high GDP per capita. According to LGNZ’s assessment the District's rates as a percentage of GDP is one of the lowest in the country, at about 4%. The Council is also however conscious that averages do not reflect what is affordable for low income earners and sets its rating policy accordingly to what is fair and equitable. The costs arising from the earthquake to our district has been substantial and about 1% each year of the increases through-out the Long Term Plan is attributable to the earthquake and regeneration costs.

The Minister for Local Government has requested that the Productivity commission will hold an inquiry into local government funding stating "Local government is facing increasing costs for things like three waters, roading, housing, and tourism infrastructure as well as adapting to climate change. Some of the councils facing the biggest cost increases also have shrinking rating bases". The Productivity Commission is to look at how local government can fund activities most effectively and fairly and that Councils have alternative options other than rates funding. The Government provides a Rates Rebates scheme for low income earners which currently provides for a maximum rebate of $630 for low income earners.
Rates and Levels of Service
20182028.15.5 in Submission 20182028.15 by Mr James Girvan
20182028.49.5 in Submission 20182028.49 by Mr Miles Dalton
20182028.344.5 in Submission 20182028.344 by Ms Trish Keen

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Provides the following response for each submitter:

      20182028.15 by Mr James Girvan: Agrees. The Revenue and Financing Policy sets out how the Council achieves the fairest funding mix for the community as a whole.

      20182028.49 by Mr Miles Dalton: Acknowledges the submission point being made.

      20182028.344 by Ms Trish Keen: Acknowledges the submission point and assures the Council has a number of processes in place to ensure that the most prudent use of ratepayers funds is being exercised.

CARRIED

Development Contributions
20182028.637.5 in Submission 20182028.637 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Notes that a probity audit was conducted in 2016 with recommendations adopted into the Development Contribution schedules.

   (c) Notes that a legal review of the Development Contributions Policy will be undertaken in 2018.

   (d) Notes that Council will engage with landowners in the West Kaiapoi ODP area as the scope of drainage works are more clearly defined and design is progressed.

   (e) Notes that development contributions are consulted on with developers annually through the Long Term Plan and Annual Plan processes and that specific issues relating to specific development areas are discussed with developers and landowners in the area.

CARRIED

South West Rangiora - West Belt Extension to Townsend Road
20182028.577.1 in Submission 20182028.577 by Mr Gavin Billington

J Palmer advised there would be a report to an upcoming Council meeting to sign-off adjustments, however staff were not expecting huge movements.

J Palmer advised that a meeting had been held between staff and the submitter.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Notes that a probity audit was conducted in 2016 with recommendations adopted into the Development Contribution schedules.
(c) **Notes** that a legal review of the Development Contributions Policy will be undertaken in 2018.

(d) **Notes** that development contributions are consulted on with developers annually through the Long Term Plan and Annual Plan processes and that specific issues relating to specific development areas are discussed with developers and landowners in the area.

**CARRIED**

**Council Expenditure**
20182028.357.8 in Submission 20182028.357 by Mr Ray Freitag

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Accepts** the submission point being made and confirms money received from rates and charges are applied consistently and aligned to the Revenue and Financing Policy.

**CARRIED**

**Council Expenditure**
20182028.510.6 in Submission 20182028.510 by Mrs Rochelle & Joe Faimal

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that the Council receives an 85% discount off the normal advertising rate for advertising in the community noticeboard.

**CARRIED**

**Rate Increases**
20182028.667.9 in Submission 20182028.667 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** the submission point being raised.

(c) **Notes** that this Council already has a relatively high percentage of rates that are charged by way of a fixed charge and therefore the Council is not proposing to increase the UAGC in the 2017/18 financial year.

**CARRIED**

**Property Rate Sample Areas**
20182028.667.10 in Submission 20182028.667 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Declines** including more examples of rating movements within the formal document, given they are to represent averages.

(c) **Notes** that the Council developed a website tool that provides for any property within the district, rates for the first 5 years of the LTP. This rating tool is also interactive by allowing viewers to include or exclude rating proposals provided
within the Long Term Plan and see what effects these options would have on their rates.

CARRIED

Targeted Rates
20182028.667.5 in Submission 20182028.667 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Confirms that the Council is not proposing to change the basis for targeted rating for Roading.

CARRIED

Council and Runanga Co-Governance
20182028.839.8 in Submission 20182028.839 by Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga


THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the Runanga’s comments and discuss them with Ngai Tuahuriri through our collaborative forum to progress co-governance arrangements.

CARRIED

Genetic Engineering
20182028.6.3 in Submission 20182028.6 by Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the submitter’s comments but advises this is a matter the Council considers to be of national policy.

CARRIED

Climate Change
20182028.211.6 in Submission 20182028.211 by Mrs Sonny Whitelaw
20182028.640.4 in Submission 20182028.640 by Edge Landscapes

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Notes the submitters comments and refer them to the District Plan and Regulation Committee for consideration in the course of the District Plan Review.
(c) Advise the submitter accordingly and ask them to note the commitment through the Infrastructure Strategy 2018-48 to progress sustainability policy for the Council.

CARRIED

Sea Level and Groundwater Rise
20182028.211.5 in Submission 20182028.211 by Mrs Sonny Whitelaw

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) **Notes** the submitter’s comments for consideration in the course of responding to the technical reports currently under preparation. **CARRIED**

**ATM Machines**
20182028.215.8 in Submission 20182028.215 by Miss Mel Stevens

**THAT** the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Recommends** Council staff can make Enterprise North Canterbury (ENC) aware of this request, and ask them to make the appropriate enquiries in due course. **CARRIED**

**Mad Butcher Shop**
20182028.215.9 in Submission 20182028.215 by Miss Mel Stevens

**THAT** the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Recommends** Council staff can make Enterprise North Canterbury (ENC) aware of this request, and ask them to make the appropriate enquiries in due course. **CARRIED**

**Taxi Services**
20182028.292.5 in Submission 20182028.292 by Mr Howard and Lynette and Mrs Fowler

**THAT** the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that Council staff will communicate and display available information on current district transport services as appropriate.

(c) **Notes** organisations such as Driving Miss Daisy and the Mini Bus Trust operate within the District. **CARRIED**

**Rangiora Town Centre**
20182028.54.7 in Submission 20182028.54 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.683.10 in Submission 20182028.683 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.419.7 in Submission 20182028.419 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi Patterson

**THAT** the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that the current provisions made within the Draft Long Term Plan for events and district promotion are supported. **CARRIED**

**Non-Notified Consent - Woodend**
20182028.345.10 in Submission 20182028.345 by Mr Kelvin Ashby

**THAT** the Council:
(a) **Receives** the submission.
(b) **Acknowledges** the submission, explanation provided from Resource Consent officers report.

(c) **Notes** that the stormwater ponds have been designed to treat and limit discharges to McIntosh's Drain to pre development levels. The top part of McIntosh's Drain is shown on the Outline Development Plan as having a 10 metre wide access/cycle lane reserve. The Council’s Greenspace team expressed concern as to how this space would function and subsequently the 3Waters team considered the reserve area was not required for stormwater Management purposes provided a five metre wide access was available for maintenance of the underground pipe, as there was an existing one metre wide access there, a four metre access easement was proposed.

**CARRIED**

**Roadside Trees in Pegasus**

*20182028.389.6 in Submission 20182028.389 by Ms Amy Johnston*

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that staff will be replanting all dead juvenile trees in Pegasus that have died and will be changing species where appropriate to increase ongoing survival rates.

**CARRIED**

**Accessibility**

*20182028.521.5 in Submission 20182028.521 by Ms Greer Smith*

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Acknowledges** the importance of implementing the Accessibility Strategy to ensure Council actively contributes towards an inclusive environment.

**CARRIED**

**Population Growth**

*20182028.625.1 in Submission 20182028.625 by Mr Malc Dartnall*  
*20182028.635.1 in Submission 20182028.635 by Mr Allen Cookson*

Mayor Ayers commented about Waimakariri being a great place to live, and aware the District will grow, but the Council does not promote the district; other agencies such as ENC.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Provides** the following response for each submitter:

**20182028.625.1 by Mr Malc Dartnall:** Notes, on points 1 and 2, the substantial budget and implementation provided for in relation to flood response and district roading and refers the submitter to relevant reports and asset management plans. Notes, in relation to item 3 advises the submitter of the business attraction programme under Enterprise North Canterbury and provides them with a copy of the relevant plan for the 2018/19 year.

**20182028.635.1 by Mr Allen Cookson:** Notes that substantial provision in the LTP is both for meeting the needs of growth as well as maintaining services to the existing population. It is true that growth does bring cost pressures but it also brings
more ratepayers. The Council seeks to apportion costs equitably. The District remains an attractive prospect and it is impractical to entirely halt growth.

CARRIED

Kaiapoi Town Centre (KTC) Review
20182028.637.6 in Submission 20182028.637 by Mr Martin Pinkham
20182028.419.7 in Submission 20182028.419 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi Patterson

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Recommend that the Draft Kaiapoi Town Centre plan is first completed in draft form and then undergoes the appropriate public consultation.
   (c) Notes that this will allow for the associated financial implications of the finalised Plan to be determined and then considered by Council during the Annual Plan Budget process that begins in November 2018.

CARRIED

District Development Strategy
20182028.40.5 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice
20182028.40.6 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice
20182028.637.8 in Submission 20182028.637 by Mr Martin Pinkham

Councillor Stewart commented on the environmental issues raised by submitter 40, referring to the previous weeks briefing, biodiversity agreements and not having a strategy for advancing a biodiversity strategy, and queried what forum is best to discuss the topic. J Palmer responded that the Council and staff would be interested in any Water Zone recommendations related to biodiversity. Staff also spoke of the District Plan Review and implementation methods with biodiversity aspects. It was noted that Ecan had biodiversity as their second most important focus. This Council currently did not have any active specific piece of work other than Regeneration and Mahinga Kai areas. Mayor Ayers commented about drawing the strands together and the government putting a high priority on biodiversity with a likelihood of a GPS on biodiversity in the near future.

J Palmer recommended Councillor Stewart has a conversation with the policy managers.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Provides the following response for each submitter:

   20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice: Note and thank the submitter for their comments and advise very substantial work is underway in relation to the District Plan Review that addresses the topics of concern, especially the environmental impacts of activities in the rural zone. It remains important to note however that farming is a permitted activity.

   20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice: Note and thank the submitter for their comments and advise very substantial work is underway in relation to the District Plan Review and review of Kaiapoi and Rangiora Town Centre Plans that address these topics of concern. Also to advise the submitter that the Council can influence the location of various store types, especially larger format stores, but cannot regulate the presence or not of particular types of outlets.

   20182028.637 by Mr Martin Pinkham: Agree with the importance of transport as an element of district development and note that very substantial attention to this issue is occurring through the District Plan Review and the Council's involvement in the Greater Christchurch Settlement Pattern Review. The upcoming
Government Policy Statement on Transport heralds a significantly different set of government priorities for investment and includes for the first time, rapid transit.  

CARRIED

Heritage Incentives  
20182028.642.1 in Submission 20182028.642 by Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga  

THAT the Council:  
(a) Receives the submission.  
(b) Continues the current funding through the contestable fund.  

CARRIED

Biodiversity  
20182028.662.8 in Submission 20182028.662 by Environment Canterbury  

THAT the Council:  
(a) Receives the submission.  
(b) Continues to work with Environment Canterbury regarding the protection of biodiversity in our District.  

CARRIED

District Planning  
20182028.662.9 in Submission 20182028.662 by Environment Canterbury  

THAT the Council:  
(a) Receives the submission.  
(b) Thanks Environment Canterbury for its support of the District Plan review.  

CARRIED

Resource Management Application Fees and Charges  
20182028.667.7 in Submission 20182028.667 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand  

THAT the Council:  
(a) Receives the submission.  
(b) Provides an explanation: The rationale behind the increase in fees and charges for resource management applications are found in the draft Long Term Plan, Plan Implementation Unit, Service Plan and Budget.  
The main increase in land use consent application fees relates to the addition of an up-front consent monitoring fee of $200, which is currently invoiced as a separate charge as consent monitoring occurs. The reason for this charge is to provide certainty to applicants in the total cost of an application, and to reduce the administration required to prepare and process additional invoices. The $200 fee is proposed to be charged as a fixed rate for all applications, and is based on one hour of reporting officer time, vehicle use and administration cost. 

CARRIED

Empty Sections in Pegasus  
20182028.730.10 in Submission 20182028.730 by Mrs Jennie Marsh  

Councillor Gordon mentioned the increasing issue that has been discussed with staff and would appreciate a discussion at a District Planning and Regulation Committee.  

Mayor
Ayers offered a suggestion of sending the full cost of mowing the section, including administration fees, which may assist property owners to focus on the matter. Mayor Ayers acknowledged the matters at Pegasus do arise and commented on matters transferred to Fire Emergency NZ (FENZ). The Council could potentially initiate a Bylaw relating to untidy sections. Mayor Ayers commented on discussions with a local real estate company regarding absentee owners, and management of those properties.

Councillor Barnett stated her desire for a discussion at the Committee to include swales and stormwater areas and the difficulties for some residents to mow the areas.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that the Council will not proceed with charging rates for clearing untidy properties.

**CARRIED**

---

**Oxford Christmas Decorations**

20182028.499.6 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
20182028.865.1 in Submission 20182028.865 by Oxford Promotions Action Committee

Councillor Gordon enquired if the Community Board could fund the project. Staff advised it could be funding from the Boards landscaping budget, however that is not designed for ongoing projects.

Councillor Gordon advised he had held a discussion with MainPower and advised that the cost of $2,000 is for the traffic management plan, and that MainPower undertake the physical erection of flags as a community gift. Councillor Gordon also believed that OPAC could fund the flags, which last for two seasons, therefore he proposed a one off Council grant of $2,000 for the erections of Christmas flags in Oxford.

Councillor Atkinson queried the Council committing another group (OPAC) towards contributing to the project. Councillor Gordon reiterated his understanding that the group could be willing to fund the project for a year.

Moved: Councillor Gordon Seconded: Councillor Doody

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Approves** $2,000 as a one off grant towards the cost of a traffic management plan, to enable flags to be erected by MainPower prior to Christmas 2018 in Oxford.

(c) **Recommends** that the Oxford Promotions Association consider funding the purchase and installation of the Christmas flags in Oxford.

(d) **Notes** that there is currently no town centre business rate on Oxford unlike in Kaiapoi and Rangiora which is where flags are currently funded from.

**CARRIED**

Councillor Barnett Against

Councillor Doody commented on the expenses involved and that Oxford businesses do not contribute towards OPAC promotions, and therefore this gesture would help towards the Christmas spirit.

Councillor Barnett stated she could not support the proposal, based on her personal dealings with OPAC, as she did not believe they were inclusive of the community and the discussion had not been held with the Oxford community. Councillor Barnett commented
that Woodend and Pegasus do not have flags, and growth areas of Mandeville and Ohoka also do not have decorative flags in their settlements. Councillor Barnett commented that Oxford need assistance but did not believe this was the answer.

Councillor Felstead commented he had no issue with the OPAC group, and this this matter had arisen from discussions about Christmas lights. Decorative flags were seen as an alternative to assist with the Christmas spirit of the township. This is a suggested one-off grant and let us see what comes from it.

Councillor Atkinson stated he was not opposed to the idea, however he believed the issue was flags in general. Councillor Atkinson believed flags in main streets were old fashioned and a bad investment, but he expected further requests in future years.

Mayor Ayers believed flags were a better investment than lights, as they could be seen during the day, however he accepted the costs associated with changing/erection of the flags when the seasons change or they are damaged and dirty.

In his right of reply, Councillor Gordon commented about this being a specific request from OPAC, and that he had contacted the other promotional groups however they were not interested in the possibility.

**Naming Subdivisions**
20182028.496.6 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

Staff advised the matter was not LTP related and the Council could request a report to address the matter. Mayor Ayers suggested the topic could be discussed generally at a future All Boards Briefing.

** THAT** the Council:
(a) ** Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** this is not an LTP matter, and to consider the subject under the District Plan Review.

**CARRIED**

**Community Spatial Plan for cycleway and walkway networks**
20182028.496.11 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

** THAT** the Council:
(a) ** Receives** the submission.

(b) **Advises** that the Walking and Cycling Strategy was reviewed in 2017 and included in the LTP is funding to implement the Strategy. This will provide the opportunity to consider what projects are worthwhile and the Community Boards and Council will be involved in that discussion and decision making.

(c) **Notes** mapping the cycleways/foot paths is a project within the Walking and Cycling Strategy action plan.

**CARRIED**

**Shuttle Bus Service**
20182028.496.12 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

Mayor Ayers noted it would be good to have a discussion on transport within the district.

Councillor Felstead commented about Ecan depleted finances for passenger transport, and the struggle to service Christchurch City.
Councillor Atkinson remarked on the community frustrations related to the changed Kaiapoi bus service.

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Notes** that public transport (PT) in the Greater Christchurch area is managed by a Joint PT Committee and the Council is a member of that committee. So it is no longer Ecan's sole responsibility to manage public transport in the Greater Christchurch area. The Waimakariri District Council is 'in the tent' and is part of the decision making process.

(d) **Notes** that the Committee is currently reviewing the Public Transport Plan and this is a statutory document that must be reviewed every three years. The Committee is also working on a PT Futures Business Case. Both of these pieces of work will provide some direction on the future of PT in the Greater Christchurch area. It is also noted that the Government has announced increased funding for public transport so this might provide opportunities.

(e) **Notes** that once the Public Transport Plan is completed a review of services will get underway. That will be the time the Board can have some meaningful input. It is intended there will be more engagement with the Boards and community as part of this process and this will be managed by Council staff. In the past Ecan managed the reviews and they essentially put out a proposal for submissions. Early engagement will give the opportunity of input before a proposal is developed

CARRIED

**Town Entrances**

20182028.498.14 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

20182028.498.8 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

C Brown commented on town entrances, advising there is no LTP budget other than the Community Boards general landscaping budget.

Mayor Ayers queried a landscape tidy-up around the Pou at the entrance to Pegasus, which is on golf course land. Staff advised the matter is being addressed.

Councillor Gordon sought clarification that there was a town centres budget, but not a budget for town entrances. J Palmer spoke of the street lighting upgrade for Rangiora and the continuation of the Good Street upgrade which depletes the town centre budget. Kaiapoi Town Centre matters would be discussed at the upcoming Council meeting, particularly on a strategy that would be then reported back.

THAT the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** The Draft Long Term Plan has an allocation of $50,000 towards the development of a Town Entrance Strategy. This strategy will be developed in consultation with the Boards and will determine the extent of town entrance development with regard to location and appropriate service level. Until the strategy has been completed it is difficult to determine the appropriate funding for any town entrance enhancement. Should the strategy identify funding is require, this will have to be discussed by Council as part of a future Annual or Long Term Plan process.

CARRIED

**Christmas Festive Lights - Kaiapoi**
THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Notes that Council is undertaking a peer review of feature lighting. Council will be studying the recommendations of the peer review before establishing a suitable strategy for potential Christmas decorations in the future.

CARRIED

Hazardous Substances and new Organisms Act 1996
20182028.864 by Environmental Protection Authority

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Notes as the District Plan review progresses the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996 will be considered.

CARRIED

Electric Vehicle Charging Points
20182028.499.13 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
20182028.617.5 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network
20182028.863.6 in Submission 20182028.863 by Ms Shirley Cairns
20182028.865.2 in Submission 20182028.865 by Oxford Promotions Action Committee

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Recommends that Council staff gather more information on electric car charging stations, and investigate potential opportunities, partnerships and funding sources with a view to present the Council with a report on options for future Council involvement in this space.

CARRIED

Environmental Values
20182028.559.5 in Submission 20182028.559 by Ms Nancy Sutherland

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Acknowledges Ms Sutherland's support for Council's contribution to community well-being.

CARRIED

Democratic Governance
20182028.177.4 in Submission 20182028.177 by Mr Greg Rzesniowieck

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.

   (b) Recommends that the submitter’s comments are noted as important considerations in the Council exercising delegated powers by Parliament.

CARRIED

Trade and Investment Treaty Making
20182028.177.3 in Submission 20182028.177 by Mr Greg Rzesniowieck
THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.

   (b) **Notes** the comments made.  

CARRIED

**Constituency Well-Being**
20182028.177.1 in Submission 20182028.177 by Mr Greg Rzesniowieck

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.

   (b) **Supports** the submitter’s comments and reflect them in the Councils submission on the Bill.  

CARRIED

**Sustainable Economics**
20182028.177.2 in Submission 20182028.177 by Mr Greg Rzesniowieck

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.

   (b) **Notes** that staff access and circulate the paper referred to.  

CARRIED

**Risk Management**
20182028.283.12 in Submission 20182028.283 by Canterbury District Health Board

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.

   (b) **Agrees** that a fire risk will be assessed and included into the risks section.  

CARRIED

**Consultant Reports**
20182028.551.5 in Submission 20182028.551 by Mrs Norma McLaren

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.

   (b) **Notes** the council cannot hope to retain the breadth and depth of skills required to meet its responsibilities solely by staff on the payroll. It is cost effective to use consultants in certain circumstances. There is significant policy and process around contracting consultant assistance.  

CARRIED

**Mayoral Forum**
20182028.662.6 in Submission 20182028.662 by Environment Canterbury

THAT the Council:
   (a) **Receives** the submission.

   (b) **Notes** and thanks Environment Canterbury for its supportive comments and agree in relation to the Councils participation in regional affairs accordingly.  

CARRIED
Mana Whenua Engagement
20182028.662.7 in Submission 20182028.662 by Environment Canterbury

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes and agrees with submitters comments.

CARRIED

Canterbury Water Management Strategy
20182028.662.10 in Submission 20182028.662 by Environment Canterbury

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Notes and agrees with Environment Canterbury's comments.

CARRIED

Draft Long Term Plan document
20182028.596.5 in Submission 20182028.596 by Mr Brent Cairns

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for bringing this to our attention.
   (c) Notes that this will be addressed prior to the adoption and publication of the LTP 2018-2028 document.

CARRIED

Water Supply
20182028.616.6 in Submission 20182028.616 by Mr Christopher Storm

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Acknowledge the submission.
   (c) Notes that the Rangiora water is moderately soft and that reducing the hardness would make the water more corrosive.
   (d) Notes that budget provisions exists to install both UV disinfection and chlorination depending on the Government's response to the Havelock North Inquiry.

CARRIED

We'd like to know if you think we're doing enough to manage Drinking Water quality
20182028.499.11 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
20182028.497.7 in Submission 20182028.497 by the Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Acknowledges the support for the upgrades to meet the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand.
(c) **Notes** that it is proposed to develop a Water Supply Strategy that looks at the long term strategy for both public water supplies and also private individual supplies.

**CARRIED**

**Garrymere Water Supply Scheme - Options**

20182028.105.1 in Submission 20182028.105 by Mr Dickson Paterson
20182028.106.1 in Submission 20182028.106 by Mrs Beverly Paterson
20182028.107.1 in Submission 20182028.107 by Mr Errol & Rosemary and Mrs Hitt
20182028.108.1 in Submission 20182028.108 by Mr Matt Goddard and Ms Debbie Smith
20182028.109.1 in Submission 20182028.109 by Mr Brent & Desray and Mrs Lithgow
20182028.110.1 in Submission 20182028.110 by Mr Alastair & Carolyn and Mrs Ring
20182028.112.1 in Submission 20182028.112 by Ms Christine Levett
20182028.290.7 in Submission 20182028.290 by Mrs Desray Lithgow
20182028.869.2 in Submission 20182028.869 by Mr Steve & Janet and Mrs Gregory

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that, of the options for upgrading the Garrymere water supply scheme to achieve compliance with the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand, Option A (Treatment of the Existing Source) was supported by the majority of submitters who indicated a preference for an option.

(c) **Notes** that Council is bound by the Health Act to take all practicable steps to achieve compliance with the Drinking-water Standards, and therefore an upgrade to the scheme is essential.

(d) **Notes** that concerns have been raised that all options presented are not financially viable.

(e) **Notes** that the proposed mitigation to the concerns is that the project be deferred by up to 12 months, and that a Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group be formed to work through the issues with the community and that this will be brought to Council in a separate report.

**CARRIED**

**Garrymere Water Supply Scheme - Consultation**

20182028.112.2 in Submission 20182028.112 by Ms Christine Levett
20182028.290.8 in Submission 20182028.290 by Mrs Desray Lithgow
20182028.518.5 in Submission 20182028.518 by Ms Amanda Black

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Notes** that there were some concerns from residents on the Garrymere water supply scheme that they did not get the opportunity for the desired level of input as part of the consultation process that was undertaken.

(c) **Notes** that the proposed mitigation to the concerns is that the project be deferred by up to 12 months, and that a Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group be formed to work through the issues with the community, and that this will be brought to Council in a separate report.

**CARRIED**

**Garrymere Water Supply Scheme – Process**

20182028.109.3 in Submission 20182028.109 by Mr Brent & Desray and Mrs Lithgow
20182028.112.3 in Submission 20182028.112 by Ms Christine Levett
20182028.246.1 in Submission 20182028.246 by Mr Ben Wijngaart
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Acknowledges that there would be benefits to a more collaborative approach, and have taken a recommendation to the Rangiora-Ashely Community Board to establish a Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group.
(c) Notes that the separate report on the Garrymere Water Supply Upgrade – Feedback from Community Consultation (TRIM 180504048871) approved the establishment of a Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group and the deferral of the project by an additional 12 months while the Advisory Group considers issues and options and reports back to Council.

CARRIED

Garrymere Water Supply Scheme - Rating and Costs
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Advises the submitters that the Council has capped the Garrymere water supply scheme increase for 2018/19 at 5%; and
(c) Notes that a Garrymere Water Supply Advisory Group, that will include representatives from ratepayers on the scheme, is proposed to be established to explore options that will be reported back to Council.

CARRIED

Are we doing enough to: Manage Wastewater Over-flows
Councillor Atkinson commented on an educational perspective.

Councillor Stewart commented wet weather/emergencies events.
THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Agrees that the management of wastewater overflows is of the highest importance to ensure that waterways are kept free of raw sewage to the greatest extent possible. Council is in year 3 of a 10-year program in Rangiora to upgrade wastewater service for the community and reduce overflows from the wastewater reticulation system.
(d) Advises that Council staff are also updating the hydraulic model for the Kaiapoi reticulation system to then develop a program for upgrading wastewater service and reducing overflows there.
(e) Notes that Council staff employees a number of measures during wet weather events to minimize overflows, including the use of sucker trucks at key locations throughout the reticulation systems and the placement of generators at pump stations and treatment plants to continue service in the event of a power cut.
(f) Notes that wastewater overflows generally occur only during extended wet weather periods or natural disasters.
(g) Advises that the Council owns and operate a number of wastewater reticulation systems and treatment plants throughout the District to collect wastewater and convey it to a treatment plant where it can be treated to the required extent before it is returned to the natural environment.

CARRIED

Are we doing enough to: Manage Flooding

Councillor Blackie referred to submitter 638 and enquired if Lidar had been undertaken since 2004. Staff advised some information had been gathered post 2014.

Councillor Doody stated that some residents believe the ground situation has changed since 2016. G Cleary responded that staff could arrange a drone flyover of the general area and compare information against the Lidar information.

Councillor Gordon referred to submitter 643 and suggested staff hold a meeting with the whole group, to understand the issues and for the group to broadly understand the Council information. Staff advised they would be happy to update the group on flooding matters.

20182028.3.7 in Submission 20182028.3 by Mr Simon Green
20182028.14.9 in Submission 20182028.14 by Mrs Sarah Shore
20182028.17.8 in Submission 20182028.17 by Mr Daniel Thompson
20182028.24.8 in Submission 20182028.24 by Mrs Phillipa Rickerby
20182028.29.8 in Submission 20182028.29 by Mr Michael Bate
20182028.31.8 in Submission 20182028.31 by Ms Karen Lees
20182028.40.11 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice
20182028.41.8 in Submission 20182028.41 by Mr John Crawley
20182028.42.7 in Submission 20182028.42 by Mr Cathy and David and Mrs Price
20182028.46.8 in Submission 20182028.46 by Mr Neil Wilkinson
20182028.47.8 in Submission 20182028.47 by Mr Chris Bacon
20182028.49.9 in Submission 20182028.49 by Mr Miles Dalton
20182028.52.10 in Submission 20182028.52 by Mr Ian Sissons
20182028.53.8 in Submission 20182028.53 by Mrs Kelly Bint
20182028.54.6 in Submission 20182028.54 by Mr Anonymous
20182028.56.6 in Submission 20182028.56 by Mr Alex Vermuelen
20182028.57.5 in Submission 20182028.57 by Mrs Samantha Plows
20182028.58.5 in Submission 20182028.58 by Mrs Ella Gorton
20182028.61.5 in Submission 20182028.61 by Mr F B Walkyier
20182028.62.8 in Submission 20182028.62 by Ms Andrea Clinick
20182028.64.8 in Submission 20182028.64 by Mr Luke Saunders
20182028.65.8 in Submission 20182028.65 by Mr Joshua Lees
20182028.66.8 in Submission 20182028.66 by Mr Caleb Olliver
20182028.67.5 in Submission 20182028.67 by Ms Holly Maxwell
20182028.69.9 in Submission 20182028.69 by Mr Pete Johnson
20182028.79.9 in Submission 20182028.79 by Ms Kiri Cave
20182028.81.8 in Submission 20182028.81 by Mr Liam O'Connell
20182028.88.7 in Submission 20182028.88 by Mr Ian MacDonald
20182028.94.7 in Submission 20182028.94 by Miss Tanya Whiteford
20182028.96.8 in Submission 20182028.96 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.97.7 in Submission 20182028.97 by Mr Alan & Joan and Mrs Orchard
20182028.99.8 in Submission 20182028.99 by Mrs Karen Johnson
20182028.117.8 in Submission 20182028.117 by Mr Gerard Power and Ms Olive Ualesi
20182028.118.8 in Submission 20182028.118 by Mrs Colleen McDonald
20182028.122.9 in Submission 20182028.122 by Mr Jeremy Richards
20182028.123.8 in Submission 20182028.123 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.179.8 in Submission 20182028.179 by Mrs Anita Ward
20182028.207.8 in Submission 20182028.207 by Dr Rose Washbourne
20182028.213.7 in Submission 20182028.213 by Ms Cheryl York
20182028.243.8 in Submission 20182028.243 by Mr John Watson
20182028.247.8 in Submission 20182028.247 by Mr Jeff Rogers
20182028.253.7 in Submission 20182028.253 by Mr Ross Ditmer
20182028.267.7 in Submission 20182028.267 by Mr John Richardson
20182028.269.8 in Submission 20182028.269 by Mr Allen Kene
20182028.275.9 in Submission 20182028.275 by Mr Steve Chandler
20182028.285.8 in Submission 20182028.285 by Mrs Tascha Lawry
20182028.291.8 in Submission 20182028.291 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.295.8 in Submission 20182028.295 by Ms Emmy Saxton
20182028.297.8 in Submission 20182028.297 by Mr John and Carole and Mrs Houghton
20182028.298.8 in Submission 20182028.298 by Mr Paul Markholm
20182028.343.8 in Submission 20182028.343 by Ms Debbie Booth
20182028.345.8 in Submission 20182028.345 by Mr Kelvin Ashby
20182028.352.8 in Submission 20182028.352 by Mr Brian Heron
20182028.357.6 in Submission 20182028.357 by Mr Ray Freitag
20182028.358.7 in Submission 20182028.358 by Mr James A Ryan
20182028.359.7 in Submission 20182028.359 by Mr Chris Lawry
20182028.381.8 in Submission 20182028.381 by Mr Bill Byers
20182028.383.8 in Submission 20182028.383 by Mr Lawrence Roberts
20182028.401.8 in Submission 20182028.401 by Mrs Julie McCartney
20182028.410.8 in Submission 20182028.410 by Ms Linda Pocock
20182028.411.8 in Submission 20182028.411 by Ms Jos Baker
20182028.416.8 in Submission 20182028.416 by Ms Prue Baines
20182028.417.8 in Submission 20182028.417 by Mr J & P and Mrs Hardy
20182028.461.8 in Submission 20182028.461 by Mr Beccy & Joe and Mrs Creswick
20182028.494.9 in Submission 20182028.494 by Mrs Elaine H B Cole
20182028.503.8 in Submission 20182028.503 by Mr Duncan Lees
20182028.528.8 in Submission 20182028.528 by Mr Garry Leech
20182028.535.8 in Submission 20182028.535 by Mr Chris Garrick
20182028.559.7 in Submission 20182028.559 by Ms Nancy Sutherland
20182028.560.7 in Submission 20182028.560 by Ms Linda Stewart
20182028.562.7 in Submission 20182028.562 by Miss Sherrianne Nation
20182028.563.8 in Submission 20182028.563 by Mrs Lesley Ottey
20182028.586.7 in Submission 20182028.586 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon
20182028.603.8 in Submission 20182028.603 by Ms Jo Kane
20182028.602.6 in Submission 20182028.602 by Mr K J Claxton
20182028.605.6 in Submission 20182028.605 by Mrs Janet Collier
20182028.638.8 in Submission 20182028.638 by Waikuku Water
20182028.641.8 in Submission 20182028.641 by Grey Power North Canterbury Association (Inc.)
20182028.643.1 in Submission 20182028.643 by Mandeville Residents' Association
20182028.644.7 in Submission 20182028.644 by Mr C Pocock
20182028.660.6 in Submission 20182028.660 by Dr Kate Grundy
THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.

(c) Advises that Council is aware of the predicted effect of climate change and staff have allowed for this in its flood prediction models. New rural drainage infrastructure is required to meet a 5 year level of service however new house floor levels are required to be set at 300mm above the predicted 1 in 200 year flood event. Properties in the rural areas, may experience flooding at times when a storm event exceeds Councils stated level of service. Council staff will continue to identify and upgrade drainage infrastructure that does not meet this level of service.

(d) Agrees with the need to check and clear drainage infrastructure prior to a predicted storm event.

(e) Recognises public education as key to getting public support in effectively managing the public and private drainage systems. Staff will undertake a series of initiatives aimed at public education, in 2018.

(f) Advises that new housing developments are required to manage stormwater such that the post development level of runoff is no greater than the pre-developed state. This is usually achieved through the use of Stormwater Management Areas (ponds) or stormwater holding tanks.

(g) Supports clearing of excessive exotic vegetation from braided rivers. It is noted that the management of the main rivers within the district is an Ecan function.

(h) Advises that drainage issues at Waikuku Beach are being investigated by staff and will be reported back the Councils Utilities and Roading Committee.

(i) Advises that staff have requested flood works budgets at Mandeville be bought forward, at the request of the Mandeville Residents Association.

(j) Advises that river bank protection works in Ashley River are the responsibility of Ecan.

CARRIED

McIntoshes Drain

20182028.857.5 in Submission 20182028.857; John, Michael, Ryan and Mary Anne Brown

Councillor Blackie commented that the submitters points one and two had already been addressed, although there may be some confusion relating to points three and four. He commented on historically high water levels on a low laying farm. The submitters point five raises a number of issues that are being worked through with Ecan. This is a continuous working matter with the property owner, acknowledging that the Council are unable to address the flooding issues.
Councillor Atkinson requested a workshop at the Utilities and Roading Committee, or the Community Board on the matters raised, and acknowledged more work is required to make any progress. K Simpson advised a consultant is preparing a scope report for consideration at the Utilities and Roading Committee August meeting.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.

CARRIED

Stockwater Races – Colliers Creek
20182028.876.1 in Submission 20182028.876 by Mr D J Marshal

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Advises Colliers Creek and is not part of the WDC stockwater system. Colliers Creek was upgraded following the flood event of June 2014 to provide increased flood capacity. Race R3M1 terminates where it meets Colliers Creek at a point approximately 240m upstream from Mr Marshalls western property boundary. The property at 24 Cameo Drive is not charged a stockwater rate.
(d) Advises that there are no plans at present to extend race R3M1 to 24 Cameo Drive.

CARRIED

Are we doing enough to: Improve Urban Stormwater Discharges
20182028.14.8 in Submission 20182028.14 by Mrs Sarah Shore
20182028.17.7 in Submission 20182028.17 by Mr Daniel Thompson
20182028.24.7 in Submission 20182028.24 by Mrs Phillipa Rickerby
20182028.29.7 in Submission 20182028.29 by Mr Michael Bate
20182028.31.7 in Submission 20182028.31 by Ms Karen Lees
20182028.36.5 in Submission 20182028.36 by Miss Jennifer Jones
20182028.40.10 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice
20182028.41.7 in Submission 20182028.41 by Mr John Crawley
20182028.46.7 in Submission 20182028.46 by Mr Neil Wilkinson
20182028.47.7 in Submission 20182028.47 by Mr Chris Bacon
20182028.49.8 in Submission 20182028.49 by Mr Miles Dalton
20182028.52.9 in Submission 20182028.52 by Mr Ian Sissons
20182028.53.7 in Submission 20182028.53 by Mrs Kelly Bint
20182028.60.6 in Submission 20182028.60 by Mr Ali Azil
20182028.62.7 in Submission 20182028.62 by Ms Andrea Clinick
20182028.64.7 in Submission 20182028.64 by Mr Luke Saunders
20182028.65.7 in Submission 20182028.65 by Mr Joshua Lees
20182028.66.7 in Submission 20182028.66 by Mr Caleb Oliver
20182028.69.8 in Submission 20182028.69 by Mr Pete Johnson
20182028.79.8 in Submission 20182028.79 by Ms Kiri Cave
20182028.81.7 in Submission 20182028.81 by Mr Liam O'Connell
20182028.86.6 in Submission 20182028.86 by Mr Antony Oosthuysen
20182028.96.7 in Submission 20182028.96 by Mr Anonymous
20182028.99.7 in Submission 20182028.99 by Mrs Karen Johnson
20182028.117.7 in Submission 20182028.117 by Mr Gerard Power and Ms Olive Ualesi
20182028.118.7 in Submission 20182028.118 by Mrs Colleen McDonald
20182028.121.5 in Submission 20182028.121 by Woodend Combined Friendship Club
20182028.122.8 in Submission 20182028.122 by Mr Jeremy Richards
20182028.123.7 in Submission 20182028.123 by Mr Anonymous
20182028.179.7 in Submission 20182028.179 by Mrs Anita Ward
Following a comment from Councillor Atkinson, referencing submitters 586 and 603, there is work in a specific area to be investigated and reported back to the Council accordingly.

Mayor Ayers, referenced submitters 640 comments and believed examples tried in the past are not necessarily that popular now, reflecting on what Christchurch City are doing now as maintenance can be seen as an issue and queried how do you achieve a balance. K Simpson explained responsibilities of receiving and reticulation systems into the waterway. Staff try to naturalise waterways to improve ecology and treat stormwater before it enters the waterway. Staff commented on the investment proposed in future years. Mayor Ayers commented on the Dudley Stream.
Councillor Doody queried permeable seal mentioned by submitter 563. G Cleary responded that in principal the Council were supportive of the process, however permeable seal is not used on road passageways. Permeable seal has limited use, however staff try to utilise it where practical, such as soak holes.

Councillor Atkinson stated that in Williams Street, through the shopping area, all the street pavers are permeable and run downhill into a soaker area that waters the plants.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Acknowledges** the support for the proposed works to improved stormwater discharges as part of the network discharge consenting.

(d) **Notes** that networks discharge consent applications will be submitted to Environment Canterbury in 2018 for Rangiora, Kaiapoi, Woodend, Pegasus and Oxford.

(e) **Notes** that Stormwater Management Plans are required as part of the network discharge consents that address the potential downstream impact from a stormwater quality and quantity perspective.

(f) **Notes** that $20 million is included in the LTP for upgrades to improve the water quality of urban stormwater discharges.

**CARRIED**

**Williams Raven Quay**
20182028.411.10 in Submission 20182028.411 by Ms Jos Baker

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Advises** drainage staff will investigate the condition and operation of the stormwater pipe non return valves in the vicinity of Williams Street Bridge. Valves will be replaced if found to be in poor state of repair.

**CARRIED**

**Waikuku Beach Drainage Rate**
20182028.638.6 in Submission 20182028.638 by Waikuku Water

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Advises** drainage staff have met with representatives and concerned members of the community to get a better understanding of the drainage issues.

(d) **Notes** that staff have started investigations and will report back to Council with recommendations.

(e) **Advises** that remedial works will be carried out using existing maintenance budgets however some drainage works may require staff to request additional budget to complete the physical works.

**CARRIED**
Targeted Drainage Rate
20182028.838.1 in Submission 20182028.838 by Mr Owen Davies

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Advises staff have requested the Council approves new budgets in the LTP, for Ohoka Rural, Central Rural, Clarkville and Coastal Rural to build up a Maintenance Works Reserve Fund, over 10 years.

CARRIED

Kerbside Collection Services – please select the option you prefer and tell us why.
Submitters referenced in document 180517054365

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
   (c) Approves inclusion of the "your choice" kerbside collection service in the 2018-28 Long Term Plan
   (d) Notes that 284 (76.5%) of the 371 submissions received are in favour of the "your choice" kerbside collection service
   (e) Approves increasing the level of education and community engagement around waste minimisation
   (f) Endorses the provision of support to and promotion of local waste minimisation initiatives that are led by businesses and community groups
   (g) Notes that there is sufficient budget in the LTP to fund an increase in community engagement and provide support to and promotion of local waste minimisation initiatives that are led by businesses and community groups
   (h) Notes that the Solid Waste Activity Management Plan and the Waste Management & Minimisation Plan will be amended to include the "your choice" collection service
   (i) Notes that the final version of the Waste Management & Minimisation Plan will be presented to Council for adoption in July 2018
   (j) Notes that there is no clear support from rural residents regarding Council extending kerbside collection services into rural areas
   (k) Endorses staff continuing to work with rural residents and communities on a case-by-case basis to determine if the Council could provide appropriate and cost effective recycling services closer to those communities
   (l) Requests staff to investigate requiring better waste and litter management by developers and building companies through consenting processes in order to reduce the impact of earthworks and windblown construction materials on the surrounding environment.

CARRIED
Rubbish Collection Zone
20182028.171.1 in Submission 20182028.171 by Mrs Lika Rump
20182028.245.6 in Submission 20182028.245 by Ms Jenner Litchtwark

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Endorses the current approach of considering the risks and costs of providing a kerbside collection to rural properties between and beyond kerbside collection areas on a case-by-case basis.
(d) Notes that property owners will have to approve the Council providing and rating for a kerbside collection to their property should any request for service be made by renters.
(e) Notes that a request for service in Oxford Rd close to Cust has already been considered by staff and the collection contractor.

CARRIED

Enviroschools Programme
20182028.284.1 in Submission 20182028.284 by Toimata Foundation

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Thanks the submitter for their acknowledgement of the Council’s ongoing support of Enviroschools in the Waimakariri District.
(d) Notes that the draft Waste Management & Minimisation Plan includes an Action to continue funding for Enviroschools.
(e) Notes that the Solid Waste Budgets allow to continue the same level of funding for Enviroschools over the next ten years.
(f) Notes that any expansion of the Enviroschools Programme would require an increase in funding above current levels.

CARRIED

Illegal Rubbish Dumping
20182028.670.7 in Submission 20182028.670 by Ms Evelyn Zuberbuhler

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Instructs staff to develop a campaign around correct disposal of recyclable and reusable materials, and the responsible disposal of rubbish, that can be run in local and social media.

CARRIED

Recycling Centre Expansion
20182028.863.5 in Submission 20182028.863 by Ms Shirley Cairns

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that the Southbrook resource recovery park is an unsuitable location for composting operations for a number of reasons, including:
• Proximity to several composting operations results in competition for the feedstock and end markets
• The limited space available for compost maturation
• The sensitivity of the receiving environment to leachate and odour in particular
• Proximity to businesses that sell compost both in bulk and in bags

(d) Notes that once the reuse and recycling areas have been expanded there may be an opportunity to sell compost produced from our residents green waste but that the implications of this with regard to Council competing with local businesses would first have to be considered by the Council.

CARRIED

Footpath – between Stephen and Church Streets
20182028.7.1 in Submission 20182028.7 by Mr Wallace Smith

Staff noted that to construct a footpath in Blackett Street between Stephens Street and Church Street the cost is approximately $55,000.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that included in the LTP is $100,000 per year over the next five years for new footpaths in the major towns.
(d) Notes that a programme will be presented to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and a report taken to the Council to approve the next three year programme and this programme will includes the Blackett Street footpath.

CARRIED

Coldstream Road Footpath
20182028.11.1 in Submission 20182028.11 by Mr Paul Gread

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Agrees that priority should be given to improving walking and cycling provision along Coldstream Road to the sports facilities.
(d) Notes that the Council has approved the building of the Multi-Use Sports Facility, to meet our growing community’s needs. As such the project to upgrade Coldstream Road including the provision of safe pedestrian facilities is identified as a priority and will be considered as part of a wider consultation process with the community regarding the Multi-Use Sports Facility.

CARRIED
Crusher Dust Path for Sovereign Palms
20182028.12.1 in Submission 20182028.12 by Mr Derick & Lynn and Mrs Walls

Staff advised that the Greenspace unit has a budget in the LTP for the rehabilitation of the area in year 2023/24. A concept plan would be developed in 2022 and submitted to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board for consideration and approval.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that funding is included in the LTP in 2023/24 for the development of this area.
(d) Notes that a concept plan will be developed during 2022 and taken to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board for consideration and approval.

CARRIED

Traffic Flow and Congestion
20182028.39.5 in Submission 20182028.39 by Ms Barb Warren

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Agrees projects should be included in the LTP for improving traffic flow and congestion and notes that these projects are already included so no additional project are necessary.

CARRIED

Old Waimakariri River Bridge
20182028.39.6 in Submission 20182028.39 by Ms Barb Warren

Councillor Atkinson commented that the bridge condition looks disgusting and suggested a maintenance budget could be used for replacing boards on the bridge and expressed noting in the budget for 10 years to repair. Councillor Atkinson believed the maintenance budget was not enough over the next 10 years. V Warnaar advised within 10 years Christchurch City had allocated funding for maintenance, but staff were unsure of which year it would occur. A replacement bridge is scheduled for 2045 year.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Declines the request to improve the Old Waimakariri River Bridge and the Ashley River Bridge on SH1.
(d) Notes that the Ashley River Bridge is part of the state highway network and is not the responsibility of the Waimakariri District Council.
(e) Notes that the Old Waimakariri River Bridge is jointly owned by the Waimakariri District Council and the Christchurch City Council and no maintenance works, apart from reactive maintenance, have been identified as being required over the next three years. Any amenity or appearance improvements would be beyond the current three year funding cycle and will be agreed and programmed in conjunction with the Christchurch City Council.

CARRIED
Barkers Road
20182028.61.6 in Submission 20182028.61 by Mr F B Walkyier

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Declines the request to seal the remaining length of Barkers Road in Loburn as sealing does meet Council Policy.
   (d) Notes that the road quality will be investigated and the maintenance programme adjusted if necessary.

CARRIED

Poyntz and No.10 Roads - All Weather Crossing
20182028.79.5 in Submission 20182028.79 by Ms Kiri Cave

Staff commented that for an additional all weather crossing of the Eyre River between Poyntz Road and No 10 Road on the basis it would need to be a bridge and the cost would not attract NZTA funding. It would be considered expensive and not justified based on traffic volume and other priorities the Council currently has.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Declines the request at this time and notes that alternative routes are available when the Ford is closed.
   (d) Notes staff will continue to monitor travel around the area.

CARRIED

Red Lion Site
20182028.97.9 in Submission 20182028.97 by Mr Alan & Joan and Mrs Orchard

Staff advised that a resource consent had recently been granted for businesses at the Ivory Street/High Street intersection on the old Red Lion Hotel site. As part of the consent process traffic impact was assessed. It was found the traffic impact can be managed. Improvements to Ivory Street between the Countdown supermarket and Alfred Street are included in the LTP in 2019/20. That will include strengthening the road.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Notes that the site in question is zoned for business activity in the District Plan and complying retail use is a permitted activity.
   (d) Notes that matters occurring in conjunction with Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and the Rangiora Town Centre development.

CARRIED
Durham Street Pedestrian Crossing
20182028.97.10 in Submission 20182028.97 by Mr Alan & Joan and Mrs Orchard

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that staff will meet with the submitters to discuss the concerns which have been raised.

CARRIED

Mandeville Cycle Lane
20182028.115.1 in Submission 20182028.115 by Ms Sarah Robotham

Councillor Barnett asked if there was any information on No.10 and Tram Roads in relation to accidents. G Cleary advised staff were assessing safety of Tram Road, but not currently No.10 Road. It was advised staff reassess intersections after any serious accidents. History has shown that driver behaviour is a known contributor to intersection accidents.

Mayor Ayers reflected generally on Tram Road safety. Staff advised that in conjunction with NZTA, they were currently looking at the Giles to Heywards Road area.

Councillor Gordon suggested the matter is considered by the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Supports a cycle path along Tram Road between No 10 Road and McHughs Road being included in the cycleway programme that will be presented to Council for approval later this year.
(d) Notes that the LTP includes $500,000 per year for new cycle facilities. Paths around or servicing schools is considered a priority.

CARRIED

Downs Road - One-Lane Bridge
20182028.245.5 in Submission 20182028.245 by Ms Jenner Litchtwark

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Declines the request at this time and notes that two alternative routes are available when the Ford is closed.
(d) Notes staff will continue to monitor travel around the area.

CARRIED

Park and Ride
20182028.283.10 in Submission 20182028.283 by Canterbury District Health Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission and for their support for park and ride infrastructure to support public transport usage.
(c) Notes that the Council is a member of the Greater Christchurch Joint PT Committee and it is involved in the decision making relating to improved public transport infrastructure.
CARRIED

Condition of Waimakariri District Council Roads
20182028.298.10 in Submission 20182028.298 by Mr Paul Markholm
20182028.656.5 in Submission 20182028.656 by Mr Ken Turpin

Councillor Felstead reflected on submitter 656 and seal backs in Harris, Depot and Parish Roads. Y Warnaar advised that the Council do not currently have a seal back programme. Staff were however reviewing individual areas where it was deemed a safety issue.

G Cleary commented on a review of the roading staff structure that may assist with matters raised.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes the submitter’s comments about the districts roads condition and levels of service.
(d) Notes Council staff are reviewing the structure of the Roading Team to better address the ongoing condition of our roads and maintenance requirements.
(e) Notes that seal backs are generally carried out in conjunction with reseals on adjacent roads.
(f) Notes that Depot Road, Markerikeri Road and Parish Road will be added to the Deficiency Database and added to the future works programme.

Bike Path - Fernside to Rangiora
20182028.504.5 in Submission 20182028.504 by Ms Michelle Conchie Osborne

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Supports a cycleway between Fernside and Rangiora being considered for funding from the walking and cycling budget over the next few years.
(d) Notes that a report will be presented to Council later this year outlining possible walking and cycling projects and their relative priority for Council consideration and approval of a programme and this report will include a Fernside to Rangiora Cycleway.

CARRIED

Carleton Road Upgrade
20182028.564.5 in Submission 20182028.564 by Mr A N Hudson
20182028.677.5 in Submission 20182028.677 by Mr A K Judson

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Notes that these roads will be inspected and the maintenance programme adjusted to ensure they meet the agreed levels of service.

CARRIED
Street Lighting for Cust Village
20182028.636.1 in Submission 20182028.636 by Ms Rhonda Faulks
20182028.766.1 in Submission 20182028.766 by Ms Kathryn Taylor
20182028.617.4 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Declines the request street lighting at both ends of Cust noting that this is a 80km/h area and so a rural road and the Councils current level of service is not to provide lighting on rural road, except at major intersections.
(d) Notes that if more development occurs in Cust and the speed limit changes then street lighting could be considered at that stage.

CARRIED

Walking and Cycling Strategy
20182028.637.7 in Submission 20182028.637 by Mr Martin Pinkham

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that the Walking and Cycling Strategy was reviewed in 2017 and funding is included in the LTP for implementing the strategy and that the opportunity will exist to have input into what projects are included in the programme.
(d) Notes that the LTP includes funding for the Kaiapoi to Belfast cycleway and that staff are currently working with NZTA staff to coordinate the cycleway construction with the Northern Corridor project.

CARRIED

Flaxton Road Improvements
20182028.705.1 in Submission 20182028.705 by Keep New Zealand Beautiful
20182028.419.5 in Submission 20182028.419 by Mrs Drucilla Kingi Patterson

O Davies commented on discussions with the landowner of the area raised by the submitters, and the expense involved.

Councillor Stewart commented on a resident planting oak trees, and suggested staff discuss removal of the willow trees with the adjoining neighbours. It was also recommended that staff involve the Green Space staff in the project.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Notes that over the next two years the LTP includes the upgrade of Flaxton Road (between Kingsford Smith Drive and Lineside Road) which will include footpaths, street lighting and amenity improvements.
(d) Notes that Council staff will consult with Keep New Zealand Beautiful and take back their feedback to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and Drainage Advisory Board.

CARRIED
Bradleys Road to Whites Road - Extension of footpath/cycleway
20182028.756.4 in Submission 20182028.756 by Ohoka Residents Association
20182028.499.21 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Supports the inclusion of a walkway/cycleway alongside Mill Road between Whites Road and Bradleys Road in the proposed Walking and Cycling programme.

CARRIED

Skewbridge Upgrade
20182028.756.6 in Submission 20182028.756 by Ohoka Residents Association
20182028.871.13 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Notes that consideration will be given to the addition of a pedestrian/cycleway lane on the upgraded Skewbridge Road Bridge when detailed planning and design gets underway.
(d) Notes reference to report Trim 180510051603 (to Council 29 May 2018, Roading Activity).

CARRIED

Mobile Speed Detectors
20182028.756.7 in Submission 20182028.756 by Ohoka Residents Association
20182028.499.9 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

Staff confirmed the Council operated three sets of speed indicator devices across the district.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Requests staff bring a report to Council outlying the issue and options for the speed management devices and recommending a strategy for the use and purchase before it makes a decision to purchase any more devices.

CARRIED

Road Seal - Pearson Park
20182028.778.1 in Submission 20182028.778 by Pearson Park Advisory Group
20182028.865.3 in Submission 20182028.865 by Oxford Promotions Action Committee

Following a question from Councillor Felstead, staff acknowledged that sealing the area would provide better accessibility and may increase the aesthetics of the area, however it was estimated to cost a minimum of $60,000.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) **Supports** staff carrying out work to determine the cost of sealing the car park adjacent to the large tree in Oxford and reporting back to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for further consideration and possible inclusion in a future LTP.

**CARRIED**

**Footpath - Annabels Educare Pre-School**
20182028.798.1 in Submission 20182028.798 by Mr Michael Hugh Henry

Staff advised a footpath has only been constructed along one side of the road as this is a local road and therefore footpaths on both sides are not a requirement of the District Plan. The request for the new footpath will be referred to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for consideration.

Councillor Atkinson enquired why the footpath was not undertaken by the developer. Mayor Ayers responded. J Palmer clarified that the response will be changed and the matter referred to the Rangiora-Ashely Community Board.

Councillor Gordon sought clarity that the $6,000 is in the budget. Staff advised it was not currently included.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Supports** this request being referred to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for consideration and to determine priority and inclusion in the New Footpath Programme.

**CARRIED**

**Parking - Rangiora**
20182028.822.6 in Submission 20182028.822 by Ms Valarie McClatchy
20182028.498.11 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Notes** that the LTP includes provision for parking.

**CARRIED**

**Tuahiwi Roading and Footpaths**
20182028.839.5 in Submission 20182028.839 by Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Notes** that there is currently no proposal to extend the Rangiora Woodend Cycle Path through Tuahiwi.

(d) **Notes** that the Council could consider extending the path to Tuahiwi when it considers the programme for walking and cycling projects using the LTP provision of $500,000 per year.

**CARRIED**
Ivory/High Street Intersection
20182028.847.9 in Submission 20182028.847 by Mr Anonymous

Staff advised that a resource consent had recently been granted for businesses at the Ivory Street/High Street intersection on the old Red Lion Hotel site. As part of the consent process traffic impact were assessed. It was found the traffic impact can be managed. Improvements to Ivory Street between the Countdown supermarket and Alfred Street are included in the LTP in 2019/20. That will include strengthening the road. When West Belt is extended to Townsend Road it is unlikely trucks will divert from Ivory Street to West Belt because of the extra distance involved.

Mayor Ayers enquired when the last section of Ivory Street was to be upgraded. Staff believed it was in 2019/20, however the kerb and channel work would occur first.

Councillor Barnett commented on the many 'near miss' accidents outside KFC and the vegetable shop asking staff to assess the situation. Staff commented on associated safety assessments.

Mayor Ayers suggested consideration of an additional left turning lane from Queen Street into Ivory Street (heading north) be also considered during the assessments.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that resource consent has been approved of new businesses on the corner of Ivory Street and High Street and traffic impact were considered as part of the consent process.
(d) Notes that improvements to Ivory Street between the Countdown supermarket and Alfred Street are included in the LTP for 2019/20 and that will include strengthening the road.
(e) Notes that the new road connection to extend West Belt to Townsend Road is unlikely to divert trucks from Ivory Street due to the extra distance involved.

CARRIED

Oxford Car Park
20182028.499.7 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

Councillor Felstead asked for the project to be costed and the information to go back to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for future discussion. Councillor Felstead commented on the access to the reserve and farmers market area.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Supports staff undertaking an assessment to determine costings to seal the carpark area and to report back to the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board for further consideration and possible inclusion in a future LTP.

CARRIED

Tree Root Damage - German and Browns Rock Roads
20182028.499.14 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
Staff advised there is a plan in place to deal with the tree roots in German Road and Browns Rock Road and the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board has been advised of this plan. Work has started on German Road and is proceeding in sections with tree roots being cut along the road. German Road and Browns Rock Road will be repaired over the next three years when they are due for resealing. Staff believe this is the most cost effective way of dealing with this problem.

Councillor Felstead asked for a realistic year to be included in the response.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) That there is a plan in place to address the tree roots in German Road and Browns Rock Road. The plan is to cut the roots and carry out the repairs in conjunction with the programmed resealing of the roads and this will occur over the three years. Work is being undertaken in sections.

CARRIED

Mounseys Road, View Hill - Road Realignment
20182028.499.15 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes the Roading Team will carry out a safety assessment of Mounseys Road to ensure it complies with safety standards for this type of road and will carry out improvements as required.
(d) Notes that if improvements are required then they will be prioritised for inclusion in the minor improvements programme.

CARRIED

Road Seal - Ashworth's Road
20182028.499.16 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Declines the request to seal Ashworths Road on the basis sit does not meet the Council Policy for seal extensions.
(d) Notes that a report is being presented to the Council on the sealing of unsealed roads and this will give the opportunity to consider changes to the policy.

CARRIED

Footpath - Oxford Urban Areas
20182028.499.17 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that included in the LTP is $100,000 per year over the next five years for new footpaths in the major towns including Oxford.

(d) Notes that a programme will be presented to the Community Boards and a report taken to the Council to approve the next three year programme and this programme includes a number of footpaths in Oxford.

CARRIED

Oxford Area School Pedestrian Safety
20182028.499.18 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

Staff advised they had been working with the school on road safety and parking and will be seeking approval from the Community Board for a drop off zone outside the school. Staff are not aware of the school requesting a footpath on the west side of Bay Road, however staff will continue working with the school to improve road safety where ever required. Staff advised an additional pedestrian crossing would remove car parking from outside of the school.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Declines the request for kerb and channel, a footpath and a pedestrian crossing on the west side of Bay Road at the Oxford Area School.
(d) Notes that staff work with the school on road safety improvements and will continue to do so.

CARRIED

Bus Shelter - Cust Village
20182028.617.6 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

Councillor Gordon suggested the matter be raised with the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Supports this request being referred to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for consideration and to determine priority and possible inclusion in a future works programme.
(d) Notes that there is $500,000 per year in the LTP for walking and cycling projects.

CARRIED

Footpath - Earlys Road
20182028.617.8 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

Councillor Gordon suggested the matter be referred to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board and staff undertake education with the Cust School.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) **Notes** that the road maintenance issues will be investigated to ensure the road meets the Council's agreed levels of service.

(d) **Supports** this request being referred to the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board for consideration and to determine priority and possible inclusion in a future works programme.

**CARRIED**

**Cycleway/walkway - Northern Arterial**
20182028.496.9 in Submission 20182028.496 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Assures** the Board that the Belfast to Kaiapoi cycleway is fully committed and funded with the section from Belfast to the north side of the Waimakariri River included in the NZTA Northern Corridor Project and the section from the north side of the over to Kaiapoi included in the Council's LTP.

(d) **Notes** that staff are currently working with NZTA staff to coordinate the projects.

**CARRIED**

**Cycleway/Walkway - Kaiapoi to Rangiora**
20182028.496.10 in Submission 20182028.496 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Agrees** that improving cycle networks within the towns including Kaiapoi is a priority for the $500,000 per year of funding in the LTP.

(d) **Notes** that staff will be consulting with the Community Boards when developing the programme for the walking and cycling funding.

**CARRIED**

**Traffic Management Plan for Tuahiwi Village**
20182028.496.13 in Submission 20182028.496 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

Staff commented that they were not aware of issues in Tuahiwi which would require the development of a specific management plan for the area. Staff have a large number of roading projects to implement and are fully committed on these projects therefore external resource and additional funding would be required to develop a Local Area Traffic Management Plan for Tuahiwi.

**THAT** the Council:

(a) **Receives** the submission.

(b) **Thanks** the submitter for their submission.

(c) **Declines** the request to develop a Local Area Traffic Management Plan for Tuahiwi village at this point in time on the basis there is no evidence of a pressing issue or problem to justify this work ahead of other projects in the LTP.

(d) **Supports** staff holding a workshop with the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board to clarify the issues and concerns raised in the submission.
Notes that staff will be fully committed to implementing the projects that are already in the LTP and if the Council agrees to developing a Local Area Traffic Management Plan for Tuahiwi village it would need to provide sufficient funding to engage external consultants to carry out the work and this is not recommended.

CARRIED

Traffic Management Plan for Ohoka Road and Williams Street
20182028.496.16 in Submission 20182028.496 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Agrees that staff will investigate the feasibility and need for right turn bays at Robert Coup Road and at the entrance to the school and they will be installed if they can be justified.
(d) Agrees that the cycle lanes on Ohoka Road will be reinstated.
(e) Notes that variable speed signs and pedestrian crossing points are already in place on Ohoka Road outside of the school.
(f) Agrees that considering the above the development of a comprehensive traffic management plan for Ohoka Road is not necessary.
(g) Notes that staff will work with the submitter to address the issues raised as part of this submission.

CARRIED

Councillor Blackie disagreed with the need for right turning bays into Robert Coup Road.

Road Seal and Maintenance - Kaiapoi
20182028.496.21 in Submission 20182028.496 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

Councillor Atkinson spoke of school access and safety benefits to all, and would welcome further discussion, therefore he formally asked for an investigation into the matters raised and a report back to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that maintenance work and capital roading work is already communicated to the Boards through a variety of means including 'start work' notices, the weekly Utilities & Roading update and targeted and specific updates on projects.
(d) Invites the Board to suggest how this could be improved and what type of additional information they require.
(e) Notes that Stirling Crescent, Jordan Street and Bayliss Drive will be inspected and assessed for inclusion in the footpath resurfacing programme.
(f) Notes that feedback at any time is welcomed through the service request system on footpath condition.

CARRIED
Kerb and Channel - Kaiapoi
20182028.496.22 in Submission 20182028.496 by Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

Staff advised that this matter is being progressed in the work programme.

Councillor Atkinson asked for clarification of the year. Staff advised they were in the process of formulating the works programme.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that the kerb and channel programme is being developed at the present time and it is based on the comprehensive condition rating that was carried out last year and this programme will be presented to the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board shortly.
(d) Notes that a section of kerb and channel renewal is proposed on Williams Street, south of Ohoka Road in 2018/19, subject to approval processes.

CARRIED

Paper Road Ponds
20182028.496.23 in Submission 20182028.496 by the Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that there is a proposal for and funding available for a walkway/cycleway along the unformed road reserve between Beach road and the Kaiapoi North School.
(d) Notes that the timing of the construction is dependent on the developer of the Beach grove development.

CARRIED

Roading - Rangiora
20182028.498.11 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that the LTP includes projects to improve Rangiora Woodend Road and to improve the roading connections to the state highway in conjunction with NZTA. NZTA also have funding to improve the highway through Woodend and staff are currently working with NZTA to develop a Detailed Business Case for the work.
(d) Notes that these projects will consider walking, cycling and public transport as is required by the Governments multi modal approach.
(e) Notes that the proposed new road to connect Lehmans Road to River Road is included in the LTP.
(f) Notes that the Council owns three sets of speed indicator devices and it is proposed to work with the Boards to develop a strategy of their use and that will include using them at entrances to towns.
(g) Notes that staff work with the trucking industry to manage engine braking and that the trucking industry does not support the use of engine braking in residential areas and that direct management of the issue by the industry is more effective than signage.

(h) Invites the Board to provide feedback to the Roading Team on where engine braking is a problem so this can be followed up with the trucking industry.

(i) Notes that consideration is being given to how the road surface in West Belt could be cost effectively improved. The rough condition has been caused by a number of water main and sewer main trenches.

CARRIED

Footpaths - Rangiora
20182028.498.12 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that included in the LTP is a project to improve Coldstream Road and in particular the provision of walking and cycling paths. This work will include working with the School to identify their needs and options to connect the school to Coldstream road.
(d) Notes that included in the LTP is funding for a footpath along Oxford Road on the north side to provide a continuous path to Lehmans Road. This work will include new kerb and channel and design work is currently underway.

CARRIED

Rangiora Car Park Building
20182028.498.13 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board

Councillor Gordon requested a briefing on the car park building proposal. J Palmer advised that the last of the property title adjustments and further costings were required first, and then staff would bring a report before the Council for consideration.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission
(c) Advises that Council staff will:
   • Continue to monitor car park utilisation to determine emerging capacity constraints while the carpark building proposal is progressed.
   • Advises that the 2010 Rangiora Town Centre is programmed to be reviewed.
   • Advises that the existing LT provisions for Rangiora town centre parking will be considered in light of the progress that the joint venture partners make on the ‘North of High’ commercial development.

CARRIED
Roading and Footpaths – Woodend / Sefton
20182028.497.14 in Submission 20182028.497 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that the removal of the rumble strips in Pegasus is currently being managed within existing budgets and it is prioritised against other road maintenance work. Communication is ongoing with the Pegasus Residents Group on this programme. This approach is considered the most cost effective way of managing this issue as it is managed within the NZTA subsidised programme.
(d) Notes that if the Council wants to speed up the programme then additional funding would be required and this would not receive NZTA funding as the NZTA programme for the next three years has already been submitted and cannot be changed. Speeding up the programme is not recommended.
(e) Notes that included in the LTP is $100,000 per year over the next five years for new footpaths in the main towns including Woodend. The north end of Woodend now qualifies for this programme as NZTA recently shifted the 50km/h limit and hence the urban boundary further north. A report is being prepared to Council to approve the next three year programme for the new footpaths and Main North Road will be considered of for that programme. Due to the high cost of a footpath on the west side due to the location of the drain it is unlikely this path will be in the next three years programme.
(f) Notes that Council staff will work with the developer to ensure the footpath connections between Woodend and Ravenswood are managed and coordinated in a timely way.

CARRIED

Public Transport
20182028.497.15 in Submission 20182028.497 by Woodend-Sefton Community Board
20182028.871.17 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitters for their submissions.
(c) Notes that public transport (PT) in the Greater Christchurch area is managed by a Joint PT Committee and the Council is a member of that committee. So it is no longer Ecan’s sole responsibility to manage public transport in the Greater Christchurch area. The Waimakariri District Council is ‘in the tent’ and is part of the decision making process.
(d) Notes that the Committee is currently reviewing the Public Transport Plan and this is a statutory document that must be reviewed every three years. The Committee is also working on a PT Futures Business Case. Both of these pieces of work will provide some direction on the future of PT in the Greater Christchurch area. It is also noted that the Government has announced increased funding for public transport so this might provide opportunities.
(e) Notes that once the Public Transport Plan is completed a review of services will get underway. That will be the time the Board can have some meaningful input. It is intended there will be more engagement with the Boards and community as part of this process and this will be managed by Council staff. In the past Ecan managed the reviews and they essentially put out a proposal for submissions. Early engagement will give the opportunity of input before a proposal is developed.

CARRIED
Bus Service in Kaiapoi
20182028.863.7 in Submission 20182028.863 by Ms Shirley Cairns

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that the Council alone cannot change the bus routes in the
district and so it cannot return the bus services in Kaiapoi to its original
route.
(d) Notes that the next review of services in the district is likely to take
place later this year or early next year and this will provide the
opportunity to for the community to have input into the services and
routes in the district.

CARRIED

Proposed New Road for Kaiapoi
20182028.861.9 in Submission 20182028.861 by Mr David Blackwell

J Palmer provided an update on upcoming land proposals, particu-
larly relating to West Kaiapoi and the Silverstream development area. Reports would be
presented to the Council the following week.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Advises that there is already a proposal and funding in the LTP for a
new road to connect Silverstream Boulevard to Adderley Terrace.

CARRIED

Infinity Drive
20182028.871.15 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that are no current plans to open Infinity Drive to through traffic
to Gladstone Road.
(d) Notes that the Community Board and the Council have agreed to not
form a permanent road connection from Pegasus to Gladstone Road
until the Woodend bypass has been constructed and this is not
expected to be within the next ten years.
(e) Notes that Infinity Drive remains an option for the permanent
connection.

CARRIED

Butchers Road
20182028.353.5 in Submission 20182028.353 by Mrs Annette Williams
20182028.872.1 in Submission 20182028.872 by Mr G L McKenzie
20182028.873.1 in Submission 20182028.873 by Mrs Claire Spear
20182028.874.1 in Submission 20182028.874 by Mr A and Noni and Mrs Fuller

Staff advised the project control group for the project considered the appropriate surface
for the New Arterial Road and concluded a two coat chip seal (grade 4/6), the same used
in urban streets, was the most appropriate at this stage. Noise was considered and it was
agreed this would be managed by using the small chipseal and a lower speed limit. It is also noted that the road was shown on the development plans and all property purchasers were made aware of the road. Cost was also a factor as the project budget did not allow for a quieter asphalt surface.

Staff acknowledged that as traffic volumes increase and in particular as more heavy vehicles use the route an asphalt surface will be required sometime in the future. NZTA have guidelines for assessing noise and when a low noise surfacing can be justified. Also, the Council policy is that all arterial and strategic urban roads in residential areas will be surfaced with an asphalt surface when they are next resurfaced. That means that when Butchers Road is due for a reseal it will be resealed with asphalt. Butchers Road adjacent to the houses is considered an urban road as the speed limit at 60km/h is less than the 70km/h required to define an urban road.

In the business as usual sense the trigger for resurfacing Butchers Road with an asphalt surface would be when it is next due for resurfacing. A two cost chip seal surface would be expected to last at least five years depending traffic volumes and heavy vehicle use. Meeting NZTA guidelines depends on traffic growth and it is estimated it would be at least three years before that would be met.

Staff also recommended that part of the budget which was previously allocated to the Waikuku to Pegasus Cycleway Upgrade be reallocated to this project so that surfacing of the northern section of Butchers Road can be carried out and that the southern portion of the road be programmed for three to five years and included in the next LTP and NZTA Funding Bid. The estimated cost of resurfacing Butchers Road adjacent to the existing houses is $250,000. The estimated cost of resurfacing the full length in the 60km/h area is $500,000.

It was noted that if only the Butchers Road section adjacent to the existing houses is resurfaced with asphalt the Council will come under pressure to resurface the remainder of the New Arterial Road adjacent to the new houses that will be built shortly.

Staff summarised the information advising the Butchers Road section of the New Arterial Road (from the 60/80 speed limit change to the Kaiapoi River Bridge) will be surfaced with asphalt in the next sealing season, and the southern section of the New Arterial Road (along the southern edge of the Silverstream Subdivision) will be resurfaced with asphalt in the next three to five years depending on traffic volumes and how the existing surfacing performs. It is noted that sealing of the northern portion along Butchers Road will unlikely qualify for NZTA funding.

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Approves the resurfacing of the northern half of the New Arterial Road from the Kaiapoi River bridge north along Butchers Road to the 60/80km speed limit change at the northern end of the development with an asphalt surface at a cost for $250,000, and;
(d) Approves the reallocation of $250,000 from the Waikuku to Pegasus Connection budget of $605,000 to allow for the funding of the asphalt surfacing.
(e) Approves the resurfacing of the southern half of the New Arterial Road (from west of Island Rd to the Kaiapoi River bridge) with an asphalt surface in the next three to five years, with staff to submit a request for funding in the 2021-24 NZTA funding bid and 2021-31 LTP.
(f) Notes that if Council does reallocating budget from the Waikuku to Pegasus Connection budget that it is not subsidised by NZTA.
(g) Notes that this is unlikely to qualify for NZTA subsidy because it does not yet meet NZTA Guidelines or Council Policy requirements, and the final NZTA 'bid' for the 2018-21 period has already been submitted and assessed.
(h) **Notes** that if Council decides to resurface Butchers Road adjacent the existing houses it will come under pressure to seal the remainder of the New Arterial Road in the 60km/h area when the new houses are built and occupied.

**CARRIED**

**Are we doing enough to: Manage Drinking Water Quality**
- 20182028.3.5 in Submission 20182028.3 by Mr Simon Green
- 20182028.6.1 in Submission 20182028.6 by Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility
- 20182028.14.6 in Submission 20182028.14 by Mrs Sarah Shore
- 20182028.15.6 in Submission 20182028.15 by Mr James Girvan
- 20182028.17.5 in Submission 20182028.17 by Mr Daniel Thompson
- 20182028.20.5 in Submission 20182028.20 by Mr Ross & Ellie and Mrs Williamson
- 20182028.21.5 in Submission 20182028.21 by Mr Dannie Mabey
- 20182028.24.5 in Submission 20182028.24 by Mrs Phillipa Rickerby
- 20182028.29.5 in Submission 20182028.29 by Mr Michael Bate
- 20182028.31.5 in Submission 20182028.31 by Ms Karen Lees
- 20182028.39.8 in Submission 20182028.39 by Mrs Phillipa Rickerby
- 20182028.40.8 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice
- 20182028.41.5 in Submission 20182028.41 by Mr John Crawley
- 20182028.42.5 in Submission 20182028.42 by Mr Neil Cathy and David and Mrs Price
- 20182028.46.5 in Submission 20182028.46 by Mr Mr Wilkinson
- 20182028.47.5 in Submission 20182028.47 by Mr Chris Bacon
- 20182028.49.6 in Submission 20182028.49 by Mr Miles Dalton
- 20182028.52.7 in Submission 20182028.52 by Mr Ian Sissons
- 20182028.53.5 in Submission 20182028.53 by Mrs Kelly Bint
- 20182028.54.5 in Submission 20182028.54 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
- 20182028.56.5 in Submission 20182028.56 by Mr Alex Vermuelen
- 20182028.60.5 in Submission 20182028.60 by Mr Ali Azil
- 20182028.62.5 in Submission 20182028.62 by Ms Andrea Clinic
- 20182028.64.5 in Submission 20182028.64 by Mr Luke Saunders
- 20182028.65.5 in Submission 20182028.65 by Mr Joshua Lees
- 20182028.66.5 in Submission 20182028.66 by Mr Caleb Olliver
- 20182028.69.6 in Submission 20182028.69 by Mr Pete Johnson
- 20182028.78.5 in Submission 20182028.78 by Mrs Nicola Hunt
- 20182028.79.6 in Submission 20182028.79 by Ms Kiri Cave
- 20182028.81.5 in Submission 20182028.81 by Mr Liam O’Connell
- 20182028.86.5 in Submission 20182028.86 by Mr Antony Oosthuysen
- 20182028.88.5 in Submission 20182028.88 by Mr Ian MacDonald
- 20182028.94.5 in Submission 20182028.94 by Miss Tanya Whiteford
- 20182028.96.5 in Submission 20182028.96 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
- 20182028.97.5 in Submission 20182028.97 by Mr Alan & Joan and Mrs Orchard
- 20182028.98.5 in Submission 20182028.98 by Mr A Warren
- 20182028.99.5 in Submission 20182028.99 by Mrs Karen Johnson
- 20182028.117.5 in Submission 20182028.117 by Mr Gerard Power and Ms Olive Ualesi
- 20182028.118.5 in Submission 20182028.118 by Mrs Colleen McDonald
- 20182028.120.5 in Submission 20182028.120 by Mrs Suzanne Thurlow
- 20182028.122.6 in Submission 20182028.122 by Mr Jeremy Richards
- 20182028.123.5 in Submission 20182028.123 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
- 20182028.179.5 in Submission 20182028.179 by Mrs Anita Ward
- 20182028.207.5 in Submission 20182028.207 by Dr Rose Washbourne
- 20182028.209.5 in Submission 20182028.209 by Dr Clive Appleton
- 20182028.213.6 in Submission 20182028.213 by Ms Cheryl York
- 20182028.243.5 in Submission 20182028.243 by Mr John Watson
- 20182028.247.5 in Submission 20182028.247 by Mr Jeff Rogers
- 20182028.253.5 in Submission 20182028.253 by Mr Ross Ditmer
- 20182028.267.5 in Submission 20182028.267 by Mr John Richardson
- 20182028.268.5 in Submission 20182028.268 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
- 20182028.269.5 in Submission 20182028.269 by Mr Allen Kene
- 20182028.275.6 in Submission 20182028.275 by Mr Steve Chandler
- 20182028.283.6 in Submission 20182028.283 by Canterbury District Health Board
- 20182028.285.5 in Submission 20182028.285 by Mrs Tascha Lawry
20182028.290.6 in Submission 20182028.290 by Mrs Desray Lithgow
20182028.291.5 in Submission 20182028.291 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.292.6 in Submission 20182028.292 by Mr Howard and Lynette and Mrs Fowler
20182028.295.5 in Submission 20182028.295 by Ms Emmy Saxton
20182028.297.5 in Submission 20182028.297 by Mr John and Carole and Mrs Houghton
20182028.298.5 in Submission 20182028.298 by Mr Paul Markholm
20182028.343.5 in Submission 20182028.343 by Ms Debbie Booth
20182028.345.5 in Submission 20182028.345 by Mr Kelvin Ashby
20182028.346.5 in Submission 20182028.346 by Mr Thomas Bedford
20182028.352.5 in Submission 20182028.352 by Mr Brian Heron
20182028.357.5 in Submission 20182028.357 by Mr Ray Freitag
20182028.358.5 in Submission 20182028.358 by Mr James A Ryan
20182028.381.5 in Submission 20182028.381 by Mr Bill Byers
20182028.383.5 in Submission 20182028.383 by Mr Lawrence Roberts
20182028.401.5 in Submission 20182028.401 by Mrs Julie McCartney
20182028.410.5 in Submission 20182028.410 by Ms Linda Pocock
20182028.411.5 in Submission 20182028.411 by Ms Jos Baker
20182028.416.5 in Submission 20182028.416 by Ms Prue Baines
20182028.417.5 in Submission 20182028.417 by Mr J & P and Mrs Hardy
20182028.461.5 in Submission 20182028.461 by Mr Beccy & Joe and Mrs Creswick
20182028.494.6 in Submission 20182028.494 by Mrs Elaine H B Cole
20182028.503.5 in Submission 20182028.503 by Mr Duncan Lees
20182028.510.7 in Submission 20182028.510 by Mrs Rochelle & Joe Faimalo
20182028.518.8 in Submission 20182028.518 by Ms Amanda Black
20182028.524.5 in Submission 20182028.524 by Mr Garry Leech
20182028.535.5 in Submission 20182028.535 by Mr Chris Garrick
20182028.551.6 in Submission 20182028.551 by Mrs Norma McLaren
20182028.559.6 in Submission 20182028.559 by Ms Nancy Sutherland
20182028.560.5 in Submission 20182028.560 by Ms Linda Stewart
20182028.562.5 in Submission 20182028.562 by Miss Sherrianne Nation
20182028.563.5 in Submission 20182028.563 by Mrs Lesley Ottey
20182028.572.5 in Submission 20182028.572 by Mr Mike and Beng Choo and Mrs Batterby
20182028.586.5 in Submission 20182028.586 by Mrs Madeleine Burdon
20182028.602.5 in Submission 20182028.602 by Mr K J Claxton
20182028.605.5 in Submission 20182028.605 by Ms Janet Collier
20182028.632.5 in Submission 20182028.632 by Ms Dianna Slater
20182028.638.7 in Submission 20182028.638 by Waikuku Water
20182028.641.5 in Submission 20182028.641 by Grey Power North Canterbury Association (Inc.)
20182028.644.5 in Submission 20182028.644 by Mr C Pocock
20182028.660.5 in Submission 20182028.660 by Dr Kate Grundy
20182028.670.5 in Submission 20182028.670 by Ms Evelyn Zuberbuhler
20182028.683.6 in Submission 20182028.683 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.692.5 in Submission 20182028.692 by Mr S H Jackson
20182028.730.5 in Submission 20182028.730 by Mrs Jennie Marsh
20182028.751.5 in Submission 20182028.751 by Mr Gavin and Mrs Dorothy McRae
20182028.784.5 in Submission 20182028.784 by Penrith Trust
20182028.846.5 in Submission 20182028.846 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.847.5 in Submission 20182028.847 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
20182028.496.7 in Submission 20182028.496 by The Kaiapoi-Tuahiwi Community Board
20182028.498.9 in Submission 20182028.498 by Rangiora-Ashley Community Board
20182028.858.5 in Submission 20182028.858 by Ms C J Young
20182028.861.5 in Submission 20182028.861 by Mr David Blackwell
20182028.867.5 in Submission 20182028.867 by Ms Bev Schleagel
20182028.871.7 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) **Acknowledges** the support for managing drinking-water quality in the District.

(d) **Notes** that any decision to chlorinate or fluoridate the water will be considered following direction from the Government.

(e) **Notes** that budget provision has been made for ultra-violet (UV) treatment but this will not be implemented until direction from the Government is given on changes to the Drinking-water Standards for New Zealand.

(f) **Notes** that Council has measures in place to protect water sources but is actively working with the regional council to improve the long term protection of water sources.

Are we doing enough to: Improve our Waterways

- 20182028.3.8 in Submission 20182028.3 by Mr Simon Green
- 20182028.14.10 in Submission 20182028.14 by Mrs Sarah Shore
- 20182028.17.9 in Submission 20182028.17 by Mr Daniel Thompson
- 20182028.24.9 in Submission 20182028.24 by Mrs Phillipa Rickerby
- 20182028.29.9 in Submission 20182028.29 by Mr Michael Bate
- 20182028.31.9 in Submission 20182028.31 by Ms Karen Lees
- 20182028.35.5 in Submission 20182028.35 by Mrs Karen Friedauer
- 20182028.39.9 in Submission 20182028.39 by Ms Barb Warren
- 20182028.40.12 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice
- 20182028.41.9 in Submission 20182028.41 by Mr John Crawley
- 20182028.42.8 in Submission 20182028.42 by Mr Cathy and David and Mrs Price
- 20182028.46.9 in Submission 20182028.46 by Mr Neil Wilkinson
- 20182028.47.9 in Submission 20182028.47 by Mr Chris Bacon
- 20182028.49.10 in Submission 20182028.49 by Mr Miles Dalton
- 20182028.51.5 in Submission 20182028.51 by Mrs Bella Whaley
- 20182028.52.11 in Submission 20182028.52 by Mr Ian Sissons
- 20182028.53.9 in Submission 20182028.53 by Mrs Kelly Bint
- 20182028.56.7 in Submission 20182028.56 by Mr Alex Vermuelen
- 20182028.62.9 in Submission 20182028.62 by Ms Andrea Clinic
- 20182028.64.9 in Submission 20182028.64 by Mr Luke Saunders
- 20182028.65.9 in Submission 20182028.65 by Mr Joshua Lees
- 20182028.66.9 in Submission 20182028.66 by Mr Caleb Oliver
- 20182028.67.6 in Submission 20182028.67 by Ms Holly Maxwell
- 20182028.69.10 in Submission 20182028.69 by Mr Pete Johnson
- 20182028.79.10 in Submission 20182028.79 by Ms Kiri Cave
- 20182028.81.9 in Submission 20182028.81 by Mr Liam O'Connell
- 20182028.86.7 in Submission 20182028.86 by Mr Antony Oosthuysen
- 20182028.88.8 in Submission 20182028.88 by Mr Ian MacDonald
- 20182028.94.8 in Submission 20182028.94 by Miss Tanya Whiteford
- 20182028.96.9 in Submission 20182028.96 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
- 20182028.97.8 in Submission 20182028.97 by Mr Alan & Joan and Mrs Orchard
- 20182028.98.6 in Submission 20182028.98 by Mr A Warren
- 20182028.99.9 in Submission 20182028.99 by Mrs Karen Johnson
- 20182028.117.9 in Submission 20182028.117 by Mr Gerard Power and Ms Olive Ualesi
- 20182028.118.9 in Submission 20182028.118 by Mrs Colleen McDonald
- 20182028.120.7 in Submission 20182028.120 by Mrs Suzanne Thurlow
- 20182028.122.10 in Submission 20182028.122 by Mr Jeremy Richards
- 20182028.123.9 in Submission 20182028.123 by Mr Anonymous Anonymous
- 20182028.179.9 in Submission 20182028.179 by Mrs Anita Ward
- 20182028.207.9 in Submission 20182028.207 by Dr Rose Washbourne
- 20182028.209.8 in Submission 20182028.209 by Dr Clive Appleton
- 20182028.213.8 in Submission 20182028.213 by Ms Cheryl York
- 20182028.243.9 in Submission 20182028.243 by Mr John Watson
- 20182028.247.9 in Submission 20182028.247 by Mr Jeff Rogers
- 20182028.267.8 in Submission 20182028.267 by Mr John Richardson
- 20182028.269.9 in Submission 20182028.269 by Mr Allen Kene
- 20182028.275.10 in Submission 20182028.275 by Mr Steve Chandler

CARRIED
Councillors Gordon and Atkinson suggested further comment on glyphosate and the role of the Environmental Engineer.

Councillor Stewart drew attention to the new Stormwater Drainage and Watercourse Protection Bylaw. Councillor Stewart also suggested a communication flyer on the Bylaw and to include that flyer in the response letter.

THAT the Council:
  (a) Receives the submission.
  (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) **Acknowledges** the support for the current work to improve waterways and the need to do more in our District.

(d) **Notes** that Council is currently working with Environment Canterbury as part of the Waimakariri Water Zone and also the Kaiapoi River Rehabilitation Working Group and Cam River Enhancement Subcommittee.

(e) **Notes** that Council has recently recruited a Water Environment Advisor to advise and assist Council on matters related to the natural water environment.

(f) **Notes** that Council has recently adopted the Stormwater Drainage and Watercourse Protection Bylaw. Information on the updated bylaw and responsibilities of landowners will be provided to the public through various forms of media.

(g) **Notes** that the use of glyphosate for Council operations is only used where deemed necessary and is carried out strictly in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. Other weed control options are used where more appropriate.

(h) **Notes** that an allowance of $200,000 has been included in the LTP for scoping of Zone Implementation Works following the development of the Waimakariri Sub-regional Chapter to the Land and Water Regional Plan.

CARRIED

**Kaiapoi and Cam Rivers Enhancement**

20182028.839.7 in Submission 20182028.839 by Ngai Tuahuriri Runanga

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.

(c) Advises that Waimakariri District Council is part of the Kaiapoi river rehabilitation working party that is also supported by Ngai Tuahuriri Rununga.

(d) The objectives of the working party include improving water quality and Mahinga Kai. The Council looks forward to continuing working with Ngai Tuahuriri Runga and other parties to achieving these objectives.

(e) The Waimakariri District Council has included an annual $25,000 budget in the Long Term Plan to progress the work of the rehabilitation working party.

CARRIED

**Use of Glyphosate**

20182028.6.2 in Submission 20182028.6 by Physicians and Scientists for Global Responsibility

20182028.39.10 in Submission 20182028.39 by Ms Barb Warren

20182028.40.13 in Submission 20182028.40 by Mr Rhys and Ms Alice

20182028.554.5 in Submission 20182028.554 by Mrs Courtney Starbuck

20182028.756.1 in Submission 20182028.756 by Ohoka Residents Association

THAT the Council:

(a) Receives the submission.

(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.

(c) Notes that maintenance staff will continue to use Glyphosate where necessary.
(d) Notes that Council staff will continue to explore and develop alternative methods of weed control where appropriate.

CARRIED

Water Management and Conservation
20182028.44.5 in Submission 20182028.44 by Mr Richard Connelly
20182028.57.6 in Submission 20182028.57 by Mrs Samantha Plows
20182028.408.5 in Submission 20182028.408 by Ms Sarah Hosking
20182028.561.5 in Submission 20182028.561 by Mr Lester Tidball
20182028.603.5 in Submission 20182028.603 by Ms Jo Kane
20182028.616.5 in Submission 20182028.616 by Mr Christopher Storm
20182028.667.6 in Submission 20182028.667 by Federated Farmers of New Zealand
20182028.731.5 in Submission 20182028.731 by Ms Tonya Bristow
20182028.755.10 in Submission 20182028.755 by Woodend Community Association
20182028.772.7 in Submission 20182028.772 by Ms Fiona P Roberts

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Acknowledges the submissions on water management.
   (d) Notes that there is currently work underway on water education, protecting our water sources and reviewing the potential for water metering.

CARRIED

Ashley River Erosion
20182028.639.1 in Submission 20182028.639 by Ashley Gorge Farming Co Ltd

Councillor Gordon suggested a joint meeting of all the parties involved (ie elected members and Ecan)

Councillor Williams commented on Ecan information and the willows upstream, suggesting a report and general conversation on the matter.

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Decline the establishment of a WDC rate to address erosion, flooding, vegetation control, shingle management and river care on the Ashley River.

CARRIED

Land Improvement Agreement - Butchers Road
20182028.875.3 in Submission 20182028.875 by Mrs Heather Woods

THAT the Council:
   (a) Receives the submission.
   (b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
   (c) Decline as the purpose of a Land Improvement Agreement is not to supersede the requirement for an Esplanade Reserve.

CARRIED
Broadband
20182028.66.10 in Submission 20182028.66 by Mr Caleb Olliver

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Advises that Waimakariri District Council is aware that there is ongoing discussion between Enable Networks, Mainpower and the Northbrook Waters body corporate to allow fibre to be deployed within the Northbrook Waters development.
(d) We understand all parties are committed to finding a resolution. Waimakariri District Council encourages the rollout of fibre within the district. We encourage the Northbrook Waters to liaise directly with Enable networks to see if a solution can be found.

CARRIED

Passenger Rail
20182028.198.5 in Submission 20182028.198 by Mr Rodney Malin

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Declines the request of the Council to put in a passenger train line into Christchurch.
(d) Notes that the Government has signalled increased funding for rapid transport in Christchurch and the Greater Christchurch Joint PT Committee is working on a PT Futures Programme Business Case and this work will help determine the future of public transport in greater Christchurch and whether it features rail as an option.

CARRIED

Woodend/Pegasus Waste and Water Supply
20182028.537.5 in Submission 20182028.537 by Mr Tim Paterson

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that Council will consider water and wastewater servicing of the existing properties in Waikuku village as part of any development in the area.

CARRIED

Speed Limits - Signage
20182028.499.20 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that ‘40km/h when children are present’ signs have been approved by NZTA for rural schools in high speed environments. Oxford Area School is an urban school.
(d) Notes that staff will meet with the school to better understand the problem and to develop options once the problem is better understood. This can include speed surveys on the road if necessary.

CARRIED

Speed Limits - Cust Village
20182028.617.2 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that Council staff will discuss the problem with the Cust School and the Police and look at options to manage the problem.
(d) Notes that the Council is developing a speed management strategy and it will be appropriate to consider the speed limits in Cust once this strategy is completed.

CARRIED

Speed Limits - Cust School
20182028.617.3 in Submission 20182028.617 by Cust Community Network

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Notes that Council staff will discuss the problem with the Cust School and the Police and look at options to manage the problem.
(d) Notes that the Council is developing a speed management strategy and it will be appropriate to consider the speed limits in Cust once this strategy is completed.

CARRIED

Speed Limits - Main Road, Oxford
20182028.501.1 in Submission 20182028.501 by Ms Fiona Hogan
20182028.666.1 in Submission 20182028.666 by Mrs Shirley Farrell
20182028.499.10 in Submission 20182028.499 by Oxford-Ohoka Community Board
20182028.856.1 in Submission 20182028.856 by Mr Oliver Crook

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) Declines to review the speed limit on Main Street in Oxford at this point is time.
(d) Notes that the Council is developing a speed management strategy and it could consider the use of 40km/h speed limits as part of that strategy.
(e) Notes that speed surveys show the existing mean speed of traffic through Oxford is lower than 50km/h and changing the speed limit may not change driver behaviour and that there are no speed related crashes or incidents having been reported in Main Street in Oxford.

CARRIED

Speed Limits - Pegasus Roundabout
20182028.871.14 in Submission 20182028.871 by Pegasus Residents Group

THAT the Council:
(a) Receives the submission.
(b) Thanks the submitter for their submission.
(c) **Notes** that the responsibility of setting speed limits on the State Highway and at the Pegasus Roundabout is NZTA and they are currently accessing this route from a safety point of view and have been engaging with the community and so it is suggested the submitter raises their suggestion with NZTA.

(d) **Notes** that the Council is developing a speed management strategy and it is recommended this strategy is completed before decisions are made on reviewing the speed limit on Pegasus Boulevard.

CARRIED

Moved: Mayor Ayers Seconded: Councillor Atkinson

**THAT**

(a) **Confirms** the public submissions and recommendations formally be adopted, that were taken as proforma at the beginning of the meeting.

CARRIED

8. **QUESTIONS**

Nil.

9. **URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS**

Nil.

10. **NEXT MEETING**

The Council will meet at 3.15pm on Tuesday 19 June to adopt the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan.

Mayor Ayers commented on the process, noting that rates are under pressure and decisions had not been easy to balance. Mayor Ayers thanked the Councillors and staff for their contributions.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 10.45AM ON WEDNESDAY 31 MAY 2018.

CONFIRMED

__________________________  
Chairperson

__________________________  
Date