**Appendix C: Section 32 RMA Assessment** 

Miranda Hale: MRZ re-zone

## **Introduction and RMA requirements**

1. Miranda Hale is requesting a change to the Proposed Waimakariri District Plan (PWDP) to change the zoning of the application site (the Site – outlined in black below) from Rural Lifestyle Zone (RLZ) to GRZ/MRZ Residential (MRZ).



Hale site outlined in red.

- 2. The evidence has outlined the background to and reasons for the proposal.
- 3. No adverse environmental effects are anticipated by the change of zoning, however the potential environmental effects of implementation of the re-zone proposal have been assessed in the planning evidence.
- 4. Any change to a plan needs to be evaluated in accordance with section 32 of the Resource Management Act. Section 32 states:

Requirements for preparing and publishing evaluation reports

- (1) An evaluation report required under this Act must—
- (a) examine the extent to which the objectives of the proposal being evaluated are the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of this Act; and
- (b) examine whether the provisions in the proposal are the most appropriate way to achieve the objectives by—
- (i) identifying other reasonably practicable options for achieving the objectives; and
   (ii) assessing the efficiency and effectiveness of the provisions in achieving the objectives; and
  - (iii) summarising the reasons for deciding on the provisions; and

- (c) contain a level of detail that corresponds to the scale and significance of the environmental, economic, social, and cultural effects that are anticipated from the implementation of the proposal.
- (2) An assessment under subsection (1)(b)(ii) must—
- (a) identify and assess the benefits and costs of the environmental, economic, social, and cultural effects that are anticipated from the implementation of the provisions, including the opportunities for—
  - (i) economic growth that are anticipated to be provided or reduced; and
  - (ii) employment that are anticipated to be provided or reduced; and
- (b) if practicable, quantify the benefits and costs referred to in paragraph (a); and (c) assess the risk of acting or not acting if there is uncertain or insufficient information about the subject matter of the provisions.
- (3) If the proposal (an amending proposal) will amend a standard, statement, national planning standard, regulation, plan, or change that is already proposed or that already exists (an existing proposal), the examination under subsection (1)(b) must relate to—
- (a) the provisions and objectives of the amending proposal; and
- (b) the objectives of the existing proposal to the extent that those objectives—
  - (i) are relevant to the objectives of the amending proposal; and
  - (ii) would remain if the amending proposal were to take effect.
- 5. The Guidance Note on section 32 analysis on the Quality Planning website makes the following statement:

Appropriateness - means the suitability of any particular option in achieving the purpose of the RMA. To assist in determining whether the option (whether a policy, rule or other method) is appropriate the effectiveness and efficiency of the option should be considered:

- Effectiveness means how successful a particular option is in addressing the issues in terms of achieving the desired environmental outcome.
- Efficiency means the measuring by comparison of the benefits to costs (environmental benefits minus environmental costs compared to social and economic costs minus their benefits).
- 6. In this case it is the appropriateness of rezoning Rural Lifestyle zoned land for residential use that needs to be examined.

#### **Outcome Sought by Hale Submission**

- 7. The outcome sought by the submission is to create a residential zoning option in west Rangiora that is consistent with the future of the Site foreshadowed by the Council's District Development strategy 2019 (DDS), Map A of the CRPS as part of a FDA, and confirmed by a General Residential Zone Overlay over the Site in the PWDP. The development will be controlled and managed through the WR Outline Development Plan (ODP) that is included in the PWDP and by adopting the PWDP subdivision, development and activity standards for MRZ Residential zones.
- 8. Implementation of the proposal will:
  - a) Provide for additional housing and residential land choice in Rangiora at densities that complement the character and amenity of the Rangiora township;

- b) Provide for future subdivision and development that will contribute to the planned growth of Rangiora, and support the existing services, amenities and facilities in the town;
- c) Provide for consolidated development around an existing township in a manner that sustains a compact urban form, contributes to a well-functioning urban area, and enables efficient use of existing and future infrastructure and current land resources as foreshadowed in the DDS, and Map A CRPS, strategic planning documents.

## **Environmental Outcomes – Proposed District Plan Objectives and Policies**

- 9. The PWDP objectives give effect to the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the PWDP policies in turn give effect to the PWDP objectives. The objectives are the end goals or end states (including environmental outcomes) to be strived for and the policies are the broad strategies to achieve the objectives.<sup>1</sup>
- 10. The proposed MRZ rezoning has been assessed against the relevant PWDP objectives and policies in Appendix B of the planning evidence. It concludes that the requested rezoning is entirely consistent with and meets the outcomes sought by the objectives and policies, including for urban growth and new residential areas.

#### **Identification of options**

- 11. In determining the most appropriate means to achieve the outcomes sought by the submission, a number of alternative options are assessed below.
- 12. These options are:
  - a) Option 1: status quo/do nothing: do not rezone the Site from RLZ to MRZ
  - b) Option 2: rezone the whole 19 ha site MRZ.
  - c) Option 3: resource consent: land use and subdivision consent for ad hoc subdivision through a non-complying subdivision and land use consent for residential use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See PWDP Part 1, HPW Plan Structure

S32	Option 1:	Option 2:	Option 3:
Matter	Rural Lifestyle	MRZ Residential	Resource Consents
	Zone		
	Status quo		
Cost	None to applicant	Time and money cost to	Time and money cost to
	(the submitter)	applicant for submission to	applicant to seek
		re-zone site.	noncomplying land use
		Time and costs for	and subdivision consents
		preparation of subdivision	& discharge consents
		consents aligned to WR	(unlikely to be approved
		ODP	as residential activity not
			consistent with the
		Development contributions	maximum housing
		for Council services.	density permitted in this
			part of the Rural Lifestyle
			Zone). Community cost
			and uncertainty in not
			seeing the full scale of
			possible development at
			any time.
			Not an approach that
			secures sustainable
			growth and development
			or an integrated and
			comprehensive approach
			to development of
			Rangiora.
			Potentially inconsistent
D Cit	O	Additional bases are also	with WRDA plan.
Benefit	Ongoing low	Additional housing stock	Resource consent unlikely
	output rural	contributing to the growth	to be approved.
	production on the	of Rangiora as identified in the DDS.	Donofits as for Ontion 1
	Site.	the DDS.	Benefits as for Option 1.
	Maintains existing	Builds on existing Rangiora	
	rural	urban area providing	
	character/amenity/	compact and consolidated	
	quality of	urban form.	
	environment.		
		Provides a lot/housing	
		typology consistent with	
		Government direction.	
	I	I.	1

		WRODP provides overall plan of integrated land development and integrated transport links and servicing.  Certainty regarding proposed servicing.  Creating a quality residential environment.	
S32	Option 1:	Option 2:	Option 3:
Matter	General Rural Zone	MRZ	Resource Consents
	Status quo		
Efficiency	The Site remains	MRZ lots enable efficient	Least effective as
/	low productivity	and effective servicing	outcomes from consent
Effectiven	rural land.	including from Council	processes are uncertain,
ess		public services.	and potentially un-
	Development		coordinated and lack
	occurs	Effective as it utilises a	proper planned
	elsewhere around	block rural land	integration with public
	Rangiora or	surrounded on all sides by	services.
	elsewhere in the	roads and other WR ODP	
	district in a manner	land.	Consents unlikely to be
	•	· '	_
			outcomes.
	development.	layout.	Diffi and the annual and
		Comenciale	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	DD3 proposais.		
		1	·
		III 003.	CONSCIES.
Risk	Site is used for	None.	Consenting risk.
			Environmental outcomes
	·	Submitter has expressed an	
		•	integrated with delivery
		, , ,	-
	residential	intentions for the Site.	efficient roading options.
	activities.		
Risk	that does not achieve compact and consolidated development.  Fails to deliver on DDS proposals.  Site is used for permitted rural activities that potentially conflict with adjoining residential	Shape of the site enables a rational and effective lot layout.  Comprehensively provides for extension of the township as foreshadowed in DDS.  None.  Submitter has expressed an interest in supporting the Council's strategic	granted so not effective in securing residential outcomes.  Difficult to create an integrated and logical roading network throw ad hoc, uncoordinated consents.  Consenting risk. Environmental outcome uncertain and not integrated with deliver of public services and

Likely continuation	Dealing with the whole	Consents are not granted
of low impact	block ensures a subdivision	and the application site
farming activities	design that will avoid	remains as low
as at present will	reverse sensitivity effects,	productivity rural land
not give rise to	provide for best on-site	frustrating strategic and
adverse effects on	amenity and reduce	community growth
urban Rangiora.	ineffective/ inefficient	proposals.
	design risk by use of the	
	RW ODP.	

## Summary of s32 evaluation

S32 Evaluation	Option 1:	Option 2:	Option 3:
	General Rural	MRZ	Resource
	Zone		Consents
	Status quo		
Objectives of the	±	+	×
proposal being			
evaluated are the			
most appropriate			
way to achieve			
the purpose of			
this Act			
Whether the	×	++	×
provisions in the			
submission are			
the most			
appropriate way			
to achieve the			
outcomes			
Benefits	±	++	×
Costs	-	+	+
Risks	-	+	××

<sup>+</sup> positive; - negative; x neutral

# **Overall Assessment**

- 13. Based on the above assessment, it is concluded that the submission proposal is the most appropriate method for achieving the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991, and the objectives and policies of the Proposed District Plan for Residential Zones and Urban Growth. Option 2 to re-zone the Site as MRZ is the only option consistent with the outcomes being sought by the applicant (the submitter).
- 14. Option 2, being to rezone the Site, is the only response to the clear strategic intention signalled in the DDS. The identification of the 5.57 ha Site and other land in the WR ODP area and the implementation of the RW ODP is the only way to provide a scale of development that supports, and logical site boundaries to facilitate, co-ordinated, integrated and comprehensive

development that sits well with the Proposed Plan's objectives of securing compact and consolidated urban forms in any re-zoning.

- 15. Option 2 to re-zone the Site as MRZ is the most appropriate:
  - a) It adopts a proposed zone in Variation 1.
  - b) It can be developed consistent with the subdivision, development and activity standards of the MRZ.
  - c) It ensures continuity of anticipated environmental outcomes and urban amenity for Rangiora worked through and agreed upon in the DDS, Map A process.
  - d) It will be consistent with and give effect to the Proposed District Plan objectives and policies for Residential Zones and Urban Growth.
  - e) It is a logical extension to the developed and developing residential land adjoining the Site while achieving a compact, efficient urban form contributing to a well-functioning urban environment that has no adverse effect on the adjoining GRUZ land.
  - f) It will help take away pressure on isolated rural land elsewhere in the General Rural/Rural Lifestyle Zone for one-off bids for residential development.
  - g) There is no additional cost to the Council in re-zoning the Site as there is capacity (to be confirmed) in the public reticulated services.
  - h) The RW ODP provides certainty of the final form and disposition of the re-zoned area including its proposals for roading, future linkages for pedestrian and vehicular traffic.
  - 16. The re-zone to MRZ is considered to be the most appropriate way to achieve the long term sustainable growth and development of Rangiora.
  - 17. The economic, social and environmental benefits of the outcomes sought by the submission outweigh the potential costs.
  - 18. The overall efficiency and effectiveness of the re-zone outcome is high, in comparison the alternative options which are low (Option 1 and 3).
  - 19. The proposed rezoning is considered to be an appropriate, efficient and effective means of achieving the purpose of the Resource Management Act 1991.