MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF THE RANGIORA-ASHLEY COMMUNITY BOARD
HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBERS, RANGIORA SERVICE CENTRE, 215 HIGH STREET, RANGIORA ON WEDNESDAY 8 NOVEMBER 2017 AT 7PM.

PRESENT
J Gerard QSO (Chair), D Lundy (Deputy Chair), R Brine, M Clarke, K Galloway, D Gordon, J Hoult, S Lewis, G Miller, C Prickett and P Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE
J Millward (Manager Finance and Business Support), B Rice (Senior Transport Engineer), S de Roo (Project Coordinator), C Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager) and E Stubbs (Minute Secretary).

1 APOLOGIES
Nil.

2 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST
Nil.

3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

3.1 Minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board – 11 October 2017
Moved M Clarke seconded J Hoult
THAT the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:
(a) Confirms the circulated minutes of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting, held on 11 October 2017, as a true and accurate record.

CARRIED

4 MATTERS ARISING
Nil.

5 DEPUTATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

5.1 Bill Rice, speaking to a PowerPoint presentation, provided an update on the options under consideration to improve the Carrs Road/Cones Road intersection. The intersection had been highlighted on a number of occasions and the Board had also asked for it to be reviewed. Visibility was a potential issue and this had formed part of the assessment. Although there had been no crashes reported in the last ten years residents had reported a number of near misses.

An assessment of sight distances at the intersection confirmed that it does not meet the minimum standard recommended in the Austroads guides.

The area is also bounded by private property and this further limits the options for land purchase and removal of hedges and other obstructions.

B Rice outlined each of the options contained in the memo circulated to the Board and requested feedback on these. Option 3 was an enhanced version of Option 2 (the original proposal from the technology supplier) with varying electronic display signs and addressed concerns raised by staff regarding
Option 2. However Option 3 ‘signs’ were not NZTA standard signs and to proceed with that option would require seeking specific NZTA approval.

C Prickett asked how the intersection would be designed if Loburn Lea was proposed now and B Rice believed it would be similar to the Dixons Road intersection, or Option 4.

C Prickett expressed concern that the signs described in Option 3 were not to NZTA standard, noting that although there were only eight households on Cones Road there were 1100 daily vehicle movements on Carrs Road.

C Prickett referred to the hedge planted on the corner restricting visibility and asked if the Council had a policy around that. B Rice was not aware of such a policy for private property.

G Miller asked what the current intersection visibility was. B Rice replied that it was 85m and that the Austroads assessment suggests a requirement for 148m.

J Gerard asked about Option 4 costs. B Rice advised that this option involved the construction of an additional vehicle lane together with a flush median.

P Williams asked why the speed limit in the area was not reduced to 80km/hr from the current 100km/hr, and the Loburn Lea subdivision not reduced to 50km/hr, as he understood that this would be likely to have community support. B Rice explained that the measured traffic speed at 85th percentile was 71km/hr. P Williams asked why then it should not be reduced to 70km/hr, and that this might then reduce the need to consider Option 4.

K Galloway referred to the flashing lights at Williams Street/Main North Road intersection and also on the motorway into Christchurch asking if B Rice believed the proposed electronic signs at Loburn would be more effective. B Rice advised that he could not advise on that specific comparison. The intention was to seek feedback from the Board in this regard given the members' knowledge of the area.

K Galloway questioned the total expenditure to date on the various assessments of the intersection and also those related to the proposed Cones Road walkway community project. J Gerard reminded the meeting that the discussion was solely in regard to the intersection. B Rice advised that at this stage staff had expended approximately 5 to 10 days of time. There had been no consultancy expenditure.

D Gordon thanked B Rice and asked if the NZTA minor safety budget would cover works such as Option 4. B Rice replied that it could.

D Gordon stated that he was a regular user of the road and believed that “it was an accident waiting to happen”. He would like to see improvements regardless of the walkway and would support a more extensive and long term solution rather than the non-standard electronic signs.

The Board expressed general agreement for Option 4 suggesting further consideration be made on making it more practicable and affordable. There was also reference to reducing the speed limit to 80km/hr.

D Lundy referred to the questions that had been raised regarding the private hedge and the reduction in visibility and that if the hedge was interfering with power lines Mainpower had formal authority to ensure the hedge was trimmed. He believed that the Council should consider whether to seek such ‘powers’, or for it to be considered a planning matter, given that there were many other areas where issues with hedges cropped up again and again. It was an avoidable situation. J Millward advised that the Council did now plan to retain ownership of road frontages on corners and agreed that this had not always been possible or undertaken in the past.

P Williams asked if the landowners had been approached to trim the hedge. B Rice advised that the landowners had been approached and that although they were reluctant to lose such an asset on their property they were open to
negotiation, however that would come at a cost. B Rice also advised that the removal of the hedge would not alleviate the issues of visibility sufficiently and was therefore not being pursued.

6 ADJOURNED BUSINESS

6.1 Applications for Rangiora-Ashley Community Board Discretionary Grant 2017-2018 – Karyn Ward (Community Board Advocate)

The Board adjourned for a short workshop at 7.21pm resuming again at 7.29pm.

Moved G Miller seconded J Hoult

THAT the Rangiora–Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 170926104076.

(b) Approves a grant of $300 to Canterbury Westland Kindergarten Association (Kidsfirst Kindergartens Bush Street) towards the costs of replacing books and the purchase of new large books for group stories.

CARRIED

G Miller believed it was a worthwhile cause.

J Hoult believed it was essential to support the application for the benefit of the children. Books were an essential part of children's early learning. It was a local organisation and not a commercial operation.

K Galloway expressed concern that funding was not available from more appropriate sources including Ministry of Education. This was a pattern the Board was seeing again and again. However, he supported the motion for the benefit of the children.

C Prickett agreed with K Galloway.

D Gordon believed that K Galloway raised good points. As a member of the Lottery Grants Board he advised that it had had exactly the same discussion over the Ministry of Education role. The conclusion there had been that parents were having to work hard to get additional funding and the Grants Board could support the smaller grants. It was a political debate over that which central government should or should not fund. He was persuaded to support the motion by the arguments of members.

7 REPORTS

7.1 Garrymere Water Supply Source Upgrade – Request to consult with community regarding options to meet Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand – Sean de Roo (Project Coordinator) and Colin Roxburgh (Water Asset Manager)

C Roxburgh introduced S de Roo who advised that the purpose of the report was firstly to update the Board on the options for upgrading and funding the upgrade of the Garrymere water supply scheme to achieve compliance with the Drinking Water Standards for New Zealand (DWSNZ) and also to seek endorsement from the Board for the proposed basis of consulting the Garrymere community on the upgrade options. Following the consultation staff would recommend an option to the Council to upgrade the scheme.

S de Roo outlined the four options that would meet compliance with the standards.
Option A was for the treatment of the existing water source through filtration and Ultra Violet (UV). The cost for that was $390,000.

Option B was to drill a new well at Garrymere. The well would be up to 300m deep and the cost estimate was $880,000. There was a risk that the well would not find a new water source.

Option C was to connect to the Summerhill scheme via 2.9 km of pipe and crossing the Ashley and Garrymere rivers. There would be additional upgrade works at the West Eyreton headworks. The cost was $1.8 million.

Option D was similar to C, however it involved measures to reduce demand within the Garrymere scheme. The cost was $1 million.

There were other options that had been discounted by staff due to cost and not meeting the standards including:

Option E onsite treatment, requiring 41 individual treatment systems

Option F connecting to the Ashley Water Supply scheme. This scheme did not meet DWSNZ and

Option G to do nothing. This is not an option as the current scheme does not meet DWSNZ.

J Gerard was uncomfortable recommending the three options B-D, for consultation as they might not be achievable for reasons of cost and/or high risk. C Roxburgh commented that staff had considered these factors when the report was collated but wished to obtain a steer from the Board.

Paul Williams suggested Option E should be included. Although it was difficult to establish if 75% of water on the scheme was used for agricultural purposes, by the time of the proposed consultation the agricultural/domestic use split might be better understood.

C Prickett also agreed that option E should be included for consultation.

C Roxburgh commented that staff had considered including option E and had tried to find advice but no one else in Canterbury was adopting such an option. To establish the ratio of domestic verse agricultural use was challenging and the default model that had been used to establish the ratio showed the scheme did not comply. C Roxburgh advised that they had only learnt today that Hurunui was using individual onsite treatment. An option was to do more investigation and to talk to Hurunui to see how they had established the ratio. C Roxburgh advised that staff did not want to include the option unless they had satisfied themselves and elected members that the option was viable.

C Roxburgh further advised of the risks associated with Option E. It would be difficult to monitor over 40 different alarms on individual properties. He commented that he would be surprised to see the standards go that way.

D Gordon suggested that as a courtesy, the Summerhill and West Eyreton Water Advisory Groups be given a ‘heads-up’ that the option of Garrymere joining the Summerhill scheme was to be included as part of the consultation.

C Roxburgh valued the comment and noted that it was unlikely that it would be the preferred option due to the costs and risk. It had been included to show that it had been considered. If it looked as if it were likely to go down that track then the process would go back to the start for wider consultation. D Gordon suggested that the report be circulated to the advisory groups with a covering memo.

C Roxburgh advised that the 75%/25% ratio for Option E meant that there was a risk the option would limit future development. Lifestyle blocks could shift the ratio towards greater domestic use.
G Miller asked about the cost of Option E. S De Roo advised that this could also be discussed with Hurunui. C Roxburgh advised that capital costs would be decreased however operating costs would be higher due to the monitoring required. The capital cost would need to be significantly lower due to the inherently higher risk.

C Prickett requested clarification around drilling a new well as the consultant’s report did not seem to have a conclusion. S de Roo advised that studies had shown that the Kowai Formation, was likely to be 300m deep in that location. It was not an exact science and there was no guarantee of a successful well. S de Roo commented that all the options were high cost but were viable options that met the DWSNZ. If the bore was successful it could potentially also provide water for the Ashley Scheme. If a water source was not found then the cost of drilling would need to be borne.

D Lundy referred to Option D which required measures to decrease demand within the Garrymere scheme. He commented that the blocks were sold on the strength of potential future horticultural uses and it could be argued by some that they had also bought those water units. C Roxburgh commented the ‘buy back’ of water units had not been factored in. The option could not proceed unless there was good buy in.

D Lundy questioned the Summerhill source and S de Roo advised there was plenty of water at source, the issue was the infrastructure (pumps etc.) of getting it to the Garrymere scheme.

Moved P Williams seconded D Gordon

**THAT** the Rangiora–Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** report No. 171025115123.

(b) **Recommends** to the Utilities & Roading Committee that Council staff begin the community consultation process with the Garrymere community on the basis of the four options presented as being viable (Options A, B, C and D). The community consultation would involve the distribution of an information pamphlet followed by a public meeting.

(c) **Notes** that the options of on-site treatment, connecting to the Ashley water supply scheme and not upgrading were considered but are not considered to be viable either due to high cost, not complying with the DWSNZ, or both.

(d) **Notes** that following the community consultation, staff will report back to the Board to provide a summary of the feedback received and to seek endorsement of the recommended option prior to staff reporting back to Council.

(e) **Notes** that staff will investigate Option E prior to the Utilities & Roading Committee meeting.

(f) **Requests** that staff, as a courtesy, communicate with the Summerhill and West Eyreton Water Advisory Groups.

**CARRIED**

7.2 **Board Meeting Dates for 2018 – Karyn Ward (Community Board Advocate)**

D Gordon commented that he had received some feedback that all meetings were held in Rangiora when the Board’s community area had a wider base. He favoured considering holding a meeting at Cust and a meeting at Loburn during the summer months. It was noted that the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board promoted meetings held in particular areas.
P Williams suggested that if there were items of interest to a particular area then the meeting could be held in that location in order to receive feedback.

R Brine questioned the effect on staff, for example Powerpoint presentations. J Millward commented that it was not a big issue and other Boards held meetings away from Chambers.

Moved D Gordon seconded P Williams

THAT the Rangiora–Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 171026116055.

(b) Resolves to hold Board meetings commencing at 7.00pm, on the following dates:

- Wednesday 14 February 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 14 March 2018 Loburn
- Wednesday 11 April 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 16 May 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 13 June 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 11 July 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 8 August 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 12 September 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 10 October 2018 Council Chambers
- Wednesday 14 November 2018 Cust
- Wednesday 11 July 2018 Council Chambers

CARRIED

D Gordon suggested that under the Governance budget small advertisements could be placed in local newspapers. Public forums could be held at the start of a meeting similar to those that the Oxford-Ohoka Community Board had held.

P Williams commented that it was a good idea to go out to the community. He noted that the months for Loburn and Cust venues could be changed dependant on venue availability.

J Hoult commented that it was entirely appropriate to go out to the community.

C Prickett commented that it provided the opportunity for schools to present and J Gerard commented that the Board could also visit schools.

7.3 Ratification of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board’s Submission regarding the District Plan Review ‘Comments and Issues’ Phase – Edwina Cordwell (Governance Adviser)

Moved K Galloway seconded G Miller

THAT the Rangiora–Ashley Community Board:

(a) Receives report No. 171009108987.

(b) Ratifies the Board’s Submission regarding the Waimakariri District Council’s District Plan Review ‘Comments and Issues’ Phase (Trim 171009108973).

CARRIED

8 CORRESPONDENCE

J Gerard commented that there would be a staff report to formalise the Board’s appointment to the Waimakariri Access Group for the following meeting. He noted that the next Access Group meeting was taking place shortly and
suggested it would not be untoward to appoint someone and have it ratified at the next meeting.

Moved: D Gordon  seconded: K Galloway

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** the letter regarding Draft Waste Management and Minimisation Plan and Kerbside Options (Trim 171030116729).

(b) **Receives** the request from the Waimakariri Access Group to appoint a representative to the group from the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board. (Trim 171027116507).

(c) **Appoints** Murray Clarke as the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board representative to the Waimakariri Access Group, to be ratified at the December 2017 Rangiora-Ashley Community Board meeting.

CARRIED

9 **CHAIRPERSON’S REPORT**

9.1 **Chair’s Diary for October 2017**

Moved J Gerard  seconded K Galloway

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board:

(a) **Receives** report No. 171031117674.

CARRIED

10 **MATTERS FOR INFORMATION**

10.1 **Woodend-Sefton Community Board meeting minutes – 9 October 2017** [Trim No. 171018112702]

10.2 **Road Safety Action Plan** – Report to Council 24 October (Trim No. 171004107285)

10.3 **Stormwater Drainage Bylaw Review 2017/18** – Report to Council 24 October 2017 (Trim No. 170907097266)

10.4 **CAREX Report on Glyphosate** - Report to Council 24 October 2017 (Trim No. 171012110892)

10.5 **Cam River Enhancement Allocation of Funding** – Report to Council 24 October 2017 (Trim No. 170925103162)

Moved G Miller  seconded J Hoult

**THAT** the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board receives the information in items 10.1-10.5.

CARRIED

11 **MEMBERS’ INFORMATION EXCHANGE**

11.1 **D Lundy**

- Spoke to concerned residents questioning why the Council was not promoting the Western Bypass for heavy vehicles and other traffic.

11.2 **R Brine**

- Part of the Council delegation to Passchendaele. Commented that it brought home the magnitude of sacrifice and waste. Noted the huge
gratitude of the Belgium people for New Zealanders’ role in the war. 
Expressed gratitude to the Council for the opportunity to attend.

11.3 **K Galloway**
- Trouble with sewerage pipes in Fraser Place, was pleased to advise that the response of Chris Pattern (WDC) was exemplary.
- Rangiora Dog Park Quiz night was to be held on Monday night.
- Had been questioned by local resident of the cost of WDC delegation to Passchendaele.
- Noted that St Johns had been requesting support.
- Asked the date when the Rangiora High Street lights would be switched on. D Gordon advised that it was expected to be the Christmas Celebration night.
- Asked on progress of Waste Minimisation – J Millward advised that it was part of the Long Term Plan (LTP) process and would be consulted upon in early March 2018.
- Asked for update on the timetable on the Passchendaele and Rangiora Woodend walk/cycleways. J Millward advised he would circulate answers around the Board members.

11.4 **M Clarke**
- Attended Waimakariri Health Advisory Group meeting.
- Referred to accessibility issues including steep cut down footpath and overhanging trees. If any member saw a problem they should raise a Service Request. There was an annual inspection with follow-up letters. They were currently working through the process with Fire and Emergency New Zealand (FENZ) in terms of who was best to service long grass on sections.
- Had been in communication with Green Space over sealing part of carpark for access to table bowls.
- Referred to D Lundy’s comments regarding western bypass and advised that he had had received complaints about heavy traffic on River Road.

11.5 **J Hoult**
- Noted that accessibility issues on Rangiora High Street pavements had been raised previously, however there had been no change and it was still cluttered. It was noted that K Galloway and G Miller were now part of a Council working party.
- Attended Passchendaele service and was impressed by presentation of the day and youth involved.
- Landmarks – working on plaque for Victoria Street Gates.
- Timebank - writing funding applications.
- Neighbourhood Support – attended AGM, problems appeared to be resolved. A BBQ had been held at Pak n Save to promote the ‘Get Ready’ campaign which was a great resource to be part of.

11.6 **S Lewis**
- Had been busy organising the Sunday 12 November 9.30am Rakahuri Track mountain bike charity ride to raise funds for Motor Neurone Disease Association of New Zealand (MNDNZ) in memory of Councillor Peter Allen. Thanked the North Canterbury Sport and Recreation Trust for their assistance.

11.7 **C Prickett**
- Helped rectify issue with Flaxton Road speed indicator sign
- Attended Jill Creamer Walkway opening.
• Asked if the Council had completed a usage assessment of the Milton Dog Park.
• Attended Matawai Park meeting to look at issues including drainage and weed ingress. There was no sure way to resolve.

11.8 P Williams
• Noted complaints from residents including receiving overhanging tree letter, kerb and channelling and grass being too long.
• Attended water quality workshop in Fernside Hall and highlighted comment by local farmer ‘if I don’t get enough water to grow grass all I will be able to grow is septic tanks’.
• Commented on technical data released for the Kaiapoi River. Due to lack of flow there was salt water intrusion to Mafeking Bridge. He commented the water issues were important to consider as it was a long term problem for the area.

11.9 D Gordon
• Expressed gratitude for the opportunity to attend Passchendaele for the 100 year commemorations. He had been asked by a number of residents to visit cemeteries with family members and had passed on photographs. He thanked Freddie de Clerk for his hospitality. Advised that in terms of the cost for his trip, the Council had met 75% of an international economy class airfare and the rest of the costs had been met by himself.
• Requested follow-up with Kiwi Rail on the railway approaches on High Street and Kippenberger Avenue.
• Advised that at the recent Council meeting North Canterbury Riding for the Disabled had requested extra time to pay off its loan.
• The Council had approved exploration of options to secure land for Lehmans Road River Road Bypass.
• Rotary Club Walk 2 DFeet MND on Sunday 12 November 1pm at the Rangiora Racecourse, Lehmans Road entrance.

12 CONSULTATION PROJECTS
12.1 Dudley Park Shelter Belt Removal
Consultation closes 5pm, 16 November 2017.

12.2 Stormwater Drainage Bylaw
Consultation closes 5pm 15 November 2017.
http://www.waimakariri.govt.nz/have-a-say/letstalk/consultations/stormwater-drainage-bylaw

12.3 Williams Street Beach Road Intersection
Consultation closes 5pm, 13 November 2017.

13 REGENERATION PROJECTS
Updates on the Rangiora Town Centre projects are emailed regularly to Board members. These updates can be located using the link below:
14 BOARD FUNDING UPDATE
14.1 Board Discretionary Grant
   Balance as at 11 October 2017: $10,564.04.

15 MEDIA ITEMS
Nil.

16 QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDERS
Nil.

17 URGENT GENERAL BUSINESS UNDER STANDING ORDERS
Nil.

NEXT MEETING
The next meeting of the Rangiora-Ashley Community Board is scheduled for 7pm, Wednesday 13 December 2017 in the Council Chambers at the Rangiora Service Centre.

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS THE MEETING WAS CLOSED AT 8.52PM.

CONFIRMED

___________________
Chairperson

___________________
Date

Workshop
1. Members’ Forum.
   Opportunity for members to share potential new ideas and initiatives.